



Corrigendum: Fake News or Weak Science? Visibility and Characterization of Antivaccine Webpages Returned by Google in Different Languages and Countries

Nadia Arif¹, Majed Al-Jefri², Isabella Harb Bizzi³, Gianni Boitano Perano⁴, Michel Goldman⁵, Inam Haq⁴, Kee Leng Chua⁶, Manuela Mengozzi¹, Marie Neunez⁵, Helen Smith⁶ and Pietro Ghezzi^{1*}

¹ Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Falmer, United Kingdom, ² School of Computing, Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brighton, Brighton, United Kingdom, ³ Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, ⁴ Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ⁵ Institute for Interdisciplinary Innovation in Healthcare, Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium, ⁶ Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

OPEN ACCESS

Approved by:
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

***Correspondence:**
Pietro Ghezzi
pietro.ghezzi@gmail.com

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to
Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 23 August 2019
Accepted: 05 September 2019
Published: 01 October 2019

Citation:
Arif N, Al-Jefri M, Bizzi IH, Perano GB,
Goldman M, Haq I, Chua KL,
Mengozzi M, Neunez M, Smith H and
Ghezzi P (2019) Corrigendum: Fake
News or Weak Science? Visibility and
Characterization of Antivaccine
Webpages Returned by Google in
Different Languages and Countries.
Front. Immunol. 10:2252.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02252

Keywords: information quality, google, Internet, news, news media, vaccines, autism, public understanding of science

A Corrigendum on

Fake News or Weak Science? Visibility and Characterization of Antivaccine Webpages Returned by Google in Different Languages and Countries

by Arif, N., Al-Jefri, M., Bizzi, I. H., Perano, G. B., Goldman, M., Haq, I., et al. (2018). *Front. Immunol.* 9:1215. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01215

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Supplementary Material Data Sheet 1** as published. In the tab “Australia” the value of cell M191 should be “0” instead of “1” and that of cell N191 should be “1” instead of “0.” The corrected **Supplementary Material Data Sheet 1** has been replaced in the original article.

In addition, there was a mistake in **Table 6** as published. The percentage of vaccine-negative websites for Australia should be “33.3%” instead of “16.7%.” The corrected **Table 6** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2019 Arif, Al-Jefri, Bizzi, Perano, Goldman, Haq, Chua, Mengozzi, Neunez, Smith and Ghezzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 6 | Frequency of vaccine-negative webpages in each typology.

	google.com	UK	AUS	FR	IT	Man	Port	Ara
Comm	71.4	60.0	33.3	77.8	0.0	25.0	16.7	0.0
Gov	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HP	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	12.5	27.8	7.1	0.0
News	6.8	7.0	2.0	0.0	2.1	12.1	7.3	3.9
NP	10.0	11.5	4.8	30.0	0.0	15.4	33.3	0.0
Other	34.0	40.0	39.7	30.8	30.6	29.8	56.4	15.8
Prof	7.7	7.7	5.0	7.1	0.0	10.0	17.4	0.0
ScJ	0.0	23.1	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average vaccine-negative in the total search engine result page (SERP)								
	16.6	19.7	16.0	19.6	11.5	19.0	24.2	7.5

Data indicate the percentage of vaccine-negative webpages in each typology. Cells are color coded to show difference from "expected" based on the frequency in the total SERP shown in the bottom row (red, above the expected frequency; green, below the expected frequency).