Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Geraldo Aleixo Passos, University of São Paulo, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Bergithe Eikeland Oftedal, University of Bergen, Norway

*CORRESPONDENCE Balasubramanian Krishnamurthy Wbmurthy@svi.edu.au

RECEIVED 16 April 2023 ACCEPTED 26 May 2023 PUBLISHED 06 June 2023

CITATION

Krishnamurthy B, Lacorcia M, Kay TWH, Thomas HE and Mannering SI (2023) Monitoring immunomodulation strategies in type 1 diabetes. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1206874. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1206874

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Krishnamurthy, Lacorcia, Kay, Thomas and Mannering. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Monitoring immunomodulation strategies in type 1 diabetes

Balasubramanian Krishnamurthy^{1,2*}, Matthew Lacorcia¹, Thomas W. H. Kay^{1,2}, Helen E. Thomas^{1,2} and Stuart I. Mannering^{1,2}

¹Immunology and Diabetes Unit, St Vincent's Institute, Fitzroy, VIC, Australia, ²Department of Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Fitzroy, VIC, Australia

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a T-cell mediated autoimmune disease. Short-term treatment with agents targeting T cells, B cells and inflammatory cytokines to modify the disease course resulted in a short-term pause in disease activity. Lessons learnt from these trials will be discussed in this review. It is expected that effective disease-modifying agents will become available for use in earlier stages of T1D. Progress has been made to analyze antigen-specific T cells with standardization of T cell assay and discovery of antigen epitopes but there are many challenges. High-dimensional profiling of gene, protein and TCR expression at single cell level with innovative computational tools should lead to novel biomarker discovery. With this, assays to detect, quantify and characterize the phenotype and function of antigen-specific T cells will continuously evolve. An improved understanding of T cell responses will help researchers and clinicians to better predict disease onset, and progression, and the therapeutic efficacy of interventions to prevent or arrest T1D.

KEYWORDS

type 1 diabetes, biomarkers, T cell exhaustion, antigen specific therapy, disease modifying treatment

1 Introduction

In Type 1 Diabetes (T1D), loss of immune tolerance allows autoreactive T cells to destroy the insulin-producing beta cells in the pancreatic islets (1). Successive failures in self-regulatory checkpoints are required to both achieve and perpetuate this end-stage of immunopathology.

For over 100 years, insulin has been the sole effective treatment for T1D. Insulin-based treatments are complex, costly, and limited by the risk of hypoglycaemia (2). Knowledge gained from cumulative work over many years has helped us to understand the process leading to the beta-cell's demise. Recognizing the contribution of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genes (and other genes) to the risk of developing T1D (3, 4), as well as defining the natural history of islet autoantibodies in subjects at risk for T1D (5), has enabled the staging of pre-symptomatic T1D into four stages Stage 0 (or Pre-Stage 1), genetic risk, Stage 1, development of islet autoantibodies, Stage 2, development of prodromal metabolic

abnormalities and finally, Stage 3, onset of clinical symptoms (6). The classification of T1D stages highlight the development of beta cell autoimmunity and beta cell loss that occurs prior to the onset of clinical disease. This framework now allows a more targeted disease-modifying therapy based on the patient's disease stage.

Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells participate in the pathogenesis of T1D (7, 8). CD4+ T cells are implicated because of the strong association of T1D with HLA class II, specifically the haplotypes HLA-DR4-DQ8 and HLA-DR3-DQ2. Islet antigen specific CD4+ T cells, restricted by HLA-DR4, DR3, DQ2 and DQ8, have been detected in the blood and pancreatic islets of organ donors who had T1D patients (8-13). CD4+ T cells provide 'help' to B and CD8+ T cells. CD8+ T cells reactive to islet antigens are the predominant T cells in insulitis and they mediate beta cell killing in T1D (14-17). As the diagnosis of T1D approaches, T cells reactive to numerous islet autoantigens undergo clonal expansion (11, 18-23). These are long-lived, self-renewing memory CD8+ T cells and have a capacity to differentiate into effector T cells (15, 24, 25). The challenge of reversing pathogenic immune responses in T1D requires not only directed therapy directed against effector T cells, but also prevention of reactivation of memory responses when therapy is discontinued.

Over the last three decades, an array of immune therapeutics targeting T cells (anti-thymocyte globulin and antibodies targeting CD2, CD3 and CD80/CD86), B cells (antibody targeting CD20), and cytokines (IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, IL-12/IL-23, IL-21, TNF-a) have been evaluated in clinical trials for efficacy in altering the course of T1D (26, 27). The results of many such trials indicate that the immune therapies can preserve or slow the loss of beta cell function for a short period of time [reviewed in (27)]. But no long-lasting maintenance of C-peptide was achieved. However, despite not achieving their ultimate goal of long-term retention of C-peptide, many important lessons have been learnt from the mechanistic findings of these trials. In this review, we aim to address how we could apply the knowledge gained by the mechanistic studies of the clinical trials in monitoring immunotherapy for T1D.

2 Antigen-specific therapy

It has long been thought that the limitations of current therapies could potentially be addressed by the introduction of antigenspecific approaches. These strategies typically require administration of autoantigenic proteins, or peptides, or autoantigen-encoding nucleic acids. Although the mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, these approaches generally operate by functionally inactivating and/or deleting cognate autoreactive T cells (28-31). Various forms of (pro)insulin (32-38) and GAD65 (39-43) have been administered in clinical trials, with the goal of maintaining residual endogenous beta cell function in stage 3, or with the goal of preventing the progression to stage 3 of disease in individuals with stage 1 and stage 2 disease. None of the antigenspecific therapy clinical trials delayed or stopped the autoimmune process preceding onset of T1D. Proinsulin and proinsulin DNA preserved C-peptide transiently. Treatment with GAD did not consistently meet primary efficacy endpoints in recent onset T1D

subjects (39, 44). Antigen-specific therapies induced a myriad of different responses including increased (45, 46) or blunted (38) antigen specific antibody response, increased (46, 47) or decreased (45, 48) T cell proliferative response, decrease in antigen induced IFN γ (38), increase in TGF β (47), IL-10 (49) or Th2 cytokine response (50), increased regulatory T cells (51) and decreased antigen specific CD8+ T cells (32). Thus, from trials that characterized the T-cell response, it is difficult to understand the reason for failure to achieve therapeutic benefit. A set of validated biomarker assays focused on the quantity and quality of islet antigen-specific T cells is needed to assess directly whether candidate therapies are achieving their mechanistic goals (52).

3 Preclinical antigen-specific therapies

Mechanistic studies in NOD mice, a spontaneous model for T1D, have helped us to understand aspects of antigen therapy in the context of autoimmune diabetes. In NOD mice proinsulin is the driver antigen for diabetes. Disabling proinsulin specific immune response by either deletion of proinsulin antigen (53) or deletion of proinsulin specific T cells prevents diabetes (29, 54). In contrast, a similar approach with GAD-65 (28, 55), IA-2 (56) or IGRP (30, 57, 58) did not prevent diabetes in NOD mice (28-30, 53-58). This indicates that proinsulin occupies a key position in the autoimmune responses against beta cells. As the disease progresses 'epitope spreading' occurs, when the number of antigens targeted by T cells increases (30). It is therefore not surprising that functional inactivation, or deletion, of T cells targeting disease-initiating autoantigens can blunt disease progression following early intervention, yet fail to have an impact at more advanced stages of disease (59), as is usually the case in human clinical trials. In order for antigen-specific therapies against T1D to be successful, treatment should induce T cells that can suppress immune responses to as wide an array of islet antigens as possible (60). Importantly, any antigens specific suppression should be measurable to determine if ongoing treatment is required to maintain immune tolerance status. We previously showed that deletion of IGRP specific T cells by expressing IGRP in the antigen presenting cells from birth did not protect NOD mice from diabetes (30). Expression of IGRP in the antigen presenting cells after 10 weeks of age when the IGRP-specific T cells have increased in number and developed into memory T cells after encountering antigen in the islet induced exhaustion of IGRPspecific T cells instead of deleting them (31). NOD mice can be protected from diabetes at a time when an immune response is established against multiple antigens by transgenic IGRP expression via inducing exhaustion in T cells specific for IGRP (31). This suggests a dominant tolerance mechanism i.e. that dominant tolerance to IGRP-inhibits T cells specific for other islet antigens. Dominant tolerance with protection from diabetes in NOD mice have also been noted with subcutaneous liposomal co-delivery of IGRP peptide with a Vitamin D3 analogue and nanoparticle coated with IGRP peptide-MHC class I complexes (61). These results show that inducing T-cell exhaustion rather than deletion of antigenspecific T cells is desirable.

4 T-cell exhaustion and T-cell regulation

T-cell exhaustion is a state of dysfunction in CD8+ T cells that develops during chronic antigen exposure. It is characterized by loss of functional capabilities such as cytokine production, cytotoxicity and proliferative capacity. Following stimulation, exhausted T cells have reduced capacity to generate effector CD8+ T cells and these T cells have decreased effector function. Exhausted T cells express multiple co-inhibitory receptors (e.g. CTLA-4, PD-1, LAG-3, TIM3, and TIGIT) and respond poorly to cytokines resulting in less longterm survival (62, 63). While T cell exhaustion has been described in CD4+ T cells, the field is still evolving. T cell exhaustion in CD8+ T cells is well established and hence we will focus the review on CD8+ T cell exhaustion.

Tumors and chronic viral infections exploit T cell exhaustion to avoid clearance by effector mechanisms. The exhausted phenotype results from a differentiation process in which T cells stably adjust their proliferative and effector capacity to a lower level and this phenotype is optimized to cause minimal tissue damage while still mediating a critical level of pathogen or tumor control. Exhausted T cells are not only hypofunctional but also upregulate molecules that suppress local T cell immunity such as CD39 and IL-10. A recent study has shown that CD39 expressing exhausted cells have suppressive capacity similar to conventional FoxP3 expressing CD4+ regulatory T cells (64). Several reports have identified that IL-10 secreting CD8+ T cells play a regulatory role in protecting against autoimmune disease (65). Conversely, blocking of IL-10 signaling improved the function of exhausted T cells in chronic viral infections (66) and simultaneous blockade of IL-10 and PD-1 pathways resulted in elimination of persistent viral infection (67).

Pathways related to T-cell exhaustion play an important role in restraining T cells in autoimmunity. It takes months (in mice) to years (in humans) after onset of autoimmunity to develop diabetes. In contrast, autoimmune diabetes is rapidly induced by blocking the PD-1 pathway in NOD mice (68). We and others have shown that rapid onset of T1D follows checkpoint inhibition in humans with pre-existing islet autoimmunity (69, 70). Recent studies have identified exhausted T cells in islets of NOD mice (71-73). Transcriptomic profiling of T cells from patients with autoimmunity showed that a T cell exhaustion signature correlated with a more benign form of autoimmune disease, indicating that mechanisms associated with T cell exhaustion may be important in controlling autoimmunity (74). Following teplizumab (anti-CD3) and alefacept (LFA-3_Ig fusion protein) treatment, subjects with a greater proportion of exhausted islet specific CD8+ T cells demonstrated slower progression of T1D (75, 76).

5 Lessons from clinical trials

Clinical trials using a broad range of immunotherapy strategies in new-onset T1D resulted in preservation of insulin secretory capacity. This pattern has been true for therapies that target different components of the immune response, including B-cell depletion (rituximab, anti-CD20) (77, 78), co-stimulation blockade (abatacept, CTLA4-Ig fusion protein) (79, 80), T cell depletion with low-dose anti-thymocyte globulin (2.5 mg/kg) (81) or suppressing T cell function with anti-CD3 (using teplizumab or otelixizumab) (82-85) and anti-CD2 (alefacept) (86, 87). Similar effects were also achieved using therapies directed against cytokines that contribute to the inflammatory islet environment, to inhibit the processes that support effector or memory T cells and suppress regulatory T cells. Inhibition of TNF- α (using the antibodies etanercept or golimumab) (88, 89) and anti-IL-21 (90), for example, resulted in preservation of C-peptide, although no benefit was seen with IL-6 receptor blockade (tocilizumab) (91) or IL-1B blockade (canakinumab) (92). An important question regarding approaches that target cytokines is whether blocking individual cytokines is sufficient, given the redundancy in cytokine pathways. The aim of treatments that target cytokines is to push the antigen experienced T cells towards a regulatory and anti-inflammatory pathway. However, it is not known whether the autoantigen exposure that naturally occurs in islets in T1D is sufficient to push towards regulatory/anti-inflammatory pathways given the preclinical data suggesting most of the islet reactive T cells reside in peripheral lymphoid organs (31) and minimal antigen exposure in the islets by the time of diagnosis of T1D. It is quite possible that it will be necessary to provide additional antigen, or TCR stimulation with anti CD3 antibody, simultaneously with cytokine blockade for the desired long-term response.

Until recently, the lack of sophisticated technologies had precluded deep analyses of T-cell subsets to represent meaningful immune alterations for clinical contexts. The use of MHC multimers and mass cytometry to phenotype T cells in recent trials has helped us better understand phenotypic and functional changes following treatment. T lymphocytes drive many arms of the immune response. T1D evolves over many years and this chronicity is likely to be due to a balance between the autoimmune attack and processes such as T cell regulation via Treg and T cell exhaustion, that reduce its effectiveness. Immunotherapy can alter this balance as has been shown in some of the recent clinical trials. Antithymocyte globulin in higher dose (6.5 mg/kg) was used to deplete cellular immune effector compartments as an attempt to arrest immune-mediated damage to beta cells (93). T-cell depletion was highly effective, however, there was also loss of regulatory T cells resulting in no alteration of the balance of effector and regulatory arms. There was no metabolic benefit from drug administration. With anti-CD3 antibody there was metabolic benefit (94, 95) and deep analysis of immune biomarkers revealed upregulation of markers of T cell exhaustion in CD8 T cells (76, 96). Instead of T cell depletion, anti CD3 treatment induced a developmental program towards a regulatory exhaustion phenotype. This pathway was also noted with anti-CD2 treatment and was associated with beneficial clinical outcome (75). While anti-CD3 and anti-CD2 were developed for inducing T cell deletion, clinical response was correlated with T cell exhaustion. This suggests that induction of an exhaustion phenotype rather than depletion of T cells is desirable for the best outcome. This is consistent with our data in NOD mice (31). Treatment with low

dose IL-2 to boost regulatory T cells in recent onset T1D resulted in expected expansion of regulatory T cells in treated subjects but the trial was terminated early due to unexpected rapid loss of C-peptide that implied potential acceleration of disease (97). Biomarker studies of these subjects documented no changes in T effector or memory cells but an increase in CD56hi NK effector cells due to IL2 stimulatory effect, counterbalancing the increased regulatory T cells.

6 Biomarkers

Biomarkers have potential applicability in multiple disease phases of T1D. Biomarkers could be used to predict disease onset, disease progression, stratify patients for appropriate treatment, and to monitor effect of treatment. Biomarkers could be particularly useful in determining the response to pharmacological treatment. Clinical trials in T1D measure C-peptide and various glycaemic parameters (HbA1c, glucose time in range, glycemic variability on continuous glucose monitoring) to provide an indirect assessment of beta-cell function. Measuring changes in the number and phenotype of immune cell subsets, as well as more specifically monitoring changes in (a) the immune populations that are directly related to the drug mechanism of action (eg, depletion of T cells with anti-thymocyte globulin or increasing in regulatory T cells with IL-2 treatment) and (b) the phenotype and function of islet antigen-specific T cells in response to treatment will be important for improving clinical trials. This information will also guide subsequent approaches to therapeutic interventions. There are excellent recent reviews on factors impeding progress toward the development of effective T-cell biomarkers in T1D (52, 98, 99).

Major assays in current use to identify and quantify isletreactive T cells include measuring proliferation via or dyedilution such as the CFSE-based proliferation assay (100), upregulation of activation markers upon stimulation (101), or measuring cytokine secretion (19) (eg ELISpot) following stimulation with islet associated protein or peptide. The underlying challenge of faced by all assays is the very low frequency of antigen specific T cells in the peripheral blood and small quantity of blood available for analysis particularly from children. The benefit of these assays is that reactivity to multiple different antigens, or epitopes, may be tested simultaneously, and the assay is not restricted to individuals with a particular HLA. However, detection depends on the ability of the T cells to respond to the stimuli by proliferation or cytokine production. With ELISPOT assay only a limited number of cytokines is measurable in a single assay. Quantifying multiple cytokines in stimulated cell assay supernatants using multiplex immunoassays (such as Luminex) has been used, but such approaches do not quantify the proportion of responding cells.

A more direct way to analyze T cells is by assessing the binding to labelled major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-peptide multimers. Using peptide–MHC multimers, the frequency of antigen specific T cells along with their phenotype and function can be analyzed, but the scope is reduced by technical limits to relatively small numbers of target peptides and HLA types. A limited number of parameters can be analyzed using standard flow cytometry and the number of parameters (up to 40) can be increased using spectral flow cytometry or mass cytometry. Using single-cell mass cytometry along with a combinatorial pooled peptide-loaded MHC tetramer staining approach, CD8+ T cell number, function and phenotype was assessed in the peripheral blood T1D subjects (76). Islet antigen specific T cells expressed CXCR3 indicating that these cells were activated and enroute to islets as inflamed islets express its ligand CXCL10 (102-104). Islet antigen specific T cells were phenotypically heterogenous but enriched either in memory or exhausted phenotypes. Memory phenotype of islet-specific T cells were more frequent in in subjects with rapid decline in C-peptide, whereas an exhausted phenotype was more prevalent in subjects whose C-peptide level was preserved or declined slowly. The exhaustion phenotype was confirmed functionally in that they proliferated less to stimulation.

The use of combinatorial multimers and mass cytometry has enabled deeper understanding into cell phenotype and antigen specificity in T1D (75, 76, 105, 106). They allow detection of up to 40-50 of predetermined markers. While this gives a thorough phenotypic picture of the cells it may limit the potential to discover novel markers that change with the treatment and determine the outcome of the treatment. There are challenges in ex vivo assays on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (52) such as low frequency of autoreactive T cells, high receptor diversity of autoreactive T cells, limited known T cell epitopes, low volume of blood for analysis and analysis of T cells usually limited to peripheral blood but islet antigen-specific T cells reside predominantly in the lymph nodes (and islets). Analysis of T cells from the islets and novel approach to identify neo-epitopes targeted by T cells (11, 13, 23) will make it possible to capture antigen-specific T cells more widely. These assays can be refined by information from comprehensive and indepth analysis and with unbiased approach such as scRNA-seq and single-cell TCR-seq to assess the clinical significance of T cells. For the immunomodulatory agents used in clinical trials for T1D, it is important to discover the pathways that change specifically in the islet antigen specific T cells following treatment. Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) through the identification of genes that are differentially expressed in T cells and other immune cells following treatment has potential to discover novel outcome determining markers after immunotherapy. Combining the use of combinatorial peptide MHC multimers and surface antibodies with scRNA-seq (cellular indexing and Transcriptomes and Epitopes by sequencing, Cite-seq) allows simultaneous capture of cell surface protein and mRNA expression of single cells allowing optimal annotation of cell populations and identification of rare islet antigen specific T cells (107, 108). Cite-seq allows multiplexing the pre, during and after treatment samples using cell hash-tagging to reduce the batch effects and costs. The recovered TCR sequences can be used to determine the T-cell clonality of multimer positive cells (107). This approach can be used to define distinct cell states and their molecular circuitry of rare antigen specific T cells and then link these features with distinct disease outcomes and has potential to help us understand the mechanisms that drive the disease pathology.



FIGURE 1

T cell monitoring during immune intervention in type 1 diabetes: Ex vivo assays on peripheral blood mononuclear cells are utilised for assessing drug efficacy and monitoring therapeutic response in clinical intervention trials. Basic research to identify neo-epitopes targeted by T cells will make it possible to capture antigen-specific T cells more widely. High-dimensional analysis of gene, protein and TCR expression will identify novel markers expressed on T cells during different stages of the disease and in response to treatment. This information will be utilised to refine ex vivo peripheral blood analysis to detect, quantify, and characterise T cell populations.

7 Future directions

Clinical trials in T1D give us the opportunity to perform indepth mechanistic studies to understand the disease process. The aim of the mechanistic study is to discover biomarkers and pathways in disease pathogenesis by unbiased analysis of proteins and genes in the relevant cells using modern technology. The discovered biomarkers can be further validated and applied to immune monitoring in further studies and clinical follow up using standard flow cytometry (Figure 1). The modern technology has just begun to be utilized in clinical trials of T1D. Further understanding the requirement of samples (processing time, transportation temperature of blood samples and effect of cryopreservation) for the modern assays is required. Also, the effect of age, pubertal status and different phases of disease on the expression of proteins and genes in the cells need to be understood for proper analysis and interpretation of the data. The cells are analyzed from peripheral blood, but cells from lymph nodes, spleen and islets should also be analyzed from T1D organ donors and compared to cells from peripheral blood. In T1D frequency of antigen specific T cells is low, the T cell-antigen interaction is low affinity, only a limited number of T-cell epitopes have been discovered and there is vast TCR diversity for a given epitope. All these factors make detection of antigen specific T cells difficult. Technological innovations in epitope discovery will allow multimer generation [eg., spheromers (109) to improve T cellantigen interaction particular incorporating hybrid peptides (13)] for the identification of antigen-specific T cells and increase the understanding of T-cell correlates of disease protection.

Author contributions

BK, ML, TK, HT and SM wrote the manuscript. BK produced the figure. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (GNT1150425) and the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (4-SRA-2020-912-M-B). St Vincent's Institute receives support from the Operational Infrastructure Support Scheme of the Government of Victoria.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Mannering SI, Pathiraja V, Kay TW. The case for an autoimmune aetiology of type 1 diabetes. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2016) 183(1):8–15. doi: 10.1111/cei.12699

2. Foster NC, Beck RW, Miller KM, Clements MA, Rickels MR, DiMeglio LA, et al. State of type 1 diabetes management and outcomes from the T1D exchange in 2016-2018. *Diabetes Technol Ther* (2019) 21(2):66–72. doi: 10.1089/dia.2018.0384

3. Barbosa J, Chern MM, Anderson VE, Noreen H, Johnson S, Reinsmoen N, et al. Linkage analysis between the major histocompatibility system and insulin-dependent diabetes in families with patients in two consecutive generations. *J Clin Invest* (1980) 65 (3):592–601. doi: 10.1172/JCI109704

4. Erlich H, Valdes AM, Noble J, Carlson JA, Varney M, Concannon P, et al. HLA DR-DQ haplotypes and genotypes and type 1 diabetes risk: analysis of the type 1 diabetes genetics consortium families. *Diabetes* (2008) 57(4):1084–92. doi: 10.2337/ db07-1331

5. Ziegler AG, Rewers M, Simell O, Simell T, Lempainen J, Steck A, et al. Seroconversion to multiple islet autoantibodies and risk of progression to diabetes in children. *Jama* (2013) 309(23):2473–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.6285

6. Insel RA, Dunne JL, Atkinson MA, Chiang JL, Dabelea D, Gottlieb PA, et al. Staging presymptomatic type 1 diabetes: a scientific statement of JDRF, the endocrine society, and the American diabetes association. *Diabetes Care* (2015) 38(10):1964–74. doi: 10.2337/dc15-1419

7. Pugliese A. Autoreactive T cells in type 1 diabetes. J Clin Invest (2017) 127 (8):2881–91. doi: 10.1172/JCI94549

8. Babon JA, DeNicola ME, Blodgett DM, Crevecoeur I, Buttrick TS, Maehr R, et al. Analysis of self-antigen specificity of islet-infiltrating T cells from human donors with type 1 diabetes. *Nat Med* (2016) 22(12):1482–7. doi: 10.1038/nm.4203

9. Mannering SI, Dromey JA, Morris JS, Thearle DJ, Jensen KP, Harrison LC. An efficient method for cloning human autoantigen-specific T cells. *J Immunol Methods* (2005) 298(1-2):83–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2005.01.001

10. Pathiraja V, Kuehlich JP, Campbell PD, Krishnamurthy B, Loudovaris T, Coates PT, et al. Proinsulin-specific, HLA-DQ8, and HLA-DQ8-transdimer-restricted CD4+ T cells infiltrate islets in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* (2015) 64(1):172–82. doi: 10.2337/db14-0858

11. So M, Elso CM, Tresoldi E, Pakusch M, Pathiraja V, Wentworth JM, et al. Proinsulin c-peptide is an autoantigen in people with type 1 diabetes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U States America* (2018) 115(42):10732–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1809208115

12. Kent SC, Chen Y, Bregoli L, Clemmings SM, Kenyon NS, Ricordi C, et al. Expanded T cells from pancreatic lymph nodes of type 1 diabetic subjects recognize an insulin epitope. *Nature* (2005) 435(7039):224–8. doi: 10.1038/nature03625

13. Delong T, Wiles TA, Baker RL, Bradley B, Barbour G, Reisdorph R, et al. Pathogenic CD4 T cells in type 1 diabetes recognize epitopes formed by peptide fusion. *Science* (2016) 351(6274):711–4. doi: 10.1126/science.aad2791

14. Coppieters KT, Dotta F, Amirian N, Campbell PD, Kay TW, Atkinson MA, et al. Demonstration of islet-autoreactive CD8 T cells in insulitic lesions from recent onset and long-term type 1 diabetes patients. *J Exp Med* (2012) 209(1):51–60. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20111187

15. Skowera A, Ellis RJ, Varela-Calvino R, Arif S, Huang GC, Van-Krinks C, et al. CTLs are targeted to kill beta cells in patients with type 1 diabetes through recognition of a glucose-regulated preproinsulin epitope. *J Clin Invest* (2008) 118(10):3390–402. doi: 10.1172/JCI35449

16. Bulek AM, Cole DK, Skowera A, Dolton G, Gras S, Madura F, et al. Structural basis for the killing of human beta cells by CD8(+) T cells in type 1 diabetes. *Nat Immunol* (2012) 13(3):283–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.2206

17. Rodriguez-Calvo T, Krogvold L, Amirian N, Dahl-Jorgensen K, von Herrath M. One in ten CD8(+) cells in the pancreas of living individuals with recent-onset type 1 diabetes recognizes the preproinsulin epitope PPI(15-24). *Diabetes* (2021) 70(3):752–8. doi: 10.2337/db20-0908

18. Martinuzzi E, Novelli G, Scotto M, Blancou P, Bach JM, Chaillous L, et al. The frequency and immunodominance of islet-specific CD8+ T-cell responses change after type 1 diabetes diagnosis and treatment. *Diabetes* (2008) 57(5):1312–20. doi: 10.2337/ db07-1594

19. Arif S, Tree TI, Astill TP, Tremble JM, Bishop AJ, Dayan CM, et al. Autoreactive T cell responses show proinflammatory polarization in diabetes but a regulatory phenotype in health. J Clin Invest (2004) 113(3):451–63. doi: 10.1172/JCI19585

20. Velthuis JH, Unger WW, Abreu JR, Duinkerken G, Franken K, Peakman M, et al. Simultaneous detection of circulating autoreactive CD8+ T-cells specific for different islet cell-associated epitopes using combinatorial MHC multimers. *Diabetes* (2010) 59(7):1721–30. doi: 10.2337/db09-1486

21. Oling V, Marttila J, Ilonen J, Kwok WW, Nepom G, Knip M, et al. GAD65- and proinsulin-specific CD4+ T-cells detected by MHC class II tetramers in peripheral blood of type 1 diabetes patients and at-risk subjects. *J Autoimmun* (2005) 25(3):235–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2005.09.018

22. Yang J, Danke NA, Berger D, Reichstetter S, Reijonen H, Greenbaum C, et al. Islet-specific glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit-related protein-reactive CD4+ T cells in human subjects. *J Immunol* (2006) 176(5):2781–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.5.2781

 Mannering SI, Harrison LC, Williamson NA, Morris JS, Thearle DJ, Jensen KP, et al. The insulin a-chain epitope recognized by human T cells is posttranslationally modified. J Exp Med (2005) 202(9):1191–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051251
Skowera A, Ladell K, McLaren JE, Dolton G, Matthews KK, Gostick E, et al.

24. Skowera A, Ladell K, McLaren JE, Dolton G, Matthews KK, Gostick E, et al. Beta-cell-specific CD8 T cell phenotype in type 1 diabetes reflects chronic autoantigen exposure. *Diabetes* (2014) 64(3):916–25. doi: 10.2337/db14-0332

25. Monti P, Scirpoli M, Rigamonti A, Mayr A, Jaeger A, Bonfanti R, et al. Evidence for *in vivo* primed and expanded autoreactive T cells as a specific feature of patients with type 1 diabetes. *J Immunol* (2007) 179(9):5785–92. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.9.5785

26. Jacobsen LM, Bundy BN, Greco MN, Schatz DA, Atkinson MA, Brusko TM, et al. Comparing beta cell preservation across clinical trials in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther* (2020) 22(12):948–53. doi: 10.1089/dia.2020.0305

27. Bluestone JA, Buckner JH, Herold KC. Immunotherapy: building a bridge to a cure for type 1 diabetes. *Science* (2021) 373(6554):510-6. doi: 10.1126/science.abh1654

28. Jaeckel E, Klein L, Martin-Orozco N, von Boehmer H. Normal incidence of diabetes in NOD mice tolerant to glutamic acid decarboxylase. *J Exp Med* (2003) 197 (12):1635–44. doi: 10.1084/jem.20030215

29. Jaeckel E, Lipes MA, von Boehmer H. Recessive tolerance to preproinsulin 2 reduces but does not abolish type 1 diabetes. *Nat Immunol* (2004) 5(10):1028–35. doi: 10.1038/ni1120

30. Krishnamurthy B, Dudek NL, McKenzie MD, Purcell AW, Brooks AG, Gellert S, et al. Responses against islet antigens in NOD mice are prevented by tolerance to proinsulin but not IGRP. *J Clin Invest* (2006) 116(12):3258–65. doi: 10.1172/JCI29602

31. Selck C, Jhala G, George DD, Kwong C-TJ, Christensen MK, Pappas E, et al. Extra-islet expression of islet antigen boosts T-cell exhaustion to prevent autoimmune diabetes. *bioRxiv* (2023). 2023.02.12.528226. doi: 10.1101/2023.02.12.528226

32. Roep BO, Solvason N, Gottlieb PA, Abreu JRF, Harrison LC, Eisenbarth GS, et al. Plasmid-encoded proinsulin preserves c-peptide while specifically reducing proinsulin-specific CD8(+) T cells in type 1 diabetes. *Sci Transl Med* (2013) 5 (191):191ra82. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3006103

33. Assfalg R, Knoop J, Hoffman KL, Pfirrmann M, Zapardiel-Gonzalo JM, Hofelich A, et al. Oral insulin immunotherapy in children at risk for type 1 diabetes in a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* (2021) 64(5):1079–92. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05376-1

34. Writing Committee for the Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet Oral Insulin Study G, Krischer JP, Schatz DA, Bundy B, Skyler JS, Greenbaum CJ. Effect of oral insulin on prevention of diabetes in relatives of patients with type 1 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *Jama* (2017) 318(19):1891–902. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17070

35. Alhadj Ali M, Liu YF, Arif S, Tatovic D, Shariff H, Gibson VB, et al. Metabolic and immune effects of immunotherapy with proinsulin peptide in human new-onset type 1 diabetes. *Sci Transl Med* (2017) 9(402):eaaf7779. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf7779

36. Bonifacio E, Ziegler AG, Klingensmith G, Schober E, Bingley PJ, Rottenkolber M, et al. Effects of high-dose oral insulin on immune responses in children at high risk for type 1 diabetes: the pre-POINT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* (2015) 313 (15):1541–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.2928

37. Vehik K, Cuthbertson D, Ruhlig H, Schatz DA, Peakman M, Krischer JP, et al. Long-term outcome of individuals treated with oral insulin: diabetes prevention trial-type 1 (DPT-1) oral insulin trial. *Diabetes Care* (2011) 34(7):1585–90. doi: 10.2337/dc11-0523

38. Fourlanos S, Perry C, Gellert SA, Martinuzzi E, Mallone R, Butler J, et al. Evidence that nasal insulin induces immune tolerance to insulin in adults with autoimmune diabetes. *Diabetes* (2011) 60(4):1237–45. doi: 10.2337/db10-1360

39. Ludvigsson J, Krisky D, Casas R, Battelino T, Castano L, Greening J, et al. GAD65 antigen therapy in recently diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus. *New Engl J Med* (2012) 366(5):433–42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107096

40. Wherrett DK, Bundy B, Becker DJ, DiMeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Antigen-based therapy with glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) vaccine in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised double-blind trial. *Lancet* (2011) 378 (9788):319–27. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60895-7

41. Casas R, Dietrich F, Puente-Marin S, Barcenilla H, Tavira B, Wahlberg J, et al. Intra-lymphatic administration of GAD-alum in type 1 diabetes: long-term follow-up and effect of a late booster dose (the DIAGNODE extension trial). *Acta Diabetol* (2022) 59(5):687–96. doi: 10.1007/s00592-022-01852-9

42. Tavira B, Barcenilla H, Wahlberg J, Achenbach P, Ludvigsson J, Casas R. Intralymphatic glutamic acid decarboxylase-alum administration induced Th2-Like-Specific immunomodulation in responder patients: a pilot clinical trial in type 1 diabetes. J Diabetes Res (2018) 2018;9391845. doi: 10.1155/2018/9391845

43. Elding Larsson H, Lundgren M, Jonsdottir B, Cuthbertson D, Krischer J, Di A-ITSG. Safety and efficacy of autoantigen-specific therapy with 2 doses of alumformulated glutamate decarboxylase in children with multiple islet autoantibodies and risk for type 1 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *Pediatr Diabetes* (2018) 19 (3):410–9. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12611

44. Ludvigsson J, Faresjo M, Hjorth M, Axelsson S, Cheramy M, Pihl M, et al. GAD treatment and insulin secretion in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2008) 359(18):1909–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0804328

45. Harrison LC, Honeyman MC, Steele CE, Stone NL, Sarugeri E, Bonifacio E, et al. Pancreatic beta-cell function and immune responses to insulin after administration of intranasal insulin to humans at risk for type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* (2004) 27 (10):2348–55. doi: 10.2337/diacare.27.10.2348

46. Axelsson S, Cheramy M, Akerman L, Pihl M, Ludvigsson J, Casas R. Cellular and humoral immune responses in type 1 diabetic patients participating in a phase III GAD-alum intervention trial. *Diabetes Care* (2013) 36(11):3418–24. doi: 10.2337/dc12-2251

47. Orban T, Farkas K, Jalahej H, Kis J, Treszl A, Falk B, et al. Autoantigen-specific regulatory T cells induced in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus by insulin b-chain immunotherapy. *J Autoimmun* (2010) 34(4):408–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2009.10.005

48. Greenbaum CJ, McCulloch-Olson M, Chiu HK, Palmer JP, Brooks-Worrell B. Parenteral insulin suppresses T cell proliferation to islet antigens. *Pediatr Diabetes* (2011) 12(3 Pt 1):150–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-5448.2010.00674.x

49. Thrower SL, James L, Hall W, Green KM, Arif S, Allen JS, et al. Proinsulin peptide immunotherapy in type 1 diabetes: report of a first-in-man phase I safety study. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2009) 155(2):156–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2008.03814.x

50. Axelsson S, Cheramy M, Hjorth M, Pihl M, Akerman L, Martinuzzi E, et al. Long-lasting immune responses 4 years after GAD-alum treatment in children with type 1 diabetes. *PloS One* (2011) 6(12):e29008. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029008

51. Hjorth M, Axelsson S, Ryden A, Faresjo M, Ludvigsson J, Casas R. GAD-alum treatment induces GAD65-specific CD4+CD25highFOXP3+ cells in type 1 diabetic patients. *Clin Immunol* (2011) 138(1):117–26. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2010.10.004

52. Ahmed S, Cerosaletti K, James E, Long SA, Mannering S, Speake C, et al. Standardizing T-cell biomarkers in type 1 diabetes: challenges and recent advances. *Diabetes* (2019) 68(7):1366–79. doi: 10.2337/db19-0119

53. Nakayama M, Abiru N, Moriyama H, Babaya N, Liu E, Miao D, et al. Prime role for an insulin epitope in the development of type 1 diabetes in NOD mice. *Nature* (2005) 435(7039):220-3. doi: 10.1038/nature03523

54. French MB, Allison J, Cram DS, Thomas HE, Dempsey-Collier M, Silva A, et al. Transgenic expression of mouse proinsulin II prevents diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice. *Diabetes* (1997) 46(1):34–9. doi: 10.2337/diab.46.1.34

55. Kash SF, Condie BG, Baekkeskov S. Glutamate decarboxylase and GABA in pancreatic islets: lessons from knock-out mice. *Horm Metab Res* (1999) 31(5):340–4. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-978750

56. Kubosaki A, Miura J, Notkins AL. IA-2 is not required for the development of diabetes in NOD mice. *Diabetologia* (2004) 47(1):149–50. doi: 10.1007/s00125-003-1252-z

57. Oeser JK, Parekh VV, Wang Y, Jegadeesh NK, Sarkar SA, Wong R, et al. Deletion of the G6pc2 gene encoding the islet-specific glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit-related protein does not affect the progression or incidence of type 1 diabetes in NOD/ShiLtJ mice. *Diabetes* (2011) 60(11):2922–7. doi: 10.2337/db11-0220

58. Wang J, Tsai S, Shameli A, Yamanouchi J, Alkemade G, Santamaria P. *In situ* recognition of autoantigen as an essential gatekeeper in autoimmune CD8+ T cell inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U States America* (2010) 107(20):9317–22. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913835107

59. Jhala G, Chee J, Trivedi PM, Selck C, Gurzov EN, Graham KL, et al. Perinatal tolerance to proinsulin is sufficient to prevent autoimmune diabetes. *JCI Insight* (2016) 1(10):e86065. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.86065

60. Tsai S, Shameli A, Yamanouchi J, Clemente-Casares X, Wang J, Serra P, et al. Reversal of autoimmunity by boosting memory-like autoregulatory T cells. *Immunity* (2010) 32(4):568–80. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.03.015

61. Buckle I, Loaiza Naranjo JD, Bergot AS, Zhang V, Talekar M, Steptoe RJ, et al. Tolerance induction by liposomes targeting a single CD8 epitope IGRP(206-214) in a model of type 1 diabetes is impeded by co-targeting a CD4(+) islet epitope. *Immunol Cell Biol* (2022) 100(1):33–48. doi: 10.1111/imcb.12506

62. Le Mercier I, Lines JL, Noelle RJ. Beyond CTLA-4 and PD-1, the generation z of negative checkpoint regulators. *Front Immunol* (2015) 6:418. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00418

63. Okazaki T, Chikuma S, Iwai Y, Fagarasan S, Honjo T. A rheostat for immune responses: the unique properties of PD-1 and their advantages for clinical application. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14(12):1212–8. doi: 10.1038/ni.2762

64. Vignali PDA, DePeaux K, Watson MJ, Ye C, Ford BR, Lontos K, et al. Hypoxia drives CD39-dependent suppressor function in exhausted T cells to limit antitumor immunity. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 24(2):267–79. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01379-9

65. Cook DP, Cunha J, Martens PJ, Sassi G, Mancarella F, Ventriglia G, et al. Intestinal delivery of proinsulin and IL-10 via lactococcus lactis combined with lowdose anti-CD3 restores tolerance outside the window of acute type 1 diabetes diagnosis. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1103. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01103

66. Ejrnaes M, Filippi CM, Martinic MM, Ling EM, Togher LM, Crotty S, et al. Resolution of a chronic viral infection after interleukin-10 receptor blockade. *J Exp Med* (2006) 203(11):2461–72. doi: 10.1084/jem.20061462

67. Brooks DG, Ha SJ, Elsaesser H, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ, Oldstone MB. IL-10 and PD-L1 operate through distinct pathways to suppress T-cell activity during persistent viral infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U States America* (2008) 105(51):20428– 33. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811139106

68. Ansari MJ, Salama AD, Chitnis T, Smith RN, Yagita H, Akiba H, et al. The programmed death-1 (PD-1) pathway regulates autoimmune diabetes in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. J Exp Med (2003) 198(1):63–9. doi: 10.1084/jem.20022125

69. Galligan A, Xu W, Fourlanos S, Nankervis A, Chiang C, Mant AM, et al. Diabetes associated with immune checkpoint inhibition: presentation and management challenges. *Diabetes Med* (2018) 35(9):1283–90. doi: 10.1111/dme.13762

70. Stamatouli AM, Quandt Z, Perdigoto AL, Clark PL, Kluger H, Weiss SA, et al. Collateral damage: insulin-dependent diabetes induced with checkpoint inhibitors. *Diabetes* (2018) 67(8):1471-80. doi: 10.2337/dbi18-0002

71. Grebinoski S, Zhang Q, Cillo AR, Manne S, Xiao H, Brunazzi EA, et al. Autoreactive CD8(+) T cells are restrained by an exhaustion-like program that is maintained by LAG3. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 23(6):868–77. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01210-5

72. Ciecko AE, Schauder DM, Foda B, Petrova G, Kasmani MY, Burns R, et al. Selfrenewing islet TCF1(+) CD8 T cells undergo IL-27-Controlled differentiation to become TCF1(-) terminal effectors during the progression of type 1 diabetes. *J Immunol* (2021) 207(8):1990–2004. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100362

73. Zakharov PN, Hu H, Wan X, Unanue ER. Single-cell RNA sequencing of murine islets shows high cellular complexity at all stages of autoimmune diabetes. *J Exp Med* (2020) 217(6):e20192362. doi: 10.1084/jem.20192362

74. McKinney EF, Lee JC, Jayne DR, Lyons PA, Smith KG. T-Cell exhaustion, costimulation and clinical outcome in autoimmunity and infection. *Nature* (2015) 523 (7562):612–6. doi: 10.1038/nature14468

75. Diggins KE, Serti E, Muir V, Rosasco M, Lu T, Balmas E, et al. Exhausted-like CD8+ T cell phenotypes linked to c-peptide preservation in alefacept-treated T1D subjects. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6(3):e142680. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.142680

76. Wiedeman AE, Muir VS, Rosasco MG, DeBerg HA, Presnell S, Haas B, et al. Autoreactive CD8+ T cell exhaustion distinguishes subjects with slow type 1 diabetes progression. J Clin Invest (2020) 130(1):480–90. doi: 10.1172/JCI126595

77. Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Bundy B, Becker DJ, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. B-lymphocyte depletion with rituximab and beta-cell function: two-year results. *Diabetes Care* (2014) 37(2):453–9. doi: 10.2337/dc13-0626

78. Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Krause-Steinrauf H, Becker DJ, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Rituximab, b-lymphocyte depletion, and preservation of beta-cell function. *New Engl J Med* (2009) 361(22):2143–52. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0904452

79. Orban T, Bundy B, Becker DJ, Dimeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Costimulation modulation with abatacept in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: follow-up 1 year after cessation of treatment. *Diabetes Care* (2014) 37(4):1069–75. doi: 10.2337/dc13-0604

80. Orban T, Bundy B, Becker DJ, DiMeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Co-Stimulation modulation with abatacept in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* (2011) 378(9789):412–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60886-6

81. Haller MJ, Gitelman SE, Gottlieb PA, Michels AW, Rosenthal SM, Shuster JJ, et al. Anti-thymocyte globulin/G-CSF treatment preserves beta cell function in patients with established type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Invest* (2015) 125(1):448–55. doi: 10.1172/JCI78492

82. Herold KC, Hagopian W, Auger JA, Poumian-Ruiz E, Taylor L, Donaldson D, et al. Anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody in new-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. *New Engl J Med* (2002) 346(22):1692–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012864

83. Keymeulen B, van Maurik A, Inman D, Oliveira J, McLaughlin R, Gittelman RM, et al. A randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-finding safety and tolerability study of the anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody otelixizumab in new-onset type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* (2021) 64(2):313–24. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05317-y

84. Keymeulen B, Vandemeulebroucke E, Ziegler AG, Mathieu C, Kaufman L, Hale G, et al. Insulin needs after CD3-antibody therapy in new-onset type 1 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2005) 352(25):2598–608. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043980

85. Herold KC, Bundy BN, Long SA, Bluestone JA, DiMeglio LA, Dufort MJ, et al. An anti-CD3 antibody, teplizumab, in relatives at risk for type 1 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2019) 381(7):603–13. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1902226

86. Rigby MR, DiMeglio LA, Rendell MS, Felner EI, Dostou JM, Gitelman SE, et al. Targeting of memory T cells with alefacept in new-onset type 1 diabetes (T1DAL study): 12 month results of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2013) 1(4):284–94. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(13) 70111-6

87. Rigby MR, Harris KM, Pinckney A, DiMeglio LA, Rendell MS, Felner EI, et al. Alefacept provides sustained clinical and immunological effects in new-onset type 1 diabetes patients. *J Clin Invest* (2015) 125(8):3285–96. doi: 10.1172/JCI81722

88. Quattrin T, Haller MJ, Steck AK, Felner EI, Li Y, Xia Y, et al. Golimumab and beta-cell function in youth with new-onset type 1 diabetes. *New Engl J Med* (2020) 383 (21):2007–17. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2006136

89. Mastrandrea L, Yu J, Behrens T, Buchlis J, Albini C, Fourtner S, et al. Etanercept treatment in children with new-onset type 1 diabetes: pilot randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind study. *Diabetes Care* (2009) 32(7):1244–9. doi: 10.2337/dc09-0054

90. von Herrath M, Bain SC, Bode B, Clausen JO, Coppieters K, Gaysina L, et al. Anti-interleukin-21 antibody and liraglutide for the preservation of beta-cell function in adults with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* (2021) 9(4):212–24. doi: 10.1016/ S2213-8587(21)00019-X

91. Greenbaum CJ, Serti E, Lambert K, Weiner LJ, Kanaparthi S, Lord S, et al. IL-6 receptor blockade does not slow beta cell loss in new-onset type 1 diabetes. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6(21):e150074. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.150074

92. Moran A, Bundy B, Becker DJ, DiMeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R, et al. Nterleukin-1 antagonism in type 1 diabetes of recent onset: two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Lancet* (2013) 381(9881):1905–15. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60023-9

93. Gitelman SE, Gottlieb PA, Felner EI, Willi SM, Fisher LK, Moran A, et al. Antithymocyte globulin therapy for patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: 2 year results of a randomised trial. *Diabetologia* (2016) 59(6):1153–61. doi: 10.1007/s00125-016-3917-4

94. Hagopian W, Ferry RJJr., Sherry N, Carlin D, Bonvini E, Johnson S, et al. Teplizumab preserves c-peptide in recent-onset type 1 diabetes: two-year results from the randomized, placebo-controlled protege trial. *Diabetes* (2013) 62(11):3901–8. doi: 10.2337/db13-0236

95. Herold KC, Gitelman SE, Ehlers MR, Gottlieb PA, Greenbaum CJ, Hagopian W, et al. Teplizumab (anti-CD3 mAb) treatment preserves c-peptide responses in patients with new-onset type 1 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial: metabolic and immunologic features at baseline identify a subgroup of responders. *Diabetes* (2013) 62(11):3766-74. doi: 10.2337/db13-0345

96. Perdigoto AL, Preston-Hurlburt P, Clark P, Long SA, Linsley PS, Harris KM, et al. Treatment of type 1 diabetes with teplizumab: clinical and immunological followup after 7 years from diagnosis. *Diabetologia* (2019) 62(4):655–64. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4786-9

97. Long SA, Rieck M, Sanda S, Bollyky JB, Samuels PL, Goland R, et al. Rapamycin/ IL-2 combination therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes augments tregs yet transiently impairs beta-cell function. *Diabetes* (2012) 61(9):2340–8. doi: 10.2337/ db12-0049

98. Odegard JM, Nepom GT, Wambre E. Biomarkers for antigen immunotherapy in allergy and type 1 diabetes. *Clin Immunol* (2015) 161(1):44-50. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2015.05.023

99. Yang JHM, Ward-Hartstonge KA, Perry DJ, Blanchfield JL, Posgai AL, Wiedeman AE, et al. Guidelines for standardizing T-cell cytometry assays to link biomarkers, mechanisms, and disease outcomes in type 1 diabetes. *Eur J Immunol* (2022) 52(3):372–88. doi: 10.1002/eji.202049067

100. Mannering SI, Morris JS, Jensen KP, Purcell AW, Honeyman MC, van Endert PM, et al. A sensitive method for detecting proliferation of rare autoantigen-specific

human T cells. J Immunol Methods (2003) 283(1-2):173-83. doi: 10.1016/ j.jim.2003.09.004

101. Yeo L, Woodwyk A, Sood S, Lorenc A, Eichmann M, Pujol-Autonell I, et al. Autoreactive T effector memory differentiation mirrors beta cell function in type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Invest* (2018) 128(8):3460–74. doi: 10.1172/JCI120555

102. Roep BO, Kleijwegt FS, van Halteren AG, Bonato V, Boggi U, Vendrame F, et al. Islet inflammation and CXCL10 in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2010) 159(3):338–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.04087.x

103. Uno S, Imagawa A, Saisho K, Okita K, Iwahashi H, Hanafusa T, et al. Expression of chemokines, CXC chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10) and CXCR3 in the inflamed islets of patients with recent-onset autoimmune type 1 diabetes. *Endocr J* (2010) 57(11):991–6. doi: 10.1507/endocrj.K10E-076

104. Tanaka S, Nishida Y, Aida K, Maruyama T, Shimada A, Suzuki M, et al. Enterovirus infection, CXC chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10), and CXCR3 circuit: a mechanism of accelerated beta-cell failure in fulminant type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* (2009) 58(10):2285–91. doi: 10.2337/db09-0091

105. Damond N, Engler S, Zanotelli VRT, Schapiro D, Wasserfall CH, Kusmartseva I, et al. A map of human type 1 diabetes progression by imaging mass cytometry. *Cell Metab* (2019) 29(3):755–68 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.11.014

106. Wang YJ, Traum D, Schug J, Gao L, Liu C, Consortium H, et al. Multiplexed *In situ* imaging mass cytometry analysis of the human endocrine pancreas and immune system in type 1 diabetes. *Cell Metab* (2019) 29(3):769–83 e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.01.003

107. Ma KY, Schonnesen AA, He C, Xia AY, Sun E, Chen E, et al. High-throughput and high-dimensional single-cell analysis of antigen-specific CD8(+) T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22(12):1590–8. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01073-2

108. Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Chattopadhyay PK, Swerdlow H, et al. Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. *Nat Methods* (2017) 14(9):865–8. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4380

109. Mallajosyula V, Ganjavi C, Chakraborty S, McSween AM, Pavlovitch-Bedzyk AJ, Wilhelmy J, et al. CD8(+) T cells specific for conserved coronavirus epitopes correlate with milder disease in COVID-19 patients. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6(61): eabg5669. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abg5669