Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mihalis Verykokakis, Alexander Fleming Biomedical Sciences Research Center, Greece

REVIEWED BY Allison K. Ehrlich, University of California, Davis, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Liang Zhou [liangzhou497@u](mailto:liangzhou497@ufl.edu)fl.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 28 September 2022 ACCEPTED 10 March 2023 PUBLISHED 28 March 2023

CITATION

Helm EY and Zhou L (2023) Transcriptional regulation of innate lymphoid cells and T cells by aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Front. Immunol. 14:1056267. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Helm and Zhou. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Transcriptional regulation of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267/full) [innate lymphoid cells and T cells](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267/full) [by aryl hydrocarbon receptor](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267/full)

Eric Y. Helm and Liang Zhou*

Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr) is a ligand-dependent transcription factor and facilitates immune cell environmental sensing through its activation by cellular, dietary, and microbial metabolites, as well as environmental toxins. Although expressed in various cell types, Ahr in innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) and their adaptive T cell counterparts regulates essential aspects of their development and function. As opposed to T cells, ILCs exclusively rely on germ-line encoded receptors for activation, but often share expression of core transcription factors and produce shared effector molecules with their T cell counterparts. As such, core modules of transcriptional regulation are both shared and diverge between ILCs and T cells. In this review, we highlight the most recent findings regarding Ahr's transcriptional regulation of both ILCs and T cells. Furthermore, we focus on insights elucidating the shared and distinct mechanisms by which Ahr regulates both innate and adaptive lymphocytes.

KEYWORDS

aryl hydrocarbon receptor, helper T cell, innate lymphoid cell, transcriptional regulation, chromatin, epigenetics

Introduction

Immune cells are constantly sensing and reacting to molecular signals provided by the environment, commensal microflora, diet, and host metabolism. Multiple sensors exist to detect and integrate these signals into cellular responses. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr) is one such ligand-dependent sensor that is activated in response to chemicals derived from host metabolism, diet, commensal flora and the environment [reviewed in [\(1\)](#page-10-0)]. Xenobiotic and non-xenobiotic Ahr ligands have been extensively described elsewhere [reviewed in ([2](#page-10-0)–[4](#page-10-0))]. In its inactive form, Ahr remains in the cytosol in complex with chaperon proteins including aryl hydrocarbon interacting protein (AIP) ([5,](#page-10-0) [6](#page-10-0)), prostaglandin E synthase 3 (PTGES3) and heat-shock protein 90 kDa (HSP90) [\(7,](#page-10-0) [8\)](#page-10-0). Upon ligand binding, Ahr translocates to the nucleus and dimerizes with its binding partner aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (Arnt) and can directly bind to DNA to regulate target gene expression [reviewed in ([9](#page-10-0))]. Aspects of Ahr biology including its structure, the metabolic pathways and generation of ligands that activate Ahr have been reviewed elsewhere [reviewed in [\(2](#page-10-0), [4,](#page-10-0) [10](#page-10-0), [11\)](#page-10-0)]. In brief, Ahr was initially identified as a sensor of the xenobiotic chemical 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodobidenzo-pdioxin (TCDD) [\(12](#page-10-0)). Since then, multiple Ahr ligands, including those derived from tryptophan (Trp) metabolism and the microbiota have been identified. Kynurenine (Kyn) is an Ahr ligand resulting from Trp metabolism by indoleamine-2,3 dioxygenase (IDO) and tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase (TDO) [\(13,](#page-10-0) [14](#page-10-0)). IDO/TDO independent metabolism of Trp can also generate Ahr ligands [\(15](#page-10-0)). In addition, photo-oxidation as well as metabolic pathways can convert Trp into 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole (FICZ), another Ahr agonist [\(16](#page-10-0), [17](#page-10-0)). Microbiota-derived Ahr ligands have also been described, including the production of indole-3-aldehyde (I3A) by Lactobacilli via Trp catabolism ([18\)](#page-10-0). In addition, Ahr agonist precursors are found in high abundance from the diet, including from the Brassica genus, in which the precursors are metabolized into indole-3-carbinol (I3C) and indole-3-acetonitrile (I3ACN). I3C and I3CAN are subsequently converted into Ahr ligands including 3,3' diindolylmethane (DIM) [reviewed in ([4\)](#page-10-0)].

Ahr is an essential component in facilitating the integration of environmental signals in the host immune system response including in T cells and innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) [reviewed in ([4](#page-10-0), [9](#page-10-0))]. ILCs, which rely on germ-line encoded receptors for activation [reviewed in (19)], resemble CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell subsets in their functional and developmental programs [reviewed in ([20](#page-10-0))]. Thus, while clear differences exist between ILCs and their T cell counterparts, aspects of their core transcriptional programming are shared. One such shared aspect between ILCs and certain T cell subsets is the expression of Ahr [reviewed in [\(4](#page-10-0), [9](#page-10-0))]. However, Ahr acts in a complex network of transcription factors. This network changes in a cell-type and organ specific manner and ultimately guides cellular outcomes in response to different stimuli. Understanding how Ahr integrates into these networks is critical for elucidating the underlying transcriptional mechanisms by which immune cells are regulated. In this review, we discuss the current knowledge regarding Ahr's transcriptional regulation of T cells and ILCs and the shared and unique mechanisms by which Ahr regulates those cells.

Considerations of cell intrinsic and extrinsic effects

The broad expression pattern of Ahr, including in both immune and non-immune cells, makes consideration of possible cellintrinsic and extrinsic effects of Ahr essential in experimental design. Systemic Ahr ligand administration, in which Ahr ligands are administered via oral or intraperitoneal routes to mice, can nonspecifically activate Ahr in multiple cell types. In these experiments, solubilized Ahr ligands are administered in single or multiple doses, and subsequent cellular changes are assessed days to weeks later and can lead to cell-extrinsic impacts on immune cells. For instance, systemic administration of the Ahr ligand TCDD impaired CD8⁺ T cell primary and secondary responses to influenza ([21](#page-10-0)). However, this defect was ultimately attributed to the impact of TCDD on other immune cells affecting CD8⁺ T cell priming. Similarly, germline deletion of Ahr in mice makes interpretation of cell-intrinsic

effects of Ahr deletion murky. Conflicting studies on the role of Ahr in regulatory T cells (Treg) utilized complete Ahr-null mice or systemic TCDD administration, highlighting the complexity of interpreting experimental systems that non-specifically modulate Ahr [\(22](#page-10-0)–[26\)](#page-10-0) ([Table 1](#page-4-0)). Further adding to the complexity of systemic ligand administration, different Ahr ligands have been reported to have divergent effects on immune cells. For example, FICZ promotes Th17 cell differentiation in vitro, while kynurenine does not [\(26](#page-10-0), [32](#page-10-0), [59](#page-11-0)). Further, FICZ appears to inhibit TGFβ-induced Treg cells in vitro, while kynurenine supports their differentiation ([13\)](#page-10-0). In addition, the concentration of exogenously administered Ahr ligands leads to differential effects on murine CD4⁺ T cell differentiation ([60](#page-11-0)). To this end, utilizing genetic models, cell-type specific rescuing experiments and bone marrow chimeras to definitively tease apart cell-intrinsic and -extrinsic effects of Ahr are essential. As such, this review will focus on cell-intrinsic effects of Ahr when possible.

Ahr in CD4⁺ T cells

In murine T helper (Th) cells, Ahr is highly expressed in Treg, Th17 and Th22 cells, and is only minimally expressed in Th1, Th2 and naïve T cells ([30,](#page-10-0) [32\)](#page-10-0). However, it is worthy of note that these expression patterns of Ahr are derived from the data using in vitro differentiation assays and Ahr expression in vivo may differ [\(32\)](#page-10-0). Thus, more careful examination of Ahr's expression and potential function in Th1 and Th2 cells is warranted. As such, this review will focus on the role of Ahr in regulating Treg, Th17 and Th22 cell identity and function.

Ahr in Treg cells

Foxp3⁺ Treg and Foxp3– IL-10 producing Tr1 cells are essential for maintaining immune homeostasis. While Foxp3 is essential for maintaining Foxp3⁺ Treg cell identity, other transcription factors are also expressed and contribute to Treg cell differentiation and function [reviewed in [\(61](#page-11-0))]. Recent studies using genetic or experimental approaches have elucidated both the expression and cell intrinsic function of Ahr in murine Treg cells ([27](#page-10-0)–[29\)](#page-10-0). Utilizing a Foxp3⁺ Treg-cell specific Ahr reporter mouse, Ahr expression was found to be highest in Treg cells in the small and large intestines but at lower levels in other lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues ([27](#page-10-0)). A Treg cell-specific deletion of Ahr revealed multiple roles for Ahr in Treg cell function. Ahr was found to be dispensable for Foxp3 expression, which is further corroborated by the lack of alterations in CpG methylation or chromatin accessibility at the Foxp3 locus. Furthermore, Foxp3-cre fate mapping studies revealed that Ahrdeficient Treg cells maintained Foxp3 expression to equal extents as control Treg cells. In addition, no global alterations in transcriptional programs were observed in Ahr-deficient Treg cells. Rather, genetic ablation of Ahr solely in Treg cells revealed that only a small set of genes were dependent on Ahr in Treg cells at least under steady state. Ahr was essential for accumulation of gut-Treg cells at steady state by promoting expression of gut-homing receptors including Gpr15 in concert with Foxp3 ([28](#page-10-0), [29](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, while Treg effector genes such as Ctla4, Entpd1, and Nt5e were unaltered, Ahr-deficient Treg cells aberrantly expressed pro-inflammatory cytokines including IFNg and IL-17A and failed to suppress T-cell mediated colitis [\(27\)](#page-10-0). Collectively these studies demonstrate a cell-intrinsic role for Ahr in promoting Treg cell intestinal homing and suppressive function.

Ahr in Tr1 cells

In contrast to Foxp3⁺ Treg cells, Tr1 cells lack Foxp3 expression but still produce high amounts of the suppressive cytokine IL-10 ([62](#page-11-0)). Adoptive transfer of $CD4^+$ T cells isolated from mice with reduced Ahr ligand binding affinity (Ahr^d mice) that were polarized under Tr1 conditions in vitro led to significantly enhanced experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) as compared to mice receiving wild type CD4⁺ T cells polarized in Tr1 conditions. Furthermore, Ahr^d mice exhibited reduced Tr1 cell differentiation at steady state [\(30](#page-10-0)). While these results suggest a cell intrinsic role for Ahr in promoting Tr1 cell differentiation, it remains a possibility that cell extrinsic factors may predispose CD4⁺ T cells in Ahr^d mice towards a more inflammatory state, and that in vitro polarized Tr1 cells may not reflect bona fide Tr1 cells generated in vivo. In vitro evidence also supports a role for Ahr in driving Tr1 cell effector function in both mice and humans [\(22,](#page-10-0) [30](#page-10-0), [63\)](#page-11-0). Electrophoretic mobility shift assays in both mouse and human Tr1 cells revealed Ahr binding to the Il10 promoter, suggesting direct regulation of Il10 by Ahr [\(22,](#page-10-0) [30\)](#page-10-0). Like its role in Foxp3⁺ Treg cells, Ahr has been implicated in promoting Tr1 cell intestinal homing ([31\)](#page-10-0). In an alloreactive adoptive transfer system, systemic administration of recipient mice with TCDD significantly increased expression of gut-homing receptor Ccr9 and enhanced Tr1 cell migration to both the small and large intestine ([31](#page-10-0)).

Ahr in Th17/22 cells

Th17 cells require expression of the transcription factor ROR γ t ([64](#page-11-0)) and produce effector molecules including IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-22, and GM-CSF [reviewed in ([65](#page-11-0))]. Expression of Ahr in Th17 cells is driven by external stimuli, including cytokines such as IL-6, IL-21 and TGF β [\(9](#page-10-0), [32,](#page-10-0) [59\)](#page-11-0), and activation of Ahr in vitro by ligands enhances Th17 cell differentiation in both mice and humans ([26](#page-10-0), [32,](#page-10-0) [59](#page-11-0), [66](#page-11-0)) as well as production of IL-17A and IL-22 [\(33,](#page-10-0) [59\)](#page-11-0). IL-2 inhibits Th17 cell differentiation [\(67,](#page-11-0) [68](#page-11-0)) and Ahr has been shown to limit IL-2 production in murine Th17 cells [\(34\)](#page-10-0). Genetic ablation of Ahr by germline deletion is associated with reduced pathogenicity of Th17 cell mediated diseases, including EAE in mice [\(32\)](#page-10-0), and other studies have demonstrated that Ahr activation by systemic TCDD administration can exacerbate EAE as well [\(26\)](#page-10-0).

While IL-22 can be produced by Th17 cells, some CD4⁺ T cells only express IL-22 and not IL-17A and provide protection against enteric pathogens including Citrobacter rodentium [\(9,](#page-10-0) [33](#page-10-0), [69,](#page-11-0) [70\)](#page-11-0). These Th22 cells can be induced solely by IL-6 or IL-21 in combination with TCR stimulation [\(33](#page-10-0), [71](#page-11-0), [72\)](#page-11-0). Conversely, TGF β , which enhances IL-17A production by CD4⁺ T cells [\(73\)](#page-11-0), appears to inhibit IL-22 production in Th22 cells [\(71\)](#page-11-0). Expression of Ahr is lower in murine Th22 cells as compared to Th17 cells, consistent with the observation that TGF β and IL-6 synergistically induce its expression. However, Ahr is essential for IL-22 production in both populations ([26,](#page-10-0) [33](#page-10-0)). As such, Ahr-deficient Th22 cells failed to efficiently protect against Citrobacter rodentium infection and to limit T cell-mediated colitis in mice [\(33,](#page-10-0) [72](#page-11-0)).

Ahr in CD8⁺ T cells

Given the higher expression of Ahr in $CD8⁺$ T cells in nonlymphoid tissues including the skin and lung ([36](#page-10-0), [37\)](#page-10-0), a recent study utilizing an oral Listeria monocytogenes infection model investigated the cell intrinsic role for Ahr in resident-memory (T_{RM}) cells ([35](#page-10-0)). In an adoptive co-transfer system with antigen specific CD8⁺ T cells, Ahr was found to be essential for intestinal T_{RM} cell differentiation. The defect in T_{RM} cell differentiation could be attributed to impaired accumulation of T_{RM} cell precursors early during the differentiation process, suggesting that Ahr regulates early lineage decision processes to promote T_{RM} cell differentiation. Furthermore, Ahr-deficient T_{RM} cells produced less of the effector molecule Granzyme B [\(35](#page-10-0)). Of note, using a Cd8-driven Cre recombinase-mediated in vivo deletion approach in mice, Ahr was also shown to regulate gut $CD8^+$ T_{RM}-like cells by promoting a T_{RM} -like transcriptional program under the physiological conditions [\(35\)](#page-10-0). These data are consistent with the observation that the gut milieu represents a unique "inflammation state" that is continuously exposed to various commensals and dietary stimuli to provide Ahr ligands, and the highest expression of Ahr in gut T_{RM^-} like cells among CD8⁺ T cell populations in mice [\(35\)](#page-10-0). A separate study found that Ahr was highly expressed in murine skin T_{RM} cells following HSV infection, and Ahr-deficient CD8⁺ T cells failed to persist in the skin in response to 1-Fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNFB) mediated skin-inflammation ([36](#page-10-0)). Collectively, these studies demonstrate a cell-intrinsic role for Ahr in promoting T_{RM} cell differentiation.

The cell intrinsic role of Ahr in anti-tumor responses by $CD8^+$ T cells has recently been investigated ([35](#page-10-0)). Using both B16F10 melanoma and MC38 colon carcinoma models, Ahr was found to promote CD8⁺ tumor infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) effector function. Further, mice in which Ahr was ablated solely in CD8⁺ T cells had substantially higher tumor burden as compared to control mice. Thus, this study supports Ahr promoting CD8⁺ T cell anti-tumor responses in a cell-autonomous manner ([35\)](#page-10-0). Other studies have also suggested that Ahr may promote anti-tumor responses by $CDS⁺ T$ cells. Ahr activation through systemic administration of FICZ activated IL-22 producing CD8⁺ T cells (Tc22 cells), which have enhanced anti-tumor effects, though the cell extrinsic effect of FICZ on other cell populations remains possible [\(38\)](#page-10-0). The precise mechanisms by which Ahr regulates CD8⁺ TILs are elusive, and whether Ahr supports CD8⁺ TILs in response to other cancers is an open question. Consideration for the availability of Ahr ligands in different tumor environments is essential. For example, expression of IDO, which converts Helm and Zhou 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1056267](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267)

tryptophan into the Ahr ligand kynurenine, varies among different human and mouse cancers ([74\)](#page-11-0), suggesting that differing concentrations of Ahr ligands may exist among various cancer types. Further, as Ahr expression varies in tissue $CD8⁺$ T cells [\(35\)](#page-10-0), Ahr may have differential effects on CD8⁺ TIL effector function in differing tumor-bearing tissues as well. Finally, certain studies relying on systemic Ahr ligand administration to interrogate antitumor CD8⁺ T cell responses implicate Ahr in promoting CD8⁺ T cell dysfunction ([39](#page-10-0), [40\)](#page-10-0). However, these seemingly discrepant conclusions ([Table 1](#page-4-0)) may reflect cell-extrinsic effects of Ahr activation on CD8⁺ T cell anti-tumor responses.

Ahr in $\gamma\delta$ T cells

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found in high abundance particularly in nonlymphoid tissues including the skin, liver, lungs and intestines [reviewed in [\(75\)](#page-11-0)]. Compared with conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells exhibit less TCR diversity, but do not require activation to gain effector function and instead, can constitutively express effector molecules [\(76\)](#page-11-0). $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been demonstrated to be essential for both regulation of tissue homeostasis and immune responses [\(41,](#page-10-0) [42](#page-11-0), [77](#page-11-0), [78\)](#page-11-0). While Ahr is expressed in murine $\sqrt{\delta}$ T cells found both in circulation and in non-lymphoid tissues, its expression is higher in skin and intestine-resident $\gamma \delta$ T cells as compared to $\gamma \delta$ T cells found in the lymphoid tissues ([41\)](#page-10-0). This tissue specificity is consistent with the abundance of Ahr ligands in these tissue milieus. Ahr was particularly important for maintenance of murine $\gamma\delta$ T cells in both the skin and intestinal epithelium, in part by sustaining expression of c-Kit, a molecule important for cell proliferation ([79](#page-11-0)), and by promoting $\gamma\delta$ T cell survival ([41](#page-10-0), [43\)](#page-11-0). Notably, in the intestinal epithelium, Ahr cell-intrinsically promoted $\gamma\delta$ T cell maintenance, as demonstrated through bone marrow chimera experiments, but was found to be dispensable in secondary lymphoid organs, liver and intestinal lamina propria ([41](#page-10-0)). These distinct requirements for Ahr in the same cell type but different tissues was also observed in Tregs ([27\)](#page-10-0). The underlying mechanisms by which Ahr differentially regulates the maintenance of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in different organs remain unclear, and are unlikely to be simply explained by lack of Ahr expression in those tissues, as Ahr is still expressed in systemic $\gamma\delta$ T cells as well [\(41\)](#page-10-0). As noted earlier, different Ahr ligands having divergent effects on Th17 and Treg cells ([13,](#page-10-0) [26,](#page-10-0) [32](#page-10-0), [59](#page-11-0)) and the concentration of exogenously administered Ahr ligands leads to differential effects on murine $CD4⁺$ T cell differentiation. This raises the possibility that differing Ahr ligand abundance in tissues may contribute to organ-specific regulation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells by Ahr ([60\)](#page-11-0). However, the specific quantification and functional effect of different Ahr ligands on $\gamma\delta$ T cells in vivo may not be feasible with current tools available. In addition, the nature of Ahr interacting partners may differ between $\gamma\delta$ T cells in different organs. Leveraging new advances in low cell input mass spectrometry and other single cell genetic approaches may help elucidate the nature of Ahr interacting partners in an organ-specific manner [\(80](#page-11-0), [81](#page-11-0)).

Like its role in other IL-22 producing immune cells, Ahr is essential for IL-22 production in both murine and human $\gamma \delta$ T cells

as well [\(42,](#page-11-0) [44](#page-11-0), [82\)](#page-11-0). In the skin, Ahr-mediated regulation of IL-22 production in human and mouse $\gamma\delta$ T cells is dependent on CD69 expression, which promotes the uptake of the Ahr ligand FICZ through stabilization of the amino acid transporter complex LAT1- CD97 ([44](#page-11-0)). Germline Ahr-deficient mice have enhanced susceptibility to dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-mediated colitis and impaired intestinal epithelial repair. This enhanced susceptibility to DSS-colitis could be rescued by the adoptive transfer of wild type intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL), which contain $\gamma\delta$ T cells [\(41\)](#page-10-0). These results suggest that Ahr plays an essential protective role in IEL response to DSS-mediated colitis. However, the rescuing effect of wild type IEL reconstitution to germline Ahr-deficient mice is not necessarily due to restoration of $\gamma\delta$ T cells, as other immune cells present in the IEL compartment, such as $TCR\alpha\beta^+ CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ cells, can prevent $CD4^+$ T cell mediated colitis ([83](#page-11-0)). Other studies additionally implicate Ahr in driving IL-22 production in $\gamma\delta$ T cells, including during lung fibrosis, intestinal injury, and psoriasis ([42](#page-11-0), [45](#page-11-0), [46\)](#page-11-0) [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Taken together, these studies implicate Ahr in sustaining IL-22 in $\gamma\delta$ T cells in a variety of tissues. However, these studies investigating the role of Ahr in $\gamma\delta$ T cell function, and the physiological consequences of Ahr-deficiency in $\gamma\delta$ T cells largely relied on genetic systemics in which Ahr is perturbed at the germline level, or utilized systemic administration of Ahr ligands. As such, careful studies utilizing $\gamma\delta$ T cell specific deletion of Ahr, such as TcrdCreER mediated approaches, are essential to elucidate the role of Ahr in $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector function in the context of disease.

Ahr in ILC1s

Our understanding of the role of Ahr in group 1 ILCs, consisting of NK and ILC1, is limited as compared to our knowledge in other ILC and T cell populations. In human NK cells, differing reports of Ahr expression in immature versus mature NK cells exist [\(84](#page-11-0)–[86\)](#page-11-0). This discrepancy in Ahr expression may in part be attributed to organ specific differences in Ahr expression, similar to observations in murine Treg cells [\(27](#page-10-0)), or due to different assays to determine Ahr expression (qPCR versus protein staining), or variation of human samples. In mice, Ahr expression has been reported to be highest in immature versus mature splenic NK cells ([47\)](#page-11-0). Furthermore, murine $CD49a⁺$ liver NK cells, which may be ILC1 ([87](#page-11-0)), exhibit higher expression of Ahr as compared to the conventional CD49b+ NK cells [\(50\)](#page-11-0) [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). As such, further investigation of Ahr expression in both NK and ILC1 cells through both mRNA, protein and reporter assays is essential, particularly in intestinal tissues where Ahr activity tends to be highest [\(88\)](#page-11-0).

Germline deletion of Ahr had no effect on NK cell numbers and maturation in the spleen and bone marrow, suggesting that Ahr may be dispensable for NK cell ontogeny in mice ([47,](#page-11-0) [50\)](#page-11-0). Nonetheless, Ahr has also been implicated in preferentially supporting ILC1 over NK cell differentiation in both mice and humans [\(50](#page-11-0), [51](#page-11-0)). Reconstitution assays support a cell intrinsic role for Ahr in promoting a specific subset of TRAIL⁺ liver ILCs, which may encompass both NK and ILC1 ([89](#page-11-0)), while Ahr was dispensable for conventional $CD49b⁺ N K$ cell development ([50](#page-11-0)). In addition, Ahr has also been implicated in preventing differentiation of ILC3 to NK cells in both mice and humans [\(85,](#page-11-0) [90,](#page-12-0) [91](#page-12-0)). These data support the hypothesis that Ahr may preferentially support differentiation of ILC1 and ILC3 over NK cells. However, the cell intrinsic role for Ahr in regulating NK or ILC1 cell development has yet to be fully elucidated and further clarification using genetic tools to interrogate the specific regulation of NK and ILC1 development and maintenance in different tissues by Ahr will be essential.

While the role of Ahr in NK and ILC1 ontogeny remain opaque, there is evidence that Ahr regulates their function, particularly in NK cells. FICZ treatment of human and murine NK cells enhanced production of IFN γ [\(47](#page-11-0), [84\)](#page-11-0) and murine Ahr-deficient NK cells produced less IFNg ([49](#page-11-0)). In this line, Ahr ChIP in human NK cells revealed Ahr binding at the : Ifng locus, suggesting direct regulation by Ahr [\(51\)](#page-11-0). Furthermore, murine Ahr-deficient NK cells exhibited reduced killing capacity in vitro ([47](#page-11-0)), though human NK cells treated with the Kyn exhibited reduced NK cell cytotoxicity ([92\)](#page-12-0) but may reflect species-specific differences in Ahr function. Adoptive transfer experiments in mice support a cell intrinsic role for Ahr in supporting anti-tumor responses of NK cells [\(47,](#page-11-0) [48\)](#page-11-0). Whether Ahr similarly regulates ILC1 effector function remains to be determined. Furthermore, given the current evidence suggesting that Ahr may restrict NK cell differentiation while simultaneously promoting their effector function, subsequent mechanistic studies will be essential to clarify this dichotomous phenomenon. Specifically, the epigenetic status of NK cell progenitors versus mature NK cells should be established to determine whether changes in histone modifications and/or chromatin accessibility drive differences in gene regulation by Ahr. Further, biochemical analyses to elucidate different transcription factors that Ahr is in complex with at different stages may further shine light on the potentially differential regulation of NK cell development and function by Ahr.

Ahr in ILC2s

Group 2 ILCs are found in abundance both in lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues including the gut, skin, lung, spleen and adipose tissue ([93](#page-12-0)). At steady state, Ahr is expressed at high levels in murine gut-resident ILC2 and is minimally or not expressed in the lung, skin, fat or circulating ILC2 ([52](#page-11-0)). While Ahr-deficient mice had increased numbers of ILC2s in the gut, this was consistent with the corresponding loss of ILC3s in those mice and demonstrates a role for Ahr in balancing the accumulation of ILC2 versus ILC3 in the intestines. However, using mixed bone marrow chimeras, Ahr was found to cell-intrinsically restrict gut ILC2 effector function. Suppression of ILC2 effector function by Ahr was in part due to negative regulation of IL-33 signaling by restricting Il1rl1 (gene encoding the IL-33 specific receptor subunit ST2) chromatin accessibility and expression. Adoptive transfers of Ahr-deficient ILC2s exhibited enhanced ability to clear helminth infection as compared to WT ILC2s [\(52](#page-11-0)) (Table 1).

Despite low expression of Ahr at steady state in the lung [\(52\)](#page-11-0), Ahr was found to have a role in lung ILC2 effector function under certain conditions. Specifically, Ahr regulates the expression of IL-17A in lung ILC2 in both mice and humans ([53,](#page-11-0) [54](#page-11-0), [94\)](#page-12-0). In germline Ahr-deficient mice, treatment with IL-33 significantly reduced

Cell type	Ahr effect	Cell-intrinsic regulation	Reference
Treg	Promotes intestinal homing. Suppresses inflammatory phenotype	Yes	$(27-29)$
	Promotes differentiation	Not determined	(22, 23, 25, 26)
	Suppresses differentiation	Not determined	(24, 26)
Tr1	Promotes differentiation, intestinal homing	Not determined	(22, 30, 31)
Th17/Th22	Promotes Th17 or Th22 differentiation	Not determined	$(26, 32-34)$
$CD8+$	Promotes intestine T_{RM} differentiation, anti-tumor response	Yes	(35)
	Promotes T_{RM} differentiation	Not determined	(36)
	Promotes anti-tumor response	Not determined	(37, 38)
	Inhibits anti-tumor response	Not determined	(21, 39, 40)
γδ T cell	Promotes differentiation in skin, intestine	Yes	(41)
	Promotes differentiation, survival, and effector function	Not determined	$(42 - 46)$
ILC1	No effect on differentiation. Promotes NK effector function and anti-tumor response	Yes	(47, 48)
	Promotes IL-10 and IFNy production in NK cells	Not determined	(49)
	Promotes ILC1 maintenance, differentiation	Not determined	(50, 51)
ILC ₂	Restricts effector function. Dispensable for differentiation	Yes	(52)
	Promotes effector function	Not determined	(53, 54)
ILC ₃	Promotes maintenance and effector function	Yes	$(55 - 58)$

TABLE 1 Cell type specific, cell intrinsic effects of Ahr in ILCs and T cells.

Helm and Zhou 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1056267](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1056267)

expression of IL-17A in lung ILC2. Further, in vitro culture of sortpurified Ahr-deficient ILC2 with IL-33 reduced IL-17A production, suggesting that Ahr promotes IL-17 expression in lung ILC2 in response to IL-33 [\(54](#page-11-0)). Given the ability for Ahr to sustain its own expression in gut-ILC2 [\(52](#page-11-0)), it remains a possibility that IL-33 treatment may induce Ahr expression in the lung, which was not observed at steady state. In contrast to its role in restricting IL-33 signaling and type 2 cytokine production in gut ILC2 ([52\)](#page-11-0), Ahr deficient in lung ILC2 exhibited no changes in ST2 or IL-5 expression [\(54](#page-11-0)), suggesting organ-specific regulation of ILC2 effector function by Ahr. The divergent regulation of ILC2s in different organs likely reflect variable expression of transcriptional regulators in various organs that modulate Ahr activity and its interaction with other binding partners that regulate Ahr function.

Ahr in ILC3s

Essential functions for Ahr in ILC3s have been previously described [\(55](#page-11-0)–[58\)](#page-11-0) ([Table 1](#page-4-0)). While at the fetal liver stage and in neonatal mice, Ahr is dispensable for lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) cell development, Ahr is required for ILC3 maintenance and IL-22 production ([55](#page-11-0), [56](#page-11-0)). Ahr in part sustains ILC3 maintenance by promoting expression of both anti-apoptotic genes such as Bcl2, as well as IL-7 receptor, which is essential for IL-7 signaling to maintain ILC3s. In addition, Ahr drives expression of Kit by direct binding to the Kit promoter to promote ILC3 proliferation ([55](#page-11-0)). Ahr also promotes postnatal ILC3 differentiation by inducing Notch1 and Notch2, thereby sustaining Notch signaling in ILC3s ([57](#page-11-0)). As a result of its critical role in ILC3 maintenance and function, Ahr is essential for clearance of Citrobacter rodentium ([55](#page-11-0), [56\)](#page-11-0). Using an Ahr knock-in genetic approach with a floxed STOP cassette incorporated at the endogenous Ahr locus-AhrCAIR mice [\(27\)](#page-10-0), Ahr expression in ROR γt^+ lymphocytes has also been shown to be sufficient for ILC3 maintenance and function during Citrobacter rodentium infection ([52](#page-11-0)). These data highlight both the necessary and sufficient role for Ahr in murine ILC3s. Furthermore, Ahr expression in ILC3s restricts Th17 cell differentiation by sustaining IL-22 expression and suppression of Segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) outgrowth ([58](#page-11-0)). Given the previous observation that antigen-presenting ILC3s restrict Th17 cell differentiation through antigen presentation ([95](#page-12-0)), Ahr expression in ILC3s may suppress Th17 cell differentiation through a similar manner as well.

Transcriptional control of T cells and ILCs by Ahr

Ahr and Stat3

Stat3 regulates the Ahr pathway at multiple levels in T cells. In response to IL-6, Stat3 is activated and can directly bind to the Ahr promoter to drive Ahr expression during murine Th17 and Tr1 cell differentiation in vitro ([Figure 1\)](#page-6-0) [\(96,](#page-12-0) [97](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, Stat3 has been shown to cooperate with Ahr to modulate target gene

expression. The Ikaros family zinc-finger transcription factor Ikzf3 (encoding Aiolos) is expressed in multiple Th cell subsets and supports both Th17 and Treg cell differentiation [\(22,](#page-10-0) [34](#page-10-0), [98\)](#page-12-0). During Th17 cell differentiation, Aiolos is essential to limit IL-2 production, thereby preventing autocrine IL-2 signaling and promoting Th17 cell differentiation [\(34\)](#page-10-0). Both Ahr and Stat3 bind the Ikzf3 locus and synergistically drive Ikzf3 expression in luciferase assay [\(34\)](#page-10-0). Cooperation between Stat3 and Ahr is also essential for IL-22 production in murine Th22 cells ([72\)](#page-11-0). Stat3 deficient CD4⁺ T cells fail to produce IL-22 in response to IL-21 stimulation and have reduced Ahr expression, suggesting Stat3 may promote Ahr expression in Th22 cells as well. Like their coregulation of Ikzf3, Ahr and Stat3 both bind to conserved and separate regions of the Il22 locus in Th22 cells. Co-transduction of Ahr and Stat3 was sufficient to drive Il22 expression in luciferase assay, suggesting coordination between both transcription factors promotes Il22 transcription. Epigenetically, loss of Stat3 during Th22 cell differentiation led to impaired deposition of active histone modifications at the Il22 locus and reduced recruitment of Ahr. However, alterations in histone modifications was not observed in Stat3-deficient naïve CD4⁺ T, suggesting that Stat3 is involved in epigenetic remodeling of the Il22 locus during Th22 cell differentiation and facilitates Ahr recruitment to the locus [\(72\)](#page-11-0). Of note, in murine $CD4^+$ T cells where the transcription factor Ikaros' DNA binding is abrogated, IL-22 is aberrantly produced in an Ahr dependent manner ([99](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, this ablation of Ikaros DNA binding in conjunction with Stat3-deficiency completely abrogated IL-22 production in CD4⁺ T cells and FICZ treatment had no effect on IL-22 [\(99\)](#page-12-0). As such, we speculate that Stat3 may be a pioneering transcription factor that acts early during Th22 cell differentiation to remodel the Il22 locus, thereby facilitating IL-22 production. Indeed, Stat3 is able to directly interact with the histone acetyl transferase p300 to promote chromatin remodeling and transcriptional activation in mouse embryonic fibroblasts ([100](#page-12-0)). In addition, Stat3 expression is transcriptionally induced and activated by phosphorylation upon IL-6 and IL-23 stimulation much more rapidly as compared to other transcription factors essential for IL-22 production, including ROR γ t and Ahr ([34\)](#page-10-0), consistent with the notion that it acts as an initiating factor for Th22 differentiation. Kinetic analysis of the epigenetic status of the Il22 locus through ATAC-seq and histone modification ChIP-seq during Th22 cell differentiation in the presence and absence of Stat3 and Ahr may help elucidate the specific mechanism by which Stat3 and Ahr regulate the Il22 locus. Of note, Stat3 facilitates chromatin remodeling of the Il22 locus at regions bound by Ahr, suggesting that Stat3 binding is required for chromatin remodeling of Ahr-bound regions [\(72\)](#page-11-0). In addition, ROR γ t is required for Ahr binding to those specific regions [\(72\)](#page-11-0). As such, Stat3 may interact with ROR γ t, as is observed in transfected HeLa cells ([101\)](#page-12-0), which may subsequently facilitate the chromatin remodeling and recruitment of Ahr to the Il22 locus.

The regulation of ILC3s by Stat3 and Ahr may differ as compared that of Th17 and Th22 cells. When Stat3 is ablated in ROR γt ⁺ lymphocytes, mice rapidly succumbed to Citrobacter rodentium infection in an IL-22-dependent manner ([102\)](#page-12-0). Furthermore, both murine T cells and ILCs exhibit significantly

FIGURE 1

Transcriptional regulation of T cells and innate lymphoid cells by the Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr). In regulatory T (Treg) cells, Stat3 drives Ahr expression. Ahr directly promotes transcription of gut-homing receptor Gpr15. Ahr acts cooperatively with GATA3 and Foxp3 to drive Gpr15 expression. Specifically, Ahr physically interacts with Foxp3 to promote Gpr15 expression. Ahr also interacts with RORyt, the latter of which acts in opposition to Ahr to restrict Gpr15 expression. In Tr1 cells, Ahr and Hif-1 α promote glycolysis at different timepoints during differentiation. However, Hif-1 α and Ahr antagonize each other as well. Hif-1 α is induced by extracellular ATP (eATP). Stat3 promotes Ahr both by direct binding to the Ahr locus, as well as driving CD39 expression which converts eATP to AMP, thus limiting Hif-1a-mediated inhibition of Ahr. In Th17 and Th22 cells, Ikaros and Stat3 promote Ahr expression. Ahr cooperates with RORyt and Stat3 to drive II22 expression. Ahr and Stat3 promote Th17 cell differentiation by driving expression of Ikzf3 (Aiolos), which subsequently limits IL-2 production and prevents autocrine IL-2 signaling. In CD8+ T cells, Ahr is known to promote TRM differentiation in vitro and in vivo. Also, it regulates T_{RM} function by promoting Gzmb (Granzyme B) expression and enhances polyfunctional CD8⁺ T cells in tumor models. Ahr expression is induced in vitro by cytokine stimulation in NK cells and promotes NK cell maintenance. The role for Ahr in NK and ILC1 effector function and differentiation are unknown. Ahr promotes yo T cell maintenance within the IEL compartment in part by sustaining Kit expression and promotes IL-22 expression. However, it is unclear whether similar mechanisms of action of Ahr to promote Kit and Il22 transcription as identified in ILC3s remain intact in γ T cells. In ILC2s, Ahr promotes its own expression in conjunction with Gata3 and Gfi1. In gut-ILC2s, Ahr restricts ILC2 effector function by inhibition of Il1rl1 (encoding ST2). In lung ILC2s, Ahr sustains Il17a (IL-17) production. In ILC3s, Ahr is required for ILC3 proliferation through direct regulation of Kit and promotes ILC3 cell proliferation and survival. In addition, Ahr cooperates with RORgt to drive Il22 expression. In contrast to Th17 cells, Stat3 does not promote Ahr expression in ILC3s, but may cooperate with Ahr to promote Il22. Green text indicates transcriptional promotion, while red text indicates transcriptional repression. Created with BioRender.com.

reduced IL-17A and IL-22 production in the absence of Stat3 in vivo. However, only the differentiation of $ROR\gamma t^+$ T cells was reduced in the absence of Stat3, while ILC3 differentiation was unaltered, demonstrating that Stat3 is dispensable for ILC3, but not Th17 cell differentiation ([Figure 1](#page-6-0)) [\(102](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, while Stat3 promoted Ahr expression in murine Th17 and Th22 cells ([72](#page-11-0), [96\)](#page-12-0), Ahr expression was unaltered in Stat3-deficient ILC3s [\(102](#page-12-0)). As such, Stat3 may not drive Ahr expression in ILC3s, though the specific mechanisms by which Stat3 regulates Ahr expression in ILC3s versus Th17/Th22 cells are unclear. The differences may simply be attributed to the fact that the Th17 and Th22 cell data were derived from in vitro differentiated cells, while ILC3s were sort-purified *ex vivo*. Similar to its role in Th17 and Th22 cells [\(33,](#page-10-0) [96](#page-12-0)), Stat3 was essential for IL-22 production in murine ILC3s and bound to the Il22 locus in primary ILC3s [\(102](#page-12-0)). Whether Ahr and Stat3 also cooperate to drive Il22 expression in ILC3s remains an open question. Furthermore, whether the cooperative relationship of Ahr and Stat3 observed in Th17 and Th22 cells extend to other genomic loci remains to be determined. As such, there are both conserved and non-conserved aspects of Ahr and Stat3 regulation of innate versus adaptive immune cells.

Ahr and RORyt

Ahr and RORyt act cooperatively to drive IL-22 expression in both ILC3s and T cells ([Figure 1\)](#page-6-0). When co-expressed in EL4 cells, Ahr and ROR γ t synergistically drive IL-22 expression [\(56\)](#page-11-0). This cooperation was observed in primary murine T cells as well, as ROR γ t deficiency led to reduced Ahr recruitment to the Il22 locus upon IL-21 stimulation [\(72\)](#page-11-0). Ahr and ROR γ t were also found to physically interact in HEK239T cells when overexpressed ([56\)](#page-11-0). However, the precise mechanisms by which Ahr and RORgt regulate IL-22 expression have yet to be elucidated. The recruitment of Ahr to the Il22 locus requires co-expression of RORyt in both EL4 cells and primary T cells, while RORyt occupies the Il22 locus independently of Ahr ([56,](#page-11-0) [72](#page-11-0)). Furthermore, RORgt deficiency is associated with a less permissive histone modification status at the locus ([72](#page-11-0)). However, forced expression of Ahr or RORgt was reported to be insufficient to induce $Il22$ expression in murine naïve $CD4^+$ T cells that had a nonpermissive epigenetic profile at the locus [\(72,](#page-11-0) [103](#page-12-0)), suggesting preceding transcriptional regulation that facilitates a permissive chromatin environment independent of Ahr or RORgt. Once a permissive environment is established, RORgt may facilitate enhanced Ahr binding to Il22 and help maintain the chromatin landscape. As noted above, Stat3 may be an initiating transcription factor that facilitates the subsequent recruitment of RORgt and Ahr to the Il22 locus to drive its transcription.

However, Ahr and RORgt do not always act in cooperation with each other. While Ahr promotes gut homing receptor GPR15, ROR γ t acts to inhibit Gpr15 in murine Treg cells [\(Figure 1\)](#page-6-0) [\(28,](#page-10-0) [29](#page-10-0)). Ahr recruitment to the Gpr15 locus is enhanced in the absence of RORgt, and reciprocally, RORgt binding is enhanced in the absence of Ahr, suggesting competition for binding at the Gpr15 locus between Ahr and RORgt. The inhibition of Ahr binding at the Gpr15 locus by ROR γt is dependent on ROR γt 's DNA binding domain, and a physical interaction between Ahr, RORgt and Foxp3 has been detected ([29](#page-10-0)). Nonetheless, the exact mechanisms of Ahr and RORgt antagonism at the Gpr15 locus have yet to be determined. Loss of Ahr expression in Treg cells had no impact on chromatin accessibility at Ahr-bound regions at the Gpr15 locus ([29\)](#page-10-0), suggesting that Ahr and ROR γ 's competition at the locus may be independent of chromatin accessibility, but does not rule out the possibility that histone modifications are altered. Furthermore, murine RORgt-deficient Th17 cells also significantly upregulated Gpr15 expression, and co-transduction of Ahr and RORgt in Th0 cells reduced Gpr15 expression as compared to Ahr transduction alone ([29](#page-10-0)), ruling out the possibility that Ahr and ROR γ t antagonism at the locus relies on the presence of Foxp3. RORgt has been implicated in mediating enhancer-promoter looping of a conserved non-coding sequence (CNS) to the Il17 and Il17f promoter to drive transcription potentially through the recruitment of histone modifying enzymes including p300 and JMJD3 [\(104](#page-12-0)). Thus, it is possible that ROR γ t inhibits enhancerpromoter looping at the Gpr15 locus, while Ahr may act to promote looping at the locus. Chromosome conformation capture assays, such as H3K27ac HiChIP, may help elucidate the precise epigenetic mechanisms of Ahr and ROR γ t antagonism at the Gpr15 locus.

Ahr and Foxp3

Whether Ahr directly regulates Foxp3 expression remains unclear. In in vitro studies using human cells, Ahr activation induces Foxp3 expression. However, this regulation is in part indirect, as Ahr activation promoted $TGF\beta$ signaling and facilitated Smad1 binding to the Foxp3 locus ([22](#page-10-0)). In addition, in human CD4⁺ T cells, administration of an Ahr ligand ITE drove Foxp3 expression [\(23\)](#page-10-0). However, in mice, Ahr may not be required for maintaining Foxp3 expression. Ahr ablation specifically in Treg cells had no impact on Foxp3 expression, and the methylation and chromatin accessibility status at the Foxp3 locus is unaltered ([27](#page-10-0)). It remains a possibility that Ahr may differentially regulate Foxp3 expression in mice and humans, or may reflect differences in Ahr function in vivo versus in vitro.

While Ahr may not directly regulate Foxp3 expression, it is essential for ensuring Foxp3 function at specific loci. Recent studies in mice have highlighted the role for Ahr in promoting murine Treg cell homing to the intestines by driving Gpr15, a gut homing receptor [\(Figure 1\)](#page-6-0) [\(28](#page-10-0), [29](#page-10-0)). ChIP-seq analysis revealed that Ahr and Foxp3 bound to similar regions downstream of Gpr15, and Foxp3 shRNA inhibited Gpr15 expression in vitro. However, Foxp3's ability to drive Gpr15 expression was dependent on Ahr, and intriguingly, Foxp3 binding was dispensable for its ability to promote Gpr15 expression, while Ahr DNA binding activity was required. Ahr and Foxp3 physically interacted through Ahr's Per-ARNT-SIM and basic helix-loop-helix domain, and the leucinezipper and forkhead domains of Foxp3 ([29](#page-10-0)). Thus, transcriptional regulation of the Gpr15 locus by Foxp3 is dependent on its physical interaction with Ahr and subsequent direct DNA binding to the locus by Ahr. Intriguingly, Ahr activation by exogenous TCDD administration significantly enhanced Gpr15 mRNA in Tr1 cells, which lack Foxp3 expression, suggesting that Ahr may regulate Gpr15 in Tr1 cells and that Ahr does not require Foxp3 to drive Gpr15 in other cell subsets [\(31\)](#page-10-0). However, Ahr-deficient CD8⁺ T cells have unaltered expression of Gpr15, demonstrating cell type dependent regulation of Gpr15 by Ahr [\(25\)](#page-10-0). Overexpression of Ahr in Th0 cells was sufficient to enhance Gpr15 protein in vitro, while co-transduction with Foxp3 enhanced Gpr15 ([29\)](#page-10-0). Notably, cooperation between Ahr and Foxp3 to promote transcription in Foxp3⁺ Treg cells may be a rare event at other loci. Deletion of Ahr solely in murine Treg cells led to relatively few transcriptional changes and were largely related to intestinal homing ([27](#page-10-0)). Direct targets of Foxp3, including Il2 and Il2ra [\(105](#page-12-0)) were unchanged in Ahr-deficient Treg cells. Given the dispensable nature of Foxp3's DNA binding domain to promote Gpr15 expression ([29\)](#page-10-0), the synergistic transcriptional regulation of Gpr15 relies on prior Ahr genomic occupancy. Given Ahr's ability to recruit RNA polymerase II to bound promoter regions ([106\)](#page-12-0), complexing with Foxp3 may enhance this recruitment effect thus explaining the synergistic effect of Foxp3 and Ahr transduction in driving Gpr15 in Th0 cells [\(29\)](#page-10-0). Of note, a separate study demonstrated that Ahr and GATA3 could synergistically drive Gpr15 expression in luciferase assay ([28\)](#page-10-0). However, this cooperation needs to be further corroborated in vivo.

Ahr and Aiolos

Ahr sustains the expression Aiolos in both Treg and Th17 cells to silence IL-2 expression ([22,](#page-10-0) [34\)](#page-10-0). Ahr ligand administration enhanced Aiolos binding to the IL2 promoter in human Treg cells ([22\)](#page-10-0), and, as noted previously, Ahr directly binds to the Ikzf3 (encoding Aiolos) locus to synergistically drive Ikzf3 expression in conjunction with Stat3 in murine Th17 cells to promote Th17 cell differentiation ([Figure 1](#page-6-0)) ([34\)](#page-10-0). Ahr also regulates Aiolos expression in ILC2s, reflecting a shared transcriptional target of Ahr between both ILC and T cell lineages ([Figure 1\)](#page-6-0). As noted earlier, Ahr restricted IL-33 signaling and type 2 cytokine production in gut ILC2s ([52\)](#page-11-0), but not lung ILC2s ([54](#page-11-0)), demonstrating tissue specific effects on Ahr transcriptional activity. Furthermore, while Ahr was expressed at low levels in the lung at steady state ([52\)](#page-11-0), IL-33 treatment revealed a role for Ahr in lung ILC2s under certain conditions ([54\)](#page-11-0). Intriguingly, in the absence of IL-33 treatment, Ahr cell intrinsically regulated Aiolos expression solely in intestinal ILC2s, and Aiolos expression was low in lung ILC2s ([94\)](#page-12-0). Mechanistically, Ahr regulates Ikzf3 through two intestine-specific open chromatin regions ([94\)](#page-12-0). In conjunction with Ahr's inability to drive Aiolos expression in lung ILC2s, these results suggest that Ahr may rely on tissue-specific chromatin remodelers to regulate Ikzf3. Furthermore, the gutspecific restriction of IL-33 signaling by Ahr in ILC2s may be reliant on the presence of Aiolos. Establishing the impact of dual Ahr and Aiolos deficiency on tissue-specific regulation of ILC2 may help elucidate the relationship between Ahr and Aiolos in regulating ILC2 in different tissues.

Ahr and Hif- 1α

Ahr and Hif-1 α have an antagonistic relationship in driving murine Tr1 cell differentiation ([Figure 1\)](#page-6-0) [\(97\)](#page-12-0). This antagonism is in part explained through competition of Ahr and Hif-1 α to bind to Arnt, although Arnt is in excess in most cell types. In vitro, Hif-1 α expression is induced by extracellular ATP (eATP) and inhibits Tr1 cell differentiation by sequestration of Arnt from Ahr and induces Ahr proteasomal degradation. Reciprocal Ahr/Arnt interaction also triggers Hif-1 α proteasomal degradation. To limit Hif-1 α 's suppressive activity, Ahr induces expression of the ectonucleotidase Entpd1, which converts eATP into adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and reduces Hif-1 α expression. However, Hif-1 α -mediated suppression of Tr1 cell differentiation only occurs later during Tr1 cell differentiation. Immediately following activation when Ahr is not expressed, Hif-1 α promotes Tr1 cell differentiation by sustaining aerobic glycolysis. At later timepoints, Ahr is subsequently required to sustain the metabolic program of Tr1 cells. The regulatory mechanisms that facilitate this transcriptional switch have yet to be fully elucidated, though Stat3 may facilitate this switch via two mechanisms. First, Stat3 can bind to the Ahr promoter in Tr1 cells and directly promote Ahr expression. Second, it can drive expression of Entpd1 in Tr1 cells in luciferase assay in the absence of Ahr, thereby limiting eATP-mediated induction of Hif-1 α and favoring Ahr stability [\(97\)](#page-12-0). Experimental validation through the knockdown of Stat3 in conjunction with Entpd1 may elucidate the specific contribution of Stat3 in driving the metabolic switch of Tr1 cells from Hif-1 α to Ahr dependence. In addition, whether Hif-1 α and Ahr antagonism exists, particularly in intestinal ILC3s and CD8+TILs, is of particular interest. Both Ahr and Hif-1 α promote ILC3 ontogeny and effector function [\(55,](#page-11-0) [56](#page-11-0), [107](#page-12-0), [108\)](#page-12-0). Furthermore, Ahr and Hif-1 α promote CD8⁺TIL effector function ([35](#page-10-0), [109\)](#page-12-0). Careful consideration of the transcriptional and post-translational antagonism between Ahr and Hif-1 α will be essential in elucidating their relationship in other T cells and ILCs.

Ahr and Ikaros

Ikaros can regulate Ahr expression and function in a cell type and gene-dependent manner. Ikaros restricts postnatal ILC3s in part through suppression of Ahr function [\(110\)](#page-12-0). It can physically interact with Ahr in primary ILC3s, as revealed by proximity ligation assay, and this interaction suppresses dimerization of Ahr/Arnt and reduces Ahr binding to target genes ([110](#page-12-0)). However, in T cells, Ikaros may promote expression ofAhr, as T cellslacking Ikaros, or expressing Ikaros lacking the DNA-binding zinc finger 4 domain downregulates Ahr ([99](#page-12-0), [111\)](#page-12-0). Ikaros directly binds to the Ahr locus as revealed by ChIP assay in Th17 cells and is associated with permissive histone modifications at those binding sites, suggesting Ikaros may sustain Ahr expression through direct transcriptional activation and chromatin remodeling in Th17 cells ([Figure 1](#page-6-0)) ([111](#page-12-0)). Intriguingly, despite sustaining Ahr expression, cells expressing Ikaros lacking the DNA binding domain significantly upregulated IL-22 in an Ahr-dependent manner ([99](#page-12-0)). Given the requirement for the DNA binding domain in facilitating Ikaros' interaction and suppression of Ahr/Arnt dimerization in ILC3s ([110](#page-12-0)), Ikaros may similarly suppress Ahr activity in Th17 cells, despite also sustaining Ahr expression in those cells as well. However, not all Ahr target genes were impacted in Th17 cells expressing the Ikaros DNA binding mutant, suggesting a gene-dependent regulation of Ahr activity by Ikaros ([99](#page-12-0)). The gene-specific repression of Ahr function by Ikaros may be reliant on additional Ahr-interacting partners occupying distinct Ikaros-bound loci. Given the abundance of in vitro differentiated Th17 cells, biochemical and epigenetic studies to systematically identify different Ahr and Ikaros binding partners may be an attractive avenue to pursue.

Ahr and Blimp1

Blimp1 has been proposed as a master regulator of driving immune cell residency in a diverse range of immune cells including murine $CD8⁺$ T cells, $CD4⁺$ T cells and NK cells [\(112](#page-12-0), [113](#page-12-0)). In adoptively transferred Ahr-deficient CD8⁺ T cells, Prdm1 (encoding Blimp1) mRNA is significantly reduced in T_{RM} cells following Listeria infection ([35\)](#page-10-0). Further, Ahr ChIP-seq in in vitro differentiated T_{RM} -like cells revealed direct binding by Ahr to the Prdm1 locus, suggesting that Prdm1 may be downstream of Ahr in promoting genes that facilitate tissue residency in $CD8⁺$ T cells. Furthermore, in vitro rescuing experiments by transduction of Blimp1 into Ahr-deficient CD8⁺ T cells rescued impaired T_{RM} -like cell differentiation in the absence of Ahr. While in vitro overexpression assays likely due not fully recapitulate the in vivo mechanisms of regulation of the residency program by Ahr and Blimp1, the role for Ahr in driving residency programs in CD8⁺ T cells is of particular interest given Ahr's high expression particularly in non-lymphoid tissues, where many cells remain resident. Indeed, Ahr promotes intestinal homing of Treg cells [\(27,](#page-10-0) [31\)](#page-10-0) and is essential for maintenance of resident ILC3s in the intestine [\(55](#page-11-0), [56](#page-11-0)). In addition, while Blimp1 is essential for T_{RM} cell differentiation, it is also highly expressed in effector $CD8⁺$ T cells found in the blood [\(114\)](#page-12-0) and thus is not solely involved in driving the residency program. Whether Ahr regulates the residency program, via Blimp1 or otherwise, in other immune cells remains an open question. Careful dissection of common transcriptional programs regulated byAhr across broad tissues and cell types may reveal a common theme across immune cells in Ahr maintaining immune cell residency programs.

Self-regulation of Ahr expression

Recent evidence suggests that Ahr regulates its own expression in a cell-type specific manner and involves selective chromatin remodeling at the Ahr locus ([Figure 1\)](#page-6-0) ([52\)](#page-11-0). In gut-ILC2, a specific region of chromatin downstream of Ahr ("peak 6") is accessible, while it remained inaccessible in other Ahr-expressing populations including gut-ILC3s and gut-Treg cells. Intriguingly, in the absence of Ahr, peak 6 exhibited reduced chromatin accessibilityin gut-ILC2s andAhr acted to recruit the transcription factors Gfi1 and Gata3 to the peak 6 region. These data demonstrate that Ahr promotes its own transcription in a cell-type specific manner via a positive-feedback loop [\(52\)](#page-11-0). Follow up studies specifically ablating peak 6 in vivo may help clarify its role in Ahr's self-regulation.

Conclusion

Ahr has emerged as an essential regulator of a multitude of immune cells. However, its broad expression pattern and the diverse functions of Ahr across both different immune cells and organs make therapeutics targeting of Ahr challenging. Furthermore, the transcriptional regulatory networks vary widely across different cell types and organs. As such, it is essential to understand not only the cell-intrinsic functions of Ahr, but to understand its contextdependent relationship with other transcription factors. Recent advances in low to single-cell mass spectrometry will elucidate Ahr-partners in rare immune cells ([80](#page-11-0), [81](#page-11-0)). Utilization of technologies such as single-cell ATAC-seq and RNA-seq will help elucidate the role of Ahr in heterogenous populations. Leveraging of low cell input assays, such as CUT&RUN ([115\)](#page-12-0), will be essential to identify Ahr direct targets and how Ahr regulates the chromatin landscape in rare immune cells. In this line, whether Ahr controls 3 dimensional chromatin architecture is entirely unknown. Recent advances in assays to interrogate 3D genome structure with reduced cell input requirements will be essential to address these questions ([116,](#page-12-0) [117\)](#page-12-0). Utilization of these technologies in conjunction with sophisticated genetic models to modulate Ahr in tandem with other transcription factors will be required to delineate cell-type specific relationships at the chromatin level. Such mechanistic understandings may elucidate novel therapeutics to target Ahr, or Ahr partners, in a cell-type specific manner for disease prevention and treatments.

Author contributions

EH wrote the manuscript. LZ supervised the research and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The work was supported by the (NIH) grants AI132391 and DK105562 (to LZ). LZ is an Investigator in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease supported by the Burroughs Welcome Fund. The work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants AI132391 and AI157109 (to LZ).

Acknowledgments

We thank the entire Zhou lab for help and suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Avilla MN, Malecki KMC, Hahn ME, Wilson RH, Bradfield CA. The ah receptor: Adaptive metabolism, ligand diversity, and the xenokine model. Chem Res Toxicol (2020) 33:860–79. doi: [10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00476](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00476)

2. Rothhammer V, Quintana FJ. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor: An environmental sensor integrating immune responses in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol (2019) 19:184–97. doi: [10.1038/s41577-019-0125-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0125-8)

3. Denison MS, Nagy SR. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor by structurally diverse exogenous and endogenous chemicals. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol (2003) 43:309–34. doi: [10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.43.100901.135828](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.43.100901.135828)

4. Gutié rrez-Vázquez C, Quintana FJ. Regulation of the immune response by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Immunity (2018) 48:13–33. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.12.012) [j.immuni.2017.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.12.012)

5. Carver LA, Bradfield CA. Ligand-dependent interaction of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor with a novel immunophilin homolog in vivo. J Biol Chem (1997) 272:11452–6. doi: [10.1074/jbc.272.17.11452](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.17.11452)

6. Meyer BK, Perdew GH. Characterization of the AhR-hsp90-XAP2 core complex and the role of the immunophilin-related protein XAP2 in AhR stabilization. Biochemistry (1999) 38:8907–17. doi: [10.1021/bi982223w](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi982223w)

7. Denis M, Cuthill S, Wikström AC, Poellinger L, Gustafsson JA. Association of the dioxin receptor with the Mr 90,000 heat shock protein: A structural kinship with the glucocorticoid receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun (1988) 155:801–7. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(88)80566-7) [S0006-291X\(88\)80566-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(88)80566-7)

8. Perdew GH. Association of the ah receptor with the 90-kDa heat shock protein. J Biol Chem (1988) 263:13802–5. doi: [10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)68314-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)68314-0)

9. Zhou L. AHR function in lymphocytes: Emerging concepts. Trends Immunol (2016) 37:17–31. doi: [10.1016/j.it.2015.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.11.007)

10. Stockinger B, Shah K, Wincent E. AHR in the intestinal microenvironment: Safeguarding barrier function. Nature Reviews. Gastroenterol Hepatol (2021) 18:559– 70. doi: [10.1038/s41575-021-00430-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-021-00430-8)

11. Cervantes-Barragan L, Colonna M. AHR signaling in the development and function of intestinal immune cells and beyond. Semin Immunopathol (2018) 40:371–7. doi: [10.1007/s00281-018-0694-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-018-0694-9)

12. Poland A, Knutson JC. 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and related halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons: Examination of the mechanism of toxicity. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol (1982) 22:517–54. doi: [10.1146/annurev.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pa.22.040182.002505) [pa.22.040182.002505](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pa.22.040182.002505)

13. Mezrich JD, Fechner JH, Zhang X, Johnson BP, Burlingham WJ, Bradfield CA. An interaction between kynurenine and the aryl hydrocarbon receptor can generate regulatory T cells. J Immunol (Baltimore Md.: 1950) (2010) 185:3190–8. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0903670) [jimmunol.0903670](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0903670)

14. Stone TW, Darlington LG. Endogenous kynurenines as targets for drug discovery and development. Nat Rev Drug Discov (2002) 1:609–20. doi: [10.1038/nrd870](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd870)

15. Heath-Pagliuso S, Rogers WJ, Tullis K, Seidel SD, Cenijn PH, Brouwer A, et al. Activation of the ah receptor by tryptophan and tryptophan metabolites. Biochemistry (1998) 37:11508–15. doi: [10.1021/bi980087p](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi980087p)

16. Rannug A, Rannug U, Rosenkranz HS, Winqvist L, Westerholm R, Agurell E. Certain photooxidized derivatives of tryptophan bind with very high affinity to the ah receptor and are likely to be endogenous signal substances. J Biol Chem (1987) 262:15422–7. doi: [10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)47743-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)47743-5)

17. Esser C, Rannug A. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor in barrier organ physiology, immunology, and toxicology. Pharmacol Rev (2015) 67:259–79. doi: [10.1124/](https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.114.009001) [pr.114.009001](https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.114.009001)

18. Zelante T, Iannitti RG, Cunha C, De Luca A, Giovannini G, Pieraccini G, et al. Tryptophan catabolites from microbiota engage aryl hydrocarbon receptor and balance mucosal reactivity. via interleukin-22. Immun (2013) 39:372–85. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.003) [j.immuni.2013.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.003)

19. Vivier E, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate lymphoid cells: 10 years on. Cell (2018) 174:1054–66. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.017)

20. Diefenbach A, Colonna M, Koyasu S. Development, differentiation, and diversity of innate lymphoid cells. Immunity (2014) 41:354–65. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.09.005) [j.immuni.2014.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.09.005)

21. Lawrence BP, Roberts AD, Neumiller JJ, Cundiff JA, Woodland DL. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation impairs the priming but not the recall of influenza virus-specific CD8+ T cells in the lung. J Immunol (2006) 177:5819–28. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.9.5819) [jimmunol.177.9.5819](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.9.5819)

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

22. Gandhi R, Kumar D, Burns EJ, Nadeau M, Dake B, Laroni A, et al. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor induces human type 1 regulatory T cell-like and Foxp3 (+) regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol (2010) 11:846–53. doi: [10.1038/ni.1915](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1915)

23. Zamali I, Rekik R, Hmida NB, Hmid AB, Kammoun O, Barbouche M-R, et al. An endogenous aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligand enhances de novo generation of regulatory T cells in humans. J leukocyte Biol (2019) 105:291–5. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.2AB0518-205RR) [JLB.2AB0518-205RR](https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.2AB0518-205RR)

24. Dant TA, Lin KL, Bruce DW, Montgomery SA, Kolupaev OV, Bommiasamy H, et al. T-Cell expression of AhR inhibits the maintenance of pT reg cells in the gastrointestinal tract in acute GVHD. Blood (2017) 130:348–59. doi: [10.1182/blood-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-08-734244)[2016-08-734244](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-08-734244)

25. Singh NP, Singh UP, Singh B, Price RL, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti PS, et al. Activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) leads to reciprocal epigenetic regulation of FoxP3 and IL-17 expression and amelioration of experimental colitis. PloS One (2011) 6. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0023522](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023522)

26. Quintana FJ, Basso AS, Iglesias AH, Korn T, Farez MF, Bettelli E, et al. Control of t(reg) and T(H)17 cell differentiation by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Nature (2008) 453:65–71. doi: [10.1038/nature06880](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06880)

27. Ye J, Qiu J, Bostick JW, Ueda A, Schjerven H, Li S, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor preferentially marks and promotes gut regulatory T cells. Cell Rep (2017) 21:2277–90. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.114](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.114)

28. Swaminathan G, Nguyen LP, Namkoong H, Pan J, Haileselassie Y, Patel A, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates expression of mucosal trafficking receptor GPR15. Mucosal Immunol (2021) 14:852–61. doi: [10.1038/s41385-021-00390-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-021-00390-x)

29. Xiong L, Dean JW, Fu Z, Oliff KN, Bostick JW, Ye J, et al. Ahr-Foxp3-RORyt axis controls gut homing of CD4 + T cells by regulating GPR15. Sci Immunol (2020) 5. doi: [10.1126/sciimmunol.aaz7277](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aaz7277)

30. Apetoh L, Quintana FJ, Pot C, Joller N, Xiao S, Kumar D, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacts with c-maf to promote the differentiation of type 1 regulatory T cells induced by IL-27. Nat Immunol (2010) 11:854–61. doi: [10.1038/ni.1912](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1912)

31. Ehrlich AK, Pennington JM, Tilton S, Wang X, Marshall NB, Rohlman D, et al. AhR activation increases IL-2 production by alloreactive CD4(+) T cells initiating the differentiation of mucosal-homing Tim3(+) Lag3(+) Tr1 cells. Eur J Immunol (2017) 47:1989–2001. doi: [10.1002/eji.201747121](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201747121)

32. Veldhoen M, Hirota K, Westendorf AM, Buer J, Dumoutier L, Renauld J-C, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor links TH17-cell-mediated autoimmunity to environmental toxins. Nature (2008) 453:106–9. doi: [10.1038/nature06881](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06881)

33. Basu R, O'Quinn DB, Silberger DJ, Schoeb TR, Fouser L, Ouyang W, et al. Th22 cells are an important source of IL-22 for host protection against enteropathogenic bacteria. Immunity (2012) 37:1061–75. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.024)

34. Quintana FJ, Jin H, Burns EJ, Nadeau M, Yeste A, Kumar D, et al. Aiolos promotes TH17 differentiation by directly silencing Il2 expression. Nat Immunol (2012) 13:770–7. doi: [10.1038/ni.2363](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2363)

35. Dean JW, Helm EY, Fu Z, Xiong L, Sun N, Oliff KN, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor cell-intrinsically promotes resident memory CD8+ T cell differentiation and effector function. Cell Rep (2023) 42(1):358–69. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111963](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111963)

36. Zaid A, Mackay LK, Rahimpour A, Braun A, Veldhoen M, Carbone FR, et al. Persistence of skin-resident memory T cells within an epidermal niche. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2014) 111:5307–12. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1322292111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322292111)

37. Corgnac S, Malenica I, Mezquita L, Auclin E, Voilin E, Kacher J, et al. CD103(+) CD8(+) T(RM) cells accumulate in tumors of anti-PD-1-Responder lung cancer patients and are tumor-reactive lymphocytes enriched with Tc17. Cell Rep Med (2020) 1:100127. doi: [10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100127](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100127)

38. St Paul M, Saibil SD, Lien SC, Han S, Sayad A, Mulder DT, et al. IL6 induces an IL22(+) CD8(+) T-cell subset with potent antitumor function. Cancer Immunol Res (2020) 8:321–33. doi: [10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0521](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-19-0521)

39. Liu Y, Zhou N, Zhou L, Wang J, Zhou Y, Zhang T, et al. IL-2 regulates tumorreactive CD8+ T cell exhaustion by activating the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Nat Immunol (2021) 22. doi: [10.1038/s41590-020-00850-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-020-00850-9)

40. Liu Y, Liang X, Dong W, Fang Y, Lv J, Zhang T, et al. Tumor-repopulating cells induce PD-1 expression in CD8(+) T cells by transferring kynurenine and AhR activation. Cancer Cell (2018) 33:480–494.e487. doi: [10.1016/j.ccell.2018.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2018.02.005)

41. Li Y, Innocentin S, Withers DR, Roberts NA, Gallagher AR, Grigorieva EF, et al. Exogenous stimuli maintain intraepithelial lymphocytes via aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation. Cell (2011) 147:629–40. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.025)

42. Simonian PL, Wehrmann F, Roark CL, Born WK, O'Brien RL, Fontenot AP, et al. γδ T cells protect against lung fibrosis via IL-22. J Exp Med (2010) 207:2239-53. doi: [10.1084/jem.20100061](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20100061)

43. Kadow S, Jux B, Zahner SP, Wingerath B, Chmill S, Clausen B, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor is critical for homeostasis of invariant gammadelta T cells in the murine epidermis. J Immunol (Baltimore Md.: 1950) (2011) 187:3104–10. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1100912) [jimmunol.1100912](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1100912)

44. Cibrian D, Saiz ML, de la Fuente H, Sánchez-Díaz R, Moreno-Gonzalo O, Jorge I, et al. CD69 controls the uptake of l-tryptophan through LAT1-CD98 and AhRdependent secretion of IL-22 in psoriasis. Nat Immunol (2016) 17:985–96. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3504) [ni.3504](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3504)

45. Zhang Z, Pu A, Yu M, Xiao W, Sun L, Cai Y, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation modulates $\gamma\delta$ intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes and protects ag ischemia/reperfusion injury in the murine small intestine. Mol Med Rep (2019) 19:1840–8. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2019.9823](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2019.9823)

46. Cochez PM, Michiels C, Hendrickx E, Belle ABV, Lemaire MM, Dauguet N, et al. AhR modulates the IL-22-producing cell proliferation/recruitment in imiquimodinduced psoriasis mouse model. Eur J Immunol (2016) 46:1449–59. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201546070) [eji.201546070](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201546070)

47. Shin JH, Zhang L, Murillo-Sauca O, Kim J, Kohrt HEK, Bui JD, et al. Modulation of natural killer cell antitumor activity by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2013) 110:12391–6. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1302856110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302856110)

48. Shin JH, Moreno-Nieves UY, Zhang LH, Chen C, Dixon AL, Linde MH, et al. AHR regulates NK cell migration via ASB2-mediated ubiquitination of filamin a. Front Immunol (2021) 12:624284. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.624284](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.624284)

49. Wagage S, John B, Krock BL, Hall AO, Randall LM, Karp CL, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor promotes IL-10 production by NK cells. J Immunol (2014) 192:1661–70. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1300497](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300497)

50. Zhang LH, Shin JH, Haggadone MD, Sunwoo JB. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor is required for the maintenance of liver-resident natural killer cells. J Exp Med (2016) 213:2249–57. doi: [10.1084/jem.20151998](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151998)

51. Lordo MR, Wu KG, Altynova E, Shilo N, Kronen P, Nalin AP, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia alters group 1 innate lymphoid cell differentiation from a common precursor. J Immunol (2021) 207:1672–82. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.2100023](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.2100023)

52. Li S, Bostick JW, Ye J, Qiu J, Zhang B, Urban JF Jr, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling cell intrinsically inhibits intestinal group 2 innate lymphoid cell function. Immunity (2018) 49:915–28. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2018.09.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.09.015)

53. Wen Y, Zeng Q, Luo X, Ma R, Tang Y, Liu W, et al. Leptin promoted IL-17 production from ILC2s in allergic rhinitis. Mediators Inflamm (2020) 2020. doi: [10.1155/2020/9248479](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9248479)

54. Cai T, Qiu J, Ji Y, Li W, Ding Z, Suo C, et al. IL-17-producing ST2 + group 2 innate lymphoid cells play a pathogenic role in lung inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2019) 143:229–44. doi: [10.1016/j.jaci.2018.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.03.007)

55. Kiss EA, Vonarbourg C, Kopfmann S, Hobeika E, Finke D, Esser C, et al. Natural aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands control organogenesis of intestinal lymphoid follicles. Science (2011) 334:1561–5. doi: [10.1126/science.1214914](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214914)

56. Qiu J, Heller JJ, Guo X, Chen Z-mE, Fish K, Fu Y-X, et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates gut immunity through modulation of innate lymphoid cells. Immunity (2012) 36:92–104. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2011.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.11.011)

57. Lee JS, Cella M, McDonald KG, Garlanda C, Kennedy GD, Nukaya M, et al. AHR drives the development of gut ILC22 cells and postnatal lymphoid tissues via pathways dependent on and independent of notch. Nat Immunol (2011) 13:144-51. doi: [10.1038/ni.2187](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2187)

58. Qiu J, Guo X, Chen Z-mE, He L, Sonnenberg GF, Artis D, et al. Group 3 innate lymphoid cells inhibit T-cell-mediated intestinal inflammation through aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling and regulation of microflora. Immunity (2013) 39:386–99. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.002)

59. Kimura A, Naka T, Nohara K, Fujii-Kuriyama Y, Kishimoto T. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates Stat1 activation and participates in the development of Th17 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2008) 105:9721–6. doi: [10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804231105) [pnas.0804231105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804231105)

60. Ehrlich AK, Pennington JM, Bisson WH, Kolluri SK, Kerkvliet NI, TCDD FICZ. And other high affinity AhR ligands dose-dependently determine the fate of CD4+ T cell differentiation. Toxicol Sci (2018) 161:310–20. doi: [10.1093/toxsci/kfx215](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfx215)

61. Sakaguchi S, Mikami N, Wing JB, Tanaka A, Ichiyama K, Ohkura N, et al. Regulatory T cells and human disease. Annu Rev Immunol (2020) 38:541–66. doi: [10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041717](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041717)

62. Groux H, O'Garra A, Bigler M, Rouleau M, Antonenko S, de Vries J, et al. A CD4+ T-cell subset inhibits antigen-specific T-cell responses and prevents colitis. Nature (1997) 389:737–42. doi: [10.1038/39614](https://doi.org/10.1038/39614)

63. Rohlman D, Pham D, Yu Z, Steppan LB, Kerkvliet NI. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated perturbations in gene expression during early stages of CD4(+) Tcell differentiation. Front Immunol (2012) 3. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2012.00223](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00223)

64. Ivanov II, McKenzie BS, Zhou L, Tadokoro CE, Lepelley A, Lafaille JJ, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+ T helper cells. Cell (2006) 126:1121–33. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.035) [j.cell.2006.07.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.035)

65. Korn T, Bettelli E, Oukka M, Kuchroo VK. IL-17 and Th17 cells. Annu Rev Immunol (2009) 27:485–517. doi: [10.1146/annurev.immunol.021908.132710](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.021908.132710)

66. Ramirez JM, Brembilla NC, Sorg O, Chicheportiche R, Matthes T, Dayer J-M, et al. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor reveals distinct requirements for IL-22 and IL-17 production by human T helper cells. Eur J Immunol (2010) 40:2450–9. doi: [10.1002/eji.201040461](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201040461)

67. Laurence A, Tato CM, Davidson TS, Kanno Y, Chen Z, Yao Z, et al. Interleukin-2 signaling via STAT5 constrains T helper 17 cell generation. Immunity (2007) 26:371– 81. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2007.02.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.02.009)

68. Liao W, Lin JX, Wang L, Li P, Leonard WJ. Modulation of cytokine receptors by IL-2 broadly regulates differentiation into helper T cell lineages. Nat Immunol (2011) 12:551–9. doi: [10.1038/ni.2030](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2030)

69. Trifari S, Kaplan CD, Tran EH, Crellin NK, Spits H. Identification of a human helper T cell population that has abundant production of interleukin 22 and is distinct from T(H)-17, T(H)1 and T(H)2 cells. Nat Immunol (2009) 10:864–71. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1770) [ni.1770](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1770)

70. Duhen T, Geiger R, Jarrossay D, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F. Production of interleukin 22 but not interleukin 17 by a subset of human skin-homing memory T cells. Nat Immunol (2009) 10:857–63. doi: [10.1038/ni.1767](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1767)

71. Rutz S, Noubade R, Eidenschenk C, Ota N, Zeng W, Zheng Y, et al. Transcription factor c-maf mediates the TGF-b-dependent suppression of IL-22 production in T(H)17 cells. Nat Immunol (2011) 12:1238–45. doi: [10.1038/ni.2134](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2134)

72. Yeste A, Mascanfroni ID, Nadeau M, Burns EJ, Tukpah A-M, Santiago A, et al. IL-21 induces IL-22 production in CD4+ T cells. Nat Commun (2014) 5. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4753) [ncomms4753](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4753)

73. Veldhoen M, Hocking RJ, Atkins CJ, Locksley RM, Stockinger B. TGFbeta in the context of an inflammatory cytokine milieu supports de novo differentiation of IL-17 producing T cells. Immunity (2006) 24:178–89. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2006.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2006.01.001)

74. Uyttenhove C, Pilotte L, Théate I, Stroobant V, Colau D, Parmentier N, et al. Evidence for a tumoral immune resistance mechanism based on tryptophan degradation by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Nat Med (2003) 9:1269–74. doi: [10.1038/nm934](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm934)

75. Hayday AC. Gammadelta T cells and the lymphoid stress-surveillance response. Immunity (2009) 31:184–96. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.006)

76. Fahrer AM, Konigshofer Y, Kerr EM, Ghandour G, Mack DH, Davis MM, et al. Attributes of gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocytes as suggested by their transcriptional profile. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2001) 98:10261–6. doi: [10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.171320798) [pnas.171320798](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.171320798)

77. Komano H, Fujiura Y, Kawaguchi M, Matsumoto S, Hashimoto Y, Obana S, et al. Homeostatic regulation of intestinal epithelia by intraepithelial gamma delta T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (1995) 92:6147–51. doi: [10.1073/pnas.92.13.6147](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.13.6147)

78. Boismenu R, Havran WL. Modulation of epithelial cell growth by intraepithelial gamma delta T cells. Science (1994) 266:1253–5. doi: [10.1126/science.7973709](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7973709)

79. Kuriu A, Ikeda H, Kanakura Y, Griffin JD, Druker B, Yagura H, et al. Proliferation of human myeloid leukemia cell line associated with the tyrosinephosphorylation and activation of the proto-oncogene c-kit product. Blood (1991) 78:2834–40. doi: [10.1182/blood.V78.11.2834.2834](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V78.11.2834.2834)

80. Budnik B, Levy E, Harmange G, Slavov N. SCoPE-MS: mass spectrometry of single mammalian cells quantifies proteome heterogeneity during cell differentiation. Genome Biol (2018) 19:161. doi: [10.1186/s13059-018-1547-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1547-5)

81. Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Müller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Krijgsveld J, et al. Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. Nat Protoc (2019) 14:68–85. doi: [10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x)

82. Yi P, Liang Y, Yuan DMK, Jie Z, Kwota Z, Chen Y, et al. A tightly regulated IL-22 response maintains immune functions and homeostasis in systemic viral infection. Sci Rep (2017) 7. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-04260-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04260-0)

83. Poussier P, Ning T, Banerjee D, Julius M. A unique subset of self-specific intraintestinal T cells maintains gut integrity. J Exp Med (2002) 195:1491-7. doi: [10.1084/jem.20011793](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20011793)

84. Moreno-Nieves UY, Mundy DC, Shin JH, Tam K, Sunwoo JB. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor modulates the function of human CD56(bright) NK cells. Eur J Immunol (2018) 48:771–6. doi: [10.1002/eji.201747289](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201747289)

85. Hughes T, Briercheck EL, Freud AG, Trotta R, McClory S, Scoville SD, et al. The transcription factor AHR prevents the differentiation of a stage 3 innate lymphoid cell subset to natural killer cells. Cell Rep (2014) 8:150–62. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2014.05.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.05.042)

86. Yang SL, Tan H-X, Niu T-T, Li D-J, Wang H-Y, Li M-Q, et al. Kynurenine promotes the cytotoxicity of NK cells through aryl hydrocarbon receptor in early pregnancy. J Reprod Immunol (2021) 143:103270. doi: [10.1016/j.jri.2020.103270](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2020.103270)

87. Klose CSN, Flach M, Möhle L, Rogell L, Hoyler T, Ebert K, et al. Differentiation of type 1 ILCs from a common progenitor to all helper-like innate lymphoid cell lineages. Cell (2014) 157:340–56. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.030)

88. Schiering C, Wincent E, Metidji A, Iseppon A, Li Y, Potocnik AJ, et al. Feedback control of AHR signalling regulates intestinal immunity. Nature (2017) 542:242–5. doi: [10.1038/nature21080](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21080)

89. Sheppard S, Schuster IS, Andoniou CE, Cocita C, Adejumo T, Kung SKP, et al. The murine natural cytotoxic receptor NKp46/NCR1 controls TRAIL protein expression in NK cells and ILC1s. Cell Rep (2018) 22:3385–92. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.023)

90. Hughes T, Becknell B, Freud AG, McClory S, Briercheck E, Yu J, et al. Interleukin-1beta selectively expands and sustains interleukin-22+ immature human natural killer cells in secondary lymphoid tissue. Immunity (2010) 32:803–14. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2010.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.06.007)

91. Montaldo E, Teixeira-Alves LG, Glatzer T, Durek P, Stervbo U, Hamann W, et al. Human ROR η (+)CD34(+) cells are lineage-specified progenitors of group 3 ROR η (+) innate lymphoid cells. *Immunity* (2014) 41:988–1000. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2014.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.11.010)

92. Park A, Yang Y, Lee Y, Kim M, Park Y-J, Jung H, et al. Indoleamine-2, 3 dioxygenase in thyroid cancer cells suppresses natural killer cell function by inhibiting NKG2D and NKp46 expression. via Stat Signaling Pathways. J Clin Med (2019) 8:842. doi: [10.3390/jcm8060842](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8060842)

93. Li S, Bostick JW, Zhou L. Regulation of innate lymphoid cells by aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Front Immunol (2018) 8. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2017.01909](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01909)

94. Qiu J, Zhang J, Ji Y, Sun H, Gu Z, Sun Q, et al. Tissue signals imprint aiolos expression in ILC2s to modulate type 2 immunity. Mucosal Immunol (2021) 14:1306– 22. doi: [10.1038/s41385-021-00431-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-021-00431-5)

95. Hepworth MR, Fung TC, Masur SH, Kelsen JR, Mcconnell FM, Dubrot J, et al. Group 3 innate lymphoid cells mediate intestinal selection of commensal bacteriaspecific CD4⁺ T cells. Science (2015) 348:1031–5. doi: [10.1126/science.aaa4812](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa4812)

96. Durant L, Watford WT, Ramos HL, Laurence A, Vahedi G, Wei L, et al. Diverse targets of the transcription factor STAT3 contribute to T cell pathogenicity and homeostasis. Immunity (2010) 32:605–15. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2010.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.05.003)

97. Mascanfroni ID, Takenaka MC, Yeste A, Patel B, Wu Y, Kenison JE, et al. Metabolic control of type 1 regulatory T cell differentiation by AHR and HIF1-alpha. Nat Med (2015) 21:638–46. doi: [10.1038/nm.3868](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3868)

98. Powell MD, Read KA, Sreekumar BK, Oestreich KJ. Ikaros zinc finger transcription factors: Regulators of cytokine signaling pathways and CD4(+) T helper cell differentiation. Front Immunol (2019) 10:1299. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.01299](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01299)

99. Heller JJ, Schjerven H, Li S, Lee A, Qiu J, Chen Z-ME, et al. Restriction of IL-22 producing T cell responses and differential regulation of regulatory T cell compartments by zinc finger transcription factor ikaros. J Immunol (Baltimore Md.: 1950) (2014) 193:3934–46. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1401234](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1401234)

100. Hou T, Ray S, Lee C, Brasier AR. The STAT3 NH2-terminal domain stabilizes enhanceosome assembly by interacting with the p300 bromodomain. J Biol Chem (2008) 283:30725–34. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M805941200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M805941200)

101. Takahashi M, Muromoto R, Kojima H, Takeuchi S, Kitai Y, Kashiwakura J-i, et al. Biochanin a enhances RORg activity through STAT3-mediated recruitment of NCOA1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun (2017) 489:503–8. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.05.181](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.05.181)

102. Guo X, Qiu J, TuT,Yang X, Deng L,Anders RA, et al. Induction ofinnatelymphoid cell-derived interleukin-22 by the transcription factor STAT3 mediates protection against intestinal infection. Immunity (2014) 40:25–39. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.021)

103. Veldhoen M, Hirota K, Christensen J, O'Garra A, Stockinger B. Natural agonists for aryl hydrocarbon receptor in culture medium are essential for optimal
differentiation of Th17 T cells. *J Exp Med* (2009) 206:43–9. doi: [10.1084/jem.20081438](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20081438)

104. Wang X, Zhang Y, Yang XO, Nurieva RI, Chang SH, Ojeda SS, et al. Transcription of Il17 and Il17f is controlled by conserved noncoding sequence 2. Immunity (2012) 36:23–31. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2011.10.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.10.019)

105. Marson A, Kretschmer K, Frampton GM, Jacobsen ES, Polansky JK, MacIsaac KD, et al. Foxp3 occupancy and regulation of key target genes during T-cell stimulation. Nature (2007) 445:931–5. doi: [10.1038/nature05478](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05478)

106. Tian Y, Ke S, Chen M, Sheng T. Interactions between the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and p-TEFb. sequential recruitment of transcription factors and differential phosphorylation of c-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II at cyp1a1 promoter. J Biol Chem (2003) 278:44041–8. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M306443200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M306443200)

107. Fachi JL, Pral LP, Santos JACd, Codo AC, Oliveira S, Felipe JS, et al. Hypoxia enhances ILC3 responses through HIF-1a-dependent mechanism. Mucosal Immunol (2021) 14:828–41. doi: [10.1038/s41385-020-00371-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-020-00371-6)

108. Di Luccia B, Gilfillan S, Cella M, Colonna M, Huang SC. ILC3s integrate glycolysis and mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species to fulfill activation demands. J Exp Med (2019) 216:2231–41. doi: [10.1084/jem.20180549](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180549)

109. Liikanen I, Lauhan C, Quon S, Omilusik K, Phan AT, Bartrolı́ LB, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor activity promotes antitumor effector function and tissue residency by CD8+ T cells. J Clin Invest (2021) 131. doi: [10.1172/JCI143729](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI143729)

110. Li S, Heller JJ, Bostick JW, Lee A, Schjerven H, Kastner P, et al. Ikaros inhibits group 3 innate lymphoid cell development and function by suppressing the aryl hydrocarbon receptor pathway. Immunity (2016) 45:185–97. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.027) [j.immuni.2016.06.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.027)

111. Wong LY, Hatfield JK, Brown MA. Ikaros sets the potential for Th17 lineage gene expression through effects on chromatin state in early T cell development. J Biol Chem (2013) 288:35170–9. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M113.481440](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.481440)

112. Mackay LK, Mackay LK, Minnich M, Kragten NAM, Liao Y, Nota B, Seillet C, et al. Hobit and Blimp1 instruct a universal transcriptional program of tissue residency in lymphocytes. Science (2016) 352:459–63. doi: [10.1126/science.aad2035](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2035)

113. Zundler S, Becker E, Spocinska M, Slawik M, Parga-Vidal L, Stark R, et al. Hobit- and blimp-1-driven CD4(+) tissue-resident memory T cells control chronic intestinal inflammation. Nat Immunol (2019) 20:288–300. doi: [10.1038/s41590-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-018-0298-5) [0298-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-018-0298-5)

114. Kallies A, Xin A, Belz GT, Nutt SL. Blimp-1 transcription factor is required for the differentiation of effector CD8(+) T cells and memory responses. Immunity (2009) 31:283–95. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2009.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.06.021)

115. Skene PJ, Henikoff S. An efficient targeted nuclease strategy for high-resolution mapping of DNA binding sites. eLife (2017) 6. doi: [10.7554/eLife.21856](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21856)

116. Mumbach MR, Rubin AJ, Flynn RA, Dai C, Khavari PA, Greenleaf WJ, et al. HiChIP: Efficient and sensitive analysis of protein-directed genome architecture. Nat Methods (2016) 13:919–22. doi: [10.1038/nmeth.3999](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3999)

117. Sati S, Jones P, Kim HS, Zhou LA, Rapp-Reyes E, Leung TH, et al. HiCuT: An efficient and low input method to identify protein-directed chromatin interactions. PloS Genet (2022) 18:e1010121. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1010121](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010121)