Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Enrique Podaza, NewYork-Presbyterian, United States

REVIEWED BY Esteban Elias, University of Calgary, Canada Alessandra Mancino, San Raffaele Hospital (IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Marice B. Alcantara Malcantara@coh.org Marcin Kortylewski Marcinkortylewski@coh.org

RECEIVED 08 August 2023 ACCEPTED 11 December 2023 PUBLISHED 08 January 2024

CITATION

Alcantara MB, Tang WS, Wang D, Kaniowski D, Kang E, Dizman N, Chehrazi-Raffle A, Meza L, Zengin Z, Hall J, Hsu J, Egelston C, Moreira D, Horsager A, Pal SK and Kortylewski M (2024) Targeting STAT3 in tumor-associated antigenpresenting cells as a strategy for kidney and bladder cancer immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1274781. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1274781

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Alcantara, Tang, Wang, Kaniowski, Kang, Dizman, Chehrazi-Raffle, Meza, Zengin, Hall, Hsu, Egelston, Moreira, Horsager, Pal and Kortylewski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the corginal publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Targeting STAT3 in tumorassociated antigen-presenting cells as a strategy for kidney and bladder cancer immunotherapy

Marice B. Alcantara^{1*}, Wilson S. Tang¹, Dongfang Wang¹, Damian Kaniowski¹, Elaine Kang¹, Nazli Dizman^{2,3}, Alexander Chehrazi-Raffle², Luis Meza², Zeynep Zengin², Jeremy Hall¹, JoAnn Hsu², Colt Egelston¹, Dayson Moreira¹, Alan Horsager⁴, Sumanta K. Pal² and Marcin Kortylewski^{1*}

¹Department of Immuno-Oncology, Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope National Medical Centre, Duarte, CA, United States, ²Department of Medical Oncology, City of Hope National Medical Centre, Duarte, CA, United States, ³MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Houston, TX, United States, ⁴Duet Biotherapeutics, Pasadena, CA, United States

Introduction: Immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) improved clinical outcomes in renal and bladder cancer patients, but the response rates remain limited especially in metastatic disease. While STAT3 transcription factor is well-known master regulator of tumor immune evasion, little is known about the role of STAT3 in the resistance of renal or bladder cancers to immunotherapy.

Methods: To better understand immune alterations associated with ICB resistance, we assessed blood biomarkers in renal cancer patients classified as responders or non-responders to first line nivolumab/ipilimumab immunotherapy.

Results: We observed that non-responders showed elevated levels of proinflammatory mediators, such as IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-8 and to lesser extent IL-10, which are associated with STAT3 activation and tumor immunosuppression. In addition, we found STAT3 activation primarily in circulating myeloid immune cells such as tolerogenic MDSCs. To assess whether STAT3 inhibition within these cell subsets can promote antitumor immune responses and/or enhance sensitivity to ICB *in vivo*, we used an original antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) strategy for myeloid-cell selective STAT3 knockdown (CpG-STAT3ASO). Our results in syngeneic models of renal and bladder cancers in mice demonstrated potent antitumor activity of CpG-STAT3ASO alone in contrast to PD1 blockade alone in both models. The CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD1 combination improved therapeutic efficacy especially against bladder tumors. Therapeutic efficacy correlated with activation of dendritic cells (DCs) and M1 macrophages in the tumor microenvironment, reduced percentages of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and the expansion of CD8 T cells in both tumor models.

Discussion/Conclusion: Our study underscores the potential of using myeloidcell targeted CpG-STAT3 inhibitors for genitourinary cancer therapy to disrupt tolerogenic signaling, restore immune cell activity and sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors and/or T cell-based immunotherapies.

KEYWORDS

renal cancer, bladder cancer, PD-1, STAT3, antisense oligonucleotides, MDSCs, CpG, TLR9



1 Introduction

After prostate tumors, renal and bladder cancers are the most common genitourinary malignancies responsible for 2.4% and 2.7% of all cancer deaths in the United States, respectively (1). Unlike in the case of prostate cancers, new immunotherapies based on immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) showed promise for the treatment of both renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and bladder cancer patients (2, 3). FDA-approved ICB strategies for bladder cancers include monoclonal antibodies specific to programmed death-1 (PD-1) or programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), while for kidney cancers, PD-1/L1 inhibitors can also be combined with cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4)-specific antibodies or small molecule tyrosine kinase receptor inhibitors (3). PD-1 inhibitors improved the objective

response rates (ORRs) in metastatic bladder cancer patients to 29% (4), while in RCC patients the combination ICB led to 40% ORRs; however, few patients with metastatic disease achieved durable responses (5, 6). Nonetheless, a large proportion of patients have remained unresponsive to therapy at least partly due to tolerogenic effects of the tumor microenvironment (TME) (3, 7). The immune alterations underlying the resistance of bladder and RCC patients to ICB remain to be fully elucidated, but it is well established that the TME plays an important role in genitourinary cancers and influences the resistance to ICB. In fact, earlier studies from our own group and others interrogating blood and tumor immune markers have suggested that myeloid-derived suppressor cells and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) accumulating in RCC patients are associated with poor prognosis (7, 8) with TAMs specifically

associated with disease recurrence in clear cell RCC (9, 10). Therefore, myeloid cells remain an attractive but so far challenging target for immunotherapy RCC patients treated with ICB.

Myeloid cells such as dendritic cells, macrophages, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), as well as Tregs, are key immune cell populations that promote cancer progression by protecting tumors from CD8⁺ T-cell elimination (11). Integral to this landscape are cytokines and chemokines which attract myeloid cells and Tregs and are crucial for inducing an inflammatory cancer-promoting environment. Indeed, circulating cytokines in patient plasma such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, and IL-10 were implicated in poor patient responses to ICBs in patients with kidney, breast, and bladder cancer and melanoma (12, 13). All three are known activators of tumorigenic signaling via signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) (14). Both IL-6 and IL-8 have been demonstrated to inhibit antitumor CD8 Tcell responses via recruitment of immunosuppressive myeloid cells or STAT3 activation and arginase-I-mediated suppressive functions of MDSCs in genitourinary and other human cancers (15-18). Indeed, increased STAT3 activation is evident in various cancers including RCC where it is correlated with increased metastasis and poor patient outcomes (19-21). The challenges in targeting STAT3 lie in the pleiotropic STAT3 activity that drives both pro- and antitumor effects. While STAT3 inhibits antigen presentation and promotes the tolerogenic effects of myeloid cells, it is also required for the expansion of cytotoxic CD8 T cells in cancer patients and for the development and maintenance of memory T cells (22, 23). Therefore, small molecule inhibitors of Jak/STAT3 with broad and non-cell selective inhibitory effects can result in conflicting immune effects and prevent long-term antitumor responses. To overcome these limitations, we previously developed a strategy to deliver oligonucleotide-based STAT3 inhibitors, such as antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), specifically into Toll-like receptor-9 (TLR9) expressing myeloid cells, B cells, and certain cancer cells (24). Conjugation of STAT3ASO to CpG oligonucleotide, a TLR9 agonist, facilitates targeting of TLR9-expressing immune cells, such as human and mouse tumor-associated MDSCs (25) and macrophages (26), plasmacytoid DCs, and B cells but not T cells (27). The cellular selectivity of CpG-STAT3ASO benefits the generation of antitumor immunity by restoring the activity of antigen-presenting cells (negatively impacted by STAT3) without interfering with STAT3 activity in T cells which is required for their expansion and memory T-cell formation (22, 23). Following a rapid internalization within minutes or exposure, CpG-STAT3ASO escapes from endosomes and engages RNase H1 to degrade target mRNAs (24). In our recent studies, systemic administration of CpG-STAT3ASO generated potent immune activity against bonelocalized prostate tumors in mice (24, 26).

Thus, we investigated the potential mechanisms contributing to the resistance of RCC patients to combined immune checkpoint blockade (nivolumab/ipilimumab) using blood specimens collected from two recently completed clinical trials at City of Hope. Following these observations, we set out to test the feasibility of using the CpG-STAT3ASO strategy alone and in combination with PD-1 blockade against two models of genitourinary cancers in mice.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patient samples and characteristics

This study examines peripheral blood and plasma samples prospectively collected as part of two clinical trial protocols at City of Hope National Medical Center, in which treatment-naive patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with nivolumab and ipilimumab were enrolled. Blood samples were collected at baseline and week 12. Response assessment was performed in 12-week intervals by the principal investigator per RECIST 1.1 criteria. Patients with complete or partial response were considered responders, while those with the best response of stable disease or progressive disease were considered non-responders. Both protocols were approved by the City of Hope Institutional Review Board. All study procedures were undertaken in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Demographic and patient responses are shown in Table 1.

2.2 Quantitative analysis of plasma cytokines/chemokines

Patients who received a first-line combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab in two separate clinical trials at City of Hope were identified, and peripheral blood samples were collected at baseline or at week 12 from 37 RCC patients representing responders (n = 16) or non-responders (n = 21). We elected to use samples obtained at baseline before treatment initiation and at week 12 (± 4 weeks) as typical times used in assessing the initial patients' immune response. A total of 70 samples obtained from all patients were included in the final analysis. To assess cytokine/chemokine concentration, plasma

TABLE 1 Renal cancer patient demographic and responses.

Patient characteristics	Total (n = 37)	Non- responders (n = 21)	Responders (n = 16)
Age (years, average)	64.702 (44– 90 years)	63.71 (44-90 years)	66 (45-88 years)
Gender Male Female	30 (81%) 7 (18%)	18 (85%) 3 (14%)	12 (75%) 4 (25%)
IMDC prognostic risk Favorable Intermediate Poor	2 (5%) 28 (75%) 7 (18%)	2 (9.52%) 13 (61.90%) 6 (28.57%)	 15 (93.75%) 1 (6.25%)
Histologic subtype: Clear cell RCC (#1) Clear cell with sarcomatoid features Papillary RCC (#2) Papillary with sarcomatoid features Sarcomatoid RCC (#3) Poorly differentiated RCC	27 (72.9%) 6 (16.2%) 1 (2.7%) 1 (2.7%) 1 (2.7%) 1 (2.7%)	15 (40.54%) 4 (10.8%) 1 (2.7%) 1 (2.7%)	12 (32.43%) 2 (5.4%) 1 (2.7%) 1 (2.7%)

was separated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) by centrifugation and stored at -80° C until analysis using a panel of 30 cytokines including IL-1RA, IL-1b, IL-2, IL-2R, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-15, IL-17, eotaxin, EGF, FGF, G-CSK, IFN- α , IFN- γ , CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, TNF- α , and VEGF on the Luminex Flexmap 3D system (Biotechne, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

2.3 Flow cytometric analysis of patients' immune cells

PBMCs were thawed at 37°C for 5 min, washed in 10% FBS/ RPMI 1640, resuspended in the matched patient's plasma from the same draw (20% plasma/RPMI 1640), and incubated for a minimum of 2 h at 37°C. Following incubation, cells were washed and incubated with a combination of 1 µM of sodium orthovanadate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and DNase I (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), before staining with a viability dye and fluorescent antibodies for surface immune markers. Extracellular staining was performed using fluorochrome-labeled antibodies (from BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ unless stated otherwise) to CD3 (#612940), CD19 (#565697), HLA-DR (#565073), CD14 (#563561), CD8 (#612889), PD-1 (#329920), CD4 (# 2500492), CD69 (#562989), CD33 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Irwindale, CA, USA, #47033841), PD-L1 (#563742), CD15 (#747426), and CD56 (#565139). For intracellular staining, cells were fixed before permeabilization (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, #00-5523-00) and immunostained for arginase-1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA, #IC5868F), phosphotyrosine (Y705) STAT3 (#557815), and FoxP3 (#560852).

2.4 T-cell proliferation studies

T-cell proliferation studies were performed as described before with minor modifications (24, 26). Briefly, whole blood from patients was cultured for 2 h at 37°C. Next, samples were washed and centrifuged at 250×g for 5 min. Cell pellets were then resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer for 10 min/4°C, treated using DNase for 5 min/37°C, then filtered through a 70- μm nylon mesh filter and washed. CD15⁺ cells were enriched using a positive selection kit from Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada (#18651) following the manufacturer's protocol, then cultured in the presence of 20% matched patient's plasma and 500 nM of CpG-STAT3ASO or control CpG-scrON or with PBS. The following day, CD3⁺ T cells were then enriched using a T-cell enrichment kit (Stemcell Technologies, #17951) from healthy donor PBMCs obtained using density centrifugation over Histopaque-1077 at 1,500 rpm/20 min. T cells were then labeled with CFSE dye according to the manufacturer's protocols (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, #C34554) and co-cultured with CD15⁺ cells and CD3/ CD28 beads in round-bottom 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher, #11131D) at a ratio of 1:6 of T cells to CD15⁺ myeloid cells. After 3 days, flow cytometric analysis was performed to assess T-cell proliferation using CFSE dilution using antibodies specific to CD8a (RPA-T8, #25-0088-42).

2.5 Oligonucleotide design and synthesis

The CpG oligonucleotide conjugates were synthesized in the DNA/RNA Synthesis Core (COH) as previously described (24). The resulting oligonucleotide (ON) conjugates are shown below (x = a single C3 unit; underline = 2'O-methyl-modification; asterisk = phosphorothioation site):

CpG(D19)-human STAT3ASO:

 $5' \quad G^*G^*TGCATCGATGCAG^*G^*G^*G^*G^*Xxxx - \underline{C^*A^*G^*C^*A}^*G^*A^*T^*C^*A^*G^*T^*C^*C^*\underline{A^*G^*G^*G^*A} 3'.$

STAT3 ASO (human STAT3 ASO targeting sequence): 5' <u>C*A*G*C*A</u>*G*A*T*C*A*A*G*T*C*C*<u>A*G*G*G*A</u> 3'.

CpG(D19)-scrambled oligonucleotide (scrON):

5′ G*G*TGCATCGATGCAG*G*G*G*G*G*G-xxxxx-A*G*A*G*C*C*T*A*A*C*G*G*A*A*G*G*C*A*C*T 3′.

CpG (1668)-mouse STAT3ASO:

5' T*C*C*A*T*G*A*C*G*T*T*C*C*T*G*A*T*G*C*T-xxxxx- $\underline{G*A*C*T*C}*T*T*G*C*A*G*G*A*A*T*\underline{C*G*G*C*T}$ 3'.

CpG (1668)-scrambled oligonucleotide (scrON):

5' T*C*C*A*T*G*A*C*G*T*T*C*C*T*G*A*T*G*C*T-xxxxx-<u>A*G*A*G*C</u>*C*T*A*A*C*G*G*A*A*G*<u>G*C*A*C*T*</u> 3'.

2.6 Mouse tumor models and animal studies

Mouse kidney (Renca) and bladder (MB49) cancer cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Renca and MB49 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 or DMEM media, respectively, supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin, and 1% GlutaMAX (Thermo-Fisher). All cell lines were cultured for less than 3 months prior to the experiments and were tested to be free of *Mycoplasma* infection.

Balb/C and C57BL/6 mice, aged between 6 and 8 weeks, were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Mouse care and experimental conditions were performed under pathogen-free conditions and in accordance with established institutional guidance and approved protocols from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees. For efficacy studies with the subcutaneously (SC) implanted Renca tumors, 5×10^5 Renca cells were resuspended in a 1:1 ratio with Matrigel (Corning, Corning, NY, USA, #356231) and 1× PBS and injected SC into female Balb/C mice. Mice were then treated intraperitoneally (IP) with the anti-PD-1 antibody on day 3 and day 5 (200 µg, BioXCell, Lebanon, NH, USA, #BE0273) before treatment every other day with CpG-STAT3ASO (5 mg/kg) in combination with anti-PD-1, or alternatively, mice were treated with CpG-STAT3ASO (5 mg/kg) alone or IgG or PBS control, all injected intravenously (IV) via retro-orbital venous sinus injection. Tumor size was monitored and measured every other day using calipers. For the SC MB49 mouse model, 5×10^5 MB49 cells were resuspended in 1× PBS and injected SC

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1274781

into male C57BL/6 mice. When tumors reached approximately 100 mm³, mice were treated twice using IP injections of anti-PD-1 antibody (200 μ g) (BioXCell, Cat #BE0273) 2 days apart, before treatment 2 days later with CpG-STAT3ASO (5 mg/kg) in combination with anti-PD-1, or alternatively, mice were treated with CpG-STAT3ASO (5 mg/kg) alone or IgG or PBS control injected IV. In the animal study using subcutaneous administration of oligonucleotides, mice were first treated IP with anti-PD-1 antibody on day 3 and day 5 (200 μ g, BioXCell, #BE0273) before treatment on days 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15 with or without SC-injected CpG-STAT3ASO (10 mg/kg) on days 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15.

For the analysis of tumor-associated immune cells, single-cell suspensions were prepared from whole tumors or tumor-draining lymph nodes using short collagenase-IV/DNase-I treatment (20 min/ 37°C) followed by mechanical dispersion by pipetting through a 70-µm mesh filter. Viable cells were enriched using density centrifugation over Histopaque-1083 at 1,500 rpm/20 min. Extracellular staining was performed with fluorochrome-labeled antibodies (from BD unless stated otherwise) to CD45 (#564279), CD86 (#741285), CD11c (#612797), CD80 (#562611), CD11b (Thermo Fisher, #48-0112-82), CD8 (#563063), CD206 (#141721), Gr1 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA, #50-604878), MHC II (Thermo Fisher, #11-5321-82), CD3 (#560527), CD4 (R&D Systems, #FAB554S-100), and FoxP3 (#566881). Fluorescence data were analyzed on BD Fortessa LSR II and the Cytek Aurora Spectral Cytometer and using FlowJo v10 software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR, USA) or Cytobank (Beckman-Coulter, Brea, CA).

2.7 Circulating IFN γ and IL-6 levels in the peripheral blood of Renca and MB49 mice

Peripheral blood samples were obtained from tumor-bearing mice using tail vein bleed on day 14. Blood was centrifuged at 1,200 rpm/5 min to separate plasma which was then stored at -80° C until use. IFN γ and IL-6 concentrations in plasma were assessed using ELISA assays (Thermo Fisher, #KMC4021 or #KMC0061, respectively) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.8 Statistical analysis

For the patients' sample analysis and animal studies, comparisons of groups were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test. Data were presented as mean \pm SEM. Statistical significance was ranked and indicated as follows: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001; ****, p < 0.001.

3 Results

3.1 Elevated levels of immunoregulatory mediators in renal cancer patients' refractory to first-line nivolumab/ ipilimumab therapy

To assess the effects of combination immune checkpoint therapy (ICB) on plasma immune mediators, peripheral blood was obtained from all patients at baseline and at week 12 when the first indications of response to clinical immunotherapies often occur. We assessed immune alterations in 30 plasma cytokines and chemokines in samples from a total of 37 patients (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). As shown in Figure 1, the refractory patients/nonresponders showed a significant increase in immunoregulatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and IL-1RA. In contrast, only IL-10 was weakly upregulated in responding patients. IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 are important STAT3 activators and are often associated with the recruitment or expansion of tolerogenic MDSCs (14, 28, 29). Protein markers of ongoing immune response such as IL-12, soluble IL-2R, and CXCL9 and CXCL10 were significantly elevated after combined ICB therapy in both groups of patients. However, the levels of IFN-inducible CXCL10 were significantly higher in responding than in non-responding patients after 12 weeks of ICB therapy, which is likely indicative of IFN-driven immune responses critical for longterm antitumor effects. We also observed a significant increase in proinflammatory, innate immune regulator, CCL11/eotaxin, in some of the responding patients.

3.2 STAT3 activation in circulating myeloid immune cells in RCC patients

STAT3 is commonly activated in the microenvironment of human tumors including RCC (30). Given the elevated plasma levels of several STAT3 activators, we assessed STAT3 phosphorylation across circulating immune cell populations using high-parameter spectral flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 2, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE), a non-linear dimensionality reduction algorithm, indicated STAT3 activation (pSTAT3) and the expression of STAT3 downstream target and immune checkpoint molecule PD-L1 in overlapping myeloid cell clusters, likely polymorphonuclear MDSCs (PMN-MDSC: CD15⁺CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻) and monocytic MDSCs (M-MDSCs: CD14⁺CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻) at the treatment initiation as well as after 12 weeks of ICB therapy. We also detected modest STAT3 within a cluster of circulating B cells; however, the percentages of B cells did not significantly change during the ICB therapy and did not show a positive correlation with STAT3-inducing cytokines (Supplementary Figure S2). The analysis of both MDSC subsets confirmed the upregulation of pSTAT3 at baseline in both responders and non-responders (Figures 3A, B, gating strategy). While there was no significant change in the total percentage of M-MDSCs before and after ICB therapy, a subset of patients responding to therapy showed an increase in the percentage of PMN-MDSCs (Figure 3C). Importantly, responding but not the refractory patients showed a significant reduction in the activity of STAT3 in M-MDSCs between baseline and week 12. However, we did observe a decrease in pSTAT3 in M-MDSCs in patients who responded to therapy between baseline and W12 (Figure 3D). Correspondingly, we observed a strong correlation between the level of STAT3 activity in M-MDSCs and plasma concentrations of IL-6 (Figure 3E; r = 0.7, p = 0.0113) as well as IL-8 (Figure 3F; r = 0.6, p = 0.0477) in non-responding patients. We did not find such a correlation between pSTAT3 and plasma cytokine levels in patients responding to therapy or in PMN-MDSCs (Figures 3E, F). Our observations suggest that nivolumab/ipilimumab immunotherapy has a



blockade (ICB) therapy. Plasma samples from 37 mRCC patients who received a combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab as first-line therapy were collected at baseline and week 12. Response to therapy was assessed using RECIST 1.1 criteria (please see Table 1). Thirty cytokines/chemokines were measured in patient plasma between baseline and week 12 and assessed in responders (n = 16) or non-responders (n = 21) using Luminex assays. Shown are means \pm SEM. Statistical significance was determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test; only statistically significant differences were indicated: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001.

partial effect on the tolerogenic myeloid cells accumulating in RCC patients, especially on the subset of M-MDSCs. However, the presence of both MDSC populations after 12 weeks of therapy in both non-responding and responding patients suggests that STAT3-driven immunosuppression may limit the clinical efficacy of combined

immune checkpoint inhibition. In fact, we have not observed an increase in the percentages of CD8⁺ T-cell subsets in ICB-treated RCC patients. Instead, there was a modest but significant increase in the percentage of regulatory T cells (Tregs) specifically in non-responders but not in responding patients (Supplementary Figure S3).



analyzed using spectral flow cytometry. (A) viSNE/t-SNE map overlay showing immune cell clusters allocated by an unsupervised analysis using the dimensionality reduction algorithm (top) and the expression pattern of markers associated with each cluster (bottom). (B) Levels of pSTAT3 and PD-L1 expression in different cell population clusters in responders and non-responders between baseline and week 12.

3.3 Targeting RCC patients' MDSCs using CpG-STAT3ASO restores T-cell activity

The persistent presence of tolerogenic MDSCs in the blood of nivolumab/ipilimumab-treated RCC patients suggested a potential mechanism of STAT3-driven therapeutic resistance. Targeting STAT3 signaling in RCC-derived MDSCs could alleviate their immunosuppressive effects and restore T-cell proliferation and activity. To test this hypothesis, we used a myeloid cell-selective CpG-STAT3ASO strategy. CpG-STAT3ASO is selectively internalized by TLR9⁺ myeloid cells, including human MDSCs but not by T lymphocytes (24). Primary CD15⁺ myeloid cells, including PMN-MDSCs, were enriched from treatment-refractory RCC patients' PBMCs and incubated with CpG-STAT3ASO to knockdown STAT3 or with non-targeting but immunostimulatory CpG-scrON oligonucleotide (Figures 4A, B). Next, we co-cultured the treated MDSCs with healthy donor T cells for 3 days and assessed T-cell proliferation using the flow cytometric assay. As



shown in Figure 4C, CpG-STAT3ASO but not control CpG-scrON almost completely abrogated the inhibitory effect of RCC-derived MDSCs on T-cell proliferation. The control CpG-scrON, lacking STAT3 inhibitory activity but activating TLR9, had only limited and

non-significant stimulatory effect on T-cell proliferation (p = 0.008). These results imply that CpG/TLR9 stimulation alone without STAT3 inhibition is not sufficient for disrupting the tolerogenic effects of RCC-associated myeloid cells.



patients' PBMCs and treated with 500 nM CpG-STAT3ASO, control CpG-scrON, or PBS for 24 (h) Then, MDSCs were co-cultured with healthy donor T cells for 3 days before assessing T-cell proliferation using the CFSE dilution assay. (A) Gating strategy for T-cell populations. (B) CD8 T-cell proliferation in the presence of PMN-MDSCs is restored by CpG-STAT3ASO. Shown are the representative results from one of two independent experiments. (C) Shown are the means \pm SEM (n = 5-7/experimental group); **p < 0.01.

3.4 Systemic administration of CpG-STAT3ASO alone and in combination with PD-1 blockade shows efficacy against kidney and bladder tumors in mice

Next, we set out to assess whether STAT3 inhibition/TLR9 stimulation using CpG-STAT3ASO will prove effective alone or together with immune checkpoint blockade against genitourinary cancers, such as Renca and MB49 tumors, two commonly used models of mouse kidney and bladder cancers (Figure 5A). We first tested the effect of systemic administration of CpG-STAT3ASO with or without prior PD-1 blockade on the growth of Renca tumors. As shown in Figure 5B, repeated IV injections of CpG-STAT3ASO alone significantly reduced kidney tumor progression in comparison to all control groups. Our control study (Supplementary Figure S4A) and the published results in prostate tumor models (24) confirmed that concomitant TLR9 activation and STAT3 inhibition are necessary for the generation of effective antitumor effects. In contrast, Renca tumors were completely resistant to PD-1 blockade alone, even after four more intensive treatments (Supplementary Figure S4B),

while the combination of anti-PD-1 with CpG-STAT3ASO showed modest improvement in the antitumor effect (Figure 5B). We also confirmed that the subcutaneous route of CpG-STAT3ASO delivery, at a site distant from the tumor, also resulted in an antitumor effect, suggesting an alternative systemic route of administration for this oligonucleotide (Supplementary Figure S4C).

We next assessed the efficacy of CpG-STAT3ASO and anti-PD-1 as single treatments and the combination in the MB49 bladder cancer model (Figure 5C). Compared with the Renca model, CpG-STAT3ASO resulted in a more pronounced antitumor effect against MB49 tumors with significant growth inhibition and occasional complete tumor eradication. PD-1 blockade alone demonstrated significant although less consistent oligonucleotide treatment antitumor efficacy against MB49 tumors. Finally, the combination of CpG-STAT3ASO and anti-PD-1 resulted in augmented antitumor efficacy leading to complete tumor regression in the majority of mice. These results suggested potential differences in the cellular mechanisms of antitumor effects likely related to the different compositions of the tumor microenvironment in both kidney and bladder cancer models.



Systemic administration of CpG-STAT3ASO alone and in combination with anti-PD-1 shows efficacy against kidney and bladder tumors in mice. (A) Study outline and treatment regimens for the efficacy studies. (B, C) Mice were engrafted subcutaneously with either Renca kidney tumors (B) or MB49 bladder tumors (C) and treated using two IP injections of anti-PD-1 or control IgG (200 µg/injection) and/or six IV injections of CpG-STAT3ASO (5 mg/kg) or PBS. Tumor growth kinetics was monitored using caliper measurements. Shown are the representative results as combined data (left panel) or individual growth curves per treatment group (five right panels) from two independent experiments for each model; mean \pm SEM (n = 5). Statistical significance was determined with two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

3.5 TLR9-targeted STAT3 inhibition alone reactivates antigen-presenting myeloid cells in the microenvironment of kidney and bladder tumors

To assess cellular mechanisms of the observed antitumor effects against Renca and MB49 tumors, we characterized the immunophenotype of the major tumor-associated myeloid cell populations, such as macrophages and DCs. As shown in Figure 6A (with gating strategy in Supplementary Figure S6), both CpG-STAT3ASO and CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 combination treatment dramatically increased the percentage of M1-like macrophages in Renca tumors, four- to five-fold higher than in anti-PD-1 or control treatment groups. In addition, both CpG-STAT3ASO and CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatments seemed to reduce the percentage of tumor-associated M2-like macrophages compared with PD-1 blockade alone, although this effect did not reach significance in relation to negative controls (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S7). This is consistent with the direct effect of PD immune blockade on T cells and not myeloid cells. Our previous studies using genetic STAT3 deletion in tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells or using CpG-STAT3ASO in prostate cancer models suggested that TLR9 activation/STAT3 inhibition results in the recruitment of CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ myeloid cells representing not MDSCs but neutrophils, which can contribute to antitumor effects (24, 31). In fact, CpG-STAT3ASO alone and, to a lesser extent, the combination treatment elevated the percentage of CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ cells in Renca tumors (Figure 6B). Corresponding to these potential immunostimulatory effects at the tumor site, we observed strong recruitment of activated and antigen-presenting (MHC-II^{HI}/CD86⁺ or MHC-II^{HI}/CD80⁺) M1-like macrophages into Renca tumor-draining lymph nodes by CpG-STAT3ASO and



was assessed with one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

the combination treatment resulting in three- to four-fold increase of the activated macrophages compared with PBS- or antibodytreated controls (Figure 6C). We also assessed the recruitment of activated DCs given their important role in antigen presentation and T-cell-mediated antitumor immunity. Interestingly, unlike in the case of macrophages, the combination CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatment but not CpG-STAT3ASO or anti-PD-1 alone led to a significant recruitment of activated (MHC-II^{HI} and CD86⁺ or CD80⁺) DCs into tumor-draining lymph nodes (Figure 6D and Supplementary Figure S5). This effect suggested a potentially



indirect role of PD-1 blockade in DC activation through IFN γ -dependent mechanism as recently suggested by others (32). In fact, the plasma levels of IFN γ were significantly higher in Renca tumor-bearing mice after the combination CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatment compared with CpG-STAT3ASO or PD-1 blockade alone with the latter showing only a minor effect. In contrast, the plasma levels of IL-6 were decreased by both CpG-STAT3ASO and the combination treatment but not by PD-1 inhibition alone (Figure 6E) and correlated with the previously observed decrease of tolerogenic

M2-like macrophages, which are the potential sources of this cytokine in the TME (Figure 6A).

As typical for human bladder cancers, the mouse MB49 tumor microenvironment is dominated by Th2 cytokines, such as IL-10, which leads to the accumulation of tolerogenic macrophages as well as regulatory T cells (31, 33). Thus, we expected potential differences in the antitumor effect of the tested CpG-STAT3ASO and PD-1 inhibition between Renca and MB49 models. Nonetheless, similar to kidney tumors, we did observe a significant reduction of CD206^{HI} M2-like macrophages by CpG-



STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 combination in comparison to PBS- and IgG-treated groups, with a less robust inhibitory effect of CpG-STAT3ASO alone (Figure 7A). In contrast to the Renca model, there was only a minimal increase in the number of CD11b⁺CD11c⁻ MHCII⁺ M1-like macrophages (Figure 7A) or CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ cells (Figure 7B). However, the combined CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatment and to a lesser extent CpG-STAT3ASO alone strongly

increased the recruitment of activated, antigen-presenting MHC-II^{HI}/CD86⁺ macrophages (Figure 7C) and DCs (Figure 7D and Supplementary Figure S5) into tumor-draining lymph nodes. Overall, the results of our immunophenotypic analysis of Renca and MB49 tumor microenvironments correspond well with the differences in antitumor efficacy of various treatments and confirm that CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 combination immunotherapy in both tumor types results in more consistent and robust immune stimulation of the tumor-associated myeloid cells.

3.6 The combined CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 immunotherapy activates CD8 T cells in kidney and bladder tumor models by different mechanisms

Given the different myeloid cell activation by CpG-STAT3ASO and/or anti-PD-1 treatments, we next assessed the effect on T-cell populations in both tumors. As shown in Figures 8A, B, we found a small population of tumor-infiltrating CD8 (~10% of total T cells on average) together with CD4 helper and regulatory CD4⁺/FoxP3⁺ T cells (<50% of total CD4⁺ T cells) in Renca tumors. The combined CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatment significantly increased the percentage of tumor-infiltrating CD8 compared with PBS and IgG controls (Figure 8A). It also showed a tendency to reduce Treg numbers from an average of 50% to 25% although without reaching statistical significance (p > 0.05). Thus, CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 augmented the CD8/Treg ratio indicative of successful adaptive, antitumor immunity. While CpG-STAT3ASO showed a similar tendency to recruit CD8 T cells to Renca tumors, its overall effect was less robust and not significant given the limited number of tested animals. In contrast to kidney tumors, MB49 bladder cancers were infiltrated by a significant number of tumor-resident CD8 T cells (>20% of total CD3⁺ T cells on average), and regulatory T cells were dominating the CD4 T-cell population (>50% of CD4 T cells) (Figures 8C, D). Both the combined CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 treatment and CpG-STAT3ASO alone dramatically reduced the percentage of Tregs in MB49 tumors to 15% from an average of 56% in PBS controls. Even though neither of the treatments affected the overall numbers of tumor-resident CD8 T cells, the reduction in the number of Tregs benefitted the ratio of CD8 to Treg cells, thus enabling the generation of antitumor immune responses. The effect of myeloid cell-selective CpG-STAT3ASO on Tregs was likely indirect through disruption of the immunosuppressive myeloid tumor microenvironment that is required for sustaining Treg expansion and activity. Finally, unlike in the Renca tumor model, PD-1 inhibition alone had modestly but significantly reduced the percentage of Tregs improving the CD8 to Treg ratio. This unexpected anti-PD-1 activity may explain its antitumor activity in some of the mice bearing bladder tumors and lack of any effect against the renal cancer model.

4 Discussion

Previous studies in RCC patients suggest that tumor-associated myeloid cells play an important role in ICB resistance (34, 35). However, the impact and role of myeloid cells in ICB resistance are not fully understood and have only been assessed in terms of their presence and/or abundance within tumors. In addition, the impact of myeloid cells has not been assessed in patients treated with a combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab. In this study, we assessed immune alterations in patients refractory to combination ICBs nivolumab and ipilimumab and observed alterations in patients associated with myeloid cells, specifically MDSCs, and STAT3. Then, to further support this observation, in RCC mouse models, we also show that PD-1 blockade alone is inefficient at overcoming myeloid-specific alterations evident in RCC tumors. While we were unable to investigate tumor-associated macrophages in these patients, we have extended these studies to animal tumor models of kidney and bladder cancers. Results from both of these models support our hypothesis that a combination of T-cell and myeloid cell targeting allows to overcome immunosuppression and to initiate antitumor immune responses.

In both Renca and MB49 tumor models, CD11b⁺Gr1⁺ cells represent a heterogeneous group of immature myeloid cells, MDSCs, specifically after CpG-STAT3ASO treatment, and neutrophils with antitumor activity (24). Due to the difficulty in defining this population functionally, we focused our studies on the syngeneic tumor models on better-defined macrophage subsets. One of the main mediators and drivers of myeloid cell recruitment and STAT3 activation are cytokines, cytokine receptors, and growth factor receptors (18). Indeed, increased IL-8 in plasma and tumors of RCC patients has been correlated with reduced responsiveness to PD-L1 therapy, and here, we similarly observed increased circulating IL-8 in RCC patients who are non-responders to nivolumab/ipilimumab combination. In addition, we also observed increased IL-6 in non-responders to the combined ICB, which suggests activation of STAT3 in non-responders. Combined with the observation of the predominant M2-like macrophage phenotype in anti-PD-1-alone-treated Renca kidney tumors, this suggests a potential role of TAMs in promoting resistance of kidney tumors to ICB therapy. At the same time, the relatively small number of available RCC patients suggests the need for further validation of our results in future studies in larger cohorts of patients.

Macrophages have a demonstrated capacity to suppress immune responses via nutrient depletion, recruitment of immunosuppressive T regulatory cells, and direct suppression of T-cell function (36). Indeed, in our studies, we observed that patient-derived RCC myeloid cells have the ability to suppress Tcell function, and in Renca models, tumors of PBS- and IgG control-treated RCC mice, a large proportion of macrophages exhibited an M2 phenotype characterized by CD206⁺ expression. In comparison, in tumors of CpG-STAT3ASO alone and in the combination PD-1 blockade and CpG-STAT3ASO-treated RCC mice, we observed an increase of F4/80⁺ or M1-like phenotype macrophages commonly associated with classical activation or antitumor responses (37). Interestingly, treatment with PD-1 blockade alone had no observed effect on TAMs and exhibited similar results to PBS and IgG antibody control-treated groups in the RCC (Renca) model. This suggests firstly that myeloid cells, specifically macrophages, play a role in ICB resistance and that combined CpG-STAT3ASO and anti-PD-1-mediated reprogramming of macrophages promotes antitumor immunity resulting in increased tumor growth control and a concurrent increase in CD8⁺ T-cell infiltration and CD8/Treg ratios in combination-treated tumors. Intriguingly, in Renca tumors, the CpG-STAT3ASO/anti-PD-1 combination had a superior effect on

the activation of DCs. This observation suggests that PD-1 blockade had an indirect role in DC activation likely through the mechanism involving IFNy-mediated stimulation of IL-12 production by DCs as recently reported (32). Alternatively, the improved DC activation may also result from the enhanced immunogenic cell death of cancer cells after the combined treatment. The release of damageassociated molecular patterns (e.g., mitochondrial DNA) provides additional stimuli for innate immune receptors such as TLR9 or STING/cGAS (27). Overall, our data underscore the benefit of combining myeloid cell-targeted CpG-STAT3ASO strategy with PD-1⁺ T-cell-directed immune checkpoint blockade. At the same time, it is important to note that the absence of a complete antitumor response in the RCC (Renca) model points out that further improvements of the antitumor immunity may require combinations with other PD-1 or CTLA4 immune checkpoint inhibitors, for example, TIM-3 or LAG3, which are commonly expressed in human RCC (38, 39). Beyond the effects on myeloid cell populations, we previously showed that CpG-STAT3ASO can reduce the numbers of intratumoral Tregs in prostate tumor models in mice as well as in the xenotransplanted head and neck tumors in humanized mice (23, 40). Given that CpG-STAT3ASO is not internalized by T cells, the effect of this oligonucleotide on the population of Tregs is likely indirect and likely driven by the disruption of the immunosuppressive network of myeloid cells with the reduction of STAT3 activators and Th2-/Treg-promoting cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-10 (31, 41).

Our current findings underscore the potential of using myeloid cell-targeted STAT3 inhibition to overcome resistance of genitourinary cancers, such as kidney and bladder cancers, to immunotherapy and trigger CD8 T-cell-mediated antitumor immune responses. Both CpG ONs and STAT3ASO molecules were well tolerated by patients when tested as single agents in clinical trials (42, 43). The ongoing IND-enabling safety and toxicokinetic studies of CpG-STAT3ASO will serve as the basis for a planned phase I clinical trial in patients with genitourinary cancers. We believe that this study can provide a rationale for the use of myeloid cell-selective inhibitors of STAT3 signaling alone and in combination with the already approved immune checkpoint inhibitors in order to augment therapeutic efficacy and durability of responses in patients with GU cancers and potentially also other solid tumors.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by City of Hope National Medical Center IRB committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MA: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization. WT: Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. DW: Investigation, Writing - review & editing, Methodology. DK: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. EK: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. ND: Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing review & editing. AC-R: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. LM: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. ZZ: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. JHa: Writing - review & editing. JHs: Writing - review & editing, Project administration. CE: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. DM: Methodology, Resources, Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Project administration. AH: Writing review & editing, Methodology, Resources, Funding acquisition. SP: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. MK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported in part by RD and Progress Charitable Foundations (SP and MK), the Clinical and Postdoctoral Fellowship Award W81XWH-22-1-0402 from the Department of Defense Kidney Cancer Research Program (MA), the National Cancer Institute/ National Institutes of Health award number P30CA033572 (COH). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the patients and their families for their contributions of time and samples to this work. We would like to acknowledge the dedication of staff members at the City of Hope Analytical Cytometry, DNA/RNA Synthesis, Molecular Pathology, and Pathology Cores and the Animal Resources Center.

Conflict of interest

Authors MK and DM are inventors on a patent application submitted by City of Hope that covers the design of oligonucleotides presented in this report. MK is a scientific advisor to Scopus Biopharma and Duet Biotherapeutics, companies focused on oligonucleotide-based cancer immunotherapies. AH is an employee of Duet Biotherapeutics.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Kidney and Renal Pelvis Cancer – Cancer Stat Facts (2023). Available at: https:// seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/kidrp.html.

2. Braun DA, Bakouny Z, Hirsch L, Flippot R, Van Allen EM, Wu CJ, et al. Beyond conventional immune-checkpoint inhibition - novel immunotherapies for renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2021) 18(4):199–214. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00455-z

3. Koti M, Bivalacqua T, Black PC, Cathomen T, Galsky MD, Gulley JL, et al. Adaptive immunity in genitourinary cancers. *Eur Urol Oncol* (2023) 6(3):263–72. doi: 10.1016/j.euo.2023.03.002

4. Vuky J, Balar AV, Castellano D, O'Donnell PH, Grivas P, Bellmunt J, et al. Longterm outcomes in KEYNOTE-052: phase II study investigating first-line pembrolizumab in cisplatin-ineligible patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer. J Clin Oncol (2020) 38(23):2658–66. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.01213

5. Motzer RJ, Tannir NM, McDermott DF, Arén Frontera O, Melichar B, Choueiri TK, et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab versus Sunitinib in Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 378(14):1277–90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1712126

6. Rini BI, Campbell SC, Escudier B. Renal cell carcinoma. Lancet (2009) 373 (9669):1119-32. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60229-4

7. Braun DA, Street K, Burke KP, Cookmeyer DL, Denize T, Pedersen CB, et al. Progressive immune dysfunction with advancing disease stage in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* (2021) 39(5):632–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2021.02.013

8. Pal SK, Hossain DMS, Zhang Q, Frankel PH, Jones JO, Carmichael C, et al. Pazopanib as third line therapy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: clinical efficacy and temporal analysis of cytokine profile. *J Urol* (2015) 193(4):1114–21. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2014.09.110

9. Obradovic A, Chowdhury N, Haake SM, Ager C, Wang V, Vlahos L, et al. Singlecell protein activity analysis identifies recurrence-associated renal tumor macrophages. *Cell* (2021) 184(11):2988–3005.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.038

10. Vuong L, Kotecha RR, Voss MH, Hakimi AA. Tumor microenvironment dynamics in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Discov* (2019) 9(10):1349–57. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0499

11. Ma S, Sun B, Duan S, Han J, Barr T, Zhang J, et al. YTHDF2 orchestrates tumorassociated macrophage reprogramming and controls antitumor immunity through CD8+ T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2023) 24(2):255–66. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01398-6

12. Huseni MA, Wang L, Klementowicz JE, Yuen K, Breart B, Orr C, et al. CD8+ T cell-intrinsic IL-6 signaling promotes resistance to anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy. *Cell Rep Med* (2023) 4(1):100878. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100878

13. Yuen KC, Liu L-F, Gupta V, Madireddi S, Keerthivasan S, Li C, et al. High systemic and tumor-associated IL-8 correlates with reduced clinical benefit of PD-L1 blockade. *Nat Med* (2020) 26(5):693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0860-1

14. Tobin RP, Jordan KR, Kapoor P, Spongberg E, Davis D, Vorwald VM, et al. IL-6 and IL-8 are linked with myeloid-derived suppressor cell accumulation and correlate with poor clinical outcomes in melanoma patients. *Front Oncol* (2019) 9:1223. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01223

15. Hossain DMS, Pal SK, Moreira D, Duttagupta P, Zhang Q, Won H, et al. TLR9targeted STAT3 silencing abrogates immunosuppressive activity of myeloid-derived suppressor cells from prostate cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* (2015) 21(16):3771–82. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-3145

16. Weber R, Fleming V, Hu X, Nagibin V, Groth C, Altevogt P, et al. Myeloidderived suppressor cells hinder the anti-cancer activity of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1310. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01310

17. Vasquez-Dunddel D, Pan F, Zeng Q, Gorbounov M, Albesiano E, Fu J, et al. STAT3 regulates arginase-I in myeloid-derived suppressor cells from cancer patients. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123(4):1580–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI60083

18. Yu H, Pardoll D, Jove R. STATs in cancer inflammation and immunity: a leading role for STAT3. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2009) 9(11):798-809. doi: 10.1038/nrc2734

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1274781/ full#supplementary-material

19. Bickett TE, Knitz MW, Piper M, Oweida AJ, Gadwa J, Darragh LB, et al. Dichotomous effects of cellular expression of STAT3 on tumor growth of HNSCC. *Mol Ther* (2022) 30(3):1149–62. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.11.011

20. Wang Y, Shen Y, Wang S, Shen Q, Zhou X. The role of STAT3 in leading the crosstalk between human cancers and the immune system. *Cancer Lett* (2018) 415:117–28. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2017.12.003

21. Robinson RL, Sharma A, Bai S, Heneidi S, Lee TJ, Kodeboyina SK, et al. Comparative STAT3-regulated gene expression profile in renal cell carcinoma subtypes. *Front Oncol* (2019) 9:72. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00072

22. Fraietta JA, Lacey SF, Orlando EJ, Pruteanu-Malinici I, Gohil M, Lundh S, et al. Determinants of response and resistance to CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Nat Med* (2018) 24(5):563–71. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0010-1

23. Kaminskiy Y, Melenhorst JJ. STAT3 role in T-cell memory formation. Int J Mol Sci (2022) 23(5)2878. doi: 10.3390/ijms23052878

24. Moreira D, Adamus T, Zhao X, Su Y-L, Zhang Z, White SV, et al. STAT3 inhibition combined with cpG immunostimulation activates antitumor immunity to eradicate genetically distinct castration-resistant prostate cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24(23):5948–62. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1277

25. Sakib Hossain DM, Duttagupta P, Kortylewski M. The aptamer-siRNA conjugates: reprogramming T cells for cancer therapy. *Ther Deliv* (2015) 6(1):1–4. doi: 10.4155/tde.14.92

26. Moreira D, Sampath S, Won H, White SV, Su Y-L, Alcantara M, et al. Myeloid cell-targeted STAT3 inhibition sensitizes head and neck cancers to radiotherapy and T cell-mediated immunity. *J Clin Invest* (2021) 131(2):e137001. doi: 10.1172/JCI137001

27. Hartmann G. Nucleic acid immunity. Adv Immunol (2017) 133:121-69. doi: 10.1016/bs.ai.2016.11.001

28. Johnson DE, O'Keefe RA, Grandis JR. Targeting the IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signalling axis in cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2018) 15(4):234–48. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.8

29. Hart KM, Byrne KT, Molloy MJ, Usherwood EM, Berwin B. IL-10 immunomodulation of myeloid cells regulates a murine model of ovarian cancer. *Front Immunol* (2011) 2:29. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2011.00029

30. Li Y-J, Zhang C, Martincuks A, Herrmann A, Yu H. STAT proteins in cancer: orchestration of metabolism. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2023) 23(3):115–34. doi: 10.1038/s41568-022-00537-3

31. Kortylewski M, Kujawski M, Herrmann A, Yang C, Wang L, Liu Y, et al. Toll-like receptor 9 activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 constrains its agonist-based immunotherapy. *Cancer Res* (2009) 69(6):2497–505. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3031

32. Garris CS, Arlauckas SP, Kohler RH, Trefny MP, Garren S, Piot C, et al. Successful anti-PD-1 cancer immunotherapy requires T cell-dendritic cell crosstalk involving the cytokines IFN-γ and IL-12. *Immunity* (2018) 49(6):1148–61. doi: 10.1016/ j.immuni.2018.09.024

33. Halak BK, Maguire HC, Lattime EC. Tumor-induced interleukin-10 inhibits type 1 immune responses directed at a tumor antigen as well as a non-tumor antigen present at the tumor site. *Cancer Res* (1999) 59(4):911–7.

34. Bi K, He MX, Bakouny Z, Kanodia A, Napolitano S, Wu J, et al. Tumor and immune reprogramming during immunotherapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* (2021) 39(5):649–661.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2021.02.015

35. Chevrier S, Levine JH, Zanotelli VRT, Silina K, Schulz D, Bacac M, et al. An immune atlas of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Cell* (2017) 169(4):736–749.e18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.016

36. DeNardo DG, Ruffell B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2019) 19(6):369-82. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0127-6

37. Oshi M, Tokumaru Y, Asaoka M, Yan L, Satyananda V, Matsuyama R, et al. M1 Macrophage and M1/M2 ratio defined by transcriptomic signatures resemble only part of their conventional clinical characteristics in breast cancer. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10 (1):16554. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-73624-w

38. Saliby RM, El Zarif T, Bakouny Z, Shah V, Xie W, Flippot R, et al. Circulating and intratumoral immune determinants of response to atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in patients with variant histology or sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2023) 11(8):1114–24. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-22-0996

39. Pignon J-C, Jegede O, Shukla SA, Braun DA, Horak CE, Wind-Rotolo M, et al. irRECIST for the evaluation of candidate biomarkers of response to nivolumab in metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma: analysis of a phase II prospective clinical trial. *Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 25(7):2174–84. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3206

40. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin (2020) 70 (1):7–30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21590

41. Yano H, Andrews LP, Workman CJ, Vignali DAA. Intratumoral regulatory T cells: markers, subsets and their impact on anti-tumor immunity. *Immunology* (2019) 157(3):232–47. doi: 10.1111/imm.13067

42. Adamus T, Kortylewski M. The revival of CpG oligonucleotide-based cancer immunotherapies. *Contemp Oncol (Pozn)* (2018) 22(1A):56–60. doi: 10.5114/ wo.2018.73887

43. Hong D, Kurzrock R, Kim Y, Woessner R, Younes A, Nemunaitis J, et al. AZD9150, a next-generation antisense oligonucleotide inhibitor of STAT3 with early evidence of clinical activity in lymphoma and lung cancer. *Sci Transl Med* (2015) 7 (314):314ra185. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aac5272