Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jianmin Ye, South China Normal University, China

REVIEWED BY Xu-Jie Zhang, Huazhong Agricultural University, China Cristobal Espinosa Ruiz, University of Murcia, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Nan Wu wunan@ihb.ac.cn Xiao-Qin Xia Xiao;Qin Xia

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 26 March 2023 ACCEPTED 24 April 2023 PUBLISHED 12 May 2023

CITATION

Zhao X, Liu Y, Xie J, Zhang L, Zhu Q, Su L, Guo C, Li H, Wang G, Zhang W, Cheng Y, Wu N and Xia X-Q (2023) The manipulation of cell suspensions from zebrafish intestinal mucosa contributes to understanding enteritis. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1193977. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1193977

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhao, Liu, Xie, Zhang, Zhu, Su, Guo, Li, Wang, Zhang, Cheng, Wu and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The manipulation of cell suspensions from zebrafish intestinal mucosa contributes to understanding enteritis

Xuyang Zhao^{1,2†}, Yuhang Liu^{1,2†}, Jiayuan Xie^{1,3}, Lei Zhang^{1,3}, Qingsong Zhu^{1,2}, Lian Su^{1,3}, Cheng Guo^{1,3}, Heng Li^{1,3}, Guangxin Wang¹, Wanting Zhang¹, Yingyin Cheng¹, Nan Wu^{1,3*} and Xiao-Qin Xia^{1,3*}

¹Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China, ²College of Fisheries and Life Science, Dalian Ocean University, Dalian, China, ³College of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Background: Although zebrafish are commonly used to study intestinal mucosal immunity, no dedicated procedure for isolating immune cells from zebrafish intestines is currently available. A speedy and simple operating approach for preparing cell suspension from mucosa has been devised to better understanding of intestinal cellular immunity in zebrafish.

Methods and results: The mucosal villi were separated away from the muscle layer by repeated blows. The complete deprivation of mucosa was done and evidenced by HE and *qPCR* results. Higher expression of both innate (*mpeg1*, *mpx*, and *lck*) and adaptive immune genes (zap70, blnk, foxp3a, and foxp3b) was revealed compared to cells obtained by typical mesh rubbing. The cytometric results also revealed that the tested operation group had a higher concentration and viability. Further, fluorescent-labelled immune cells from 3mo Tg(lyz:DsRED2), Tg(mpeg1:EGFP), Tg (Rag2:DsRED), and Tg(lck:EGFP), were isolated and evaluated for the proportion, and immune cells' type could be inferred from the expression of marker genes. The transcriptomic data demonstrated that the intestinal immune cell suspension made using the new technique was enriched in immune-related genes and pathways, including il17a/f, il22, cd59, and zap70, as well as pattern recognition receptor signaling and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction. In addition, the low expression of DEG for the adherent and close junctions indicated less muscular contamination. Also, lower expression of gel-forming mucus-associated genes in the mucosal cell suspension was consistent with the current less viscous cell suspension. To apply and validate the developed manipulation, enteritis was induced by soybean meal diet, and immune cell suspensions were analyzed by flow cytometry and gPCR. The finding that in enteritis samples, there was inflammatory increase of neutrophils and macrophages, was in line with upregulated cytokines (il8 and il10) and cell markers (mpeg1 and mpx).

Conclusion: As a result, the current work created a realistic technique for studying intestinal immune cells in zebrafish. The immune cells acquired may aid in further research and knowledge of intestinal illness at the cellular level.

KEYWORDS

zebrafish, intestine, cell suspension, flow cytometry, SBMIE, transcriptome

Introduction

Fish mucosal immunity has developed as a prominent study topic in aquaculture over the last decade. Gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) is one of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissues (MALT) in fish that plays a vital function in treating foodborne antigens and balancing intestinal microbiota (1). Unlike mammalian gut-associated structured lymphoid tissues such as mesenteric lymph nodes and Peyer's patches, fish GALT only contains the more widely dispersed immune cells in the intestinal epithelium.

As to the MALT studied in many fish species, such as goldfish (Carassiusauratus) (2), dogfish (Scyliorhinuscanicula L.) (3), bloch (Channa Punctatus) (4), and carp (CyprinuscarpioL.) (5), there are no organized follicles. The crypt-villus tissue architecture in combination with rapid cell turnover enables the intestine to play an immune barrier role. Continuous tissue replacement in mammals is fueled by continually dividing stem cells at the bottom of crypts (6). Consequently, as in medaka (7) the germinal centers of fish GALT may also lie in the crypt of intestinal villi. Tissue-resident immune cells differ significantly from blood cells (8). In fish, gut immune cells are heterogeneous and changeable. The immune system of the fish gut also plays an important role in maintaining the immune barrier by developing tolerance to antigens from the diet and commensal bacteria, while providing an effective response to pathogens. Therefore, it is important to study how an immune response of the gut is triggered and what the outcomes are at the cellular level.

By light and electron microscopic observation, it has been found in goldfish that the epithelium contains a number of migrating leukocytes, including lymphocytes and lymphoblasts, macrophages and heterophils (2). According to the ontology study of carp intestinal immune cells, WCL38⁺ intraepithelial lymphocytes and WCL15⁺ macrophages were detected in both LP layers (9). Increasing experimental evidence suggests that fish lymphocytes may share developmental, morphological and functional features with mammalian innate lymphocytes. The intestine is the most important lymphoid tissue for T cells in fish. Purified intestinal T cells from sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) showed increased expression of RAG -1, TcR α , TcR γ , CD8 α and CD4 (10).

The intestinal mucosa in fish consists of three compartments: Epithelium, lamina propria and muscularis mucosae. The epithelial cells in the IEL (intestinal epithelial layer) act as an immune barrier, and the goblet cells that secrete mucin could support the interaction between the mucosal surface and microorganisms. The eosinophil granule cells of fish, which resemble mammalian Paneth cells in their possession of lysozyme-containing granules (11), could also secrete granules. Below the IEL is the LP (lamina propria), which contains mainly lymphocyte- and macrophage-like cells (3) that can defend against invaders (antigens). In contrast, there are few immune cells in the muscularis mucosae, only with resident macrophages (12).

The dynamic recruitment or infiltration of immune cells in the gut is of great importance in both physiology and pathology. Signals originating from both innate and adaptive cells regulate the activation of innate lymphocytes in non-lymphoid tissues, contributing to mucosal inflammation and disease (13). In zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), lymphocytes colonize the gut as early as 5 days after fertilization and increase in number during the inflammatory process (14). The extent of accumulation and the number of immune cells infiltrating the LP and the IEL increased in fish when exposed to pathogens (such as *Aeromonas hydrophila*) or food allergens.

In aquaculture, the substitution of fishmeal with vegetable proteins such as soymeal brings with it many antinutrients, leading to disruption of the intestinal villi both structurally and functionally (15). Because the zebrafish is genetically defensible and optically accessible, it has been used to study the cellular and molecular mechanisms of fish diseases (16). The zebrafish has been used to study the pathology of enteritis (17), intestinal dysplasia (18), IBD triggered by chemicals (such as TNBS and DSS) (19, 20) and food-borne enteritis (21–23). Since food-borne enteritis not only causes mucosal injury but also accelerates metaflammation in aquaculture (24), soybean meal-induced enteritis (SBMIE) was widely to modelling enteritis for both inflammatory infiltration of immune cells and typical Th17 response in the gut (14) and fatty deposits in the liver (23).

However, the isolation of GALT's immune cells has been performed in several cultured fish species, such as rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (25), carp and turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) (26). In order to analyze the proportion of immune cells in the gut, the preparation of single cells is a crucial part of flow cytometric analysis of the gut (27). However, the preparation of cell suspensions from the zebrafish gut is not yet feasible due to the more minute and fragile structure compared to cultured fish species. Enzymatic digestion would compromise cell viability in the thin mucosa of the zebrafish gut, and mechanical dissociation with tissue dissociation instruments is difficult to control in very small tissue.

According to our previous findings in the SBMIE zebrafish model, the cells of the innate immune system (neutrophils and macrophages) responded immediately and actively in the intestine to food-induced enteritis. To facilitate the study of the regulatory mechanism of the intestinal immune system at the cellular level, the isolation of intestinal immune cells from zebrafish, particularly in fluorescently labelled lines, was performed by optimizing the manipulation. Subsequently, transcriptomic analysis was performed after cell sorting by flow cytometry to verify cell populations. In addition, the expression of inflammatory genes was verified in the SBMIE model. The current working and analysis method for zebrafish is useful to understand the gut immune response at the cellular and molecular level, both in healthy and diseased animals.

Materials and methods

Zebrafish and husbandry

The wild-type AB zebrafish and transgenic lines, including *Tg* (*lyz:DsRED2*) (labeling neutrophils (28), https://zfin.org/ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-071109-3), *Tg*(*rag2:DsRed*) (labeling lymphocytes

(29), http://zfin.org/ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-131022-4), *Tg(lck:EGFP)* (labeling T lymphocytes (30), https://zfin.org/ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-070117-48), *Tg(mpeg1:EGFP)* (labeling macrophages (31), http://zfifin.org/ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-120117-1), were purchased from the China Zebrafish Resource Center (CZRC). Then, the double labeled fish*Tg(lyz:DsRED2);Tg(mpeg1: EGFP)* were obtained by crossing related strains. Zebrafish was maintained, raised, and reproduced as previously described (21).

Diets and the feeding trail

The feeding of zebrafish larvae began with the feeding of *Paramecium caudatum* at 6 dpf (day post fertilization) until they were able to survive by feeding on live brine shrimp. Thereafter, the zebrafish were fed brine shrimp for at least 3 months. To model SBMIE (soybean meal-induced enteritis) in the zebrafish, the experimental diets (soybean meal diet (50SBM) or fish meal diet) were fed as previously described (21, 32). 3-month-old zebrafish (n = 150) were kept in 6 tanks (3L volume). The fish were fed fishmeal for a fortnight. Afterwards, the fish were fed twice daily with soybean meal or fish meal (as control) for one week.

Sampling

For sampling, all reagents and tools were pre-sterilized or disinfected with 75% ethanol. Zebrafish were euthanized with 0.2mg/ml tricaine methanesulfonate (MS -222, Sigma-Aldrich) solution after fasting for 24 hours to promote intestinal emptying (33). The tail of the zebrafish was cut off with a sharp scalpel and then the body dissected to obtain the internal organs. Separate the whole intestine with its orientation, stretch it out and roll it gently on the absorbent paper to loosen the sticky mesentery (34) with tweezers. The operation to divide the zebrafish intestine was performed as previously described (35, 36), with some modifications. The hindgut tissue (last third segment) was prepared for haematoxylin-eosin staining (HE), meanwhile cell samples were collected for quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) or preparation of gut cell suspensions for flow cytometry analysis (e.g. fluorescence activated cell sorting, FACS). Blood was collected from the tail and 0.1 ml of 10mg/ml heparin sodium was added to prevent clotting. Single cell suspensions from the internal tissues, including intestine, liver, kidney and spleen, were obtained by filtering the minced tissue through a 300 mm nylon mesh (37). For liver, kidney and spleen, the tissue was rubbed several times in a small amount of cold PBS with 1% FBS (fetal bovine serum) on the nylon mesh before filtration.

Histological analysis

The hindgut was used for HE staining. One half was fixed directly with paraformaldehyde, while the other half was used to

prepare a cell suspension. The remaining tissue after preparing the intestinal cell suspension was also fixed with paraformaldehyde. All samples were cut into sections $(10\mu m)$ and stained as previously described (23).

Manipulation of single cell suspension from zebrafish intestinal mucosa

First, the hindgut (last third of the intestine) were dissected from adult zebrafish (about 3 mouth-old), and then was lengthwise cutting with a micro-eye scissor (Zrbiorise). The intestinal tissue was transferred to 400µl of cold PBS (4°C) in a 1.5ml pre-cold microtube (on ice) with vortexing (on the Vortex Mixer, Shanghai Huxi, WH-2) for 2 seconds to dissociate the attaching mucus. The tissue was then transferred to 1.5ml cold PBS (4°C) containing 1% FBS (fetal bovine serum). Meanwhile, 200µl micropipette was adjusted to the volume of 150µl, and the tip was cut off at the front end with a sharp scalpel. This was to ensure that the intestinal tissue could easily pass though. Finally, to release the immune cells in epithelial and LP layers, the hindgut tissue was blowing repeatedly, until the tissue looked semitransparent. Additionally, for the liver, kidney and spleen of the zebrafish, this step ended with the tissue being minced without any obvious tissue fragments being present.

Only the cell suspension was kept to be filtered through a 300 mesh nylon sieve and lightly centrifuged (600 g for 5 min at 4°C). After discarding the supernatant, the cells were resuspended in the flask in an additional 300µl of cold PBS containing 1% FBS. The cells could be washed a second time by gentle centrifugation. 300µl cold PBS with 1% FBS was added to dilute the suspension and prevent the cells from becoming entangled by mucus secretion. During all steps, the cells were kept on ice at all times to ensure cell viability. Finally, the cells could be immediately analyzed with a cytometer.

Evaluating cell viability, morphology and fluorescence

The properties of the intestinal cell suspension obtained, including cell viability, morphology and fluorescence, were evaluated using both an automatic cell counter (Countstar) and a fluorescence microscope (Olympus). To check cell viability, acridine orange/propidium iodide (AO/PI) was used to label dead cells with red fluorescence, while living cells were genetically visualized with green fluorescence. 10 ul AO/PI were added to the same volume of homogenised cell suspension. After mixing, the cell suspension was immediately transferred to the slide to carefully observe the cell size and quantity. To determine the fluorescence properties, the cell suspension samples of the genetically fluorescently labelled transgenic strains were examined under the fluorescence channels.

RNA extraction and qPCR analysis

Total RNA extraction of intestinal tissue and mucosal cells after centrifugation was performed with Trizol (Invitrogen). RNA was quantified using Nanodrop2000 (Thermo Fisher) and its integrity was checked on a 1% agarose gel. cDNA was synthesized from RNA samples (600 ng) using HiScript[®] II QRT SuperMix for qPCR with gDNA wiper (Vazyme). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX MaestroTM (Bio-Rad) instrument with 6ng cDNA per well using Hieff[®] qPCR SYBR[®] Green Master Mix (Yeasen). The run was 95° C for 5 minutes, then 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 15 seconds, 72° C for 60 seconds with 41 cycles. Each reaction was performed in triplicate. The qPCR analysis was performed according to previously published procedures (38, 39) with rpl13a serving as the endogenous control. The average Δ Ct value was calculated by subtracting the control Δ Ct value from the treated Δ Ct value. The relative amount of mRNA was calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. The primers used in the current study were partially cited from published articles or designed and tested using the primer BLAST in NCBI. The primers used are summarized in Table 1.

Flow cytometry analysis

To count dead cells, after centrifugation and removal of supernatant, cells were diluted with 100 ul of cold 1×DAPI (Coolaber) for 5 minutes. The suspension was then immediately diluted with 300 μ l cold PBS containing 1% FBS to prevent cell adhesion caused by mucus formation and then filtered through a 300 mesh nylon to prevent clogging of the tubes for the flow cytometer. The specific workflow refers to the previously published protocol (40). Briefly, the definition of the different cell types was done by a large number of events, and the identification of

TABLE 1 All primers used for qPCR analysis of enteritis related genes.

live or dead cells was visualized by iodopropylidine (PI) staining. On the flow cytometry (CytoFLEX S), the parameters, such as the number of cells, the region of interest, voltage and balance, selection of detectors, were set to analyze all samples. In addition, the cell suspension stained and filtered with DAPI was kept on ice during all manipulations to ensure cell viability.

Sorting of fluorescent labeled immune cells from multiple organs

After staining with DAPI and filtration, the samples of cell suspension from multiple organs, including blood, gut, liver, kidney and spleen, were analyzed using flow cytometry. The intestinal mucosal cell suspension from four zebrafish were mixed and sorted for immune fluorescence-labeled cells on a high-speed flow sorting cytometry (Becton Dickinson). Two operation repetitions were carried out for each sample.

Library preparation and sequencing for transcriptomic data

Transcriptomic analysis was used to systemically disclose the expression of intestinal RNA (n = 3) in both the intestinal tissue (IT group) and current prepared intestinal mucosa cell suspension (IMC group). The process for preparing the gene library and sequencing the transcriptome was done according to previously described methods (41). In brief, sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNextRUltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina R (NEB, USA), and the quality of the libraries was determined using the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. On an Illumina platform (NovaSeq 6000), the library preparations were sequenced and 150bp paired-end reads were produced.

Gene	Genbank ID	Forward primer	Reverse primer
rpl13a	NC_007128.7	TCTGGAGGACTGTAAGAGGTATGC	AGACGCACAATCTTGAGAGCAG
lck	NC_007128.7	GCCGAAGAAGATCTCGATGGT	TCCCCATGTTTACGTATTTTGTCG
трх	NC_007121.7	CTACATGGCACAAACGCTGAG	CTCGTCTTGAGTGAGCAGGTT
mpeg1	NC_007119.7	TGCGGCACAATCGCAGTCCA	ACAGCAAAACACCCATCTGGCGA
foxp3a	NC_007119.7	GCCTCCATGATACGATGGGCAAT	CCTTCCTTCAACACGCACAA
foxp3b	NC_007119.7	AGACAACGGCTGTCAACTAA	TGAAGAAACTGCATTCGCTG
cd4-1	NC_007127.7	CTGACAATCAACAGGAACCC	TCTTGCTAATACATGTTGCTCA
blnk	NC_007124.7	GGACAGGTTCACACTCATTAC	GTCTCTTGCTGGAACTTTGG
zap.70	NC_007119.7	AGATCTGGCTGCTCGTAATG	AAAATGAATGCACTCTGGCG
il-10	NC_007122.7	CACTGAACGAAAGTTTGCCTTAAC	TGGAAATGCATCTGGCTTTG
il-1β	NC_007121.7	TGGACTTCGCAGCACAAAATG	GTTCACTTCACGCTCTTGGATG
nf-kb	NC_007125.7	GCGCTTTTCTGAATCCTACG	TGCCCAGTCTGTCTCCTTCT
il-8	NC_007112.7	TGTGTTATTGTTTTCCTGGCATTTC	GCGACAGCGTGGATCTACAG

Transcriptome assembly, DEG analysis and functional annotation

We used Hisat2 (42) to map the clean reads to the zebrafish genome (GRCz11, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/? term=txid7955[orgn]). The lots of reads that were mapped was counted by verse (version 0.1.5). Imputing the reads counts to DESeq2 (version 1.24.0) and analyze it DEGs. To select and analyze DEGs, we use DESeq2 (43) with $|\log 2FoldChange| > 1$ and padj 0.05. Then, for annotation, cluster Profiler (version 3.12.0) (44) was used to perform enrichment analysis of GO (Gene Ontology) terms and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways, with p < 0.05 considered significant enrichment. When the parameters used were not listed, default parameters were used. Afterwards, according to FishSCT (http://bioinfo.ihb.ac.cn/fishsct/), the immune cell, neuron, and muscle related marker genes were selected, to infer cell types. Further, the RPKM of related DEGs were applied in Visual Omics (http://bioinfo.ihb.ac.cn/visomics) (45), to generate a heatmap.

Statistical analysis

For all data, statistical analyses and imaging were performed using the computer program GraphPad Prism version 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc. CA, United States). For comparison between two group, data were analyzed with paired student's Ttest with qPCR data analysis and unpaired student's T-test with others experiment's results. The bar diagram represented mean \pm SD. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05(*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***), and p < 0.0001 (****).

Results

Improved operation for preparing intestinal cell suspension in zebrafish

After anaesthesia, the intestine of the zebrafish was removed to prepare an intestinal cell suspension, as the intestine is relatively simple in structure and there is no submucosa between the mucosa and muscle. The primary steps are shown in (Figure 1A), so the immune cells in the zebrafish intestinal mucosa were released by the currently developed blowing method (Figure 1B). Specifically, first, an adult zebrafish (with a length of approximately 3.5cm) was removed from its intestinal tract after anaesthesia. Secondly, the front of the pipette (200µl) was cut off by approx. 5 mm to reduce shear forces and then, after blowing out the intestinal tissue several times with the pipette (approx. 2min), the cell suspension was obtained by filtering the cell debris.

Quality control of single cell suspension

The round cells in the intestinal cell suspension were counted using an automated cell counter (Figure 2A). Most cells had diameters ranging from 5 to 15 μ m. There were around 25% of cells with diameters greater than 5 μ m, and only 0.12% of cells with diameters greater than 15 μ m (Figure 2A). As a result, the residual of cell debris and clumps was minimal. Notably, both flow cytometry and an automatic cell counter revealed that the vitality of intestinal cell suspension generated using the current blowing approach was 70%-85%. (Figure 2B). Using the population features of zebrafish immune cells (such as lymphocytes and neutrophils) (46, 47), we chose the gate of common target cells in the FSC-A and SSC-A



FIGURE 1

Operating processes for preparing cell suspension from zebrafish intestinal mucosa. (A) Schematic diagram of operating processes. (B) Photographs of the detail operation, including dissection, intestinal separation, blowing and filtering.



FIGURE 2

Quality control of prepared intestinal single cell suspension from Tg(lyz:DsRED2);Tg(mpeg1:EGFP). (A) Imaging by automated cell counter, cell under brightfield and fluorescence channels (FL1: 488nm; FL2:558nm). Scale bar: 100µm. The cellular diameter of the prepared intestinal mucosal cell suspension was calculated besides. (B) Cell viability reflected AO/PI staining during cytometric analysis. The stained cell number was counted by automatic cell counter. After AO/PI staining, 75% cell obtained from robbing method (RM) was alive, meanwhile for the blowing method, 80% of all cells (AC) and 90% of genetically fluorescence labeled immune cells, which were the target cells (TC), were alive for flow cytometric analysis. (C) Images of intestinal mucosal cells from Tg(lyz:DsRED2);Tg(mpeg1:EGFP). In single cell suspensions, DsRed labeled lyz⁺ cell and EGFP labeled mpeg1⁺ cells could be clearly observed, together with other unstained cells (shown in the brightfield). ** represented p < 0.01, **** represented p < 0.0001.



Enriching intestinal immune cells *via* stripping the muscular layer. (A) Morphological analysis of HE staining in comparison between intestinal tissue after blowing treatment and normal intestinal tissue. (B) qPCR analysis of genes involved in immune cell differentiation. All values are means \pm SEM; statistical significance was determined by independent-samples T-test. For the comparison of IMC (intestinal mucosa cells) vs IT (intestinal tissue), *** represented p < 0.01.



channels for further investigation. Cell viability increased significantly, with cells in the selected gates having vitality more than 90%. In addition to physiological properties, immune cells from present genetically labelled zebrafish retained significant fluorescence (Figure 2C), which is essential for FACS.

Enriching intestinal immune cells *via* stripping the muscular layer

According to HE stained zebrafish intestinal structure, the zebrafish gut consisted primarily of mucosa and muscularis, with the mucosa containing epithelium and lamina propria (Figure 3A). The current technique of blowing the intestinal epithelium has almost completely separated the mucosa from the muscle layer (Figure 3A). The cells in mucosal layers, including both intestinal epithelial layer and lamina propria, were then taken away by the PBS-FBS buffer (Figure 3A). Moreover, as shown in qPCR result (Figure 3B), the enrichment of immune cells in the cell suspension was also proved by the significantly increased (P < 0.05) expression of the immune genes, including markers of immune cells (neutrophil function related *mpx*, macrophages related *mpeg1*, B-cell receptor signaling related *blnk*, Th cell's surface marker *cd4-1*,

initiating T-cell responses related *zap70*, T cell activation related *lck*) and immune regulation related transcriptional factors (*foxp3a* and *foxp3b*).

Enriched pathways, terms and cell makers for intestinal cell suspension

The pattern recognition-related "C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway," "Toll-like receptor signaling pathway," "NOD-like receptor signaling pathway," as well as cytokine signaling-related "Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction," etc., were among the DEGs that showed an enrichment between the IMC and IT groups. Whereas barrier function-related KEGG pathways such as "vascular smooth muscle contraction," "ECM-receptor interaction," and "focal adhesion" were revealed for IT advantages (Figure 4A).

Regarding immune cell activity, a "phagosome" associated to macrophages was revealed for IT advantage while a B cell-related "intestinal immune network for IgA synthesis" was revealed solely for IMC benefit. Chemotaxis, cytokine-mediated signaling pathways, inflammatory responses, responses to cytokines, cellular responses to cytokine stimuli, etc. were among the immune-related enriched GO terms (Figure 4B). Regarding to the expression of cell type related genes, most immune genes were revealed for IMC advantage, while marker genes of neuron and muscle were IT advantage (Figure 4C). Meanwhile, the inner mucus related genes *muc2.1* and *muc21* were IMC advantage, yet the gel-forming (outer) mucus related genes *muc13b*, *muc5.1*, *muc5d*, et al. were IT advantage (Figure 4D). In addition, the mast cell's marker genes *cd63*, *fcer1g1*, *itga7*, *kita*, et al. were IMC advantage (Figure 4E). Specifically, as to genes involved in innate immune related pathways, the pathogen sensing and chemotaxis related genes, such as *tlr*, *fcer*, *ccl*, and *cxcl* were IMC advantage (Figure 5).

Gathered immune cell in intestinal cell suspension

The aggregation of immune cells in the recently generated intestinal cell solution was examined using flow cytometry. Neutrophils, macrophages, immature lymphocytes, and T lymphocytes that were genetically fluorescently marked could be distinguished in significant numbers (Figure 6A). The cells from transgenic zebrafish have a completely distinct fluorescence expression profile from the wild type fish sample, and they can be specifically distinguished by flow cytometry in subgroups. Also, compared to the way of just rubbing tissue on the mesh, the proportion of immune cells from the present blowing method was significantly higher (Figure 6B).

Checking tissue distribution of immune cells with current improved method

As controls, systemic immune organs in zebrafish were evaluated in parallel with intestine samples obtained using the optimized approach. The proportion of lyz^+ cells and Rag2⁺ cells in the kidney and spleen was found to be relatively high, but only detectable in the gut. Nevertheless, Lck⁺ T cells were detected in approximately equal numbers in the gut, liver, and kidney. Meanwhile, mpeg+ macrophages were found in large concentrations in the spleen, kidney, and gut. In particular, the intestinal cell suspension had a significant concentration of activated T cells (~3%), as well as macrophages (2%). Nonfluorescence labelled controls were also performed on wide type zebrafish cell suspension samples (Figure 7).

The analysis of immune cells reflected inflammation in zebrafish gut

To test the pathological use of the current blowing approach for creating intestinal cell suspension, SBMIE modelling was used to



Visualization of involved genes in innate immune related REGG pathways by chetplot analysis. The pattern recognition related C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway", "NOD like receptor signaling pathway", and "Toll like receptor signaling pathway", as well as cytokine signaling related "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" were shown in details.



* represented p < 0.05, **** represented p < 0.0001.

induce aggregation of intestinal macrophages and neutrophils, which was then released by the blowing method. HE staining confirmed the pathophysiology of the middle intestine due to intestinal inflammation. Condensed blue spots in the LP layer revealed immune cell aggregation, and intestinal villi length was considerably (p = 0.0295) shorter in the FM group (Figure 8A). Further, the qPCR result of inflammation related genes showed that



cytokine genes *il8* and *il10* was significantly upregulated, and proinflammatory factors *il1* and *nfkb* was also with the trend of upregulation in soybean (SBM) group against the fish meal (FM) group (Figure 8B). Meanwhile, the expression of cell maker genes *mpeg1* and *mpx* rose considerably in the SBM group (Figure 8B). At the cellular level, the proportions of macrophages and neutrophils in the intestinal cell suspension were considerably higher in the SBM group compared to the FM group (Figure 8C).

Discussion

We have developed and made available a protocol for the preparation of intestinal cell suspensions for the analysis of immune cells in zebrafish of the strain AB. Flow cytometry was used to identify different types of immune cells, including neutrophils, macrophages and lymphocytes. The omics data also matched the histological and cellular results. Therefore, the current manipulation successfully isolated immune cells from the intestinal mucosa.

In teleost fish, the lamina propria is directly attached to the smooth muscle layers of the fish (48) due to the absence of the structural equivalents for submucosa and muscularis mucosa, which separates the lamina propria from the submucosa (35). As



inflammation by pathological analysis of intestinal inflammation. (C) the increased proportion of intestinal mpeg⁺ and lyz⁺ cells upon SBMIE. *** represented p < 0.01. ns, no significance.

a model organism, the intestinal epithelium of the zebrafish was even thinner compared to other commercially available species (38), such as the common carp (49), grass carp (39) and tilapia (50). To avoid cell death, sample preparation must be completed and the cells diluted as quickly as possible. The fact that only 10 minutes are needed to prepare a single cell suspension for in-depth analysis (such as cytometric and omics studies) could guarantee the retention of physical and cellular properties. Among the intestinal cells currently isolated, the larger cells with a diameter of 10 to 15 μ m are likely to be the macrophages (51). Since the viability of the cells was over 90%, the high quality of the isolated cell suspension from the zebrafish intestinal mucosa may actually reflect physiology (50) and have greater functional capacity to support the study of the molecular mechanism by *in vitro* cell culture.

In suspension, the immune cells just released were mainly from the intestinal mucosa. The intestinal mucosa of the zebrafish consists of epithelium and lamina propria, which contain the majority of the immune cells in the intestine. As shown by both the HE staining and the DEGs, the main finding between the samples produced by the current blowing method and the whole intestine was the detachment of the muscle layer. Therefore, the cells in the suspension produced with the blowing method cannot be intestinal myocytes. At the same time, it was found that mucins, which are indicators of an acute gut stress response in fish (52), were also significantly altered after the cell suspension was prepared using the blowing method. Manipulation of the blowing increased the expression of membranous mucins associated with the inner mucus (53, 54), such as Muc2 and Muc21, but attenuated the abundance of mucins associated with the gel-forming mucus (55), such as Muc5.1. Since IL10 improves the characteristics of the mucus layer, SBMIE-induced upregulation of IL10 expression may contribute to more viscous intestinal samples (56). The removal of muscle and mucus from such connective tissue was critical for manipulating single cell suspensions. As a result, the present blowing procedure could efficiently isolate immune cells.

Regarding the immune aspect, immune cells were released sufficiently in both the IEL and LP layers. This was evidenced by the comparison of immune cells between different organs, including blood, kidney, spleen, liver and intestine. The ratio between immune cells from the intestine and other tissues was similar to previous reports (57, 58). In the enrichment analysis of DEG, the signaling pathways and terms related to the innate immune system were found with advantage for the intestinal mucosa cells (IMC) group. The pattern recognition, cytokine and chemokine signaling pathways and terms revealed indicate that IMC are more sensitive and immediate to stimulation, as the gastrointestinal tract is the interface between the host and the external environment (59). As indicated by the detailed network of KEGG pathways and GO terms, chemotaxis function was found to be advantageous in IMC. This is consistent with the fact that intestinal mast cells are a potent source of several chemokines (60) and zebrafish mast cells possess an FcERI-like receptor and are involved in innate and adaptive immune responses (60). However, the IT advantage of the "phagosome" pathway may coincide with the lack of muscle macrophages in the IMC (61). Therefore, the currently prepared immune cell suspension is not suitable to study the local crosstalk between enteric neurons and macrophages (12).

Intestinal lymphocytes in particular play an important role in both tolerance and regulation. Since IgT (the fish equivalent of IgA) is a mucosal specialized Ig and could respond during inflammation, the enriched KEGG pathway "Intestinal immune network for IgA production" in the IMC group could reflect the response of mucosal

B cells (62) and $\gamma\delta$ -T cells (46). The fact that the amount of T lymphocytes isolated from the intestinal mucosa was comparable to the amount of T lymphocytes isolated from the kidney (the central immune organ of the fish) is consistent with the quantitative analysis of tissue-associated lymphocytes (63) performed with the currently developed method for isolating zebrafish mucosal immune cells.

Furthermore, the cytometric data showed that this blowing method can be used to analyze the intestinal response at the immune cell level. The increased ratio of mpeg⁺ and mpx⁺ cells in the gut of zebrafish after 3 months was consistent with previous results in the SBMIE model for zebrafish larvae (21–23). Cytometric analysis of the SBMIE of Atlantic salmon revealed that the immune cells in the whole blood were expended (64). Rapid and effective preparation of gut cell suspension containing almost all types of immune cells without consuming much reagents and time, such as mechanical dissociation and enzyme digestion, could be a viable protocol.

In summary, based on the characteristics of the zebrafish intestine, we have proposed an innovative method for the preparation of singlecell suspensions of zebrafish intestinal mucosa. The single-cell suspensions produced using the protocol we developed can promptly and accurately reflect the composition of the intestinal immune cells. In the future, more genetically labelled lines could be used to study the gut immune cell response with more detailed cell types. Considering that zebrafish enteritis models are also used to study human inflammatory bowel disease (19), the current blowing method and cytometric single mucosal cell suspension analysis protocol could facilitate both medical and aquaculture studies to understand the gastrointestinal immune mechanism.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive (GSA) database (http://gsa.big.ac.cn/index.jsp) with the BioProject identifier PRJCA015574.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Research and Ethics Committees in the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Written informed consent (No. IHB2022-01) was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

NW received the projects. JX, YL, XZ, LZ, LS, GW, and YC performed the experiments. XZ, YL, JX, QZ and NW wrote the

manuscript. XZ, CG, HL, LZ and WZ did data analysis. X-QX revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was funded by the supporting project for developing industrial science and technology, Jiangxi innovation and incubation center of industrial technologies, Chinese Academy of Sciences (ZKYJXZXCY-202210), as well as the grant from National Natural Science Foundation of China (31872592).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ms. Zhi Li from the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences for her tissue separation idea, and Prof. Ming Jiang from Yangtze River Fisheries Research Institute for his suggestions in manufacturing zebrafish feed. We also thank Ms. Zhixian Qiao from the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences' Analysis and Testing Center for her advice on cell suspension preparation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1193977/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Lee PT, Yamamoto FY, Low CF, Loh JY, Chong CM. Gut immune system and the implications of oral-administered immunoprophylaxis in finfish aquaculture. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:773193. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.773193

2. Temkin RJ, McMillan DB. Gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) of the goldfish. Carassius auratus J Morphol (1986) 190(1):9–26. doi: 10.1002/jmor.1051900103

3. Hart S, Wrathmell AB, Harris JE. Ontogeny of gut-associated lymphoid-tissue (Galt) in the dogfish scyliorhinus-canicula l. *Vet Immunol Immunop* (1986) 12(1-4):107-16. doi: 10.1016/0165-2427(86)90115-7

4. Venkatesh P, Jeyapriya SP, Suresh N, Vivekananthan T. Report on gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) in freshwater fish channa punctatus (Bloch). *Int J Pure Appl Zool* (2014) 2(2):95–9.

5. Rombout JHWM, Tavernethiele AJ, Villena MI. The gut-associated lymphoidtissue (Galt) of carp (Cyprinus-carpio l) - an immunocytochemical analysis. *Dev Comp Immunol* (1993) 17(1):55–66. doi: 10.1016/0145-305X(93)90015-I

6. Gehart H, Clevers H. Tales from the crypt: new insights into intestinal stem cells. *Nat Rev Gastro Hepat* (2019) 16(1):19–34. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0081-y

7. Aghaallaei N, Gruhl F, Schaefer CQ, Wernet T, Weinhardt V, Centanin L, et al. Identification, visualization and clonal analysis of intestinal stem cells in fishs. *Development* (2016) 143(19):3470–80. doi: 10.1242/dev.134098

8. Holzlechner M, Strasser K, Zareva E, Steinhauser L, Birnleitner H, Beer A, et al. *In situ* characterization of tissue-resident immune cells by MALDI mass spectrometry imaging, *J Proteome Res* (2017) 16(1):65–76. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00610

9. Huttenhuis HB, Romano N, Van Oosterhoud CN, Taverne-Thiele AJ, Mastrolia L, Van Muiswinkel WB, et al. The ontogeny of mucosal immune cells in common carp (Cyprinus carpio l.). *Anat Embryol (Berl)* (2006) 211(1):19–29. doi: 10.1007/s00429-005-0062-0

10. Boschi I, Randelli E, Buonocore F, Casani D, Bernini C, Fausto AM, et al. Transcription of T cell-related genes in teleost fish, and the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) as a model. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2011) 31(5):655–62. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2010.10.001

11. Paulsen SM, Sveinbjornsson B, Robertsen B. Selective staining and disintegration of intestinal eosinophilic granule cells in Atlantic salmon after intraperitoneal injection of the zinc chelator dithizone. *J Fish Biol* (2001) 58(3):768–75. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2001.tb00529.x

12. Viola MF, Boeckxstaens G. Muscularis macrophages: trained guardians of enteric neurons. *Cell Res* (2022) 32(3):229–30. doi: 10.1038/s41422-021-00602-w

13. Gasteiger G. Is adaptive-innate lymphocyte cross-talk driving mucosal disease? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2017) 114(6):1220-2. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620663114

14. Coronado M, Solis CJ, Hernandez PP, Feijoo CG. Soybean meal-induced intestinal inflammation in zebrafish is T cell-dependent and has a Th17 cytokine profile. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:610. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00610

15. Sahlmann C, Sutherland BJ, Kortner TM, Koop BF, Krogdahl A, Bakke AM. Early response of gene expression in the distal intestine of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar 1.) during the development of soybean meal induced enteritis. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2013) 34(2):599–609. doi: 10.1016/j.fisi.2012.11.031

16. Torraca V, Mostowy S. Zebrafish infection: from pathogenesis to cell biology. *Trends Cell Biol* (2018) 28(2):143–56. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2017.10.002

17. Zhao S, Xia J, Wu X, Zhang L, Wang P, Wang H, et al. Deficiency in class III PI3kinase confers postnatal lethality with IBD-like features in zebrafish. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):2639. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05105-8

18. Li YF, Cheng T, Zhang YJ, Fu XX, Mo J, Zhao GQ, et al. Mycn regulates intestinal development through ribosomal biogenesis in a zebrafish model of feingold syndrome 1. *PloS Biol* (2022) 20(11):e3001856. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001856

19. Hanyang L, Xuanzhe L, Xuyang C, Yujia Q, Jiarong F, Jun S, et al. Application of zebrafish models in inflammatory bowel disease. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:501. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00501

20. Chuang LS, Morrison J, Hsu NY, Labrias PR, Nayar S, Chen E, et al. Zebrafish modeling of intestinal injury, bacterial exposures and medications defines epithelial *in vivo* responses relevant to human inflammatory bowel disease. *Dis Model Mech* (2019) 12(8):dmm037432. doi: 10.1242/dmm.037432

21. Li M, Xie J, Zhao X, Li X, Wang R, Shan J, et al. Establishing the foodborneenteritis zebrafish model and imaging the involved immune cells' response. *Acta Hydrobiol Sin* (2021) 46:104. doi: 10.7541/2022.2021.104

22. Xie J, Li M, Ye W, Shan J, Zhao X, Duan Y, et al. Sinomenine hydrochloride ameliorates fish foodborne enteritis *via* alpha7nAchR-mediated anti-inflammatory effect whilst altering microbiota composition. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:766845. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.766845

23. Li M, Zhao X, Xie J, Tong X, Shan J, Shi M, et al. Dietary inclusion of seabuckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides) mitigates foodborne enteritis in zebrafish through the gut-liver immune axis. *Front Physiol* (2022) 13:831226. doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2022.831226

24. Shan J, Wang G, Li H, Zhao X, Ye W, Su L, et al. The immunoregulatory role of fish specific type II SOCS *via* inhibiting metaflammation in the gut-liver axis. *Water Biol Secur* (2023) 2:100131. doi: 10.1016/j.watbs.2022.100131

25. Attaya A, Secombes CJ, Wang T. Effective isolation of GALT cells: insights into the intestine immune response of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) to different bacterin vaccine preparations. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2020) 105:378–92. doi: 10.1016/ j.fsi.2020.06.051

26. Liu Y, Ge X, Li C, Xue T. Derivation and characterization of new cell line from intestine of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* (2023) 59 (2):153–62. doi: 10.1007/s11626-022-00746-y

27. Reichard A, Asosingh K. Best practices for preparing a single cell suspension from solid tissues for flow cytometry. *Cytometry A* (2019) 95(2):219–26. doi: 10.1002/ cyto.a.23690

28. Hall C, Flores MV, Storm T, Crosier K, Crosier P. The zebrafish lysozyme c promoter drives myeloid-specific expression in transgenic fish. *BMC Dev Biol* (2007) 7:42. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-7-42

29. Ma D, Wang L, Wang S, Gao Y, Wei Y, Liu F. Foxn1 maintains thymic epithelial cells to support T-cell development *via* mcm2 in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2012) 109(51):21040–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1217021110

30. Langenau DM, Ferrando AA, Traver D, Kutok JL, Hezel JP, Kanki JP, et al. *In vivo* tracking of T cell development, ablation, and engraftment in transgenic zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101(19):7369–74. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402248101

31. Ellett F, Pase L, Hayman JW, Andrianopoulos A, Lieschke GJ. mpeg1 promoter transgenes direct macrophage-lineage expression in zebrafish. *Blood* (2011) 117(4): e49–56. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-314120

32. Shan J, Wang G, Li H, Zhao X, Ye W, Su L, et al. The immunoregulatory role of fish specific type II SOCS *via* inhibiting metaflammation in the gut-liver axis. *Water Biol Secur* (2022) 2(2):1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.watbs.2022.100131

33. Matthews M, Varga ZM. Anesthesia and euthanasia in zebrafish. *ILAR J* (2012) 53(2):192-204. doi: 10.1093/ilar.53.2.192

34. Ji J, Thwaite R, Roher N. Oral intubation of adult zebrafish: a model for evaluating intestinal uptake of bioactive compounds. *J Vis Exp* (2018) (139):58366. doi: 10.3791/58366

35. Wallace KN, Akhter S, Smith EM, Lorent K, Pack M. Intestinal growth and differentiation in zebrafish. *Mech Dev* (2005) 122(2):157-73. doi: 10.1016/j.mod.2004.10.009

36. Li J, Prochaska M, Maney L, Wallace KN. Development and organization of the zebrafish intestinal epithelial stem cell niche. *Dev Dyn* (2020) 249(1):76–87. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.16

37. Callol A, Roher N, Amaro C, MacKenzie S. Characterization of PAMP/PRR interactions in European eel (Anguilla anguilla) macrophage-like primary cell cultures. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2013) 35(4):1216–23. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2013.07.037

38. Wu N, Xu X, Wang B, Li XM, Cheng YY, Li M, et al. Anti-foodborne enteritis effect of galantamine potentially *via* acetylcholine anti-inflammatory pathway in fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2020) 97:204–15. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.028

39. Wu N, Wang B, Cui ZW, Zhang XY, Cheng YY, Xu X, et al. Integrative transcriptomic and microRNAomic profiling reveals immune mechanism for the resilience to soybean meal stress in fish gut and liver. *Front Physiol* (2018) 9:1154. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.01154

40. Burroughs-Garcia J, Hasan A, Park G, Borga C, Frazer JK. Isolating malignant and non-malignant b cells from lck:eGFP zebrafish. J Vis Exp (2019) (144):10.3791/59191. doi: 10.3791/59191

41. Johnson KM, Hofmann GE. A transcriptome resource for the Antarctic pteropod limacina helicina antarctica. *Mar Genomics* (2016) 28:25–8. doi: 10.1016/j.margen.2016.04.002

42. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* (2019) 37(8):907–15. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

43. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* (2014) 15(12):550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

44. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an r package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* (2012) 16(5):284–7. doi: 10.1089/ omi.2011.0118

45. Li H, Shi M, Ren K, Zhang L, Ye W, Zhang W, et al. Visual omics: a web-based platform for omics data analysis and visualization with rich graph-tuning capabilities. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) (2023) 39(1):btac777. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btac777

46. Wan F, Hu CB, Ma JX, Gao K, Xiang LX, Shao JZ. Characterization of gammadelta T cells from zebrafish provides insights into their important role in adaptive humoral immunity. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7:675. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00675

47. Page DM, Wittamer V, Bertrand JY, Lewis KL, Pratt DN, Delgado N, et al. An evolutionarily conserved program of b-cell development and activation in zebrafish. *Blood* (2013) 122(8):e1–11. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-12-471029

48. Peterson TS. Overview of mucosal structure and function in teleost fishes. In: *Mucosal health in Aquaculture*. Academic Press (2015) p. 55–65.

49. Meng KF, Ding LG, Wu S, Wu ZB, Cheng GF, Zhai X, et al. Interactions between commensal microbiota and mucosal immunity in teleost fish during viral infection with SVCV. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:654758. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.654758

50. Wang P, Zhou Y, Wang B, Elaswad A, Wang S, Guo W, et al. Single-cell suspension preparation from Nile tilapia intestine for single-cell sequencing. *J Vis Exp* (2023) (192):10.3791/64688. doi: 10.3791/64688

51. Park Y, Zhang Q, Wiegertjes GF, Fernandes JMO, Kiron V. Adherent intestinal cells from Atlantic salmon show phagocytic ability and express macrophage-specific genes. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2020) 8:580848. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.580848

52. Sveen LR, Grammes FT, Ytteborg E, Takle H, Jorgensen SM. Genome-wide analysis of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) mucin genes and their role as biomarkers. *PloS One* (2017) 12(12):e0189103. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0189103

53. Johansson MEV, Phillipson M, Petersson J, Velcich A, Holm L, Hansson GC. The inner of the two Muc2 mucin-dependent mucus layers in colon is devoid of bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(39):15064–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0803124105

54. Yoshimoto T, Matsubara D, Soda M, Ueno T, Amano Y, Kihara A, et al. Mucin 21 is a key molecule involved in the incohesive growth pattern in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Sci* (2019) 110(9):3006–11. doi: 10.1111/cas.14129

55. Johansson ME, Hansson GC. Immunological aspects of intestinal mucus and mucins. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16(10):639-49. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.88

56. Johansson ME, Gustafsson JK, Holmen-Larsson J, Jabbar KS, Xia L, Xu H, et al. Bacteria penetrate the normally impenetrable inner colon mucus layer in both murine colitis models and patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* (2014) 63(2):281–91. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303207

57. Muire PJ, Hanson LA, Wills R, Petrie-Hanson L. Differential gene expression following TLR stimulation in rag1-/- mutant zebrafish tissues and morphological descriptions of lymphocyte-like cell populations. *PloS One* (2017) 12(9):e0184077. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184077

58. Liu X, Wu H, Liu Q, Wang Q, Xiao J, Chang X, et al. Profiling immune response in zebrafish intestine, skin, spleen and kidney bath-vaccinated with a live attenuated vibrio anguillarum vaccine. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2015) 45(2):342–5. doi: 10.1016/ j.fsi.2015.04.028

59. di Vito R, Di Mezza A, Conte C, Traina G. The crosstalk between intestinal epithelial cells and mast cells is modulated by the probiotic supplementation in Co-culture models. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24(4):1–13. doi: 10.3390/ijms24044157

60. Da'as S, Teh EM, Dobson JT, Nasrallah GK, McBride ER, Wang H, et al. Zebrafish mast cells possess an FcvarepsilonRI-like receptor and participate in innate and adaptive immune responses. *Dev Comp Immunol* (2011) 35(1):125–34. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2010.09.001

61. Bain CC, Schridde A. Origin, differentiation, and function of intestinal macrophages. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:2733. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02733

62. Parra D, Reyes-Lopez FE, Tort L. Mucosal immunity and b cells in teleosts: effect of vaccination and stress. *Front Immunol* (2015) 6:354. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00354

63. Zhang J, Dong Z, Zhou R, Luo D, Wei H, Tian Z. Isolation of lymphocytes and their innate immune characterizations from liver. *intestine Lung uterus Cell Mol Immunol* (2005) 2(4):271–80.

64. Kiron V, Park Y, Siriyappagouder P, Dahle D, Vasanth GK, Dias J, et al. Intestinal transcriptome analysis reveals soy derivative-linked changes in Atlantic salmon. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.596514