Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Megan Barnet, St Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Australia

REVIEWED BY Ang Lin, China Pharmaceutical University, China Lama Youssef, Damascus University, Syria

*CORRESPONDENCE Björn Tampe bjoern.tampe@med.uni-goettingen.de

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

SPECIALTY SECTION RECEIVED 09 January 2023 ACCEPTED 17 April 2023 PUBLISHED 26 April 2023

CITATION

Baier E, Korsten P, Strauß A, Thoms K-M, Overbeck T, Ströbel P and Tampe B (2023) Study protocol: a prospective single-center study for non-invasive biomonitoring of renal complications in cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1140677. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1140677

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baier, Korsten, Strauß, Thoms, Overbeck, Ströbel and Tampe. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Study protocol: a prospective single-center study for non-invasive biomonitoring of renal complications in cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors

Eva Baier¹, Peter Korsten¹, Arne Strauß², Kai-Martin Thoms³, Tobias Overbeck⁴, Philipp Ströbel⁵ and Björn Tampe^{1*}

¹Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ²Department of Urology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ³Department of Dermatology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ⁴Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ⁵Institute of Pathology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ⁵Institute

Background: The advent of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) has powerfully broadened the scope of treatment options for malignancies with an ongoing increase of indications, but immune-related adverse events (irAEs) represent a serious threat to treatment success. Agents directed against programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) or its ligand 1 (PD-L1) are known to cause renal complications with an incidence of 3%. In contrast, subclinical renal involvement is estimated to be much higher, up to 29%. We recently reported about urinary flow cytometry-based detection of urinary PD-L1-positive (PD-L1⁺) kidney cells correlating with tubular PD-L1-positivity that reflected susceptibility to develop ICI-related nephrotoxicity as an irAE attending ICI treatment. Therefore, we designed a study protocol to evaluate urinary detection of PD-L1⁺ kidney cells as a tool for non-invasive biomonitoring of renal complications in cancer patients treated with ICIs.

Methods: A prospective, controlled, non-interventional, longitudinal, singlecenter observational study will be conducted at the Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology of the University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany. We intend to enroll approximately 200 patients treated with immunotherapy from the Departments of Urology, Dermatology, and Hematology and Medical Oncology of the University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany. First, we will assess clinical, laboratory, histopathological, and urinary parameters in addition to urinary cell collection. Then, we will perform a correlative analysis between urinary flow cytometry of different PD-L1⁺ cell of renal origin with the onset of ICI-related nephrotoxicity. **Discussion:** Because of growing ICI-treatment applicability with an expectable incidence of renal complications, providing cost-efficient and easily performable diagnostic tools for treatment-attendant and non-invasive biomonitoring becomes vital to improve both renal and overall survival rates in cancer patients receiving immunotherapy.

Trial registration: https://www.drks.de, DRKS-ID DRKS00030999.

KEYWORDS

PD-L1, AIN, irAE, ICI, urinary flow cytometry, TEC

Introduction

With the advent of immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), the scope of cancer-treatment options has seen a powerful increment, wherein reactivation of CD8-positive T-cell cytotoxicity constitutes its functional ground (1). Established neutralizing antibodies are directed against co-inhibitory auxiliary proteins expressed on tumor cells engaging in mechanisms of so-called immune evasion. Blockade of programmed cell-death protein 1 (PD-1) and its ligand 1 (PD-L1) is associated with remarkable therapy responses, especially for solid tumor entities featuring restricted therapeutic options. Still, immune-related adverse events (irAEs) pose a serious threat to treatment success, including maintenance of tumor remission (2-6). Of these irAEs, skin, gastrointestinal, hepatic, and endocrine adverse effects are the most common (7). Kidney involvement is known to occur with an incidence ranging from 2 to 3%; subclinical affection is estimated even higher, up to 29% (8-16). Glucocorticoid therapy and discontinuation of the causative agent are the only available measures with the side effect of delayed cancer treatment. Once affected, kidneys are more prone to a renal relapse after ICI reexposition (9-11). Nephrotoxicity related to ICI therapy mainly consists of acute interstitial nephritis (AIN) and, to a lesser extent, glomerular nephropathies (8-19). This nephrotoxicity remains unclear and deserves to be characterized regarding clinical patterns and the underlying mechanisms.

We previously reported aberrant PD-L1 expression distinct to renal compartments in ICI-related nephrotoxicity, reflecting susceptibility to develop renal irAE (20, 21). PD-L1 positivity is different in intrarenal compartments and predominantly expressed in the tubuli, which correlates with elevated serum levels of Creactive protein (CRP) as a non-specific marker of systemic inflammation (20). Moreover, PD-L1 expression was also observed in ICI-naïve renal pathologies implying PD-L1 upregulation as an indicator of ongoing kidney damage before this becomes clinically detectable by conventional methods (20). Interestingly, urinary flow cytometry-detected PD-L1-positive (PD-L1⁺) kidney cells correlated with intrarenal PD-L1 positivity (20). Therefore, we designed a study protocol to evaluate urinary detection of PD-L1⁺ kidney cells of different origins as a tool for non-invasive and therapy-attendant biomonitoring of renal complications in cancer patients receiving immunotherapy.

Methods

Study design and study population

Our observational single-center study is prospective, controlled, longitudinal, and non-interventional (trial registration: https:// www.drks.de, DRKS-ID: DRKS00030999). It will be performed at the Department of Nephrology and Rheumatology at University Medical Center Göttingen (UMG), Germany. In addition, cancer patients receiving ICI treatment at the Departments of Dermatology, Urology, Hematology, and Medical Oncology of the UMG will be enrolled. The type and dosing schedule of the ICI therapy will be performed as indicated by the responsible medical specialist and carried out independently from the study investigations. A sample size of 200 patients was calculated based on the reported incidences of ICI-related nephrotoxicity.

Patient enrollment and study conduction

Inclusion criteria are patients 18 years or older, written informed consent, and intended immunotherapy. In addition, patients enrolled in other ongoing trials of immunotherapies with a blinded study design are considered ineligible. Within the framework of routine medical care of patients in the respective departments, eligible patients are identified and included after documented written consent. Figure 1 shows a STROBE flow chart of the study protocol featuring an overview of inclusion and exclusion criteria. After providing written consent, a baseline visit will be conducted, where baseline demographic data, medical history, medication, and clinical symptoms will be collected. Physical examination includes the assessment of height, weight, vital parameters, general condition, the status of the integument, neck with the thyroid gland, head, ears, eyes, throat, abdomen, limbs, joints, and auscultation of the heart and lungs. Laboratory data assessments include differential blood cell counts, serum levels of sodium, potassium, calcium, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), uric acid, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase, bilirubin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), lipase, blood glucose, creatine kinase (CK), thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), T3, T4, and CRP. In addition, viral serology for the human



immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis A, B, and C, cytomegalovirus (CMV), and the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) will be tested at baseline. Moreover, autoantibody testing will be performed once at baseline and afterward as clinically indicated and includes antinuclear antibodies (ANA), anti-neutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA), extractable nuclear antigen (ENA), anti-double stranded desoxyribonucleic acid (anti-dsDNA), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) and rheumatoid factor (RF). In addition, urinanalysis will be performed at each visit with urine dipstick and urine sediment, and an additional asservation of 100 mL of fresh urine samples will be performed. Follow-up visits are scheduled every 12 (-16) weeks; unscheduled visits will be performed if an irAE is suspected. The end-of-study visit will be conducted after 48-52 weeks. The schedule of visits and assessments is shown in Table 1.

Asservation of urine cells

Fresh urine samples will be collected (100 mL) and loaded into an automated cytospin machine (Shandon cytospin, Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and

centrifuged at 1000 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 10 minutes. The cell pellet is resuspended in a mixture of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and bovine serum albumin (BSA). Cell viability and quantity are measured in a cell counter. Urine cells are deep frozen in GibcoTM CTSTM Synth-a-FreezeTM medium at -80°C. Cells can be further processed for urinary flow cytometry.

Flow cytometry of urinary cells

Antibody staining of cells in suspension follows standard protocols. Thawed or freshly collected cells are centrifuged at 1000 rpm at 4°C for 8 minutes. The PD-L1⁺ cell line KARPAS 299 will be used as a positive control and unstained cells as negative controls for the analysis of urinary cells. Cell suspensions are washed and resuspended in PBS and stained using antibodies against PD-L1 (APC anti-human CD274, B7-H1, PD-L1, clone 29E.2A3, Biolegend, San Diego, USA) in combination with the podocyte marker podocalyxin (anti-TRA-1-81-PE, human, clone REA246, Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Identification of tubular epithelial cell (TEC) will be done by cytokeratin (anticytokeratin-FITC, human, clone CK3-6H5, Miltenyi Biotec GmbH,

Week/occasion	0	12 (+4)	48 (+4)	Routine visits during ICI application	Non-scheduled visits (occurrence of irAE)
Written informed consent	х				
In-/exclusion criteria	х				
Enrollment	х				
Medical history	х	Х	х	Х	Х
Physical examination ¹	х	Х	Х	Х	X
Vital parameters ²	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Weight and height ³	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Laboratory analyses ⁴	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Urinary analyses ⁵	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Viral serologies ⁶	х				
Antibody screening ⁷	х				Х
Medication	х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Assessment of irAE ⁸	Х	Х	Х	х	Х

TABLE 1 Schedule of patient visits and assessments.

¹Includes general condition, status of the integument, neck with thyroid, head, ears, eyes, throat, abdomen, limbs, joints and auscultation of heart and lungs, orienting neurological examination. ²Blood pressure and heart rate after five minutes of rest.

³Weight in kilogram, height in centimeters.

4 Including differential blood cell count, serum levels of sodium, potassium, calcium, creatinine, BUN, uric acid, AST, ALT, gGT, bilirubin, LDH, blood glucose, CK, TSH, T3, T4, CRP. ⁵Urinary dipstick, urinary sediment, 100 mL fresh urine sample.

⁶HIV, hepatitis A/B/C, CMV, EBV,

⁷RF, anti-CCP, ANA, ENA, ANCA, anti-dsDNA, ⁸Documented on a separate data sheet.

ALT, alanin aminotransferase; ANA, anti-neutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies; Anti-CCP, cyclic citrullinated peptide; Anti-dsDNA, anti-double stranded desoxyribonucleic acid; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CK, creatine kinase; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CRP, C-reactive protein; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; ENA, extractable nuclear antigen; gGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; irAE, immune-related adverse event; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; RF, rheumatoid factor; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) with differentiation between proximal TECs by CD-10 (CD10-PE-Vio770, human, clone 97C5, Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), and distal TECs by EpCAM (CD326 (EpCAM)-APC-Vio770, human, clone HEA-125, Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) (22). Cells will be incubated with antibody mixtures for 15 minutes at 4°C in the dark and gated according to positive and isotype-negative controls. For dead cell identification, propidium iodide (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) will be used to gate out nonviable cells. According to standard protocols, cells will be analyzed with the FACS Canto II machine of the cell sorting unit at the Department for Hematology and Medical Oncology of the UMG.

Residual material of renal biopsies

During clinical ICI treatment, the indication for renal biopsies in cases of kidney injury depends on the responsible nephrologist. Residual material of renal biopsies can be utilized for additional diagnostic procedures if ICI-related nephrotoxicity is suspected.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescent stainings, primary antibodies against PD-L1 (ab205921, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and Alexa Fluor 488

(Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) secondary antibodies will be used, nuclear staining will be performed using 4',6-diamidino-2phenylindole (Vector Laboratories).

Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded kidney sections will be deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in ethanol containing distilled water. Tissue sections will be stained using antibodies against PD-L1 (ab205921, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and labeling is performed using NovolinkTM Polymer Detection System (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Nuclear counterstain will be performed using Mayer's Hematoxylin Solution (Sigma, St. Louis, USA).

Sample size calculation

Due to the explorative design of the current study evaluating potential parameters that affect the risk of irAEs, the sample size calculation is not hypothesis-driven. Based on the feasibility to enroll, analyze, and follow up, a total number of 200 patients was chosen. The aim of the current study is the hazard ratio assessment of different influencing factors on irAEs related to the kidneys.

Statistical methods

To assess putative correlations between compiled data, regression methods (Cox regression, logistic regression, Poisson regression) will be performed. Moreover, time-to-event analyses and Kaplan-Meier survival estimates will be conducted. Variables will be tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Non-normally distributed continuous variables will be expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR), and categorical variables as frequency and percentage. Statistical comparisons are not formally powered or prespecified. The Mann-Whitney U-test will be used for group comparisons to determine differences between median values. Spearman correlations will be visualized by heatmaps reflecting mean values of Spearman's p. If not otherwise, specified all calculations are performed with GraphPad Prism (Version 9.5.0 for macOS, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, "www.graphpad.com") or STATA (STATA/MP version 16.1 for Windows, Stata Corp LLC, College Station, TX, USA). Due to the non-interventional, observational, and prospective study design, hypothesis testing will not be conducted.

Discussion

Kidney affection occurring as an irAE during immunotherapy is a severe and potentially life-threatening complication endangering treatment success, hence the overall survival of cancer patients. Clinically apparent renal involvement is estimated to occur with an incidence of 3%. In contrast, previous investigations of biopsy-proven ICI-related nephrotoxicity reported a much higher frequency of up to 29% of cases implying that conventional diagnostic methods only detect advanced and pronounced kidney injury and are unable to detect early and milder forms of renal involvement (8-16). Renal biopsy is the gold standard for the etiological assignment of kidney affection but carries an increased risk of bleeding complications in the cancer-patient population. Moreover, repeated renal biopsies during a complete treatment course iteratively subject patients to the risk of hemorrhagic events. Despite discontinuing ICI treatment and steroid therapy, the kidneys stay endangered to relapse. In case of renal relapses due to cancer-treatment continuation, the clinical course may even be more fulminant, requiring kidney replacement therapy (KRT) (2). Thus, new tools for biomonitoring in clinical routine will be helpful for the early detection of complications.

Our study aims to evaluate the urinary detection of PD-L1⁺ kidney cells based on urinary flow cytometry as a non-invasive biomonitoring tool for renal complications in cancer patients treated with immunotherapy. The concept of urinary flow cytometry-based detection of renal and immune cells reflecting so-called "biosignatures" was first described for renal allograft pathology (22, 23). Adapted from receiver operating characteristics-(ROC)-curve analyses, an assignment of urinary detected cellular components and renal allograft rejection, including T-cell mediated rejection (TCMR), was enabled (22). The conceptual extension of these findings to other T-cell-mediated renal pathologies, for example, ICI-related nephrotoxicity, seems attractive. Moreover, we previously reported

intrarenal PD-L1-positivity as a potential indicator of ongoing kidney damage, showing that renal irAEs correlate with detected PD-L1⁺ kidney cells in the urine (20, 21). PD-L1 upregulation was also observed in other renal pathologies implying PD-L1 functions as a response signal to injuries within the kidneys (20).

Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the non-invasive urinary detection of PD-L1⁺ kidney cells may enable identifying patients at risk for developing nephrotoxicity related to ICI therapy by urine monitoring.

In summary, in light of the increased use of ICI treatments, we expect a sizable number of renal complications. Therefore, costefficient and easily performable diagnostic tools for non-invasive biomonitoring have become more critical to improving renal and overall survival in cancer patients receiving immunotherapy.

Ethics statement

All enrolled patients will provide written consent. The ethics committee of the University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany, approved the study protocol (Protocol Number 1/10/21). The trial is registered at https://www.drks.de (DRKS-ID: DRKS00030999).

Author contributions

BT conceived the study and edited the manuscript. EB established protocols and wrote the first draft. PK reviewed and edited the draft and is involved in patient care. AS, K-MT, and TO are directly involved in the treatment of cancer patients. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

BT was supported by the Research Program, University Medical Center Göttingen (1403720 to BT). Furthermore, this study protocol was funded by the Else-Kröner research program entitled "*molecular therapy and prediction of gastrointestinal malignancies.*" We also acknowledge support from the Open Access Publication Funds of Göttingen University. The funding sources were not involved in the design, collection, analysis, interpretation, writing, or decision to submit the article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sabrina Becker for technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Pio R, Ajona D, Ortiz-Espinosa S, Mantovani A, Lambris JD. Complementing the cancer-immunity cycle. Front Immunol (2019) 10:774. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00774

2. Perazella MA, Shirali AC. Immune checkpoint inhibitor nephrotoxicity: what do we know and what should we do? *Kidney Int* (2020) 97(1):62–74. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2019.07.022

3. Manohar S, Jhaveri KD, Perazella MA. Immunotherapy-related acute kidney injury. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* (2021) 28(5):429-37.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.ackd.2021.07.006

4. Gill J, Prasad V. A reality check of the accelerated approval of immunecheckpoint inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2019) 16(11):656–8. doi: 10.1038/s41571-019-0260-y

5. Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD. Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 378(2):158–68. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMra1703481

6. Sosa A, Lopez Cadena E, Simon Olive C, Karachaliou N, Rosell R. Clinical assessment of immune-related adverse events. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* (2018) 10:1758835918764628. doi: 10.1177/1758835918764628

7. Xing P, Zhang F, Wang G, Xu Y, Li C, Wang S, et al. Incidence rates of immunerelated adverse events and their correlation with response in advanced solid tumours treated with NIVO or NIVO+IPI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Immunother Cancer.* (2019) 7(1):341. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0779-6

8. Rosner MH, Jhaveri KD, McMahon BA, Perazella MA. Onconephrology: the intersections between the kidney and cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71(1):47–77. doi: 10.3322/caac.21636

9. Cortazar FB, Kibbelaar ZA, Glezerman IG, Abudayyeh A, Mamlouk O, Motwani SS, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated AKI: a multicenter study. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2020) 31(2):435–46. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2019070676

10. Cassol C, Satoskar A, Lozanski G, Rovin B, Hebert L, Nadasdy T, et al. Anti-PD-1 immunotherapy may induce interstitial nephritis with increased tubular epithelial expression of PD-L1. *Kidney Int Rep* (2019) 4(8):1152–60. doi: 10.1016/ j.ekir.2019.06.001

11. Seethapathy H, Zhao S, Chute DF, Zubiri L, Oppong Y, Strohbehn I, et al. The incidence, causes, and risk factors of acute kidney injury in patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2019) 14(12):1692–700. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00990119

12. Manohar S, Kompotiatis P, Thongprayoon C, Cheungpasitporn W, Herrmann J, Herrmann SM. Programmed cell death protein 1 inhibitor treatment is associated with

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

acute kidney injury and hypocalcemia: meta-analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* (2019) 34(1):108–17. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfy105

13. Jung K, Zeng X, Bilusic M. Nivolumab-associated acute glomerulonephritis: a case report and literature review. *BMC Nephrol.* (2016) 17(1):188. doi: 10.1186/s12882-016-0408-2

14. Fadel F, El Karoui K, Knebelmann B. Anti-CTLA4 antibody-induced lupus nephritis. N Engl J Med (2009) 361(2):211-2. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc0904283

15. Wanchoo R, Karam S, Uppal NN, Barta VS, Deray G, Devoe C, et al. Adverse renal effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a narrative review. *Am J Nephrol.* (2017) 45(2):160–9. doi: 10.1159/000455014

16. Cortazar FB, Marrone KA, Troxell ML, Ralto KM, Hoenig MP, Brahmer JR, et al. Clinicopathological features of acute kidney injury associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Kidney Int* (2016) 90(3):638–47. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2016.04.008

17. Kishi S, Minato M, Saijo A, Murakami N, Tamaki M, Matsuura M, et al. IgA nephropathy after nivolumab therapy for postoperative recurrence of lung squamous cell carcinoma. *Intern Med* (2018) 57(9):1259–63. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.9814-17

18. Mamlouk O, Selamet U, Machado S, Abdelrahim M, Glass WF, Tchakarov A, et al. Nephrotoxicity of immune checkpoint inhibitors beyond tubulointerstitial nephritis: single-center experience. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2019) 7(1):2. doi: 10.1186/s40425-018-0478-8

19. Oleas D, Bolufer M, Agraz I, Felip E, Munoz E, Gabaldon A, et al. Acute interstitial nephritis associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a single-centre experience. *Clin Kidney J* (2021) 14(5):1364–70. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfaa008

20. Hakroush S, Kopp SB, Tampe D, Gersmann AK, Korsten P, Zeisberg M, et al. Variable expression of programmed cell death protein 1-ligand 1 in kidneys independent of immune checkpoint inhibition. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:624547. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.624547

21. Tampe D, Kopp SB, Baier E, Hakroush S, Tampe B. Compartmentalization of intrarenal programmed cell death protein 1-ligand 1 and its receptor in kidney injury related to immune checkpoint inhibitor nephrotoxicity. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2022) 9:902256. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.902256

22. Goerlich N, Brand HA, Langhans V, Tesch S, Schachtner T, Koch B, et al. Kidney transplant monitoring by urinary flow cytometry: biomarker combination of T cells, renal tubular epithelial cells, and podocalyxin-positive cells detects rejection. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10(1):796. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-57524-7

23. Grothgar E, Goerlich N, Samans B, Skopnik CM, Metzke D, Klocke J, et al. Urinary CD8+HLA-DR+ T cell abundance non-invasively predicts kidney transplant rejection. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2022) 9:928516. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.928516