#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Zhu Shen, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, China

REVIEWED BY Bin Li, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China Nastaran Asri, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sergey S. Sennikov sennikovsv@gmail.com

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 11 August 2022 ACCEPTED 21 November 2022 PUBLISHED 07 December 2022

#### CITATION

Bulygin AS, Khantakova JN, Shkaruba NS, Shiku H and Sennikov SS (2022) The role of metabolism on regulatory T cell development and its impact in tumor and transplantation immunity. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1016670. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1016670

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Bulygin, Khantakova, Shkaruba, Shiku and Sennikov. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The role of metabolism on regulatory T cell development and its impact in tumor and transplantation immunity

Aleksey S. Bulygin, Julia N. Khantakova, Nadezhda S. Shkaruba, Hiroshi Shiku and Sergey S. Sennikov\*

Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Federal State Budgetary Scientific Institution Research Institute of Fundamental and Clinical Immunology, Novosibirsk, Russia

Regulatory CD4<sup>+</sup> T (Treg) cells play a key role in the induction of immune tolerance and in the prevention of autoimmune diseases. Treg cells are defined by the expression of transcription factor FOXP3, which ensures proliferation and induction of the suppressor activity of this cell population. In a tumor microenvironment, after transplantation or during autoimmune diseases, Treg cells can respond to various signals from their environment and this property ensures their suppressor function. Recent studies showed that a metabolic signaling pathway of Treg cells are essential in the control of Treg cell proliferation processes. This review presents the latest research highlights on how the influence of extracellular factors (e.g. nutrients, vitamins and metabolites) as well as intracellular metabolic signaling pathways regulate tissue specificity of Treg cells and heterogeneity of this cell population. Understanding the metabolic regulation of Treg cells should provide new insights into immune homeostasis and disorders along with important therapeutic implications for autoimmune diseases, cancer and other immune-system-mediated disorders.

#### KEYWORDS

T cells, immunometabolism, glycolysis, fatty acid oxidation, tumor microenvironment, transplant microenvironment

## Introduction

CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>FOXP3<sup>+</sup> regulatory T (Treg) cell subpopulation actively engaged in the induction of immune tolerance to self-antigens and in the control of immune responses (1, 2). By its nature the Treg population is heterogeneous with differences in the expression of transcription factor FOXP3, which is responsible for proliferation processes and immunosuppressive function of these cells. Tregs can be classified into thymic Treg (tTreg or nTreg), *in vitro* generated Treg (iTreg) and Treg induced on the

periphery and outside the thymus – peripherally derived Treg (pTreg). In some conditions, such as autoimmune diseases or cancer, Treg cells respond to specific environmental signals and demonstrate plasticity and tissue-specific phenotypes, which help to implement context-dependent suppressive functions (3).

Different states of T-cell activation require the involvement of metabolic signal transduction pathways that are compatible with their function. Recent research indicates that the modulation of metabolism plays an important role in the control of some processes within Treg cells. The transition from lymphocytes of the CD4<sup>+</sup> lineage to Treg cells is accompanied by active reprogramming of cellular metabolism. Intracellular metabolites and metabolic pathways directly participate in the regulation of FOXP3 expression as well as in the processes of transcription in Treg cells and in their functional plasticity (1, 4). Adaptation of Treg cellular metabolism is required to maintain a functional state in the tumor microenvironment (TME) or after tissue/organ transplantation (5). Such metabolic modifications allow these cells to mobilize all the resources necessary for rapid proliferation and/or full activation of the body's defense mechanisms as a whole. Thus, cellular metabolism plays an essential part in the regulation of FOXP3 stability expression and Treg cell function.

Thus, the nature of metabolic processes in Treg cells is linked with the induction of a signal for the expression of transcription factor FOXP3. Apparently, this arrangement contributes to specific adaptability of Treg cells in various microenvironmental conditions. Optimization of Treg-based immunotherapies will provide the most accurate understanding of the proliferative, migratory and suppressive behavior of Treg. Recently, it has become obvious that the functional activity of immune cells is directly dependent on the possibilities of metabolism. Treg metabolism can be considered as an important part between the optimization of energy resources and the immune response mechanism, which may indicate the possibility of Treg plasticity between immune response and tolerance (6). This review presents recent research findings about the metabolism of Treg cells and its relation to their regulatory functions.

# Involvement of metabolism in the development of Treg cells

In the thymus, proliferation and development of Treg cells proceed according to the generally accepted mechanism of T-cell development: through the stages of positive and negative selection (7, 8). During the stage of positive selection, the binding of double positive (CD4+CD8+) TCR to a low-affinity peptide–MHC complex located on thymic antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which cooperate with cortical thymic epithelial cells, provides a survival signal for these thymocytes based on the

strength of the interaction. Thus, if the interaction is strong the cell will survive to participate in the negative selection process. This interaction of TCR and coreceptor with MHC is necessary to create a population of T cells that can distinguish "self" from "non-self" antigens or cells (9). After positive selection, medullary thymic epithelial cells with dendritic cells produce chemokines CXCL12 and CCL25, which promote the migration of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> thymocytes from the cortex to the medulla of the thymus (10). At the stage of negative selection CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> thymocytes interact through TCR with a high-affinity autopeptide presented by dendritic cells via class I and class II MHC complexes. Depending on the avidity of TCR to the antigen, thymocytes either undergo elimination or continue pathway of differentiation. As a result, populations of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells emerge that have a specific repertoire of TCRs. In this review, we want to focus only on CD4+Treg and how their metabolism develops in general. Only those CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells become Treg cells whose affinity for class II MHC is stronger than that naive CD4+ effector T cells but below the apoptosis induction threshold (11). The development of thymic regulatory T cells (natural Treg cells: nTreg) proceeds because of FOXP3 expression. The strength and duration of TCR engagement and CD28 costimulation are crucial for FOXP3 expression and for Treg phenotype induction (12). For instance, FOXP3 expression is observed predominantly at the negative selection stage and during the differentiation of CD4<sup>+</sup> monopositive T cells into nTreg cells. Wherein, there are data on the presence of CD4+CD8+ thymocytes expressing FOXP3 in the thymus cortex in the absence of the TCR signal (13-15). Aside from the TCR signal, the costimulatory pathway mediated by the binding of CD28 of nTreg cells to CD80/86 located on APCs is also important for the modulation of FOXP3 expression. For example, Soskic et al. have demonstrated that positive costimulation of Treg cells results in FOXP3 expression and ensures their homeostasis (16). Thus, it can be deduced that the avidity of the interaction between thymocytes and APCs is a key determinant of Treg cell fate.

Metabolism takes part in the development of nTreg cells via a TGF-\beta-independent mechanism and notably, requires the stimulation of TCR and CD28, which are controlled by the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway (17, 18). However, Priyadharshini et al. have demonstrated that, depending on environmental conditions, TGF-B can reprograms Treg metabolism via blockade of PI3K-mediated mTOR signaling and glucose metabolism (19). Investigation of key regulators of metabolism-related transcription factors sheds additional light on the function of PI3K-AKT signal transduction in the development of Treg cells. There are four classes of PI3 kinases, but only class IA and class IB PI3Ks have been studied in detail in T cells. The typical object of research is the p1108 class IA catalytic subunit because this protein is predominantly expressed in leukocytes and directly participates in the TCR signal (20–23). p110 $\delta$  is activated by receptor tyrosine kinases such as cytokine receptors CD25, CD122, CD127, and IFNGR as well as by TCR. p110δ-containing PI3K phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) yielding phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3) on an inner cell membrane, thereby initiating the activation of downstream signaling factors such as PDK1 and its substrate AKT (21, 24). PDK1 selectively phosphorylates AKT on threonine-308, thus enabling AKT to phosphorylates and inhibits the transcription factor FOXO1, which serves as a positive regulator of Foxp3 expression. This cascade leads to the translocation of FOXO1 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and to the loss of transcription-regulatory activity by this protein. Overexpression of AKT reduce the number of Treg cells and blocks the development of FOXP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells in the thymus (25, 26). Such negative effects are mainly explained by the fact that PDK1 interacts with many other molecules besides AKT, for example, with PKC isoforms (27). Nonetheless, studies focusing on negative regulators of PI3K-AKT signaling, such as the influence of a PH domain leucine-rich repeatcontaining protein phosphatase (PHLPP) on PTEN (28), the relation between PI3K and AKT in the development of Treg cells in the thymus. Treg cells express larger amounts of PHLPP1 and PHLPP2 than normal T cells do and thus inhibit the PI3K enzyme, thereby promoting the development of Treg cells in the thymus (29, 30). For example, PHLPP1 knockout mice have a normal number of circulating Treg cells, which means that they may be more dependent on PHLPP2 or less dependent on PI3K-AKT signaling for Treg cell development (31).

The PI3K-AKT signaling cascade is connected with one of the key factors of metabolism: mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1). mTORC1 is a key node for the integration of metabolic signals and promotes anabolic processes during cell proliferation and differentiation; subsequently, mTORC1 serves as a target of AKT (32, 33). At the positive selection stage, CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells overexpress glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1). Presumably, glycolysis is required to a greater extent for the support of cell growth and for meeting proliferation-related needs of the cells. Multiple glycolytic enzymes are targets of AKT and the PI3K-AKTmTOR pathway, as reported, promotes the transfer of GLUT1 to the cell surface (34, 35). Conversely, during the negative selection stage when CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells differentiate toward Treg cells, T cells become more dependent on high intensity of lipid oxidation for their survival (36-38). Compared to CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, in the Treg population, GLUT1 is underexpressed, and blockade of PI3K or mTORC1 reduces glycolysis in T cells and promotes the differentiation into Treg cells in the thymus and in the periphery (Figure 1) (39). For example, inhibition of mTORC1 causes a change in Treg cell metabolism towards increased lipogenesis and of the mevalonate-dependent pathway of cholesterol biosynthesis intended to maintain the proliferation and functioning of Treg cells (40, 41). In this context, excessive mTORC1 activation and unlimited glycolysis in Treg cells are characterized by low FOXP3 expression accompanied by a decline of the suppressive activity of Treg cells (42, 43).

According to the above-mentioned data, 5'AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is responsible for reducing the expression of GLUT1 and mTORC1 (44). AMPK is a serine/ threonine protein kinase consisting of a catalytic subunit



Metabolism of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells during proliferation and differentiation into Treg cells thymus and periphery. The most important role in the differentiation of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells into Treg cells belongs to the interaction of metabolic and transcription factors during stimulation of TCR and CD28 and activation of the PI3K–AKT–mTORC1 pathway. Additionally, during the negative selection, a phosphatase called PHLPP inhibits the expression of PI3K–AKT pathway components, thus preventing the translocation of FOXO1, thereby upregulating FOXP3 in nTreg cells. *Via* TSC2 and GAP-Rheb, AMPK activity leads to the inhibition of a protein complex known as mTORC1, to suppression of HIF-1α, and to activation of processes responsible for FAO. Events that induce differentiation into Treg cells are highlighted in green; processes that are inhibited in Treg cells are marked in red.

(AMPK $\alpha$ ) and two regulatory subunits (AMKP $\beta$  and AMPK $\gamma$ ), and its function is to respond to substantial energy consumption and to regulate the energy balance in the cell (45, 46). AMPK is activated when intracellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production diminishes and intracellular adenosine monophosphate (AMP) concentration goes up, as seen during nutrient deprivation or hypoxia (45). A recent research article revealed that AMPK is activated in T cells when they are stimulated (e.g. cytokines, TCR, or other factors) and plays an important part in T-cell metabolism (47). For example, Zhu et al. have shown that upon stimulation of TCR, AMPK activation triggers FOXP3 phosphorylation by inhibiting E3 ubiquitin ligases and proteasomes in vitro and in vivo (48). AMPK acts through phosphorylation of tuberin (TSC2), thereby launching a cascade of mTORC1-inhibitory reactions (49). TSC2 is a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) that participates in the regulation of energy flow during growth and stress and interacts with mTORC1 signaling through the GTP- bound Rheb (50). Phosphorylation of TSC2 on threonine-1227 and serine-1345 by AMPK activates TSC2, leading to the formation of a large amount of the GTP- bound Rheb, thereby shifting the balance toward the inhibition of activity of a substrate called Raptor in mTORC1 (49, 51). Inhibition Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) a lead to the induction of the Treg phenotype in T cells at the negative selection stage (Figure 1). Recent research suggests that Treg cells also utilize glucose. In particular, it was demonstrated that Treg cells have a large amount of a glycolytic enzyme called enolase, which is involved in FOXP3 splicing during the formation of pTreg cells at the periphery in vivo (52).

Treg cells show both high magnitude of fatty acid βoxidation (FAO) and elevated expression of genes taking part in the regulation of FAO (53, 54). An important component of the regulation of fatty acid metabolism is carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A (CPT1A). Treg cells harboring large amounts of activated AMPK along with characteristic FAO, probably due to a specific feature of AMPK, are able to modulate CPT1A activity and increase mitochondrial fatty acid import for β-oxidation. CPT1A is a protein found in the outer mitochondrial membrane and catalyzes the esterification of long-chain acyls by carnitine producing acylcarnitine; CPT1A is thought to be a regulator of the rate of long-chain fatty acid oxidation (55). On the other hand, studies showed that FOXP3 expression in Treg cells alters metabolic pathways for the oxidation of long-chain fatty acids, thus making Treg cells stable under some conditions (56, 57). FOXP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells are also known to take up long-chain fatty acids via CD36 as a receptor. Short- and medium-chain fatty acids passively diffuse through the cytoplasm and mitochondrial membranes to participate in FAO (58, 59). This means that some of the upregulated oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) mediated by FOXP3 is linked with oxidation of exogenous fatty acids. These cells also simultaneously increase own expression of genes coding for complexes of the mitochondrial

electron transport chain. Taken together, these data mean that the regulation of metabolic pathways is closely connected to Tcell development along the Treg lineage.

Depending on the immunosuppressive function of Treg cells, metabolism can be reprogrammed between glycolysis (60) and OXPHOS (61). HIF-1 $\alpha$  can participate in regulation cytokine expression Treg. Groneberg et al. have demonstrated that HIF-1α induces IL-2 and Foxp3 expression in Treg, resulting in increased expression of IL-10 in Tregs (62). In the periphery, PD-L2 costimulation maintains Treg immunosuppressive activity by inducing CPT1A expression. Induction of CPT1A leads to the enhancement of OXPHOS process due to the stabilization of the TCA cycle, this promotes the active production of acetyl-CoA, which in its turn, leads to an increase in the expression of IL-10 pTreg (63). Resent research suggest that IL-35 plays an important role in regulating Treg metabolism. For example, inhibition of IL-35 expression leads to a change in the metabolic activity of Treg cells with an increased glycolysis and production of effector cytokines (64). In addition, the importance using of extracellular nutrients can influence Treg plasticity. For example, I. Matias et al, has shown that the addition of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate led to a reprogramming of metabolism towards OXPHOS with storage lipids, which led to Treg differentiation with an inflammatory phenotype, manifested by increasing production of cytokines IFN- $\gamma$  and granzyme B (65).

It can be concluded that metabolism has a significant role in the proliferation and development of Treg cells in the thymus and periphery. Moreover, this phenomenon manifests itself in the characteristic functioning of glycolysis, which promotes the flux of biomolecules in synthesis for the differentiation of CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes into Treg cells. Subsequently, the transition to the consumption of alternative energy sources according to the FAO mechanism is observed in the formed Treg. In this context, the strength of the signal from TCR and CD28 and the regulation of the PI3K–AKT–mTORC1 cascade are critical for FOXP3 expression and for the formation of Treg cells in general.

# Metabolism of Treg cells during tumor growth as well as after transplantation

So far, investigation into such characteristics of Treg cells as adaptation to a microenvironment and to systemic changes remains a relevant and important scientific task. Treg cells can be considered a specific subclass of the CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell pool. Because they are physiologically present in almost all tissues and organs, while expressing a wide range of immunological and metabolic markers. Therefore, Treg cells are able to quickly respond to any immunological and metabolic signals under the conditions of tumor infiltration or after a tissue or organ transplant. Owing to Treg cells' high sensitivity to external signals and their fundamental role in the switching between tolerance and immunity, metabolism can be regarded as one of the key mechanisms behind the regulation of Treg cells. In the following section, we describe the dynamics of metabolism in the regulation of Treg cells under conditions of tumors and transplantation.

The study of Tregs metabolism in tumors and transplantation is important due to negative effect of Tregs in immunotherapy. The interaction between tumor cells and Treg promotes their favorable cohabitation and population growth. During transplantation the conditions for the growth of Tregs are unfavorable, which is expressed by their small number in the environment and the occurrence of an immune response to the transplant (Figure 2). In this regard, the study of Treg metabolism under the influence of tumors and transplantation will contribute to the development of new approaches in immunotherapy and transplantation.

#### Treg cells during tumor growth

Dysregulation of cellular energy mechanisms—including enhanced aerobic glycolysis, *de novo* fatty acid synthesis, and glutaminolysis—satisfies cancer cells' energy requirements and proliferation-related needs. For example, increased glycolysis and lactate production by cancer cells help to protect the tumor from an immune response. However, it create an immunosuppressive TME by depriving infiltrating effector T cells of nutrients and by "providing" immunosuppressive metabolites (66-68). In contrast to helper and cytotoxic T cells, which primarily use aerobic glycolysis and anabolic processes to satisfy own biological energy needs, Treg cells are believed to rely on FAO via OXPHOS to maintain their differentiated state and activities (Figure 2) (69-71). Consequently, in the TME, glucose depletion, which is detrimental to a variety of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, may have a negligible effect on Treg cells (Figure 3). Metabolic adaptation in the TME promotes the survival of Treg cells in an aggressive environment. Recent study suggests that Treg cells underexpress membrane glucose transporter GLUT1 and show increased lipid oxidation activity as compared to other effector T-cell subpopulations. Effector T cells and Treg cells exhibit different metabolic patterns: the former require glycolysis, whereas the latter require lipid oxidation for survival and functioning (69, 72, 73). This metabolic preference is regulated by the expression of the Treg-specific transcription factor FOXP3, which additionally inhibits glycolytic enzymes and downregulates the master regulator c-Myc (74). Furthermore, tumor cells to convert pyruvate to lactate via lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in a process called aerobic glycolysis (Warburg effect), which is a potential nutrient for tumor Treg. Upon uptake of lactate and lactic acid, LDH catalyzes their reversible conversion to form pyruvate and NADH. Tregs have also been found to uptake LDH



Factors in the microenvironment that contribute to metabolism in Treg cells. Activating environmental signals are required for functional maturation of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells into Treg cells and induce processes related to the regulation of FAO and OXPHOS. Hypoxia and acidification of the environment raise the number of Treg cells owing to their specificity for FAO processes. Glucose depletion and a high concentration of lactate in the medium cause the activation of enzymes AMPK, CD36, MCT1 or MCT4, FABP1 or FABP4, and CPT1 in Treg cells, thereby promoting their full-fledged physiological activities in the microenvironment. Tryptophan catabolism and arginine catabolism enhance IDO enzymatic activity in FOXP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells. TGF- $\beta$  and IL-10 induce the formation of Treg cells and drive the reprogramming of metabolic pathways toward FAO.  $\uparrow$ : processes and biomolecules that are upregulated in Treg cells under the influence of microenvironmental factors;  $\downarrow$ : processes and biomolecules that are downregulated in Treg cells when the latter are exposed to microenvironmental factors.



from the extracellular space *via* monocarboxylate transporter 4 (MCT4) to support own differentiation and survival *in vitro* (75–77). It should be noted that high lactate uptake disturbs aerobic glycolysis and forces Treg cells to perform OXPHOS. Apparently, the high aerobic glycolytic activity of tumor cells can create a microenvironment in which glucose deprivation and lactic acid enrichment promote the survival of Treg cells. It helps maintain the immunosuppressive function of the Treg cells that are in contact with the tumor. For example, Angelin et al. have shown that the specialized metabolic preferences of Treg cells support their survival in an environment enriched with lactic acid but devoid of glucose (74).

Latest studies on the suppressor function of Treg cells in the TME have revealed that the functionality of Treg cells is affected by several metabolic signals. In this regard, a hypoxic environment enhances the suppressor function of Treg cells. As demonstrated in a mouse glioma model, stabilization of HIF- $1\alpha$  in Tregs balances Treg migration (positively regulated by aerobic glycolysis) with Treg suppressive ability (positively regulated by mitochondrial OXPHOS of FAs) to ensure tumor growth. To do so, HIF-1a sustains both aerobic glycolysis and FAO (OXPHOS of FAs) by activation of lipid transporters [CD36 and fatty acid-binding proteins 1 and 4 (FABP1 and FABP4) (Figure 3) (38, 78). Elevated lipid uptake is key to the strength of Treg suppressive function, which manifests itself as a reduction in CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell proliferation during low HIF-1 $\alpha$ expression (Figure 3) (78). In this context, treatment with etomoxir, a mitochondrial CPT1/FAO inhibitor, enhances antitumor immunity by augmenting the abundance of CD4 +FOXP3+ T cells while curtailing their immunosuppressive capabilities (78). Recent investigation revealed that rapid glucose metabolism alters the functionality of Tregs; in a study on human tumors, glycolytic genes, including hexokinase 2 (HK2), glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and  $\alpha$ -enolase (ENO1), were found to be overexpressed in Treg cells (72). Consequently, it is possible that Treg cells under the conditions of contact with tumor cells are capable of metabolic adaptation, which opens up opportunities for differentiation of Treg cells and for inhibition of their suppressor activity toward effector T cells.

Many strategies are currently being used to manipulate Treg cells, including depletion of Treg cells, inhibition of Treg cell function, or blockade of Treg cell recruitment to lymph nodes or tumors (79). For example, inhibition of FABP5 function on the surface of Treg cells has been demonstrated, which attenuates OXPHOS and thus eventually impairs lipid metabolism and causes a loss of proper structure of mitochondrial cristae in vitro and in vivo. Destruction of FABP5 triggers the release of mitochondrial DNA into the cytosol, activating the cGAS-STING-dependent type I IFN signaling pathway, which upregulates Treg suppressive activity through enhancing IL-10 production (38). Another research demonstrated that activation of Toll-like receptor 8 (TLR8) signaling in Treg cells suppresses metabolic processes of glycolysis, thus ultimately weakening the suppressor function of Treg cells in vitro and in vivo (80). Further research aimed at the regulation of metabolic signaling pathways in Treg cells may point to a new strategy for cancer prevention or treatment.

Thereby, the microenvironmental conditions created by tumor cells contribute to the survival of Treg cells and to enhancement of their suppressor activity, which is manifested in the inhibition of antitumor immunity. This feature is based on the capacity of Treg cells for OXPHOS *via* maintenance of the activity of CD36 or FABP1 and FABP4, whereas effector T cells have to compete for glucose with tumor cells. In the latest studies, pharmacological and genetic interventions into the key transcriptional mechanisms of fatty acid metabolism in Treg cells help to increase the effectiveness of therapy in combination with existing antitumor strategies.

#### Treg cells after transplantation

Metabolism of Tregs in case of transplantation slightly differs in comparison to the conditions in TME that contribute to the induction of immune tolerance. The delicate balance between aerobic glycolysis and OXPHOS in Treg cells is controlled by mTOR and AMPK signaling pathways, respectively, thus linking immunological and microenvironmental factors to Treg cell metabolism and function after transplantation (Figure 3). FOXP3, in combination with the activation of TCR, IL-2 and mTOR, play a key role in the enhancement of Treg cell proliferation and function in allotransplantation settings. Several studies have demonstrated how manipulation of these metabolic factors can in turn modulate the function of Tregs. This is important because peripheral Treg cells must survive and maintain tolerance upon transplantation (81). During inflammation associated with allograft rejection the microenvironment is infiltrated by effector T cells, which begin to compete with Treg cells on metabolites for survival and induction of an immune response, which ultimately leads to a decrease in Treg (82).

In transplant settings Treg cell metabolism is also known to be associated with FAO and OXPHOS as described above in TME. Additionally, activated Treg cells can utilize extracellular glutamine as an alternative source of energy. Indeed, glutamine can be imported, incorporated directly into the tricarboxylic acid cycle and catabolized via OXPHOS in a process called glutaminolysis. Glutaminolysis provides all types of T cells with carbon and nitrogen necessary for the biosynthesis of hexosamine and nucleotides and is an important source of materials for T-cell proliferation. At high glutamine concentrations FOXP3 expression is induced, and differentiation toward the T helper 1 (Th1) phenotype is weakened (83, 84). For instance, oral glutamine supplementation increases the number of circulating Treg cells and alleviates graft-versus-host disease in mice compared with controls (85). Other metabolites that may influence Treg cell function are vitamins A and D, tryptophan, and arginine (86-88). Retinoic acid (a bioactive vitamin A metabolite) promotes differentiation of naive T cells into FOXP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells (Figure 2) (86). Retinoic acid stabilizes FOXP3 expression and prevents the differentiation of Treg cells under the action of IL-1 $\beta$ /IL-6 into Th1/Th17 cells (89). An active metabolite of vitamin D called calcitriol increases the proportion of IL-10-producing Treg cells upon transplantation (87, 90). Addition L-arginine increases IL-10 production of Tregs through IL-10 promoter DNA hypomethylation (91). Expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) promotes the catabolism of L-tryptophan to kynurenine, a compound that is important for the induction of the Treg phenotype (Figure 2) (88). In several transplantation models, increased IDO activity in transplanted cells has been found to exert antirejection effects in vitro and in vivo (88, 92). For example, it has been demonstrated that most of the co-stimulation-blocking effect of CTLA4 is mediated by elevated IDO activity in dendritic cells. This discovery, together with the ability of IDO-competent dendritic cells to induce the Treg phenotype in T cells, implies the existence of a peripheral tolerogenic pathway with important implications for transplantation medicine (93). Besides, after a skin transplant dendritic cells consume various essential amino acids to synthesize IDO, which ultimately leads to a decrease in mTOR activity and to induction of FOXP3 in T cells (94). To sum up, in transplantation settings, Treg cell metabolism responds to external signals (not only to its own "needs" and presented conditions in the microenvironment): for example, to metabolites of vitamins A and D or of cells that can affect Treg cells.

The therapeutic use of Treg cells for control over an unwanted immune reaction is an active area of research. In the last few years studies were published about a strategy to regulate the metabolic reprogramming of Treg cells in transplantation therapy. For instance, treatment with coenzyme Q10 has been shown to restore the activity of OXPHOS in pSTAT3-inhibited iTreg cells in vitro and in vivo. This approach allows to enhance their suppressive activity against alloreactive T cells after a skin transplant (95). In addition, Treg survival during inflammatory reactions, such as during allotransplantation, may be mediated by Notch expression. Saini et al. have demonstrated that activation of signal pathway Notch1-Grp75 maintains calcium ion homeostasis in mitochondria, which finally ensures the operation of oxidative phosphorylation in Treg cells (96). In general, there is a trend of growing importance of the research into the regulation of Treg cell metabolism in transplantation therapy in order to expand the list of options for overcoming the rejection reaction and autoimmune diseases.

Overall, latest research findings show the importance of understanding regulation the metabolism flux of Treg cells in transplantation settings. The induction of the Treg phenotype contributes to effective suppression of immune responses in models of graft-versus-host disease and of organ transplantation. Research in recent years will provide a better understanding of the efficacy of Treg cell therapy in humans.

# Concluding remarks and future perspectives

The field of immunometabolism research and the regulation of Treg cells, in particular, is developing rapidly. Additional opportunities are arising for the manipulation of potentially useful features of Treg cell metabolism under various conditions. Nevertheless, there are still many unresolved issues. For example, the maintenance of a balance between metabolism and immunological function in Treg cells is a complex process of interrelations with a constant dependence on environmental conditions. Furthermore, investigators have yet not fully formulated the concept of switching of Treg cells from "classic" aerobic glycolysis to an alternative energy source in the form of OXPHOS *via* FAO. Recent advances in immunotherapy have focused on the checkpoint molecules of Tregs or the adoptive transfer of effector T cells. For instance, the metabolic potential of CAR-T cells and T effector cells could be enhanced to overcome the harmful effects of the TME and enhance their anti-tumor aptitude (97). In transplantation, induction of liver kinase B1 (LKB1) signaling may promote Treg survival and function (98, 99). Active research is underway regarding the regulation of metabolism during intercellular interactions in a microenvironment and regarding effects of metabolism stimulation on the suppressor function of Treg cells under various conditions, e.g., during tumor growth or after transplantation.

Technological advances, such as high-throughput RNA sequencing, are likely to provide complete information about the regulation of metabolic reprogramming of Treg cells in various situations. Present-day methods enable scientists to study genetic and pharmacological modulation of metabolic signaling pathways in Treg cells. This approach paves the way to research on the mechanisms of interaction of intracellular signals and lays the foundation for the development of new applications of Treg cells in therapy. Particularly, this knowledge will be needed in clinical practice to implement new modalities for the treatment of various diseases.

#### Author contributions

AB wrote the manuscript. JK prepared the figure. NS prepared the figure. HS planned and supervised the part of cancer study. SS planned and supervised the part of transplantation study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### References

1. Galgani M, De Rosa V, La Cava A, Matarese G. Role of metabolism in the immunobiology of regulatory T cells. *J Immunol* (2016) 197(7):2567–75. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1600242

2. Golubovskaya V, Wu L. Different subsets of T cells, memory, effector functions, and CAR-T immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)* (2016) 8:E36. doi: 10.3390/cancers8030036

3. Shi H, Chi H. Metabolic control of treg cell stability, plasticity, and tissue-specific heterogeneity. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:2716. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02716

4. Zeng H, Chi H. Metabolic control of regulatory T cell development and function. *Trends Immunol* (2015) 36(1):3-12. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2014.08.003

5. Shyer JA, Flavell RA, Bailis W. Metabolic signaling in T cells. *Cell Res* (2020) 30:649-59. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0379-5

6. De Rosa V, La Cava A, Matarese G. Metabolic pressure and the breach of immunological self-tolerance. *Nat Immunol* (2017) 18:1190–6. doi: 10.1038/ni.3851

7. Chen X, Oppenheim JJ. Resolving the identity myth: key markers of functional CD4bFoxP3b regulatory T cells. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2011) 11:1489–96. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2011.05.018

8. Brodeur JF, Li S, Damlaj O, Dave VP. Expression of fully assembled TCR-CD3 complex on double positive thymocytes: Synergistic role for the PRS and ER retention motifs in the intra-cytoplasmic tail of CD3¢. *Int Immunol* (2009) 21 (12):1317–27. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxp098

# Funding

Collection and processing of data on the participation of metabolism in the development of T cells and in the transplant microenvironment was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant # 21-75-10089). Study on the influence of the tumor microenvironment on T cells metabolism was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant # 21-65-00004).

### Acknowledgments

The paper was translated into English by shevchuk -editing.com.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Pohar J, Simon Q, Fillatreau S. Antigen-specificity in the thymic development and peripheral activity of CD4+FOXP3+ T regulatory cells. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1701. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01701

10. Lancaster JN, Li Y, Ehrlich LIR. Chemokine-mediated choreography of thymocyte development and selection. *Trends Immunol* (2018) 39(2):86–98. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2017.10.007

11. Hsieh CS, Liang Y, Tyznik AJ, Self SG, Liggitt D, Rudensky AY. Recognition of the peripheral self by naturally arising CD25+ CD4+ T cell receptors. *Immunity* (2004) 21:267–77. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.07.009

12. Sakaguchi S. Naturally arising Foxp3-expressing CD25 + CD4 + regulatory T cells in immunological tolerance to self and non-self. *Nat Immunol* (2005) 6 (4):345–52. doi: 10.1038/ni1178

13. Nunes-Cabaço H, Caramalho Í, Sepúlveda N, Sousa AE. Differentiation of human thymic regulatory T cells at the double positive stage. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41:3604–14. doi: 10.1002/eji.201141614

14. Liston A, Nutsch KM, Farr AG, Lund JM, Rasmussen JP, Koni PA, et al. Differentiation of regulatory Foxp3+ T cells in the thymic cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2008) 105(33):11903–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801506105

15. Kurobe H, Liu G, Ueno T, Saito F, Ohidashi I, Seach N, et al. CCR7dependent cortex-to-medulla migration of positively selected thymocytes is essential for establishing central tolerance. *Immunity* (2006) 24(2):165. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.12.011

16. Soskic B, Jeffery LE, Kennedy A, Gardner DH, Hou TZ, Halliday N, et al. CD80 on human T cells is associated with FoxP3 expression and supports treg homeostasis. *Front Immunol* (2021) 11:577655. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.577655

17. Okkenhaug K, Vanhaesebroeck B. PI3K in lymphocyte development, differentiation and activation. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2003) 3(4):317-30. doi: 10.1038/nri1056

18. Ward SG, Cantrell DA. Phosphoinositide 3-kinases in T lymphocyte activation. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2001) 13(3):332–8. doi: 10.1016/s0952-7915(00) 00223-5

19. Priyadharshini B, Loschi M, Newton RH, Zhang JW, Finn KK, Gerriets VA, et al. TGF- $\beta$  and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signals modulate distinct metabolism of regulatory T cell subsets. *J Immunol* (2018) 201(8):2215–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800311

20. Soond DR, Bjorgo E, Moltu K, Dale VQ, Patton DT, Toregensen KM, et al. PI3K p110delta regulates T-cell cytokine production during primary and secondary immune responses in mice and humans. *Blood* (2010) 115:2203–13. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2009-07-232330

21. Han JM, Patterson SJ, Levings MK. The role of the PI3K signaling pathway in CD4(+) T cell differentiation and function. *Front Immunol* (2012) 3:245. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00245

22. Ali K, Soond DR, Piñeiro R, Hagemann T, Pearce W, Lim EL, et al. Inactivation of PI3K p1108 breaks regulatory T-cell-mediated immune tolerance to cancer. *Nature* (2014) 510(7505):407–11. doi: 10.1038/nature13444

23. Huang YH, Sauer K. Lipid signaling in T-cell development and function. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol (2010) 2(11):a002428. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002428

24. Hawse WF, Cattley RT. T Cells transduce T-cell receptor signal strength by generating different phosphatidylinositols. *J Biol Chem* (2019) 294:4793–805. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.006524

25. Pompura SI., Dominguez-Villar M. The PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in regulatory T-cell development, stability, and function. *J Leukoc Biol* (2018) 103:1065–76. doi: 10.1002/jlb.2mir0817-349r

26. Fan Z, Li L, Wang X, Miao G. Dysfunction of regulatory tcells mediated by AKT-FOXO1 signaling pathway occurs during the development of psoriasis. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* (2020) 13(4):799–809.

27. Ma J, Ding Y, Fang X, Wang R, Sun Z. Protein kinase c- $\theta$  inhibits inducible regulatory T cell differentiation *via* an AKT-Foxo1/3a–dependent pathway. *J Immunol* (2012) 188(11):5337–47. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1102979

28. Chen HH, Handel N, Ngeow J, Muller J, Huhn M, Yang H, et al. Immune dysregulation in patients with PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome: analysis of FOXP3 regulatory T cells. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2017) 139(2):607–20.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.03.059

29. Fan MY, Turka LA. Immunometabolism and PI(3)K signaling as a link between IL-2, Foxp3 expression, and suppressor function in regulatory T cells. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:69. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00069

30. Murter B, Kane LP. Control of T lymphocyte fate decisions by PI3K signaling, *F1000Res* (2020) 9:F1000. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.26928.1

31. Patterson SJ, Han JM, Garsia R, Assi K, Gao T, O'Neill A, et al. Cutting edge: PHLPP regulates the development, function, and molecular signaling pathways of regulatory T cells. *J Immunol* (2011) 186:5533–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1002126

32. Lai K, Zhang W, Li S, Zhang Z, Xie S, Xu M, et al. MTOR pathway regulates the differentiation of peripheral blood Th2/Treg cell subsets in patients with pemphigus vulgaris. *Acta Biochim Biophys* (2021) 53(4):438–45. doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmab008

33. Tian B, Hou X, Zhao M. Effect of BDNF on differentiation of circulating Th17 and treg cells in SLE patients and exploration of signal transduction pathways. *J Res Square* (2021) PREPRINT (Version 1):1–20. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-175686/v1

34. Finlay DK. Regulation of glucose metabolism in T cells: new insight into the role of phosphoinositide 3-kinases. *Front Immunol* (2012) 3:247. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00247

35. Salmond RJ. mTOR regulation of glycolytic metabolism in T cells. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2018) 6:122. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2018.00122

36. Howie D, Ten Bokum A, Necula AS, Cobbold SP, Waldmann H. The role of lipid metabolism in T lymphocyte differentiation and survival. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1949. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01949

37. Matias MI, Young CS, Foroushani A, Goldsmith C, Mongellaz C, Sezgin E, et al. Regulatory T cell differentiation is controlled by aKG-induced alterations in mitochondrial metabolism and lipid homeostasis. *Cell Rep* (2021) 37:37. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109911

38. Field CS, Baixauli F, Kyle RL, Puleston DJ, Cameron AM, Sanin DE, et al. Mitochondrial integrity regulated by lipid metabolism is a cell-intrinsic checkpoint for treg suppressive function. Cell Metab (2020) 31(2):422-37.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.021

39. Essig K, Hu D, Guimaraes JC, Alterauge D, Edelmann S, Raj T, et al. Roquin suppresses the PI3K-mTOR signaling pathway to inhibit T helper cell differentiation and conversion of treg to tfr cells. *Immunity* (2017) 47:1067–82. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.11.008

40. Zeng H, Yang K, Cloer C, Neale G, Vogel P, Chi H. mTORC1 couples immune signals and metabolic programming to establish T-cell function. *Nature* (2013) 499(7459):485–90. doi: 10.1038/nature12297

41. Shi H, Chapman NM, Wen J, Peng J, Guan KL, Chi H, et al. Amino acids license kinase mTORC1 activity and treg cell function *via* small G proteins rag and rheb. *Immunity* (2019) 51(6):1012–27.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.10.001

42. Wei J, Long L, Yang K, Guy C, Shrestha S, Chen Z, et al. Autophagy enforces functional integrity of regulatory T cells by coupling environmental cues and metabolic homeostasis. *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17(3):277–85. doi: 10.1038/ni.3365

43. Huynh A, DuPage M, Priyadharshini B, Sage PT, Quiros J, Borges CM, et al. Control of PI(3) kinase in treg cells maintains homeostasis and lineage stability. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16(2):188–96. doi: 10.1038/ni.3077

44. Pokhrel RH, Acharya S, Ahn JH, Gu Y, Pandit M, Kim JO, et al. AMPK promotes antitumor immunity by downregulating PD-1 in regulatory T cells *via* the HMGCR/p38 signaling pathway. *Mol Cancer* (2021) 20:133. doi: 10.1186/s12943-021-01420-9

45. Shackelford DB, Shaw RJ. The LKB1-AMPK pathway: metabolism and growth control in tumour suppression. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2009) 9(8):563-75. doi: 10.1038/nrc2676

46. Jiao A, Yang Z, Fu X, Hua X. Phloretin modulates human Th17/Treg cell differentiation *in vitro via* AMPK signaling. *Biomed Res Int 2020* (2020) 2020:6267924. doi: 10.1155/2020/6267924

47. Ma EH, Poffenberger MC, Wong AH, Jones RG. The role of AMPK in T cell metabolism and function. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2017) 46:45–52. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2017.04.004

48. Zhu H, Liu Z, An J, Zhang M, Qiu Y, Zou NH. Activation of AMPKα1 is essential for regulatory T cell function and autoimmune liver disease prevention. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18:2609–17. doi: 10.1038/s41423-021-00790-w

49. Zhou W, Marcus AI, Vertino PM. Dysregulation of mTOR activity through LKB1 inactivation. *Chin J Cancer* (2013) 32(8):427–33. doi: 10.5732/cjc.013.10086

50. Rosset C, Netto CBO, Ashton-Prolla P. TSC1 and TSC2 gene mutations and their implications for treatment in tuberous sclerosis complex: a review. *Genet Mol Biol* (2017) 40(1):69–79. doi: 10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2015-0321

51. Pollizzi KN, Patel CH, Sun IH, Oh MH, Waickman AT, Wen J, et al. mTORC1 and mTORC2 selectively regulate CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell differentiation. J Clin Invest (2015) 125(5):2090–108. doi: 10.1172/JCI77746

52. De Rosa V, Galgani M, Porcellini A, Colamatteo A, Santopaolo M, Zuchegna C, et al. Glycolysis controls the induction of human regulatory T cells by modulating the expression of FOXP3 exon 2 splicing variants. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16(11):1174–84. doi: 10.1038/ni.3269

53. Lim SA, Wei J, Nguyen T-LM, Shi H, Su W, Palacios G, et al. Lipid signalling enforces functional specialization of treg cells in tumours. *Nature* (2021) 591:306–11. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03235-6

54. Chen Y, Colello J, Jarjour W, Zheng SG. Cellular metabolic regulation in the differentiation and function of regulatory T cells. *Cells* (2019) 8(2):188. doi: 10.3390/cells8020188

55. Hao F, Tian M, Zhang X, Jin X, Jiang Y, Sun X, et al. Butyrate enhances CPT1A activity to promote fatty acid oxidation and iTreg differentiation. *PNAS* (2021) 118(22):e2014681118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2014681118

56. Verma A, Mathur R, Farooque A, Kaul V, Gupta S, Dwarakanath BS. T-Regulatory cells in tumor progression and therapy. *Cancer Manag Res* (2019) 11:10731–47. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S228887

57. Howie D, Bokum AT, Cobbold SP, Yu Z, Kessler BM, Waldmann H. A novel role for triglyceride metabolism in Foxp3 expression. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:1860. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01860

58. Wang H, Franco F, Tsui YC, Xie X, Trefny MP, Zappasodi R, et al. CD36mediated metabolic adaptation supports regulatory T cell survival and function in tumors. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21(3):298–308. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0589-5

59. Simard JR, Kamp F, Hamilton JA. Measuring the adsorption of fatty acids to phospholipid vesicles by multiple fluorescence probes. *Biophys J* (2008) 94 (11):4493–503. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.107.121186

60. Huang C, Shen Y, Shen M, Fan X, Men R, Ye T, et al. Glucose metabolism reprogramming of regulatory T cells in concanavalin a-induced hepatitis. *Front Pharmacol* (2021) 12:726128. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.726128

61. Miska J, Lee-Chang C, Rashidi A, Muroski ME, Chang AL, Lopez-Rosas A, et al. HIF-1 $\alpha$  is a metabolic switch between glycolytic-driven migration and oxidative phosphorylation-driven immunosuppression of tregs in glioblastoma. *Cell Rep* (2019) 27(1):226–237.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.029

62. Groneberg M, Hoenow S, Marggraff C, Fehling H, Metwally NG, Hansen C, et al. HIF-1 $\alpha$  modulates sex-specific Th17/Treg responses during hepatic amoebiasis. J Hepatol (2022) 76(1):160–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.09.020

63. Hurrell B, Helou D, Howard E, Painter DE, Shafiei-Jahani P, Sharpe HA, et al. PD-L2 controls peripherally induced regulatory T cells by maintaining metabolic activity and Foxp3 stability. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13:5118. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-32899-5

64. Xie K, Chen YQ, Chai YS, Lin SH, Wang CJ, Xu F. HMGB1 suppress the expression of IL-35 by regulating naïve CD4+ T cell differentiation and aggravating caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis in acute lung injury. *International Immunopharmacology* (2021) 91:107295. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107295

65. Matias MI, Yong CS, Foroushani A, Goldsmith C, Mongellaz C, Sezgin E, et al. Regulatory T cell differentiation is controlled by  $\alpha$ KG-induced alterations in mitochondrial metabolism and lipid homeostasis. *Cell Rep* (2021) 37(5):109911. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109911

66. Wang H, Franco F, Ho PC. Metabolic regulation of tregs in cancer: opportunities for immunotherapy. Trends Cancer (2017) 3:583–92. doi: 10.1016/j.trecan.2017.06.005

67. Yin Z, Bai L, Li W, Zeng T, Tian H, Cui J. Targeting T cell metabolism in the tumor microenvironment: an anti-cancer therapeutic strategy. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res CR* (2019) 38(1):403. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1409-3

68. Fernández-García J, Fendt SM. Assessing the impact of the nutrient microenvironment on the metabolism of effector CD8 $^+$  T cells. *Methods Mol Biol* (2019) 1862:187-216. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-8769-6\_14

69. Sasidharan Nair V, Saleh R, Toor SM, Cyprian FS, Elkord E. Metabolic reprogramming of T regulatory cells in the hypoxic tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2021) 70(8):2103–21. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02842-y

70. Kumagai S, Togashi Y, Sakai C, Kawazoe A, Kawazu M, Ueno T, et al. An oncogenic alteration creates a microenvironment that promotes tumor progression by conferring a metabolic advantage to regulatory T cells. *Immunity* (2020) 53 (1):187–203.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.016

71. Moreno Ayala MA, Li Z, DuPage M. Treg programming and therapeutic reprogramming in cancer. *Immunology* (2019) 157(3):198–209. doi: 10.1111/imm.13058

72. Pacella I, Procaccini C, Focaccetti C, Miacci S, Timperi E, Faicchia D, et al. Fatty acid metabolism complements glycolysis in the selective regulatory T cell expansion during tumor growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2018) 115:E6546–55. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720113115

73. Michalek RD, Gerriets VA, Jacobs SR, Macintyre AN, MacIver NJ, Mason EF, et al. Cutting edge: distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs are essential for effector and regulatory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell subsets. *J Immunol* (2011) 186 (6):3299–303. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1003613

74. Angelin A, Gil-de-Gomez L, Dahiya S, Jiao J, Guo L, Levine MH, et al. Foxp3 reprograms T cell metabolism to function in low-glucose, high-lactate environments. *Cell Metab* (2017) 25(6):1282–93.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.12.018

75. Kumagai S, Koyama S, Itahashi K, Tanegashima T, Lin YT, Togashi Y, et al. Lactic acid promotes PD-1 expression in regulatory T cells in highly glycolytic tumor microenvironments. *J Cancel Cell* (2022) 40(2):201–18.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2022.01.001

76. Multhoff G, Vaupel P. Lactate-avid regulatory T cells: metabolic plasticity controls immunosuppression in tumour microenvironment. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* (2021) 6:171. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00598-0

77. Watson MJ, Vignali PDA, Mullett SJ, Overacre-Delgoffe AE, Peralta RM, Grebinoski S, et al. Metabolic support of tumour-infiltrating regulatory T cells by lactic acid. *Nature* (2021) 591(7851):645–51. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-03045-2

78. Miska J, Lee-Chang C, Rashidi A, Muroski ME, Chang AL, Lopez-Rosas A, et al. HIF-1 $\alpha$  is a metabolic switch between glycolytic-driven migration and oxidative phosphorylation-driven immunosuppression of treg cells in glioblastoma. *Cell Rep* (2019) 27(1):226–37.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.029

79. Pere H, Tanchot C, Bayry J, Terme M, Taieb J, Badoual C, et al. Comprehensive analysis of current approaches to inhibit regulatory T cells in cancer. *Oncoimmunology* (2012) 1(3):326–33. doi: 10.4161/onci.18852

80. Li L, Liu X, Sanders KL, Edwards JL, Ye J, Peng G, et al. TLR8-mediated metabolic control of human treg function: a mechanistic target for cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Metab* (2018) 29:103–23. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.09.020

81. Ma L, Zhang H, Hu K, Lv G, Fu Y, Ayana DA, et al. The imbalance between tregs, Th17 cells and inflammatory cytokines among renal transplant recipients. *BMC Immunol* (2015) 16:56. doi: 10.1186/s12865-015-0118-8

82. Kedia-Mehta N, Finlay DK. Competition for nutrients and its role in controlling immune responses. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10:2123. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-019-10015-4

83. Wasinski F, Gregnani MF, Ornellas FH, Bacurau AV, Câmara NO, Araujo RC, et al. Lymphocyte glucose and glutamine metabolism as targets of the antiinflammatory and immunomodulatory effects of exercise. *Mediators Inflamm* (2014) 2014:326803. doi: 10.1155/2014/326803

84. Hippen KL, Aguilar EG, Rhee SY, Bolivar-Wagers S, Blazar BR. Distinct regulatory and effector T cell metabolic demands during graft-Versus-Host disease. *Trends Immunol* (2020) 41(1):77–91. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2019.11.005

85. Song EK, Yim JM, Yim JY, Song MY, Rho HW, Yim SK, et al. Glutamine protects mice from acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD). *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2013) 435:94–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.04.047

86. Raverdeau M, Mills KH. Modulation of T cell and innate immune responses by retinoic acid. *J Immunol* (2014) 192(7):2953–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1303245

87. Fisher SA, Rahimzadeh M, Brierley C, Gration B, Doree C, Kimberet CE, et al. The role of vitamin d in increasing circulating T regulatory cell numbers and modulating T regulatory cell phenotypes in patients with inflammatory disease or in healthy volunteers: A systematic review. *PloS One* (2019) 14(9):e0222313. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0222313

88. Huang YS, Ogbechi J, Clanchy FI, Williams RO, Stone TW. IDO and kynurenine metabolites in peripheral and CNS disorders. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:388. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00388

89. Lu L, Lan Q, Li Z, Zhou X, Gu J, Li Q, et al. Critical role of all-trans retinoic acid in stabilizing human natural regulatory T cells under inflammatory conditions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2014) 111:E3432-40. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1408780111

90. Zhou Q, Qin S, Zhang J, Zhon L, Pen Z, Xing T. 1,25(OH)2D3 induces regulatory T cell differentiation by influencing the VDR/PLC-gamma1/TGF-beta1/ pathway. *Mol Immunol* (2017) 91:156–64. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2017.09.006

91. Yu HR, Tsai CC, Chang LS, Huang HC, Cheng HH, Wang JY, et al. L-Arginine-Dependent epigenetic regulation of interleukin-10, but not transforming growth factor- $\beta$ , production by neonatal regulatory T lymphocytes. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:487. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00487

92. Lob S, Konigsrainer A. Role of IDO in organ transplantation: promises and difficulties. *Int Rev Immunol* (2009) 28(3-4):185-206. doi: 10.1080/08830180902989119

93. Mulley WR, Nikolic-Paterson DJ. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in transplantation. *Nephrol (Carlton)* (2008) 13:204-11. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2007.00921.x

94. Howie D, Waldmann H, Cobbold S. Nutrient sensing via mTOR in T cells maintains a tolerogenic microenvironment. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:409. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00409

95. Walton K, Fernandez MR, Sagatys EM, Reff J, Kim J, Lee MC, et al. Metabolic reprogramming augments potency of human pSTAT3-inhibited iTregs to suppress alloreactivity. *JCI Insight* (2020) 5:9. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.136437

96. Saini N, Lakshminarayanan S, Kundu P, Sarin A. Notch1 modulation of cellular calcium regulates mitochondrial metabolism and anti-apoptotic activity in T-regulatory cells. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:832159. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.832159

97. Lim A, Rathmell W, Rathmell J. The tumor microenvironment as a metabolic barrier to effector T cells and immunotherapy. *Elife* (2020) 9:e55185. doi: 10.7554/eLife.55185

98. He N, Fan W, Henriquez B, Yu RT, Atkins AR, Liddle C, et al. Metabolic control of regulatory T cell (Treg) survival and function by Lkb1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2017) 114(47):12542–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1715363114

99. Yang K, Blanco DB, Neale G, Vogel P, Avila J, Clish CB, et al. Homeostatic control of metabolic and functional fitness of  $t_{reg}$  cells by LKB1 signalling. *Nature* (2017) 548(7669):602–6. doi: 10.1038/nature23665

# Glossary

AKT	protein kinase B
AMP	adenosine monophosphate
АМРК	5'AMP-activated protein kinase
APC	antigen-presenting cell
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
CPT1A	carnitine palmitoyltransferase I
ENO1	α-enolase
FABP	fatty acid-binding protein (transporter)
FAO	fatty acid β-oxidation
FOXO1	forkhead box protein O1
FOXP3	forkhead box P3
GAP	GTPase-activating protein
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GLUT1	glucose transporter, type 1
HIF-1α	hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha
HK2	hexokinase 2
IDO	indolamine 2,3-dioxygenase
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
MCT4	monocarboxylate transporter 4
MHC	major histocompatibility complex
mTORC1	mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1
NADH	reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
nTreg cells	natural regulatory CD4 <sup>+</sup> T cells
OXPHOS	oxidative phosphorylation
PDK1	phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1
PHLPP	PH domain leucine-rich repeat-containing protein phosphatase
PI3K	phosphoinositide 3-kinase
PIP2	phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate
PIP3	phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate
РКС	protein kinase C
Rheb	GTPase-binding protein
TCR	T-cell receptor
Th	helper CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell phenotype
TME	tumor microenvironment
Treg cells	regulatory CD4 <sup>+</sup> T cells
TSC2	tuberin