Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dario Roccatello, University of Turin, Italy

REVIEWED BY Roberta Fenoglio, Ospedale San Giovanni Bosco, Italy Licia Peruzzi, Regina Margherita Hospital, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Francesca Lugani 🔀 francescalugani@gaslini.org

RECEIVED 27 April 2023 ACCEPTED 14 August 2023 PUBLISHED 29 August 2023

CITATION

Angeletti A, Bruschi M, Kajana X, La Porta E, Spinelli S, Caridi G, Lugani F, Verrina EE and Ghiggeri GM (2023) Biologics in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome in childhood: review and new hypothesis-driven treatment. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1213203. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1213203

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Angeletti, Bruschi, Kajana, La Porta, Spinelli, Caridi, Lugani, Verrina and Ghiggeri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Biologics in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome in childhood: review and new hypothesis-driven treatment

Andrea Angeletti^{1,2}, Maurizio Bruschi^{1,3}, Xhuliana Kajana², Edoardo La Porta^{1,2}, Sonia Spinelli², Gianluca Caridi², Francesca Lugani^{1,2*}, Enrico Eugenio Verrina^{1,2} and Gian Marco Ghiggeri^{1,2}

¹Division of Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genova, Italy, ²Laboratory of Molecular Nephrology, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genova, Italy, ³Department of Experimental Medicine (DIMES), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

Nephrotic syndrome affects about 2-7 per 100,000 children yearly and accounts for less than 15% of end stage kidney disease. Steroids still represent the cornerstone of therapy achieving remission in 75-90% of the cases The remaining part result as steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome, characterized by the elevated risk of developing end stage kidney disease and frequently presenting disease recurrence in case of kidney transplant. The pathogenesis of nephrotic syndrome is still far to be elucidated, however, efficacy of immune treatments provided the basis to suggest the involvement of the immune system in the pathogenesis of the disease. Based on these substrates, more immune drugs, further than steroids, were administered in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome, such as antiproliferative and alkylating agents or calcineurin inhibitors. However, such treatments failed in inducing a sustained remission. In last two decades, the developments of monoclonal antibodies, including the anti-CD20 rituximab and inhibitor of B7-1 abatacept, represented a valid opportunity of treatment. However, also the effectiveness of biologics resulted limited. We here propose a new hypothesis-driven treatment based on the combining administration of rituximab with the anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody daratumumab (NCT05704400), sustained by the hypothesis to target the entire B-cells subtypes pool, including the long-lived plasmacells.

KEYWORDS

nephrotic syndrome, rituximab, daratumumab, CD38, CD20, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, minimal change disease of

Introduction

Glomerulonephritis accounts for less than 15% of kidney failure in patients less that 25 years old. Nephrotic Syndrome (NS) is a clinical entity characterized by fluid overload, dyslipidemia and hypoalbuminemia as consequence of massive proteinuria. NS is responsible for majority of glomerulonephritis and affects about 2–7 per 100,000 children aged below 18 years yearly (1, 2). In therms of histological lesions, NS may manifests as minimal change disease (MCD) in 80–90% of the patients or as focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) in most of the remaining cases (3).

Pathogenesis of NS is still largely unknown. Historically, a T cell disorder resulting in the release of circulating factor(s) increasing the glomerular permeability to serum proteins was considered responsible of the disease. However, the identification of these permeability factor(s) still represents a challenge for nephrological community (4).

Despite lack of a clear disease pathogenesis, the use of immunosuppressive therapies was sustained by the immune abnormalities that characterized subjects with NS. Steroids still represent the cornerstone of therapy and induce remission in 80-90% of the cases (steroid-sensitive NS- SSNS) (5, 6). However, around half of subjects who initially responded to steroids develop recurrence after withdrawal and a chronic immunesuppressive treatment is requested to maintain remission, developing a steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome (SDNS). Steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) accounts for around 15% of overall cases (7). Children with SSNS, have a benign prognosis, while subjects with SRNS are characterized by an high risk of developing kidney failure. SRNS is also worsened by the elevated rate of recurrence after kidney transplantation (KT) in around half of cases (8). Moreover, long-term complications related to the chronic administration of steroids, are commonly reported. Steroid sparing agents, including mycophenolate mofetil (9, 10) or azathioprine (11), cyclosporine A or tacrolimus (CNI) (12) and others (13), are administered with the aim to limit overall steroid dose, but result ineffective in SRNS (14).

The recent development of new biologics paved the way for more selective and safer hypothesis-driven treatments. These therapies in the context of SRNS will be the focus of the present review.

Monoclonal anti-CD20 antibodies

Rituximab

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody targeting the CD20 antigen. Rituximab administration was initially approved for the treatment of haematological and reumathological disease and results in naive and memory B cells depletion (15). Rituximab acts through the antibody-dependent and the complement-mediated cytotoxicity and through the induction of phagocytosis and apoptosis (16). In 2004, a young boy with SDNS secondary to MCD underwent proteinuria remission after receiving rituximab to treat supervened idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (17). After

this initial case, other reports from patients receiving rituximab for post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) indicated a potential benefit also on post- transplant FSGS recurrence (18, 19). Subsequently, there have been several randomised clinical trials reporting rituximab efficacy in SDNS (7).

On the other hand, the efficacy of rituximab in SRNS compared to common protocols, such as calcineurin inhibitors and plasma exchange, provided confounding results. Bagga et al. (20) treated with rituximab five SRNS children and and complete and partial remission were induced in three and two patients rispectively. After that, authors published a relevant case series reporting the treatment with rituximab of 33 SRNS paediatric patients: stable remission was achieved in around half of subjects at 12 months of follow-up (21).

In 2012, Magnasco et al. (22) proposed a randomised clinical study in 31 children with NS resistant to the combination of CNI and steroid. Authors compared the adding of two monthly infusions of rituximanb at the dose of 375mg/m² in the treated group versus the maintenance of CNI and steroid in the control group: adding of rituximab did not result superior in inducing NS remission (Table 1).

Overall, observational studies suggested a complete remission rate of about 30% of cases treated with rituximab (36), but the limited controlled randomised studies did not support the superiority of rituximab compared to other treatments.

Similar results were described in the context of NS recurrence after KT. NS recurrence is reported in 35-60% of cases and represents a major risk factor for graft lost (37). Rituximab and plasmapheresis represented the corner-stones of treatment in NS recurrence, but remission was generally described in 40-55% of cases and directly correlates with better graft survival (38).

Rituximab administration in post KT recurrence was largely reported, but literature mostly consists of case reports and case series (39–42). Remission rate of subjects treated with rituximab for NS recurrence ranged from 50% to 100%. While other reports showed total failure of rituximab in inducing remission (43–45). Moreover, the dose of rituximab used to treat NS recurrence is variable according to the centers and represents a further confounding factor in interpreting previous reports: dose administered ranges from single infusion at low-dose of 100 mg to 2 monthly infusions of 1 gr, according to the centers' protocols (46).

In 2017, Garrouste et al. (23), in a retrospective observational study, analysed the administration of rituximab in 19 patients with recurrent NS post KT. They reported 9/19 and 3/19 complete and partial remission respectively. Moreover, responsiveness to treatment correlated with a better renal function at 5 years of follow up. Of note, 14 patients reported severe infections in the first year of follow up. More recently, the same authors investigated the efficacy of rituximab in a large retrospective multicenter study on 148 KT recipients with FSGS recurrence, maintaining plasmapheresis as cornerstone of treatment (24). Overall, complete and partial remission were achieved in 47% and in 33% of patients, respectively (Table 1). Of interest, the patients here reported where divided in two groups according to the time of administration of rituximab: the administration of rituximab for

TABLE 1 Main studies reporting biologics in SRNS.

Reference	Disease	Ν	Study design	Dose	CR (%)
Rituximab					
Bagga A, et al., 2007 (20)	SRNS	5	Case series	375mg/m ² (4 doses)	60
Gulati A, et al., 2010 (21)	SRNS	33	Multicentric Cohort Study	375mg/m ² (4 doses)	27
Magnasco A, et al., 2012 (22)	SRNS	31	RCT	375mg/m ² (2 doses) vs 375mg/m ² + Cyc	30
Garrouste C, et al., 2017 (23)	post-Tx recurrent FSGS	12	Case series	375mg/m ² (1-4 dose)	47
Lanaret C, et al., 2020 (24)	post-Tx recurrent FSGS	148	Multicentric Retrospective Study	375mg/m ² (1-4 dose)	47
Ofatumumab					
Basu B, et al., 2014 (25)	multidrug resistant NS	5	Case series	300mg/m ² followed by 5 weekly infusions (2g/m ²)	80
Bonanni A (26), et al., 2015	multidrug resistant NS	4	Case series	375-700 mg/m ² (1 dose)	25
Wang CS, et al, 2017 (27)	SRNS	4	Case series	300mg/m ² followed by 5 weekly infusions (2g/m ²)	75
Ravani P, et al, 2020 (28)	multidrug resistant NS	7	RCT	1,500mg/m ² (1 dose)	0
Bernard J, et al, 2020 (29)	post-Tx recurrent FSGS	6	Case series	300mg/m ² followed by 5 weekly infusions (2g/m ²)	0
Abatacept					
Yu CC, et al., 2013 (30)	FSGS	5	Case series	10mg/kg (1-3 doses)	100
Garin EH, et al., 2015 (<mark>31</mark>)	MCD/ FSGS	5	Case series	10 mg/kg (2 doses)	0
Delvlille M, et al., 2016 (32)	post-Tx recurrent FSGS	9	Case series	10 mg/kg (1-13 doses)	0
Burke GW, et al, 2023 (33)	post-Tx recurrent FSGS	12	Case series	10 mg/kg (1-13 doses)	60
Adalimumab					
Joy MS, et al., 2010 (34)	FSGS	10	Phase I clinical trial	24mg/m ² (9 doses)	N/A
Anakinra					
Angeletti A, et al., 2022 (35)	SRNS/post-Tx recurrent FSGS	3	Case series	200mg/daily	33

Data are presented as follow-up period for all the patients or as median (range); CR, complete remission; N/A, not available, RTX, rituximab; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SRNS, steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome; Tx, kidney transplantation.

recurrence prevention at the day of transplantation did not correlate with higher incidence of remission or a decreased frequency of second relapse when compared to the administration of rituximab only in case of relapse.

Uffing et al. (38), in TANGO project (47), retrospectively screened for FSGS 11,742 KT recipients and reported that 176 had a diagnosis of native FSGS and 57 (32%) had recurrence after KT. Rituximab and plasmapheresis represented treatments of choice in 81% of cases, and overall, partial or complete remission was reported in 57% of patients, correlating with better survival of KT.

Regarding our experience, we revised the outcomes of 25 nongenetic SRNS who received KT at Gaslini Children's Institute, Genoa, Italy, between 1988 and July 31, 2022. We here reported a recurrence rate of 45% (11/25). Patients were treated with plasmapheresis or plasmapheresis and rituximab, achieving a remission rate of 60% of recurrent cases. We did not report differences among who received or not rituxumab.

A meta-analysis recently evaluated the evidence of combining plasmapheresis with rituximab therapy. Authors considered eight observational studies including both adults and pediatrics age groups, and they found that the remission rate was 72.7%, 41% with complete and 32% with partial remission respectively (48).

Summarising, although there are no randomized control trials on the effectiveness of rituximab in treating post KT recurrent NS, the effectiveness of both rituximab and plasmapheresis is largely supported.

Safety of rituximab

Rituximab is generally well tolerated. The infusion related reactions represent the most common complications and occur in 5–10% of the cases. In our recent clinical trial comparing efficacy and the safety of rituximab vs. ofatumumab, we demonstrated that infusion related reaction may be avoided with slow infusion and with pre-treatment based of steroid, antihistaminic, paracetamol and salbutamol.

In NS, serious adverse events in children receiving rituximab were reported in limited case reports, such as pulmonary fibrosis (49) fulminant myocarditis (50). Different complications, including leukoencephalopathy (51), do not seem related to rituximab, considering that also the administration of other immunosuppressive agents correlated with the JC virus reactivation (52). Moreover, previous findings largelly reported that chimeric nature of rituximab may be responsible of the development of anti-rituximab antibodies (53, 54). As consequence, anti-rituximab antibodies may limit the efficacy of subsequent infusions and may increase the infusion related reactions (55). We recently reported that, in our patients with SDNS, the development of anti-rituximab antibodies is limited and does not affect the efficacy of subsequent infusions, probably due to the low dose administered (single infusion 375mg/m²) compared to other protocols (56).

In a recent retrospective study, Bagga et al. (57) investigated the outcome of 250 patients with SDNS receiving two or more courses of rituximab. Authors reported that hypogammaglobulinemia was observed in around 35% of treated subjects. However that hypogammaglobulinemia may persist several months after the last rituximab infusion is commonly reported, but if the hypogammaglobulinemia would be related to a major risk of severe infections is still to be completely defined (58).

Ofatumumab

Ofatumumab is a fully human anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. At development of the drug, ofatumumab presented different *in vitro* characteristics compared to rituximab, such as more extended binding site with consequent more affinity to the CD20 antigen and an higher efficient complement-dependent cytotoxicity (59). Such *in vitro* findigs raised the curiosity on the clinical administration with the aim to investigate possible superior clinical benefits.

In neprhrological context, of atumumab was firstly administered in five children with SRNS

Not responding to rituximab at the dose of 300 mg/1.73m² followed by five weekly infu- sions (2 g/ $1.73m^2$ per infusion). At 12 months of follow up, complete and partial remission were achieved in three and two subjects respectively (25). Such satisfactory results were not reported by further experiences (26). Vivarelli et al. (60) described the administration of ofatumumab in two cases of steroid and rituximab resistant NS, responding to a single dose. Wang et al. (27) treated with ofatumumab five patients with NS at dose of 300 mg/1.73m² for the first week, followed by four or five weekly infusions of 2 g/1.73m². One failed the treatment for severe infusion reactions. Three achieved complete remission, and one partial remission. In a more recent randomized controlled trial in children with multi-drug NS (MRNS), ofatumumab (single infusion at $1.5 \text{ g}/1.73\text{m}^2$) was compared with placebo and failed to induce remission (28). Overall, ofatumumab induced NS remission in around 40% of treated children for SRNS (29) (Table 1).

Based on single case reports and small series (61–65), of atumumab was also proposed in the treatment of NS recurrence after KT. However, in the largest case series involving 6 children resistant to previous treatments, of atumumab did not induce complete remission, partial remission was achieved in half of cases and no response at all in the remaining cases.

Other biologics

Abatacept

Antigen-presenting cells expressed on the surface a costimulatory ligand named B7-1 (CD80), fundamental for the binding with the T- cell receptors CD28 and Abatacept is an inhibitor of the B7-1 (66). Previous findings described the B7 expression on podocytes surface of subjects affect by proteinuric disease, such as lupus nephritis (67), membranous nephropathy (30) and diabetic nephropathy (68, 69). Therefore, such reports raised the hypothesis that B7 blockade may result in a podocyteprotective effect with consequent reduction of proteinuria. In a case report study, partial or complete remission was reported in 4 KT recipients with rituximab-resistant recurrent FSGS and treated with Abatacept and in one SRNS. Muhlbacher et al. (70) described a 19years old boy with recurrent NS after KT and resistant to rituximab and plasmapheresis, who responded to abatacept and was mentained in remission with subsequent belatacept-based immunosuppression with a follow-up of over 4 years (Table 1). Other studies reported confunding and not definitive results (31, 32).

More recently, Burke et al. (33) proposed the administration of abatacept, based on the B7-1 podocytes expression at kidney biopsy, in 12 subjects (median age 12 years old) with NS recurrence after KT and resistant to conventional treatments with plasmapheresis and rituximab. Nine subjects responded to treatment, of whom 7/9 had KT biopsy positive for B7-1, while 2/9 were without biopsy. Of note, of the 3 patients not responding to abatacept, one had KT biopsy positive for B7-1 (Table 1). Based on these results, authors suggested that B7-1 podocytes staining may identify subject who can benefit of abatacept.

These evidence may support the need for confirmatory studies on podocyte B7-1 expression and randomized clinical trial to asses the response to abatacept in proteinuric kidney disease.

Adalimumab

Adalimumab is a human monoclonal antibody anti-TNFa. It is approved for the rheumatoid and gastric disease, such as children affected by juvenile idiopathic arthritis, psoriasis Crohn's disease and arthritis (71). TNFa was reported elevated in urine of subjects affected by FSGS (72, 73). Moreover, *in vivo* studies demonstrated that TNFa may induce endothelial cell injury and increased glomerular permeability (74). Therefore, based on these previous findings, adalimumab was supposed to be a promising treatment for proteinuric disease. In a Phase 1 clinical trial, adalimumab was effective in decreasing proteinuria in 4/10 subjects with FSGS (34) (Table 1).However, further clinical trials are missing and current data still do not support adalimumab use in FSGS.

Anakinra

In animal models of FSGS, regulation of C3 convertase in podocyte by decay-accelerating factor (CD55) is fundamental to enhance proteinuria and glomerular sclerosis. Recent studies showed that C3a/C3aR ligations on podocytes induce the podocytes to release active IL-1 β that through the ligand with type 1 interleukin receptor (IL-1R1), with an autocrine loop, lead to the actin cytoskeleton rearrangement and podocyte loss. The loss of podocytes may be avoided preventing the binding IL-1 β /IL-1R1, suggesting therefore a causal link (75, 76).

Since 1993, Anakinra, the receptor antagonist that blocks the activity of IL-1 binding the IL-1R1, represents the treatment of choice in several rheumatic disease (77). Of note, several previous studies reported the use of Anakinra in patients with familial Mediterranean fever and associated glomerular AA amyloidosis resulting with proteinuria: the administration of Anakinra remarkably reduced the amount of proteinuria (78). Such findings may be partially explained by the previous described mechanism correlating IL-1 β production and the subsequent IL-1R signaling to the complement cascade during proteinuric disease.

Based on these findings, we recently administered Anakinra in 2 patients with multidrug-dependent/-resistant NS and 1 KT patient with FSGS recurrence. We induced a complete and two partial remission respectively. Therefore, our small case reports supported the hypothesis that IL-1R1 blockers may prevent the complement-mediated podocyte cytoskeleton rearrangement in glomerular diseases (35) (Table 1).

New hypothesis-driven treatment, combining rituximab and daratumumab

B cells play a role in the pathogenesis of NS, as reflected by the success of monoclonal anti-CD20 antibodies in the treatment of SSNS. However, as previously reported, the effect of rituximab in complicated NS is limited (22). On the other hand, B-cells exist as different subsets reflecting the different maturation stages in the peripheral blood. Rituximab targets the majority, but not the totality, of the B-cells subtypes. Indeed, unlike short-lived plasmablasts, long-lived plasmacells are unresponsive to anti-CD20 immunosuppressive treatments. Plasmacells highly express CD38, a glycoprotein with ectoenzymatic functions. CD38 is a myeloid antigen present both on the cell membrane and in the intracellular compartment of the cell. Several physiological and pathological conditions, such as the early phases of the immune response or the inflammatory disease (i.e. systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis) may lead to the increased expression of CD38. It is highly expressed in the B-cells starting from the germinal center. Both T and B-cells upregulate CD38 expression upon activation, while NK cells seem to express it on a constant level. Specific cancer cells, such as multiple myeloma cells, express high levels of CD38 glycoprotein. Therefore, the anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody daratumumab is administered in multiple myeloma and it induces a substantial decrease of the bone marrow malignant plasma cells.

The relevance of targeting long-lived plasmacells in proteinuric disease, such as lupus nephritis (79, 80) and membranous neophropathy (81), have already been reported in previous clinical reports. Unlike to lupus nephritis and membranous neophropathy, that represent clear examples of autoantibodies mediated disease, the role of long-lived plasmacells in SRNS is not completely explored. However, despite the absence of a clear pathological mechanism for SRNS, the increased glomerular permeability to protein is also sustained by an imbalance between conventional and regulatory Tcells. Of interest, daratumumab may directly affect T-cells subtypes: Ostendorfet al. (79), described two cases of Systemic Lupus Eritematosus (one with lupus nephritis), unresponsive to standard immunosuppression, with substantial clinical response to daratumumab (82). They investigated the effect of daratumumab on T-cell function through single-cell transcriptome analysis and reported the alterations of the transcriptional profile of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, as main consequence of treatment with daratumumab.

On this basis, it seems reasonable to consider the association of two drugs, one targeting CD20 and one targeting plasmacells. In recent clinical study, the humanized anti-CD20 antibody obinutuzumab (single dose 1 g/1.73m²) was combined with the anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody daratumumab (single dose 16mg/ kg) in 14 subjects with SDNS/frequent-relapsing NS that relapsed after previous treatments with rituximab. As main results, authors reported that the association of obinutuzumab and daratumumab induced a remission period longer than previous treatment with only rituximab in the same subjects (83). However, the achieved remission period resulted quite similar to other randomised clinical trials testing the only administration of monoclonal antibody anti-CD20. Therefore, despite the significative results and the safety of the treatment proposed by Dossier et al., we do not consider patients affected by SDNS the right target to be treated by the association of anti-CD20 and anti-CD38 antibodies. On the other hand, the same group described the efficacy of the combined treatment with obinutuzumab and daratumumab in a young subject with post-transplantation SRNS recurrence and resistant to standard treatments (84).

Therefore, the preliminary positive results of their combination may open new opportunities in the treatment of NS and supports the need for new studies in those patients who require more than one drug to maintain remission. Therefore, we recently proposed a phase 2 proof-of-concept study (NCT05704400, DUAL-1) for testing the superiority of rituximab (single dose 375mg/m²) plus daratumumab (single dose16mg/kg) in maintaining drug-free disease remission in children and young adults (3-24 years) affected by MDNS, SRNS and post transplant NS recurrence. More in details, MDNS is defined by the need of at least 2 of the oral drugs, including steroid, mycophenolate mofetil and calcineurin inhibitors. Resistance is defined by lack of NS response to double therapy consisted of steroid, mycophenolate mofetil and calcineurin inhibitors. After the administration of the combined treatment with rituximab and daratumumab, ongoing immunosuppressive treatment will be shortly withdrawn. In Figure 1 we reported the flow-chart of the proposed study.

As main aim, we will evaluate the time-free remission in MDNS. On the other hand, in subjects affected by SRNS and post transplant NS recurrence, the decrease of proteinuria will represent the first endpoint. All patients will be enrolled after informed consent is obtained from patients and parents.

Conclusions

SRNS represent a condition interesting a small number of people compared to the general population, but with devastating consequences in patients affected. SRNS is characterized by a fast progression of kidney failure and it is worsened by an high risk of recurrence after KT. Despite the relevant impact in nephrological clinical practice, SRNS still represent a challenge in terms of pathological mechanisms and effective treatment. Acute and chronic adverse events associated with chronic use of steroids and immunosuppressive drugs sustained the need for alternative therapeutical strategies. Despite initial expectations, rituximab have not represented a valid oportunity for subjects affected by SRNS.

We here propose a new hypothesis-driven treatment based on the combining administration of anti-CD20 rituximab with the



06

anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody daratumumab, sustained by the hypothesis to target the entire B-cells subtypes pool.

Author contributions

AA, MB, EP, SS, XK, GC, FL, EV and GG contributed to conception and writing of the work. AA and GG revising it critically. All the authors provide approval for publication of the content.

Funding

The study was supported with public funds granted by the Italian Ministry of Health "*Ricerca Corrente 2021*".

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Noone DG, Iijima K, Parekh R. Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. *Lancet* (2018) 392(10141):61–74. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30536-1

2. Eddy AA, Symons JM. Nephrotic syndrome in childhood. Lancet (2003) 362 (9384):629-39. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14184-0

3. Cravedi P, Angeletti A, Remuzzi G. New biologics in the treatment of rare glomerular diseases of childhood. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* (2017) 33:27–33. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2017.03.010

4. Floege J, Amann K. Primary glomerulonephritides. Lancet (2016) 387 (10032):2036–48. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00272-5

5. Lombel RM, Gipson DS, Hodson EM. Kidney Disease: Improving Global O. Treatment of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome: new guidelines from KDIGO. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2013) 28(3):415–26. doi: 10.1007/s00467-012-2310-x

6. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Glomerular Diseases Work G. KDIGO 2021 clinical practice guideline for the management of glomerular diseases. *Kidney Int* (2021) 100(4S):S1–S276. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2021.05.021

7. Tullus K, Webb H, Bagga A. Management of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome in children and adolescents. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* (2018) 2 (12):880–90. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30283-9

8. Francis A, Trnka P, McTaggart SJ. Long-term outcome of kidney transplantation in recipients with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* (2016) 11 (11):2041–6. doi: 10.2215/CJN.03060316

9. Ravani P, Lugani F, Drovandi S, Caridi G, Angeletti A, Ghiggeri GM. Rituximab vs low-dose mycophenolate mofetil in recurrence of steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome in children and young adults: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* (2021) 175(6):631–2. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.6150

10. Lugani F, Angeletti A, Ravani P, Vivarelli M, Colucci M, Caridi G, et al. Randomised controlled trial comparing rituximab to mycophenolate mofetil in children and young adults with steroid-dependent idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: study protocol. *BMJ Open* (2021) 11(11):e052450. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-052450

11. Durkan AM, Hodson EM, Willis NS, Craig JC. Immunosuppressive agents in childhood nephrotic syndrome: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Kidney Int* (2001) 59(5):1919–27. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2001.0590051919.x

12. Westhoff TH, Schmidt S, Zidek W, Beige J, van der Giet M. Tacrolimus in steroid-resistant and steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. *Clin Nephrol* (2006) 65 (6):393–400. doi: 10.5414/CNP65393

13. Sumegi V, Haszon I, Bereczki C, Papp F, Turi S. Long-term follow-up after cyclophosphamide and cyclosporine-A therapy in steroid-dependent and -resistant nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2008) 23(7):1085–92. doi: 10.1007/s00467-008-0771-8

14. Gipson DS, Trachtman H, Kaskel FJ, Radeva MK, Gassman J, Greene TH, et al. Clinical trials treating focal segmental glomerulosclerosis should measure patient quality of life. *Kidney Int* (2011) 79(6):678–85. doi: 10.1038/ki.2010.485

15. Prica A, Baldassarre F, Hicks LK, Imrie K, Kouroukis T, Cheung M, et al. Rituximab in lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: A practice guideline. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* (2017) 29(1):e13–28. doi: 10.1016/j.clon.2016.09.004

16. Datta SK. Anti-CD20 antibody is an efficient therapeutic tool for the selective removal of autoreactive T cells. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol* (2009) 5(2):80–2. doi: 10.1038/ncprheum0983

17. Benz K, Dotsch J, Rascher W, Stachel D. Change of the course of steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome after rituximab therapy. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2004) 19 (7):794-7. doi: 10.1007/s00467-004-1434-z

18. Pescovitz MD, Book BK, Sidner RA. Resolution of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis proteinuria after rituximab treatment. *N Engl J Med* (2006) 354 (18):1961–3. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc055495

19. Nozu K, Iijima K, Fujisawa M, Nakagawa A, Yoshikawa N, Matsuo M. Rituximab treatment for posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD) induces complete remission of recurrent nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2005) 20(11):1660–3. doi: 10.1007/s00467-005-2013-7

20. Bagga A, Sinha A, Moudgil A. Rituximab in patients with the steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. $N\,Engl\,J\,Med$ (2007) 356(26):2751–2. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc063706

21. Gulati A, Sinha A, Jordan SC, Hari P, Dinda AK, Sharma S, et al. Efficacy and safety of treatment with rituximab for difficult steroid-resistant and -dependent nephrotic syndrome: multicentric report. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* (2010) 5(12):2207–12. doi: 10.2215/CJN.03470410

22. Magnasco A, Ravani P, Edefonti A, Murer L, Ghio L, Belingheri M, et al. Rituximab in children with resistant idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2012) 23(6):1117–24. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2011080775

23. Garrouste C, Canaud G, Buchler M, Rivalan J, Colosio C, Martinez F, et al. Rituximab for recurrence of primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis after kidney transplantation: clinical outcomes. *Transplantation* (2017) 101(3):649–56. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001160

24. Lanaret C, Anglicheau D, Audard V, Buchler M, Caillard S, Couzi L, et al. Rituximab for recurrence of primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis after kidney transplantation: Results of a nationwide study. *Am J Transpl* (2021) 21(9):3021–33. doi: 10.1111/ajt.16504

25. Basu B. Ofatumumab for rituximab-resistant nephrotic syndrome. N Engl J Med (2014) 370(13):1268–70. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1308488

26. Bonanni A, Rossi R, Murtas C, Ghiggeri GM. Low-dose ofatumumab for rituximab-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *BMJ Case Rep* (2015) 2015. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2015-210208

27. Wang CS, Liverman RS, Garro R, George RP, Glumova A, Karp A, et al. Ofatumumab for the treatment of childhood nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2017) 32(5):835–41. doi: 10.1007/s00467-017-3621-8

28. Ravani P, Pisani I, Bodria M, Caridi G, Degl'Innocenti ML, Ghiggeri GM. Lowdose ofatumumab for multidrug-resistant nephrotic syndrome in children: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2020) 35(6):997–1003. doi: 10.1007/s00467-020-04481-y

29. Bernard J, Bruel A, Allain-Launay E, Dantal J, Roussey G. Ofatumumab in posttransplantation recurrence of a pediatric steroid-resistant idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Transpl* (2018) 22(4):e13175. doi: 10.1111/petr.13175

30. Yu CC, Fornoni A, Weins A, Hakroush S, Maiguel D, Sageshima J, et al. Abatacept in B7-1-positive proteinuric kidney disease. N Engl J Med (2013) 369 (25):2416–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1304572

31. Garin EH, Reiser J, Cara-Fuentes G, Wei C, Matar D, Wang H, et al. Case series: CTLA4-IgG1 therapy in minimal change disease and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2015) 30(3):469–77. doi: 10.1007/s00467-014-2957-6

32. Delville M, Baye E, Durrbach A, Audard V, Kofman T, Braun L, et al. B7-1 blockade does not improve post-transplant nephrotic syndrome caused by recurrent FSGS. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2016) 27(8):2520–7. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2015091002

33. Burke GW 3rd, Chandar J, Sageshima J, Ortigosa-Goggins M, Amarapurkar P, Mitrofanova A, et al. Benefit of B7-1 staining and abatacept for treatment-resistant post-transplant focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a predominantly pediatric cohort: time for a reappraisal. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2023) 38(1):145–59. doi: 10.1007/ s00467-022-05549-7

34. Joy MS, Gipson DS, Powell L, MacHardy J, Jennette JC, Vento S, et al. Phase 1 trial of adalimumab in Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis (FSGS): II. Report of the FONT (Novel Therapies for Resistant FSGS) study group. *Am J Kidney Dis* (2010) 55 (1):50–60. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2009.08.019

35. Angeletti A, Magnasco A, Trivelli A, Degl'Innocenti LM, Piaggio G, Lugani F, et al. Refractory minimal change disease and focal segmental glomerular sclerosis treated with Anakinra. *Kidney Int Rep* (2022) 7(1):121-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2021.10.018

36. Basu B, Angeletti A, Islam B, Ghiggeri GM. New and old anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies for nephrotic syndrome. Where we are? *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:805697. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.805697

37. Pippias M, Stel VS, Areste-Fosalba N, Couchoud C, Fernandez-Fresnedo G, Finne P, et al. Long-term kidney transplant outcomes in primary glomerulonephritis: analysis from the ERA-EDTA registry. *Transplantation* (2016) 100(9):1955–62. doi: 10.1097/TP.00000000000062

38. Uffing A, Perez-Saez MJ, Mazzali M, Manfro RC, Bauer AC, de Sottomaior Drumond F, et al. Recurrence of FSGS after kidney transplantation in adults. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* (2020) 15(2):247–56. doi: 10.2215/CJN.08970719

39. Kolonko A, Piecha G, Wiecek A. Successful preemptive kidney transplantation with rituximab induction in a patient with focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and massive nephrotic syndrome: A case report. *Transplant Proc* (2016) 48(9):3092–4. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2016.07.001

40. Fornoni A, Sageshima J, Wei C, Merscher-Gomez S, Aguillon-Prada R, Jauregui AN, et al. Rituximab targets podocytes in recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Sci Transl Med* (2011) 3(85):85ra46. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002231

41. Argiolas D, Carta E, Mascia G, Michittu MB, Piredda GB. Successful treatment of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis recurrence in a second kidney transplant patient: A case report. *Transplant Proc* (2019) 51(1):223-5. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.04.078

42. Cho JH, Lee JH, Park GY, Lim JH, Kim JS, Kang YJ, et al. Successful treatment of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis with a low dose rituximab in a kidney transplant recipient. *Ren Fail* (2014) 36(4):623-6. doi: 10.3109/0886022X.2014.882238

43. Yabu JM, Ho B, Scandling JD, Vincenti F. Rituximab failed to improve nephrotic syndrome in renal transplant patients with recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Am J Transpl* (2008) 8(1):222-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.02021.x

44. Kamar N, Esposito L, Guitard J, Nogier MB, Durand D, Faguer S, et al. Treatment of focal segmental glomerular sclerosis with rituximab: 2 case reports. *Clin Nephrol* (2007) 67(4):250–4. doi: 10.5414/CNP67250

45. Roccatello D, Sciascia S, Rossi D, Alpa M, Naretto C, Radin M, et al. High-dose rituximab ineffective for focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: A long-term observation study. *Am J Nephrol* (2017) 46(2):108–13. doi: 10.1159/000477944

46. Al Shamsi HR, Shaheen I, Aziz D. Management of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) post renal transplantation. *Transplant Rev (Orlando)* (2022) 36(1):100675. doi: 10.1016/j.trre.2021.100675

47. Uffing A, Perez-Saez MJ, La Manna G, Comai G, Fischman C, Farouk S, et al. A large, international study on post-transplant glomerular diseases: the TANGO project. *BMC Nephrol* (2018) 19(1):229. doi: 10.1186/s12882-018-1025-z

48. Boonpheng B, Hansrivijit P, Thongprayoon C, Mao SA, Vaitla PK, Bathini T, et al. Rituximab or plasmapheresis for prevention of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis after kidney transplantation: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *World J Transpl* (2021) 11(7):303–19. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v11.i7.303

49. Iijima K, Sako M, Nozu K. Rituximab for nephrotic syndrome in children. *Clin Exp Nephrol* (2017) 21(2):193–202. doi: 10.1007/s10157-016-1313-5

50. Sellier-Leclerc AL, Belli E, Guerin V, Dorfmuller P, Deschenes G. Fulminant viral myocarditis after rituximab therapy in pediatric nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2013) 28(9):1875–9. doi: 10.1007/s00467-013-2485-9

51. Carson KR, Focosi D, Major EO, Petrini M, Richey EA, West DP, et al. Monoclonal antibody-associated progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy in patients treated with rituximab, natalizumab, and efalizumab: a Review from the Research on Adverse Drug Events and Reports (RADAR) Project. *Lancet Oncol* (2009) 10(8):816–24. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70161-5

52. Shimizu C, Kimura S, Yoshida Y, Nezu A, Saitoh K, Osaka H, et al. Acute leucoencephalopathy during cyclosporin A therapy in a patient with nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (1994) 8(4):483–5. doi: 10.1007/BF00856540

53. Bertrand Q, Mignot S, Kwon T, Couderc A, Maisin A, Cambier A, et al. Antirituximab antibodies in pediatric steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2022) 37(2):357–65. doi: 10.1007/s00467-021-05069-w 54. Albert D, Dunham J, Khan S, Stansberry J, Kolasinski S, Tsai D, et al. Variability in the biological response to anti-CD20 B cell depletion in systemic lupus erythaematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2008) 67(12):1724–31. doi: 10.1136/ard.2007.083162

55. Boyer-Suavet S, Andreani M, Lateb M, Savenkoff B, Brglez V, Benzaken S, et al. Neutralizing anti-rituximab antibodies and relapse in membranous nephropathy treated with rituximab. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:3069. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.03069

 Angeletti A, Bruschi M, Colucci M, Kajana X, La Porta E, Caridi G, et al. Circulating anti-rituximab antibodies do not affect response to rituximab in steroiddependent nephrotic syndrome. *Kidney Int Rep* (2022) 7(11):2509–12. doi: 10.1016/ j.ekir.2022.08.006

57. Sinha A, Mathew G, Arushi A, Govindarajan S, Ghanapriya K, Grewal N, et al. Sequential rituximab therapy sustains remission of nephrotic syndrome but carries high risk of adverse effects. *Nephrol Dial Transpl* (2023) 38(4):939–49. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfac228

58. Zurowska A, Drozynska-Duklas M, Topaloglu R, Bouts A, Boyer O, Shenoy M, et al. Rituximab-associated hypogammaglobulinemia in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: results of an ESPN survey. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2023) 38(9):3035–42. doi: 10.1007/s00467-023-05913-1

59. Kumar A, Planchais C, Fronzes R, Mouquet H, Reyes N. Binding mechanisms of therapeutic antibodies to human CD20. *Science* (2020) 369(6505):793–9. doi: 10.1126/ science.abb8008

60. Vivarelli M, Colucci M, Bonanni A, Verzani M, Serafinelli J, Emma F, et al. Ofatumumab in two pediatric nephrotic syndrome patients allergic to rituximab. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2017) 32(1):181–4. doi: 10.1007/s00467-016-3498-y

61. Colucci M, Labbadia R, Vivarelli M, Camassei FD, Emma F, Dello Strologo L. Ofatumumab rescue treatment in post-transplant recurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2020) 35(2):341–5. doi: 10.1007/s00467-019-04365-w

62. Solomon S, Zolotnitskaya A, Del Rio M. Ofatumumab in post-transplantation recurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a child. *Pediatr Transpl* (2019) 23 (4):e13413. doi: 10.1111/petr.13413

63. Reynolds BC, Lamb A, Jones CA, Yadav P, Tyerman KS, Geddes CC. UK experience of ofatumumab in recurrence of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis post-kidney transplant. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2022) 37(1):199–207. doi: 10.1007/s00467-021-05248-9

64. Kienzl-Wagner K, Rosales A, Scheidl S, Giner T, Bosmuller C, Rudnicki M, et al. Successful management of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Am J Transpl* (2018) 18(11):2818–22. doi: 10.1111/ajt.14998

65. Bernard J, Lalieve F, Sarlat J, Perrin J, Dehoux L, Boyer O, et al. Ofatumumab treatment for nephrotic syndrome recurrence after pediatric renal transplantation. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2020) 35(8):1499–506. doi: 10.1007/s00467-020-04567-7

66. Bhatia S, Edidin M, Almo SC, Nathenson SG. B7-1 and B7-2: similar costimulatory ligands with different biochemical, oligomeric and signaling properties. *Immunol Lett* (2006) 104(1-2):70–5. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2005.11.019

67. Reiser J, von Gersdorff G, Loos M, Oh J, Asanuma K, Giardino L, et al. Induction of B7-1 in podocytes is associated with nephrotic syndrome. *J Clin Invest* (2004) 113 (10):1390–7. doi: 10.1172/JCI20402

68. Bassi R, Fornoni A, Doria A, Fiorina P. CTLA4-Ig in B7-1-positive diabetic and non-diabetic kidney disease. *Diabetologia* (2016) 59(1):21–9. doi: 10.1007/s00125-015-3766-6

69. Fiorina P, Vergani A, Bassi R, Niewczas MA, Altintas MM, Pezzolesi MG, et al. Role of podocyte B7-1 in diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* (2014) 25(7):1415–29. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2013050518

70. Muhlbacher T, Amann K, Mahling M, Nadalin S, Heyne N, Guthoff M. Successful long-term management of recurrent focal segmental glomerulosclerosis after kidney transplantation with costimulation blockade. *Clin Kidney J* (2021) 14 (6):1691–3. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfaa267

71. Lovell DJ, Ruperto N, Goodman S, Reiff A, Jung L, Jarosova K, et al. Adalimumab with or without methotrexate in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med* (2008) 359 (8):810–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0706290

72. Suranyi MG, Guasch A, Hall BM, Myers BD. Elevated levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in the nephrotic syndrome in humans. *Am J Kidney Dis* (1993) 21(3):251–9. doi: 10.1016/S0272-6386(12)80742-6

73. Kacprzyk F, Chrzanowski W. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in patients with glomerulonephritis. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* (1996) 96(3):224–33.

74. McCarthy ET, Sharma R, Sharma M, Li JZ, Ge XL, Dileepan KN, et al. TNFalpha increases albumin permeability of isolated rat glomeruli through the generation of superoxide. J Am Soc Nephrol (1998) 9(3):433–8. doi: 10.1681/ASN.V93433

75. Angeletti A, Marasa M, Cravedi P. CD55 deficiency and protein-losing enteropathy. *N Engl J Med* (2017) 377(15):1499.

76. Angeletti A, Cantarelli C, Petrosyan A, Andrighetto S, Budge K, D'Agati VD, et al. Loss of decay-accelerating factor triggers podocyte injury and glomerulosclerosis. *J Exp Med* (2020) 217(9). doi: 10.1084/jem.20191699

77. Bresnihan B, Alvaro-Gracia JM, Cobby M, Doherty M, Domljan Z, Emery P, et al. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with recombinant human interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (1998) 41(12):2196–204. doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(199812)41:12<2196::AID-ART15>3.0.CO;2-2

78. Varan O, Kucuk H, Babaoglu H, Guven SC, Ozturk MA, Haznedaroglu S, et al. Efficacy and safety of interleukin-1 inhibitors in familial Mediterranean fever patients

complicated with amyloidosis. *Mod Rheumatol* (2019) 29(2):363-6. doi: 10.1080/14397595.2018.1457469

79. Ostendorf L, Burns M, Durek P, Heinz GA, Heinrich F, Garantziotis P, et al. Targeting CD38 with daratumumab in refractory systemic lupus erythematosus. *N Engl J Med* (2020) 383(12):1149–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023325

80. Burns M, Ostendorf L, Biesen R, Grutzkau A, Hiepe F, Mei HE, et al. Dysregulated CD38 expression on peripheral blood immune cell subsets in SLE. Int J Mol Sci (2021) 22(5). doi: 10.3390/ijms22052424

81. Benoit SW, Khandelwal P, Grimley MS. A case of treatment-resistant membranous nephropathy associated with graft versus host disease successfully treated with daratumumab. *Pediatr Transpl* (2022) 26(4):e14263. doi: 10.1111/ petr.14263

82. Alexander T, Ostendorf L, Biesen R, Schneider U, Burmester GR, Hiepe F. Sustained responses after anti-CD38 treatment with daratumumab in two patients with refractory systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2023). doi: 10.1136/ard-2023-224152

83. Dossier C, Prim B, Moreau C, Kwon T, Maisin A, Nathanson S, et al. A global antiB cell strategy combining obinutuzumab and daratumumab in severe pediatric nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2021) 36(5):1175–82. doi: 10.1007/s00467-020-04811-0

84. Delbet JD, Hogan J, Parmentier C, Ulinski T, Dossier C. Successful global anti-Bcell strategy with daratumumab in a patient with post-transplant nephrotic syndrome recurrence unresponsive to immunoadsorption and obinutuzumab. *Pediatr Transpl* (2023) 27(5):e14544. doi: 10.1111/petr.14544