Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY William Tolbert, Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine (HJF), United States

### REVIEWED BY

Sylvain Cardinaud, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), France Tesfaye Gelanew, Armauer Hansen Research Institute, Ethiopia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hui-Ming Ye yehuiming@xmu.edu.cn Yu-Lin Zhou zhou\_yulin@126.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Viral Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 16 November 2022 ACCEPTED 19 January 2023 PUBLISHED 03 February 2023

#### CITATION

Wen G-P, Zhu M, Li L-R, Li X-J, Ye H-M and Zhou Y-L (2023) Homologous booster immunization with an inactivated vaccine induced robust antibody response in healthcare workers: A retrospective study. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1099629. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1099629

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wen, Zhu, Li, Li, Ye and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Homologous booster immunization with an inactivated vaccine induced robust antibody response in healthcare workers: A retrospective study

Gui-Ping Wen<sup>1†</sup>, Min Zhu<sup>2†</sup>, Li-Rong Li<sup>3†</sup>, Xiu-Juan Li<sup>2</sup>, Hui-Ming Ye<sup>2\*</sup> and Yu-Lin Zhou<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>United Diagnostic and Research Center for Clinical Genetics, Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine and School of Public Health, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Hospital Infection Management, Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, China

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) severely impacted the health, society, and economy around the world. With declining protective efficacy of primary vaccination and the spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants, a Covid-19 booster vaccination is being fully implemented globally. Many people received three doses of BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine in China and other developing countries. However, the antibody response and immune persistence of the homologous BBIBP-CorV booster vaccination is yet to be thoroughly evaluated, as previous studies focused within one month after the third dose. In this study, 97 participants were enrolled to analyze the antibody response and immune persistence within 6 months as well as the safety within 7 days after the third-dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine. The seroconversion rate for total antibody against the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein were both 100% at month 1 and month 6 after the third dose. The IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein seroconversion rate increased from 42.27% before the third dose to 100% 1 month after the third dose and then slightly decreased to 98.97% 5 months later. Positive IgM against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein was rare and was observed in only one participant at month 1 after the third dose. The neutralizing antibody levels at month 1 and month 6 after the third dose increased 63.32-fold and 13.16-fold compared with those before the third dose, and the positive rate for neutralizing antibody was still 100% at month 6 after the third dose. Importantly, the antibody responses induced by the vaccine and immune persistence were not affected by sex or age. No serious adverse reactions were reported. Total antibody and IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein were highly correlated with neutralizing antibody, suggesting that total antibody and IgG against the RBD of the

SARS-CoV-2 S protein could be used as predictors for neutralizing antibody. In conclusion, the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine induced a robust antibody response and moderate immune persistence. These finding are of great significance for development future vaccination strategies.

KEYWORDS

SARS-CoV-2, inactivated vaccine, booster vaccination, immune persistence, antibody response

# 1 Introduction

The ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused serious damage to global public health and the economy (1). Although the disease is mild in most cases, it progresses to a severe form in some patients and may lead to deaths, especially in the elderly and people with comorbidities. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), as of October 3, 2022, SARS-CoV-2 resulted in more than 615 million laboratory-confirmed cases and over 6.5 million deaths (2).

Vaccines are considered as an economical and effective means for prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2. The vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 have been proven to be safe and reduce symptomatic infections and asymptomatic infections of SARS-CoV-2 as well as the adverse outcomes (3–7). It's worth noting that vaccine-induced antibody titers and protective efficacy of Covid-19 vaccines declined over time, regardless of vaccine type (6, 8, 9). Additionally, variants of SARS-CoV-2 have spread globally, causing resurgences of infections even in countries and areas with successful mass-vaccination campaigns (6). Due to the decline of vaccine efficacy coinciding with the rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 variants, a booster vaccination has been implemented in many countries, in which a third dose of Covid-19 vaccine was administered in people who had received a second dose.

Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 virus vaccines have been widely applied in China and many other countries. As an inactivated vaccine, the BBIBP-CorV vaccine has shown a vaccine efficacy of 78.1% in adults in the phase III clinical trial with a two-dose schedule and was proven to be safe and well tolerated in people aged 3-17 years, people aged 18-59, people aged  $\geq 60$ , and individuals with comorbidities (4, 10–12). The BBIBP-CorV vaccine has been approved for conditional use in China and is included in the WHO emergency use listing (13) (14). Given that the vaccination was cost-effective in low- and middle-income countries (15), a vaccination strategy with BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine would bring important economic benefits in these countries. A third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV vaccine showed a satisfying safety profile and induct robust humoral responses against SARS-CoV-2 infection (10, 16-20). However, the humoral response and immune persistence of a third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV vaccine have not been fully explored, as previous studies focused within 1 month after the administration of the third dose (10, 16-20). The 6-month durability of the humoral immune response in vaccine recipients was still unknown and immune persistence was still under investigation.

In this study, 97 participants who had no previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and received three doses of BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine were enrolled. The antibody response and immune persistence within 6 months as well as the safety within 7 days in these participants were detailed assessed.

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study design and participants

The healthcare workers were enrolled from the Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University. Theses participants received total 3 doses (0.5 mL per dose) of BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine (Beijing Institute of Biological Products Co., Beijing, China). Each dose of the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine contained 4  $\mu$ g of total proteins with 0.45 mg/mL aluminum hydroxide adjuvant. Two doses were given with an interval of 4 weeks. Then, a third dose was given 7-10 months after the second dose. The serum samples were collected from the participants at three time points, before the third dose and 1 month and 6 months after the third dose, between October 2021 and May 2022. A total of 97 participants provided serum samples at three time points and these 97 participants were enrolled and retrospectively analyzed for the antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. This study was a retrospective cohort study. The study was done in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

## 2.2 Antibody measurement

The receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein was the major target for neutralizing antibody and an attractive vaccine target because of its immunodominance (21). Therefore, the antibody response to the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein were analyzed in this study. The total antibody, IgM antibody, and IgG antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein in serum samples were tested using commercial chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassay kits (Xiamen InnoDx Biotech Co., Xiamen, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The measurement processes were conducted with an automatic analyzer

Caris 200 (Xiamen UMIC Medical Instrument Co. Ltd., Xiamen, China). A double-antigen sandwich immunoassay was used to detect total antibody and a µ-chain capture immunoassay was used to detect IgM antibody as previously reported (22). The total antibody and IgM antibody assays were established using recombinant antigens containing the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (22). The IgG antibody kits were indirect immunoassays with a recombinant RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein as the coating antigen. The amino acids reference sequences of the RBD and S protein of SARS-CoV-2 were from Wuhan-Hu-1 strain (prototype) (GenBank: NC\_045512). The chemiluminescence reaction was measured by the Caris 200 as relative light units (RLUs). The RLUs were proportional to the content of total antibody, IgM antibody, and IgG antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein in the sample. The cut-off values of total antibody, IgM antibody, and IgG antibody were determined according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cutoff values of total antibody, IgM antibody, and IgG antibody were determined by RLUs of negative control plus (RLUs of positive control multiplied by 0.3), RLUs of negative control plus (RLUs of positive control multiplied by 0.2), and RLUs of negative control plus (RLUs of positive control multiplied by 0.5), respectively. The results of total antibody, IgG, and IgM were records as signal to cut-off (S/ CO) and the values of S/CO≥1.0 were considered as positive.

The neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 in serum samples was also tested using a commercial chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassay kit (Xiamen InnoDx Biotech Co., Xiamen, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The neutralizing antibody assays were performed on the automatic analyzer Caris 200. The neutralizing antibody assays were based on a competitive method. Neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 in the sample bind to the acridinium ester conjugated SARS-CoV-2 S protein. The acridinium ester conjugated SARS-CoV-2 S protein not neutralized by the SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody forms a complex with biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody, which binds to the streptavidin coated on the microparticle. The RLUs were inversely proportional to the content of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody in the sample. The neutralizing antibody levels were calibrated and traceable to the first WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (NIBSC code 20/136) and the results were shown as international units Per milliliter (IU/mL). The cut-off value was 11.50 IU/mL according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## 2.3 Safety

Injection site adverse reactions and systemic adverse reactions in participants within 7 days after the third dose of BBIBP-CorV vaccine were collected using an electronic questionnaire. The questionnaire was administered by participants. The adverse events were graded according to the guiding principles for grading standard of adverse events in clinical trials of vaccines issued by NMPA (23).

## 2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS statistics (SPSS, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 8.00 (GraphPad

Software, CA, USA). Categorical data were summarized as counts and percentages. Continuous data were reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR). The differences were calculated by the Wilcoxon matched-pairs sighed rank test, Kruskal-Wallis test, or Mann-Whitney U test. Spearman correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship among total antibody and IgG antibody against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody. All serum samples collected at three time points were included the Spearman correlation analysis, respectively. P values were calculated by a two-tailed test and P values of 0.05 or lower were considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Characteristics of enrolled participants

Ninety-seven individuals received three doses of homologous BBIBP-CoV inactivated vaccine and provided blood samples at three time points before and after the third dose (Table 1 and Figure 1A). These 97 participants were included in this study. The age of the participants ranged from 23 years to 57 years, with a mean age of 40.66±7.98 years, and 24 (24.74%) were males. Among the 97 participants, 100% were of Han ethnicity. The mean body-mass index (BMI) of the participants was 22.69±4.28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. These participants had no underlying diseases, such as hypertension, cancer, or immune diseases.

## 3.2 Antibody response after the third dose

Overall, the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CoV inactivated vaccine induced a vigorous anti-SARS-Cov-2 response in most participants. The positive seroconversion of the total antibody was 83.51% (81/97) before the third dose and maintained at 100.00% (97/ 97) at month 1 and month 6 after the third dose (Table 1). The levels of the total antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein increased from 4.79 (1.57-16.40) S/CO before the third dose to 393.44 (238.76-608.58) S/CO at month 1 after the third dose and then declined to 310.43 (114.84-770.63) S/CO 5 months later (Figure 1B). For the IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein, the positive conversion rate increased from 42.27% before the third dose to 100.00% at month 1 after the third dose and slightly decreased to 98.97% at month 6 after the third dose. The levels of IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein increased from 0.72 (0.34-2.35) S/CO before the third dose to 10.68 (8.12-12.41) S/CO at month 1 after the third dose and decreased by approximately 50% at month 6 after the third dose (Figure 1C). The positive IgM against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2S protein was observed in only one (1.03%) participant at month 1 after the third dose (Figure 1D), suggesting that IgM response induced by the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CoV vaccine was rare.

We further analyzed the neutralizing antibody response in the participants. The seropositive rate of neutralizing antibody was 55.67% (54/97) before the third dose. The seroconversion of neutralizing antibody was observed in 100% (97/97) of the participants both at month 1 after the third dose and at month 6 after the third dose. The median neutralizing antibody levels increased 63.32-fold, from a base value of 12.75 (6.49-23.53) IU/mL

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics and antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the participants.

Characteristics	Participants (n=97)
Age (years), mean±SD	40.66±7.98
Sex (Male:Female)	24:73
Han ethnicity, n (%)	97 (100.00)
Body-mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean±SD	22.69±4.28
Interval between $2^{nd}$ and $3^{rd}$ doses (days), mean±SD	257.34±8.75
Before the third dose	
Positive for total antibody, n (%)	81 (83.51)
Positive for IgM, n (%)	0 (0.00)
Positive for IgG, n (%)	41 (42.27)
Positive for neutralizing antibody, n (%)	54 (55.67)
Month 1 after the third dose	
Positive for total antibody, n (%)	97 (100.00)
Positive for IgM, n (%)	1 (1.03)
Positive for IgG, n (%)	97 (100.00)
Positive for neutralizing antibody, n (%)	97 (100.00)
Month 6 after the third dose	
Positive for total antibody, n (%)	97 (100.00)
Positive for IgM, n (%)	0 (0.00)
Positive for IgG, n (%)	96 (98.97)
Positive for neutralizing antibody, n (%)	97 (100.00)

before the third dose to 807.30 (177.08-2918.93) IU/mL at month 1 after the third dose (Figure 1E). Five months later, the median neutralizing antibody levels decreased to 167.83 (66.94-642.55) IU/mL, which was still 13.16-fold higher than that before the third dose. These results demonstrated that the neutralizing antibody induced by a third-dose homologous BBIBP-CorV booster vaccination could persist for at least 6 months in all participants.

# 3.3 Relationship between antibody response and age and sex

We analyzed the relationship between antibody response and age of participants. No significant differences in total antibody and IgG against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody levels were noted for participants of different ages before and after the third dose (Figure 2). The median neutralizing antibody levels at month 6 after the third dose were 387.24 (195.86-3007.66), 131.03 (66.84-653.31), 138.16 (51.19-632.73), 232.63 (61.75-739.55) IU/mL for participants aged  $\leq$ 30, 31-40, 41-50, and  $\geq$ 51 years, respectively. These results suggested that the antibody response induced by the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CoV vaccine was not affected by the age.

We further compared the differences in total antibody, IgG, and neutralizing antibody levels between male and female

participants. As shown in Figure 3, male and female participants displayed similar levels of total antibody and IgG against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody at three time points before and after the third dose, suggesting that antibody response induced by the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CoV vaccine was not affected by the sex.

## 3.4 Adverse reactions and events

The injection site and systemic adverse reactions occurring within 7 days after the third dose are shown in Table 2. Adverse reactions were reported by 23.71% (23/97) of the total participants. The most common injection site adverse reaction was pain, which was reported by 8 (8.25%) participants; induration at the infection site was reported by one participant (1.03%). The most common systemic adverse reaction was muscle pain, which was reported by 13 (13.40%) participants. In addition to muscle pain, fatigue was reported by 2 (2.06%) participants. All adverse reactions were mild and self-limiting, and no grade 3 adverse reactions were reported.

# 3.5 Relationship between total antibody, IgG, IgM, and neutralizing antibody

The relationship between total antibody, IgG, and IgM against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody was analyzed. A significant correlation was observed between total antibody and IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein at three time points (Before the third dose: P <0.0001, r= 0.8130; Month 1 after the third dose: P <0.0001, r= 0.8613; Month 6 after the third dose: P <0.0001, r= 0.8720) (Figures 4A, D, G). Meanwhile, significant correlations between total antibody and IgG against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody were observed before the third dose (for total antibody: P <0.0001, r = 0.7077; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.7657) (Figures 4B, C), at month 1 after the third dose (for total antibody: P <0.0001, r = 0.9243; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.8919) (Figures 4E, F), and at month 6 after the third dose (for total antibody: P <0.0001, r = 0.9339; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.9255) (Figures 4H, I). These results suggested that IgG was the main component of total antibody and play an important role in recalling to the BBIBP-CoV vaccine.

# 4 Discussion

Although heterologous booster vaccination induced in more robust immune responses than homologous booster vaccination (24, 25), many people already had their third dose of homologous Covid-19 vaccine. Many people received a third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine in China and other countries. A lot of efforts have been made to investigate the immune response after the homologous BBIBP-CorV booster vaccination (10, 16–19). Given that the neutralizing antibody levels were highly predictive of the host immune protection against infection and correlated with Covid-19 vaccine efficacy (26, 27), the neutralizing antibody responses induced



### FIGURE 1

Humoral response induced by the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine. (A) Immunization regimen and time points of samples collected. The first, second, and third doses are indicated above the time axis with needles, respectively. Three time points selected for serum samples collection are indicated below the time axis with blood collection tube. Levels of total antibody (B), IgG antibody (C), and IgM antibody (D) against the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein and neutralizing antibody (E) against SARS-CoV-2 are shown as the range (whiskers), interquartile range (boxes) and median (line within the boxes) values. The median levels of total antibody, IgG antibody and IgM antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 are shown at the bottom of each panel. The dashed horizontal lines indicate the cut-off of total antibody, IgG antibody and IgM antibody against SARS-CoV-2. S protein and neutralizing antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2. S protein and neutralizing antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2. S protein addition against SARS-CoV-2. S protein addition against SAR

by the homologous BBIBP-CorV booster vaccination were investigated. The neutralizing antibody titer increased rapidly within 4 weeks after the third dose (16). The geometric meant titre of neutralizing antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 ranged from 127-224.4 on 28 days after the third dose (10). In this study, the seropositive rate for neutralizing antibody was 100% at month 1 after the third dose, consistent with the previous study (10). A homologous BBIBP-CorV booster vaccination has been proven to improve neutralization against Omicron variant and provide better protection against the variants of concern (VOC) of SARS-CoV-2 (17-19). Meanwhile, the antibody response and immune persistence induced by the third dose of the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was not affected by sex, similar to previous studies in which inactivated vaccine elicit similar antibody response regardless of sex (28-30). Previous studies showed that age affected the magnitude of inactivated vaccine-induced antibody response in vaccinees, including the healthcare workers (29-31). However, other studies showed that there was no significant difference in antibody levels in participants of different ages and age was not a factor affecting antibody response induced by inactivated vaccine (28, 32, 33). In this study, the antibody response induced by the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was not affected by age, similar to the observation in previous studies (28, 32, 33). Robust correlations between the neutralizing antibody and total antibody and IgG against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 were observed in this study, which is in concordance with previous studies (34, 35), suggesting that IgG and total antibody against the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 were highly predictive for the neutralizing antibody.

It was generally accepted that circulating IgM response was classically transient and IgM was thought to participate in the initial, acute response to viral infections (36), and IgG played an important role in the long-term humoral immunity and immunological memory. IgM response after the third dose was rare in this study, similar to that observed in the previous study in which the seropositive rate of IgM was 9.38% after the third dose of homologous inactivated CoronaVac vaccine (37).



Many studies on the immune persistence after the third dose of Covid-19 vaccine have been conducted (16, 38-41). Previous study showed that the humoral response decay rates after the third dose of Covid-19 vaccine varied among vaccines, but the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was not included in the study (40). Immunity wanning occurred 10 weeks after the third dose of mRNA Covid-19 vaccine (41). The vaccinees received three doses of the protein subunit vaccine ZF2001 were 100% seropositive against the SARS-CoV-2 prototype isolate at 4 to 7 months after the third dose (42). The seropositive rate of neutralizing antibody was 80.49% at 6 months after the third-dose of homologous CoronaVac inactivated vaccine and neutralizing antibody concentration was 115.23 IU/mL at 9 months after the third dose (38, 39). The neutralizing antibody levels at month 6 after the third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine decreased to 167.83 IU/mL in this study and the positive rate of neutralizing antibody was still 100%. It was important to note that the neutralizing antibody was detected by



chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassay in this study and previous studies concerning homologous CoronaVac inactivated vaccine (38, 39) rather than live virus or pseudovirus neutralization test. Due to waning immunity after the booster dose of Covid-19 vaccine, several countries, including the United States, the United Kingdom, and Israel, have begun giving a fourth dose of Covid-19 vaccine to at-risk persons. A real-world study concerning mRNA Covid-19 vaccine has shown that the fourth dose was more effective than the third dose in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe disease (43). These findings would provide important insights into the development of future vaccination strategies.

of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2. Comparisons were done by Mann-Whitney U test (A-C). ns,

not significant.

A two-dose regimen of the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine conferred 78.1% protection against symptomatic Covid-19 (4), lower than that of the mRNA Covid-19 vaccine (44). While, the

TABLE 2 Adverse reactions occurring within 7 days after the third dose in participants.

Characteristics	Participants (n=97)
Total reactions after the third dose	
Any, n (%)	23 (23.71)
Grade 3, n (%)	0 (0.00)
Injection site adverse reactions	
Pain, n (%)	8 (8.25)
Induration, n (%)	1 (1.03)
Swelling, n (%)	1 (1.03)
Systemic adverse reactions	
Muscle pain, n (%)	13 (13.40)
Fatigue, n (%)	2 (2.06)
Nausea, n (%)	1 (1.03)

BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was one of the safest Covid-19 vaccines currently available in the market. Previous studies have shown that the BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was safe in

participants aged  $\geq 3$  years, including young people, adults, and elderly, and in participants with comorbidities (4, 10–12). Most of adverse reactions were mild or moderate (4, 10–12). All adverse reactions were mild in this study, similar to observation in previous studies (4, 10, 11, 16, 17).

All participants enrolled in this study had been frequently tested for real-time PCR to detect SARS-CoV-2 infection and had a negative test for SARS-CoV-2 real-time PCR. There were more than 5.2 million people in the study area. Delta resulted in more than 200 laboratory-confirmed cases between August 2021 and September 2021 and Omicron (BA.2) resulted in dozens of laboratory-confirmed cases between March 2022 and April 2022. These suggested effective intervention and control of the Covid-19 pandemic in the study area.

This study does have some limitations. First, the neutralizing antibody titers against the prototype and variants of SARS-CoV-2 were not investigated in this study. Second, this study included the relatively small sample size and most of study participants were female. Third, this study mainly focused on antibody response. However, cellular immunity response induced by the third-dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine was not analyzed in this study.

In conclusion, this study showed that a third dose of homologous BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine dramatically increase levels of



#### FIGURE 4

The relationship between total antibody and IgG antibody against the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein and neutralizing antibody at three time points, before the third dose (A-C), at month 1 after the third dose (D-F) and at month 6 after the third dose (G-I). Spearman rank-correlation analysis was used to analyze the relationship between total antibody and IgG antibody against the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein and neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2. A significant correlation was observed between total antibody and IgG at three time points (Before the third dose: P < 0.0001, r = 0.813; Month 6 after the third dose: P < 0.0001, r = 0.8720). Significant correlations were observed between total antibody and IgG and neutralizing antibody and IgG and neutralizing antibody and IgG and neutralizing antibody ade (for total antibody and IgG and neutralizing antibody and IgG and neutralizing antibody before the third dose (for total antibody: P < 0.0001, r = 0.7077; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.7657), at month 1 after the third dose (for total antibody: P < 0.0001, r = 0.7077; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.9243; for IgG: P < 0.0001, r = 0.8919), and at month 6 after the third dose (for total antibody: P < 0.0001, r = 0.9255].

antibody against SARS-CoV-2 without serious adverse events. The neutralizing antibody induced by the third dose of BBIBP-CorV inactivated vaccine could persist for at least 6 months.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Medical Ethics Committee of the Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

Conceived the study and designed the experiments: H-MY, and Y-LZ. Samples collection: L-RL, MZ, and X-JL. Performed experiments: MZ, and X-JL. Analyzed the data: G-PW. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: G-PW. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# References

1. Chen Y, Zhao X, Zhou H, Zhu H, Jiang S, Wang P. Broadly neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2022), 1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41577-022-00784-3

2. World Health Organization. *WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard*. Available at: https://covid19.who.int (Accessed 4 Oct 2022).

3. Su S, Du L, Jiang S. Learning from the past: development of safe and effective COVID-19 vaccines. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2021) 19(3):211–9. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00462-y

4. Al Kaabi N, Zhang Y, Xia S, Yang Y, Al Qahtani MM, Abdulrazzaq N, et al. Effect of 2 inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines on symptomatic COVID-19 infection in adults: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* (2021) 326(1):35–45. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.8565

5. Zeng G, Wu Q, Pan H, Li M, Yang J, Wang L, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a third dose of CoronaVac, and immune persistence of a two-dose schedule, in healthy adults: interim results from two single-centre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 clinical trials. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2022) 22(4):483–95. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00681-2

6. Eyre DW, Taylor D, Purver M, Chapman D, Fowler T, Pouwels KB, et al. Effect of covid-19 vaccination on transmission of alpha and delta variants. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 386 (8):744–56. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2116597

7. Zhang Y, Zeng G, Pan H, Li C, Hu Y, Chu K, et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18-59 years: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2021) 21(2):181–92. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30843-4

8. Hyams C, Marlow R, Maseko Z, King J, Ward L, Fox K, et al. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 COVID-19 vaccination at preventing hospitalisations in people aged at least 80 years: a test-negative, case-control study. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2021) 21(11):1539–48. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00330-3

9. Krause PR, Fleming TR, Peto R, Longini IM, Figueroa JP, Sterne JAC, et al. Considerations in boosting COVID-19 vaccine immune responses. *Lancet* (2021) 398 (10308):1377-80. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02046-8

10. Xia SL, Zhang YT, Wang YX, Wang H, Yang YK, Gao GF, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV, in people younger than 18 years: a randomised, double-blind, controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2022) 22(2):196–208. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00462-X

11. Nadeem I, Ul Munamm SA, Ur Rasool M, Fatimah M, Abu Bakar M, Rana ZK, et al. Safety and efficacy of sinopharm vaccine (BBIBP-CorV) in elderly population of

# Funding

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Project of Xiamen (Grant No. 3502Z20224014), the Key Clinical Specialty of Fujian Province (Department of clinical laboratory at Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University), the Medical Industry Combination Guidance Project of Xiamen (3502Z20214ZD2143) and the Major Science and Technology Project of Fujian Provincial Health Commission (2021ZD01006).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

faisalabad district of Pakistan. *Postgrad Med J* (2022) postgradmedj-2022-141649. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2022-141649

12. Xia S, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Wang H, Yang Y, Gao GF, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2021) 21(1):39–51. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30831-8

13. UNICEF. COVID-19 vaccine market dashboard . Available at: https://www.unicef. org/supply/covid-19-vaccine-market-dashboard (Accessed Oct 8, 2022).

14. World Health Organization. *COVID-19 vaccines with WHO emergency use listing*. Available at: https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/vaccinescovid-19-vaccine-eul-issued (Accessed 4 Oct 2022).

15. Ozawa S, Mirelman A, Stack ML, Walker DG, Levine OS. Cost-effectiveness and economic benefits of vaccines in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Vaccine* (2012) 31(1):96–108. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.10.103

16. Zhang Y, Yang Y, Qiao N, Wang X, Ding L, Zhu X, et al. Early assessment of the safety and immunogenicity of a third dose (booster) of COVID-19 immunization in Chinese adults. *Front Med* (2022) 16(1):93–101. doi: 10.1007/s11684-021-0914-x

17. Ai J, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Zhang Q, Fu Z, Lin K, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a third-dose homologous BBIBP-CorV boosting vaccination: interim results from a prospective open-label study. *Emerg Microbes Infect* (2022) 11(1):639–47. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2025746

18. Mahmoud S, Ganesan S, Al Kaabi N, Naik S, Elavalli S, Gopinath P, et al. Immune response of booster doses of BBIBP-CORV vaccines against the variants of concern of SARS-CoV-2. *J Clin Virol* (2022) 150-151:105161. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2022.105161

19. Ai JW, Zhang HC, Zhang Y, Lin K, Zhang YL, Wu J, et al. Omicron variant showed lower neutralizing sensitivity than other SARS-CoV-2 variants to immune sera elicited by vaccines after boost. *Emerg Microbes Infect* (2022) 11(1):337–43. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.2022440

20. Liu Y, Zeng Q, Deng C, Li M, Li L, Liu D, et al. Robust induction of b cell and T cell responses by a third dose of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *Cell Discovery* (2022) 8 (1):10. doi: 10.1038/s41421-022-00373-7

21. Dai L, Gao GF. Viral targets for vaccines against COVID-19. Nat Rev Immunol (2021) 21(2):73-82. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00480-0

22. Lou B, Li TD, Zheng SF, Su YY, Li ZY, Liu W, et al. Serology characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection after exposure and post-symptom onset. *Eur Respir J* (2020) 56 (2):2000763. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00763-2020

23. NMP Administration. Circular of national medical products administration on issuing guidelines for grading criteria of adverse events in clinical trials of vaccines (No. 102 of 2019) (2019). Available at: https://www.nmpa.gov.cn/xxgk/ggtg/qtggtg/20191231111901460.html.

24. Keskin AU, Bolukcu S, Ciragil P, Topkaya AE. SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody responses after third CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccine following two-dose CoronaVac vaccine regimen. *J Med Virol* (2022) 94(1):39–41. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27350

25. Costa Clemens SA, Weckx L, Clemens R, Almeida Mendes AV, Ramos Souza A, Silveira MBV, et al. Heterologous versus homologous COVID-19 booster vaccination in previous recipients of two doses of CoronaVac COVID-19 vaccine in Brazil (RHH-001): a phase 4, non-inferiority, single blind, randomised study. *Lancet* (2022) 399(10324):521–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00094-0

26. Morales-Nunez JJ, Munoz-Valle JF, Meza-Lopez C, Wang LF, Sulbaran ACM, Torres-Hernandez PC, et al. Neutralizing antibodies titers and side effects in response to BNT162b2 vaccine in healthcare workers with and without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Vaccines* (2021) 9(7):742. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9070742

27. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* (2021) 27(7):1205–11. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-021-01377-8

28. Yue L, Xie T, Yang T, Zhou J, Chen H, Zhu H, et al. A third booster dose may be necessary to mitigate neutralizing antibody fading after inoculation with two doses of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *J Med Virol* (2022) 94(1):35–8. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27334

29. Yu X, Wei D, Xu W, Liu C, Guo W, Li X, et al. Neutralizing activity of BBIBP-CorV vaccine-elicited sera against beta, delta and other SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13(1):1788. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29477-0

30. Ma ML, Shi DW, Li Y, Hong W, Lai DY, Xue JB, et al. Systematic profiling of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG responses elicited by an inactivated virus vaccine identifies peptides and proteins for predicting vaccination efficacy. *Cell Discovery* (2021) 7(1):67. doi: 10.1038/s41421-021-00309-7

31. Chen Y, Yin S, Tong X, Tao Y, Ni J, Pan J, et al. Dynamic SARS-CoV-2-specific bcell and T-cell responses following immunization with an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine. *Clin Microbiol Infect* (2022) 28(3):410–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2021.10.006

32. de la Torre JCG, Caceres-DelAguila JA, Muro-Rojo C, de la Cruz-Escurra N, Copaja-Corzo C, Hueda-Zavaleta M, et al. Humoral immune response induced by the BBIBP-CorV vaccine (Sinopharm) in healthcare workers: A cohort study. *Trop Med Infect Dis* (2022) 7(5):66. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed7050066

33. Cheng ZKJ, Huang HM, Zheng PY, Xue MS, Ma J, Zhan ZQ, et al. Humoral immune response of Sinopharm/BBIBP COVID-19 vaccination before and after the booster immunization. *Allergy* (2022) 77(8):2404–14. doi: 10.1111/all.15271

34. Xue JH, Wang YJ, Li W, Li QL, Xu QY, Niu JJ, et al. Anti-Receptor-Binding domain immunoglobulin G antibody as a predictor of seropositivity for anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* (2022) 146(7):814–21. doi: 10.5858/ arpa.2022-0041-SA

35. Zhang H, Jia Y, Ji Y, Cong X, Liu Y, Yang R, et al. Inactivated vaccines against SARS-CoV-2: Neutralizing antibody titers in vaccine recipients. *Front Microbiol* (2022) 13:816778. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.816778

36. Gong S, Ruprecht RM. Immunoglobulin m: An ancient antiviral weapon - rediscovered. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1943. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01943

37. Liang XM, Xu QY, Jia ZJ, Wu MJ, Liu YY, Lin LR, et al. A third dose of an inactivated vaccine dramatically increased the levels and decay times of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, but disappointingly declined again: A prospective, longitudinal, cohort study at 18 serial time points over 368 days. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:876037. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.876037

38. Xu QY, Li QL, Jia ZJ, Wu MJ, Liu YY, Lin LR, et al. Is the fourth COVID-19 vaccine dose urgently needed? revelation from a prospective cohort study. *J Infect* (2022) 85(3): e66–e8. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.06.003

39. Xu QY, Zheng XQ, Jia ZJ, Wu MJ, Liu YY, Liu LL, et al. Developing new COVID-19 vaccine against the variants is urgently needed rather than boosters: A longitudinal cohort study. J Infect (2022) S0163-4453(22)00539-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.09.008

40. Liu X, Munro APS, Feng S, Janani L, Aley PK, Babbage G, et al. Persistence of immunogenicity after seven COVID-19 vaccines given as third dose boosters following two doses of ChAdOx1 nCov-19 or BNT162b2 in the UK: Three month analyses of the COV-BOOST trial. *J Infect* (2022) 84(6):795–813. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.04.018

41. Ferdinands JM, Rao S, Dixon BE, Mitchell PK, DeSilva MB, Irving SA, et al. Waning 2-dose and 3-dose effectiveness of mRNA vaccines against COVID-19-Associated emergency department and urgent care encounters and hospitalizations among adults during periods of delta and omicron variant predominance - VISION network, 10 states, august 2021-January 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep (2022) 71 (7):255–63. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7107e2

42. Zhao X, Zhang R, Qiao S, Wang X, Zhang W, Ruan W, et al. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 neutralization from inactivated and ZF2001 vaccines. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 387(3):277–80. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2206900

43. Magen O, Waxman JG, Makov-Assif M, Vered R, Dicker D, Hernan MA, et al. Fourth dose of BNT162b2 mRNA covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide setting. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 386(17):1603–14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2201688

44. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* (2020) 383 (27):2603–15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577