Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wayne William Hancock, University of Pennsylvania, United States

REVIEWED BY Kenji Ichiyama, Osaka University, Japan Tatiana Akimova, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Michael Delacher delacher@uni-mainz.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Immunological Tolerance and Regulation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 27 October 2022 ACCEPTED 18 November 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

CITATION

Braband KL, Kaufmann T, Floess S, Zou M, Huehn J and Delacher M (2022) Stepwise acquisition of unique epigenetic signatures during differentiation of tissue Treg cells. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1082055. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1082055

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Braband, Kaufmann, Floess, Zou, Huehn and Delacher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Stepwise acquisition of unique epigenetic signatures during differentiation of tissue Treg cells

Kathrin L. Braband^{1,2}, Tamara Kaufmann^{1,2}, Stefan Floess³, Mangge Zou³, Jochen Huehn^{3,4†} and Michael Delacher^{1,2*†}

¹Institute for Immunology, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany, ²Research Center for Immunotherapy, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany, ³Department of Experimental Immunology, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany, ⁴Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

Regulatory T cells in non-lymphoid tissues are not only critical for maintaining self-tolerance, but are also important for promoting organ homeostasis and tissue repair. It is proposed that the generation of tissue Treg cells is a stepwise, multi-site process, accompanied by extensive epigenome remodeling, finally leading to the acquisition of unique tissue-specific epigenetic signatures. This process is initiated in the thymus, where Treg cells acquire core phenotypic and functional properties, followed by a priming step in secondary lymphoid organs that permits Treg cells to exit the lymphoid organs and seed into non-lymphoid tissues. There, a final specialization process takes place in response to unique microenvironmental cues in the respective tissue. In this review, we will summarize recent findings on this multi-site tissue Treg cell differentiation and highlight the importance of epigenetic remodeling during these stepwise events.

KEYWORDS

Treg, tissue, epigenetics, methylation, homeostasis

Treg cells prevent autoimmunity and promote tissue regeneration

Regulatory T (Treg) cells are an anti-inflammatory, immunoregulatory subtype of CD4⁺ T cells and are of crucial importance for the maintenance of self-tolerance. At the molecular level, this cell type is characterized by the expression of Foxp3, which endows these immunoregulatory cells with suppressive activity (1, 2). Accordingly, mutations or deletion of *Foxp3* can cause catastrophic autoimmune events leading to the so-called "Scurfy" phenotype in mice or the IPEX (immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked) syndrome in humans (3–6). Although stable Foxp3 expression is of utmost importance for lineage identity and functionality of Treg cells (7–9), mere Foxp3 expression is not sufficient to ensure complete Treg cell phenotypic and functional

properties (10, 11). Instead, accumulating evidence suggests that in addition to Foxp3 expression the DNA demethylation at a set of Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature genes is necessary for complete Treg cell functionality and long-term lineage stability (8, 12–14).

Due to the fatal systemic consequences with autoimmune events and multi-organ immune invasion and destruction upon mutation or deletion of *Foxp3*, Treg cells are usually described as "guardians of peripheral immune tolerance". In recent years, however, it has become evident that Treg cells can also be found in non-lymphoid tissues (NLTs), where they perform important homeostatic and regenerative functions (15, 16). One prime example of a non-classical function of tissue Treg cells is from the visceral adipose tissue (VAT): In the murine system, local and systemic metabolic homeostasis has been linked to the presence and proper function of VAT-resident Treg cells, dependent on the interleukin (IL)-33 - ST2 axis, and tissue Treg cells expressing ST2 have also been found in the human system (17-19). In murine skin tissue, Treg cells have been shown to home to hair follicles, thus promoting hair follicle regeneration (20), and human autoimmune hair loss has been linked to impaired Treg cell function (21, 22). Treg cells further play a major role in wound healing and skin barrier regeneration upon UVB damage, in an Amphiregulin (Areg)- and Proenkephalin (PENK)-dependent manner in mice (23-25). Another example is from the lung tissue, where a specific population of Treg cells resides in situ and promotes tissue repair in an IL-33-dependent fashion. This population is required for preventing severe acute lung damage in an influenza A virus (IAV) infection model (26, 27), and promotes tissue repair after acute lung injury in mice (28-30), supporting lung epithelial proliferation (31) and reducing fibroproliferation (32). This cell type is also found in humans with acute lung injury, and upon stimulation has been reported to express keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), analogous to the murine system (28, 29). Treg cell-specific deletion of Areg, encoding for a key effector molecule of murine tissue repairpromoting Treg cells, leads to severe lung damage and decreased blood oxygen concentration during IAV infection (33). Both murine and human tissue Treg cells have further been shown to suppress the response to environmental allergens in the lungs (34). In the murine liver, tissue-resident Treg cells play a homeostatic role, regulating bile acid synthesis and protecting from cholestatic liver injury, dependent on Areg (35). Also, in an experimental mouse model of crescentic glomerulonephritis, Treg-derived Areg mediates tissue repair (36). In contrast to the abovementioned tissues, Treg cells can hardly be detected in healthy murine muscle. Upon muscle damage, however, repairtype Treg cells rapidly accumulate and secrete Areg to boost satellite cell function (37). Selective removal of Treg cells during muscle healing impairs muscle repair, while an increased influx of Treg cells enhances this process. In addition, Treg cells can be found in the muscle of genetically dystrophic mice, probably as a

means of the body to regenerate ongoing muscular damage (38, 39). Tissue-resident Treg cells have also been reported in the heart, where they promote tissue regeneration after myocardial infarction. In murine ischemia/reperfusion injury and cryoinjury models, Treg cell-derived secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) was identified as an important factor to protect the heart against myocardial infarction (40). Resident Treg cells further improve regeneration after infarction by modulating monocyte/macrophage differentiation (41). In the central nervous system (CNS), the deletion of Treg cells increases brain damage and augments post-ischemic activation of inflammatory cells in a model of experimental brain ischemia. In this model, the immune modulatory cytokine IL-10 was shown to be key in preventing secondary infarct growth, and IL-10-deficient Treg cells were ineffective (42). Indeed, the accumulation of brain Treg cells potentiates neurological recovery during the chronic phase of ischemic brain injury (43, 44). Interestingly, they also secrete increased amounts of Areg to suppress neurotoxic astrogliosis (43). Another study investigated the importance of CNS-resident Treg cells in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of multiple sclerosis, and reported a rapid accumulation of Treg cells in the CNS (45). There, Treg cells promote myelin regeneration and oligodendrocyte differentiation, thus contributing to brain regeneration in situ (46). In addition, Treg cells can limit neuroinflammation in this model, in an ST2-dependent manner (47). The importance of Treg cells has further been shown in the context of post-ischemic revascularization in mice, promoting endothelial cell proliferation and function (48), and in blood clot resolution, dependent on SPARC (49). In summary, these studies demonstrate that Treg cells have, beyond the ability to regulate immune responses, a multitude of non-canonical features that promote tissue regeneration and repair in many different organs (Figure 1).

Treg cell development in the thymus

It is assumed that the majority of the Treg cell population originates from the thymus, termed thymus-derived Treg (tTreg) cells (50). Similar to the clonal deletion of self-reactive thymocytes, the development of tTreg cells is driven by the intrathymic encounter of agonist self-antigens, and expression of tissue-restricted antigens (TRAs) in medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs) plays a critical role in this process (50). tTreg cell generation occurs in two distinct developmental steps, identified by different expression levels of CD25 and Foxp3. The first developmental step is instructed by concurrent T cell receptor (TCR) signaling and co-stimulation, whereas the second step was shown to depend on signals derived from common gamma chain (γ_c) cytokines, particularly IL-2 (51–56). Although both CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ and CD25⁻Foxp3^{lo} Treg precursor cells contribute



almost equally to the generation of mature tTreg cells, it was recently reported that they show distinct maturation kinetics and cytokine responsiveness, differ in their transcriptomes and TCR repertoire, and only Treg cells derived from CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ progenitors protect against experimental autoimmune encephalitis (57). Yet, whether CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ Treg precursor cells preferentially give rise to tissue-resident Treg cells, is still unknown.

Accumulating evidence suggests that the establishment of the core Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature, consisting of Foxp3, Tnfrsf18, Ctla4, Ikfz4 and Il2ra, occurs during tTreg cell development and maturation in a dynamic and progressive manner (13, 14, 58, 59). The two Treg cell precursors display distinct dynamics for the imprinting of the Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature genes, with CD25⁻Foxp3^{lo} Treg precursor cells already showing a partially established Treg cell-specific hypomethylation pattern (58, 60). This strongly suggests that at least a part of the Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature is already engraved into developing tTreg cells before they have entered the very transient CD25⁻Foxp3^{lo} Treg precursor cell stage. This assumption is in line with the finding that this unique hypomethylation pattern can be fully established even in the absence of Foxp3 expression (13). Furthermore, IL-2 signals mainly impact the demethylation of Foxp3 with a more pronounced effect on CD25⁻Foxp3^{lo} when compared to CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ Treg precursor cells (58, 60). Thus, the two thymic Treg cell precursors differ substantially in the establishment of the Treg cell-specific hypomethylation pattern.

The shaping of the Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature during tTreg cell development relies on the interaction of the developing tTreg cells with thymic antigen-presenting cells, including thymic dendritic cells (DCs) and medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTECs). These cells display unique properties and were reported to induce more pronounced demethylation of the Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature genes in developing Treg cells when compared to splenic DCs (61). Mechanistically, the imprinting of the epigenetic signature occurs through an active process involving enzymes of the Ten-eleventranslocation (Tet) family, which act by iterative oxidation of 5mC to 5hmC, finally resulting in the demethylation of the CpG motif (14, 60, 62). In this regard, IL-2 was shown to maintain Tet2 expression at high levels during tTreg development, thereby protecting the Treg-specific demethylated region (TSDR) within Foxp3 from re-methylation and fostering stable Foxp3 expression (62, 63). Furthermore, IL-2 can also directly modulate the epigenetic landscape of tTreg cells by regulating the positioning of the pioneer factor Satb1 in CD4 single-positive (SP) thymocytes and controlling genome-wide chromatin accessibility (64). Satb1 is crucial for Treg cell super-enhancer establishment and thus for the induction of Treg cell signature genes, and developmental stage-specific deletion of Satb1 leads to autoimmunity due to Treg cell deficiency (65).

In addition to the newly generated tTreg cells, the thymus also harbors recirculating Treg cells entering the thymus from the periphery. It was shown that these recirculating Treg cells exert a regulatory function by competing for IL-2 and thereby limiting the generation of new tTreg cells under steady-state conditions (66). More recently, we could demonstrate that a subset of recirculating IL-1R2⁺ Treg cells can quench IL-1 signaling to maintain tTreg cell development even under inflammatory conditions (67). It is important to note that the population of recirculating Treg cells is composed of multiple subsets and displays the most diverse TCR repertoire of all CD4 SP thymocytes (68). Yet, to the best of our knowledge, it has not been studied whether recirculating Treg cells also contribute to a tissue-specific negative feedback mechanism controlling the development of designated tissue Treg precursor cells by competing for specific TRAs on mTECs.

Tissue Treg precursor cells in secondary lymphoid organs

The next step of tissue Treg cell generation, the priming in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), is less completely understood. We had previously demonstrated the existence of naive-like, recirculating and effector/memory-like, inflammation-seeking Treg cells in SLOs (69), and could show that Treg cells acquire the expression of tissue-specific homing molecules when getting primed by DCs in tissue-draining lymph nodes (70). First experimental proof that such priming events lead to the generation of tissue Treg cells came from a more recent study, in which a TCR-transgenic system was used to describe in detail the generation of the paradigmatic tissue Treg cell population, the VAT-Treg cells (71). Here, the authors could show that a part of the VAT-Treg cell signature was already induced in a fraction of splenic Treg cells marked by low expression levels of the transcription factor PPARy (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma) and that these PPARylow Treg cells displayed a high VAT-seeding potential. In a follow-up study, the tissue Treg precursor cell concept could be further generalized by demonstrating that the splenic PPAR γ^{low} Treg cell population is transcriptionally highly heterogeneous and engenders Treg cells in multiple NLTs beyond VAT, such as skin, colon and liver. These tissue Treg cell precursors express genes encoding for migration-related surface molecules such as Itgb1, Cxcr3, Ccr9, Itga4 and activation/differentiation associated proteins such as Klrg1, Pdcd1 and Rora (72, 73). These findings are in accordance with our own observations using a novel Nfil3 (nuclear factor, interleukin-3 regulated) reporter mouse line to track tissue Treg cell development (Figure 2). We could identify early (Nfil3GFP ⁺Klrg1⁻) and late (*Nfil3*^{GFP+}Klrg1⁺) tissue Treg precursor cells in SLOs, and global profiling studies revealed a stepwise acquisition of the mature tissue Treg cell phenotype (74). However, a detailed view of the DNA methylation profile of Treg cell



FIGURE 2

Overview of tissue Treg cell epigenetics in SLOs. Priming by DCs in SLOs leads to the stepwise development of tissue Treg cell precursors from Klrg1⁻Nfil3⁻ to Klrg1⁺Nfil3⁺. Klrg1⁺Nfil3⁺ Treg cell precursors additionally express low levels of PPARγ, tissue-specific genes like *ltgb1*, *Cxcr3*, *Ccr9*, *ltga4*, and activation/differentiation related genes such as *Klrg1*, *Pdcd1* and *Rora*. Klrg1⁺Nfil3⁺ Treg cell precursors migrate to NLTs like VAT, skin, lungs, liver and colon. SLOs, secondary lymphoid organs; DCs, dendritic cells; Treg, regulatory T cell; NLTs, non-lymphoid tissues; VAT, visceral adipose tissue. Created with **BioRender.com**.

precursors in SLOs is still missing, which could provide further insights into the epigenetic preparation of designated tissue Treg cells during their priming in the spleen and lymph nodes.

Tissue Treg cells acquire unique epigenetic signatures within tissues

Recent single-cell profiling studies suggested that tissue Treg cells display tissue-specific signatures dependent on the unique tissue environment that are distinct from those of lymphoid organ Treg cells. A common core-signature could further be identified between mouse and human (73, 75). Analysis of the VAT suggests that the extravasation and accumulation of tissue Treg cells relies on the TCR-dependent recognition of TRAs and on unique cytokine signals (71). However, studies from other tissues are lacking so far. Tissue-specific functional adaptations come along

with additional epigenetic alterations as demonstrated in a wholegenome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) study of murine lymph node-derived Treg and conventional T (Tconv) cells, as well as fat- and skin-derived Treg cells (76). In this study, we could identify 339 differentially-methylated regions (DMRs) between Treg and Tconv cells, which constitute the abovementioned core Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature with Ctla4, Il2ra, Il2rb, Ikzf2 and *Ikzf4*, and *Foxp3* as its most prominent members. Strikingly, about five times more DMRs describe the difference between Treg cells derived from lymph node and skin (1645 regions) and lymph node and fat (1593 regions), with Pparg, Klrg1 and Tigit as wellknown examples of hypomethylated regions, and Bcl2 and Tcf7 of hypermethylated regions in tissue- vs lymphoid organ Treg cells (Figure 3). Thus, the epigenetic signature of Treg cells in their tissue-specific microenvironment comprises severalfold more DMRs than the core Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature itself. Although tissue Treg cells have an activated phenotype, scATAC-



FIGURE 3

Overview of tissue Treg cell epigenetics in tissues. Tissue Treg precursors leave SLOs already expressing low levels of PPAR_γ and are recruited to the tissues (here exemplified by VAT) *via* soluble factors like IL-33 and *via* TRA recognition. In the tissue, the tissue Treg-specific methylation pattern is completed. The grey box shows DMRs of Treg cells from VAT and skin versus lymph node-derived Treg cells. The green box shows DMRs between VAT and skin Treg cells. Treg, regulatory T cell; SLOs, secondary lymphoid organs; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; LN, lymph node; TRA, tissue-restricted antigen; DMRs, differentially-methylated regions. Created with BioRender.com.

sequencing of naïve and activated lymphoid Treg cells and also Treg cells from murine skin, VAT and colon revealed clear differences in the epigenetic profiles of activated lymphoid- and tissue Treg cells. This indicates a major impact of the tissue signature on the latter (74). In addition, we could define both tissue-specific signatures and a global 'tissular' epigenetic framework with a key role for the transcription factor Basic leucine zipper transcription factor, ATF-like (Batf). The correlation between gene expression and negative mean methylation values confirms the dogma of epigenetics: specific demethylation in the gene body corresponds to the expression of the associated gene, and vice versa. In addition, we identified tissue-specific methylation patterns, such as demethylated regions in Ahr, Icos, Itgae and Gpr55 in skin Treg cells. In this context, although it is widely believed that the definitive tissue Treg cell phenotype is not installed until the cells have settled down in the respective tissues and have progressively adapted to the tissue microenvironment, it is unknown so far which parts of the tissuespecific DNA methylation patterns are already induced in early (Nfil3⁺Klrg1⁻) and late (Nfil3⁺Klrg1⁺) tissue Treg precursor cells within SLOs, and how these programs influence the extravasation into the target tissue. Furthermore, although the impact of inflammatory conditions on the functional properties and epigenetic signature of tissue Treg cells has been intensively studied (77-79), the underlying molecular mechanisms are largely unknown. Further missing puzzle pieces will help to clarify whether the heterogeneous transcriptome of tissue Treg cells is also based on a heterogeneous methylome, and which molecular mechanisms are involved in modulating epigenetic signatures and functional properties in recently described inflammatory models.

The knowledge about the human Treg cell methylome is even more limited. To date, only few studies investigated the global CpG methylation landscape in human Treg and Tconv cell populations from peripheral blood (80, 81). Recently, we have generated singlecell chromatin accessibility profiles of human tissue Treg cells from healthy skin and subcutaneous fat, and identified a tissue-repair Treg cell signature with a prevailing footprint of the transcription factor BATF (82). This signature, combined with gene expression profiling and TCR fate mapping, allowed for the discovery of the human counterpart of murine tissue-repair Treg cells as a T follicular helper cell (T_{FH})-polarized CCR8⁺BATF⁺ subset of Treg cells, and also identified its circulating blood precursor cell in human blood (82). BATF has further been reported as an important transcription factor for Treg cell differentiation and activation not only in healthy tissue, but also in the tumor microenvironment (83). To which extend healthy tissue and tumor Treg cells share transcriptional and epigenetic programmes remains to be investigated in detail on a grand scale for different tissues and tumor entities.

Outlook

The generation of tissue Treg cells is a stepwise, multi-site process, yet the precise dynamics and underlying molecular mechanisms contributing to the acquisition of the highly specialized epigenetic profiles and the unique functional properties of tissue Treg cells are only incompletely understood. Tissue Treg cells originate from the thymus, where they progressively develop into mature Treg cells. During this process, progressive demethylation of Treg-cell specific epigenetic signature genes takes place, and mature Treg cells leave the thymus with a specific DNA methylation pattern in combination with classical Treg cell properties mediated by actions of the Foxp3 transcription factor complex (84). In SLOs, Treg cells are primed by DCs, and tissue Treg precursor cells acquire the expression of tissuespecific homing molecules. They develop from Nfil3⁻Klrg1⁻ via Nfil3⁺Klrg1⁻ to Nfil3⁺Klrg1⁺ tissue Treg cell precursors, paralleled by the stepwise acquisition of a partial tissuespecific chromatin accessibility signature, potentially priming them to migrate from SLOs into NLTs. In the tissue, microenvironmental cues lead to the completion of the tissue Treg cell-specific epigenetic signature. Currently, it is still unknown at which stage the priming in the SLOs starts the "pre-tissue" program, which target genes are differentially methylated during this step, and how important these programs are for the designated tissue Treg cells to extravasate and survive in their cognate tissue. Furthermore, the exact timing of these events is unknown as it has been reported that the postnatal period is critical for the generation of the first wave of tissue Treg cells, which after seeding into tissues can stably persist until adulthood (85, 86).

Since most of our knowledge of tissue-resident Treg cells and their development comes from mouse studies, we can further explore the precise DNA methylation characteristics of tissue Treg cell development in SLOs in future studies. In a translational study, an analogous cell type to murine tissue Treg cells was recently proposed for the human system (82), and further investigations regarding the development and epigenetic programming are necessary to fully understand this cell type and also understand their future therapeutic potential. Owing to their special tissueregenerative properties on top of classical Treg cell functions, tissue Treg cells make an attractive candidate for adoptive T cell transfer therapies in autoimmune settings like graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) or solid organ transplantation (87, 88). A major limitation here is the small number of tissue Treg cells that can be isolated from biopsies or even re-circulating tissue Treg cells from the peripheral blood of the patient. We have shown that a tissue-repair phenotype with a tissue Treg cell-specific DNA methylation pattern can be induced in human naïve Treg cells by T_{FH} -polarizing cytokines (82). It might therefore be possible to isolate classical Treg cells from the peripheral blood of patients, expand them *in vitro*, and induce the tissue Treg-specific repair phenotype. This would allow for the adoptive transfer of sufficient numbers of autologous Treg cells that have the potential not only to dampen auto-immune destruction but also to mediate regeneration of the damaged tissue. However, it is not known whether inducing a tissue-like program is enough to also promote extravasation into the target tissues and to promote tissue homeostasis and even organ regeneration *in situ* while promoting immune tolerance to the graft, a highly desirable feature both in bone marrow transfusion and transplantation medicine.

Author contributions

Conceptualization and design: MD and JH. Literature search and Manuscript writing: MD, JH, KLB, TK, SF, MZ. Figures: KLB and TK. Manuscript revision: KLB, MD, JH. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2003) 4(4):330–6. doi: 10.1038/ni904

2. Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. *Science* (2003) 299(5609):1057–61. doi: 10.1126/science.1079490

3. Brunkow ME, Jeffery EW, Hjerrild KA, Paeper B, Clark LB, Yasayko SA, et al. Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, scurfin, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy mouse. *Nat Genet* (2001) 27(1):68–73. doi: 10.1038/83784

4. Wildin RS, Ramsdell F, Peake J, Faravelli F, Casanova JL, Buist N, et al. X-Linked neonatal diabetes mellitus, enteropathy and endocrinopathy syndrome is the human equivalent of mouse scurfy. *Nat Genet* (2001) 27(1):18–20. doi: 10.1038/ 83707

5. Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, Whitesell L, et al. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. *Nat Genet* (2001) 27(1):20–1. doi: 10.1038/83713

6. Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, Ramsdell F. An essential role for scurfin in CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. *Nat Immunol* (2003) 4(4):337-42. doi: 10.1038/ ni909

7. Williams LM, Rudensky AY. Maintenance of the Foxp3-dependent developmental program in mature regulatory T cells requires continued expression of Foxp3. *Nat Immunol* (2007) 8(3):277-84. doi: 10.1038/ ni1437

8. Floess S, Freyer J, Siewert C, Baron U, Olek S, Polansky J, et al. Epigenetic control of the foxp3 locus in regulatory T cells. *PloS Biol* (2007) 5(2):e38. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050038

9. Huehn J, Polansky JK, Hamann A. Epigenetic control of FOXP3 expression: the key to a stable regulatory T-cell lineage? *Nat Rev Immunol* (2009) 9(2):83–9. doi: 10.1038/nri2474

10. Sugimoto N, Oida T, Hirota K, Nakamura K, Nomura T, Uchiyama T, et al. Foxp3-dependent and -independent molecules specific for CD25+CD4+ natural regulatory T cells revealed by DNA microarray analysis. *Int Immunol* (2006) 18 (8):1197–209. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxl060

11. Hill JA, Feuerer M, Tash K, Haxhinasto S, Perez J, Melamed R, et al. Foxp3 transcription-factor-dependent and -independent regulation of the regulatory T

Funding

Supported by the German Research Foundation (Project Number 318346496, SFB1292/2 TP19).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

cell transcriptional signature. Immunity (2007) 27(5):786-800. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.09.010

12. Kim HP, Leonard WJ. CREB/ATF-dependent T cell receptor-induced FoxP3 gene expression: A role for DNA methylation. *J Exp Med* (2007) 204 (7):1543–51. doi: 10.1084/jem.20070109

13. Ohkura N, Hamaguchi M, Morikawa H, Sugimura K, Tanaka A, Ito Y, et al. T Cell receptor stimulation-induced epigenetic changes and Foxp3 expression are independent and complementary events required for treg cell development. *Immunity* (2012) 37(5):785–99. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.09.010

14. Toker A, Engelbert D, Garg G, Polansky JK, Floess S, Miyao T, et al. Active demethylation of the Foxp3 locus leads to the generation of stable regulatory T cells within the thymus. *J Immunol* (2013) 190(7):3180–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1203473

15. Panduro M, Benoist C, Mathis D. Tissue tregs. Annu Rev Immunol (2016) 34:609–33. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095948

16. Malko D, Elmzzahi T, Beyer M. Implications of regulatory T cells in nonlymphoid tissue physiology and pathophysiology. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:954798. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.954798

17. Cipolletta D, Feuerer M, Li A, Kamei N, Lee J, Shoelson SE, et al. PPARgamma is a major driver of the accumulation and phenotype of adipose tissue treg cells. *Nature* (2012) 486(7404):549–53. doi: 10.1038/nature11132

18. Feuerer M, Herrero L, Cipolletta D, Naaz A, Wong J, Nayer A, et al. Lean, but not obese, fat is enriched for a unique population of regulatory T cells that affect metabolic parameters. *Nat Med* (2009) 15(8):930–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.2002

19. Vasanthakumar A, Moro K, Xin A, Liao Y, Gloury R, Kawamoto S, et al. The transcriptional regulators IRF4, BATF and IL-33 orchestrate development and maintenance of adipose tissue-resident regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16 (3):276–85. doi: 10.1038/ni.3085

20. Ali N, Zirak B, Rodriguez RS, Pauli ML, Truong HA, Lai K, et al. Regulatory T cells in skin facilitate epithelial stem cell differentiation. *Cell* (2017) 169(6):1119–29.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.002

21. Petukhova L, Duvic M, Hordinsky M, Norris D, Price V, Shimomura Y, et al. Genome-wide association study in alopecia areata implicates both innate and adaptive immunity. *Nature* (2010) 466(7302):113–7. doi: 10.1038/nature09114

22. Shin BS, Furuhashi T, Nakamura M, Torii K, Morita A. Impaired inhibitory function of circulating CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in alopecia areata. *J Dermatol Sci* (2013) 70(2):141–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jdermsci.2013.01.006

23. Mathur AN, Zirak B, Boothby IC, Tan M, Cohen JN, Mauro TM, et al. Tregcell control of a CXCL5-IL-17 inflammatory axis promotes hair-Follicle-Stem-Cell differentiation during skin-barrier repair. *Immunity* (2019) 50(3):655–67.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.02.013

24. Nosbaum A, Prevel N, Truong HA, Mehta P, Ettinger M, Scharschmidt TC, et al. Cutting edge: Regulatory T cells facilitate cutaneous wound healing. *J Immunol* (2016) 196(5):2010–4. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1502139

25. Shime H, Odanaka M, Tsuiji M, Matoba T, Imai M, Yasumizu Y, et al. Proenkephalin(+) regulatory T cells expanded by ultraviolet b exposure maintain skin homeostasis with a healing function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2020) 117 (34):20696–705. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2000372117

26. Tan W, Zhang B, Liu X, Zhang C, Liu J, Miao Q. Interleukin-33-Dependent accumulation of regulatory T cells mediates pulmonary epithelial regeneration during acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:653803. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.653803

27. Guo XJ, Dash P, Crawford JC, Allen EK, Zamora AE, Boyd DF, et al. Lung gammadelta T cells mediate protective responses during neonatal influenza infection that are associated with type 2 immunity. *Immunity* (2018) 49(3):531–44.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.07.011

28. Dial CF, Tune MK, Doerschuk CM, Mock JR. Foxp3(+) regulatory T cell expression of keratinocyte growth factor enhances lung epithelial proliferation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* (2017) 57(2):162–73. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2017-0019OC

29. D'Alessio FR, Tsushima K, Aggarwal NR, West EE, Willett MH, Britos MF, et al. CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ tregs resolve experimental lung injury in mice and are present in humans with acute lung injury. *J Clin Invest* (2009) 119(10):2898–913. doi: 10.1172/JCI36498

30. Liu Q, Dwyer GK, Zhao Y, Li H, Mathews LR, Chakka AB, et al. IL-33mediated IL-13 secretion by ST2+ tregs controls inflammation after lung injury. *JCI Insight* (2019) 4(6):e123919. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.123919

31. Mock JR, Garibaldi BT, Aggarwal NR, Jenkins J, Limjunyawong N, Singer BD, et al. Foxp3+ regulatory T cells promote lung epithelial proliferation. *Mucosal Immunol* (2014) 7(6):1440–51. doi: 10.1038/mi.2014.33

32. Garibaldi BT, D'Alessio FR, Mock JR, Files DC, Chau E, Eto Y, et al. Regulatory T cells reduce acute lung injury fibroproliferation by decreasing fibrocyte recruitment. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* (2013) 48(1):35–43. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2012-0198OC

33. Arpaia N, Green JA, Moltedo B, Arvey A, Hemmers S, Yuan S, et al. A distinct function of regulatory T cells in tissue protection. *Cell* (2015) 162(5):1078–89. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.021

34. Faustino LD, Griffith JW, Rahimi RA, Nepal K, Hamilos DL, Cho JL, et al. Interleukin-33 activates regulatory T cells to suppress innate gammadelta T cell responses in the lung. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21(11):1371–83. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0785-3

35. Santamaria E, Rodriguez-Ortigosa CM, Uriarte I, Latasa MU, Urtasun R, Alvarez-Sola G, et al. The epidermal growth factor receptor ligand amphiregulin protects from cholestatic liver injury and regulates bile acids synthesis. *Hepatology* (2019) 69(4):1632–47. doi: 10.1002/hep.30348

36. Sakai R, Ito M, Komai K, Iizuka-Koga M, Matsuo K, Nakayama T, et al. Kidney GATA3(+) regulatory T cells play roles in the convalescence stage after antibody-mediated renal injury. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18(5):1249–61. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-00547-x

37. Burzyn D, Kuswanto W, Kolodin D, Shadrach JL, Cerletti M, Jang Y, et al. A special population of regulatory T cells potentiates muscle repair. *Cell* (2013) 155 (6):1282–95. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.054

38. Castiglioni A, Corna G, Rigamonti E, Basso V, Vezzoli M, Monno A, et al. FOXP3+ T cells recruited to sites of sterile skeletal muscle injury regulate the fate of satellite cells and guide effective tissue regeneration. *PloS One* (2015) 10(6): e0128094. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128094

39. Kuswanto W, Burzyn D, Panduro M, Wang KK, Jang YC, Wagers AJ, et al. Poor repair of skeletal muscle in aging mice reflects a defect in local, interleukin-33-Dependent accumulation of regulatory T cells. *Immunity* (2016) 44(2):355–67. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.01.009

40. Xia N, Lu Y, Gu M, Li N, Liu M, Jiao J, et al. A unique population of regulatory T cells in heart potentiates cardiac protection from myocardial infarction. *Circulation* (2020) 142(20):1956-73. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.046789

41. Weirather J, Hofmann UD, Beyersdorf N, Ramos GC, Vogel B, Frey A, et al. Foxp3+ CD4+ T cells improve healing after myocardial infarction by modulating monocyte/macrophage differentiation. *Circ Res* (2014) 115(1):55–67. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.115.303895

42. Liesz A, Suri-Payer E, Veltkamp C, Doerr H, Sommer C, Rivest S, et al. Regulatory T cells are key cerebroprotective immunomodulators in acute experimental stroke. *Nat Med* (2009) 15(2):192–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.1927 43. Ito M, Komai K, Mise-Omata S, Iizuka-Koga M, Noguchi Y, Kondo T, et al. Brain regulatory T cells suppress astrogliosis and potentiate neurological recovery. *Nature* (2019) 565(7738):246–50. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0824-5

44. Gadani SP, Walsh JT, Smirnov I, Zheng J, Kipnis J. The glia-derived alarmin IL-33 orchestrates the immune response and promotes recovery following CNS injury. *Neuron* (2015) 85(4):703–9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.01.013

45. Korn T, Reddy J, Gao W, Bettelli E, Awasthi A, Petersen TR, et al. Myelinspecific regulatory T cells accumulate in the CNS but fail to control autoimmune inflammation. *Nat Med* (2007) 13(4):423–31. doi: 10.1038/nm1564

46. Dombrowski Y, O'Hagan T, Dittmer M, Penalva R, Mayoral SR, Bankhead P, et al. Regulatory T cells promote myelin regeneration in the central nervous system. *Nat Neurosci* (2017) 20(5):674–80. doi: 10.1038/nn.4528

47. Hemmers S, Schizas M, Rudensky AY. T Reg cell-intrinsic requirements for ST2 signaling in health and neuroinflammation. *J Exp Med* (2021) 218(2): e20201234. doi: 10.1084/jem.20201234

48. Leung OM, Li J, Li X, Chan VW, Yang KY, Ku M, et al. Regulatory T cells promote apelin-mediated sprouting angiogenesis in type 2 diabetes. *Cell Rep* (2018) 24(6):1610–26. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.019

49. Shahneh F, Grill A, Klein M, Frauhammer F, Bopp T, Schafer K, et al. Specialized regulatory T cells control venous blood clot resolution through SPARC. *Blood* (2021) 137(11):1517–26. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020005407

50. Klein L, Robey EA, Hsieh CS. Central CD4(+) T cell tolerance: deletion versus regulatory T cell differentiation. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2019) 19(1):7–18. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0083-6

51. Burchill MA, Yang J, Vang KB, Moon JJ, Chu HH, Lio CW, et al. Linked T cell receptor and cytokine signaling govern the development of the regulatory T cell repertoire. *Immunity* (2008) 28(1):112–21. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.11.022

52. Lio CW, Hsieh CS. A two-step process for thymic regulatory T cell development. *Immunity* (2008) 28(1):100-11. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.11.021

53. Schuster M, Glauben R, Plaza-Sirvent C, Schreiber L, Annemann M, Floess S, et al. IkappaB(NS) protein mediates regulatory T cell development *via* induction of the Foxp3 transcription factor. *Immunity* (2012) 37(6):998–1008. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.023

54. Tai X, Erman B, Alag A, Mu J, Kimura M, Katz G, et al. Foxp3 transcription factor is proapoptotic and lethal to developing regulatory T cells unless counterbalanced by cytokine survival signals. *Immunity* (2013) 38(6):1116–28. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.02.022

55. Schuster M, Plaza-Sirvent C, Visekruna A, Huehn J, Schmitz I. Generation of Foxp3(+)CD25(-) regulatory T-cell precursors requires c-rel and IkappaBNS. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:1583. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01583

56. Apert C, Galindo-Albarran AO, Castan S, Detraves C, Michaud H, McJannett N, et al. IL-2 and IL-15 drive intrathymic development of distinct periphery-seeding CD4(+)Foxp3(+) regulatory T lymphocytes. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:965303. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.965303

57. Owen DL, Mahmud SA, Sjaastad LE, Williams JB, Spanier JA, Simeonov DR, et al. Thymic regulatory T cells arise *via* two distinct developmental programs. *Nat Immunol* (2019) 20(2):195–205. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0289-6

58. Herppich S, Toker A, Pietzsch B, Kitagawa Y, Ohkura N, Miyao T, et al. Dynamic imprinting of the treg cell-specific epigenetic signature in developing thymic regulatory T cells. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:2382. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02382

59. Vanhanen R, Leskinen K, Mattila IP, Saavalainen P, Arstila TP. Epigenetic and transcriptional analysis supports human regulatory T cell commitment at the CD4+CD8+ thymocyte stage. *Cell Immunol* (2020) 347:104026. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2019.104026

60. Yue X, Trifari S, Aijo T, Tsagaratou A, Pastor WA, Zepeda-Martinez JA, et al. Control of Foxp3 stability through modulation of TET activity. *J Exp Med* (2016) 213(3):377–97. doi: 10.1084/jem.20151438

61. Garg G, Nikolouli E, Hardtke-Wolenski M, Toker A, Ohkura N, Beckstette M, et al. Unique properties of thymic antigen-presenting cells promote epigenetic imprinting of alloantigen-specific regulatory T cells. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8 (22):35542–57. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16221

62. Sasidharan Nair V, Song MH, Oh KI. Vitamin c facilitates demethylation of the Foxp3 enhancer in a tet-dependent manner. *J Immunol* (2016) 196(5):2119–31. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1502352

63. Nair VS, Oh KI. Down-regulation of Tet2 prevents TSDR demethylation in IL2 deficient regulatory T cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2014) 450(1):918–24. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.06.110

64. Chorro L, Suzuki M, Chin SS, Williams TM, Snapp EL, Odagiu L, et al. Interleukin 2 modulates thymic-derived regulatory T cell epigenetic landscape. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):5368. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07806-6

65. Kitagawa Y, Ohkura N, Kidani Y, Vandenbon A, Hirota K, Kawakami R, et al. Guidance of regulatory T cell development by Satb1-dependent super-

enhancer establishment. Nat Immunol (2017) 18(2):173-83. doi: 10.1038/ ni.3646

66. Thiault N, Darrigues J, Adoue V, Gros M, Binet B, Perals C, et al. Peripheral regulatory T lymphocytes recirculating to the thymus suppress the development of their precursors. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16(6):628–34. doi: 10.1038/ni.3150

67. Nikolouli E, Elfaki Y, Herppich S, Schelmbauer C, Delacher M, Falk C, et al. Recirculating IL-1R2(+) tregs fine-tune intrathymic treg development under inflammatory conditions. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18(1):182–93. doi: 10.1038/ s41423-019-0352-8

68. Owen DL, La Rue RS, Munro SA, Farrar MA. Tracking regulatory T cell development in the thymus using single-cell RNA Sequencing/TCR sequencing. *J Immunol* (2022) 209(7):1300–13. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2200089

69. Huehn J, Siegmund K, Lehmann JC, Siewert C, Haubold U, Feuerer M, et al. Developmental stage, phenotype, and migration distinguish naive- and effector/ memory-like CD4+ regulatory T cells. *J Exp Med* (2004) 199(3):303–13. doi: 10.1084/jem.20031562

70. Siewert C, Menning A, Dudda J, Siegmund K, Lauer U, Floess S, et al. Induction of organ-selective CD4+ regulatory T cell homing. *Eur J Immunol* (2007) 37(4):978–89. doi: 10.1002/eji.200636575

71. Li C, DiSpirito JR, Zemmour D, Spallanzani RG, Kuswanto W, Benoist C, et al. TCR transgenic mice reveal stepwise, multi-site acquisition of the distinctive fat-treg phenotype. *Cell* (2018) 174(2):285–99.e12. doi: 10.1016/ j.cell.2018.05.004

72. Li C, Munoz-Rojas AR, Wang G, Mann AO, Benoist C, Mathis D. PPARgamma marks splenic precursors of multiple nonlymphoid-tissue treg compartments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2021) 118(13):e2025197118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2025197118

73. Miragaia RJ, Gomes T, Chomka A, Jardine L, Riedel A, Hegazy AN, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of regulatory T cells reveals trajectories of tissue adaptation. *Immunity* (2019) 50(2):493–504.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni. 2019.01.001

74. Delacher M, Imbusch CD, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Mallm JP, Bauer K, Simon M, et al. Precursors for nonlymphoid-tissue treg cells reside in secondary lymphoid organs and are programmed by the transcription factor BATF. *Immunity* (2020) 52 (2):295–312.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.12.002

75. DiSpirito JR, Zemmour D, Ramanan D, Cho J, Zilionis R, Klein AM, et al. Molecular diversification of regulatory T cells in nonlymphoid tissues. *Sci Immunol* (2018) 3(27):eaat5861. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aat5861

76. Delacher M, Imbusch CD, Weichenhan D, Breiling A, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Trager U, et al. Genome-wide DNA-methylation landscape defines specialization of regulatory T cells in tissues. *Nat Immunol* (2017) 18(10):1160–72. doi: 10.1038/ ni.3799

77. Delacher M, Schmidl C, Herzig Y, Breloer M, Hartmann W, Brunk F, et al. Rbpj expression in regulatory T cells is critical for restraining TH2 responses. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10(1):1621. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09276-w

78. Garg G, Muschaweckh A, Moreno H, Vasanthakumar A, Floess S, Lepennetier G, et al. Blimp1 prevents methylation of Foxp3 and loss of regulatory T cell identity at sites of inflammation. *Cell Rep* (2019) 26(7):1854–68.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.070

79. Schmidl C, Delacher M, Huehn J, Feuerer M. Epigenetic mechanisms regulating T-cell responses. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2018) 142(3):728–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.07.014

80. Baron U, Floess S, Wieczorek G, Baumann K, Grutzkau A, Dong J, et al. DNA Demethylation in the human FOXP3 locus discriminates regulatory T cells from activated FOXP3(+) conventional T cells. *Eur J Immunol* (2007) 37(9):2378–89. doi: 10.1002/eji.200737594

81. Ohkura N, Yasumizu Y, Kitagawa Y, Tanaka A, Nakamura Y, Motooka D, et al. Regulatory T cell-specific epigenomic region variants are a key determinant of susceptibility to common autoimmune diseases. *Immunity* (2020) 52(6):1119–32.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.04.006

82. Delacher M, Simon M, Sanderink L, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Wuttke M, Schambeck K, et al. Single-cell chromatin accessibility landscape identifies tissue repair program in human regulatory T cells. *Immunity* (2021) 54(4):702–20.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.03.007

83. Itahashi K, Irie T, Yuda J, Kumagai S, Tanegashima T, Lin YT, et al. BATF epigenetically and transcriptionally controls the activation program of regulatory T cells in human tumors. *Sci Immunol* (2022) 7(76):eabk0957. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abk0957

84. Rudra D, deRoos P, Chaudhry A, Niec RE, Arvey A, Samstein RM, et al. Transcription factor Foxp3 and its protein partners form a complex regulatory network. *Nat Immunol* (2012) 13(10):1010–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.2402

85. Yang S, Fujikado N, Kolodin D, Benoist C, Mathis D. Immune tolerance. regulatory T cells generated early in life play a distinct role in maintaining self-tolerance. *Science* (2015) 348(6234):589–94. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa7017

86. Yang J, Zou M, Chu X, Floess S, Li Y, Delacher M, et al. Inflammatory perturbations in early life long-lastingly shape the transcriptome and TCR repertoire of the first wave of regulatory T cells. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:991671. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.991671

87. Hefazi M, Bolivar-Wagers S, Blazar BR. Regulatory T cell therapy of graftversus-Host disease: Advances and challenges. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(18):9676. doi: 10.3390/ijms22189676

88. Juneja T, Kazmi M, Mellace M, Saidi RF. Utilization of treg cells in solid organ transplantation. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:746889. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2022.746889