Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bin Zhao, National Clinical Research Center for Metabolic Diseases, Central South University, China

REVIEWED BY Jia Nie, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Hongqin You, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jiajia Han hanjiajia_1@163.com Dunfang Zhang izdf@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 30 September 2022 ACCEPTED 24 November 2022 PUBLISHED 07 December 2022

CITATION

Gui Y, Cheng H, Zhou J, Xu H, Han J and Zhang D (2022) Development and function of natural TCR⁺ CD8αα⁺ intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1059042. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1059042

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Gui, Cheng, Zhou, Xu, Han and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Development and function of natural TCR⁺ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ intraepithelial lymphocytes

Yuanyuan Gui¹, Hao Cheng¹, Jingyang Zhou¹, Hao Xu², Jiajia Han^{3*} and Dunfang Zhang^{1*}

¹Department of Biotherapy, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy and Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ²State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³Precision Research Center for Refractory Diseases, Institute for Clinical Research, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University of Medicine, Shanghai, China

The complexity of intestinal homeostasis results from the ability of the intestinal epithelium to absorb nutrients, harbor multiple external and internal antigens, and accommodate diverse immune cells. Intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) are a unique cell population embedded within the intestinal epithelial layer, contributing to the formation of the mucosal epithelial barrier and serving as a first-line defense against microbial invasion. TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ $CD8\alpha\beta^{-}$ and $TCR\gamma\delta^{+}$ $CD4^{-}$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^{+}$ $CD8\alpha\beta^{-}$ IELs are the two predominant subsets of natural IELs. These cells play an essential role in various intestinal diseases, such as infections and inflammatory diseases, and act as immune regulators in the gut. However, their developmental and functional patterns are extremely distinct, and the mechanisms underlying their development and migration to the intestine are not fully understood. One example is that Bcl-2 promotes the survival of thymic precursors of IELs. Mature $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ CD4⁻ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ $CD8\alpha\beta^-$ IELs seem to be involved in immune regulation, while TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ CD8 $\alpha\beta^-$ IELs might be involved in immune surveillance by promoting homeostasis of host microbiota, protecting and restoring the integrity of mucosal epithelium, inhibiting microbiota invasion, and limiting excessive inflammation. In this review, we elucidated and organized effectively the functions and development of these cells to guide future studies in this field. We also discussed key scientific questions that need to be addressed in this area.

KEYWORDS

intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs), CD8 $\alpha\alpha^{+}$, intraepithelial lymphocytes precursors (IELps), thymus, TCR $\alpha\beta^{+}$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^{+}$ IELs, TCR $\gamma\delta^{+}$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^{+}$ IELs

Introduction

Intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) are embedded within the intestinal epithelial layer of many species, including fish, pigs, mice, and humans (1, 2), although their quantity and distribution varies among species (3). These cells were initially described in 1847 as round cells within the epithelium of the small intestine and were defined as

nutrition-absorbing cells (4). Later research suggested that they are predominantly composed of T cells and play a role in dealing with antigens from the intestinal lumen (4, 5). IELs were previously divided into conventional and unconventional subsets, with the former originating from $CD4^+$ or T cell receptor (TCR) $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$ T cells and migrating from peripheral lymphoid tissues, and the latter arising from CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\beta^-$ double-negative cells and migrating from the thymus (5). Further studies have identified several subsets of TCR-negative cells and revealed that IELs are a heterogeneous cell population that contains diverse TCR-positive and TCR-negative subsets (6).

TCR⁻IELs have been classified in recent years, including innate lymphoid (ILC)-like cells, iCD8 α cells, and other iCD3⁺ cells (iCD8 α cells are a special subtype of iCD3⁺ cells that express CD8 α homodimers) (6–9). TCR⁺ IELs are classified as induced and natural IELs. Induced IELs are mostly either CD4⁺ or CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$, with a minority of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ (6, 10); natural TCR⁺ IELs comprise TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ T cells along with CD8 α homodimers, instead of CD4 or CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$ (10). TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\beta^-$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ (hereafter called TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs) and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\beta^-$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ (hereafter called TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs) cells are two subtypes of natural IELs that decrease with age, also named natural CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ IELs, because CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ is regarded as their hallmark (11).

Substantial evidence indicates that CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ IELs share specific phenotypes, developmental pathways, migration patterns, gene profiles, and functions with other IELs subsets. Although the two CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ IELs subsets share multiple characteristics, and thus, can sometimes be classified into the same population, several significant differences were observed. To the best of our knowledge, TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are the two major cell populations within the intestinal epithelium and account for the majority of IELs. Recent studies have also partly uncovered their role in immune surveillance, immune response, mucosal epithelial protection and restoration, immune homeostasis, systemic metabolism, and immune regulation in the local environment of the intestine. This review focuses on TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs and aims to reveal the unique pathways of their development and functional characteristics.

Classification of IELs

TCR- IELs

TCR⁺ IELs have been investigated for several decades; nevertheless, TCR⁻ IELs have been recently discovered and shown to comprise several cellular subsets (Figure 1). NKp44⁺ CD103⁺ ILC1 populations that express CD160 and CD101 (markers of intraepithelial lymphocyte) are embedded not only within the intestinal epithelium of humans but their counterparts have been identified in mice as cell populations expressing CD160, NKp46, and NK1.1 (8). In addition, partial CD3⁻ IELs express CD56, NKp44, IL-23R, RORγt, and gut-homing chemokine receptor CCR6, thus displaying the characteristics of three cell subsets: NK cells, ILC1, and ILC3 (12). In a subsequent study, a more comprehensive strategy for characterizing ILC was established by suggesting that these are closely associated with NK cells and are described as ILC-like cells (13).

In addition to ILC-like subsets, other special cell populations of TCR⁻ IELs have been recently identified: iCD3⁺ and iCD8α⁺ populations. iCD8α cells comprises a new innate TCR⁻ IELs population expressing CD8α as homodimers and was discovered in both humans and mice (9). Similar to TCRαβ⁺ CD8αα⁺ IELs and TCR γδ⁺ IELs, the development of iCD8α cells also requires IL-15 and E8_I enhancers (9). Another subset of TCR⁻ -IELs was further identified to reside in both humans and mice. These cells display hybrid characteristics of ILCs and T cells, express intracellular CD3, and are named iCD3 cells (7),. This evidence suggests that iCD8α cells might belong to the group of iCD3 cells (7).

TCR⁺ IELs

TCR⁺ IELs are a well-characterized population of cells (6) and include diverse TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ cells (Figure 1). They can be classified into induced and natural IELs based on different developmental origins and phenotypes (14). Induced IELs primarily express CD4 or CD8\alpha\beta, derive from conventional TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ T cells of peripheral lymphoid tissues, and include $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD4^+$, $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD8\alpha\beta^+$, $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD4^+$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$, and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (5, 6). In contrast to induced IELs, natural IELs comprise TCR $\alpha\beta^+$, TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$, and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ cells, and originate from TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\beta^-$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD4⁻ CD8 $\alpha\beta^$ double-negative cells, respectively. The latter are able to migrate to the intestinal epithelium after undergoing thymic development and subsequently acquire the CD8aa phenotype (5). Furthermore, TCR⁻IELs belong to natural IELs. In addition to distinct developmental pathways, induced IELs are absent at birth and increase with age, while natural IELs are present at birth and decrease with age (5, 6). This suggests that the reduction in natural IELs may be due to an increase in induced IELs. $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ and $TCR\gamma\delta^+$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are two important subsets of TCR⁺ IELs, which comprise a large proportion of IELs and play critical roles in the intestinal immune response and tolerance.

Development of natural CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs

$TCR\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs

 $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are first identified in mice and the existence of them in humans remains controversial (4). Some studies suggested that this population is present in gestation and



as induced TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD 4^+ CD $8\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD $8\alpha\alpha^+$ cells. TCR $\alpha\beta^+$, TCR α^+

rare in adult humans (4, 6). This group of cells are one of the predominant populations in diverse IELs subsets. Nonetheless, TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs have a contentious origin. It was initially thought that development and differentiation occur in the thymus, but further studies reported the presence of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs in irradiated, neonatally thymectomized, and athymic mice, thus suggesting that not all IEL populations are developed by a functional thymus (15). In subsequent studies, some researchers proposed that TCR $\alpha\beta$ + CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ + IELs are generated independently of the thymus, whereas the generation of other subsets of IELs, including CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$ and CD4⁺CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$, is thymus-dependent (16). Meanwhile, precursors of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are present in the gut, making some researchers believe that the development and differentiation of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs occur in the intestinal region (17). In subsequent studies on the identification of iCD8 a IELs, the hypothesis that the precursors of conventional IELs were TCR⁻ CD8 α^+ cells in the intestinal epithelia, was controversial. Furthermore, substantial evidence has indicated that both TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCRy δ^+ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs originate from thymic cells, suggesting that the potential precursors reside in doublenegative thymocytes. Meanwhile, athymic mice had a lower

number of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs which could be restored after transplanting the fetal thymus, confirming that the majority of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs arose from the thymus, while the extrathymic pathway may also provide such cells in adults (Figure 2) (18–20).

Until now, thymus-dependent development of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs was mostly agreed upon, as the thymus is an important organ for self-antigen recognition and selection of T cells. After induction by TCRB, pre-TCR-CD3 signaling, and other signaling molecules, a small fraction of $CD4^+$ $CD8\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ thymocytes (i.e., TP cells), were the post-selection precursors of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (21), which retained the expression of CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ at the stage of positive selection (21). The noncoding region of Cd8 gene, E81, as well as the combination of $E8_{\rm I}$ and $E8_{\rm II}$ (both CD8 α enhancers) are also involved in the expression of CD8aa and the suppression of the expression of CD8 $\alpha\beta$ in immature thymocytes (22–24). Recently, the specific precursors of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs have been identified. Two subsets of precursors of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (hereafter called IELps) were identified from the TCR β^+ CD5⁺ CD122⁺ H-2Kb⁺ CD4⁻ CD8⁻ thymocytes: PD-1⁺ T-bet⁻ cells (hereafter called PD-1⁺ IELps) and T-bet⁺ PD-1⁻ cells (hereafter called T-bet⁺ IELps)



FIGURE 2

The development, migration, maintenance, and proliferation of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs. Both types arise from thymic IELps. TP cells become DN cells by regulation from E8I, E8II, IL-15, Bcl-2, and RasGRP1. E8I and E8II can suppress the expression of CD8αβ. RasGRP1 contributes to the transmission of weak TCR signals in the process of selection. Besides, c-Myc controls the development of $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELps via IL-15 and Bcl-2. After agonist selection, pre-mature TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELps further develop with the help of T-bet, TGF-B, and PD-1. Mature TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELps migrate to the intestine directly with the help of S1PR1, $\alpha4\beta7$, CD103, and CCR9. Besides these molecules, TCRγδ⁺ CD8αα⁺ IELps also require GPCR18 and GPCR55 for localization and regulation of their accumulation. After IELps arrive in the intestine, the expression of CD5 and CD90 is downregulated, while the expression of T-bet is upregulated, exhibiting the phenotype of CD8aa. Meanwhile, the crosstalk between commensal bacteria, IECs, and CD8αα IELs contributes to the maintenance and proliferation of CD8αα cells. via NOD2 signaling, TLRs signaling, RIG-I signaling, IL-15, and other signaling pathways. In addition, BTNL1, BTLN3, BTNL6 and BTNL8 could promote the maturation and expansion of $\gamma\delta$ IELs.

(25). PD-1⁺ IELps are localized in the cortex and restricted by classical major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules. They are nascent and self-reactive, whereas T-bet⁺ IELps are located in the medulla and restricted by non-classical MHC I molecules, and their number increases with age (25). Meanwhile, only T-bet⁺ IELps expressed the memory marker CD44 and chemokine receptor CXCR3, while neither PD-1⁺ IELps nor Tbet⁺ IELps expressed CCR7 (25). Although two kinds of IELps could give rise to TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, evidence indicates that T-bet⁺ IELps are preferentially retained in the thymus, and PD-1⁺ IELps are the main precursors of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (25). In a subsequent study, CD122⁺ PD-1⁺ $\alpha 4\beta 7^+$ CD103⁻ IELps and CD122⁺ PD-1⁻ $\alpha_4\beta_7^-$ CD103+ IELps were identified, and it was proposed that the former subset was congruent with PD-1⁺ IELps, whereas the latter was represented by T-bet⁺ IELps (26). This further proves the presence of two types of thymic IELps. In a recent study, researchers found a group of killer innate-like T cells (ILTCks) could mediate cancer immunity, whereas showed αβILTCk-TCR expressing thymocytes co-expressed PD-1 and CD122, which is similar to IELps, revealed the $\alpha\beta$ ILTCk-TCR thymocytes could also differentiate into IELs (27).

Furthermore, IL-15 might participate in the differentiation of TP precursors (21). The maturation of IELps is accompanied by the upregulation of MHC class I molecules H-2Kb and CD122 (25, 28). Jiang et al. proposed that c-Myc regulates the development of IELps via IL-15- and Bcl-2-dependent survival (29). Agonist selection and IL-15 receptor signaling can induce T-bet expression, indicating that T-bet, TGF-β, and PD-1 are all involved in the development of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (Figure 2) (25, 30, 31). The development of thymic

IELps does not depend on IL-15 (25, 32). Although researchers have defined several characteristics of IELps, their maturation, localization, and emigration patterns are still not fully understood.

The development of different T cell lineages requires TCR signals. Similar to regulatory T cells, $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are self-reactive and require exposure to self-agonists in the thymus (26, 33). PD-1⁺ IELps express PD-1, CD69, Nur77, and Egr2, display signs of elevated TCR signaling (34), and are capable of selfreactivity after undergoing positive agonist selection (35, 36). However, the high affinity of TCRs for self-antigens or MHC is removed to maintain self-tolerance. The number of PD-1⁺ IELps increased in Bim-deficient mice, suggesting that IELps may also be produced by clonal deletion (37). However, the mechanism by which IELps escape deletions is not fully understood. Some DP thymocytes survive by downregulating the expression of $CD8\beta$ and upregulating the expression of CD8 $\alpha\alpha$, CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ cells, which would also activate an altered gene expression program (21, 38-41). These results indicate a possible mechanism by which IELps survive. Furthermore, RAS Guanyl Releasing Protein 1 (RasGRP1), a Ras activator required to transmit weak TCR signals, is also an essential molecule for the survival of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELps during agonist selection (26). In addition, CD28-deficient mice have more PD-1⁺ IELps (25), and PD-1 can inactivate CD28 signaling (42), suggesting that PD-1 and CD28 may play roles in the survival and differentiation of IELps. Meanwhile, the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 promotes the survival of IELps and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs by antagonizing Bim (43).

Although recent evidence has shed light on the development of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, the different signals, gene programs,

and molecules involved in the development of these cells are not fully understood.

TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells reside in various organs such as the intestine, skin, vagina, gingiva, uterus, and tongue (44–48). Meanwhile, more $\gamma\delta$ T cells reside in the intestinal intraepithelial tissue than in other tissues. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are present in both humans and mice. In humans, only 13% of IELs are $\gamma\delta$ T cells (49), whereas in mice, the proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells is around 50-60% (6, 10, 49, 50). Most $\gamma\delta$ IELs expressed CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ homodimers (hereafter TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs referred to both TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs).

The TCR specificity of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs is unknown, but seems similar to that of conventional peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cells (6). Comparable to TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, the origin and development of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs have been controversial (Figure 2). Previous studies indicated that they developed in the absence of the thymus, while others proposed they originate from the thymus. Although the thymic precursors and development of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs remain poorly understood, their development and differentiation are very similar to those of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, for example, in terms of the expression of CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ as well as the suppression of CD8β. Additionally, they may require the same molecules and programs to develop, differentiate, and survive. Nonetheless, in contrast to TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, the repertoire and development of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs seemed to be unaffected by MHC antigens and RasGRP1 (26), and were independent of microbial and food antigens (51).

Butyrophilin-like proteins (BTNL; members of the B7 superfamily of costimulatory receptors) are expected to act as co-stimulators of IEL receptors. However, the functions of BTNL members have not yet been elucidated. BTNL1, BTNL3, BTNL6, BTNL8, BTN3A1, BTN3A2, and Skint1 are involved in the regulation of TCR $\gamma\delta$ $^+$ cells, with BTNL1, BTNL4, and BTNL6 being widely expressed in the mouse gut (52). The number of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs is reduced in Btnl1^{-/-} mice, suggesting that BTNL1 expressed by the epithelial cells of small intestinal villi, promotes the maturation and expansion of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs (51). In addition, BTNL1 together with BTNL6 can induce TCRdependent stimulation of $\gamma \delta^+$ T cells (51). Further experiments confirmed that BTNL6 and BTNL1 are required for the development of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs (53). Additionally, BTNL3 and BTNL8 expressed in the human gut epithelium can regulate the development of TCR V_γ4 (51). Furthermore, Skint, a Btnl gene expressed by thymic epithelial cells and suprabasal keratinocytes, drives the maturation of progenitors of dendritic epidermal T cells (DETCs) (54, 55), suggesting that this gene may also facilitate the maturation of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs. However, this is debatable, because Skint genes are only expressed in $\gamma\delta$ T cells residing in the skin and thymus (55). Collectively, these results

suggest that intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) may facilitate the development and function of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs.

Migration and maintenance of natural CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs

Conventional T cells arise from lymphoid precursors, which are derived from pluripotent stem cells in the marrow and migrate to the thymus. In the thymus, within the cortex, T cell progenitors undergo positive selection and migrate to the medulla for further differentiation, selection, and maturation, which imply a delicate regulatory program. For example, the expression of CCR7 is upregulated to facilitate migration. In addition, TGF-B-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) facilitates the functional maturation of T cells, and NF-KB signaling is required for cell proliferation and egress (56, 57). After acquiring the competence to proliferate and migrate, T cells move from the perivascular spaces into the vasculature in response to sphingosine-1 phosphate binding to sphingosine-1 phosphate receptor 1 (S1PR1; G-protein-coupled receptor) (58-63). Like conventional T cells, IELps also express S1PR1, indicating that they may employ a similar mechanism of egress from the thymus (Figure 2). Mature IELps express S1PR1 (59, 62, 63), confirming the hypothesis that IELps depend on S1PR1 to enable thymic egress (64). After migrating from thymus to vasculature, lymphocytes roll alone the endothelial cells, then adhere to them and migrate across the endothelium to emigrate from the vasculature into tissues (65). Previous studies exhibited that $\alpha_4\beta_7$ is a receptor to MAdCAM-1, while MAdCAM-1 is expressed by mucosal venules to help lymphocyte traffic into Peyer's patches and the intestinal lamina propria (LP), suggested $\alpha_4\beta_7$ mediates the adherence of IELs to intestinal epithelial (65-67). Integrins $\alpha_4\beta_7$ and $\alpha_E\beta_7$ (i.e., CD103, a hallmark of tissue-resident T cells), CD122, CD160, and 2B4 are common molecules associated with gut-homing and retention of cells (48, 66, 68–71); the expressions of $\alpha_4\beta_7$, CD103, and CCR9 direct competent IELps migrate, entry and firmly attach to the gut epithelium (Figure 2) (14, 25, 30, 72, 73). Meanwhile, recent study showed that transcription factor LRF could promote the expression of integrin $\alpha 4\beta 7$, control the late differentiation and facilitate the gut-homing process of CD800 IELp (74). Meanwhile, mice lacking the vitamin D receptor showed low expression of CCR9 (75), indicating that vitamin D is also a factor affecting the migration of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs. Furthermore, orphan receptor G protein-coupled receptor 18 (GPCR18) is required for the localization of CD8aa IELs, especially TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (Figure 2) (76). GPCR 55 negatively regulates the accumulation of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ cells (Figure 2) (77).

During the agonist-selection process, TP cells express high levels of CD5 and CD90, indicating that these cells receive high TCR activation signals and then become DN $\alpha\beta$ T cells (30).

After CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs arrive in the gut, the expression of CD5 and CD90 is downregulated and the expression of CD103 and CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ is upregulated, and CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs become resident cells (Figure 2) (21, 30, 78). Meanwhile, CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs also upregulate the expression of T-bet, which could induce the expression of CD8 $\alpha\alpha$ homodimers (Figure 2) (30). IL-15 is a critical molecule that mediates the expression of T-bet and CD5, and there is evidence that IL-15 is involved in the maintenance and expansion of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs instead of their induction (Figure 2) (21).

The development, survival, and maintenance of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs is affected by diverse molecules and factors (Figure 2). Exposure to external food antigens or pathogens and different gut environments can shape and maintain CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs. Gut bacteria can shape the differentiation of diverse T cells (79–84). Cervantes-Barragan et al. showed that *Lactobacillus reuteri* (L. reuteri) produced indole derivatives of tryptophan which activate the aryl hydrocarbon receptor, allowing downregulation of the expression of T-helper-inducing POZ/ Krueppel-like factor (ThPOK), which is implicated in the differentiation of CD4⁺ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ double-positive IELs (DP IELs) (85). This result suggests that ThPOK plays a role in regulating the expression of CD8 α and that microbial factors or specific diets could promote the differentiation and maintenance of IELs.

NOD2 signaling helps maintaining the homeostasis of CD8aa+ IELs via the recognition of gut microbiota and IL-15 production (86). This further demonstrates that the gut microbiota promotes the retention of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs. Meanwhile, Yu et al. suggested that MyD88-dependent signaling contributed to the maintenance of the number of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs via IL-15 production, which was influenced by the interaction between commensal bacteria and IECs via TLRs signaling (87). As c-Myc regulates the development of IELps via IL-15, and IL-15 mediates the expression of T-bet to induce the expression of CD8aa homodimers and help maintain the homeostasis through NOD2 and MyD88-dependent signaling, IL-15 is considered to be involved in the development and maintenance of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs. Meanwhile, as another study showed that IECs, macrophages and DCs in the intestine could express IL-15 (86), and enterocytes express BTNL1, BTNL3, BTNL6, and BTNL8 of the BTNL family to promote the expansion of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs (51), these results indicated that IECs and other cells in intestine may help the maintenance and expansion of $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ populations via expression of IL-15 and of BTNL molecules. Commensal viruses and retinoic acidinducible gene I (RIG-I) signaling are essential for the homeostasis of IELs (88). Furthermore, the thymus leukemia

antigen, which is confined to the surface of IECs, functions as an effective effector in modulating the IEL response (89). These results suggested that multiple cells and viruses in the intestine contribute to the survival and maintenance of $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs.

Konijnenburg et al. revealed that the dynamic localization and distribution, migration, scanning patterns, and energy utilization of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs are driven by microbial density through the sensing of IECs (3), which is a consequence of epithelial-immune crosstalk. In a subsequent study, Jia et al. identified commensal bacteria that contributed to $\gamma\delta$ IELs surveillance (90). Furthermore, the development and homeostasis of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs requires β 2m expression, not of classical class I molecules K and D (70). Moreover, a recent study indicated that the development and maintenance of CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs partly depend on low oxygenic conditions (91).

Function of various IELs in gut epithelium

The gut epithelium is a unique immunological compartment that is in contact with numerous external microorganisms and environmental antigens and as well as with the internal environment. The gut epithelium comprises a single layer of IECs, with diverse IELs embedded between these cells, and provides the first line of defense. This suggests that these cells may undertake potentially essential functions, despite the small total proportion of IELs. Considering this characteristic, the gut mucosal immune system requires a delicate program to respond to pathogens, while maintaining tolerance to innocuous antigens. In mice, studies showed that IELs increase in the late disease process of enteropathies such as CeD, graft vs. host disease, allograft rejection, autoimmune (4). In human, TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ $CD8\alpha\beta^+$ IELs and innate-like IEL lacking surface TCR expression were involved in the development of villous atrophy in patients with refractory CeD (4). CD8aa homodimers decreased antigen sensitivity of the TCR and acted as repressors to negatively regulate T cell activation (92). CD8αα IELs are related to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and infection and play a critical role in protection against pathogens, as well as in controlling bacterial overgrowth. This indicates their involvement in the promotion of mucosal defense and epithelial homeostasis (89, 93-96). Besides, recent study showed that integrin β7 deficiency protects mice from metabolic syndrome and against atherosclerosis, whereas IELs in the small intestine had the highest expression of β 7, revealed that β 7⁺ natural IELs could modulate systemic metabolism and accelerate the progression of cardiovascular disease (97). Although most of these functions are shared, the functions of the different subsets of IELs differ slightly (Figure 3).



Functions of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ IEL

The function of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs has not been completely elucidated. In general, IELs expressing TCRaß can respond to pathogens. Global analysis revealed that this population expressed NK receptor-related genes, such as Ly49A, Ly49C, and Ly49E of the Ly49 family, and genes that were expected to down-modulate their reactivity (70). These cells also express fibrinogen-like protein-2, TGF- β 3, LAG-3, and genes associated with corresponding inhibitory or activation functions, such as 2B4 (70). TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs and NK cells share similar characteristics, and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$, TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$, and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs have significantly different functions. TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs might have suppressive and regulatory roles. Besides, this cellular population prevents induced colitis, a role mediated by IL-10. This method of protection is unique and differs from that of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\beta^+$ IELs (70, 98). Collectively, these results indicate that $TCR\alpha\beta^+$ $CD8\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs contributes to the maintenance of intestinal immunity and immune regulation.

Functions of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IEL

TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs were scattered predominantly in the central and upper locations of the villi (3). Although TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ and TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs share similar developmental pathways and expression of specific genes, these subsets are significantly different. In contrast to TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, the TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ population did not show a significantly high expression of NK receptor-related genes or of the other genes mentioned previously (70).

Unlike $\alpha\beta$ T cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells commonly contribute to the maintenance and restoration of body-surface integrity. Boismenu et al. proposed that activated TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs produce keratinocyte growth factor (an epithelial cell growth factor belonging to the fibroblast growth factor family) and stimulate the differentiation, regeneration, and migration of epithelial cells, whereas TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ IELs do not (99). Furthermore, a substantial amount of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs was enriched around the injured region in dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced mouse colitis (100). TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs upregulated the expression of

cytoprotective factors such as heat shock proteins, chemokine KC, and ßig-h3 to promote keratinocyte proliferation and wound healing during DSS treatment (101). In addition, TCRy δ^+ IELs secrete TGF β 1, TGF β 3, and prothymosin β 4 which protect the intestinal epithelium (14). These studies further confirmed that TCR $\gamma \delta^+$ IELs resolved inflammatory lesions by secreting multiple factors. However, although studies have shown that TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs help maintain and restore the integrity of intestinal epithelia in IBD (100, 102), the function of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs in this pathology is not fully understood. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs also secrete proinflammatory factors which can induce or aggravate colitis (103, 104). Park et al. showed that activation of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs by commensal bacteria induces spontaneous colitis (105). Nevertheless, this also indicates that T regulatory cells could suppress TCRy8⁺ IELs via IL-10 to maintain intestinal homeostasis (105).

In addition, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs upregulated the expression of chemotactic molecules such as cytokines KC, IL-1β, MIP2α, and Cxc19, for various inflammatory cells, and the expression of microbial pattern recognition receptors such as TLR1 and CD4 in DSS-induced colitis (101). Meanwhile, they are accompanied by increased complement components 1qa, 1qb, and lysozyme, which are bactericidal proteins, and by increased expression of RegIII₂ (a pancreatitis-associated protein) (101). MyD88 is also required for regulation of RegIIIy expression, and commensal bacteria could regulate the response of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs to mucosal damage through MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent pathways (101, 106). TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs could also recruit inflammatory cells, respond to bacteria, and be associated with commensal bacteria. Activated TCRy δ^+ IELs could limit bacterial penetration of resident microbiota or new organisms from the environment (106).

In addition, several studies have revealed the cytotoxic properties of activated TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs. These cells produce interferons, TNF- α , and antimicrobial proteins in response to viral or bacterial infections (1, 107). At the same time, the immune surveillance of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs follows a dynamic migration pattern: they survey pathogen invasion by shifting along the basement membrane, migrate into the lateral intercellular space between two adjacent enterocytes and change the pattern when pathogen invasion occurs (48). Additionally, these cells facilitate tumor necrosis factor-mediated shedding of apoptotic enterocytes with the help of CD103-mediated extracellular granzyme release (108).

Collectively, although the functions and detailed molecular mechanisms of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs have not been fully defined, current evidence indicates their roles in preserving and restoring the integrity of the intestinal epithelium, recruiting inflammatory cells, surveilling, responding to enteric infection, maintaining mucosal homeostasis, and facilitating pathological epithelial cell shedding. These functions indicate the importance and delicate regulatory traits of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ IELs.

Conclusion and unanswered questions

The gut is an essential nutrient absorption organ that directly encounters multiple antigens in the gastrointestinal tract and contains various immune cells with distinct functions and distributions. IELs are a small number of heterogeneous cells residing in the intestinal epithelium, undertaking the role of the first line of defense of the immune system. Their functions also include maintaining immune homeostasis, other possible competencies. Besides, studies exhibited IELs are associated with multiple disease such as CeD, tropical sprue and parasite infections. Natural TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are two special populations of IELs that exhibit phenotypes and characteristics that are different from conventional T cells or other subsets of IELs. TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs are capable of immune regulation, whereas TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs can protect the integrity of intestinal epithelia, heal injured mucosal epithelia, maintain homeostasis of the resident microbiota, inhibit microbiota invasion, respond to pathogens, and limit excessive inflammation. Meanwhile, recent study revealed the role of natural IELs in dietary metabolism, showed the potential research value of these cells. In brief, a number of studies have highlighted the importance of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs, indicating the possibility of taking advantage of these cells to strengthen the understanding of intestinal immunity, metabolism and cure diverse associated illnesses or infections.

However, the development, function, gene profiles of these cells, as well as the regulatory mechanisms underlying their effect against different conditions require further exploration. For instance, although previous studies of TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs identified two thymic progenitors and revealed their distinct features, migrating patterns, and some specific gene profiles, the proportions and potential functional or phenotypic differences between the two IELps are not fully understood. TCR $\alpha\beta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CD8 $\alpha\alpha^+$ IELs have various roles under normal or infectious/inflammatory conditions, their existence being essential in organisms. However, the specific molecules regulating their function are not clear, although several critical transcription factors, cytokines, chemokines, and other molecules involved in their development, maturation, migration, and function, were identified. These unanswered questions should be the focus of future research.

Author contributions

YG drafted the manuscript. HC, JZ, and HX edited the manuscript. JH and DZ supervised the work and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved it for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by the Key Project of the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (NO. 2022YFH0100), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 82171829), the 1·3·5 Project for Disciplines of Excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (NO. ZYYC21012), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (20822041E4084).

Acknowledgments

DZ sincerely wants to commemorate Dr. Sang-A Park, who passed away suddenly on January 22, 2018.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Swamy M, Abeler-Dorner L, Chettle J, Mahlakoiv T, Goubau D, Chakravarty P, et al. Intestinal intraepithelial lymphocyte activation promotes innate antiviral resistance. *Nat Commun* (2015) 6:7090. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8090

2. Li Y, Ma Y, Jin Y, Peng X, Wang X, Zhang P, et al. Porcine intraepithelial lymphocytes undergo migration and produce an antiviral response following intestinal virus infection. *Commun Biol* (2022) 5(1):252. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-03205-2

3. Hoytema van Konijnenburg DP, Reis BS, Pedicord VA, Farache J, Victora GD, Mucida D. Intestinal epithelial and intraepithelial T cell crosstalk mediates a dynamic response to infection. *Cell* (2017) 171(4):783–94 e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.046

4. Mayassi T, Jabri B. Human intraepithelial lymphocytes. Mucosal Immunol (2018) 11(5):1281-9. doi: 10.1038/s41385-018-0016-5

5. Qiu Y, Yang Y, Yang H. The unique surface molecules on intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes: From tethering to recognizing. *Dig Dis Sci* (2014) 59 (3):520–9. doi: 10.1007/s10620-013-2933-1

6. Olivares-Villagomez D, Van Kaer L. Intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes: Sentinels of the mucosal barrier. *Trends Immunol* (2018) 39(4):264-75. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2017.11.003

7. Ettersperger J, Montcuquet N, Malamut G, Guegan N, Lopez-Lastra S, Gayraud S, et al. Interleukin-15-Dependent T-Cell-Like innate intraepithelial lymphocytes develop in the intestine and transform into lymphomas in celiac disease. *Immunity* (2016) 45(3):610–25. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.07.018

8. Fuchs A, Vermi W, Lee JS, Lonardi S, Gilfillan S, Newberry RD, et al. Intraepithelial type 1 innate lymphoid cells are a unique subset of il-12- and il-15-Responsive ifn-Gamma-Producing cells. *Immunity* (2013) 38(4):769–81. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.02.010

9. Van Kaer L, Algood HMS, Singh K, Parekh VV, Greer MJ, Piazuelo MB, et al. Cd8alphaalpha(+) innate-type lymphocytes in the intestinal epithelium mediate mucosal immunity. *Immunity* (2014) 41(3):451-64. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.08.010

10. Guy-Grand D, Cerf-Bensussan N, Malissen B, Malassis-Seris M, Briottet C, Vassalli P. Two gut intraepithelial Cd8+ lymphocyte populations with different T cell receptors: A role for the gut epithelium in T cell differentiation. *J Exp Med* (1991) 173(2):471–81. doi: 10.1084/jem.173.2.471

11. Maloy KJ, Mowat AM, Zamoyska R, Crispe IN. Phenotypic heterogeneity of intraepithelial T lymphocytes from mouse small intestine. *Immunology* (1991) 72 (4):555–62.

12. Talayero P, Mancebo E, Calvo-Pulido J, Rodriguez-Munoz S, Bernardo I, Laguna-Goya R, et al. Innate lymphoid cells groups 1 and 3 in the epithelial compartment of functional human intestinal allografts. *Am J Transplant* (2016) 16 (1):72–82. doi: 10.1111/ajt.13435

13. Simoni Y, Fehlings M, Kloverpris HN, McGovern N, Koo SL, Loh CY, et al. Human innate lymphoid cell subsets possess tissue-type based heterogeneity in phenotype and frequency. *Immunity* (2017) 46(1):148–61. doi: 10.1016/ j.immuni.2016.11.005 14. Cheroutre H, Lambolez F, Mucida D. The light and dark sides of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2011) 11(7):445–56. doi: 10.1038/nri3007

15. Poussier P, Edouard P, Lee C, Binnie M, Julius M. Thymus-independent development and negative selection of T cells expressing T cell receptor Alpha/Beta in the intestinal epithelium: Evidence for distinct circulation patterns of gut- and thymus-derived T lymphocytes. *J Exp Med* (1992) 176(1):187–99. doi: 10.1084/jem.176.1.187

16. Rocha B, Vassalli P, Guy-Grand D. Thymic and extrathymic origins of gut intraepithelial lymphocyte populations in mice. *J Exp Med* (1994) 180(2):681–6. doi: 10.1084/jem.180.2.681

17. Lambolez F, Azogui O, Joret AM, Garcia C, von Boehmer H, Di Santo J, et al. Characterization of T cell differentiation in the murine gut. *J Exp Med* (2002) 195(4):437–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.20010798

18. Lefrancois L, Puddington L. Extrathymic intestinal T-cell development: Virtual reality? *Immunol Today* (1995) 16(1):16–21. doi: 10.1016/0167-5699(95) 80065-4

19. Lin T, Matsuzaki G, Kenai H, Nakamura T, Nomoto K. Thymus influences the development of extrathymically derived intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Eur J Immunol* (1993) 23(8):1968–74. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830230836

20. Lin T, Matsuzaki G, Kenai H, Nomoto K. Progenies of fetal thymocytes are the major source of Cd4-Cd8+ alpha alpha intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes early in ontogeny. *Eur J Immunol* (1994) 24(8):1785–91. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830240810

21. Gangadharan D, Lambolez F, Attinger A, Wang-Zhu Y, Sullivan BA, Cheroutre H. Identification of pre- and postselection tcralphabeta+ intraepithelial lymphocyte precursors in the thymus. *Immunity* (2006) 25 (4):631-41. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.08.018

22. Ellmeier W, Sunshine MJ, Maschek R, Littman DR. Combined deletion of Cd8 locus cis-regulatory elements affects initiation but not maintenance of Cd8 expression. *Immunity* (2002) 16(5):623–34. doi: 10.1016/s1074-7613(02)00309-6

23. Madakamutil LT, Christen U, Lena CJ, Wang-Zhu Y, Attinger A, Sundarrajan M, et al. Cd8alphaalpha-mediated survival and differentiation of Cd8 memory T cell precursors. *Science* (2004) 304(5670):590–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1092316

24. Ellmeier W, Sawada S, Littman DR. The regulation of Cd4 and Cd8 coreceptor gene expression during T cell development. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1999) 17:523–54. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.523

25. Ruscher R, Kummer RL, Lee YJ, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Cd8alphaalpha intraepithelial lymphocytes arise from two main thymic precursors. *Nat Immunol* (2017) 18(7):771–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.3751

26. Golec DP, Hoeppli RE, Henao Caviedes LM, McCann J, Levings MK, Baldwin TA. Thymic progenitors of tcralphabeta(+) Cd8alphaalpha intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes require Rasgrp1 for development. *J Exp Med* (2017) 214(8):2421–35. doi: 10.1084/jem.20170844

27. Chou C, Zhang X, Krishna C, Nixon BG, Dadi S, Capistrano KJ, et al. Programme of self-reactive innate-like T cell-mediated cancer immunity. *Nature* (2022) 605(7908):139–45. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04632-1

28. Ruscher R, Hogquist KA. Development, ontogeny, and maintenance of tcralphabeta(+) Cd8alphaalpha iel. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2019) 58:83-8. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2019.04.010

29. Jiang W, Ferrero I, Laurenti E, Trumpp A, MacDonald HR. C-myc controls the development of Cd8alphaalpha tcralphabeta intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes from thymic precursors by regulating il-15-Dependent survival. *Blood* (2010) 115(22):4431–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-11-254698

30. Klose CS, Blatz K, d'Hargues Y, Hernandez PP, Kofoed-Nielsen M, Ripka JF, et al. The transcription factor T-bet is induced by il-15 and thymic agonist selection and controls Cd8alphaalpha(+) intraepithelial lymphocyte development. *Immunity* (2014) 41(2):230–43. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.018

31. Konkel JE, Maruyama T, Carpenter AC, Xiong Y, Zamarron BF, Hall BE, et al. Control of the development of Cd8alphaalpha+ intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes by tgf-beta. *Nat Immunol* (2011) 12(4):312–9. doi: 10.1038/ni.1997

32. Lai YG, Hou MS, Hsu YW, Chang CL, Liou YH, Tsai MH, et al. Il-15 does not affect iel development in the thymus but regulates homeostasis of putative precursors and mature Cd8 alpha alpha+ iels in the intestine. *J Immunol* (2008) 180 (6):3757–65. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.3757

33. Lambolez F, Kronenberg M, Cheroutre H. Thymic differentiation of tcr alpha beta(+) Cd8 alpha alpha(+) iels. *Immunol Rev* (2007) 215:178-88. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2006.00488.x

34. McDonald BD, Bunker JJ, Ishizuka IE, Jabri B, Bendelac A. Elevated T cell receptor signaling identifies a thymic precursor to the Tcralphabeta(+)Cd4(-) Cd8beta(-) intraepithelial lymphocyte lineage. *Immunity* (2014) 41(2):219–29. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.07.008

35. Leishman AJ, Gapin L, Capone M, Palmer E, MacDonald HR, Kronenberg M, et al. Precursors of functional mhc class I- or class ii-restricted Cd8alphaalpha (+) T cells are positively selected in the thymus by agonist self-peptides. *Immunity* (2002) 16(3):355–64. doi: 10.1016/s1074-7613(02)00284-4

36. Pobezinsky LA, Angelov GS, Tai X, Jeurling S, Van Laethem F, Feigenbaum L, et al. Clonal deletion and the fate of autoreactive thymocytes that survive negative selection. *Nat Immunol* (2012) 13(6):569–78. doi: 10.1038/ni.2292

37. Bouillet P, Purton JF, Godfrey DI, Zhang LC, Coultas L, Puthalakath H, et al. Bh3-only bcl-2 family member bim is required for apoptosis of autoreactive thymocytes. *Nature* (2002) 415(6874):922–6. doi: 10.1038/415922a

38. Barnden MJ, Heath WR, Carbone FR. Down-modulation of Cd8 beta-chain in response to an altered peptide ligand enables developing thymocytes to escape negative selection. *Cell Immunol* (1997) 175(2):111-9. doi: 10.1006/ cimm.1996.1054

39. Chidgey A, Boyd R. Agonist peptide modulates T cell selection thresholds through qualitative and quantitative shifts in Cd8 Co-receptor expression. *Int Immunol* (1997) 9(10):1527–36. doi: 10.1093/intimm/9.10.1527

40. Mintern JD, Maurice MM, Ploegh HL, Schott E. Thymic selection and peripheral activation of Cd8 T cells by the same class I Mhc/Peptide complex. *J Immunol* (2004) 172(1):699–708. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.1.699

41. Yamagata T, Mathis D, Benoist C. Self-reactivity in thymic double-positive cells commits cells to a Cd8 alpha alpha lineage with characteristics of innate immune cells. *Nat Immunol* (2004) 5(6):597–605. doi: 10.1038/ni1070

42. Hui E, Cheung J, Zhu J, Su X, Taylor MJ, Wallweber HA, et al. T Cell costimulatory receptor Cd28 is a primary target for pd-1-Mediated inhibition. *Science* (2017) 355(6332):1428–33. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf1292

43. Shanmuganad S, Hummel SA, Varghese V, Hildeman DA. Bcl-2 is necessary to counteract bim and promote survival of Tcralphabeta(+) Cd8alphaalpha(+) intraepithelial lymphocyte precursors in the thymus. J Immunol (2022) 208(3):651–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100975

44. Allison JP, Havran WL. The immunobiology of T cells with invariant gamma delta antigen receptors. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1991) 9:679-705. doi: 10.1146/annurev.iy.09.040191.003335

45. Itohara S, Farr AG, Lafaille JJ, Bonneville M, Takagaki Y, Haas W, et al. Homing of a gamma delta thymocyte subset with homogeneous T-cell receptors to mucosal epithelia. *Nature* (1990) 343(6260):754–7. doi: 10.1038/343754a0

46. Lacy MJ, McNeil LK, Roth ME, Kranz DM. T-Cell receptor delta-chain diversity in peripheral lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1989) 86(3):1023–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.3.1023

47. Takagaki Y, Nakanishi N, Ishida I, Kanagawa O, Tonegawa S. T Cell receptor-gamma and -delta genes preferentially utilized by adult thymocytes for the surface expression. *J Immunol* (1989) 142(6):2112–21.

48. Fischer MA, Golovchenko NB, Edelblum KL. Gammadelta T cell migration: Separating trafficking from surveillance behaviors at barrier surfaces. *Immunol Rev* (2020) 298(1):165–80. doi: 10.1111/imr.12915 49. Jarry A, Cerf-Bensussan N, Brousse N, Selz F, Guy-Grand D. Subsets of Cd3 + (T cell receptor Alpha/Beta or Gamma/Delta) and Cd3- lymphocytes isolated from normal human gut epithelium display phenotypical features different from their counterparts in peripheral blood. *Eur J Immunol* (1990) 20(5):1097–103. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830200523

50. Goodman T, Lefrancois L. Expression of the gamma-delta T-cell receptor on intestinal Cd8+ intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Nature* (1988) 333(6176):855–8. doi: 10.1038/333855a0

51. Di Marco Barros R, Roberts NA, Dart RJ, Vantourout P, Jandke A, Nussbaumer O, et al. Epithelia use butyrophilin-like molecules to shape organspecific gammadelta T cell compartments. *Cell* (2016) 167(1):203-18 e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.030

52. Bas A, Swamy M, Abeler-Dorner L, Williams G, Pang DJ, Barbee SD, et al. Butyrophilin-like 1 encodes an enterocyte protein that selectively regulates functional interactions with T lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2011) 108 (11):4376–81. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010647108

53. Jandke A, Melandri D, Monin L, Ushakov DS, Laing AG, Vantourout P, et al. Butyrophilin-like proteins display combinatorial diversity in selecting and maintaining signature intraepithelial gammadelta T cell compartments. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11(1):3769. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17557-y

54. Barbee SD, Woodward MJ, Turchinovich G, Mention JJ, Lewis JM, Boyden LM, et al. Skint-1 is a highly specific, unique selecting component for epidermal T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2011) 108(8):3330–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010890108

55. Boyden LM, Lewis JM, Barbee SD, Bas A, Girardi M, Hayday AC, et al. Skint1, the prototype of a newly identified immunoglobulin superfamily gene cluster, positively selects epidermal gammadelta T cells. *Nat Genet* (2008) 40 (5):656–62. doi: 10.1038/ng.108

56. Ueno T, Saito F, Gray DH, Kuse S, Hieshima K, Nakano H, et al. Ccr7 signals are essential for cortex-medulla migration of developing thymocytes. J Exp Med (2004) 200(4):493–505. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040643

57. Xing Y, Wang X, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Late stages of T cell maturation in the thymus involve nf-kappab and tonic type I interferon signaling. *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17(5):565–73. doi: 10.1038/ni.3419

58. Cyster JG. Chemokines, sphingosine-1-Phosphate, and cell migration in secondary lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2005) 23:127–59. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.23.021704.115628

59. Matloubian M, Lo CG, Cinamon G, Lesneski MJ, Xu Y, Brinkmann V, et al. Lymphocyte egress from thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs is dependent on S1p receptor 1. *Nature* (2004) 427(6972):355–60. doi: 10.1038/nature02284

60. Schwab SR, Pereira JP, Matloubian M, Xu Y, Huang Y, Cyster JG. Lymphocyte sequestration through S1p lyase inhibition and disruption of S1p gradients. *Science* (2005) 309(5741):1735–9. doi: 10.1126/science.1113640

61. Zachariah MA, Cyster JG. Neural crest-derived pericytes promote egress of mature thymocytes at the corticomedullary junction. *Science* (2010) 328 (5982):1129-35. doi: 10.1126/science.1188222

62. Carlson CM, Endrizzi BT, Wu J, Ding X, Weinreich MA, Walsh ER, et al. Kruppel-like factor 2 regulates thymocyte and T-cell migration. *Nature* (2006) 442 (7100):299–302. doi: 10.1038/nature04882

63. Odumade OA, Weinreich MA, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Kruppel-like factor 2 regulates trafficking and homeostasis of gammadelta T cells. *J Immunol* (2010) 184(11):6060-6. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1000511

64. Klose CSN, Hummel JF, Faller L, d'Hargues Y, Ebert K, Tanriver Y. A committed postselection precursor to natural tcralphabeta(+) intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Mucosal Immunol* (2018) 11(2):333–44. doi: 10.1038/mi.2017.54

65. Wagner N, Lohler J, Kunkel EJ, Ley K, Leung E, Krissansen G, et al. Critical role for Beta7 integrins in formation of the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. *Nature* (1996) 382(6589):366–70. doi: 10.1038/382366a0

66. Berlin C, Berg EL, Briskin MJ, Andrew DP, Kilshaw PJ, Holzmann B, et al. Alpha 4 beta 7 integrin mediates lymphocyte binding to the mucosal vascular addressin madcam-1. *Cell* (1993) 74(1):185–95. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)90305-a

67. Cepek KL, Shaw SK, Parker CM, Russell GJ, Morrow JS, Rimm DL, et al. Adhesion between epithelial cells and T lymphocytes mediated by e-cadherin and the alpha e beta 7 integrin. *Nature* (1994) 372(6502):190–3. doi: 10.1038/372190a0

68. Hamann A, Andrew DP, Jablonski-Westrich D, Holzmann B, Butcher EC. Role of alpha 4-integrins in lymphocyte homing to mucosal tissues *in vivo*. *J Immunol* (1994) 152(7):3282–93.

69. Schon MP, Arya A, Murphy EA, Adams CM, Strauch UG, Agace WW, et al. Mucosal T lymphocyte numbers are selectively reduced in integrin alpha e (Cd103)-deficient mice. *J Immunol* (1999) 162(11):6641–9.

70. Denning TL, Granger SW, Mucida D, Graddy R, Leclercq G, Zhang W, et al. Mouse Tcralphabeta+Cd8alphaalpha intraepithelial lymphocytes express genes that down-regulate their antigen reactivity and suppress immune responses. *J Immunol* (2007) 178(7):4230–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.7.4230 71. Dai B, Hackney JA, Ichikawa R, Nguyen A, Elstrott J, Orozco LD, et al. Dual targeting of lymphocyte homing and retention through Alpha4beta7 and Alphaebeta7 inhibition in inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell Rep Med* (2021) 2 (8):100381. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100381

72. Fan X, Rudensky AY. Hallmarks of tissue-resident lymphocytes. Cell (2016) 164(6):1198–211. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.048

73. Wurbel MA, Malissen M, Guy-Grand D, Meffre E, Nussenzweig MC, Richelme M, et al. Mice lacking the Ccr9 cc-chemokine receptor show a mild impairment of early T- and b-cell development and a reduction in T-cell receptor gammadelta(+) gut intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Blood* (2001) 98(9):2626–32. doi: 10.1182/blood.v98.9.2626

74. Nie J, Carpenter AC, Chopp LB, Chen T, Balmaceno-Criss M, Ciucci T, et al. The transcription factor lrf promotes integrin Beta7 expression by and gut homing of Cd8alphaalpha(+) intraepithelial lymphocyte precursors. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 23(4):594–604. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01161-x

75. Yu S, Bruce D, Froicu M, Weaver V, Cantorna MT. Failure of T cell homing, reduced Cd4/Cd8alphaalpha intraepithelial lymphocytes, and inflammation in the gut of vitamin d receptor ko mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(52):20834–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808700106

76. Wang X, Sumida H, Cyster JG. Gpr18 is required for a normal Cd8alphaalpha intestinal intraepithelial lymphocyte compartment. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211(12):2351–9. doi: 10.1084/jem.20140646

77. Sumida H, Lu E, Chen H, Yang Q, Mackie K, Cyster JG. Gpr55 regulates intraepithelial lymphocyte migration dynamics and susceptibility to intestinal damage. *Sci Immunol* (2017) 2(18):eaao1135. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aao1135

 Andrew DP, Rott LS, Kilshaw PJ, Butcher EC. Distribution of alpha 4 beta 7 and alpha e beta 7 integrins on thymocytes, intestinal epithelial lymphocytes and peripheral lymphocytes. *Eur J Immunol* (1996) 26(4):897–905. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830260427

79. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Oshima K, Suda W, Nagano Y, Nishikawa H, et al. Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of clostridia strains from the human microbiota. *Nature* (2013) 500(7461):232–6. doi: 10.1038/nature12331

80. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T, Imaoka A, Kuwahara T, Momose Y, et al. Induction of colonic regulatory T cells by indigenous clostridium species. *Science* (2011) 331(6015):337–41. doi: 10.1126/science.1198469

81. Ivanov II, Atarashi K, Manel N, Brodie EL, Shima T, Karaoz U, et al. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. *Cell* (2009) 139(3):485–98. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.033

82. Mazmanian SK, Liu CH, Tzianabos AO, Kasper DL. An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria directs maturation of the host immune system. *Cell* (2005) 122(1):107–18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.007

83. Round JL, Mazmanian SK. Inducible Foxp3+ regulatory T-cell development by a commensal bacterium of the intestinal microbiota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2010) 107(27):12204–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909122107

84. Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermudez-Humaran LG, Gratadoux JJ, et al. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of crohn disease patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(43):16731-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0804812105

85. Cervantes-Barragan L, Chai JN, Tianero MD, Di Luccia B, Ahern PP, Merriman J, et al. Lactobacillus reuteri induces gut intraepithelial Cd4(+)Cd8alphaalpha (+) T cells. *Science* (2017) 357(6353):806–10. doi: 10.1126/science.aah5825

86. Jiang W, Wang X, Zeng B, Liu L, Tardivel A, Wei H, et al. Recognition of gut microbiota by Nod2 is essential for the homeostasis of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *J Exp Med* (2013) 210(11):2465–76. doi: 10.1084/jem.20122490

87. Yu Q, Tang C, Xun S, Yajima T, Takeda K, Yoshikai Y. Myd88-dependent signaling for il-15 production plays an important role in maintenance of Cd8 alpha alpha tcr alpha beta and tcr gamma delta intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *J Immunol* (2006) 176(10):6180–5. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.10.6180

88. Liu L, Gong T, Tao W, Lin B, Li C, Zheng X, et al. Commensal viruses maintain intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes *via* noncanonical rig-I signaling. *Nat Immunol* (2019) 20(12):1681–91. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0513-z

89. Olivares-Villagomez D, Mendez-Fernandez YV, Parekh VV, Lalani S, Vincent TL, Cheroutre H, et al. Thymus leukemia antigen controls intraepithelial lymphocyte function and inflammatory bowel disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(46):17931–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808242105

90. Jia L, Wu G, Alonso S, Zhao C, Lemenze A, Lam YY, et al. A transmissible gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocyte hyperproliferative phenotype is associated with the intestinal microbiota and confers protection against acute infection. *Mucosal Immunol* (2022) 15(4):772–82. doi: 10.1038/s41385-022-00522-x

91. Harada Y, Sujino T, Miyamoto K, Nomura E, Yoshimatsu Y, Tanemoto S, et al. Intracellular metabolic adaptation of intraepithelial Cd4(+)Cd8alphaalpha(+) T lymphocytes. *iScience* (2022) 25(4):104021. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.104021

92. Cheroutre H, Lambolez F. Doubting the tcr coreceptor function of Cd8alphaalpha. *Immunity* (2008) 28(2):149–59. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.01.005

93. Hoffmann JC, Peters K, Henschke S, Herrmann B, Pfister K, Westermann J, et al. Role of T lymphocytes in rat 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (Tnbs) induced colitis: Increased mortality after gammadelta T cell depletion and no effect of alphabeta T cell depletion. *Gut* (2001) 48(4):489–95. doi: 10.1136/gut.48.4.489

94. Ninomiya T, Takimoto H, Matsuzaki G, Hamano S, Yoshida H, Yoshikai Y, et al. Vgamma1+ gammadelta T cells play protective roles at an early phase of murine cytomegalovirus infection through production of interferon-gamma. *Immunology* (2000) 99(2):187–94. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2567.2000.00938.x

95. Lepage AC, Buzoni-Gatel D, Bout DT, Kasper LH. Gut-derived intraepithelial lymphocytes induce long term immunity against toxoplasma gondii. *J Immunol* (1998) 161(9):4902-8.

96. Inagaki-Ohara K, Chinen T, Matsuzaki G, Sasaki A, Sakamoto Y, Hiromatsu K, et al. Mucosal T cells bearing tcrgammadelta play a protective role in intestinal inflammation. *J Immunol* (2004) 173(2):1390–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.2.1390

97. He S, Kahles F, Rattik S, Nairz M, McAlpine CS, Anzai A, et al. Gut intraepithelial T cells calibrate metabolism and accelerate cardiovascular disease. *Nature* (2019) 566(7742):115–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0849-9

98. Poussier P, Ning T, Banerjee D, Julius M. A unique subset of self-specific intraintestinal T cells maintains gut integrity. *J Exp Med* (2002) 195(11):1491–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20011793

99. Boismenu R, Havran WL. Modulation of epithelial cell growth by intraepithelial gamma delta T cells. *Science* (1994) 266(5188):1253-5. doi: 10.1126/science.7973709

100. Chen Y, Chou K, Fuchs E, Havran WL, Boismenu R. Protection of the intestinal mucosa by intraepithelial gamma delta T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2002) 99(22):14338–43. doi: 10.1073/pnas.212290499

101. Ismail AS, Behrendt CL, Hooper LV. Reciprocal interactions between commensal bacteria and gamma delta intraepithelial lymphocytes during mucosal injury. *J Immunol* (2009) 182(5):3047–54. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0802705

102. Kuhl AA, Pawlowski NN, Grollich K, Loddenkemper C, Zeitz M, Hoffmann JC. Aggravation of intestinal inflammation by Depletion/Deficiency of gammadelta T cells in different types of ibd animal models. *J Leukoc Biol* (2007) 81 (1):168–75. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1105696

103. Simpson SJ, Hollander GA, Mizoguchi E, Allen D, Bhan AK, Wang B, et al. Expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by tcr alpha beta+ and tcr gamma delta+ T cells in an experimental model of colitis. *Eur J Immunol* (1997) 27(1):17–25. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830270104

104. Kawaguchi-Miyashita M, Shimada S, Kurosu H, Kato-Nagaoka N, Matsuoka Y, Ohwaki M, et al. An accessory role of tcrganmadelta (+) cells in the exacerbation of inflammatory bowel disease in tcralpha mutant mice. *Eur J Immunol* (2001) 31(4):980–8. doi: 10.1002/1521-4141(200104)31:4<980::aid-immu980>3.0.co;2-u

105. Park SG, Mathur R, Long M, Hosh N, Hao L, Hayden MS, et al. T Regulatory cells maintain intestinal homeostasis by suppressing gammadelta T cells. *Immunity* (2010) 33(5):791-803. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.014

106. Ismail AS, Severson KM, Vaishnava S, Behrendt CL, Yu X, Benjamin JL, et al. Gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocytes are essential mediators of hostmicrobial homeostasis at the intestinal mucosal surface. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2011) 108(21):8743–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1019574108

107. Lockhart A, Mucida D, Parsa R. Immunity to enteric viruses. Immunity (2022) 55(5):800–18. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2022.04.007

108. Hu MD, Golovchenko NB, Burns GL, Nair PM, TJt K, Agos J, et al. Gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocytes facilitate pathological epithelial cell shedding *via* Cd103-mediated granzyme release. *Gastroenterology* (2022) 162 (3):877–89 e7. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.11.028