### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maria Teresa Sabrina Bertilaccio, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Francesca Cottini, The Ohio State University, United States Xinyang Zhao, University of Kansas Medical Center, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ngoc Tung Tran Ingtran@iu.edu

#### <sup>†</sup>PRESENT ADDRESSES

Francesco DiMeo, Blood and Marrow Transplantation and Cellular Immunotherapy, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, United States Fabiana Perna, Blood and Marrow Transplantation and Cellular Immunotherapy, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, United States

RECEIVED 13 June 2023 ACCEPTED 11 July 2023 PUBLISHED 04 August 2023

#### CITATION

Nguyen HP, Le AQ, Liu E, Cesarano A, DiMeo F, Perna F, Kapur R, Walker BA and Tran NT (2023) Protein arginine methyltransferase 1 is a therapeutic vulnerability in multiple myeloma. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1239614. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1239614

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Nguyen, Le, Liu, Cesarano, DiMeo, Perna, Kapur, Walker and Tran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Protein arginine methyltransferase 1 is a therapeutic vulnerability in multiple myeloma

Hong Phuong Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Anh Quynh Le<sup>1</sup>, Enze Liu<sup>2,3</sup>, Annamaria Cesarano<sup>4</sup>, Francesco DiMeo<sup>4†</sup>, Fabiana Perna<sup>4†</sup>, Reuben Kapur<sup>1</sup>, Brian A. Walker<sup>2,3</sup> and Ngoc Tung Tran<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Herman B Wells Center for Pediatric Research, Department of Pediatrics, Indiana School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, United States, <sup>2</sup>Melvin and Bren Simon Comprehensive Cancer Center, Division of Hematology and Oncology, School of Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, United States, <sup>3</sup>Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, School of Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, United States, <sup>4</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, United States

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a devastating plasma cell malignancy characterized by the expansion of aberrant monoclonal plasma cells in the bone marrow, leading to severe clinical manifestations and poor prognosis, particularly in relapsed/ refractory cases. Identifying novel therapeutic targets is crucial to improve treatment outcomes in these patients. In this study, we investigated the role of the protein arginine methyltransferase 1 (PRMT1) in MM pathogenesis and explored its potential as a therapeutic target. We observed that PRMT1, responsible for most asymmetric di-methylation in cells, exhibited the highest expression among PRMT family members in MM cell lines and primary MM cells. Importantly, PRMT1 expression was significantly elevated in relapsed/refractory patients compared to newly diagnosed patients. High expression of PRMT1 expression was strongly associated with poor prognosis. We found that genetic or enzymatic inhibition of PRMT1 impaired MM cell growth, induced cell cycle arrest, and triggered cell death. Treatment with MS023, a potent PRMT type I inhibitor, demonstrated a robust inhibitory effect on the viability of primary cells isolated from newly diagnosed and proteasome inhibitor-relapsed/ refractory patients in a dose-dependent manner. Suppression of PRMT1 downregulated genes related to cell division and upregulated genes associated with apoptosis pathway. We also found that genes related to immune response and lymphocyte activation were significantly upregulated in PRMT1-suppressed cells. Notably, the activation status of T cells was strikingly enhanced upon coculturing with PRMT1-KO MM cells. In vivo studies using a xenograft model revealed that targeting PRMT1 by either CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout or MS023 treatment significantly attenuated MM tumor growth and prolonged the survival of tumor-bearing mice. Histological analysis further confirmed increased apoptotic cell death in MS023-treated tumors. Collectively, our findings establish PRMT1 as an indispensable and novel therapeutic vulnerability in MM. The

elevated expression of PRMT1 in relapsed/refractory patients underscores its potential as a target for overcoming treatment resistance. Moreover, our results highlight the efficacy of MS023 as a promising therapeutic agent against MM, offering new avenues for therapeutic approaches in relapsed/refractory MM.

KEYWORDS

multiple myeloma, PRMT1, targeted therapy, relapsed/refractory myeloma, xenograft model

## Introduction

Multiple Myeloma (MM) is a severe hematological malignancy with typical CRAB (Calcium elevation, Renal insufficiency, Anemia, and Bone lesions) symptoms (1). Driven by intrinsic genomic abnormalities, MM progresses from a pre-malignant stage (monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance) to malignant disease (intramedullary and extramedullary MM) (2). Despite current advanced therapeutic treatment, relapsed patients are incurable (3). Thus, identifying novel targets vulnerable to MM is an unmet clinical need.

Protein Arginine Methyltransferases (PRMTs) catalyze the addition of one or two methyl groups onto the guanidine nitrogen atoms of arginine residues of proteins (4). PRMT1 is a type I PRMTs that is responsible for the majority of asymmetric dimethylated modifications in the cells (5). PRMT1 is a critical regulator of transcription, translation, RNA splicing, signal transduction, and protein homeostasis (6). Aberrant expression of *PRMT1* is strongly correlated with poor outcomes of both solid tumors and hematologic malignancies (7–9). However, how *PRMT1* contributes to MM pathology is virtually unknown.

Exploiting the publicly available transcriptomic data, we found that, among PRMTs, PRMT1 expression is highest in MM cell lines and primary MM patient cells. Intriguingly, expression of PRMT1 in relapsed/refractory patients is significantly higher than that of in newly diagnostic patient, indicating that PRMT1 might be a vulnerability for relapsed/refractory MM. We also found that patients that highly express PRMT1 are strongly associated with poor survival (both progression-free and overall survival). Suppression of PRMT1 by genetic (CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout) or pharmaceutical (inhibitor) approaches impairs MM cell growth, arrests cell cycle, and enhances cell dead. Using xenograft models, we found that targeting PRMT1 genetically or pharmaceutically attenuates the MM tumor growth and mitigates the tumor burdens in vivo. Additionally, a PRMT1 inhibitor strikingly decreased the viability of primary MM cells isolated from patients. Unbiased transcriptomic analysis showed that PRMT1 suppression downregulated genes in the cell division pathway and upregulated the apoptosis signature. We also found a striking increases in genes related to the lymphocyte activation and immune response in PRMT1 suppressed cells. Of note, we found PRTM1-suppressed cells induce T cell activation.

Collectively, we demonstrated that *PRMT1* is indispensable for MM cells, and targeting *PRMT1* provides a novel therapeutic approach to treating MM patients.

## Materials and methods

### Cell culture

MM cell lines JJN3, KMS11, and MM1S were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Corning), 2 mM L-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, and 50 $\mu$ M  $\beta$ -Mercaptoethanol (Sigma). Cells were maintained in an incubator with 5% CO2 at 37oC.

To generate Cas9-expressing MM cells, we infected MM cells with lentivirus carrying Cas9 and mCherry as a reporter (Addgene 70182). mCherry-positive cells were sorted out by FACS sorter (FACSFusion, BD). To generate inducible knockout MM cells, Cas9-expressing cells were infected with lentivirus carrying sgRNAs and puromycin-resistant gene (Addgene, 104321). Infected cells were selected with a medium containing puromycin (0.5-1 $\mu$ g/ml) for at least one week. For inducing the expression of sgRNAs, cells were cultured in the medium supplemented with doxycycline (1 $\mu$ g/ml) into the culture medium. 3-4 days after inductions, cells were harvested for other cellular assays.

Human CD138+ cells from MM patients (newly diagnosed and relapsed/refractory patients that were treated with proteasome inhibitors) were generously provided by the Indiana Myeloma Registry. Cells were cultured in MM medium and treated with MS023 (MedChemExpress) at different concentrations. Cell viability was assessed after 48h of treatment.

CB-CD34 cells were cultured in SFEMII media supplemented with cytokines (stem cell factor (SCF), FLT-3 ligand, interleukin (IL)-3, IL-6, IL-9, and IL-11) (Peprotech) for maintaining and experiments.

### Western blot

Cells were harvested, washed with PBS once, and resuspended into RIPA buffer (Sigma)  $(10^6 \text{ cells/ml})$  supplemented with

proteasome inhibitor cocktail (Millipore). Cells were incubated on ice for 30 minutes and spun at 20,000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was collected as whole cell lysate. Protein was quantified by standard Bradford assay (Biorad). 10-40µg of proteins was used for western blot following a standard protocol. PRMT1 protein was detected by rabbit anti-human PRMT1 antibody (07-404, Millipore). Mono-methyl arginine antibody (8015S) and asymmetric di-methyl arginine antibodies (13522S) were purchased from cell signaling. GAPDH (Proteintech) was used as a loading control.

### Coculture of T cells and myeloma cells

T cells were isolated from healthy donor using CD3 magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD3 T cells ( $10^6$  cells) were activated with  $30\mu$ l of CD3/CD28 beads (Invitrogen) in T cell medium (X-VIVO medium +5% FBS+1% penicillin/streptomycin supplemented with human IL2 400U/ml (Peprotech) for 3-4 days. Magnetic beads were removed, and T cells were stained with cell trace (CFSE, Invitrogen), following manufacturer's manual. Irradiated myeloma cells were plated into the T cell culture at a ratio of 1 myeloma: 2 T cells. For non-contact co-culture of T cells and MM cells, MM cells were cultured in the upper chamber of transwell insert (pore size, 3  $\mu$ M, #09-761-80, Thermo Fisher) in U-bottom 96 well plate. 4 days activated T cells were cultured in the lower chambers of 96-well transwell plate. 48 hours later, cells were stained with CD3-FITC, CD25-APC, and CD69-PE (Biolegend), and analyzed by flow cytometry (Atune NxT, Invitrogen).

### Cell cycle analysis

Cells were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. Fixed cells were washed 3 times with cold PBS and resuspended into a staining buffer containing 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml RNase A (Millipore) and Propidium Iodide (PI, 50  $\mu$ g/ml, Sigma). Cells were incubated at 4°C overnight and analyzed by flow cytometry (Atune NxT, Invitrogen).

### Cell apoptosis

Cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS buffer prior to resuspending in binding buffer (0.1M Hepes pH 7.4, 1.4M NaCl, 25 mM CaCl2 solution). The cells were stained with Annexin V-FITC (Biolegend) and PI for 15min at RT. The cells were analyzed by using flow cytometry (Atune NxT).

## Cellular inhibition assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at 2x10<sup>4</sup> cells/well for overnight. The cells were treated with drugs at different concentrations for 48 h. Cell viability was measured using a CellTiter-Glo kit 2.0 (Promega). The inhibition rates of the test compounds were calculated based on the dimethyl sulfoxide (vehicle)-treated group and negative control (Media only). The inhibitory activity of the tested compounds was evaluated by a dose–response curve (DRC) analysis in triplicates. The IC50 values were calculated using Prism v9.5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA).

## RNA sequencing

Wildtype JJN3, PRMT1-KO JJN3, and MS023 treated JJN3 cells were harvested for RNA isolation. Total RNA was sequenced to >60 million read pairs were sequenced per sample. Fastqc was used for analyzing read quality while trimmomatic was utilized for removing identified low-quality reads and adapters. Passing reads were further aligned to Hg38 genome and Gencode V35 (hg38) genomic annotation by STAR3. 'Two-pass' mode was applied to ensure maximum alignment quality. On average 82.87% of reads were passed and uniquely aligned. Transcriptome was quantified by Salmon4 (Quasi-mapping mode) using Hg38 reference Gentrome (a combined reference of Hg38 reference genome and Gencode V35 transcriptome) with the following parameters (K-mer=31, standard EM algorithm and 'ValidateMappings'). Quantified read counts were further normalized to transcript-per-million (TPM) on transcript level and gene-level.

### Differential gene expression

Log2(TPM+1) transformation was conducted to rescale expression levels. Limma was subsequently applied to identify differentially expressed genes and estimate their log-fold changes. Limma5 conducted moderated t-tests to normalize the batch effect and correct the differential expression. A set of thresholds with a false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05, absolute log2-fold-change >0.48 (fold change >1.4 or <0.71), and log2(TPM+1)>1 in comparisons was applied to identify significantly and differentially expressed genes.

### Pathway analysis

Pathway enrichment analysis was performed by GSEA (10) for differentially expressed genes. 'Hallmark cancer', 'KEGG' and 'Gene Ontology Biological Process' were used as the pathway sets from MGigDB (11). Significantly dysregulated pathways were further identified with P<0.05. Bubble plots were generated using "Clusterprofiler" (12).

### Xenograft model

NOD. Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NSG) mice were bred and maintained in the *In Vivo* Therapeutics Core (IVT) at Indiana University School of Medicine. All the experiments were performed according to the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mycoplasma-negative MM cell lines  $(5x10^{6} \text{ cells})$  were resuspended in 200ul phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and injected subcutaneously into the right flank of NSG mice (8-10 weeks old). Tumor growth was monitored using calipers. Tumor-bearding mice were sacrificed when tumor volume reached 2000mm<sup>3</sup>.

For MS023 treatment, 10 days after tumor inoculation, mice were randomized based on their tumor volumes. A total of four doses of MS023 80mg/kg (MedChemExpress) was intraperitoneally injected into the mice every other day. Tumor growth was monitored using calipers. Tumor-bearding mice were sacrificed when the tumor volume reached 2000mm<sup>3</sup>. When the mice in the vehicle group reached the humane endpoint, tumors were harvested, paraffin-embedded, sectioned, and stained for Hematoxylin & Eosin, and cleaved Caspase 3 (Cell Signaling, # 9664S). The percentage of positive area for the cleaved Caspase 3 was quantified by using Image J.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed using Prism 9.0 (GraphPad) using a paired two-tailed Student's t-test, or one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA. \*\*\*\*P <0.0001; \*\*\* P<0.001, \*\* P<0.01. For each experiment, at least three independent experiments were performed. Data are shown as mean values. The number of biological replicates for each experiment is shown in the figure legends and shown as data points in the figures.

### Survival analysis

Survival analysis was conducted using annotations of 598 newly diagnosed MM samples in the MMRF compass dataset (IA16). The Kaplan-Meier plot was used for displaying the survival probability while the log-rank test was performed for measuring the survival difference.

## Results

# *PRMT1* is highly expressed in MM cells and is associated with poor prognosis

Aberrant expression of protein arginine methyltransferases (PRMTs) has been strongly associated with many cancers. We leveraged publicly available transcriptomic data from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia and analyzed the expression levels of PRMTs in MM cell lines. Our analysis revealed that *PRMT1* is the most highly expressed gene among the investigated PRMTs across a wide range of MM cell lines (Figure 1A). Furthermore, we examined transcriptomic data from MM patients obtained from the Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation CoMMpass study and found that *PRMT1* is expressed at significantly higher levels than the other members (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the expression level of *PRMT1* was significantly higher in relapsed/refractory patients compared to newly diagnosed patients (Figure 1C), suggesting

potential functions of *PRMT1* in relapsed/refractory development. Importantly, patients with high expression levels of PRMT1 were associated with poor survival rates, as indicated by both progression-free and overall survival analyses (Figure 1D). These findings strongly suggest that *PRMT1* may play an important role in the progression of MM.

### CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of PRMT1 impairs MM cell growth, arrests cell cycle, and enhances cell death

To evaluate the functions of PRMT1 in multiple myeloma (MM) cells, we generated inducible knockout MM cell lines using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Initially, we established MM cell lines stably expressing the Cas9 gene. Subsequently, we designed a set of single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting PRMT1 using the CrispRGold program (13) and validated their targeting efficiencies using T7E1 assay and western blot (Supplementary Figure 1). We selected the two most efficient sgRNAs (sgPRMT1-1 and sgPRMT1-5) to generate inducible knockout cell lines. The sgRNAs were cloned into an inducible lentiviral vector. Cas9-expressing MM cells were infected with lentivirus expressing inducible sgRNAs. Stable cell lines were selected prior to experiments. To knockout PRMT1, we supplemented doxycycline (Dox) into the medium. Three days later, cells were used for functional assays. In the presence of Dox, sgPRMT1-1 induced the deletion of PRMT1 protein more efficiently than sgPRMT1-5 in all tested cell lines (Figure 2A). Notably, we observed that the knockout of PRMT1 by sgPRMT1-1 exhibited a stronger inhibitory effect on MM cell growth than sgPRMT1-5, indicating a dose-dependent effect of PRMT1 (Figure 2A). We further assessed the cell cycle profile of PRMT1-KO MM cells and found that the deletion of PRMT1 significantly reduced numbers of cells in S phase (Figures 2B, C). Additionally, the proportion of sub-G<sub>0</sub> phase cells in PRMT1-KO cells was strikingly elevated compared to control cells (Figures 2D, E). We also performed Annexin V staining and found that deletion of PRMT1 robustly induced both cell death and apoptotic cell (Supplemental Figure 2). Collectively, these data demonstrated that deletion of PRMT1 in MM cells resulted in impaired cell growth, arrested cell cycle, and enhanced cell death.

# MS023, a type I PRMTs inhibitor, recapitulates the *PRMT1* KO cells *in vitro*

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeting of *PRMT1* might not be clinically relevant so we sought to exploit a PRMT1 inhibitor that could be used to target *PRMT1 in vivo*. MS023 is a specific inhibitor for type I PRMTs and has a strong affinity to PRMT1 (14). After 3 days of treatment, MS023 exhibits a strong inhibitory effect for global arginineasymmetric di-methylation as well as accumulation of arginine monomethylation in MM cells (Figure 3A). Next, we assessed the effects of MS023 in MM cells. MM cell lines were treated with MS023 at different concentrations. Cells were harvested at different time points to analyze cell growth, cell cycle and cell death. We found that MS023 significantly Nguyen et al.



impaired the MM cell growth in the dose-dependent manners. Notably, MS023 only exhibits a mild inhibitory effect on the human CD34<sup>+</sup> cells (Figure 3B). Consistent with the *PRMT1* KO data, we found that suppression of the enzymatic activity of PRMT1 significantly decreased proportions of S-phase cells (Figure 3C, Supplemental Figure 3B). When staining with Annexin V, we found that MS023 induced apoptotic cells in dose-dependent manner (Figure 3D, Supplemental Figure 3). Collectively, these data indicate that *PRMT1* plays an indispensable role in the growth and survival of MM cells.

# Suppression of *PRMT1* induces cell death in primary cells from MM patients

Next, we investigated the inhibitory potential of MS023 in primary cells obtained from patients diagnosed with multiple myeloma (MM). Considering the limited ex vivo proliferation of primary MM cells in standard culture medium, we employed the CellTiter-Glo luminescent kit to evaluate cell viability based on ATP production. We procured primary MM cells from four newly diagnosed patients and four patients who had relapsed after prior treatment with a proteasome inhibitor. These cells were treated with varying concentrations of MS023, and subsequent cell viability assays were performed to assess the impact of the compound on MM cell survival. We found that MS023 exerted a robust dose-dependent inhibitory effect on both newly diagnosed and relapsed/refractory primary MM cells (Figure 4A). Notably, the patient-derived cells displayed diverse sensitivity to MS023, as depicted in Supplementary Figure 4. Furthermore, we determined the IC50 values of MS023 and bortezomib for the patient cells. As expected, cells isolated from relapsed patients exhibited considerable resistance to bortezomib. While there was a modest trend towards increased resistance to MS023 in relapsed cells compared to newly diagnosed cells, this difference was not statistically significant (Figure 4B)

Collectively, these findings underscore the potent inhibitory activity of MS023 on primary MM cells, highlighting its potential as a promising therapeutic agent in the treatment of MM, particularly in patients with relapsed/refractory disease who have exhibited resistance to conventional therapies.



#### FIGURE 2

Knockout of PRMT1s impairs MM cell growth, arrests cell cycle, and induces cell death. (A) Growth curves of 3 different MM cell lines (JJN3, KMS11, and MM1S) upon knockout of *PRMT1* by two different sgRNAs (iPRMT1\_1, and iPRMT1\_5). Western blot data (on top of each growth curve) shows the knockout efficiency of *PRMT1* in MM cells for each sgRNAs. (B) Representative FACS blot shows cell cycle profile of MM cell lines upon knockout of *PRMT1* by two different sgRNAs. (C) Bar graph depicts mean values of percentage of S phase cells from three independent biological replicates. (D) FACS blot describes the representative cell death (Sub G0 phase) profile of MM cell lines. (E) Bar graph shows the mean values of percentage of Sub-G0 phase cells from biological triplicates. P values were calculated using one-way Anova (C, D) or two-way Anova (A) tests are shown as \*\*\*\*P<0.0001, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*\*P<0.01 and \*P<0.05. Data represent as means of three independent replicates.

# Global transcriptomic change in *PRMT1* suppressed cells

To understand how PRMT1 regulates MM growth, we assessed the global transcriptomic change of the JJN3 cells upon either deletion of PRMT1 or treatment with MS023. To delete PRMT1, cells were induced with doxycycline for 3 days, and the harvested for RNA sequencing. After 3 days of induction, PRMT1 was efficiently deleted (Figure 5A). We also treated cells with MS023 for 3 days and collected treated cells for RNA sequencing. 3 days of MS023 treatment showed striking reduction of arginine dimethylation and accumulation of arginine mono-methylation in MM cells (Figure 3A). From RNA sequencing, we found that while there were 491 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the cells treated with MS023 compared to DMSO-treated cells, there were only 95 DEGs in PRMT1-KO cells compared to PRMT1 wildtype cells, with 10 genes overlapping (Figure 5A). Pathway analysis and gene set enrichment analysis showed that MS023 significantly suppressed genes associated with cell division: G1/S transition (CDC7), spindle assembly (ERCC6L and ASPM), centromere

structure and function (CENPA, CENPE, and LRRCC1), Kinetochore complex (SPC25 and KNL1), mitotic regulators (TPPP, PSRC1, FAM83D, SGO1, and SGO2), Kinesin family (KIF4A, KIF20A, KIF20B, and KIF14 proliferation-related genes (KIT and POU3F2) (Figure 5C, Supplementary Figure 5D). Both PRMT1-KO and MS023-treated cells activated genes related to the cell death programs (Figures 5B, C). Intriguingly, we found pathways related to immune responses, lymphocyte activation, and T cell activation were significantly upregulated in PRMT1suppressed MM cells (Figures 5B-E). We also found that MHC class II genes (HLA-DQ, DM, and DO) were significantly upregulated upon PRMT1 depletion. This data indicates that PRMT1 might play a role in suppressing the antigen presentation of MM cells to immune cells. Collectively, these data led us to the hypothesis that PRMT1 might play a role in T cell suppression upon interacting with MM cells. To test this hypothesis, we performed the T cell and MM cell coculture experiments (15). Briefly, human T cells were activated and cultured in the medium containing human IL2 for 4 days. We stained activated T cells with Cell-trace dye (CSFE) and then co-cultured with either irradiated wildtype JJN3 or



FIGURE 3

Suppression of the enzymatic activity of *PRMT1* exhibits a strong anti-MM effect. (A) Western blot showing the effects of MS023 on the total asymmetric arginine di-methylation (ADMA) and Arginine mono-methylation (MMA) in three different MM cell lines with different concentrations for 3 days. (B) growth curve of MM cell lines and human CD34+ cells upon treated with MS023 with different concentrations. (C) Summary of S-phase percentage of MM cell lines upon treated with MS023 for 3 days. (D) Bar graph summarizes the apoptotic profiles of MM cell lines upon treated with MS023. Data are shown as mean values of biological triplicates. P values were calculated using one-way Anova (C, D) and two-way Anova (B) tests are shown in the graph or as \*\*\*\*P<0.0001, \*\*P<0.001, and \*P<0.05.



### FIGURE 4

Inhibitory effects of MS023 on primary cells isolated from MM patients. (A) Cell viability assay for primary cells treated with MS023 at different concentration for 2 days: newly diagnosed patient (ND) and relapsed/refractory patients (RR). (B) IC50 ( $\mu$ M) of MS023 indicates the sensitivity of primary patients with MS023. Each dot represents for one patient (other primary patient samples in Supplementary Figure S4B).



PRM11. (B) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of DEGs in PRM11-KO cells. (C) GSEA of DEGs in MM cells treated with MS023. Heat map present the expression of DEGs in MS023-treated MM cells (D) and *PRMT1*-KO cells. (F) Graph depicts the percentage of CD69+ T cells (left) and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD69 (right) either cultured alone or cocultured with MM cells (wildtype and *PRMT1*-KO cells). (G) Bar graph describes the percentage of CD25+ T cells (left) and the MFI of CD25 (right) either cultured alone or cocultured alone or cocultured with irradiated MM cells (wildtype and *PRMT1*-KO cells). (G) Bar graph describes the percentage of CD25+ T cells (left) and the MFI of CD25 (right) either cultured alone or cocultured with irradiated MM cells (wildtype and *PRMT1*-KO cells) for 2 days. Data are shown as mean values. P values calculated using one-way Anova test and are shown in the graph or as \*\*\*\*P<0.0001, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*P<0.001 and \*P<0.05.

*PRMT1*-KO JJN3 cells with a ratio of 1 MM cells and 2 T cells. After 2 days of co-culture, we assessed the proliferation and activation status of T cells. CD69 (16, 17) and CD25 (18, 19) are well-known marker of activated T cells. Thus, we will use these surface markers to assess the activation status of T cells upon co-culture with MM cells. We found that coculture with MM cells (either wildtype or *PRMT1*-KO) did not enhance the proliferation of activated T cells compared to T cell only (Supplementary Figure 5A). However, when we looked at the expression of CD69 and CD25, T cell activation markers, we found that the expression of CD25 and CD69 (percentage of positive cells and the mean fluorescence

intensity, MFI) in T cells cocultured with MM cells were strongly enhanced in comparison to that of T cell cultured without MM cells. Notably, *PRMT1*-KO cells induced the expression of both CD69 and CD25 significantly stronger than the wildtype cells (Figures 5F, G, Supplementary Figure 5B). To address whether this effect is cell contact-dependent, we set up a co-culture experiments using the trans-well system. Briefly, the activated T cells were cultured in bottom chamber of transwell plate, while MM cells (wildtype or PRMT1-KO) were seeded on the upper chamber. With this setup, there was no direct interaction of MM cells and T cells. After 2 days of co-culture, we assessed the activation markers of T cells (CD25 and CD69). We found that there was no significant difference in the activation status of T cell co-cultured with MM cells compared to T cells cultured without MM cells (Supplementary Figure 6). Collectively, these data suggest the potential role of *PRMT1* inhibition in T cell-mediated immunotherapy.

### PRMT1 inhibition exhibits anti-MM activity in vivo

We have successfully demonstrated the essential role of *PRMT1* in MM cells *in vitro*. Building upon these findings, we aimed to evaluate the *in vivo* anti-MM effects of *PRMT1* suppression. To accomplish this, we employed a well-established xenograft model by implanting MM cell lines into the flank of NOD-scid IL2R $\gamma^{null}$  (NSG) mice. Cells were expanded, induced with Dox, and implanted into the flank of NSG mice (Figure 6A). Tumor volumes were closely monitored until the humane endpoints were met. Our experiments utilizing different MM cell lines consistently showed that knockout of PRMT1 significantly delayed MM tumor progression *in vivo* and extended the survival of tumor-bearing mice (Figure 6B, C, Supplementary Figure 6).

Subsequently, we assessed the therapeutic efficacy of MS023 using the same xenograft model. After expanding MM cells and implanting them into the flank of NSG mice, we initiated drug treatment based on tumor size 10 days post-inoculation (Figure 6D). Notably, treatment with MS023 at a dose of 80 mg/ kg resulted in significant suppression of tumor growth *in vivo* (Figures 6E, F). To gain further insights into the underlying mechanisms, we collected tumors from both the vehicle and MS023 treatment groups for histological examination. Hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed disrupted extracellular matrix and cytoplasmic structures in MS023-treated tumors (Figure 6G). Moreover, we observed an enriched signal of cleaved Caspase 3 in the MS023-treated tumors (Figures 6H, I), indicating induction of MM cell apoptosis and subsequent suppression of tumor growth by MS023 *in vivo*.

In conclusion, our study highlights *PRMT1* as a novel vulnerability in MM cells. Notably, *PRMT1* is highly expressed in relapsed/refractory MM patients, further emphasizing its clinical relevance. Targeting *PRMT1* presents a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of relapsed/refractory MM, offering new avenues for effective interventions in this challenging clinical context. Further investigations are warranted to elucidate the precise mechanisms underlying *PRMT1*-mediated MM pathogenesis and to optimize the therapeutic potential of *PRMT1* inhibition in MM management.

## Discussion

Our study provides compelling evidence for the crucial role of *PRMT1* in the pathogenesis of MM and highlights its potential as a therapeutic target. We are the first to show the direct link of *PRMT1* in multiple myeloma. We found that *PRMT1* is the highest expressed gene among PRMTs in MM cell lines as well as primary patient cells.

Importantly, elevated *PRMT1* expression is strongly correlated with poor prognosis in MM patients, further emphasizing the clinical significance of gene. Notably, we observed a substantial increase in *PRMT1* expression in relapsed/refractory patients compared to newly diagnosed patients. This finding suggests that *PRMT1* may contribute to disease progression and treatment resistance, making it an attractive target for therapeutic intervention.

Emerging evidence has shown the direct link of PRMTs in cancer biology (7, 20). PRMT1 is the most predominant enzyme in the family and plays a multifaced roles in both normal hematopoiesis and hematological malignancies (20). We previously showed that PRMT1 regulates the splicing via directly methylating RBM15 in acute megakaryoplastic leukemia (21). We also demonstrated that PRMT1 regulates the megakaryopoiesis via methylated DUSP4 leading to DUSP4 degradation and activation of p38 MAPK (22). PRMT1 interacts with BTG2 to modulate the pre-B cell differentiation via methylation of CDK4 (23). Although PRMT1 is crucial for the mature B-cell activation and differentiation, but not for their development and distribution in the mouse spleen. Moreover, PRMT1 is essential in B cells for several processes involved in humoral immunity (24) and for their functionality, as Prmt1<sup>-/-</sup> mice display defects in the antibody response from T-cell-independent, but not from T-celldependent, antigen stimulation (25). Along this line, we found that PRMT1 plays an important role in regulating the activation of T cells upon co-culturing with MM cells.

Our study for the first time showed the important role of PRMT type I in MM progression. Among the PRMT family members, *PRMT5*, a type II PRMT, has been identified as a known vulnerability in MM cells. However, the roles of other PRMTs in MM pathology remain largely unknown. *PRMT5* targets TRIM21 leading to inhibition of NF-kB pathway, a pathological pathway of MM cells (26). It has been reported that PRMT5 regulates pyroptosis via inhibiting the CASP1 in myeloma cells (27). Further study will focus on identifying the underlying mechanisms on how *PRMT1* controls the pathogenesis of MM.

To investigate the functional role of PRMT1 in MM, we employed genetic knockout and enzymatic inhibition approaches. Our results revealed that loss of PRMT1 function significantly impairs cell growth, induces cell cycle arrest, and enhances cell death. These findings highlight the critical involvement of PRMT1 in promoting MM cell proliferation and survival. Moreover, our study provides mechanistic insights into the underlying processes regulated by PRMT1, suggesting its involvement in key cellular pathways associated with MM pathogenesis. Our unbiased transcriptomic analysis demonstrated that PRMT1 regulates key genes related to cell division in MM cells. PRMT1 is known to directly methylated cell cycle regulators in different cell contexts. PRMT1 methylates CDK4, preventing formation of CDK4-cyclin-D3 complex and cell cycle progression in pre-B cells (23). Methylation of E2F1 by PRMT1 hinders the PRMT5-mediated methylation, augmenting E2F1-dependent apoptosis in SAOS2 cells (28). Our data, in contrast, demonstrated that PRMT1 is critical for cell cycle of MM cells, indicating the cell-dependent functions of PRMT1. We will identify direct substrates of PRMT1 in MM cells in our future works.



#### FIGURE 6

Suppression of *PRMT1* attenuates MM tumor growth *in vivo*. (A) Experimental scheme of MM xenograft model for *PRMT1*-KO cells. Tumor volumes of in the mice injected with JJN3 (B) or MM1S (C) WT cells (control) and *PRMT1*-KO cells (left, n=5), Kaplan-Meier survival curve of mice injected with WT and *PRMT1*-KO cells. (D) Experimental scheme of MM xenograft model for MS023 treatment. Tumor volumes at different time points of vehicle group (N=5) and MS023-80mg/kg group (n=5) in mice injected with JJN3 cells (E) and MM1S (F) cells. (G) Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining of tumor sections. (H) immunohistochemistry staining for the cleavage of Caspase 3 (cCasp3). (I) Bar graph summarizes the cCasp3 positive area in the stained slides. Data are shown as mean values. P values calculated using two-way Anova test and T test (I) are shown in the graph or as \*\*\*\*P<0.0001, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*P<0.001 and \*P<0.05.

Interesting, we found several pathways related to immune response, lymphocyte activation, and T cell activation were significantly upregulated upon PRMT1 suppression. The fact that the expression of the MHC class II genes was increased in PRMT1-KO cells indicates that PRMT1 might play a role in suppression of antigen presentation to T cells and other immune cells. Further studies to elucidate functions of PRMT1 in antigen presentation or the efficacy of targeting PRMT1 in immunotherapy is greatly interested.

Importantly, we evaluated the therapeutic potential of targeting *PRMT1* in MM using *in vivo* xenograft models. Through pharmacological inhibition of *PRMT1* with MS023, we observed a significant suppression of MM tumor growth *in vivo*. Histological analysis of the treated tumors revealed disrupted extracellular matrix and cytoplasmic structures, accompanied by increased apoptotic cell death. These findings underscore the effectiveness of *PRMT1* inhibition as a promising strategy for MM therapy, particularly in relapsed/refractory cases where alternative treatment options are limited. GSK3368715 is a potent oral PRMT type I inhibitor that exhibits strong anti-cancer activity *in vivo* in many preclinical models (29–31). This inhibitor was used in the clinical trial for solid tumors and diffused large B-cell lymphoma (NCT03666988). Our future study will exploit this inhibitor in xenograft model and patient-derived xenograft model.

The identification of *PRMT1* as a vulnerability in MM opens new avenues for therapeutic interventions. Targeting *PRMT1* not only impacts cell growth and survival but also holds the potential to overcome treatment resistance observed in relapsed/refractory patients. Future studies should focus on elucidating the precise molecular mechanisms by which *PRMT1* contributes to MM pathogenesis, exploring its crosstalk with other signaling pathways, and assessing the efficacy of PRMT1 inhibitors in combination with existing therapeutic modalities.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, GSE234807.

## **Ethics statement**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

# Author contributions

NTT conceived and developed the project. NTT, HN, and AL designed, performed, and analyzed experiments. EL, and BW oversaw and analyzed RNA sequencing data. AC, FD, and FP provided cell lines and assisted with T cell co-culture. RK assisted

the revision. NTT wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

CCSG P30 provides a discount on all PMTC mice & services (P30CA082709). Support partially provided by the Indiana University Simon Comprehensive Cancer Center.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Karen Pollok and her staff in the Preclinical Modeling and Therapeutics Core (PMTC) for the help of carrying out the xenograft model. We acknowledge the Flow Cytometry Core facility for assisting with flow cytometry-related works. The Indiana Myeloma Registry is funded in part by support from the Indiana University Precision Health Initiative, Miles for Myeloma, the Harry and Edith Gladstein Chair, and the Omar Barham Fighting Cancer Fund.

# Conflict of interest

Author BW reports unrelated grants from Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, and Leukemia and Lymphoma Society during the conduct of the study. Author NTT is an inventor on a patent application related to this work filed by the MDC European patent application no. 21192657.1-1111, filed 23 August 2021. The patent is not related to the works in the manuscript. Author FP is an inventor on patents related to adoptive cell therapies, held by Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center some licensed to Takeda and Indiana University. Research funding from Lonza and NGMBiopharmaceuticals. Scientific advisory board for BioLegend and Orbitaltx Indiana.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1239614/ full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2004) 351(18):1860–73. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra041875

2. Palumbo A, Anderson K. Multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2011) 364(11):1046–60. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1011442

3. Kunacheewa C, Orlowski RZ. New drugs in multiple myeloma. *Annu Rev Med* (2019) 70:521–47. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-112017-091045

4. Bedford MT, Clarke SG. Protein arginine methylation in mammals: who, what, and why. *Mol Cell* (2009) 33(1):1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.12.013

5. Tang J, Frankel A, Cook RJ, Kim S, Paik WK, Williams KR, et al. PRMT1 is the predominant type I protein arginine methyltransferase in mammalian cells. *J Biol Chem* (2000) 275(11):7723–30. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.11.7723

6. Yang Y, Bedford MT. Protein arginine methyltransferases and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2013) 13(1):37–50. doi: 10.1038/nrc3409

7. Hwang JW, Cho Y, Bae GU, Kim SN, Kim YK. Protein arginine methyltransferases: promising targets for cancer therapy. *Exp Mol Med* (2021) 53 (5):788-808. doi: 10.1038/s12276-021-00613-y

8. Jarrold J, Davies CC. PRMTs and arginine methylation: cancer's best-kept secret? Trends Mol Med (2019) 25(11):993-1009. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2019.05.007

9. Xu J, Richard S. Cellular pathways influenced by protein arginine methylation: Implications for cancer. *Mol Cell* (2021) 81(21):4357-68. doi: 10.1016/ j.molcel.2021.09.011

10. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2005) 102(43):15545–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506580102

11. Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdottir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst* (2015) 1(6):417–25. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004

12. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* (2012) 16(5):284-7. doi: 10.1089/ omi.2011.0118

13. Chu VT, Graf R, Wirtz T, Weber T, Favret J, Li X, et al. Efficient CRISPRmediated mutagenesis in primary immune cells using CrispRGold and a C57BL/6 Cas9 transgenic mouse line. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2016) 113(44):12514–9. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1613884113

14. Eram MS, Shen Y, Szewczyk M, Wu H, Senisterra G, Li F, et al. A potent, selective, and cell-active inhibitor of human type I protein arginine methyltransferases. *ACS Chem Biol* (2016) 11(3):772–81. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.5b00839

15. Deng M, Gui X, Kim J, Xie L, Chen W, Li Z, et al. LILRB4 signalling in leukaemia cells mediates T cell suppression and tumour infiltration. *Nature* (2018) 562 (7728):605–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0615-z

16. Cibrian D, Sanchez-Madrid F. CD69: from activation marker to metabolic gatekeeper. *Eur J Immunol* (2017) 47(6):946–53. doi: 10.1002/eji.201646837

17. Gonzalez-Amaro R, Cortes JR, Sanchez-Madrid F, Martin P. Is CD69 an effective brake to control inflammatory diseases? *Trends Mol Med* (2013) 19 (10):625-32. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2013.07.006

18. Jackson AL, Matsumoto H, Janszen M, Maino V, Blidy A, Shye S. Restricted expression of p55 interleukin 2 receptor (CD25) on normal T cells. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* (1990) 54(1):126–33. doi: 10.1016/0090-1229(90)90012-F

19. Reddy M, Eirikis E, Davis C, Davis HM, Prabhakar U. Comparative analysis of lymphocyte activation marker expression and cytokine secretion profile in stimulated human peripheral blood mononuclear cell cultures: an in vitro model to monitor cellular immune function. *J Immunol Methods* (2004) 293(1-2):127–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006

20. Sauter C, Simonet J, Guidez F, Dumetier B, Pernon B, Callanan M, et al. Protein arginine methyltransferases as therapeutic targets in hematological malignancies. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14(21). doi: 10.3390/cancers14215443

21. Zhang L, Tran NT, Su H, Wang R, Lu Y, Tang H, et al. Cross-talk between PRMT1-mediated methylation and ubiquitylation on RBM15 controls RNA splicing. *Elife* (2015) 4. doi: 10.7554/eLife.07938

22. Su H, Jiang M, Senevirathne C, Aluri S, Zhang T, Guo H, et al. Methylation of dual-specificity phosphatase 4 controls cell differentiation. *Cell Rep* (2021) 36 (4):109421. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109421

23. Dolezal E, Infantino S, Drepper F, Borsig T, Singh A, Wossning T, et al. The BTG2-PRMT1 module limits pre-B cell expansion by regulating the CDK4-Cyclin-D3 complex. *Nat Immunol* (2017) 18(8):911–20. doi: 10.1038/ni.3774

24. Infantino S, Light A, O'Donnell K, Bryant V, Avery DT, Elliott M, et al. Arginine methylation catalyzed by PRMT1 is required for B cell activation and differentiation. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8(1):891. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01009-1

25. Hata K, Yanase N, Sudo K, Kiyonari H, Mukumoto Y, Mizuguchi J, et al. Differential regulation of T-cell dependent and T-cell independent antibody responses through arginine methyltransferase PRMT1 in vivo. *FEBS Lett* (2016) 590(8):1200–10. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12161

26. Gulla A, Hideshima T, Bianchi G, Fulciniti M, Kemal Samur M, Qi J, et al. Protein arginine methyltransferase 5 has prognostic relevance and is a druggable target in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2018) 32(4):996–1002. doi: 10.1038/leu.2017.334

27. Xia T, Liu M, Zhao Q, Ouyang J, Xu P, Chen B. PRMT5 regulates cell pyroptosis by silencing CASP1 in multiple myeloma. *Cell Death Dis* (2021) 12(10):851. doi: 10.1038/s41419-021-04125-5

28. Zheng S, Moehlenbrink J, Lu YC, Zalmas LP, Sagum CA, Carr S, et al. Arginine methylation-dependent reader-writer interplay governs growth control by E2F-1. *Mol Cell* (2013) 52(1):37–51. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.08.039

29. Fedoriw A, Rajapurkar SR, O'Brien S, Gerhart SV, Mitchell LH, Adams ND, et al. Anti-tumor activity of the type I PRMT inhibitor, GSK3368715, synergizes with PRMT5 inhibition through MTAP loss. *Cancer Cell* (2019) 36(1):100–14 e25. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2019.05.014

30. Wang K, Luo L, Fu S, Wang M, Wang Z, Dong L, et al. PHGDH arginine methylation by PRMT1 promotes serine synthesis and represents a therapeutic vulnerability in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Commun* (2023) 14(1):1011. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36708-5

31. Yin S, Liu L, Ball LE, Wang Y, Bedford MT, Duncan SA, et al. CDK5-PRMT1-WDR24 signaling cascade promotes mTORC1 signaling and tumor growth. *Cell Rep* (2023) 42(4):112316. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112316