#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maziar Divangahi, McGill University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Nargis Khan, University of Calgary, Canada Charles Scanga, University of Pittsburgh, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Elsje Pienaar epienaar@purdue.edu

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Microbial Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 08 August 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 31 October 2022

#### CITATION

Hoerter A, Arnett E, Schlesinger LS and Pienaar E (2022) Systems biology approaches to investigate the role of granulomas in TB-HIV coinfection. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1014515. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1014515

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Hoerter, Arnett, Schlesinger and Pienaar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Systems biology approaches to investigate the role of granulomas in TB-HIV coinfection

## Alexis Hoerter<sup>1</sup>, Eusondia Arnett<sup>2</sup>, Larry S. Schlesinger<sup>2</sup> and Elsje Pienaar<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States, <sup>2</sup>Host-Pathogen Interactions Program, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, United States, <sup>3</sup>Regenstrief Center for Healthcare Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States

The risk of active tuberculosis disease is 15-21 times higher in those coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV) compared to tuberculosis alone, and tuberculosis is the leading cause of death in HIV+ individuals. Mechanisms driving synergy between Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) and HIV during coinfection include: disruption of cytokine balances, impairment of innate and adaptive immune cell functionality, and Mtb-induced increase in HIV viral loads. Tuberculosis granulomas are the interface of host-pathogen interactions. Thus, granuloma-based research elucidating the role and relative impact of coinfection mechanisms within Mtb granulomas could inform cohesive treatments that target both pathogens simultaneously. We review known interactions between Mtb and HIV, and discuss how the structure, function and development of the granuloma microenvironment create a positive feedback loop favoring pathogen expansion and interaction. We also identify key outstanding questions and highlight how coupling computational modeling with in vitro and in vivo efforts could accelerate Mtb-HIV coinfection discoveries.

#### KEYWORDS

tuberculosis, TB-HIV coinfection, granuloma, systems biology, HIV - human immunodeficiency virus

## Introduction

The synergistic interaction between *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) and human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV) has exacerbated the global burden of both diseases. A quarter of the global population is estimated to be infected with Mtb – the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB). TB deaths increased for the first time in a decade in 2020, due to

COVID-related disruptions in care (1). There is a 5-10% lifetime risk of developing active TB disease following exposure to *Mtb*, and the risk of developing TB for HIV+ individuals is 15-21 times higher than for HIV– individuals (1). TB is the leading cause of death in HIV+ individuals claiming approximately 214,000 lives in 2020 (1). In addition to these pathological interactions between TB and HIV, there is also significant epidemiological overlap in these two infections. On the WHO's lists of 30 high burden countries for TB and HIV-associated TB, 12 countries overlap (1). HIV coinfection also interferes with TB diagnostics including tuberculin skin tests and interferon- $\gamma$  release assays (2). Thus, there is a need to focus on *Mtb*-HIV coinfection if we are to maintain hard-won gains in TB and HIV control.

While treatments exist for both TB and HIV, outcomes are not always positive in coinfected patients. Antiretroviral therapy (ART) in coinfected patients can reduce HIV-associated TB incidence (3). Nonetheless, alveolar macrophages, blood monocytes, and Mtb-specific T cells still have impaired functions or only partial restoration of function after ART initiation (4, 5). Paradoxical reactions following rapid immune reconstitution under ART, known as TB-immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (TB-IRIS), can also cause unintended clinical deterioration in coinfected patients [reviewed in (6)]. Drug-drug interactions as well as TB-IRIS can reduce efficacy of TB antibiotics and ART [reviewed in (7)]. To improve outcomes in coinfected patients we must develop treatments and vaccines specifically for Mtb-HIV coinfection, instead of each infection individually. Such treatments and vaccines will depend on a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of interaction between Mtb and HIV, and how these interactions combine to affect disease progression and treatment response.

Mtb infection is associated with a wide spectrum of outcomes ranging from complete elimination of bacteria, to bacterial containment in asymptomatic clinical states, to high bacterial replication in active disease with severe clinical symptoms (8). How individuals move throughout this spectrum is still being uncovered. It is believed that the clinical state of a patient is the combined result of the histopathologic response of multiple granulomas of different size and activity (9). Granulomas are the sites of infection of Mtb and consist of host immune cells, bacteria and dead cell debris. Granulomas exhibit a high level of heterogeneity between and within individuals, and can range from contained to disseminating (9, 10). Since granulomas are the primary site of infection of Mtb, we will focus our discussion on how the structure, timing and development of Mtb granulomas facilitates the synergism between *Mtb* and HIV.

*Mtb* granulomas develop in the lungs and lymph nodes following primary infection through a complex series of host-pathogen interactions. Granuloma formation is initiated through the recruitment of neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells to the site of infection (11).

This recruitment allows the host to mount an innate immune response, but also allows the bacteria to continuously infect macrophages, thus expanding their intracellular growth and dissemination (12). Following phagocytosis of Mtb, dendritic cells will migrate to the lymph nodes where they process and present antigen to Mtb-specific T cells. This process initiates the adaptive immune response where activated T cells are recruited to the site of Mtb infection through cytokine and chemokine production (13). The transition from the innate immune response to the adaptive immune response occurs about two to three weeks after infection by the ingress to the granuloma of lymphocytes (B and T cells) that form a lymphocyte cuff around the mass of macrophages (14). Germinal centers and B cell follicles have also been shown to contribute to granuloma structure formation (15). The arrival of lymphocytes can mark the end of rapid bacterial growth as the granuloma traps bacteria and can prevent its spread throughout the body. However, granulomas can also provide a replicative niche for the bacteria to persist inside and outside of the lungs (10). The organization of granulomas and long-term control of bacteria is dependent upon the recruitment of macrophages, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, but also the cytokines that they secrete such as IFN $\gamma$  and TNF $\alpha$  (16, 17). Caseous necrosis can form in the centers of granulomas as the result of the accumulation of dead cell debris. This necrotic region has been shown to be hypoxic (18) and hospitable to drug-tolerant and drug-resistant bacteria (19). It is within this complex and dynamic granuloma environment that we will discuss Mtb-HIV interactions.

Studying human granulomas is challenging. A systematic review and meta-analysis performed by Diedrich et al., 2016 (20) identified that there was a high degree of contrast among studies investigating the impact of HIV on Mtb granulomas during coinfection. Their analysis considered HIV impacts on granuloma formation, bacterial load, cellular composition, and cytokine production in Mtb-infected tissue from HIV+ and HIV- individuals. The authors found that the only consistent finding across studies was increased bacterial load in Mtb-HIV coinfected persons compared to Mtb infection alone (20). The authors could not conclusively confirm or reject any suggested hypotheses regarding Mtb-HIV coinfected granulomas. Two major sources of variation between studies are: a) granuloma descriptions (including location- lung vs lymph node) and scoring systems used, and b) the status of either disease stage, order of infections, and treatment status of the patients being studied. To address some of these challenges, non-human primate (NHP) models of coinfection use Mtb infection together with Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV), which closely resembles human coinfection dynamics (21-32). NHP models offer the advantage of controlling the order of infection and knowing the status of the initial infection as well as treatment status.

A variety of mechanisms have been associated with the increased risk of developing active TB in HIV+ individuals.

One major mechanism relates to the HIV-associated loss of macrophages and CD4+ T cells, both of which are instrumental in containing Mtb growth in granulomas (33). Since the hallmark of HIV infection is the slow depletion of CD4+ T cells over time, it has been suggested that CD4+ T cells in the granulomas of Mtb infected patients could provide a large source of target cells for HIV infection, and that their depletion directly interferes with granuloma control (34, 35). Foreman et al., 2022 (35) has demonstrated that CD4+ T cells within granulomas are directly depleted within 2 weeks following SIV coinfection of NHPs with LTBI before any detectable changes in CD4+ T cell counts in peripheral locations. This directly supports evidence that HIV-infected individuals have shown a 2- to 3- fold increase in the transition to active TB within the first year of HIV coinfection when CD4+ T cells are still relatively abundant (36). Therefore, HIV infection increases TB susceptibility, at least in part, independently of peripheral CD4+ T cell count, the hallmark of HIV progression (21, 37, 38). Furthermore, NHP models have demonstrated that CD4+ T cell depletion alone is not the sole mechanism responsible for the greater risk of active TB during HIV coinfection, since antibody mediated T cell depletion is not sufficient to drive LTBI to active TB, and not all NHPs with HIV-mediated T cell depletion develop active TB (21-23, 25, 26, 30). Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate additional mechanisms other than CD4+ T cell decline as possible causes for bacterial growth and dissemination, granuloma disruption, and progression of both infections in Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals.

Mechanisms in addition to CD4+ T cell depletion that have been implicated in the synergistic interactions between *Mtb* and HIV include: disruption of the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine balance, impairment of CD4+ T cell and *Mtb*-specific T cell functionality, *Mtb*-induced increase in HIV viral load, viral evolution within granulomas, chronic immune activation, and HIV impairment of innate immune cells (23–26, 28, 30, 32, 35, 39, 40). However, the role and relative impact of all of these mechanisms within *Mtb* granulomas remains unclear.

Discussion surrounding *Mtb*-HIV coinfection tends to focus on unidirectional impacts of one infection on the other. Instead we aim to focus on how each pathogen benefits from the other and from the microenvironment in which they both exist. Elucidating how *Mtb* and HIV are interfering with the immune response inside *Mtb* granulomas could inform new cohesive treatment strategies that target both pathogens simultaneously. The focus of this review is to show how the structure, function and development of the *Mtb* granuloma microenvironment favors bacterial and viral expansion during *Mtb*-HIV coinfection. Considerations of the impact of ART and TB treatments is important in *Mtb*-HIV coinfected individuals, but it adds a level of complexity outside the scope of this discussion (6, 7). Below, we present known interactions between *Mtb* and HIV and discuss how these might connect in the granuloma microenvironment to create a positive feedback loop beneficial towards disease progression. We identify key outstanding questions and propose that coupling computational modeling with ongoing *in vitro* and *in vivo* efforts will be key to understanding how these two pathogens are working synergistically to overcome the immune system.

# *Mtb*-HIV interactions in pre-existing TB infection

#### It's more than just a lack of CD4+ T cells

While CD4+ T cell depletion contributes to TB reactivation and progression (41), there are additional mechanisms, independent of CD4+ T cell depletion, that play a key role during Mtb-HIV coinfection (23, 25, 26). Diedrich et al., (21) was the first to use an NHP model (Chinese cynomolgus macaque) of Mtb-SIV coinfection. In this study, all LTBI NHPs experienced reactivation following SIV infection. Reactivation occurred across different time intervals and only when peripheral T cell numbers had returned to normal (21). The authors noted numerous completely fibrotic granulomas from NHPs that reactivated which is unusual in latent infection (21). Coinfected NHPs also had fewer CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in Mtb-involved lung tissue than SIV negative NHPs with active TB (21). Mattila et al., 2011 (22) extended the previous study to examine multifunctional T cell responses and granuloma T cell phenotypes in TB reactivated Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs. Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs experienced an increase in the frequency of Mtb-specific IFNy and IL-2 expressing cells shortly after SIV infection and a sudden short-lived burst of IL-4 expressing cells (both CD4+ and CD8+) that was correlated with a period of reduced IFNy and IL-2 expression. CD4+ T cell responses were not significantly different between early and late reactivating NHPs. However, early reactivating animals had significantly fewer Th0- (IFN $\gamma^+$ IL-4<sup>+</sup>IL-10<sup>+</sup>, IFN $\gamma^+$ IL-4<sup>+</sup>, IFN $\gamma^+$ IL-10<sup>+</sup>), fewer Th1- (IFNy<sup>+</sup>) and more Th2-(IL-4+IL-10+, IL-4+, IL-10<sup>+</sup>) CD8+ T cells than late reactivating NHPs (22). In this study, they also observed that granuloma T cell responses were dominated by cytolytic T cell phenotypes compared to the cytokine-producing T cells being dominant in the periphery (22). Together, these studies highlight the involvement of CD8+ T cells, cytolytic T cells and inflammatory T cell phenotypes in Mtb-SIV coinfection progression. However, these studies don't explicitly uncouple CD4+ T cell mediated impacts from SIVmediated impacts.

Diedrich et al., 2020 (25) specifically determined the role of CD4+ T cell depletion by comparing *Mtb* infected NHPs who underwent antibody-mediated CD4 depletion (Mtb- $\alpha$ CD4), SIV

coinfection, or no immune suppression (control). After 2 months, subclinical reactivation was observed in 5 of 7 Mtb-αCD4 NHPs and 4 of 8 Mtb-SIV coinfected NHP, suggesting similar rates of reactivation. Mtb-SIV coinfected NHP had more CD4+ T cells in granulomas compared to Mtb-aCD4. However, SIV-induced reactivation was associated with more disseminated lung granulomas and higher bacterial growth compared to Mtb- $\alpha$ CD4 NHPs that reactivated (25). Interestingly, the granulomas from Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs had a more immune activated profile (more cytokines and granzyme B production) compared to Mtb-αCD4 NHPs. Granuloma composition was determined to be altered by Mtb-SIV coinfection and Mtb-aCD4 as noted by the presence of non-traditional CD3+ T cells found to be actively contributing to the overall immune function of granulomas in these groups. Further, the absolute number of CD8+ T cells within granulomas from Mtb-SIV NHPs was significantly greater than both LTBI control and Mtb-αCD4 NHPs. Together, these findings indicate that, despite having fewer CD4+ and CD8+ T cells as well as lower immune activation, Mtb-aCD4 NHPs were better able to control Mtb infections compared to Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs. Sharan et al., 2022 showed that early events during SIV coinfection, prior to CD4+ T cell depletion, drives LTBI reactivation (42). This therefore implicates other SIVassociated impairments besides CD4+ T cell depletion, including cell type imbalances and inflammation, in facilitating TB reactivation and progression.

A similar study in Indian rhesus macaques compared Mtb infected NHPs with LTBI who underwent antibody-mediated CD4 depletion (CD4R1 administration), SIV coinfection, or no immune suppression (control) (26). Only 1 of 8 the CD4 depleted animals showed signs of reactivated TB while 9 of 16 Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs reactivated. Despite this difference in reactivation rates, CD4-depleted and Mtb-SIV NHPs demonstrated similarly reduced CD4+ T cells in BAL (bronchoalveolar lavage) and lungs regardless of their TB outcome (26). Instead, coinfection with SIV resulted in a significant reduction of memory CD4+ T cells, which were replaced by naïve, not effector CD4+ T cells. Importantly, Mtb-SIV reactivators experienced higher levels of cytokines associated with chronic immune activation and inflammation (TNF $\alpha$ , IL1- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6) and a more activated T cell phenotype (HLA-DR+) in the lungs compared with NHPs administered CD4R1 (26). These patterns were also observed in the CD8+ T cell compartment (26). The striking difference in reactivation rates between this Bucsan et al., 2019 (26) study and the earlier mentioned Diedrich et al., 2020 (25) study, could be attributed to the difference in NHP model used [cynomolgus (25) vs rhesus (26)) and different Mtb strains (Erdman (25) vs CDC1551 (26)], as noted by Diedrich et al., 2020 (25). Additionally, there were differences in the time frame to establish LTBI (LTBI established 9 weeks after Mtb inoculation (26) vs LTBI established 6 months after *Mtb* inoculation (25)) and the clinical endpoint for reactivation used (subclinical

reactivation (appearance of new granuloma) defined by PET CT (25) vs overt clinical symptoms (26)). Nevertheless, this work supports the role of cell type and cell phenotype imbalances as well as inflammation and activation, not just CD4+ T cell depletion, in TB reactivation in *Mtb*-SIV coinfection.

Foreman et al., 2016 (23) explored the impact of SIV on LTBI by comparing Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs termed reactivators (animals that progressed into active TB: 9/14) and non-reactivators (retained LTBI status 5/14) following SIV infection of LTBI NHPs. Reactivators had a) higher BAL Mtb CFU (colony-forming units) values compared to nonreactivators or LTBI only NHPs, b) similar culturable Mtb from the lungs compared to the active TB group, c) more disseminated Mtb than non-reactivators and LTBI only NHPs, and d) more pulmonary lesions than non-reactivators and LTBI only group. All Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs showed signs of SIVinduced pulmonary pathology, but the extent of lung pathology was more severe in reactivators compared to non-reactivators (23). Similar to NHP studies previously mentioned in this review, there was massive reduction in CD4+ T cell percentages and absolute numbers. This reduction was observed in all coinfected NHPs (reactivators and nonreactivators) BAL samples. However, the reduction in CD4+ T cells in BAL was not significantly different between reactivators and non-reactivators, thereby implicating additional mechanisms beyond CD4+ T cell depletion in TB reactivation during coinfection. Qualitatively comparing subsets of the few remaining CD4+ T cells in BAL, a) there was no difference in central memory cells (percentage, absolute numbers, or turnover), b) reactivators had a significantly higher percentage of effector memory cells (and absolute number) but their turnover was not significant, and c) regulatory CD4+ T cells were significantly higher in non-reactivators (23). The authors noted that this increase in regulatory CD4+ T cells in nonreactivators concurrent with decreased effector memory CD4+ T cells suggests a role for these regulatory cells in limiting disease causing pathology (which was increased in reactivators). Other additional mechanisms beyond CD4+ T cell depletion identified include: higher rate of turnover (Ki67+) of CD8+ T cells in nonreactivators, indicating that these cells were active and proliferating; more well-organized areas of lymphoid follicles in non-reactivators; and, in contrast to Diedrich et al., 2020 (25), higher production of granzyme B in non-reactivators, indicating increased cytolytic activity.

There is also evidence that *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells play a role in increased disease in *Mtb*-HIV coinfection. It has been hypothesized that HIV preferentially targets *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells. Geldmacher et al., 2008 (37) has shown that fewer *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells existed in peripheral blood of LTBI individuals who became infected with HIV compared to HIV-uninfected patients with active TB (37). The same group also suggested that HIV preferentially targets *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells based on finding more HIV DNA in *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T

cells compared to non-Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood (43). The authors, as well as others, concluded that differences in the functions of Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells, particularly a weak ability to produce a natural antagonist to HIV CCR5 receptor entry, likely contributes to their increased susceptibility to HIV compared to Mtb non-specific cells, resulting in markedly depleted Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells (43). Other studies echo the decrease in frequency of Mtb-specific CD4 + T cells and highlight changes in functionality of Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells following in vitro studies of HIV+ individuals (44) as well as in vivo studies from LTBI-HIV infected individuals compared to HIV-uninfected individuals (45, 46). Day et al., 2017 found that blood samples from LTBI individuals with HIV infection had lower frequencies of cytokine-producing Mtb specific CD4+ T cells, preferential depletion of a specific subset of polyfunctional Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells, and reduced proliferative capacity of Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells compared to HIV- individuals (45). Amelio et al., 2018 reported that Tanzanian individuals with Mtb-HIV coinfection had significantly fewer IL-4/IL-5 and IL-13 producing Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells and fewer IL-2 producing Mtb-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells compared to individuals with just TB. In addition to lower quantity, the authors showed that HIV suppresses Mtb induced systemic proinflammatory cytokine responses (46). Another study in LTBI individuals with and without HIV found that Mtb-specific CD8+ T cell functionality was impaired in the HIV+ group as shown by decreased CD8+ T cell proliferation and degranulation activity (47). Thus, HIV appears to reduce the number of Mtb-specific T cells as well as the antimicrobial functionality of both CD4+ and CD8+ Mtbspecific T cells functionality. Foreman et al., 2022 (35), demonstrated in a rhesus macaque model that Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells are specifically decimated in granulomas from Mtb-SIV coinfected animals long before depletion is detectable in blood and that coinfection significantly decreased CD4+ T cell movement in granulomas (35).

In the context of Mtb-HIV coinfection, immune cell dynamics in the lungs are likely to have a large impact on Mtb infection progression (27, 30). Kuroda et al., 2018 (30) observed that monocyte and macrophage turnover rates in SIV-infected lung tissue were higher in animals that reactivated compared to those that remained LTBI (30). Corleis et al., 2019 (27) showed that during early SIV infection, loss of lung interstitial CD4+ T cells before loss of circulating CD4+ T cells is associated with increased dissemination of pulmonary Mtb infection. Early severe depletion of lung interstitial CD4+ T cells in vitro, induced by HIV in human cells was also determined in this study. HIV-induced lung interstitial CD4+ T cell depletion was accompanied by high virus production in vitro and the cells with the highest rate of virus production were the most severely depleted (27). Therefore, both numbers and turnover of innate and adaptive immune cells in lung tissue appear to be altered by Mtb-HIV coinfection compared to Mtb infection alone. These dynamics in the lungs are likely to impact granuloma formation and function.

Indeed, studies have demonstrated that the composition of granulomas from Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals is altered compared to Mtb infection alone. Spinal granulomas from coinfected individuals had more CD8+ T cells than TB only (48). Excised cervical lymph nodes of Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals had increased Mtb load and fewer CD4+ T cells compared to *Mtb* mono-infection (49). Unlike many of the NHP studies mentioned, peripheral CD4+ T-cell depletion correlated with granulomas that contained fewer CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, less IFNy production, more neutrophils, more IL-10, and increased Mtb numbers (49). Mtb numbers correlated positively with IL-10 and IFNa levels and fewer CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (49). In a humanized mouse (HuMouse) model of Mtb-HIV coinfection and Mtb-HIV coinfected human lung tissues from autopsy, there was an accumulation of neutrophils localized to poorly organized inflammatory areas in coinfected tissues in human subjects and HuMice, as well as excessive pro-inflammatory cytokine responses in the pulmonary microenvironment compared to Mtb-only infected lungs (50).

Taken together, these studies illustrate that T cell numbers and function, along with cell localization in granulomas, macrophage turnover, and chronic/dysregulated inflammation all contribute to the deleterious effect of *Mtb*-HIV coinfection on TB progression. This highlights the notion that *Mtb*-HIV coinfection impacts TB progression in additional ways other than CD4+ T cells numbers both in granulomas and peripherally.

#### TB granuloma microenvironment is permissive to HIV infection and replication

We next turn our attention to how the Mtb microenvironment might facilitate HIV infection progression and in turn Mtb replication. Many studies have shown that Mtb coinfection enhances HIV replication and infectivity in vitro and in vivo (51-53). Larson et al., 2017 (54), even showed that Mtb coinfection can reactivate latent HIV infection (54). Higher levels of p24 (HIV viral antigen) were associated with BAL fluid from Mtb-involved (determined by chest radiographs) lung tissue compared to Mtbuninvolved lung tissue from Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals (52, 55). HIV+ cells were localized almost exclusively to site of Mtb lesions compared to being occasionally found and distributed in the lung parenchyma during HIV only infection (50). Several studies have shown that pleural fluid from Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals with pleural TB had greater levels of HIV proteins compared to plasma fluid (56, 57). The number of HIV RNA-positive cells was 10-fold higher in lymphoid tissues with granulomas than without from Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals (58). In Mtb-SIV coinfected NHP models, Foreman et al., 2016 (23), showed that Mtb-SIV

coinfected NHPs that had reactivated TB had higher numbers of SIV-infected cells in granulomas than Mtb-SIV coinfected nonreactivators (23). Kuroda et al., 2018 (30), observed high levels of SIV DNA in lung macrophages from reactivated Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs but not in LTBI Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs (30). Diedrich et al., 2020 (25), observed that Mtb CFU+ granulomas from Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs were associated with higher SIV RNA compared to Mtb CFU- granulomas. Granulomas from Mtb-SIV coinfected NHP reactivators had higher SIV RNA than nonreactivators even when accounting for number of CD4+ T cells (25). Additionally, they also showed that granulomas with SIV RNA from Mtb-SIV coinfected animals contained higher Mtb counts than granulomas without SIV RNA (25). While the cause and effect relationships between increased bacterial growth/reactivation and higher HIV/SIV viral RNA is complex, these data show that a) Mtb sites of infection are associated with higher HIV viral loads compared to plasma viral loads in Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals and b) higher bacterial numbers or TB disease progression is associated with higher viral loads.

Recent advances indicate that Mtb infection increases viral replication via Mtb-induced inflammation (59-62). Along with greater levels of HIV proteins, greater concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines and immune activation markers were found in pleural fluid compared to plasma in coinfected patients with pleural TB (56). Rodriguez et al., 2013 (59) showed that PIM<sub>6</sub>, a major cell wall associated mycobacterial glycolipid, can increase HIV replication in CD4+ T cells independently of accessory cells (59). Similarly, Pouget et al., 2021 (60), observed that bacterial glycolipid antigens did not interfere with HIV entry directly, but that increased HIV replication is likely due to Mtb antigen-induced modulation of the immune system (60). However, the authors did show that Mtb glycolipids might potentially impair or enhance HIV trans-infection (DC capture and presentation of viral antigen to CD4+ T cells) of both R5 and X4 tropic HIV strains, which has important implications for virus transmission and dissemination (60). He et al., 2020 showed that in vitro infection with HIV of CD4+ T cells from individuals with LTBI were more efficient at HIV transcription (post-integration) than CD4+ T cells from active TB or Mtb-uninfected individuals (61). Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) has also been observed in high levels in pleural fluid from Mtb-HIV coinfected patients and is implicated in induction of HIV transcription in macrophages in *Mtb*-HIV coinfected individuals (62).

The cellular phenotypes present at the site of Mtb infection can also facilitate HIV replication (50, 63, 64). The chemokine receptors CXCR4 and CCR5 function as coreceptors for direct infection of CD4+ target cells by HIV (65). In Mtb infection, it has been reported that CCR5 expressing macrophages are predominant at the site of Mtb infection (66). CCR5 expressing T cells have also been implicated in instructing correct T cell localization in granulomas to promote macrophage activation thus suggesting their important role in

protective outcomes in Mtb infection (67). Elevated expression of CXCR4 and CCR5 on macrophages/monocytes (66, 68) and CD4+ T cells (63), have been reported in patients with TB and after in vitro stimulation with Mtb antigen in cells from healthy subjects. Additionally, Mtb infection has been demonstrated to increase CXCR4 surface expression allowing a more permissive environment for X4 HIV strains (64). In terms of coinfection, a study comparing blood and pericardial fluid from TB patients with and without HIV found that a majority of CD4+ T cells from pericardial fluid of HIV-uninfected patients expressed CCR5 and were of effector memory and terminally differentiated phenotype while the Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells in the pericardial fluid from the coinfected group lacked the CCR5 receptor and were a less differentiated phenotype (69), suggesting that CCR5 positive cells are preferentially depleted. In contrast to this, in an LTBI NHP model comparing CD4+ T cell depletion to coinfection with SIV, Bucsan et al., 2019 (26) observed higher CCR5 T cells in the lungs of coinfected LTBI-SIV reactivator NHPs than just CD4 depleted-TB infected animals indicating higher recruitment to the primary site of infection in coinfected animals (26). The discrepancy between these two studies in terms of the impact of coinfection on CCR5 positive cells, could be due to the time frame of the infections: CCR5 cells might increase in the period after coinfection but might be preferentially depleted as time goes on. Foreman et al., 2022 (35), demonstrated that SIV coinfected NHP granulomas had reduced frequency of CCR5-expressing Th1 and Th1\* cells 2 weeks after SIV coinfection (35). Taken together, the cellular phenotype present in the Mtb granuloma likely provides an abundance of cells targeted by HIV in various stages of HIV progression.

Increased HIV replication induced by Mtb infection may also be associated with an increased number of viral variants in Mtb-HIV coinfected patients compared to just HIV+ individuals (55, 70, 71). Collins et al., 2000 (70) showed that blood samples from Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals had increased HIV heterogeneity and significantly more mutations than HIV only infection (70). In a follow-up study, authors compared blood and pleural fluid from Mtb-HIV infected individuals investigating whether a TB-associated, site-specific increase in HIV replication and heterogeneity in the lung may migrate to the blood to increase systemic HIV heterogeneity. Their results indicated there is an increase in HIV genetic diversity in the pleural space compared to the blood and there is a unidirectional migration of quasispecies from the pleural space to the blood (71). Tisthammer et al., 2022 (29), was the first to assess in vivo SIV diversity in Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs compared to SIV only in samples from plasma, lymph nodes, and lungs (including granulomas). Low viral diversity and the small number of animals with a limited number of samples for each tissue type made comparisons among groups difficult, but results showed that the highest viral diversity was among Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs that did not develop clinical Mtb reactivation compared to those that did and that viral diversity was positively correlated with the frequency of CD4+ T cells and negatively correlated with the frequency of CD8+ T cells in lung granulomas of Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs that developed clinical Mtb reactivation (29).

Collectively this paints a picture of *Mtb* being associated with increased viral loads through its impact on inflammation, recruitment/cellular phenotype and viral diversity.

# *Mtb*-HIV interactions in pre-existing HIV infection

Pre-existing HIV might have a different set of mechanisms responsible for an increase in Mtb growth and dissemination following subsequent TB coinfection. HIV infection is known to impact innate immune cell function [reviewed in (72)]. While HIV predominately infects CD4+ T cells, it can also infect macrophages and dendritic cells (73). Upon infecting alveolar macrophages, HIV impedes receptor-mediated phagocytosis and cell apoptosis which limits the cell's ability to kill intracellular bacteria (74). In dendritic cells, HIV can disrupt Mtb antigen processing and presentation which alters the initiation of the adaptive immune response (74). It has been demonstrated in macrophage cultures that HIV coinfection of macrophages enhances Mtb growth (75). HIV infection significantly reduced Mtb-mediated macrophage apoptosis in alveolar macrophages from HIV+ individuals. This reduction in apoptosis has been linked to a decline in Mtb-mediated TNFa release from HIV+ macrophages by HIV Nef protein, thus reducing macrophages' ability to kill intracellular bacteria (76). HIV infection was also demonstrated to inhibit the macrophages' ability to acidify and fuse Mtb-infected phagosomes with lysosomes, a key step in the anti-bacterial responses of macrophages (77). Thus, HIV impairs multiple aspects of innate immune responses to Mtb infection. These innate responses drive the initial immune response to a new Mtb infection, which in turn can determine long term disease trajectories (78).

Indeed, Guo et al., 2017 (32) showed in a Chinese rhesus macaque NHP model, that pre-existing SIV infection led to an increase in extrapulmonary TB, higher bacterial counts in lungs, lymph nodes and extrapulmonary organs, and lower levels of IFN $\gamma$  and IL-22 (cytokines known to inhibit *Mtb* infection) compared to *Mtb* infection alone (32). Rodgers et al., 2018 (24), showed in a Mauritian cynomolgus macaque model that pre-existing SIV infection accelerated TB infection progression with a significant increase in the number of TB granulomas between 4- and 8-weeks post *Mtb* infection compared to SIVnaïve, *Mtb*-infected animals. Coinfected animals had higher bacterial loads and an increased trend towards extrapulmonary TB compared to *Mtb*-infected animals (24). Larson et al., 2021 (28) extended the previous model to characterize the CD4+ and CD8+ T cell phenotypes and cytokine production in blood, airway and tissues of Mtb-SIV coinfected macaques compared to Mtb infection alone. They demonstrated that animals with preexisting SIV infection had 1) lower CD4/CD8 T cell ratios across all tissue compartments including granulomas, 2) higher frequencies of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells expressing PD-1 or TIGIT (markers associated with immune activation) at sites of Mtb infection, and 3) fewer CD4+ T cells producing TNF in granulomas (28). Most recently, Moriarty et al., 2022 furthered the analysis of the previous two studies (Rodgers et al., 2018 and Larson et al., 2021) by dividing the coinfected NHPs into two groups, viral controllers and viral non-controllers. New conclusions from this analysis determined that pre-existing SIV infection, regardless of viral control, in Mtb-SIV coinfected NHPs results in enhanced Mtb dissemination and dysregulated T cell immune responses (40). SIV+ viral controllers had a significantly lower frequency of CD4+ T cells that were producing IFNy. There was also a highly significant correlation between Mtb CFU and SIV copies/cell in Mtbaffected tissues across all SIV+ NHPs.

Taken together, these studies demonstrate that upon Mtb infection in animals with pre-existing SIV infection, coinfected animals have more Mtb dissemination, and higher bacterial loads compared to Mtb infection alone. These pathological differences arise from disruptions in both innate and adaptive immune responses to Mtb, including macrophage antimicrobial function, DC antigen processing and presentation, ratios of CD4/CD8 cells, and immune activation on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.

# Synergistic *Mtb*-HIV interactions in granulomas and outstanding questions

As a whole, the previous sections demonstrate that many complex interactions are involved in *Mtb*-HIV coinfection, and that coinfection facilitates and accelerates progression of both infections. Here we discuss the potential *collective* impact of these interactions within the context of TB granulomas.

Granulomas create a milieu of inflammation that enhances HIV replication within cells. The granuloma also constitutes a mixture of cells that are susceptible and permissive to HIV infection and replication - namely CCR5 and CXCR4 expressing cells - thereby facilitating viral spread between cells. This localized viral expansion then depletes CD4+ and *Mtb*specific T cells within the granuloma, thereby disrupting the crucial balance between pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokine signals which is needed to establish and maintain control of bacterial growth (9, 17). NHP studies have suggested that the initial extent of T cell depletion might cause a significant disruption in the T cell population within granulomas that is unable to be reversed when peripheral T cells recover inflicting long-lasting consequences in the granuloma (21). The increase in bacterial growth resulting from this local T cell depletion will in turn increase inflammation and further immune cell recruitment to the granuloma. Since HIV infection seems to impair the number and functionality of *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells – both in the granuloma and peripherally – the newly recruited *Mtb*specific CD4+ T cells might be ill-equipped to support antimycobacterial functions in the granulomas. This cellular recruitment could support viral expansion in the granuloma in two ways: a) by recruiting HIV+ cells and seeding new infections within the granuloma, and b) recruiting new target cells to support the continued viral replication within the granuloma. In this sense the granuloma is providing a permissive environment with a greater density and diversity of cells that support HIV expansion and fails to control *Mtb* replication.

Increased macrophage turnover in lung tissue and in granulomas could further impact granuloma functionality by disrupting the spatial organization of typical granulomas where a macrophage core is surrounded by a cuff of macrophages mixed with T cells. This disorganization combined with lower frequencies of Mtb-specific T cells could also hamper the ability of Mtb-specific T cells to find and activate Mtb-infected macrophages due to disrupted chemokine gradients and obstruction by non-specific T cells (79). Thus, the combined impacts of Mtb and HIV within granulomas enable a positive feedback loop that exacerbates both infections. Figure 1 shows how a contained granuloma in pre-existing LTBI might turn into a disseminating granuloma upon subsequent HIV coinfection through a change in inflammatory profile, CD4+ T cell functionality, and cellular composition of granulomas.

The impacts of pre-existing HIV on innate and adaptive immune cells could initiate a similar positive feedback loop disrupting granuloma formation, structure and bacterial control. For instance, upon exposure to Mtb in an HIV-infected individual, decreased phagocytosis by innate immune cells like macrophages and DCs would inhibit clearance of bacteria. It is also possible that HIV impacts on macrophages contribute to cytokine dysregulation. HIV-induced decreased antigen processing and presentation by DCs to T cells in the lymph nodes would inhibit and delay T cell activation. Indeed, NHP studies show a decrease in TNF-producing CD4+ T cells as early as 6 weeks post Mtb infection (28). Decreased T cell activation and recruitment to the lungs where bacteria have established would mean granulomas have fewer T cells and poorly contain bacteria. In addition to having poor phagocytosis abilities, the macrophages at the granulomas might be inefficient at killing bacteria due to HIV-induced macrophage dysfunction. Decreased phagocytosis, decreased bacterial killing and decreased T cells in the granulomas at the primary site of the Mtb infection would increase bacterial growth. This bacterial growth would again drive increased viral replication and spread. In this situation, the lack of a structured granuloma prevents the opportunity to establish latent TB infection and instead facilitates disseminated TB. The observation of increased CD8+ T cells in BAL and granulomas of coinfected NHPs could also be explained by Mtb induced activation of SIV-infected cells in the lungs and airways that in turn increases SIV replication and drives the influx of CD8+ T cells (28). Figure 2 demonstrates how HIV coinfection drives bacterial growth and dissemination, and vice versa, in Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals with pre-existing HIV.

Taken together, the contextualization of *Mtb*-HIV interactions within granulomas (Figures 1, 2) illustrate how granuloma dynamics could lead to the coinfection outcomes we observe at the tissue/host level. It's important to note that the above discussions combine a few coinfection mechanisms to illustrate the positive feedback between *Mtb* and HIV infections. However, all of these mechanisms are most likely occurring simultaneously or with greater influence at different times or specific locations within the body. A number of questions regarding this complex network of interactions between *Mtb*, HIV and the host immune response and the role of granulomas in *Mtb*-HIV coinfection remain unanswered (Box 1). Answering these questions could move us toward interventions that prevent LTBI reactivation in patients that are newly coinfected with HIV or developing treatment strategies targeted to coinfected individuals.

As described in the literature discussions above, there is significant effort devoted to uncovering the numerous and complex ways in which Mtb and HIV interact. Nonetheless, a number of challenges remain. Currently it is a formidable task to study the very early dynamics of the granuloma in vivo. Conclusions are often based on end time points, which do not elucidate how a process happened - just that it happened. Different stages of disease can also impact what mechanism is the driving factor for disease progression. Investigating one mechanism at a specific time might incorrectly lead to the wrong conclusion because each interaction may have different consequences at different time points and in different stages of disease progression. Multiple tissue compartments (peripheral blood, lungs, lymph nodes, etc.), cells types (macrophages, DCs, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, etc.), and functions (phagocytosis, secretion, antigen processing/presentation, activation, apoptosis, etc.) are involved in this complex coinfection. It is not always feasible or possible to determine key metrics in each of these compartments or cell types. Investigating Mtb-HIV coinfection in human subjects has other challenges including difficulty in determining when and which infection came first and the status of the immune system pre-coinfection (21). There is limited availability of pre- and post-infection samples as unnecessary and invasive procedures are used to obtain samples (21). It is particularly difficult to study the sequence of events involved in the reactivation of LTBI since biomarkers of TB reactivation are still being elucidated (80, 81).

Thus, animal and *in vitro* models have been deemed a valuable asset to study coinfection dynamics as the timing of each infection can be controlled to explore early observations of



HIV changes the structure and function of the granuloma in pre-existing LTBI allowing for bacterial growth and dissemination. (A) Cellular level changes: An example granuloma classified as contained (bacteria localized) made up of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, B cells, macrophages, and neutrophils that transforms into a disseminating granuloma upon HIV infection. The granuloma is rich in HIV susceptible cells (CXCR4 and CCR5 cells) that can help accelerate CD4+ T cell destruction. Granulomas during coinfection have been shown to contain higher CD8+ T cells and have higher rates of macrophage turnover. These cellular changes at the granuloma level ultimately alter the function of the granuloma which can lead to increased bacterial load and dissemination. (B) Molecular mechanism #1: HIV has been shown to have detrimental impacts on the functionality of CD4+ T cells and therefore the balance between pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokines produced by the local immune cells is thrown into dysregulation. The change in the cytokine milieu can facilitate granuloma dissolution. (C) Molecular mechanism #2: The immune response to *Mtb* results in an increase in inflammation and T cell recruitment to the site of infection. This *Mtb*-induced inflammation and availability of HIV susceptible cells within the granuloma (CXCR4 and CCR5 cells) have been implicated in the increased viral loads and increase in viral load would lead to local destruction of the cells in the granuloma therefore aiding bacterial dissemination.

immune responses to various immunological events (82). NHPs are the most suitable animal models that closely resemble human TB granulomas and disease states (developing active and LTBI) and coinfection dynamics but disadvantages include dedicated

veterinarian staff required, cost, and ethical considerations (83, 84). For NHP models, similar to humans, there is also variability in what defines LTBI and what clinical or pathological markers are used to define disease progression. It has also been noted how



Pre-existing HIV impacts innate immune functions that can impact *Mtb* granuloma development and progression. HIV can alter the phagocytosis abilities of dendritic cells and macrophages limiting their ability to kill intracellular *Mtb*. HIV also interferes with antigen processing and presentation required to activate T cells. The result is disorganized granulomas with high bacterial load, high viral load, and high dissemination rates.

Box 1: Summary of outstanding questions related to the role of the granuloma in *Mtb*-HIV coinfection as well the synergy between *Mtb* and HIV during coinfection.

1. Does HIV need to be present in the granuloma to cause functional disruption of granuloma processes? Are systemic effects of HIV enough to cause this dysfunction? Conversely, how do systemic effects induced by *Mtb* impact overall progression of both infections?

2. Does *Mtb* infection accelerate HIV infection (viral entry/pool of virus) by providing/recruiting/making available a greater diversity of cells susceptible to HIV infection? Does this greater pool of virus accelerate the depletion of cells thus breaking down the granuloma and exposing *Mtb*?

a) On what timescale does this have the greatest impact? How fast do viral mutations begin to occur? How much faster does HIV have to replicate than normal to have an impact on the granuloma?

3. What impact does cellular recruitment have on viral load in the granuloma? If you turned recruitment down could this control viral load?

4. Is the shift of the Th phenotype of T cells to more pro-inflammatory cells induced by HIV enough to cause granuloma disruption?

5. What are the impacts of dysfunctional *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cells in the granuloma? Does HIV-induced impairment occur at the granuloma or are they recruited already functionally impaired? How does the timing of T cell activation (through either HIV or *Mtb* induced immune activation) impact granuloma structure? Does the timing of *Mtb*-specific CD4+ T cell depletion or impairment relative to the timing or stage of *Mtb* infection affect its impact on bacterial burden?

6. What impact does macrophage phagocytosis, inability to apoptose, dendritic cell antigen processing and presentation have on pre-existing granulomas and the formation of new granulomas?

7. What are the most important time points to look at? Can this better inform when to necropsy animals?

8. What impact does the timing of infection by HIV or Mtb (days, weeks, months, years) have on the nature of their interaction?

9. Does *Mtb* granuloma location impact the sequence of events or influence the severity of a specific mechanism more so in one place compared to another? 10. What is the percentage of cells within the granuloma that are infected with HIV? Are there single cells coinfected with both HIV and *Mtb*, and what impact does that have on coinfected cells?

11. What type of cells are directly leading to cytokine dysregulation? Is it a lack of particular cells? What is the role of innate immunity in this cytokine dysregulation?

12. How does coinfection impact movement of cells? How does cellular movement impact granuloma structure?

different *Mtb* and HIV strains and doses, and species of macaques might lead to discrepancy among NHP studies since there were contrasting results among several NHP models (24, 32).

In vitro systems using human cells are valuable in analyzing host-pathogen interactions and disruptions but the necessary simplifications make it difficult to test multiple mechanisms at once and often have a limited number of cells from the same donor (85). Therefore, simultaneously quantifying and analyzing the impact of combinations of *Mtb*-HIV interactions creates an experimental design challenge. Computational models offer complementary attributes that can help alleviate these experimental challenges and bridge the gap between *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. For example, highly controlled studies that have singled out specific mechanisms can be combined into a complex and highly interconnected model.

# Potential impact and use of computational modeling

Computational and mathematical modeling can bolster laboratory experiments by integrating diverse types of experimental data to test and generate new hypotheses, mechanisms, dynamics, and therapeutic implementations that may be difficult and expensive to study experimentally (86). A well-validated computational model can simulate long term experimental studies in a fraction of the time and can analyze the combined impact of multiple mechanisms at once to narrow down potential experimental approaches and interventions (85). Several modeling techniques have led to insights into hostpathogen dynamics and effective treatment predictions, including multiscale models, stochastic models, game theory, continuous single- or multi-variable models, and machine learning algorithms (87). Multiscale models in particular are able to integrate information across multiple scales (molecular, cellular, tissue, organism, population) and time (seconds, minutes, hours, days, years) which enables a holistic view and approach to understanding infectious disease dynamics (87, 88). Mechanistic models can also help explore the genetic variations among animals and pathogens used. Computational modeling has been used successfully in several aspects of infectious diseases such as etiology, pathogenesis and cellular interactions [reviewed in (87)]. Computational models have been successfully applied to study separate infections with Mtb [reviewed in (86, 89)] or HIV [reviewed in (90)]. These established models provide a strong computational foundation and are primed to be combined to investigate the unique synergy between Mtb and HIV.

In the context of *Mtb*-HIV coinfection, a number of models have been developed. Kirschner 1999 developed the first

mathematical model of Mtb-HIV coinfection dynamics using ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to focus on the effect that Mtb has on HIV infection with a smaller discussion on how HIV accelerates the resurgence of TB (91). Results from the model showed that in the context of coinfection, the T cell population was lower than just HIV alone, bacterial and viral loads were higher than either infection alone, and that HIV activated LTBI by causing a sharp increase in bacterial burden (91). Bauer et al., 2008 expanded on Kirschner's 1999 model by exploring the impact of HIV on LTBI and specifically describes the time course of the adaptive immune response in coinfection. The model included more mechanistic details about immune responses such as the interactions between T cells and macrophages and the cytokine environment that they create (92). The authors concluded, in line with the experimental studies outlined above, that coinfection completely alters the cytokine environment, macrophage decline is correlated to CD4+ T cell decline and increased viral loads, and that these mechanisms result in lower recruitment to the site of infection allowing the reactivation of TB (92). Magombedze et al., 2008 developed a model (93) similar to Bauer et al., 2008 but without cytokines, and expanded it in 2010 to include ART treatment, TB treatment, and simultaneous treatment of both pathogens. They concluded, in line with current recommendations (94), that simultaneous treatment was the best option (95). Ramkissoon et al., 2012 expanded on these models to explore a variety of TB and HIV treatment strategies at various stages of HIV progression (96). Mufudza et al., 2016 incorporated HIV specific cytotoxic T cell mechanisms (not Mtb specific CTLs) into the model described by Kirschner 1999. Results showed that both the lytic and nonlytic factors of the HIV-specific CTLs are important in controlling HIV infection in coinfected individuals and the authors advocate for drugs and/or vaccines that enhance CTL mechanisms (97). Table 1 highlights the important features of each model and key results obtained from the simulations. While these models offer simplified representations of the complexity of Mtb-HIV coinfection, they are able to recapitulate key observations from experimental studies: higher CD4+ T cell depletion than HIV infection alone, larger viral and bacterial growth than compared to singular infections, HIV reactivation of LTBI, and lower recruitment of cells to site of infection inducing reactivation of TB. The models including drugs have highlighted the risks associated with using TB and HIV drugs together in Mtb-HIV coinfected individuals. This highlights the need to develop safer and effective treatment strategies specifically for coinfected individuals.

However, as we discussed above, to fully take advantage of computational models to answer key questions about *Mtb*-HIV synergy, one must account for the structure, function and organization of granulomas. Currently all of the models for

TABLE 1 Computational Models of *Mtb*-HIV coinfection.

First author, year, ref #	Features	Key Results
Kirschner (1999) (91)	<ul> <li>CD4+ and CD8+ T cells</li> <li>One macrophage population</li> <li>One compartment - lymph tissue</li> <li>Latent stage HIV disease</li> <li>Restrict growth rate and enhance bacterial death to mimic TB drug treatment</li> <li>Periodic function to observe non-compliance treatment adherence</li> <li>Assume lymph and periphery are in parallel</li> <li>No <i>Mtb</i>-HIV coinfected cells</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>T cell populations are lower in <i>Mtb</i>-HIV coinfection than just HIV infection</li> <li>Increased viral and bacterial loads during coinfection compared to single pathogen infection</li> <li>Treatment that perturbs bacterial growth rate will be more effective than perturbing bacterial death rate</li> <li>T cells and macrophages rebound while viral population decreases</li> <li>Non-compliance can cause major complications</li> </ul>
Bauer (2008) (92)	<ul> <li>5 macrophage populations: resting, activated, <i>Mtb</i>-infected, HIV-infected, coinfected</li> <li>6 T cell populations: resting CD4+, Th1, HIV-1 infected CD4+, resting CD8+, HIV-1 specific cytotoxic, and <i>Mtb</i> specific cytotoxic</li> <li>Intra- and extracellular <i>Mtb</i></li> <li>5 cytokines: TNFα, IFNγ, IL-10, IL-12, IL-4</li> <li>One compartment – lung</li> <li>Fix TB related parameters to result in LTBI while varying HIV related parameters</li> <li>Lymph node dynamics captured as first step</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower CD4 and CD8 counts in coinfection than single pathogen infection</li> <li>Rapid reactivation of LTBI to active disease</li> <li>Delay in peak viral load followed by lower set point in coinfection than just HIV infection <ul> <li>Likely due to no fluctuations in HIV-specific CTL population in HIV infection alone</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Magombedze (2008) (93)	<ul> <li>3 macrophage populations: resting, <i>Mtb</i> infected, HIV-infected</li> <li>2 CD4+ T cell populations: HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected</li> <li>2 CTL populations: <i>Mtb</i>-specific and HIV-specific</li> <li>Model TB and HIV simultaneously</li> <li>Latent TB infection then HIV infection</li> <li>HIV infection then TB infection</li> <li>One compartment – lung</li> <li>No <i>Mtb</i>-HIV coinfected cells</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased rate of immune cell depletion in coinfection</li> <li>Coinfection accelerates first pathogen to cause infection (true for both TB infection first and HIV infection first)</li> <li>Highest depletion of resting macrophages occurs from coinfection that starts from latent <i>Mtb</i> infection</li> <li>More rapid decline of resting macrophages occurs in coinfection that starts from HIV infection</li> <li>Rapid bacterial growth in coinfection starting from <i>Mtb</i> latency</li> <li><i>Mtb</i> infected macrophages rise slowly in <i>Mtb</i> infection after HIV infection but proceed to active TB unlike in <i>Mtb</i> only infection</li> <li>Higher viral infection of macrophages occurs during coinfection that HIV infection alone</li> </ul>
Magombedze (2010) (95)	<ul> <li>5 macrophage populations: resting, <i>Mtb</i> infected, exposed to HIV and infected by HIV</li> <li>3 CD4+ T cell populations: uninfected/susceptible, infected/ exposed, and productively infected</li> <li>2 CTL populations: <i>Mtb</i>-specific and HIV-specific</li> <li>Slight modifications to some equations from Magombedze et al., 2008</li> <li>o Inclusion of HIV transcription mechanisms in HIV infection equations and coinfection equations</li> <li>o Simulation of HIV and TB drugs (3 of each)</li> <li>No <i>Mtb</i>-HIV coinfected cells</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Simultaneous treatment with TB and HIV drugs reduces performance of HIV drugs</li> <li>Best treatment of TB in coinfection is simultaneous TB and HIV drugs</li> <li>Best treatment of HIV in coinfection is just HIV drugs without TB drugs</li> <li>Improving performance of RTIs in CD4+ T cells shows decrease in infected CD4+ T cells but increase in HIV-infected macrophages</li> <li>As HIV drug performs better in CD4+ T cells, HIV might selectively multiply in macrophages</li> </ul>
Ramkissoon (2012) (96)	<ul> <li>5 macrophage populations: resting, activated, <i>Mtb</i>-infected in eclipse stage, <i>Mtb</i>-infected in productive stage, HIV-infected</li> <li>3 CD4+ T cells: susceptible, HIV-infected in eclipse stage, productively infected</li> <li>2 CD8+ (CTLs) populations: HIV-specific and <i>Mtb</i>-specific</li> <li>No <i>Mtb</i>-HIV coinfected cells</li> <li>Simulates HIV disease progression – early, late, AIDS</li> <li>Inhibition of bacterial growth or bacterial death to simulate TB drugs (2 drugs)</li> <li>ART is included by term that reduces HIV infection with modification for TB-HIV drug interaction (2 drugs)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Treating HIV first is not a generally good strategy</li> <li>Potential risks of drug-drug interactions negate early ART benefits leading to increased viral load and suppressed CD4+ T cell count</li> <li>In early and late HIV disease, administering TB treatment first then ART 6 months after TB treatment has low risk for AIDS death, TB death, drug overlap, and TB-IRIS</li> </ul>
Mufudza (2016) (97)	Addition of HIV-specific CTL to Kirschner 1999	<ul> <li>Non-lytic mechanisms are more effective in controlling infection when the rate of CTL mediated killings is low relative to the rate of cell-mediated killings</li> <li>Lytic mechanisms are more important when the rate of virus induced CD4+ T cell deaths is high relative to the rate of CTL mediated killing regardless of the number of CTLs</li> <li>Lytic arm is more important to control infection</li> </ul>

- Non-lytic arm is more important to control virus replication
  Presence of CTLs helps to reduce viral loads and maintain CD4+ T cell numbers

Box 2: Attributes of computational models that can help address outstanding questions posed in Box 1.

Model Feature	Questions in Box 1
Control what infection is first and the condition of the single infection progression (characterized by bacterial load, viral load, cell numbers, granuloma size or quantity, time, etc.) before adding the second infection	2a, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
Virtual knockouts of various cell types to determine the influence the absence of specific cells have on the granuloma structure	3, 4, 5, 10, 11
Virtual knockouts of mechanisms through parameter tuning. Rates of secretion, phagocytosis, bacterial killing, and viral replication, to name a few, could all be varied to understand their impact of the maintenance of the granuloma	2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12
Uncertainty/sensitivity analysis to determine which parameters have the most influence on model outputs.	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
Predict how mechanisms or outputs in one location or timepoint influences a near or far location	1, 6, 7, 8, 9

Mtb-HIV coinfection are non-spatial and represent the lung or lymph tissue compartment as a whole which does not allow them to describe spatial granuloma dynamics. ODE models assume the populations are homogenous and uniformly distributed (98). Therefore, they can describe average population behaviors within coinfection, but they are not always suitable for systems where spatial aspects are important for dynamics as in the case of the *Mtb* granuloma. An alternative modeling method that provides this spatial resolution are agentbased models (ABMs). ABMs are stochastic models well-suited for modeling granuloma formation and function as they can incorporate spatial dynamics that ODEs cannot. ABMs describe emergent tissue-level behavior resulting from cellular-level rules and interactions. Individual agents dynamically and independently respond to environmental cues (98). ABMs of immune responses and inflammation have been successfully applied to evaluate sepsis, asthma, hepatic infection, pulmonary fibrosis, wound healing/soft tissue injury, in vivo granuloma formation in TB infection, cancer/oncogenesis, angiogenesis, and gastrointestinal infection/microbiome [reviewed in (99)]. Several models explore the temporal and spatial aspects of TB granulomas (17, 79, 100, 101). Such spatial ABMs are well-positioned to quantify how the mechanisms presented in this review interact within the granuloma and tissue microenvironments and their relative contributions to granuloma level outcomes such as size, bacterial and viral load, cytokine profile, as well as frequency, location and functional status of immune cells. Computational models enable knockout simulations by removing a mechanism, cell type, or parameter to quantify the impact of depletion or deletion on granuloma level outcomes. Furthermore, global sensitivity analyses of computational models allow for the quantification of individual mechanism impacts in the context of multiple interacting mechanisms. Box 2 highlights several examples of how the outstanding questions posed in Box 1 can be answered by leveraging the features of computational models.

It is important to note that mechanistic computational models are limited to what mechanisms or biological features are already known about the system. Computational models are a simplification of the real system limited to what is currently known about the system and also by the question being answered, and therefore cannot capture all of the biological complexity. Computational models can also be difficult to parametrize and therefore it is critical to quantify parameter uncertainty to understand how parameter uncertainty impacts the results. Performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analyses can help clarify the limitations of the model and inform interpretation of simulation results.

## Conclusions

It is widely accepted that *Mtb* and HIV synergistically disrupt the immune system and exacerbate the burden of both diseases. Specific interactions between *Mtb* and HIV include: disruption of the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine balance, chronic immune activation, impairment of CD4+ T cell and *Mtb*specific T cell functionality, *Mtb*-induced increase in HIV viral load and viral diversity, and HIV impairment of innate immune cells. A key result of these interactions during *Mtb*-HIV coinfection is the disruption of the structure and function of granulomas. There exists a need for an inexpensive and versatile method for exploring and quantifying *granuloma-specific* outcomes resulting from *multiple interconnected* mechanisms of interaction between *Mtb* and HIV. Computational modeling of *Mtb* granulomas in *Mtb*-HIV coinfection in conjunction with *in*  *vitro* and *in vivo* studies can provide such methods. While these mechanisms have been demonstrated independently of eachother, computational models can combine multiple mechanisms to quantify the effect of each mechanism, through: parameter tuning, uncertainty and sensitivity analysis, and virtual knockouts. Building reliable and informative models will require a collaborative, iterative communication and data exchange between microbiologists, immunologists and computational biologists. Such interdisciplinary approaches will accelerate and advance a quantitative understanding of the complex, spatial and multi-scale synergies between *Mtb* and HIV within the granuloma.

## Author contributions

All authors contributed to the conceptualization of the work. AH produced the original draft of the manuscript, and all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. AH produced the visualization. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### References

1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2021. (2021) Geneva.

2. Heidary M, Shirani M, Moradi M, Goudarzi M, Pouriran R, Rezaeian T, et al. Tuberculosis challenges: Resistance, co-infection, diagnosis, and treatment. *Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp)* (2022), 12:1–17. doi: 10.1556/1886.2021.00021

 Badri M, Wilson D, Wood R. Effect of highly active antiretroviral therapy on incidence of tuberculosis in south Africa: a cohort study. *Lancet* (2002) 359:2059– 64. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08904-3

4. Sutherland JS, Young JM, Peterson KL, Sanneh B, Whittle HC, Rowland-Jones SL, et al. Polyfunctional CD4 + and CD8 + T cell responses to tuberculosis antigens in HIV-1–infected patients before and after anti-retroviral treatment. *J Immunol* (2010) 184:6537–44. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1000399

 Jambo KC, Banda DH, Afran L, Kankwatira AM, Malamba RD, Allain TJ, et al. Asymptomatic HIV-infected individuals on antiretroviral therapy exhibit impaired lung CD4 + T-cell responses to mycobacteria. Am J Respir Crit Care Med (2014) 190:938–47. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201405-0864OC

6. Walker NF, Stek C, Wasserman S, Wilkinson RJ, Meintjes G. The tuberculosis-associated immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* (2018) 13:512–21. doi: 10.1097/COH.000000000000502

7. Cerrone M, Bracchi M, Wasserman S, Pozniak A, Meintjes G, Cohen K, et al. Safety implications of combined antiretroviral and anti-tuberculosis drugs. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* (2020) 19:23–41. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2020.1694901

8. Lin PL, Flynn JL. The end of the binary era: Revisiting the spectrum of tuberculosis. *J Immunol* (2018) 201:2541–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800993

9. Gideon HP, Phuah JY, Myers AJ, Bryson BD, Rodgers MA, Coleman MT, et al. Variability in tuberculosis granuloma T cell responses exists, but a balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines is associated with sterilization. *PloS Pathog* (2015) 11:1–28. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004603

10. Cadena AM, Fortune SM, Flynn JL. Heterogeneity in tuberculosis. Nat Rev Immunol (2017) 17:691–702. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.69

11. Ramakrishnan L. Revisiting the role of the granuloma in tuberculosis. Nat Rev Immunol (2012) 12:352–66. doi: 10.1038/nri3211

12. Silva Miranda M, Breiman A, Allain S, Deknuydt F, Altare F. The tuberculous granuloma: An unsuccessful host defence mechanism providing a safety shelter for the bacteria? *Clin Dev Immunol* (2012) 2012:1–14. doi: 10.1155/2012/139127

13. Russell DG. Who puts the tubercle in tuberculosis? *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2007) 5:39-47. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1538

## Funding

This work was funded by a PhRMA Foundation Research Starter Grant to EP and LS and NIH R21 AI145539 to LS.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Russell DG, Barry CE, Flynn JL. Tuberculosis: What we don't know can, and does, hurt us. *Sci (1979)* (2010) 328:852–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1184784

15. Choreño-Parra JA, Bobba S, Rangel-Moreno J, Ahmed M, Mehra S, Rosa B, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis HN878 infection induces human-like b-cell follicles in mice. *J Infect Dis* (2020) 221:1636–46. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiz663

16. Saunders BM, Frank AA, Orme IM, Cooper AM. CD4 is required for the development of a protective granulomatous response to pulmonary tuberculosis. *Cell Immunol* (2002) 216:65–72. doi: 10.1016/S0008-8749(02)00510-5

17. Cicchese JM, Evans S, Hult C, Joslyn LR, Wessler T, Millar JA, et al. Dynamic balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory signals controls disease and limits pathology. *Immunol Rev* (2018) 285:147–67. doi: 10.1111/imr.12671

18. Via LE, Lin PL, Ray SM, Carrillo J, Allen SS, Seok YE, et al. Tuberculous granulomas are hypoxic in guinea pigs, rabbits, and nonhuman primates. *Infect Immun* (2008) 76:2333–40. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01515-07

19. Sarathy JP, Dartois V. Caseum: A niche for mycobacterium tuberculosis drug-tolerant persisters. *Clin Microbiol Rev* (2020) 33:1-19. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00159-19

20. Diedrich CR, O'Hern J, Wilkinson RJ. HIV-1 and the mycobacterium tuberculosis granuloma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Tuberculosis* (2016) 98:62–76. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2016.02.010

21. Diedrich CR, Mattila JT, Klein E, Janssen C, Phuah J, Sturgeon TJ, et al. Reactivation of latent tuberculosis in cynomolgus macaques infected with SIV is associated with early peripheral T cell depletion and not virus load. *PloS One* (2010) 5:e9611. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009611

22. Mattila JT, Diedrich CR, Lin PL, Phuah J, Flynn JL. Simian immunodeficiency virus-induced changes in T cell cytokine responses in cynomolgus macaques with latent mycobacterium tuberculosis infection are associated with timing of reactivation. *J Immunol* (2011) 186:3527–37. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1003773

23. Foreman TW, Mehra S, LoBato DN, Malek A, Alvarez X, Golden NA, et al. CD4 + t-cell-independent mechanisms suppress reactivation of latent tuberculosis in a macaque model of HIV coinfection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2016) 113:E5636–44. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611987113

24. Rodgers MA, Ameel C, Ellis-Connell AL, Balgeman AJ, Maiello P, Barry GL, et al. Preexisting simian immunodeficiency virus infection increases susceptibility to tuberculosis in mauritian cynomolgus macaques. *Infect Immun* (2018) 86:1–15. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00565-18

25. Diedrich CR, Rutledge T, Maiello P, Baranowski TM, White AG, Borish HJ, et al. SIV and mycobacterium tuberculosis synergy within the granuloma accelerates the reactivation pattern of latent tuberculosis. *PloS Pathog* (2020) 16:1–25. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008413

26. Bucşan AN, Chatterjee A, Singh DK, Foreman TW, Lee TH, Threeton B, et al. Mechanisms of reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection due to SIV coinfection. *J Clin Invest* (2019) 129:5254–60. doi: 10.1172/JCI125810

27. Corleis B, Bucsan AN, Deruaz M, Vrbanac VD, Lisanti-Park AC, Gates SJ, et al. HIV-1 and SIV infection are associated with early loss of lung interstitial CD4 + T cells and dissemination of pulmonary tuberculosis. *Cell Rep* (2019) 26:1409–1418.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.021

28. Larson EC, Ellis-Connell A, Rodgers MA, Balgeman AJ, Moriarty R V., Ameel CL, et al. Pre-existing simian immunodeficiency virus infection increases expression of T cell markers associated with activation during early mycobacterium tuberculosis coinfection and impairs TNF responses in granulomas. *J Immunol* (2021) 207:175–88. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100073

29. Tisthammer KH, Kline C, Rutledge T, Diedrich CR, Ita S, Lin PL, et al. SIV evolutionary dynamics in cynomolgus macaques during SIV- mycobacterium tuberculosis Co-infection. *Viruses* (2022), 14:48. doi: 10.3390/v14010048

30. Kuroda MJ, Sugimoto C, Cai Y, Merino KM, Mehra S, Araínga M, et al. High turnover of tissue macrophages contributes to tuberculosis reactivation in simian immunodeficiency virus-infected rhesus macaques. J Infect Dis (2018) 217:1865–74. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix625

31. Mehra S, Golden NA, Dutta NK, Midkiff CC, Alvarez X, Doyle LA, et al. Reactivation of latent tuberculosis in rhesus macaques by coinfection with simian immunodeficiency virus. *J Med Primatol* (2011) 40:233–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0684.2011.00485.x

32. Guo M, Xian QY, Rao Y, Zhang J, Wang Y, Huang ZX, et al. SIV infection facilitates mycobacterium tuberculosis infection of rhesus macaques. *Front Microbiol* (2017) 7:1–10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02174

33. Ernst JD. The immunological life cycle of tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2012) 12:581–91. doi: 10.1038/nri3259

34. Diedrich CR, Flynn JL. HIV-1/Mycobacterium tuberculosis coinfection immunology: How does HIV-1 exacerbate tuberculosis? *Infect Immun* (2011) 79:1407-17. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01126-10

35. Foreman TW, Nelson CE, Kauffman KD, Lora NE, Vinhaes CL, Dorosky DE, et al. CD4 T cells are rapidly depleted from tuberculosis granulomas following acute SIV co-infection. *Cell Rep* (2022) 39:110896. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110896

36. Glynn JR, Murray J, Bester A, Nelson G, Shearer S, Sonnenberg P. Effects of duration of HIV infection and secondary tuberculosis transmission on tuberculosis incidence in the south African gold mines. *AIDS* (2008) 22:1859–67. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283097cfa

37. Geldmacher C, Schuetz A, Ngwenyama N, Casazza JP, Sanga E, Saathoff E, et al. Early depletion of mycobacterium tuberculosis –specific T helper 1 cell responses after HIV-1 infection. *J Infect Dis* (2008) 198:1590–8. doi: 10.1086/593017

38. Lawn SD, Myer L, Edwards D, Bekker L-G, Wood R. Short-term and long-term risk of tuberculosis associated with CD4 cell recovery during antiretroviral therapy in south Africa. *AIDS* (2009) 23:1717–25. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832d3b6d

39. Sharan R, Bucşan AN, Ganatra S, Paiardini M, Mohan M, Mehra S, et al. Chronic immune activation in TB/HIV Co-infection. *Trends Microbiol* (2020) 28:619–32. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2020.03.015

40. Moriarty RV, Rodgers MA, Ellis AL, Balgeman AJ, Larson EC, Hopkins F, et al. Spontaneous control of SIV replication does not prevent T cell dysregulation and bacterial dissemination in animals Co-infected with m. tuberculosis. *Microbiol Spectr* (2022) 10:e0172421. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01724-21

41. Lin PL, Rutledge T, Green AM, Bigbee M, Fuhrman C, Klein E, et al. CD4 T cell depletion exacerbates acute mycobacterium tuberculosis while reactivation of latent infection is dependent on severity of tissue depletion in cynomolgus macaques. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* (2012) 28:1693–702. doi: 10.1089/aid.2012.0028

42. Sharan R, Ganatra SR, Bucsan AN, Cole J, Singh DK, Alvarez X, et al. Antiretroviral therapy timing impacts latent tuberculosis infection reactivation in a mycobacterium tuberculosis/SIV coinfection model. *J Clin Invest* (2022) 132: e153090. doi: 10.1172/JCI153090

43. Geldmacher C, Ngwenyama N, Schuetz A, Petrovas C, Reither K, Heeregrave EJ, et al. Preferential infection and depletion of mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD4 T cells after HIV-1 infection. *J Exp Med* (2010) 207:2869–81. doi: 10.1084/jem.20100090

44. Kalsdorf B, Scriba TJ, Wood K, Day CL, Dheda K, Dawson R, et al. HIV-1 infection impairs the bronchoalveolar T-cell response to mycobacteria. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* (2009) 180:1262–70. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200907-1011OC

45. Day CL, Abrahams DA, Harris LD, van Rooyen M, Stone L, de Kock M, et al. HIV-1 infection is associated with depletion and functional impairment of

mycobacterium tuberculosis –specific CD4 T cells in individuals with latent tuberculosis infection. *J Immunol* (2017) 199:2069–80. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol. 1700558

46. Amelio P, Portevin D, Hella J, Reither K, Kamwela L, Lweno O, et al. HIV Infection functionally impairs mycobacterium tuberculosis -specific CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses. J Virol (2018) 93:1–18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01728-18

47. Kalokhe AS, Adekambi T, Ibegbu CC, Ray SM, Day CL, Rengarajan J, et al. Impaired degranulation and proliferative capacity of mycobacterium tuberculosisspecific CD8+ T cells in HIV-infected individuals with latent tuberculosis. *J Infect Dis* (2015) 211:635–40. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiu505

48. Danaviah S, Sacks JA, Kumar KPS, Taylor LM, Fallows DA, Naicker T, et al. Immunohistological characterization of spinal TB granulomas from HIV-negative and -positive patients. *Tuberculosis* (2013) 93:432-41. doi: 10.1016/ j.tube.2013.02.009

49. Diedrich CR, O'Hern J, Gutierrez MG, Allie N, Papier P, Meintjes G, et al. Relationship between HIV coinfection, interleukin 10 production, and mycobacterium tuberculosis in human lymph node granulomas. *J Infect Dis* (2016) 214:1309–18. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw313

50. Nusbaum RJ, Calderon VE, Huante MB, Sutjita P, Vijayakumar S, Lancaster KL, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in humanized mice infected with HIV-1. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:1–11. doi: 10.1038/srep21522

51. Goletti D, Carrara S, Vincenti D, Giacomini E, Fattorini L, Garbuglia AR, et al. Inhibition of HIV-1 replication in monocyte-derived macrophages by mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Infect Dis (2004) 189:624–33. doi: 10.1086/381554

52. Zhang Y, Nakata K, Weiden M, Rom WN. Mycobacterium tuberculosis enhances human immunodeficiency virus-I replication by transcriptional activation at the long terminal repeat. *J Clin Invest* (1995) 95:2324–31. doi: 10.1172/JCI117924

53. Mancino G, Placido R, Bach S, Mariani F, Montesano C, Ercoli L, et al. Infection of human monocytes with mycobacterium tuberculosis enhances human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication and transmission to T cells. *J Infect Dis* (1997) 175:1531–5. doi: 10.1086/516494

54. Larson EC, Novis CL, Martins LJ, Macedo AB, Kimball KE, Bosque A, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis reactivates latent HIV-1 in T cells. *vitro PloS One* (2017) 12:1–14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185162

55. Nakata K, Rom WN, Honda Y, Condos R, Kanegasaki S, Cao Y, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis enhances human immunodeficiency virus-1 replication in the lung. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* (1997) 155:966–1003. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.155.3.9117038

56. Lawn SD, Pisell TL, Hirsch CS, Wu M, Butera ST, Toossi Z. Anatomically compartmentalized human immunodeficiency virus replication in HLA-DR + cells and CD14 + macrophages at the site of pleural tuberculosis coinfection. *J Infect Dis* (2001) 184:1127–33. doi: 10.1086/323649

57. Toossi Z, Johnson JL, Kanost RA, Wu M, Luzze H, Peters P, et al. Increased replication of HIV-1 at sites of mycobacterium tuberculosis infection: Potential mechanisms of viral activation. *JAIDS J Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* (2001) 28:1–8. doi: 10.1097/00042560-200109010-00001

58. van der Ende ME, Schutten M, Raschdorff B, Großschupff G, Racz P, Osterhaus ADME, et al. CD4 T cells remain the major source of HIV-1 during end stage disease. *AIDS* (1999) 13:1015–9. doi: 10.1097/00002030-199906180-00002

59. Rodriguez ME, Loyd CM, Ding X, Karim AF, McDonald DJ, Canaday DH, et al. Mycobacterial phosphatidylinositol mannoside 6 (PIM6) up-regulates TCRtriggered HIV-1 replication in CD4+ T cells. *PloS One* (2013) 8:e80938. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080938

60. Pouget M, Coussens AK, Ruggiero A, Koch A, Thomas J, Besra GS, et al. Generation of liposomes to study the effect of mycobacterium tuberculosis lipids on hiv-1 cis-and trans-infections. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22:1–21. doi: 10.3390/ijms22041945

61. He X, Eddy JJ, Jacobson KR, Henderson AJ, Agosto LM. Enhanced human immunodeficiency virus-1 replication in CD4+T cells derived from individuals with latent mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. *J Infect Dis* (2020) 222:1550–60. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa257

62. Mayanja-Kizza H, Wu M, Aung H, Liu S, Luzze H, Hirsch C, et al. The interaction of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  in mycobacterium tuberculosis -induced HIV-1 replication at sites of active tuberculosis. *Scand J Immunol* (2009) 69:516–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3083. 2009.02246.x

63. Juffermans NP, Speelman P, Verbon A, Veenstra J, Jie C, van Deventer SJH, et al. Patients with active tuberculosis have increased expression of HIV coreceptors CXCR4 and CCR5 on CD4+ T cells. *Clin Infect Dis* (2001) 32:650–2. doi: 10.1086/318701

64. Hoshino Y, Tse DB, Rochford G, Prabhakar S, Hoshino S, Chitkara N, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis -induced CXCR4 and chemokine expression leads to preferential X4 HIV-1 replication in human macrophages. *J Immunol* (2004) 172:6251–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.10.6251

65. Bleul CC, Wu L, Hoxie JA, Springer TA, Mackay CR. The HIV coreceptors CXCR4 and CCR5 are differentially expressed and regulated on human T lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1997) 94:1925–30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.5.1925

66. Toossi Z, Mayanja-Kizza H, Baseke J, Peters P, Wu M, Abraha A, et al. Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) by beta-chemokine analogues in mononuclear cells from HIV-1-infected patients with active tuberculosis. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2005) 142:327–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249. 2005.02913.x

67. Slight SR, Rangel-Moreno J, Gopal R, Lin Y, Junecko BAF, Mehra S, et al. CXCR5+ T helper cells mediate protective immunity against tuberculosis. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123:712–26. doi: 10.1172/JCI65728

68. Rosas-Taraco AG, Arce-Mendoza AY, Caballero-Olín G, Salinas-Carmona MC. Mycobacterium tuberculosis upregulates coreceptors CCR5 and CXCR4 while HIV modulates CD14 favoring concurrent infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* (2006) 22:45–51. doi: 10.1089/aid.2006.22.45

69. Matthews K, Ntsekhe M, Syed F, Scriba T, Russell J, Tibazarwa K, et al. HIV-1 infection alters CD4 + memory T-cell phenotype at the site of disease in extrapulmonary tuberculosis. *Eur J Immunol* (2012) 42:147–57. doi: 10.1002/ eji.201141927

70. Collins KR, Mayanja-Kizza H, Sullivan BA, Quiñones-Mateu ME, Toossi Z, Arts EJ, et al. Greater diversity of HIV-1 quasispecies in HIV-infected individuals with active tuberculosis. *JAIDS J Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* (2000) 24:408–17. doi: 10.1097/00126334-200008150-00002

71. Collins KR, Quiñones-Mateu ME, Wu M, Luzze H, Johnson JL, Hirsch C, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)Quasispecies at the sites of mycobacterium tuberculosis InfectionContribute to systemic HIV-1 heterogeneity. *J Virol* (2002) 76:1697–706. doi: 10.1128/JVI.76.4.1697-1706.2002

72. Auld SC, Staitieh BS. HIV And the tuberculosis 'set point': How HIV impairs alveolar macrophage responses to tuberculosis and sets the stage for progressive disease. *Retrovirology* (2020) 17. doi: 10.1186/s12977-020-00540-2

73. Coleman CM, Wu L. HIV Interactions with monocytes and dendritic cells: viral latency and reservoirs. *Retrovirology* (2009) 6:51. doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-6-51

74. Riou C, Stek C, Du Bruyn E. Immune responses to mycobacterium tuberculosis and the impact of HIV infection. In: *HIV And tuberculosis*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing (2019). p. 57–72. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-29108-2\_4

75. Pathak S, Wentzel-Larsen T, Åsjö B. Effects of InVitro HIV-1 infection on mycobacterial growth in peripheral blood monocyte-derived macrophages. *Infect Immun* (2010) 78:4022–32. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00106-10

76. Kumawat K, Pathak SK, Spetz A, Kundu M, Basu J. Exogenous nef is an inhibitor of mycobacterium tuberculosis -induced tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  production and macrophage apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* (2010) 285:12629–37. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.073320

77. Mwandumba HC, Russell DG, Nyirenda MH, Anderson J, White SA, Molyneux ME, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis resides in nonacidified vacuoles in endocytically competent alveolar macrophages from patients with tuberculosis and HIV infection. *J Immunol* (2004) 172:4592–8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.7.4592

78. Cadena AM, Flynn JL, Fortune SM. The importance of first impressions: Early events in mycobacterium tuberculosis infection influence outcome. *mBio* (2016) 7:e00342-16. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00342-16

79. Millar JA, Butler JR, Evans S, Mattila JT, Linderman JJ, Flynn JAL, et al. Spatial organization and recruitment of non-specific T cells may limit T cell-macrophage interactions within mycobacterium tuberculosis granulomas. *Front Immunol* (2021) 11:1–16. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.613638

80. Riou C, Berkowitz N, Goliath R, Burgers WA, Wilkinson RJ. Analysis of the phenotype of mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD+ T cells to discriminate latent from active tuberculosis in HIV-uninfected and HIV-infected individuals. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00968

81. Duffy FJ, Thompson EG, Scriba TJ, Zak DE. Multinomial modelling of TB/ HIV co-infection yields a robust predictive signature and generates hypotheses about the HIV+TB+ disease state. *PloS One* (2019) 14:e0219322. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219322

82. Walker NF, Meintjes G, Wilkinson RJ. HIV-1 and the immune response to TB. *Future Virol* (2013) 8:57–80. doi: 10.2217/fyl.12.123

83. Guirado E, Schlesinger LS. Modeling the mycobacterium tuberculosis granuloma - the critical battlefield in host immunity and disease. *Front Immunol* (2013) 4:1–7. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00098

84. Bucsan AN, Mehra S, Khader SA, Kaushal D. The current state of animal models and genomic approaches towards identifying and validating molecular determinants of mycobacterium tuberculosis infection and tuberculosis disease. *Pathog Dis* (2019) 77:ftz037. doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftz037

85. Elkington P, Lerm M, Kapoor N, Mahon R, Pienaar E, Huh D, et al. *In vitro* granuloma models of tuberculosis: Potential and challenges. *J Infect Dis* (2019) 219:1858–66. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiz020

86. Kirschner D, Pienaar E, Marino S, Linderman JJ. A review of computational and mathematical modeling contributions to our understanding of mycobacterium tuberculosis within-host infection and treatment. *Curr Opin Syst Biol* (2017) 3:170–85. doi: 10.1016/j.coisb.2017.05.014

87. Jenner AL, Aogo RA, Davis CL, Smith AM, Craig M. Leveraging computational modeling to understand infectious diseases. *Curr Pathobiol Rep* (2020) 8:149-61. doi: 10.1007/s40139-020-00213-x

88. Garira W. A primer on multiscale modelling of infectious disease systems. Infect Dis Model (2018) 3:176–91. doi: 10.1016/j.idm.2018.09.005

89. Day J, Schlesinger LS, Friedman A. Tuberculosis research: going forward with a powerful 'translational systems biology' approach. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* (2010) 90:7–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2009.12.002

90. Perelson AS, Ribeiro RM. Modeling the within-host dynamics of HIV infection. BMC Biol (2013) 11:96. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-11-96

91. Kirschner D. Dynamics of co-infection with m. tuberculosis and HIV-1. Theor Popul Biol (1999) 55:94–109. doi: 10.1006/tpbi.1998.1382

92. Bauer AL, Hogue IB, Marino S, Kirschner DE. The effects of HIV-1 infection on latent tuberculosis. *Math Model Nat Phenom* (2008) 3:229–66. doi: 10.1051/ mmnp:2008051

93. Magombedze G, Garira W, Mwenje E. In-vivo mathematical study of coinfection dynamics of hiv-1 and mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J Biol Syst* (2008) 16:357–94. doi: 10.1142/S0218339008002551

94. CDC. Managing drug interactions in the treatment of HIV-related tuberculosis. (2013).

95. Magombedze G, Garira W, Mwenje E. Modeling the TB/HIV-1 Coinfection and the effects of its treatment. *Math Popul Stud* (2010) 17:12–64. doi: 10.1080/08898480903467241

96. Ramkissoon S, Mwambi HG, Matthews AP. Modelling HIV and MTB Coinfection including combined treatment strategies. *PloS One* (2012) 7:e49492. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049492

97. Mufudza C, Hove-Musekwa SD, Chiyaka ET. Effects of the cytotoxic T-cells on the dynamics of Co-infection of HIV-1 and mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J Tuberc Res* (2016) 04:191–212. doi: 10.4236/jtr.2016.44022

98. Bauer AL, Beauchemin CAA, Perelson AS. Agent-based modeling of hostpathogen systems: The successes and challenges. *Inf Sci (N Y)* (2009) 179:1379–89. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2008.11.012

99. Vodovotz Y, An G. Agent-based models of inflammation in translational systems biology: A decade later. *WIREs Syst Biol Med* (2019) 11:139–48. doi: 10.1002/wsbm.1460

100. Fallahi-Sichani M, El-Kebir M, Marino S, Kirschner DE, Linderman JJ. Multiscale computational modeling reveals a critical role for TNF- $\alpha$  receptor 1 dynamics in tuberculosis granuloma formation. *J Immunol* (2011) 186:3472–83. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1003299

101. Pienaar E, Sarathy J, Prideaux B, Dietzold J, Dartois V, Kirschner DE, et al. Comparing efficacies of moxifloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin in tuberculosis granulomas using a multi-scale systems pharmacology approach. *PloS Comput Biol* (2017) 13:e1005650. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005650