#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stefan Jordan, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

REVIEWED BY Xue-Feng Bai, The Ohio State University, United States Tony Valente, University of Barcelona, Spain

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Jean-Francois Lauzon-Joset [jean-francois.lauzon-joset@](mailto:jean-francois.lauzon-joset@criucpq.ulaval.ca) [criucpq.ulaval.ca](mailto:jean-francois.lauzon-joset@criucpq.ulaval.ca)

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Mucosal Immunity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 07 November 2022 ACCEPTED 30 November 2022 PUBLISHED 15 December 2022

#### CITATION

Patoine D, Bouchard K, Lemay A-M, Bissonnette EY and Lauzon-Joset J-F (2022) Specificity of CD200/CD200R pathway in LPS-induced lung inflammation. Front. Immunol. 13:1092126. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Patoine, Bouchard, Lemay, Bissonnette and Lauzon-Joset. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Specifi[city of CD200/CD200R](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126/full) [pathway in LPS-induced](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126/full) lung infl[ammation](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126/full)

Dany Patoine<sup>1</sup>, Karine Bouchard<sup>1</sup>, Anne-Marie Lemay<sup>1</sup>, Elyse Y. Bissonnette<sup>1,2</sup> and Jean-Francois Lauzon-Joset<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec -Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Université Laval, Quebec, QC, Canada

Introduction: At lung mucosal surfaces, immune cells must initiate inflammatory response against pathogen without inducing tissue damage. Loss of this equilibrium can lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), a severe lung inflammatory disease characterized by excessive inflammation and dysregulation of anti-inflammatory pathways.

Methods: To investigate the role of anti-inflammatory pathway CD200/ CD200R in lung inflammatory response, we administered LPS intratracheally in CD200 KO and wild type (WT) rats. Inflammation was evaluated using bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) cellularity. Lung injury was measured by total protein level in BAL fluid, and levels of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF, IL-6) and chemokines (CXCL2, CCL2) were determined in BAL supernatants. In a second series of experiments, recombinant CD200Fc was administered to KO rats to restore the anti-inflammatory response.

Results: At baseline, CD200 KO rats did not show sign of inflammation, however KO rats had lower number of alveolar macrophages. In addition, LPS administration induced greater pulmonary edema in CD200 KO rats. This was accompanied with a higher recruitment of neutrophils as well as levels of TNF, IL-6, CXCL2, and CCL2 in BAL compared to WT rats. CD200Fc administration in KO rats reduced neutrophil accumulation and TNF and CXCL2 levels in BAL. Interestingly, the increased inflammatory response of CD200 KO rats could be attributed to greater activation potential of alveolar macrophages with higher levels of ERK and P-ERK MAPK.

Conclusion: This study shows that lung inflammatory response is exacerbated in absence of CD200 in an experimental model of ARDS in rats. In addition, CD200/CD200R pathway shows selective regulation of acute lung inflammation and cannot completely abrogate the complex LPS-induced inflammatory response. However, addition of CD200 agonist in a multitarget therapy strategy could have beneficial impacts.

#### KEYWORDS

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), alveolar macrophages (AM), lung homeostasis, MAP kinase, broncho alveolar lavage (BAL), mucosal immunity, antiinfl ammatory, immune check point

### Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a severe inflammatory lung disease caused by pulmonary insults and indirect systemic inflammatory responses ([1](#page-9-0)). Recently, ARDS was identified as the common complication of SARS-CoV-2 infection [\(2\)](#page-9-0). ARDS is characterized by influx of inflammatory cells such as neutrophils and recruited macrophages, acute diffuse alveolar damage, and protein rich exudates in alveolar spaces [\(3\)](#page-9-0). Lung macrophages play a key role in ARDS pathogenesis [\(4](#page-9-0), [5\)](#page-9-0) as they are major players in both initiation and resolution of lung inflammation ([6\)](#page-9-0). Furthermore, anti-inflammatory pathways play an important role to control the severity of ARDS. Emerging evidence suggests that early inflammatory events initiate also resolution of inflammation which is crucial to return to homeostasis ([7\)](#page-9-0). The pro-resolution strategies are multiple and depend on the stimuli and tissues affected [\(8](#page-9-0)). This process involves endogenous anti-inflammatory mediators and expression of coinhibitory surface molecules including CD200-CD200R ([8](#page-9-0)–[10\)](#page-9-0).

CD200 is a highly conserved transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on a variety of cells including macrophages, dendritic cells, T cells, B cells, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells ([11](#page-9-0)–[13\)](#page-9-0). CD200 does not induce signaling in cell expressing it, given its short cytoplasmic tail [\(14\)](#page-9-0). To induce anti-inflammatory signaling, CD200 must bind to its receptor CD200R which is expressed on lymphoid and myeloid cell lineages only [\(14,](#page-9-0) [15](#page-9-0)). Activation of CD200R induces immune suppression in different models of inflammation including arthritis, transplantation, and neuroinflammation ([16](#page-9-0)). Faster and more pronounced inflammatory responses are observed in absence of CD200 in model of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and an increased susceptibility to collagen-induced arthritis ([17\)](#page-9-0), whereas treatment with recombinant CD200 reduces disease severity in both models [\(18](#page-9-0), [19\)](#page-9-0).

Interestingly, in the lung, CD200R is highly express by alveolar macrophages (AMs) ([12\)](#page-9-0), supporting an important role of these cells in controlling inflammation and maintaining lung homeostasis ([20\)](#page-9-0). However, fewer studies have investigated the role of CD200/ CD200R pathway on lung immune response. Snelgrove et al. showed that mice lacking CD200 have an amplified inflammatory response to influenza virus infection which help to eliminate the virus but, lack of inflammatory control by CD200 causes a more severe lung damage compared with wild type (WT) mice ([21\)](#page-9-0). In an animal model of acute allergic asthma, addition of CD200R ligand intratracheally before allergen challenge reduces airway hyperresponsiveness, IL-13 levels in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and lung dendritic cell accumulation, without reducing eosinophil recruitment [\(22,](#page-9-0) [23\)](#page-9-0). These studies show the fine balance in CD200 role to reduce inflammatory responses, while maintaining adequate pathogen surveillance in the lungs.

Given the intricacy of CD200/CD200R pathway on controlling immune responses depends on stimuli and tissues, we investigated the role of CD200 in a model of acute respiratory

distress syndrome (ARDS) induced by LPS using CD200 knockout (KO) Sprague Dawley rats. We show that lack of CD200 causes a remarkable reduction of AM number in BALs of naïve rats and more important lung inflammation and injury after LPS administration. We also demonstrate that without the inhibitory signal of CD200, AMs have a higher potential to initiate an inflammatory cascade.

#### Material and methods

#### CD200 KO rat development

Sprague-Dawley CD200 KO rat strain, namely Crl : CD(SD)- CD200em1EB, was developed by the Transgenesis and animal modelling platform (CRCHUM, Montré al, QC, Canada) using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. A deletion of 4 nucleotides in CD200 exon 3 that results in a premature stop codon was confirmed by DNA sequencing ([Supplementary Figure 1A](#page-9-0)). Protein deficiency was verified by flow cytometry on total white blood cells using PE anti-rat CD200 antibody (clone OX-2, Biolegend, San Diego, CA) [\(Supplementary Figure 1B](#page-9-0)).

#### Animals and LPS administration

CD200 KO rats were bred and maintained at the Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec (Quebec City, QC, Canada). Control rats CD (SD) were obtained from Charles-River Laboratories (Saint-Constant, QC, Canada). The protocol was approved by Laval University Animal Care Committee in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Male rats 7-8-weeks-old received intratracheal administration of 0.5 µg LPS (E.coli, O55:B5; Millpore-Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in saline solution. In a second set of experiments, 0.02 nmol of either recombinant mouse CD200 fused with an IgG1 Fc (CD200Fc; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) or recombinant mouse IgG1 Fc (Sham; R&D Systems) was administrated with LPS to KO rats. Animals were anesthetized with ketamine/ xylazine and exsanguinated via abdominal aorta at different times after LPS administration.

#### Measurement of airway inflammation

BAL was performed as previously described [\(24](#page-9-0)) with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-EDTA 10 mM. First 5 mL of BAL was kept for cytokines/chemokines measurement. Enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) were performed using DuoSet® kits (R&D System) to measure TNF, IL-6, CCL2, and CXCL2 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total proteins in BAL was measured with the DC protein assay kit

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(Bio Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada). Cells for differential counts were identified by flow cytometry [\(Supplementary Figure 2](#page-9-0)).

#### Flow cytometry

Following cell count,  $1 \times 10^6$  cells from BAL were stained with the following panel: MHC-Class II-PerCP (BD Biosciences, Mississauga, Ont, Canada), CD11b-APC (BD), CD3-BV605 (BD), CD45R-BV786 (BD), CD172-AF700 (Bio-Techne, Toronto, Ont, Canada), CD43-AF405 (Bio-Techne), CD200-PE (BioLegend, San Diego, CA), CD200R1-Biotin (Biolegend) followed by Strep-APC-eFluor780 (Life Technologies, Mississauga, Ont, Canada).

For MAPK experiments, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Intracellular Flow Cytometry Kit (Cell signaling technology, Whitby, Ont, Canada) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stained with P-p44/42-Erk1/2-PE, p44/42- ERK1/2-AF647, P-p38-AF647, p38-PE (all from Cell signaling). Flow cytometry acquisition was performed on a LSR Fortessa (BD) and analysed with FlowJo 10.8 (FlowJow, Ashland, OR).

#### Statistical analysis

6-8 rats were used per group in two independent experiments for each analysis. Prism 9.4.1 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used for all statistical analyses, which included either Student's t test, Mann-Whitney, ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD test or the Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's uncorrected post-test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, and P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

#### Results

To study the role of CD200 in lung inflammation in vivo, we developed a Sprague-Dawley rat strain deficient in CD200 (KO) [\(Supplementary Figure 1](#page-9-0)). Given the high expression of CD200 receptor on AMs, we first characterized cells in BALs of both naïve WT and CD200 KO rats to determine whether CD200 deletion affected lung immune homeostasis. Surprisingly, BAL total cell numbers of CD200 KO rats were 44.6% lower than WT rats (Figure 1A), although they were both only comprised of AMs



#### FIGURE 1

CD200 KO rats do not display signs of lung inflammation at baseline, but have reduced number of alveolar macrophages. Bronchoalveolar lavages (BAL) were performed on CD200 KO and WT rats at baseline. (A) Total BAL cells were counted after trypan blue staining (left panel) and numbers of AM were confirmed by flow cytometry analysis (right panel). (B) Expression of activation marker on alveolar macrophages was assessed by flow cytometry using delta mean fluorescence intensity. Data are from individual rats and displayed as box and whisker plot showing minimum to maximum values of n = 6-8 of two independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using Mann-Whitney T-Test; \*\*P< 0.01.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>([Figure 1A\)](#page-2-0). To determine whether change in AM numbers was associated with increased activation, AM expression of cell surface proteins was characterized, including SIRPa, CD11b, MHCII, and CD200R, but none were significantly different [\(Figure 1B](#page-2-0)). These data suggest that whilst depletion of CD200 reduces AM number, it does not influence AM activation status at homeostasis.

We investigated the inflammatory response of CD200 KO rats in a model of ARDS using intratracheal administration of LPS. Lung injury was evaluated using total protein level in BALs,

which is a hallmark biomarker of ARDS severity. At baseline, before LPS administration, protein level in BALs of CD200 KO rat was significantly higher than in WT rats and this difference increased over time following LPS exposure with a maximum at 24h (Figure 2A). Inflammatory response was characterized by measuring BAL immune cell recruitment after LPS administration. BAL cell accumulation peaked at 24h in WT and KO rats, and total cell numbers of CD200 KO rats were lower at baseline and after 72h post-LPS compared with WT



#### FIGURE 2

Increased lung damage and BAL inflammatory response to LPS exposure in CD200 KO. WT and CD200 KO rats were exposed to LPS intratracheally. Lung damage was assessed by (A) total protein in BAL, whereas inflammation was measured by (B) BAL total cell accumulation. (C) Total BAL cells were expressed as a fold change from baseline (time = 0h). Data are from individual rats, with  $n = 6-8$  per group of two independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons; \* P < 0.05 vs WT; \*\*P < 0.05 vs WT; \*\*\*P < 0.05 vs WT;  $^{#}P$  < 0.05 vs baseline.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>([Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). Given the difference in cell numbers in naïve rats, the intensity of inflammatory response was expressed in fold increase from naïve rats and was significantly higher at 3, 24, and 48h in CD200 KO compared with WT rats [\(Figure 2C](#page-3-0)). When analyzing cellular composition of BAL, neutrophil recruitment was detected as soon as 3h post-LPS with a maximum accumulation at 24h and returned to baseline level at 72h (Figure 3). Neutrophil recruitment was similar in both rat



determined using two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons; \*P < 0.05 vs WT; #P < 0.05 vs baseline.

strains at 3h but was significantly higher at 24 and 48 h in CD200 KO rats compared with WT rats. In contrast, macrophage recruitment (rMac) started at 24h and was still present at 72h ([Figure 3\)](#page-4-0). Interestingly, percentage of recruited macrophages (rMac) was transiently lower in CD200 KO rats at 24h. As expected, AM proportion declined after LPS exposure and was still significantly lower after 72h ([Figure 3\)](#page-4-0). This suggests that in this model of ARDS, acute inflammatory response is resolved at 72h post-LPS, although repair processes are not completed and back to homeostasis.

Inflammatory response severity was also measured by quantifying inflammatory mediators in BAL fluid. Concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF and IL-6, were significantly higher in BALs of CD200 KO rats compared with WT rats 3h after LPS administration (Figures 4A, B). Furthermore, levels of neutrophil chemokine, CXCL2, and macrophage chemokine, CCL2, were also higher in BALs of CD200 KO rats compared with WT rats (Figures 4C, D), suggesting a more robust inflammatory response in animals without CD200. Cytokine

levels were also measured in BALs of naïve rats and at 24, 48, and 72 h after LPS exposure but concentration levels were below detection limit. These data show that in CD200 KO rats ARDS severity is acutely increased, although in both strains, inflammatory response is mostly resolved by 72h.

To confirm the role of CD200 in reducing acute lung inflammation, CD200 KO rats were supplemented with CD200Fc at the same time than LPS administration and BALs were performed 3 h later. CD200Fc treatment did not alter BAL protein levels (data not shown) and total number of cells in BAL (respectively 5.6  $\pm$  0.3 vs 5.4  $\pm$  0.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells in sham and CD200Fc). In contrast, proportion of neutrophils was significantly lower and proportion of AMs was higher in CD200Fc treated rats compared to sham treated rats [\(Figure 5A\)](#page-6-0). Strikingly, only levels of TNF and CXCL2 were reduced in BALs of CD200 KO rats treated with CD200Fc [\(Figure 5B](#page-6-0)). IL-6 and CCL2 levels were not modulated by CD200Fc treatment [\(Figure 5B\)](#page-6-0).

To evaluate which cell types might be the target of CD200Fc, we evaluated CD200R expression in BAL cell types



Increased production of inflammatory mediators in CD200 KO exposed to LPS. WT and CD200 KO rats were exposed to LPS intratracheally. Inflammatory mediators were measured in BAL fluid 3h post-LPS exposure by ELISA. BAL of CD200 KO rats had increased levels of (A) TNF, (B) IL-6, (C) CCL2, and (D) CXCL2. Data are from individual rats, with n = 6-8 per group of two independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using Mann-Whitney T-Test; \*P < 0.05; \*\*P< 0.01; \*\*\*P< 0.001.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

at 3h post-LPS. Similarly to previous reports [\(12](#page-9-0), [21](#page-9-0)), CD200R expression was higher in AM, with low level in rMACs and neutrophils [\(Supplementary Figure 3\)](#page-9-0). This suggests that CD200 plays a role in reducing acute inflammatory response to LPS, likely by acting as an immune rheostat on AM immune response ([10,](#page-9-0) [12](#page-9-0), [25\)](#page-9-0).

To further understand the role of CD200/CD200R pathway in AMs in vivo, we investigated expression of MAPKs that are involved in anti-inflammatory signaling of CD200R ([26](#page-9-0)) and also in cellular response to LPS ([27](#page-9-0), [28\)](#page-9-0). Using flow cytometry, we evaluated total and phosphorylated levels of 2 key molecules of MAPK pathway, ERK and P38, in AM of naïve and LPS

stimulated rats. Although, there was no difference in phosphorylated ERK (P-ERK) and P38 (P-P38), there was significantly more total ERK and P38 in AMs of CD200 KO rats compared with WT (Figure 6A). 1h after LPS instillation in vivo, total level of ERK and P38 in CD200 KO rats remained elevated. Interestingly, after LPS, level of P-ERK, but not P-P38, was significantly higher in AMs from CD200 KO rats compared with WT rats (Figure 6B). These data suggest that CD200 plays an important role in lung homeostasis by limiting AM activation potential and after LPS exposure in vivo.



Alveolar macrophages (AMs) of CD200 KO rats have an higher expression of inflammatory MAP kinases. AMs of WT and KO rats were harvested at (A) baseline and (B) 1h post-LPS exposure. Expression of MAP kinases, ERK and P38, was assessed by flow cytometric analysis of delta mean fluorescence intensity. (A) At baseline, AMs of KO rats had increased levels of total ERK and P38, but similar levels of phospho (P-) ERK and P38. (B) 1h post-LPS, P-ERK and total ERK was increased in AMs of KO rats, as well as total P38. Data are from individual rats, with n = 6-8 per group of two independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using Mann-Whitney T-Test; ns: not significant, \*P < 0.05; \*\*P< 0.01.

### **Discussion**

CD200/CD200R pathway is well known for its antiinflammatory functions in many chronic inflammatory diseases ([14\)](#page-9-0). Moreover, absence of CD200 increases inflammatory response to viral infection by limiting viral replication but increasing lung injury because of uncontrolled inflammation [\(21\)](#page-9-0). In this study, we showed that using an LPSinduced lung inflammation, absence of CD200 accentuated lung inflammatory response mainly via an increased activation of AMs.

Control of inflammatory response is complex, as inflammation is needed to eliminate pathogens but too much can cause injury. CD200/CD200R pathway represents one of the mechanisms involved in controlling inflammation, especially in the lung where its expression is high on both immune and epithelial cells [\(12,](#page-9-0) [20](#page-9-0), [21\)](#page-9-0). Its absence does not provoke an inflammatory status by itself but causes interesting immunologic alterations. Hoek et al. reported that absence of CD200 results in an increased number of macrophages in spleen suggesting that CD200 may be involved in regulation of macrophage lineage ([17\)](#page-9-0). In contrast, we found a dramatic reduction of AM number in BAL of CD200 KO rats. This difference may be explained, in part, by the fact that AMs are mainly derived from embryo yolk sac and fetal liver cells in contrast to macrophages in other tissues ([29](#page-10-0)–[31\)](#page-10-0). This suggests that CD200 may be important for immune cell development from fetal cells but this would require additional investigation to confirm. Even though there were less AMs, absence of CD200 did not alter expression of AM surface markers such as SIRP $\alpha$ , CD11b, MHCII, and CD200R during homeostasis, as observed by Snelgrove et al. [\(21](#page-9-0)).

Strikingly, only part of the increased inflammatory response of CD200 KO to LPS was modulated by CD200Fc supplementation ([Figure 5\)](#page-6-0). Given that AMs express high levels of CD200R unlike other myeloid cells [\(21\)](#page-9-0), regulation of TNF and CXCL2 levels by CD200Fc support that its mode-ofaction is via binding to CD200R+ AMs which then leads to the reduction of neutrophil proportion. Opposingly, increased levels of IL-6 and CCL2 after LPS administration were unchanged by CD200Fc treatment, suggesting that production of these mediators by AMs is downstream of activation pathways not or weakly modulated by CD200R signaling cascade. Alternatively, AMs may not be the main producers of IL-6 and CCL2 mediators in our model, but rather epithelial cells ([32](#page-10-0)–[34\)](#page-10-0) or other immune cells. Our data demonstrate that CD200/CD200R is likely acting as an immune rheostat in antiinflammatory regulation in LPS-induced lung inflammation. Restraint control of lung inflammation by CD200Fc was also observed in an asthma model where CD200Fc reduced airway hyperresponsiveness and IL-13 level without modulating cell recruitment and eosinophil levels in BALs ([22\)](#page-9-0). Thus, CD200/

CD200R pathway appears to have a selective role in controlling lung inflammation.

As a primary target of CD200/CD200R pathway, P-ERK is increased in AMs of CD200 KO rats following LPS, indicating higher activity of ERK pathway and thus increased secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines measured in BALs. Interestingly, total ERK and P38 MAPK were significantly higher in CD200 KO rats compared with WT rats before and after LPS exposition. This could indicate a greater activation potential for MAPK pathways in CD200 KO rats. In addition of being a key regulator of inflammation, ERK signaling pathway arise an important player in cell proliferation, survival, and apoptosis [\(35](#page-10-0)). Hong et al. reported that activation of ERK over a certain threshold triggers cell growth arrest and death signaling [\(36\)](#page-10-0) which could explain, in part, reduced number of AMs in BAL of CD200 KO rats. One the other hand, we are still puzzled by the higher level of total ERK/P38 in naïve AM. Given that unphosphorylated ERK/P38 have no known function, we can only speculate that a higher level of total ERK/P38 would allow a more rapid and robust phosphorylation cascade upon activation. Future projects will investigate this question in more details.

In conclusion, CD200/CD200R pathway shows selective regulation of acute lung inflammation and cannot completely abrogate complex inflammatory responses in a model of ARDS. However, addition of CD200 agonist in a multi-target therapy strategy could have beneficial impacts. Further investigation is needed to better understand the specificity of CD200/CD200R modulation of inflammation.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Laval University Animal Care Committee in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

### Author contributions

EB and J-FL-J designed and supervised the study. DP, KB, A-ML and J-FL-J performed the experiments. DP, EB and J-FL-J interpreted the data. DP, KB, EB and J-FL-J wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### <span id="page-9-0"></span>Acknowledgments

We thank Ophélie Lerdu at the CRIUCPQ flow cytometry core facility and animal health technicians of CRIUCPQ animal facility for their assistance in facilitating this study. This study was funded by the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) grant PJT-152876 and Fondation JD Bégin. KB is support by a scholarship from the Quebec Respiratory Health Research Network (QRHN).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

1. Matthay MA, Ware LB, Zimmerman GA. The acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Clin Invest (2012) 122(8):2731–40. doi: [10.1172/JCI60331](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI60331)

2. Zhang X, Li S, Niu S. ACE2 and COVID-19 and the resulting ARDS. Postgrad Med J (2020) 96(1137):403–7. doi: [10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-137935](https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-137935)

3. Fanelli V, Vlachou A, Ghannadian S, Simonetti U, Slutsky AS, Zhang H. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: New definition, current and future therapeutic options. J Thorac Dis (2013) 5(3):326–34. doi: [10.3978/j.issn.2072-](https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2013.04.05) [1439.2013.04.05](https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2013.04.05)

4. Huang X, Xiu H, Zhang S, Zhang G. The role of macrophages in the pathogenesis of ALI/ARDS. Mediators Inflammation (2018) 2018:1264913. doi: [10.1155/2018/1264913](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1264913)

5. Haute GV, Luft C, Antunes GL, Silveira JS, de Souza Basso B, da Costa MS, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of octyl gallate in alveolar macrophages cells and mice with acute lung injury. J Cell Physiol (2020) 235(9):6073–84. doi: [10.1002/jcp.29536](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.29536)

6. Belchamber KBR, Donnelly LE. Macrophage dysfunction in respiratory disease. Results Probl Cell Differ (2017) 62:299–313. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54090-0_12) [54090-0\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54090-0_12)

7. Serhan CN, Savill J. Resolution of inflammation: The beginning programs the end. Nat Immunol (2005) 6(12):1191–7. doi: [10.1038/ni1276](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1276)

8. Fullerton JN, Gilroy DW. Resolution of inflammation: A new therapeutic frontier. Nat Rev Drug Discovery (2016) 15(8):551–67. doi: [10.1038/nrd.2016.39](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2016.39)

9. Buckley CD, Gilroy DW, Serhan CN, Stockinger B, Tak PP. The resolution of inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol (2013) 13(1):59–66. doi: [10.1038/nri3362](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3362)

10. Wissinger E, Goulding J, Hussell T. Immune homeostasis in the respiratory tract and its impact on heterologous infection. Semin Immunol (2009) 21(3):147– 55. doi: [10.1016/j.smim.2009.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2009.01.005)

11. Zhu B, Yu Y, Liu X, Han Q, Kang Y, Shi L. CD200 modulates s. aureusinduced innate immune responses through suppressing p38 signaling. Int J Mol Sci (2019) 20(3):659. doi: [10.3390/ijms20030659](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20030659)

12. Lauzon-Joset JF, Marsolais D, Tardif-Pellerin E, Patoine D, Bissonnette EY. CD200 in asthma. Int J Biochem Cell Biol (2019) 112:141–4. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2019.05.003) [j.biocel.2019.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2019.05.003)

13. Barclay AN, Wright GJ, Brooke G, Brown MH. CD200 and membrane protein interactions in the control of myeloid cells. Trends Immunol (2002) 23 (6):285–90. doi: [10.1016/S1471-4906\(02\)02223-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906(02)02223-8)

14. Holmannova D, Kolackova M, Kondelkova K, Kunes P, Krejsek J, Andrys C. CD200/CD200R paired potent inhibitory molecules regulating immune and inflammatory responses; part I: CD200/CD200R structure, activation, and function. Acta Med (Hradec Kralove) (2012) 55(1):12–7. doi: [10.14712/](https://doi.org/10.14712/18059694.2015.68) [18059694.2015.68](https://doi.org/10.14712/18059694.2015.68)

15. Wright GJ, Cherwinski H, Foster-Cuevas M, Brooke G, Puklavec MJ, Bigler M, et al. Characterization of the CD200 receptor family in mice and humans and their interactions with CD200. J Immunol (2003) 171(6):3034–46. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.3034) [jimmunol.171.6.3034](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.6.3034)

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126/full#supplementary-material) fi[mmu.2022.1092126/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1092126/full#supplementary-material)

16. Holmannova D, Kolackova M, Kondelkova K, Kunes P, Krejsek J, Ctirad A. CD200/CD200R paired potent inhibitory molecules regulating immune and inflammatory responses; part II: CD200/CD200R potential clinical applications. Acta Med (Hradec Kralove) (2012) 55(2):59–65. doi: [10.14712/](https://doi.org/10.14712/18059694.2015.56) [18059694.2015.56](https://doi.org/10.14712/18059694.2015.56)

17. Hoek RM, Ruuls SR, Murphy CA, Wright GJ, Goddard R, Zurawski SM, et al. Down-regulation of the macrophage lineage through interaction with OX2 (CD200). Science (2000) 290(5497):1768–71. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5497.1768) [science.290.5497.1768](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5497.1768)

18. Gorczynski RM, Chen Z, Yu K, Hu J. CD200 immunoadhesin suppresses collagen-induced arthritis in mice. Clin Immunol (2001) 101(3):328–34. doi: [10.1006/clim.2001.5117](https://doi.org/10.1006/clim.2001.5117)

19. Liu Y, Bando Y, Vargas-Lowy D, Elyaman W, Khoury SJ, Huang T, et al. CD200R1 agonist attenuates mechanisms of chronic disease in a murine model of multiple sclerosis. J Neurosci (2010) 30(6):2025–38. doi: [10.1523/](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4272-09.2010) [JNEUROSCI.4272-09.2010](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4272-09.2010)

20. Bissonnette EY, Lauzon-Joset JF, Debley JS, Ziegler SF. Cross-talk between alveolar macrophages and lung epithelial cells is essential to maintain lung homeostasis. Front Immunol (2020) 11:583042. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.583042](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.583042)

21. Snelgrove RJ, Goulding J, Didierlaurent AM, Lyonga D, Vekaria S, Edwards L, et al. A critical function for CD200 in lung immune homeostasis and the severity of influenza infection. Nat Immunol (2008) 9(9):1074–83. doi: [10.1038/ni.1637](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1637)

22. Lauzon-Joset J, Langlois A, Lai LJ, Santerre K, Lee-Gosselin A, Bosse Y, et al. Lung CD200R activation abrogates airway hyperresponsiveness in experimental asthma. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol (2015) 53(2):276–84. doi: [10.1165/rcmb.2014-](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2014-0229OC) [0229OC](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2014-0229OC)

23. Prodeus A, Sparkes A, Fischer NW, Cydzik M, Huang E, Khatri I, et al. A synthetic cross-species CD200R1 agonist suppresses inflammatory immune responses in vivo. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids (2018) 12:350–8. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2018.05.023) [j.omtn.2018.05.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2018.05.023)

24. Careau E, Turmel V, Lauzon-Joset JF, Bissonnette EY. Alveolar macrophages reduce airway hyperresponsiveness and modulate cytokine levels. Exp Lung Res (2010) 36(5):255–61. doi: [10.3109/01902140903410757](https://doi.org/10.3109/01902140903410757)

25. Holt PG, Strickland DH. The CD200-CD200R axis in local control of lung inflammation. Nat Immunol (2008) 9(9):1011–3. doi: [10.1038/ni0908-1011](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni0908-1011)

26. Zhang S, Cherwinski H, Sedgwick JD, Phillips JH. Molecular mechanisms of CD200 inhibition of mast cell activation. J Immunol (2004) 173(11):6786–93. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.173.11.6786](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.11.6786)

27. Shi L, Kishore R, McMullen MR, Nagy LE. Lipopolysaccharide stimulation of ERK1/2 increases TNF-alpha production via egr-1. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol (2002) 282(6):C1205–11. doi: [10.1152/ajpcell.00511.2001](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00511.2001)

28. Haddad EB, Birrell M, McCluskie K, Ling A, Webber SE, Foster ML, et al. Role of p38 MAP kinase in LPS-induced airway inflammation in the rat. Br J Pharmacol (2001) 132(8):1715–24. doi: [10.1038/sj.bjp.0704022](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0704022)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>29. Yona S, Kim KW, Wolf Y, Mildner A, Varol D, Breker M, et al. Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics of monocytes and tissue macrophages under homeostasis. Immunity (2013) 38(1):79–91. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2012.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.12.001)

30. Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, Szabo-Rogers H, Cagnard N, Kierdorf K, et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of myb and hematopoietic stem cells. Science (2012) 336(6077):86–90. doi: [10.1126/science.1219179](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219179)

31. Epelman S, Lavine KJ, Randolph GJ. Origin and functions of tissue macrophages. Immunity (2014) 41(1):21–35. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.013)

32. Tomee JF, van Weissenbruch R, de Monchy JG, Kauffman HF. Interactions<br>between inhalant allergen extracts and airway epithelial cells: effect on cytokine<br>production and cell detachment. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (1998) 1 [10.1016/S0091-6749\(98\)70057-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-6749(98)70057-0)

33. King C, Brennan S, Thompson PJ, Stewart GA. Dust mite proteolytic allergens induce cytokine release from cultured airway epithelium. J Immunol (1998) 161(7):3645–51. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.161.7.3645](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.161.7.3645)

34. Lee MF, Chang CW, Wang NM, Lin SJ, Chen YH. Serine protease inhibitor gabexate mesilate attenuates american cockroach-induced bronchial damage and inflammatory cytokine release. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol (2014) 24 (5):338–45.

35. Lu Z, Xu S. ERK1/2 MAP kinases in cell survival and apoptosis. IUBMB Life (2006) 58(11):621–31. doi: [10.1080/15216540600957438](https://doi.org/10.1080/15216540600957438)

36. Hong SK, Wu PK, Park JI. A cellular threshold for active ERK1/2 levels determines Raf/MEK/ERK-mediated growth arrest versus death responses. Cell Signal (2018) 42:11–20. doi: [10.1016/j.cellsig.2017.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2017.10.001)