Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Brad Pickering, National Centre for Foreign Animal Disease (NCFAD), Canada

REVIEWED BY Guy Palmer, Washington State University, United States Shawn Babiuk, National Centre for Foreign Animal Disease (NCFAD), Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Ros Chapman ros.chapman@uct.ac.za

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 12 January 2023 ACCEPTED 21 March 2023 PUBLISHED 30 March 2023

CITATION

Whittle L, Chapman R, Douglass N, Jaffer M, Margolin E, Rybicki E and Williamson A-L (2023) Development of a dual vaccine against East Coast fever and lumpy skin disease. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1143034. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1143034

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Whittle, Chapman, Douglass, Jaffer, Margolin, Rybicki and Williamson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Development of a dual vaccine against East Coast fever and lumpy skin disease

Leah Whittle^{1,2}, Ros Chapman^{1,2*}, Nicola Douglass^{1,2}, Mohamed Jaffer³, Emmanuel Margolin^{1,2,4}, Edward Rybicki^{1,4} and Anna-Lise Williamson^{1,2}

¹Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ²Division of Medical Virology, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ³Electron Microscope Unit, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ⁴Biopharming Research Unit, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

East Coast fever is an acute bovine disease caused by the apicomplexan parasite Theileria parva and is regarded as one of the most important tick-vectored diseases in Africa. The current vaccination procedure has many drawbacks, as it involves the use of live T. parva sporozoites. As a novel vaccination strategy, we have constructed the recombinant lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) named LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag, encoding a modified form of the T. parva p67 surface antigen (p67HA), as well as the bovine leukemia virus (BLV) gag gene for the formation of virus-like particles (VLPs) to potentially enhance p67 immunogenicity. In place of the native sequence, the chimeric p67HA antigen has the human tissue plasminogen activator signal sequence and the influenza hemagglutinin A2 transmembrane domain and cytoplasmic tail. p67HA was detected on the surface of infected cells, and VLPs comprising BLV Gag and p67HA were produced. We also show that higher multiple bands observed in western blot analysis are due to glycosylation of p67. The two vaccines, pMExTp67HA (DNA) and LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag, were tested for immunogenicity in mice. p67-binding antibodies were produced by vaccinated animals, with higher titers detected in mice vaccinated with the recombinant LSDV. This candidate dual vaccine warrants further testing in cattle.

KEYWORDS

Theileria parva, East Coast fever, p67, VLPs, Gag, LSDV, poxvirus, vaccine

1 Introduction

Tick-borne diseases are a major challenge to cattle farmers in Africa. Of these, East Coast fever (ECF) is considered one of the most burdensome in the affected east and sub-Saharan regions (1-5). The disease is acute and characterized by a fever, respiratory distress, mucosal petechiae and recumbency. Severe cases often result in death after three weeks due to fluid build-up in the lungs and consequent respiratory failure (6, 7). The

causative agent, the apicomplexan parasite *Theileria parva*, is transmitted to cattle or buffalo *via* the brown ear tick *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* (8). Currently, cattle are immunized against ECF by the infection and treatment method (ITM) which involves the use of live *T. parva* sporozoites and the immediate administration of long-acting oxytetracycline (9). While this does provide effective protection against ECF, there are many drawbacks to this method which include 1) the production of live *T. parva* sporozoites is a lengthy process that requires cattle, rabbits and ticks, 2) liquid nitrogen is required for storage and transport of live parasites and 3) cattle immunized by this method become *T. parva* carriers and can spread the disease 4) the potential development of oxytetracycline resistance (10–13).

Other vaccine platforms have the potential to overcome the logistics of using a live unattenuated parasite as a vaccine. Lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) would be an ideal candidate to vector T. parva antigens. Poxviruses are relatively stable and can be freezedried, they do not require animals for vaccine production and pose no risk to establishing a T. parva carrier state (14). In addition, their large genomes can tolerate the insertion of multiple foreign genes, and poxviruses are known to induce strong humoral and cellular immune responses (15, 16). LSDV has the added advantage of providing protection against lumpy skin disease (LSD) (17). LSD is a serious threat to the cattle industry and many African countries affected by it are also affected by ECF (8, 18). LSD is characterized by fever, ocular and nasal discharge, and painful nodular lesions (19, 20). Infertility, loss of body weight and decreased milk production also have negative economic impacts in affected regions (21). A number of live attenuated LSDV strains have been developed to protect cattle against LSD, such as the Neethling vaccine strain produced by Onderstepoort Biological Products (OBP) currently used in South Africa (22). Attenuated LSDV has been used in experimental vaccines to vector the antigens of other pathogens, not only limited to cattle, which include those of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), rabies virus and Rift Valley fever virus (23-25). Our group has constructed an improved LSDV recombinant backbone named nLSDVSODis-UCT which is based on the Neethling vaccine strain (26). When used to vector bovine ephemeral fever virus (BEFV) antigens, vaccinated cattle produced BEFV neutralizing antibodies at titers considered protective and survived virulent LSDV challenge (17). Therefore, nLSDVSODis-UCT would be an ideal choice for a poxvirus-vectored ECF vaccine.

Many efforts have been made to develop a novel ECF vaccine using the *T. parva* major sporozoite surface protein p67 (27). The antigen induces sporozoite neutralizing antibodies in cattle, however only partial protection against *T. parva* challenge has been attained (28). Others have shown that the immunogenicity of p67 truncated to its C-terminal region (p67C) was improved when placed on the surface of baculovirus virions, hepatitis B VLPs or associated with silica vesicles, in comparison to free soluble p67C (28, 29). Therefore, we hypothesized that a less-truncated p67 displayed on a particle may provide an even further improved p67-based vaccine. We have previously attempted to improve the immunogenicity by displaying p67 with an influenza hemagglutinin A2 (HA₂) anchor on the surface of retrovirus Gag virus-like particles (VLPs) (30). The antigen named p67HA retains all the known immunogenic regions of p67 and would potentially benefit from the immunogenic enhancement of VLP display. p67HA was characterized using DNA mammalian expression vectors and was shown to be immunogenic in mice.

DNA vaccines have previously yielded unsuccessful results in cattle (31, 32) and LSDV has been shown to be a good vaccine vector (17). We therefore constructed a recombinant LSDV, LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag, to express the described p67HA together with bovine leukemia virus (BLV) Gag so as to generate VLPs. Expression of the antigens were characterized by immunostaining and electron microscopy, and the immunogenicity of the recombinant LSDV was compared to the p67HA DNA vaccine in mice. We also investigated glycosylation of recombinant p67 expressed in mammalian cells using soluble purified p67.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Cells, fertilized hens' eggs, viruses and antibodies

Madin Darby bovine epithelial kidney cells (MDBK) (CCL- 22^{TM} ATCC[®], USA), baby hamster kidney fibroblast 21 cells (BHK-21) (CCL- 10^{TM} ATCC, USA), HeLa cells (CCL- 2^{TM} ATCC[®], USA) and primary fetal lamb testes (LT) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with GlutaMAXTM (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, or HyCloneTM Cytiva, USA for LT cells) and 1X penicillin/streptomycin (1000 U/ml each, Lonza, Belgium), at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Specific pathogen-free (SPF) Leghorn chicken eggs (AviFarms, RSA) were maintained in accordance with the University of Cape Town (UCT) Animal Ethics Committee (AEC) protocol AEC 018-022.

nLSDVSODis-UCT was used as the LSDV backbone and for experimental controls. The virus is based on the Neethling vaccine strain originally obtained from Onderstepoort Biological Products (OBP, RSA), which was modified to encode a synthetic, improved and stabilized superoxide dismutase gene (SODis) (26). The parent virus, LSDV (SODis)BEFV-Gb, has nLSDVSODis-UCT encoding the BEFV glycoprotein Gb antigen and eGFP, under the control of the respective vaccinia virus (VACV) mH5 and synthetic pSS poxvirus promoters, all inserted between open reading frame (ORF) 49 and 50 (17).

Rabbit polyclonal anti-p67 raised against the peptide LKKTLQPGKTSTGETC (GenScript, China) which contained the *T. parva* sporozoite neutralizing epitope recognized by the monoclonal AR22.7 (33), mouse monoclonal anti-BLV p24 (Gag) (BLV-3, VMRD, USA) and mouse monoclonal anti-X6 His (MCA1396, Bio-Rad, USA) were used as primary antibodies.

2.2 Design, construction and passage of the recombinant LSDV

The *T. parva* modified p67 major sporozoite surface antigens named p67HA and p67 Δ TM have been described previously (30)

(Figure 1A). The p67 amino acid regions are identical to wild-type p67 (Muguga, GenBank: AAA98601.1). p67HA is the primary immunogen in this study whereas p67 Δ TM purified from HEK293T cell media was used for assays.

The transfer vector pUC57-p67HA-BLV-Gag-mCherry (Figure 1B) was constructed to have the genes for p67HA, BLV gag (GenBank: AP018021.1) (30) and fluorescent marker mCherry, with the respective TTTTTCT, TTTTTAT and TTTTTGT poxvirus terminators, under the control of the respective VACV mH5, synthetic pLEO and modified fowlpox mFP promoters (35). This expression cassette was flanked by the 3' ends of LSDV ORFs 49 and 50, and all genetic elements were amplified in the plasmid backbone pUC57-Simple (GenScript, China).

LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag was made by homologous recombination between the transfer vector pUC57-p67HA-BLV-Gag-mCherry and parent virus LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb (Figure 1B). LT cells were infected with LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb in DMEM at a range of multiplicities of infection (MOI) from 0.05 to 0.5, for two hours in 12-well plates. The media was removed and cells were subsequently transfected with 5 µg of pUC57-p67HA-BLV-Gag-mCherry linearized with KpnI and PacI (FastDigest, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), using 3 μ l XtremeGENETM HP (Roche, Switzerland) in DMEM. At two day's post infection, cells were lysed by two freeze/thaw cycles (-80°C/37°C). The resulting lysates were passaged in MDBK cells and foci of MDBK cells that fluoresced red due to potential infection with LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag were physically scraped with a 10 µl pipette tip, placed into 100 µl DMEM in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes, and lysed by two freeze/thaw cycles. Lysates were repeatedly passaged in MDBK cells until no green fluorescence (due to parent LSDV) was observed.

LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag was passaged twice in chick chorioallantoic membranes (CAMs) to remove any bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) which may have been present in the MDBK cells. This was carried out as previously described (36). Further passages were performed in LT cells or BHK-21 cells to maintain BVDV-free stocks. Micrographs were obtained with AxioVert A.1 inverted fluorescence microscopes and Zen Blue 3.1 software (Zeiss, Germany).

2.3 Preparation of LSDV stocks

High titer stocks of LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag and nLSDVSODis-UCT were prepared by infecting MDBK, LT or BHK-21 cells in 175 cm³ flasks or HYPERFlasks[®] (Corning[®], USA) at MOIs 0.0025 to 0.005. Once all cells were infected and about 50% of cells had lifted, the flasks were frozen and thawed twice and lysates were clarified by low speed centrifugation at 320 x g for 10 min. Supernatants were placed into SS34 tubes and underlaid with 1-1.5 ml of 36% (w/v) sucrose diluted in 1X PBS. Viruses were pelleted by centrifugation at 27 000-39 000 x g for 1-2 hrs at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in 1X PBS and stored at -80°C until needed.

Prepared stocks were titrated by infecting MDBK cells in 96-well plates with serial dilutions of the stock (10^{-1} to 10^{-12} in DMEM) and tissue culture infectious dose at 50% infection (TCID₅₀) was determined using the method described by Reed and Muench (37).

2.4 PCR confirmation of the insert

Amplification of the insert between ORFs 49 and 50 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed to confirm the presence of the expression cassette. DNA extracted from cells was used as template with forward (5' GAGTGAAGCCTGGAACAT 3') and reverse (5' ACTCTATCGCATCTGGAAACT 3') primers (17), Phusion[®] High Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, USA) and Phusion HF Buffer. The reaction parameters were as follows: initial denaturation at 98°C for 1 min, cycling conditions (25 cycles) of denaturation at 98°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 3 min, followed by a final single extension step at 72°C for 10 min. The products were resolved by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gels with 0.25 µg/ml ethidium bromide and 1X Tris borate EDTA (TBE) buffer.

2.5 Confirmation of p67HA and BLV Gag expression

The expression of p67HA and BLV Gag was confirmed by SDS PAGE and western blot analysis. MDBK cells or BHK-21 cells were infected with virus at MOIs 0.25-0.5. After 2-3 days, media was removed and cells were lysed with 200 μ l Glo Lysis Buffer (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Media and lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13 500 x g for 10 min, and the resulting supernatants were incubated at 95°C for 5 min in Laemmli buffer. Samples were separated in resolving gels containing 10% bisacrylamide and detected as previously described (30). Goat antirabbit-IgG (A3687, Sigma, USA) and goat anti-mouse-IgG (ab97020, Abcam, UK), both conjugated to alkaline phosphatase, were used as secondary antibodies at 1:10 000.

2.6 Immunofluorescent staining of fixed and live cells

Immunostaining of fixed MDBK cells in 24-well plates infected with LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag at MOI 0.05 was performed as previously described at two days post-infection (30, 38). Live staining of HeLa cells infected with virus at MOI 0.05 in 4-well Permanox[®] chamber slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) coated with poly-L-lysine (P8920, Sigma, USA) was performed at two days post infection as previously described (30, 38) using 1:100 anti-p67 antibody. Donkey anti-rabbit-IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies, USA) was used as the secondary antibody at 1:1000.

2.7 Glycosylation status of $p67\Delta TM$

N-linked and O-linked glycosylation sites in wild-type p67 (GenBank: AAA98601.1) - excluding the SS and anchor - were predicted with NetNGlyc-1.0 (39) and NetOGlyc-4.0 (40). Purified p67 Δ TM was subjected to deglycosylation by treatment of 5 µg protein with PNGase F (New England Biolabs, USA) and 10 µg protein with Protein Deglycosylation Mix II (New England Biolabs, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. SDS PAGE and western blots of the samples were carried out as described further above using 1:1000 anti-His antibody.

2.8 Electron microscopy

To isolate BLV Gag VLPs and poxvirions, MDBK cells in 75 cm³ flasks were infected with virus at MOIs 0.5 and 1. At three days post-infection, media from the flasks were harvested and fresh DMEM was added to each flask. Cells attached to the flasks were lysed in the fresh DMEM by two freeze/thaw cycles. The lysate and harvested media were clarified by centrifugation at 1260 x g for 10 min, placed into SS34 tubes, underlaid with 5 ml 12% OptiPrepTM (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) diluted in 1X Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and centrifuged at 48 000 x g for 1 hr at 4°C. Immunogold-labelling of VLPs and negative staining of pelleted poxvirus diluted 1:10 in TBS, with goat anti-rabbit-IgG conjugated to 10 nm colloidal gold (G7402, Sigma, USA) was performed as previously described (30). SDS PAGE and western blots were performed on isolated VLP samples as described earlier.

For ultra-thin sections, MDBK cells in 6-well plates were infected with virus at MOI 1. Two days post-infection, cells were fixed in the plate with 1 ml 2.5% glutaraldehyde diluted in PBS for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were scraped off the wells, placed into microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged for 3 min at 15 900 x g. The pellets were resuspended in 1 ml PBS and centrifuged at 2350 x g for 2 min. The cell pellets were fixed a second time with 50 μl 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 1 hr at room temperature and washed twice with 100 µl PBS by pelleting at 2350 x g and resuspending. Low melting point agarose, 2% in H₂O at 37°C, was added to cells and allowed to set. Samples were cut into 1 cm³ blocks, incubated in 0.5% tannic acid at room temperature for 1 hr, washed twice with PBS, fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in PBS at room temperature for 1 hr, washed twice in PBS for 5 min and washed once in H₂O for 5 min. Samples were dehydrated by an ethanol gradient: 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%, 10 min per percentage and incubated again in 100% ethanol for 10 min. The dehydrated samples were incubated twice in acetone for 10 min, overnight in 400 µl 1:1 acetone:resin (agar low viscosity resin, Agar Scientific, UK) and for 8 hrs in a 1:3 acetone: resin mixture. Samples were incubated overnight in 100% resin, replaced with fresh 100% resin, orientated into molds and set at 60°C for 24 hrs. Sections were placed on copper grids and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

Grids were viewed by conventional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with a Tecnai F20 microscope (Thermo Fisher

(formerly FEI), Eindhoven, Netherlands) at the UCT Electron Microscope Unit.

2.9 Mouse immunizations

Mouse experiments were conducted at the UCT Research Animal Facility after approval by the UCT AEC for protocol AEC 020-020. Four groups of female BALB/c mice, five mice per group, were inoculated intramuscularly twice, 28 days apart, with 100 µl PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 100 µg pMExT-p67HA plasmid (30), 10⁶ ffu nLSDVSODis-UCT or 10⁶ ffu LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag. Plasmid and viruses were diluted in 100 µl PBS, and mice were injected with 50 µl inoculum per hind leg. Endbleeds were obtained by cardiac puncture on day 42 (PBS and pMExT-p67HA) and day 44 (nLSDVSODis-UCT and LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag).

2.10 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

ELISAs to detect the presence of p67-binding and BLV Gagbinding antibodies in mouse sera were carried out as previously described using plates coated with purified p67 Δ TM or purified BLV Gag (30). End-point titers were determined as the highest dilution that had an ELISA signal at least two-fold greater than that of the average PBS group 10⁻¹ dilution reading. Data were analyzed in GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad, USA) whereby a one-way ANOVA and *post-hoc* Bonferroni test were conducted.

3 Results

3.1 Passage of LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag

LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag was designed to express p67HA on the surface of BLV Gag VLPs to potentially enhance the immunogenicity of the p67 antigen (Figure 1). The fluorescent marker mCherry was included in the expression cassette to enable the recombinant virus to be distinguishable (red) from the parent virus (green) (Figure 1B). To construct the virus, at passage 0 (P0), LT cells were infected with the parent virus LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb and transfected with the transfer vector to enable homologous recombination to occur (Figure 2). The recombinant was isolated by physically picking red-fluorescing foci and passaging the resultant lysate in MDBK cells until no green fluorescence was seen - at P3. MDBK cells were used for this purpose as LSDV forms distinct foci, easy to pick, in this cell line. Unfortunately, MDBK cells harbour BVDV, a contaminating virus that cannot be present in LSDV stocks intended for cattle vaccination (36). Therefore, LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag was passaged twice in the CAMs of fertilized hens' eggs at P10 and P11 to remove BVDV. Infected CAMs showed typical white pocks characteristic of LSDV infection. Further passages were performed in LT cells or BHK-21 cells to have stocks free from BVDV. BHK-21 cells were used for later



(A) Schematic diagrams of the recombinant antigens p67HA and p67 Δ TM in comparison to wild-type p67 (Muguga, GenBank: AP018021.1) with labelled amino acid residue positions underneath. The p67N, p67M and p67C regions based on B-cell epitope distribution are annotated as previously described (34). Both p67HA and p67 Δ TM had the native signal sequence (SS) replaced with that of the human tissue plasminogen activator (TPA). The native predicted transmembrane domain and cytoplasmic tail (TM-CT) were replaced with those of influenza virus A H5N1 hemagglutinin 2 (HA₂) for p67HA or were replaced with a GGGGS linker and 8X His tag for p67 Δ TM. (B) Schematic diagram of the transfer vector pUC57-p67HA-BLV-Gag-mCherry for homologous recombination with the parent virus LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb genome between ORFs 49 and 50 to generate LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag. Restriction enzyme sites that were used for cloning or removal of the expression cassette from pUC57-Simple are labelled.



FIGURE 2

Generation and passage of LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag. The recombinant virus (mCherry; red) was constructed in LT cells at passage 0 (P0) by infection with LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb (eGFP; green) and transfection with the transfer vector. P1 MDBK = first passage of the lysate in MDBK cells, P2 MDBK = second passage in MDBK cells, P3 MDBK = third passage in MDBK cells. P10 CAM and P11 CAM show passage of the recombinant in CAMs of fertilized hens' eggs. Magnified inserts show regions of LSDV white pocks. Later passages were performed in LT (P14 LT) and BHK-21 (P15 BHK-21) cells. Micrographs were taken using fluorescence only (upper panels) and fluorescence with phase (lower panels). All scale bars: 50 µm.

passages, once this cell line was shown to be permissive for LSDV growth (35).

3.2 Verification and characterization of LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag

The insert between LSDV ORFs 49 and 50 was amplified by PCR to confirm the presence of the expression cassette (Figures 3A, B). LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag gave a product slightly larger than the expected 5801 bp size (Figure 3B), however sequencing

showed that this product was correct. The expected product was seen for the nLSDVSODis-UCT positive control, and no LSDV (SODis)BEFV-Gb parent virus was detected.

SDS PAGE and western blotting confirmed the expression of the p67HA and BLV Gag proteins from cells infected with LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag (Figure 3C). BLV Gag was detected in lysate and media near the expected 43 kDa size, whereas p67HA which translates to 77 kDa was observed as multiple proteins with a predominant form slightly below 130 kDa in the lysate. Immunofluorescent staining of fixed infected MDBK cells further confirmed the expression of p67HA as seen by the overlap of green



(A) Schematic diagrams of PCR product sizes expected to be amplified by the forward (F) and reverse (R) primers from LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag, the parent virus LSDV(SODis)BEFV-Gb, and the control virus nLSDVSODis-UCT which contained no insert. (B) Gel electrophoresis of PCR products from samples that had no template (H_2O) or from DNA extracted from MDBK cells infected with no virus (Neg), nLSDVSODis-UCT (LSDV-SODis) or LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag (rLSDV). (C) SDS PAGE and western blot of samples from MDBK cells. The membrane was cut in half and probed with either anti-p67 antibody (α -p67) or anti-BLV-p24 (Gag) antibody (α -BLV Gag). (D) Immunofluorescent staining of fixed MDBK cells (scale bar: 50 µm) and live HeLa cells (scale bar: 20 µm), both infected with LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag at MOI 0.05, seen with mCherry, probed with anti-p67 antibody and a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (green). TL: Transmitted light.

and red fluorescence (Figure 3D). Live cell immunofluorescent staining was performed, as incorporation into BLV Gag VLPs requires the antigen to be present at the plasma membrane. Surface localization of p67HA was confirmed on infected HeLa cells (Figure 3D).

3.3 Electron microscopy of LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag virions and BLV Gag VLPs

Conventional TEM was performed to confirm BLV Gag VLP formation when expressed from cells infected with LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag and to investigate pox virion morphology. Isolated pox virions had characteristics typical of intracellular LSDV (Figure 4A). BLV Gag VLPs purified from the corresponding cell media samples appeared as expected (Figure 4B), however low numbers of VLPs were isolated. Low numbers of gold particles to detect p67HA on VLPs were also observed. SDS PAGE and western blotting of the cell lysate and isolated VLP samples showed that p67HA was detected in both samples (Figure 4C). In the VLP sample, the majority of p67HA appeared as a higher molecular weight protein (>135 kDa), whereas the predominant form in the lysate was closer to 100 kDa. Ultrathin sectioning was performed on infected MDBK cells to further confirm BLV Gag VLP formation (Figure 4D). Areas near the plasma membrane and between adjacent cells contained circular structures which resembled VLPs. These were not seen in sectioned MDBKs infected with nLSDVSODis-UCT or uninfected MDBK cells (not shown). LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag observed in sections showed typical poxvirus morphologies.

3.4 Glycosylation status of p67 Δ TM

The presence of glycans on a protein can affect its mobility during SDS PAGE and give rise to additional bands on a western blot. As this may explain the different sizes of p67 protein seen on blots, such as in Figure 4C, we investigated the presence of N-linked and O-linked glycans on the protein. The soluble p67 Δ TM protein (Figure 1A) was used for this purpose as it was purified from cell media and provided a relatively clean sample (30). The p67 regions that are identical amongst wild-type p67, p67HA and p67 Δ TM were analyzed with NetNGlyc-1.0 and NetOGlyc-4.0 to predict the glycosylation sites (Figure 5A). Seven N-linked sites were predicted, as was previously described by others (41, 42), and 95 O-linked sites were predicted, shown as a range (exact sites shown in Table S1).

 $p67\Delta TM$ was deglycosylated with PNGase F to remove Nlinked glycans and treated with a deglycosylation mix to remove both N-linked and O-linked glycans (Figure 5B). The doublet >100 kDa resolved into one band after treatment with PNGase F, indicating that $p67\Delta TM$ has N-linked glycans. The band and smear up to ~160 kDa all resolved into a single band following treatment with the deglycosylation mix, indicating that the higher molecular weight protein is heavily O-linked glycosylated. The lower molecular weight form above 58 kDa had no mobility shift.





remove both N-linked and O-linked glycans or left untreated (-). Lanes were loaded with 40 ng of protein and blots were probed with anti-His antibody (α -His).

3.5 Immunogenicity of LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag in mice

The ability of LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag to elicit humoral responses against p67HA and BLV Gag was investigated in mice. Four groups of female BALB/c mice were inoculated as shown in Figure 6. The DNA plasmid pMExT-p67HA was used as a control, as we had previously shown this plasmid to induce p67-binding antibodies in mice after four inoculations (30). The plasmid consists of the pTHpCapR/pMExT mammalian expression vector backbone (38, 43) encoding the p67HA antigen present in LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag (Figure 1A).

An ELISA using plates coated with $p67\Delta TM$ showed that both pMExT-p67HA and LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag elicited p67binding antibodies in mice (Figure 7A). Mouse #3 in the pMExTp67HA group had no response, and why this occurred is unknown. Mice inoculated with LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag had endpoint titers almost 10-fold higher compared to those inoculated with pMExT-p67HA, however the differences were not statistically significant (Figure 7B).

Responses to BLV Gag were investigated using plates coated with BLV Gag protein purified from *E. coli* (30). LSDV-SODisp67HA-BLV-Gag elicited low titers of BLV Gag-binding antibodies, which were significantly different from the nLSDVSODis-UCT control group but not to those that received pMExT-p67HA (Figure 8). A summary of the endpoint titers can be found in Table S2.

4 Discussion

The vaccine currently in use against ECF is effective at protecting cattle, however, has many limitations that can be improved upon. Our goal was to produce a novel vaccine that may address these issues by combining our p67HA-VLP system with our recombinant nLSDVSODis-UCT backbone to develop a dual vaccine that potentially protects against both ECF and LSD (26, 30).

Most efforts to produce a novel vaccine that avoids the use of live *T. parva* have investigated the use of the p67 antigen, as it is conserved across many *T. parva* strains and can induce neutralizing antibodies to prevent sporozoite invasion (33). These have included chimeric p67 recombinants, truncation of the protein to known immunogenic regions, and expression in bacteria, insect cells *via* recombinant baculovirus, and mammalian cell expression systems (28, 42, 44). A peculiar characteristic of the antigen is its mobility after SDS PAGE and western blotting. The predominant protein extracted from sporozoite lysate appears to be 67 kDa, however



recombinant forms as described earlier all tend to produce p67 at a range of sizes, often showing much larger proteins predominating in cell media (33, 42, 45, 46). This characteristic was observed for p67HA when expressed from LSDV (Figures 3C; 4C). We have previously seen this pattern of expression for p67HA, p67 Δ TM and p67 with the native TM-CT when expressed in HEK293T cells using the pMExT DNA plasmid (30). Tebaldi et al. (42) have demonstrated that larger soluble ~140 kDa forms are possibly p67

aggregates that only dissociate under severe denaturation

conditions. p67 has one cysteine residue (C416) in p67M,

therefore the formation of dimers via disulphide bonds is not

impossible. p67HA also showed similar large proteins in blots;

one slightly above 100 kDa and another at ~140 kDa (Figure 4C). As

p67ΔTM showed a very similar pattern of expression, the protein

purified from HEK293T cell media was used to investigate p67

glycosylation. Deglycosylation experiments showed that the antigen

has both N-linked and O-linked glycans (Figure 5B). Treatment

with PNGase F gave a result that was almost identical to that of

Nene et al. (45); Kaba et al. (46), where they treated insect cells

expressing p67 through baculovirus, with or without the TM respectively, with tunicamycin to prevent N-linked glycosylation of the protein. Interestingly, Tebaldi et al. (42) had a conflicting result where treatment of their p67∆TM from HEK293T cell media with PNGase F showed no mobility shift. They, however, used the native SS whereas we used the TPA SS, and different SS can result in differences in glycosylation (47, 48). If one compares p67HA in the lysate versus the medium (VLPs), the opposite band predominates for the different samples (Figure 4C). If the glycosylation status of p67ΔTM is taken into account, it is likely that p67HA present on the cell surface and VLPs is the most processed, glycosylated form, whereas p67HA in the lysate represents the protein before undergoing processing. Processing and the addition of glycans progresses as proteins are trafficked through the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi body, therefore one would expect the most processed form to be on the cell surface (49). Besides explaining the appearance of p67 on western blots, glycosylation may have conformational and immunological implications. For some viruses, such as HIV, neutralizing antibodies have epitopes that



FIGURE 7

ELISAs to detect p67-binding IgG antibodies in vaccinated mouse sera. (A) ELISA signal (absorbance at 450-540 nm) vs Dilution for each mouse in each group, where a number refers to individual mice. Readings are shown with ± SEM. (B) End-point titers (1/log10) for each group. Values were set to zero if no response was observed. Values for each mouse are shown with the mean, with **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 after a one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test

are dependent on the presence of glycans. Conversely, glycan shielding of epitopes can also occur whereby the presence of glycans sterically blocks the binding of antibodies, as seen for the heavily glycosylated Ebola virus glycoprotein (50). Whether these factors are relevant for p67 is currently unknown. As yet, no glycans have been found experimentally on sporozoite-derived p67 (41). Thus, one can hypothesize that non-glycosylated p67 maybe the most suitable form for eliciting neutralizing antibodies to *T. parva* sporozoites.

The expression of p67HA on the surface of HeLa cells was shown by immunofluorescence, and electron microscopy confirmed the presence of BLV Gag VLPs (Figures 3D; 4). However, the amount of p67HA on the surface of VLPs was considerably lower compared to when expressed from DNA plasmids, which employed the constitutive cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (30). The mH5 and pLEO promoters, which were used for the control of p67HA and BLV Gag respectively, both induce gene expression at the early and late stages of the poxvirus life cycle (51, 52). However, different promoters can have different strengths at different times, and therefore the timing of p67HA and BLV Gag expression may be slightly different (53). Furthermore, the production of p67HA-BLV Gag VLPs would require both proteins to be present at the same assembly point, which may be affected by differential trafficking of the two proteins through the cytoplasm of recombinant LSDVinfected cells. Isolation of VLPs was also met with difficulties as low numbers of VLPs were observed. VLP isolation was also met with technical challenges with a different recombinant nLSDVSODis-UCT encoding HIV-1 Gag under the pLEO promoter (unpublished data). HIV-1 Gag only associates with the plasma membrane after a threshold cytoplasmic concentration of the protein is reached (54). This may explain the lower numbers of isolated VLPs from cell media if relatively lower expression of Gag from LSDV occurred.

Nevertheless, LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag elicited p67binding antibodies in mice with an average titer that was almost 10-fold higher than that of the pMExT-p67HA DNA plasmid, although the difference was not statistically significant (Figure 7). Live-virus vaccines often induce stronger responses than DNA vaccines used alone, therefore this result was expected. Others have demonstrated recombinant p67 immunogenicity in mice, which then proved immunogenic in cattle. Kaba et al. (29) showed that when inoculated into mice, p67 Δ SS fused to GFP (GFP: p67 Δ SS, produced in insect cells), and p67C fused to baculovirus GP64 and displayed on baculovirus particles (GP64: p67C) resulted in antibody titers over 5-fold higher than that of other truncated p67 proteins (GFP:p67C/p67N, p67C produced in *E. coli*, and GP64:p67N). These two antigens also induced *T. parva* sporozoite neutralizing antibodies in mice, and GFP:p67 Δ SS was the superior antigen in their cattle immunogenicity study.

Surprisingly, mice inoculated with LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag had relatively low responses to BLV Gag (Figure 8). This was unexpected as the DNA plasmid pMEx-BLV-gag, which encoded the same gag gene, previously gave good responses in mice (30). The implication is that the immune response to p67 was not linked to that of BLV Gag and that the increased p67HA antibody response may have been due to the p67 being presented on poxvirus particles and not predominantly on BLV VLPs. The lower level of detection of p67HA on LSDV-produced Gag particles would support this theory. As described earlier, the pLEO promoter is a synthetic early-late optimized promoter (52) which should be expressed before and after LSDV DNA replication. The expression was reported to be high in the first hour resulting in good CD8+ T-cell responses, so another possibility is that a cellular immune response is being activated.

In conclusion we have constructed a novel LSDV candidate ECF vaccine expressing a chimeric protein p67HA expressed on the surface of infected cells and BLV Gag. We further show that our p67 is glycosylated when expressed in mammalian cells, which may explain the multiple large proteins observed in western blot



FIGURE 8

ELISAs to detect BLV Gag-binding IgG antibodies in vaccinated mouse sera. (A) ELISA signal (absorbance at 450-540 nm) vs Dilution for each mouse in each group, where a number refers to individual mice. Readings are shown with \pm SEM. (B) End-point titers (1/log10) for each group. Values were set to zero if no response was observed. Values for each mouse are shown with the mean, with *p<0.05 after a one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni *post-hoc* test.

analysis. LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag elicited p67 and BLV-Gag binding antibodies in mice. This vaccine is currently being investigated in cattle to assess its efficacy against ECF.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Cape Town.

Author contributions

LW: methodology, investigation, data curation, formal analysis and writing (original draft, review and editing). RC: methodology, supervision and writing (review and editing). ND: methodology, investigation and writing (review and editing). MJ: methodology and investigation. EM: methodology and investigation. ER: conceptualization, supervision and writing (review and editing). A-LW: conceptualization, supervision, methodology, funding acquisition and writing (review and editing). All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work is based on the research supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa (Grant Numbers

References

1. Malak AK, Mpoke L, Banak J, Muriuki S, Skilton RA, Odongo D, et al. Prevalence of livestock diseases and their impact on livelihoods in central equatoria state, southern Sudan. *Prev Vet Med* (2012) 104:216–23. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2011.12.001

2. Mumba C, Hasler B, Muma JB, Munyeme M, Sitali DC, Skjerve E, et al. Practices of traditional beef farmers in their production and marketing of cattle in Zambia. *Trop Anim Health Prod* (2018) 50:49–62. doi: 10.1007/s11250-017-1399-0

3. Boucher F, Moutroifi Y, Ali M, Moindjie Y, Soule M, Charafouddine O, et al. Impact of East coast fever on grande comore: Assessment taking a participatory epidemiology approach. *Trop Anim Health Prod* (2019) 51:99–107. doi: 10.1007/ s11250-018-1664-x

 Nthiwa D, Alonso S, Odongo D, Kenya E, Bett B. A participatory epidemiological study of major cattle diseases amongst maasai pastoralists living in wildlife-livestock interfaces in maasai Mara, Kenya. *Trop Anim Health Prod* (2019) 51:1097–103. doi: 10.1007/s11250-018-01790-1

5. Bantuzeko Kwankanaba K, Mushagalusa AC, Barume CA, Mugumaarhahama Y, Sefu AG. Farmers' perceptions on technical controls and risk factors of East coast fever caused by *Theileria parva* in mountainous kivu, democratic republic of Congo. *J Ani. Plant Sci* (2022) 51:9213–26. doi: 10.35759/JAnmPlSci.v51-2.1

 Rowlands GJ, Musoke AJ, Morzaria SP, Nagda SM, Ballingall KT, Mckeever DJ. A statistically derived index for classifying East coast fever reactions in cattle challenged with *Theileria parva* under experimental conditions. *Parasitology* (2000) 120:371–81. doi: 10.1017/S0031182099005600 64815 and 138792) and the Poliomyelitis Research Foundation (Grant Number 19/85).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Rodney Lucas and the UCT RAF for carrying out the mouse experiments, as well as Gerald Chege and Alana Keyser for assisting with downstream immunogenicity assays.

Conflict of interest

Patent applications have been filed on LSDV-SODis-p67HA-BLV-Gag and pMExT-p67HA PCT/IB2022/056970, PA175637/ PCT, as well as for the nLSDVSODis-UCT backbone CT/IB2019/ 054090, PA166012PCT.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1143034/full#supplementary-material

7. Fry LM, Schneider DA, Frevert CW, Nelson DD, Morrison WI, Knowles DP. East Coast fever caused by *Theileria parva* is characterized by macrophage activation associated with vasculitis and respiratory failure. *PloS One* (2016) 11:e0156004. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156004

8. Nene V, Kiara H, Lacasta A, Pelle R, Svitek N, Steinaa L. The biology of *Theileria parva* and control of East coast fever - current status and future trends. *Ticks Tick-borne Dis* (2016) 7:549–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2016.02.001

9. Radley DE, Brown CGD, Cunningham MP, Kimber CD, Musisi FL, Payne RC, et al. East Coast fever 3: Chemoprophylactic immunization of cattle using oxytetracycline and a combination of *Theilerial* strains. *Veterinary Parasitol* (1975) 1:51–60. doi: 10.1016/0304-4017(75)90007-2

10. De Deken R, Martin V, Saido A, Madder M, Brandt J, Geysen D. An outbreak of East coast fever on the Comoros: a consequence of the import of immunised cattle from Tanzania? *Vet Parasitol* (2007) 143:245–53. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.08.018

11. Di Giulio G, Lynen G, Morzaria S, Oura C, Bishop R. Live immunization against East coast fever - current status. *Trends Parasitol* (2009) 25:85–92. doi: 10.1016/ j.pt.2008.11.007

12. Singer AC, Shaw H, Rhodes V, Hart A. Review of antimicrobial resistance in the environment and its relevance to environmental regulators. *Front Microbiol* (2016) 7:1728. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01728

13. Bishop R, Odongo DO, Spooner PR, Morzaria SP, Oura CAL, Skilton RA. Multilocus genotyping of *Theileria parva* isolates associated with a live vaccination trial

in Kenya provides evidence for transmission of immunizing parasites into local tick and cattle populations. *Transboundary Emerging Dis* (2020) 67:88–98. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13417

14. Alcock R, Cottingham MG, Rollier CS, Furze J, De Costa SD, Hanlon M, et al. Long-term thermostabilization of live poxviral and adenoviral vaccine vectors at supraphysiological temperatures in carbohydrate glass. *Sci Transl Med* (2010) 2:19ra12. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3000490

15. Thiel V, Herold J, Schelle B, Siddell SG. Infectious RNA transcribed *in vitro* from a cDNA copy of the human coronavirus genome cloned in vaccinia virus. *J Gen Virol* (2001) 82:1273–81. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-82-6-1273

16. Sanchez-Sampedro L, Perdiguero B, Mejias-Perez E, Garcia-Arriaza J, Di Pilato M, Esteban M. The evolution of poxvirus vaccines. *Viruses* (2015) 7:1726–803. doi: 10.3390/v7041726

17. Douglass N, Omar R, Munyanduki H, Suzuki A, Mutowembwa P, Kara P, et al. The development of dual vaccines against lumpy skin disease (LSD) and bovine ephemeral fever (BEF). *Vaccines* (2021) 9. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9111215

18. OIE-WAHIS. *Events management [Online]* (2022). Available at: https://wahis. woah.org/#/event-management (Accessed December 2022).

19. Coetzer JAW, Tuppurainen E. Lumpy skin disease. *Infect Dis livestock* (2004) 2:1268-76.

20. Moller J, Moritz T, Schlottau K, Krstevski K, Hoffmann D, Beer M, et al. Experimental lumpy skin disease virus infection of cattle: comparison of a field strain and a vaccine strain. *Arch Virol* (2019) 164:2931–41. doi: 10.1007/s00705-019-04411-w

21. Abutarbush SM, Ababneh MM, Al Zoubi IG, Al Sheyab OM, Al Zoubi MG, Alekish MO, et al. Lumpy skin disease in Jordan: Disease emergence, clinical signs, complications and preliminary-associated economic losses. *Transbound Emerg Dis* (2015) 62:549–54. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12177

22. OBP. Lumpy skin disease vaccine for cattle (2022). Available at: https://www.obpvaccines.co.za/resources/productInserts/LUMPY%20SKIN%20DISEASE%20VACCINES%20FOR%20CATTLE.pdf.

23. Aspden K, Van Dijk AA, Bingham J, Cox D, Passmore JA, Williamson A-L. Immunogenicity of a recombinant lumpy skin disease virus (neethling vaccine strain) expressing the rabies virus glycoprotein in cattle. *Vaccine* (2002) 20:2693–701. doi: 10.1016/S0264-410X(02)00203-7

24. Wallace DB, Mather A, Kara PD, Naicker L, Mokoena NB, Pretorius A, et al. Protection of cattle elicited using a bivalent lumpy skin disease virus-vectored recombinant rift valley fever vaccine. *Front Vet Sci* (2020) 7:256. doi: 10.3389/ fvets.2020.00256

25. Chapman R, Van Diepen M, Douglass N, Galant S, Jaffer M, Margolin E, et al. Assessment of an LSDV-vectored vaccine for heterologous prime-boost immunizations against HIV. *Vaccines* (2021) 9. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9111281

26. Douglass N, Munyanduki H, Omar R, Gers S, Mutowembwa P, Heath L, et al. Influence of the viral superoxide dismutase (SOD) homologue on lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) growth, histopathology and pathogenicity. *Vaccines* (2020) 8. doi: 10.3390/vaccines8040664

27. Nene V, Morrison WI. Approaches to vaccination against *Theileria parva* and *Theileria annulata. Parasite Immunol* (2016) 38:724–34. doi: 10.1111/pim.12388

28. Lacasta A, Mody KT, De Goeyse I, Yu C, Zhang J, Nyagwange J, et al. Synergistic effect of two nanotechnologies enhances the protective capacity of the *Theileria parva* sporozoite p67C antigen in cattle. *J Immunol* (2021) 206:686–99. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000442

29. Kaba SA, Schaap D, Roode EC, Nene V, Musoke AJ, Vlak JM, et al. Improved immunogenicity of novel baculovirus-derived *Theileria parva* p67 subunit antigens. *Veterinary Parasitol* (2004) 121:53–64. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.02.013

30. Whittle L, Chapman R, Van Diepen M, Rybicki EP, Williamson A-L. Characterization of a novel chimeric theileria parva p67 antigen which incorporates into virus-like particles and is highly immunogenic in mice. *Vaccines* (2022) 10. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10020210

31. Babiuk LA, Pontarollo R, Babiuk S, Loehr B, Van Drunen Littel-Van Den Hurk S. Induction of immune responses by DNA vaccines in large animals. *Vaccine* (2003) 21:649–58. doi: 10.1016/S0264-410X(02)00574-1

32. Fry LM, Bastos RG, Stone BC, Williams LB, Knowles DP, Murphy SC. Gene gun DNA immunization of cattle induces humoral and CD4 T-cell-mediated immune responses against the *Theileria parva* polymorphic immunodominant molecule. *Vaccine* (2019) 37:1546–53. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.02.009

33. Nene V, Gobright E, Bishop R, Morzaria S, Musoke A. Linear peptide specificity of bovine antibody responses to p67 of *Theileria parva* and sequence diversity of sporozoite neutralizing epitopes: implications for a vaccine. *Infection Immun* (1999) 67:1261-6. doi: 10.1128/IAI.67.3.1261-1266.1999 34. Kaba SA, Nene V, Musoke AJ, Vlak JM, Van Oers MM. Fusion to green fluorescent protein improves expression levels of *Theileria parva* sporozoite surface antigen p67 in insect cells. *Parasitology* (2002) 125:497–505. doi: 10.1017/S003118200200241X

35. van Diepen M, Chapman R, Douglass N, Whittle L, Chineka N, Galant S, et al. Advancements in the growth and construction of recombinant lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) for use as a vaccine vector. *Vaccines* (2021) 9. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9101131

36. Munyanduki H, Omar R, Douglass N, Williamson AL. Removal of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) from lumpy skin disease virus (LSDV) vaccine stocks by passage on chorioallantoic membranes of fertilized hens' eggs. *J Virol Methods* (2020) 275:113752. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2019.113752

37. Reed LJ, Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. *Am J Hygiene* (1938) 27:493–7. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408

38. van Diepen M, Margolin E, Chapman R, Douglass N, Galant S, Ximba P, et al. Prime-boost iunizations with DNA, modified vaccinia virus Ankara, and protein-based vaccines elicit robust HIV-1 tier 2 neutralizing antibodies against the CAP256 superinfecting virus. *J Virol* (2019) 93:e02155-18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02155-18

39. Gupta R, Brunak S. Prediction of glycosylation across the human proteome and the correlation to protein function. *Pac Symp Biocomput* (2002) 7:310-322.

40. Steentoft C, Vakhrushev SY, Joshi HJ, Kong Y, Vester-Christensen MB, Schjoldager KT, et al. Precision mapping of the human O-GalNAc glycoproteome through SimpleCell technology. *EMBO J* (2013) 32:1478-88. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2013.79

41. Nene V, Iams KP, Gobright E, Musoke A. Characterisation of the gene encoding a candidate vaccine antigen of *Theileria parva* sporozoites. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* (1992) 51:17–28. doi: 10.1016/0166-6851(92)90196-Q

42. Tebaldi G, Williams LB, Verna AE, Macchi F, Franceschi V, Fry LM, et al. Assessment and optimization of *Theileria parva* sporozoite full-length p67 antigen expression in mammalian cells. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2017) 11:e0005803. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005803

43. Tanzer FL, Shephard EG, Palmer KE, Burger M, Williamson A-L, Rybicki EP. The porcine circovirus type 1 capsid gene promoter improves antigen expression and immunogenicity in a HIV-1 plasmid vaccine. *Virol J* (2011) 8. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-51

44. Kaba SA, Musoke AJ, Schaap D, Schetters T, Rowlands J, Vermeulen AN, et al. Novel baculovirus-derived p67 subunit vaccines efficacious against East coast fever in cattle. *Vaccine* (2005) 23:2791–800. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2004.10.043

45. Nene V, Inumaru S, Mckeever D, Morzaria S, Shaw M, Musoke A. Characterization of an insect cell-derived *Theileria parva* sporozoite vaccine antigen and immunogenicity in cattle. *Infection Immun* (1995) 63:503-8. doi: 10.1128/ iai.63.2.503-508.1995

46. Kaba SA, Salcedo AM, Wafula PO, Vlak JM, Van Oers MM. Development of a chitinase and v-cathepsin negative bacmid for improved integrity of secreted recombinant proteins. *J Virol Methods* (2004) 122:113-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.07.006

47. Rutkowski DT, Ott CM, Polansky JR, Lingappa VR. Signal sequences initiate the pathway of maturation in the endoplasmic reticulum lumen. *J Biol Chem* (2003) 278:30365–72. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M302117200

48. Yolitz J, Schwing C, Chang J, Van Ryk D, Nawaz F, Wei D, et al. Signal peptide of HIV envelope protein impacts glycosylation and antigenicity of gp120. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2018) 115:2443–8. doi: 0.1073/pnas.1722627115

49. Schjoldager KT, Narimatsu Y, Joshi HJ, Clausen H. Global view of human protein glycosylation pathways and functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2020) 21:729–49. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-00294-x

50. Francica JR, Varela-Rohena A, Medvec A, Plesa G, Riley JL, Bates P. Steric shielding of surface epitopes and impaired immune recognition induced by the Ebola virus glycoprotein. *PloS Pathog* (2010) 6:e1001098. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001098

51. Wyatt LS, Shors ST, Murphy BR, Moss B. Development of a replication-deficient recombinant vaccinia virus vaccine effective against parainfluenza virus 3 infection in an animal model. *Vaccine* (1996) 14:1451–8. doi: 10.1016/S0264-410X(96)00072-2

52. Di Pilato M, Mejias-Perez E, Gomez CE, Perdiguero B, Sorzano CO, Esteban M. New vaccinia virus promoter as a potential candidate for future vaccines. *J Gen Virol* (2013) 94:2771–6. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.057299-0

53. Alharbi NK. Poxviral promoters for improving the immunogenicity of MVA delivered vaccines. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2019) 15:203–9. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2018.1513439

54. Fogarty KH, Berk S, Grigsby IF, Chen Y, Mansky LM, Mueller JD. Interrelationship between cytoplasmic retroviral gag concentration and gagmembrane association. J Mol Biol (2014) 426:1611-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2013.11.025