



Metabolism via arginase or nitric oxide synthase: two competing arginine pathways in macrophages

Meera Rath¹, Ingrid Müller², Pascale Kropf², Ellen I. Closs³ and Markus Munder^{4,5*}

¹ Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, Bhubaneswar, India

² Section of Immunology, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK

³ Department of Pharmacology, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

⁴ Third Department of Medicine (Hematology, Oncology, and Pneumology), University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

⁵ Research Center for Immunotherapy, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

Edited by:

Charles Dudley Mills, BioMedical Consultants, USA

Reviewed by:

Takayuki Yoshimoto, Tokyo Medical University, Japan

Jose M. Fuentes, Universidad de Extremadura, Spain

*Correspondence:

Markus Munder, Third Department of Medicine (Hematology, Oncology, and Pneumology), University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University, Langenbeckstrasse 1, Mainz 55131, Germany
e-mail: munder@uni-mainz.de

Macrophages play a major role in the immune system, both as antimicrobial effector cells and as immunoregulatory cells, which induce, suppress or modulate adaptive immune responses. These key aspects of macrophage biology are fundamentally driven by the phenotype of macrophage arginine metabolism that is prevalent in an evolving or ongoing immune response. M1 macrophages express the enzyme nitric oxide synthase, which metabolizes arginine to nitric oxide (NO) and citrulline. NO can be metabolized to further downstream reactive nitrogen species, while citrulline might be reused for efficient NO synthesis via the citrulline–NO cycle. M2 macrophages are characterized by expression of the enzyme arginase, which hydrolyzes arginine to ornithine and urea. The arginase pathway limits arginine availability for NO synthesis and ornithine itself can further feed into the important downstream pathways of polyamine and proline syntheses, which are important for cellular proliferation and tissue repair. M1 versus M2 polarization leads to opposing outcomes of inflammatory reactions, but depending on the context, M1 and M2 macrophages can be both pro- and anti-inflammatory. Notably, M1/M2 macrophage polarization can be driven by microbial infection or innate danger signals without any influence of adaptive immune cells, secondarily driving the T helper (Th)1/Th2 polarization of the evolving adaptive immune response. Since both arginine metabolic pathways cross-inhibit each other on the level of the respective arginine break-down products and Th1 and Th2 lymphocytes can drive or amplify macrophage M1/M2 dichotomy via cytokine activation, this forms the basis of a self-sustaining M1/M2 polarization of the whole immune response. Understanding the arginine metabolism of M1/M2 macrophage phenotypes is therefore central to find new possibilities to manipulate immune responses in infection, autoimmune diseases, chronic inflammatory conditions, and cancer.

Keywords: macrophage, M1 and M2, arginine, arginase, nitric oxide synthase, immunoregulation, amino acid transporter

INTRODUCTION: ARGININE IN THE CENTER OF M1/M2 MACROPHAGE DICHOTOMY

Macrophages are highly versatile cells, which are (i) crucial for infection control (“kill/fight mode”) and tissue homeostasis (“default mode”, phagocytosing cellular debris) and (ii) involved in disease pathophysiology in cancer, autoimmunity, metabolic, and fibrotic disorders (1). Macrophages react to a wide variety of external stimuli and are able to produce a multitude of effector molecules for intercellular communication, microbial defense, and modulation of inflammatory reactions (1). They induce, suppress, or modulate both innate and adaptive immune responses. Considering this enormous complexity it is reasonable to deduce classification schemes to create order and sense in the experimental results of macrophage research (2, 3). Potential macrophage diversity, both in terms of activation states, surface marker expression, metabolic phenotype, and interspecies differences clearly requires rigid standards for experimental set-up and reporting (4). While undue simplification hampers the comparability between studies

(4), a reductionist approach tries to avoid getting lost in the complexities of macrophage biology and has both enormous power for the explanation of reality and can be the basis for experimentally testing of hypotheses. One should never forget that even the most sophisticated modern computers are based on the “0–1” dichotomy!

One of the most fruitful and reasonable classification of macrophages relates to their two main functions, namely, to kill/fight or to heal/fix. Within this classification view, macrophage biology is driven by two phenotypes (M1 for killing/fighting versus M2 for healing/fixing), which are also relevant in an evolving or ongoing immune response (5). M1 or M2 dominant macrophages then direct T lymphocytes to produce Th1 or Th2 responses, respectively, to further amplify M1 or M2 type responses in positive feed-back loops stabilizing the predominant immune phenotype in the respective setting of infection, tumor, or inflammation. The M1/M2 macrophage classification can be condensed into two opposing pathways for the metabolism of one

amino acid: the preference of macrophages to metabolize arginine via nitric oxide synthase (NOS) to NO and citrulline or via arginase to ornithine and urea defines them as M1 (NOS) or M2 (arginase) macrophages (5). NOS or arginase are enzymes that catalyze a “reaction,” but we will use “pathway” here to illuminate that they are part of multi-enzyme pathways producing other physiologically important products.

In this introductory review, we will describe macrophage arginine metabolism, its functional consequences and how the macrophage arginine metabolic phenotype defines the two opposing M1 and M2 types of macrophages. While various molecules and features of macrophages are reciprocally or mutually exclusively associated with the M1 versus M2 phenotype, the dichotomous regulation of arginine metabolism is at the center of the different functions that are associated with M1 and M2 macrophages.

ARGININE: ONE SMALL AMINO ACID FOR MACROPHAGE METABOLISM, A GIANT CONTROLLER FOR MAMMALIAN (PATHO-)PHYSIOLOGY

Mammalian arginine metabolism is complex both at the level of the whole organism (6, 7) and at the level of the individual cell types (8) and we would like to adopt the term “argenomics” that was suggested by Sidney M. Morris Jr (7) for the regulation of gene expression via arginine availability in an even broader sense for the whole fascinating complexity of arginine-driven cellular regulation. Before focusing in on arginine metabolism of macrophages and its determining role for the M1/M2 dichotomy, let us first have a short overview on some historical facts relating to arginine in mammalian physiology. The story started nearly 130 years ago, arginine was first isolated in 1886 and was identified as a component of animal proteins in 1895 (8). The role of arginine in metabolic physiology was first demonstrated in 1932, when Krebs and Henseleit discovered the urea cycle. In 1981, Windmueller and Spaeth reported that the small intestine is the major source of citrulline for synthesis of arginine by the kidneys, now called the intestinal–renal axis for arginine synthesis on an organismal level (9). In 1987, it was shown that arginine is the precursor for macrophage citrulline and nitrite synthesis (10) and that arginine-derived NO is the elusive endothelium-derived relaxing factor (EDRF) (11, 12). Soon afterwards, NO was categorized as physiologically active intermediate of the arginine to nitrite (+nitrate) pathway in macrophages (13, 14) and endothelial cells (15). The discovery of the fundamental role of arginine-derived NO for human cardiovascular physiology already led to the award of the Nobel Prize in 1998 to Robert F. Furchgott, Louis J. Ignarro, and Ferid Murad. The importance of arginine has still risen since then, it is now clear that immune cell arginine metabolism is fundamentally involved in cancer, inflammation, infections, fibrotic diseases, pregnancy, and immune regulation in general (16–21). A huge responsibility for a small molecule!

MACROPHAGE ARGININE AVAILABILITY: SEVERAL ROADS LEAD TO ONE AMINO ACID



On the level of the whole organism, arginine is a non-essential amino acid for healthy adult humans, but it has to be supplemented during growth or various disease states (6, 7) and has thus been

characterized as a semi- or conditionally essential amino acid. Average arginine ingestion with a Western style diet is around 4–5 g/day and the normal plasma arginine level is 100–200 μ M. Besides dietary intake, arginine is derived from cellular protein break-down or endogenous *de novo* arginine production. Mammalian arginine biosynthesis (Figure 1) involves mainly the amino acids glutamine, ornithine, and citrulline and the involved enzymatic steps are compartmentalized in different tissues and also on the subcellular level so that not all reactions can take place in each individual cell type or tissue. For a more detailed description of the chemical pathways of arginine metabolism, the reader is referred to the excellent review by Wu and Morris (8). (i) Glutamine can be converted to ornithine via glutaminase (yielding glutamate), pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS), which is almost exclusively expressed in the intestinal mucosa, and ornithine aminotransferase (OAT). (ii) Ornithine transcarbamylase (OTC) and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (CPS) are involved in the formation of citrulline from ornithine. The enzymes are restricted to the mitochondrial matrix of hepatocytes and epithelial cells of small and (to a minor extent) large intestine. This reaction is therefore a part of the hepatic urea cycle and also involved in intestinal synthesis of citrulline, which is released into the circulation. The proximal tubules of the kidneys take up most of the circulating citrulline, which is then converted within the kidney to arginine and again released into the circulation. (iii) Argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS) and argininosuccinate lyase (ASL) are cytosolic enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of arginine from citrulline (and aspartate as a co-substrate). While ASS and ASL are expressed constitutively or inducibly in many different cell types, their degree of expression, and the efficiency of their catalytic pathways vary between different tissue types. On a whole body level, the latter enzymatic steps form the basis of the so-called intestinal–renal axis with intestinal production of citrulline (see above) and renal synthesis of arginine (7).

M1 macrophages can also synthesize arginine in a cyclic fashion (Figure 2): during NO synthesis, arginine is converted to NO and citrulline via N^{ω} -OH-arginine (22, 23). Murine macrophages have long been known to (i) upregulate ASS and constitutively express ASL when stimulated with the NOS-inducing agents lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and IFN- γ (24) and (ii) to partially rescue NO synthesis via citrulline uptake and ASS-mediated recycling to arginine (25). This set of reactions via ASS and ASL forms the so-called citrulline–NO cycle (26). The importance of this pathway for the resynthesis of arginine to ensure sufficient substrate supply for prolonged NO synthesis under arginine limitation has been recently demonstrated *in vivo* in murine mycobacteria infection (27). Despite the upregulation of ASS1, availability of arginine remains a rate-limiting step for synthesis of NO and cellular uptake of arginine also determines the amount of NO synthesized in case of NOS and ornithine in case of arginase (28, 29).

CELLULAR UPTAKE OF ARGININE

The transmembranous arginine transport is one essential component of cellular arginine metabolism and important for the cells to perform their tasks. Amino acids do not pass membranous lipid bilayers freely, but are transported via specialized proteins. These amino acid transporters show different transport



characteristics and specificities for the various amino acids, based on their physicochemical properties. Arginine, like the other cationic amino acids ornithine and lysine is preferentially taken

up via members of the solute carrier family 7 (SLC7) (30–32). The subfamily of cationic amino acid transporters (CAT1–3, i.e., SLC7A1–3) recognizes exclusively cationic amino acids, while members of the subfamily of heteromeric amino acid transporters, γ^+ LAT1 (SLC7A7) and γ^+ LAT2 (SLC7A6), and $b^0,+AT$ transport also neutral amino acids. CAT and γ^+ LAT proteins are widely distributed in various tissue types. CAT proteins are the main transporters for arginine uptake into cells, where the amino acid is then used for protein synthesis and all arginine-dependent metabolic pathways (7). CAT-1 is constitutively expressed in most tissues, with the exception of the liver, while CAT-2B is cytokine-inducible. CAT-2A is predominantly expressed in liver while CAT-3 is widely expressed during embryonal development but largely restricted to central nervous system and thymus in adults (30). In contrast to CAT, γ^+ LAT proteins exchange primarily extracellular neutral against intracellular cationic amino acids and are therefore responsible for arginine export rather than import (30, 32). $b^0,+AT$ is expressed in epithelial cells of small intestine and kidney where it is responsible for the (re)absorption of cationic amino acids and cysteine (32). $ATB^0,+$, a member of the SLC6 family, also transports both cationic and neutral amino acids and is expressed in the apical membrane of epithelial cells in various tissues (30).

The complex regulation of CAT expression has been studied quite extensively (30, 32, 33), whereas comparatively little is known about arginine transporter expression in most cells of the hematopoietic system in general or the immune system specifically. Induction of CAT-2 has been shown in murine macrophages upon both Th1 and Th2 cytokine stimulation (28), in murine dendritic cells (DCs) by retinoic acid (RA) (34) and in murine

microglia upon stimulation with IFN- γ +/- LPS (35). In contrast, CAT-1 is either expressed constitutively (28) or even downregulated upon activation (35). Coordinated induction of CAT-2 and arginase (partially dependent on CAT-2 expression) has been demonstrated in macrophages during murine allergic lung inflammation, forming the basis of bleomycin-induced fibrosis (36) and also in RA-activated DCs (34). On the other hand, sustained production of NO in murine macrophages is also based on CAT-2 expression and CAT-2-mediated arginine uptake (37). The induction of CAT-2 in both M1 and M2 type murine macrophages has been shown to differ quantitatively between different mouse strains with important pathophysiological consequences: a deletion in the SLC7A2 promoter of C57BL/6 mice leads to impaired CAT-2 expression, reduced arginine uptake, and decreased susceptibility to *Leishmania* infection as compared to BALB/c mice (38). In contrast to murine macrophages, arginine transport is based on system y⁺L in IFN- γ -activated human primary monocytes (39) or LPS-stimulated alveolar macrophages (40), another example of interspecies differences, which are so prominent in various aspects of arginine metabolism in the immune system (17, 41, 42).

A crucial principle of immune cell signaling is the constitutive preexistence or the activation-induced assembly of multi-protein complexes that facilitate efficient transduction of stimuli. In murine myeloid cells, enhanced arginine import via CAT-2 is coupled to the induction of the arginine-catabolizing enzymes arginase I (28) and NOS (28, 34, 35, 43). It remains to be analyzed if (i) further similar higher-order structures or coordinated enzyme induction, combining arginine transporters with specific arginine-metabolizing proteins, can be found in macrophages and if (ii) differences in M1 and M2 type cells do exist. This is a valid hypothesis since amino acid transporters are not only final elements of distinct signal transduction pathways, which immune cells need for nutrient supply, but are also rather intricately involved in complex metabolic networks in which they influence further downstream signaling nodes and metabolic pathways (44). Interestingly, the ornithine-derived polyamine spermine enhances the expression of CAT-1 mRNA in human retinal pigment epithelial cells (45). This observation leads to an interesting question regarding macrophages: do M2 type macrophages increase their arginine transport capacity via endogenous arginase-mediated synthesis of polyamines, which would then further amplify arginase-based metabolism in a positive feed-back loop? An alternative scenario might result from the extracellular synthesis of ornithine after arginase has been secreted (46) or liberated unspecifically during cell death of myeloid cells (47). As ornithine is a cationic amino acid and substrate of cationic amino acid transporters, it (i) competitively inhibits arginine uptake and (ii) can be exchanged for intracellular arginine via CAT transporter proteins (31), potentially leading to a cellular depletion of arginine.

ARGININE CATABOLISM: A BIPOLAR WORLD IN MACROPHAGES

In mammalian cells, arginine can be catabolized by four classes of enzymes (**Figure 1**): NOS, arginase, arginine decarboxylase (ADC), and arginine:glycine amidinotransferase (AGAT) (8). Although the enzymes are of course regulated and expressed in a cell-type-specific manner, the metabolism of arginine is

potentially complex since its downstream metabolites encompass NO, urea, ornithine, citrulline, creatine, agmatine, glutamate, proline, and the family of polyamines (7, 8). In macrophages, arginine is a precursor for mainly two important metabolic pathways: it is metabolized either by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) to NO and citrulline or it is hydrolyzed by arginase to ornithine and urea (**Figure 2**). This fundamental dichotomy of macrophage arginine metabolism has wide ranging implications for their function as well as for the type and outcome of immune responses in which these innate immune cells are involved in. Before these consequences are discussed (see below), let us first look at the two important distinct arginine enzymatic pathways in macrophages in more detail.

NITRIC OXIDE SYNTHASE: ARGININE – NITRIC OXIDE PATHWAY

In 1980, Furchgott and co-workers discovered (i) the necessity of an intact endothelium for relaxation of isolated blood vessels and (ii) the presence of an endothelial cell-secreted unknown soluble relaxing factor (EDRF) (48). In 1987, this factor was found to be identical with NO (11) and arginine was revealed as the precursor for NO synthesis in cardiovascular physiology (15). In parallel studies, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ were measured as end products of a novel oxidation pathway expressed in macrophages upon stimulation with LPS (49) or IFN- γ (50). Arginine was recognized as the biological precursor molecule of nitrite/nitrate released from activated macrophages (10). Further studies demonstrated that NO is an intermediate of macrophage arginine oxidation to the final end products nitrite/nitrate (14) and that NO synthesis is required for macrophage cytotoxic activity (22). In 1991, the enzyme converting arginine to NO was purified, cloned, and was named NOS (now known as neuronal NOS, nNOS) (51). Shortly after this, two additional NOS isozymes were cloned: iNOS from macrophages (52, 53) and endothelial NOS (eNOS) (54).

The three NOS isozymes differ in structure, distribution, regulation, and synthetic capacity, but they catalyze the same reaction: the incorporation of molecular oxygen and the release of NO from the terminal guanidino nitrogen group of arginine and generation of citrulline as a byproduct (22). All NOS enzymes are large homodimeric proteins with two functional domains: (i) an N-terminal oxygenase and catalytic domain, which binds an iron–protoporphyrin IX (heme) prosthetic group and the cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin (BH₄) and (ii) a C-terminal reductase domain with binding sites for flavin mononucleotide (FMN) and flavin-adenine dinucleotide (FAD). The catalytic reaction involves two monooxygenation steps: (i) arginine is hydroxylated by O₂ and NADPH to form N^ω-hydroxy-L-arginine, which is then (ii) oxidized to yield NO and citrulline. All three NOS isoforms can also synthesize superoxide in the absence of arginine and BH₄. This NOS-derived superoxide can react with NO to form peroxynitrite. Both nNOS and eNOS are constitutively expressed enzymes and calcium-dependent in their activity. In contrast, iNOS is regulated via inducible transcription and synthesizes NO independent of calcium since the essential subunit calmodulin is bound to iNOS even at low intracellular calcium concentrations. Most prominently known as microbicidal and inflammatory effector pathway in macrophages (22), iNOS activity has also been demonstrated in a variety of other cell types, e.g., hepatocytes (55), pulmonary

epithelium (56), and colon epithelium (57). A variety of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1 β , IFN- γ , or TNF- α), microbial products (e.g., LPS), and hypoxia can induce macrophage iNOS transcription, whereas other cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-10, TGF- β) suppress iNOS gene transcription (58). Additive or synergistic activities of combinations of multiple cytokines are most efficient in inducing or suppressing iNOS gene expression. NO synthesis can also be limited by arginine availability and/or on the level of iNOS protein expression (59). NOS can further be inhibited by endogenously produced asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) or pharmacologically by synthetic arginine analogs with substitutions at the terminal guanidino group, e.g., N^ω-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA), N^ω-nitro-L-arginine (L-NNA), or N^ω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME). Once iNOS has been translated, a prolonged production of potentially large amounts of NO is detectable. NO can act via stimulation of soluble guanylate cyclase to generate cyclic GMP within the target cell. Besides its physiological role as guanylate cyclase stimulator, NO is also a radical with a very short half-life of approximately 3–5 s and it reacts with a variety of molecules leading to (i) further reactive nitrogen species (RNS) like N₂O₃, peroxyxynitrite (ONOO⁻), or nitronium ion (NO₂⁺) in the presence of oxygen radicals (60) and (ii) nitrosylated proteins with potentially altered or impaired function.

ARGINASE: ARGININE – ORNITHINE PATHWAY

The enzyme arginase drives the second or alternative pathway of arginine metabolism in macrophages, catalyzing the hydrolysis of arginine to ornithine and urea. While arginase was known as an enzyme of the hepatic urea cycle since the discovery of the latter in 1932 by Krebs and Henseleit, it is also expressed in many non-hepatic cells. There are two isozymes of arginase (arginase I and arginase II), which catalyze the same biochemical reaction but differ in cellular expression, cell-type-specific regulation, and subcellular localization (17, 61). Hepatic urea cycle arginase I is expressed as a cytosolic enzyme, while human granulocyte arginase I is found in the granular compartment (41) and arginase II is a mitochondrial enzyme (61). It was initially demonstrated that murine macrophage arginase is inducible by PGE₂ (62), Th2 cytokines, and cAMP, both alone (62) and synergistically acting together (63). The molecular details of this gene regulation were then clarified: the transcription factors STAT-6 and CEBP/β assemble at an enhancer element 3 kb upstream from the basal promoter of arginase I and Th2 cytokine-mediated murine arginase I mRNA induction is controlled by this mechanism (64). Meanwhile, human macrophage arginase I expression was demonstrated by synergistic induction with cAMP increasing treatments (PDE4 inhibition) in combination with IL-4 or TGF-β (65). The molecular details of this induction were also clarified recently in the murine RAW264.7 macrophage cell line, involving STAT-6 and CEBP/β binding to an IL-4 response element in the arginase I promoter (66). Another layer of complexity comes into play by the demonstration of pathogen-induced toll-like receptor (TLR)-mediated induction of arginase I in murine macrophages (67, 68).

Ornithine serves as a substrate for ornithine decarboxylase (ODC), a rate-limiting enzyme in the synthesis of polyamines. Polyamines are small, polycationic molecules, which regulate a

multitude of cellular processes like DNA replication, protein translation, cell growth, and differentiation (69, 70). Much less is known about an involvement of polyamines in immune reactions: the polyamine spermine, e.g., inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokine synthesis of human, LPS-stimulated PBMC (71), arginine transport (72), and NO synthesis in rat (72) and murine macrophages (73). Ornithine, via the enzyme OAT, is also a precursor amino acid for the synthesis of proline, which itself is essential for the synthesis of collagen. Accordingly, arginase-derived ornithine might be important in tissue (re-)modeling processes. This hypothesis was supported by studies that demonstrated an increase in arginase levels in fibrotic lung disease (74) or allergic asthma (75, 76).

What are the biological functions of arginase-expressing macrophages? While there is ample evidence, at least in the murine system, of the fundamental role of NO-producing macrophages for infection control (22), a multitude of pathophysiological scenarios have also been described in which arginase-expressing macrophages are key players (17). In the initial groundbreaking analysis on macrophage phenotypes during wound healing, Mills and co-workers (77, 78) showed that arginase-expressing and ornithine-producing macrophages were crucial for wound healing as opposed to NO-producing macrophages, which dominated the initial phase of antibacterial inflammation. The same two macrophage phenotypes were then also correlated with tumor growth (arginase/ornithine) or tumor killing (NOS/NO) (79). Based on these dramatic differences in function, the two different macrophage populations were then named as M1 and M2, based on their route of arginine metabolism (Figure 2) (5). In general, M2 type macrophages act as anti-inflammatory cells (“healing” mode) via diversion of arginine away from NOS or via the synthesis of downstream products derived from the ornithine that is generated via arginase (see above). For illustration, we want to list just a few, more recently published examples for the role of macrophage or, in this context, also DC arginase in (i) infection-induced inflammation, (ii) immune evasion in tumor and infection, (iii) regulation of fibrosis, and (iv) direct control of parasite growth. (i) The anti-inflammatory property of macrophage arginase during infection was shown in murine schistosomiasis where excessive tissue injury is prevented by arginase-expressing macrophages due to suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-12 and IL-23 and the maintenance of the Treg/Th17 balance (80). (ii) IL-6-induced arginase 1 in DCs leads to downmodulation of MHC-II and this is subsequently responsible for suppression of CD4⁺ T cell-mediated antitumoral immunity (81). Immune evasion of chronic *Helicobacter pylori* infection is mediated by arginase II induction in gastric macrophages, due to inhibition of NOS-mediated bacterial killing and suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokine production (82). (iii) Macrophage arginase I restricts Th2 cytokine driven inflammation and fibrosis in murine schistosomiasis (83) although macrophage arginase itself can be pro-fibrogenic via direct production of proline as collagen precursor in schistosomiasis (84). (iv) Macrophage arginase-mediated synthesis of polyamines enhances growth of intracellular *Leishmania* parasites in murine macrophages (85) and arginase-expressing granulocytes and the levels of arginase activities are markers of disease severity in human visceral leishmaniasis and in HIV infections (86–88).

One further important consequence of macrophage arginase expression is reduction of extracellular arginine. This is most likely more apparent in the immediate microenvironment of M2 macrophages due to the continuous flux of nutrients and the arginine synthetic capacity of the whole organism. Suppression of T cell activation via arginine depletion has been studied quite extensively *in vitro* (47, 89–91) and is known as one of the prime immunosuppressive mechanisms of arginase-expressing myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) (18). In contrast, its role in macrophage-driven pathophysiology *in vivo* is still not really clear in most relevant disease entities and needs to be analyzed in the future. We speculate that M2/arginase macrophages might be more efficient in the induction of extracellular arginine depletion since there is no intracellular reconstitutive mechanism for arginine recycling for M2 macrophages as opposed to M1 macrophages, which can use the citrulline–NO rescue pathway. Suppression of T cell activation, proliferation, and/or differentiation by macrophage arginase I was shown *in vivo* in murine disease models dominated by M2 macrophages, like schistosomiasis (80, 83) or leishmaniasis (92). Interestingly, extracellular arginine depletion has also been shown to inhibit ERK1/2 activation and subsequently pro-inflammatory cytokine production in LPS-stimulated macrophages (93). It will be interesting to study a potential influence of intracellular arginine depletion on potential pro-inflammatory signaling pathways within macrophages and to analyze if there is regulation of innate immune responses and macrophage polarization already at such basic level. Clearly, arginine depletion does not inhibit immune responses broadly and indiscriminately: important activation aspects of T cells (91, 94) and granulocytes (95) are preserved in an arginine-depleted milieu and other cellular responses, e.g., induction of arginine transport protein CAT-1 (33), are even enhanced in eukaryotic cells under arginine nutrient deprivation.

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN NOS AND ARGINASE PATHWAYS

Nitric oxide synthase and arginase can both antagonize or synergize in the generation of oxidative and nitrosative stress: inadequate supply of arginine (or the cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin) leads to the production of superoxide (O_2^-) instead of NO, increasing oxidative stress and also the production of peroxynitrite (22). In general, though, mutually exclusive expression of iNOS and arginase I in individual macrophages prevails (96) and there are multiple cross-inhibitory interactions between the two arginine metabolic pathways in macrophages: NOHA, the intermediate product in NO synthesis, is a potent inhibitor of both arginase isoforms (97). In non-macrophage cell types, it was also demonstrated that NO is an effective inhibitor of ODC via nitrosylation of the enzyme with consecutively reduced polyamine synthesis (98). Arginase can limit arginine availability for NO synthesis, as demonstrated by pharmacological arginase inhibition in different types of macrophages (99). The expression of iNOS is translationally controlled by the availability of arginine (100) and in murine M2 macrophages, induced by the cytokine IL-13, iNOS translation, and NO production are restricted by arginase-mediated arginine depletion (59). Polyamines and/or polyamine aldehyde metabolites can inhibit NO synthesis in murine and rat macrophages (101). Spermine suppresses the induction of both iNOS and

CAT-2B arginine transporter (72) and inhibition of ODC-mediated polyamine synthesis in murine macrophages enhances LPS-induced iNOS expression and NO synthesis (102). Spermine also inhibits *H. pylori*-induced iNOS protein translation in the RAW264.7 macrophage cell line and siRNA-mediated ODC inhibition enhances macrophage NO-mediated bacterial killing (103).

In summary, these biochemical crossregulatory interactions are in line with the concept of two types of polarized macrophages – M1/NOS versus M2/arginase – which are defined not only by the intracellular fate of arginine, but – most importantly – also by its associated functional consequences.

M1/NOS VERSUS M2/ARGINASE MACROPHAGES: NOVEL ASPECTS

The earlier simplified scheme of “proinflammation = M1/Th1” versus “anti-inflammation = M2/Th2” has meanwhile been clarified as one possible scenario of a more broader conceptual framework: M1 versus M2 polarization clearly leads to opposing outcomes of inflammatory reactions, but depending on the inflammatory or infectious context, M1 and M2 macrophages can be central players of both pro- or anti-inflammatory reactions. Notably, M1/M2 macrophage polarization can be driven by microbial infection or innate danger signals without any influence of adaptive immune cells, secondarily driving the Th1/Th2 polarization of the evolving adaptive immune response (104). Microbial stimulation of macrophages via TLRs leads to the activation of certain transcription factors (e.g., NF- κ B, AP-1), which upregulate pro-inflammatory cytokines like IFN- γ and TNF- α leading to M1 macrophage polarization with high iNOS expression whereas cytokines like IL-4 or IL-13 lead to STAT6 phosphorylation with consecutive arginase expression and varying further aspects of M2 polarization (mannose receptor, Ym1, Fizz1).

Various novel aspects regarding the evolution of the M1/M2 macrophage polarization in light of the differential expression of NOS versus arginase have been clarified recently and some examples are summarized in the following sections:

NOVEL EXOGENOUS ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING THE NOS/ARGINASE BALANCE

Tumor cells are known to metabolize glucose preferentially via aerobic glycolysis, known as “Warburg phenomenon,” and this leads to high concentrations of lactate in the tumor microenvironment. This tumor cell-derived lactic acid is a potent inducer of arginase I expression in tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) and these M2/arginase macrophages then foster tumor growth (105). Since activated T cells also use aerobic glycolysis with consecutive production of lactic acid, it will be interesting to study if the same mechanism of arginase I induction is also operative in macrophage-T cell interactions. Gliadin, a major component of cereal gluten, and therefore omnipresent in our daily food, was shown to induce arginase I in human monocytes (106). A parallel stimulation with IFN- γ leads to a reduction of cellular arginine efflux via downregulation of the arginine export protein γ^+ LAT-2 (SLC7A6), thereby increasing intracellular availability of arginine for gliadin-induced arginase (106). Finally, growth factors have also entered the M1/M2 macrophage world: in a hamster model of visceral leishmaniasis, macrophage arginase I expression

is driven by fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), which both signal via STAT6 and are amplified by co-stimulation with IL-4 (107).

INTRACELLULAR SIGNALING MODULES INVOLVED IN M1(NOS)/M2(ARGINASE) POLARIZATION

The tyrosine phosphatase Shp2 restricts M2 macrophage polarization as demonstrated by the preferential polarization of Shp2^{-/-} macrophages into an M2 direction with enhanced arginase expression, associated with a better protection against schistosomiasis (108). Also, PI3K/PTEN activity is involved in regulating arginase expression in murine macrophages since deletion of PTEN leads to M2 polarization via C/EBP β and STAT3 (109). These results are in line with earlier reports demonstrating that SHIP phosphatase (which – like PTEN – also downregulates the PI3K pathway) dampens M2 polarization and arginase I expression in different types of tissue macrophages (110). Deacetylation of C/EBP β is required for its binding to a DNA enhancer element and its role in IL-4-mediated arginase I induction in bone marrow-derived murine macrophages (111).

AUTO- OR PARACRINE M1/M2 POLARIZATION

In murine macrophages, induction of arginase I by mycobacteria is driven by an autocrine–paracrine signaling loop: TLR-MyD88 mediate induction of the cytokines IL-6, G-CSF, and IL-10, which then induce arginase I, involving the transcription factors STAT3 and C/EBP β (112). Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection induces production of IL-4 and IL-13 of macrophages themselves and this leads to auto- and paracrine induction of arginase I expression in macrophages (68). It has long been known that murine DCs share the fundamental arginase/iNOS polarization with macrophages (113). A novel aspect here is that DCs produce RA, which then induces arginase I and the arginine transporter CAT-2B in the DCs themselves (34). This RA-mediated autocrine–paracrine induction of arginase I in DCs is induced by binding of RA to an RA-responsive element in the 5' non-coding region of the arginase I gene and is enhanced by known exogenous arginase inducers like IL-4 or GM-CSF (34).

M1/M2 POLARIZATION *IN VIVO*

In human tuberculosis, the distribution of M1 (iNOS) and M2 (arginase) macrophages is spatially organized within granulomas: M1 macrophages can preferentially be found in the inner region closer to viable mycobacteria, whereas a higher frequency of M2 macrophages is detectable on the outer “healing” margins. This clearly forms an organized microenvironment in which antibacterial (M1) responses are physically separated by M2-based anti-inflammation and fibrosis from uninvolved tissue (96). In a murine tuberculosis model, overexpression of IL-13 precipitates expansion of the M2 arginase-expressing macrophage response to the pathogens recapitulating human pathology of post-primary tuberculosis, while the endogenous inhibition of arginase I expression via NOHA restrains arginase expression and pathology (114). This is in line with an earlier study showing a disease-exacerbating role of macrophage arginase (67). In contrast to the latter two reports, a recently published study analyzed the role of macrophage arginase in a hypoxic model

of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* granuloma formation, in which iNOS-based synthesis of RNS is impaired and which likely reflects *in vivo* reality. Here, it was shown that arginase I expression in granuloma-associated macrophages restricts immune pathology since macrophage-specific deletion of arginase I led to larger granulomas and bacterial burden load (115). These discrepant study results clearly demonstrate the fundamental importance of the microenvironment and the multitude of potential factors that act on macrophages *in vivo* and which can simply not be mimicked *in vitro*.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The concept of macrophage M1/M2 dichotomy, based on differential usage of arginine via NOS or arginase was born 25 years ago based on analyses of healing wounds (5, 104). Since then, we have witnessed a bewildering explosion of knowledge regarding macrophage surface markers, activation requirements, signal transduction elements and gene regulation. Within this universe of complexity the simple discriminator arginase versus NOS expression has not only remained valid to explain how a mammalian organism deals with wounding (which is important enough in itself) but also has demonstrated enormous power to better explain and understand such diverse problems as cancer control versus cancer-induced immunosuppression, autoimmune pathology versus preservation of tolerance to self, infection control versus chronicity or death due to infection as well as tissue healing versus exaggerated fibrosis. Despite this scientific progress during the last quarter-century, we have by far not reached the summit of the Everest, but rather a solid base camp I, in which to plan and prepare the next steps. The arginase/iNOS dichotomy of macrophage amino acid metabolism has counterparts in the other major components of cellular metabolism: (a) genes of fatty acid oxidation are preferentially expressed in M2 macrophages and inhibition of fatty acid oxidation leads to an abrogation of M2 activation (116); (b) M1 macrophages preferentially use glycolysis and glutamine anaplerosis while M2 macrophages preferentially use oxidative metabolism (117). The emerging interconnections between macrophage metabolism and M1/M2 polarization (118) have recently been reviewed (119, 120) and we anticipate exciting progress in this field in the upcoming years. Another crucial aspect of the M1/M2 dichotomy that eagerly awaits more progress and clarification is the current discrepancy between murine and human macrophage biology in terms of iNOS/arginase expression and regulation (17, 42, 121). We definitely need more carefully executed *in vivo* and *ex vivo* analyses of human macrophage activation phenotypes in diverse disease settings. This will then also lay the foundation for targeted therapeutic intervention to harness the enormous power of the arginine metabolic phenotypes of M1/M2 macrophage polarization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (MU 1547/4-1 to Markus Munder and Cl100/6-1 to Ellen I. Closs).

REFERENCES

- Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2011) 11:723–37. doi:10.1038/nri3073

2. Gordon S, Martinez FO. Alternative activation of macrophages: mechanism and functions. *Immunity* (2010) **32**:593–604. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2010.05.007
3. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. *J Clin Invest* (2012) **122**:787–95. doi:10.1172/JCI59643
4. Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity* (2014) **41**:14–20. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.008
5. Mills CD, M1 and M2 macrophages: oracles of health and disease. *Crit Rev Immunol* (2012) **32**(6):463–88. doi:10.1615/CritRevImmunol.v32.i6.10
6. Boger RH, Bode-Boger SM. The clinical pharmacology of L-arginine. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* (2001) **41**:79–99. doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.41.1.79
7. Morris SM Jr. Arginine: beyond protein. *Am J Clin Nutr* (2006) **83**:508S–12S.
8. Wu G, Morris SM Jr. Arginine metabolism: nitric oxide and beyond. *Biochem J* (1998) **336**:1–17.
9. Windmueller HG, Spaeth AE. Source and fate of circulating citrulline. *Am J Physiol* (1981) **241**:E473–80.
10. Hibbs JB Jr, Taintor RR, Vavrin Z. Macrophage cytotoxicity: role for L-arginine deiminase and imino nitrogen oxidation to nitrite. *Science* (1987) **235**:473–6. doi:10.1126/science.2432665
11. Palmer RM, Ferrige AG, Moncada S. Nitric oxide release accounts for the biological activity of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. *Nature* (1987) **327**:524–6. doi:10.1038/327524a0
12. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Wood KS, Byrns RE, Chaudhuri G. Endothelium-derived relaxing factor produced and released from artery and vein is nitric oxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1987) **84**:9265–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.24.9265
13. Hibbs JB Jr, Taintor RR, Vavrin Z, Rachlin EM. Nitric oxide: a cytotoxic activated macrophage effector molecule. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1988) **157**:87–94. doi:10.1016/S0006-291X(88)80015-9
14. Marletta MA, Yoon PS, Iyengar R, Leaf CD, Wishnok JS. Macrophage oxidation of L-arginine to nitrite and nitrate: nitric oxide is an intermediate. *Biochemistry* (1988) **27**:8706–11. doi:10.1021/bi00424a003
15. Palmer RM, Ashton DS, Moncada S. Vascular endothelial cells synthesize nitric oxide from L-arginine. *Nature* (1988) **333**:664–6. doi:10.1038/333664a0
16. Noy R, Pollard JW. Tumor-associated macrophages: from mechanisms to therapy. *Immunity* (2014) **41**:49–61. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.010
17. Munder M. Arginase: an emerging key player in the mammalian immune system. *Br J Pharmacol* (2009) **158**:638–51. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00291.x
18. Raber P, Ochoa AC, Rodriguez PC. Metabolism of L-arginine by myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer: mechanisms of T cell suppression and therapeutic perspectives. *Immunol Invest* (2012) **41**:614–34. doi:10.3109/08820139.2012.680634
19. Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2009) **9**:162–74. doi:10.1038/nri2506
20. Kropf P, Baud D, Marshall SE, Munder M, Mosley A, Fuentes JM, et al. Arginase activity mediates reversible T cell hyporesponsiveness in human pregnancy. *Eur J Immunol* (2007) **37**:935–45. doi:10.1002/eji.200636542
21. Muller I, Munder M, Kropf P, Hansch GM. Polymorphonuclear neutrophils and T lymphocytes: strange bedfellows or brothers in arms? *Trends Immunol* (2009) **30**:522–30. doi:10.1016/j.it.2009.07.007
22. MacMicking J, Xie QW, Nathan C. Nitric oxide and macrophage function. *Annu Rev Immunol* (1997) **15**:323–50. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.323 Epub 1997/01/01. ,
23. Stuehr DJ. Mammalian nitric oxide synthases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (1999) **1411**:217–30. doi:10.1016/S0005-2728(99)00016-X
24. Nussler AK, Billiar TR, Liu ZZ, Morris SM Jr. Coinduction of nitric oxide synthase and argininosuccinate synthetase in a murine macrophage cell line. Implications for regulation of nitric oxide production. *J Biol Chem* (1994) **269**:1257–61.
25. Baydoun AR, Bogle RG, Pearson JD, Mann GE. Discrimination between citrulline and arginine transport in activated murine macrophages: inefficient synthesis of NO from recycling of citrulline to arginine. *Br J Pharmacol* (1994) **112**:487–92. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.1994.tb13099.x
26. Mori M. Regulation of nitric oxide synthesis and apoptosis by arginase and arginine recycling. *J Nutr* (2007) **137**:1616S–20S.
27. Qualls JE, Subramanian C, Rafi W, Smith AM, Balouzian L, DeFreitas AA, et al. Sustained generation of nitric oxide and control of mycobacterial infection requires argininosuccinate synthase 1. *Cell Host Microbe* (2012) **12**:313–23. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2012.07.012
28. Yeremian A, Martin L, Serrat N, Arpa L, Soler C, Bertran J, et al. Arginine transport via cationic amino acid transporter 2 plays a critical regulatory role in classical or alternative activation of macrophages. *J Immunol* (2006) **176**:5918–24. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.10.5918
29. Rothenberg ME, Doepker MP, Lewkowich IP, Chiamonte MG, Stringer KE, Finkelman FD, et al. Cationic amino acid transporter 2 regulates inflammatory homeostasis in the lung. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2006) **103**:14895–900. doi:10.1073/pnas.0605478103
30. Closs EI, Simon A, Vekony N, Rotmann A. Plasma membrane transporters for arginine. *J Nutr* (2004) **134**:2752S–9S.
31. Closs EI, Boissel JP, Habermeier A, Rotmann A. Structure and function of cationic amino acid transporters (CATs). *J Membr Biol* (2006) **213**:67–77. doi:10.1007/s00232-006-0875-7
32. Fotiadis D, Kanai Y, Palacin M. The SLC3 and SLC7 families of amino acid transporters. *Mol Aspects Med* (2013) **34**:139–58. doi:10.1016/j.mam.2012.10.007
33. Hatzoglou M, Fernandez J, Yaman I, Closs E. Regulation of cationic amino acid transport: the story of the CAT-1 transporter. *Annu Rev Nutr* (2004) **24**:377–99. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.23.011702.073120
34. Chang J, Thangamani S, Kim MH, Ulrich B, Moris SM Jr, Kim CH. Retinoic acid promotes the development of Arg1-expressing dendritic cells for the regulation of T-cell differentiation. *Eur J Immunol* (2013) **43**:967–78. doi:10.1002/eji.201242772
35. Kawahara K, Gotoh T, Oyadomari S, Kajizono M, Kuniyasu A, Ohsawa K, et al. Co-induction of argininosuccinate synthetase, cationic amino acid transporter-2, and nitric oxide synthase in activated murine microglial cells. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* (2001) **90**:165–73. doi:10.1016/S0169-328X(01)00100-0
36. Niese KA, Chiamonte MG, Ellies LG, Rothenberg ME, Zimmermann N. The cationic amino acid transporter 2 is induced in inflammatory lung models and regulates lung fibrosis. *Respir Res* (2010) **11**:87. doi:10.1186/1465-9921-11-87
37. Nicholson B, Manner CK, Kleeman J, MacLeod CL. Sustained nitric oxide production in macrophages requires the arginine transporter CAT2. *J Biol Chem* (2001) **276**:15881–5. doi:10.1074/jbc.M010030200
38. Sans-Fons MG, Yeremian A, Pereira-Lopes S, Santamaria-Babi LF, Modolell M, Lloberas J, et al. Arginine transport is impaired in C57Bl/6 mouse macrophages as a result of a deletion in the promoter of Slc7a2 (CAT2), and susceptibility to *Leishmania* infection is reduced. *J Infect Dis* (2013) **207**:1684–93. doi:10.1093/infdis/jit084
39. Rotoli BM, Bussolati O, Sala R, Barilli A, Talarico E, Gazzola GC, et al. INFgamma stimulates arginine transport through system y+L in human monocytes. *FEBS Lett* (2004) **571**:177–81. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2004.06.086
40. Rotoli BM, Dall'asta V, Barilli A, D'Ippolito R, Tipa A, Olivieri D, et al. Alveolar macrophages from normal subjects lack the NOS-related system y+ for arginine transport. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* (2007) **37**:105–12. doi:10.1165/rcmb.2006-0262OC
41. Munder M, Mollinedo F, Calafat J, Canchado J, Gil-Lamaignere C, Fuentes JM, et al. Arginase I is constitutively expressed in human granulocytes and participates in fungicidal activity. *Blood* (2005) **105**:2549–56. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-07-2521
42. Raes G, Van den Bergh R, De Baetselier P, Ghassabeh GH, Scotton C, Locati M, et al. Arginase-1 and Ym1 are markers for murine, but not human, alternatively activated myeloid cells. *J Immunol* (2005) **174**:6561. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.11.6561
43. Closs EI, Scheld JS, Sharafi M, Forstermann U. Substrate supply for nitric oxide synthase in macrophages and endothelial cells: role of cationic amino acid transporters. *Mol Pharmacol* (2000) **57**:68–74.
44. Taylor PM. Amino acid transporters: eminences grises of nutrient signalling mechanisms? *Biochem Soc Trans* (2009) **37**:237–41. doi:10.1042/BST0370237
45. Kaneko S, Okuda-Ashitaka E, Ando A, Nishimura K, Igarashi K, Maeda M, et al. Polyamines upregulate the mRNA expression of cationic amino acid transporter-1 in human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* (2007) **293**:C729–37. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00018.2007
46. Rotondo R, Barisione G, Mastracci L, Grossi F, Orengo AM, Costa R, et al. IL-8 induces exocytosis of arginase 1 by neutrophil polymorphonuclears in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2009) **125**:887–93. doi:10.1002/ijc.24448
47. Munder M, Schneider H, Luckner C, Giese T, Langhans CD, Fuentes JM, et al. Suppression of T-cell functions by human granulocyte arginase. *Blood* (2006) **108**:1627–34. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-11-010389

48. Furchgott RF. The role of endothelium in the responses of vascular smooth muscle to drugs. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* (1984) **24**:175–97. doi:10.1146/annurev.pa.24.040184.001135
49. Stuehr DJ, Marletta MA. Mammalian nitrate biosynthesis: mouse macrophages produce nitrite and nitrate in response to *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1985) **82**:7738–42. doi:10.1073/pnas.82.22.7738
50. Stuehr DJ, Marletta MA. Induction of nitrite/nitrate synthesis in murine macrophages by BCG infection, lymphokines, or interferon-gamma. *J Immunol* (1987) **139**:518–25.
51. Bredt DS, Hwang PM, Glatt CE, Lowenstein C, Reed RR, Snyder SH. Cloned and expressed nitric oxide synthase structurally resembles cytochrome P-450 reductase. *Nature* (1991) **351**:714–8. doi:10.1038/351714a0
52. Lyons CR, Orloff GJ, Cunningham JM. Molecular cloning and functional expression of an inducible nitric oxide synthase from a murine macrophage cell line. *J Biol Chem* (1992) **267**:6370–4.
53. Xie QW, Cho HJ, Calaycay J, Mumford RA, Swiderek KM, Lee TD, et al. Cloning and characterization of inducible nitric oxide synthase from mouse macrophages. *Science* (1992) **256**:225–8. doi:10.1126/science.1373522
54. Michel T, Lamas S. Molecular cloning of constitutive endothelial nitric oxide synthase: evidence for a family of related genes. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* (1992) **20**:S45–9. doi:10.1097/00005344-199204002-00014
55. Geller DA, Lowenstein CJ, Shapiro RA, Nussler AK, Di Silvio M, Wang SC, et al. Molecular cloning and expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase from human hepatocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1993) **90**:3491–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.90.2.522
56. Asano K, Chee CB, Gaston B, Lilly CM, Gerard C, Drazen JM, et al. Constitutive and inducible nitric oxide synthase gene expression, regulation, and activity in human lung epithelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1994) **91**:10089–93. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.21.10089
57. Perner A, Andresen L, Normark M, Rask-Madsen J. Constitutive expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in the normal human colonic epithelium. *Scand J Gastroenterol* (2002) **37**:944–8. doi:10.1080/003655202760230919
58. Modolell M, Corraliza IM, Link F, Soler G, Eichmann K. Reciprocal regulation of the nitric oxide synthase/arginase balance in mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages by TH1 and TH2 cytokines. *Eur J Immunol* (1995) **25**:1101–4. doi:10.1002/eji.1830250436
59. El-Gayar S, Thuring-Nahler H, Pfeilschifter J, Rollinghoff M, Bogdan C. Translational control of inducible nitric oxide synthase by IL-13 and arginine availability in inflammatory macrophages. *J Immunol* (2003) **171**:4561–8. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.171.9.4561
60. Ignarro LJ. Biosynthesis and metabolism of endothelium-derived nitric oxide. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* (1990) **30**:535–60. doi:10.1146/annurev.pa.30.040190.002535
61. Jenkinson CP, Grody WW, Cederbaum SD. Comparative properties of arginases. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* (1996) **114**:107–32. doi:10.1016/0305-0491(95)02138-8
62. Corraliza IM, Soler G, Eichmann K, Modolell M. Arginase induction by suppressors of nitric oxide synthesis (IL-4, IL-10 and PGE2) in murine bone-marrow-derived macrophages. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1995) **206**:667–73. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1995.1094
63. Munder M, Eichmann K, Modolell M. Alternative metabolic states in murine macrophages reflected by the nitric oxide synthase/arginase balance: competitive regulation by CD4+ T cells correlates with Th1/Th2 phenotype. *J Immunol* (1998) **160**:5347–54.
64. Pauleau AL, Rutschman R, Lang R, Pernis A, Watowich SS, Murray PJ. Enhancer-mediated control of macrophage-specific arginase I expression. *J Immunol* (2004) **172**:7565–73. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.172.12.7565
65. Erdelyi A, Kepka-Lenhart D, Clark M, Zeidler-Erdelyi P, Poljakovic M, Calhoun WJ, et al. Inhibition of phosphodiesterase 4 amplifies cytokine-dependent induction of arginase in macrophages. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* (2006) **290**:L534–9. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00326.2005
66. Sheldon KE, Shandilya H, Kepka-Lenhart D, Poljakovic M, Ghosh A, Morris SM Jr. Shaping the murine macrophage phenotype: IL-4 and cyclic AMP synergistically activate the arginase I promoter. *J Immunol* (2013) **191**:2290–8. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1202102
67. El Kasmi KC, Qualls JE, Pesce JT, Smith AM, Thompson RW, Henao-Tamayo M, et al. Toll-like receptor-induced arginase 1 in macrophages thwarts effective immunity against intracellular pathogens. *Nat Immunol* (2008) **9**:1399–406. doi:10.1038/ni.1671
68. Shirey KA, Pletneva LM, Puche AC, Keegan AD, Prince GA, Blanco JC, et al. Control of RSV-induced lung injury by alternatively activated macrophages is IL-4R alpha-, TLR4-, and IFN-beta-dependent. *Mucosal Immunol* (2010) **3**:291–300. doi:10.1038/mi.2010.6
69. Tabor CW, Tabor H. Polyamines. *Annu Rev Biochem* (1984) **53**:749–90. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.53.070184.003533
70. Pegg AE. Mammalian polyamine metabolism and function. *IUBMB Life* (2009) **61**:880–94. doi:10.1002/iub.230
71. Zhang M, Caragine T, Wang H, Cohen PS, Botchkina G, Soda K, et al. Spermine inhibits proinflammatory cytokine synthesis in human mononuclear cells: a counterregulatory mechanism that restrains the immune response. *J Exp Med* (1997) **185**:1759–68. doi:10.1084/jem.185.10.1759
72. Mossner J, Hammermann R, Racke K. Concomitant down-regulation of L-arginine transport and nitric oxide (NO) synthesis in rat alveolar macrophages by the polyamine spermine. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* (2001) **14**:297–305. doi:10.1006/pupt.2001.0297
73. Szabo C, Southan GJ, Thiemermann C, Vane JR. The mechanism of the inhibitory effect of polyamines on the induction of nitric oxide synthase: role of aldehyde metabolites. *Br J Pharmacol* (1994) **113**:757–66. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.1994.tb17058.x
74. Kitowska K, Zakrzewicz D, Konigshoff M, Chrobak I, Grimminger F, Seeger W, et al. Functional role and species-specific contribution of arginases in pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* (2008) **294**:L34–45. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00007.2007
75. Zimmermann N, King NE, Laporte J, Yang M, Mishra A, Pope SM, et al. Dissection of experimental asthma with DNA microarray analysis identifies arginase in asthma pathogenesis. *J Clin Invest* (2003) **111**:1863–74. doi:10.1172/JCI17912
76. Maarsingh H, Dekkers BG, Zuidhof AB, Bos IS, Menzen MH, Klein T, et al. Increased arginase activity contributes to airway remodelling in chronic allergic asthma. *Eur Respir J* (2011) **38**:318–28. doi:10.1183/09031936.00057710
77. Albina JE, Mills CD, Barbul A, Thirkill CE, Henry WL Jr, Mastrofrancesco B, et al. Arginine metabolism in wounds. *Am J Physiol* (1988) **254**:E459–67.
78. Albina JE, Mills CD, Henry WL Jr, Caldwell MD. Temporal expression of different pathways of L-arginine metabolism in healing wounds. *J Immunol* (1990) **144**:3877–80.
79. Mills CD, Shearer J, Evans R, Caldwell MD. Macrophage arginine metabolism and the inhibition or stimulation of cancer. *J Immunol* (1992) **149**:2709–14.
80. Herbert DR, Orekov T, Roloson A, Iliet M, Perkins C, O'Brien W, et al. Arginase I suppresses IL-12/IL-23p40-driven intestinal inflammation during acute schistosomiasis. *J Immunol* (2010) **184**:6438–46. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0902009
81. Narita Y, Kitamura H, Wakita D, Sumida K, Masuko K, Terada S, et al. The key role of IL-6-arginase cascade for inducing dendritic cell-dependent CD4(+) T cell dysfunction in tumor-bearing mice. *J Immunol* (2013) **190**:812–20. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1103797
82. Lewis ND, Asim M, Barry DP, de Sablet T, Singh K, Piazzuelo MB, et al. Immune evasion by *Helicobacter pylori* is mediated by induction of macrophage arginase II. *J Immunol* (2011) **186**:3632–41. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1003431
83. Pesce JT, Ramalingam TR, Mentink-Kane MM, Wilson MS, El Kasmi KC, Smith AM, et al. Arginase-1-expressing macrophages suppress Th2 cytokine-driven inflammation and fibrosis. *PLoS Pathog* (2009) **5**:e1000371. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000371
84. Hesse M, Modolell M, La Flamme AC, Schito M, Fuentes JM, Cheever AW, et al. Differential regulation of nitric oxide synthase-2 and arginase-I by type 1/type 2 cytokines in vivo: granulomatous pathology is shaped by the pattern of L-arginine metabolism. *J Immunol* (2001) **167**(11):6533–44. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.167.11.6533
85. Kropf P, Fuentes JM, Fahrnich E, Arpa L, Herath S, Weber V, et al. Arginase and polyamine synthesis are key factors in the regulation of experimental leishmaniasis in vivo. *FASEB J* (2005) **19**:1000–2. doi:10.1096/fj.04-3416fje
86. Abebe T, Takele Y, Weldegebreal T, Cloke T, Closs E, Corset C, et al. Arginase activity – a marker of disease status in patients with visceral leishmaniasis in ethiopia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) **7**:e2134. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002134
87. Takele Y, Abebe T, Weldegebreal T, Hailu A, Hailu W, Hurissa Z, et al. Arginase activity in the blood of patients with visceral leishmaniasis and HIV infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) **7**:e1977. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001977

88. Cloke TE, Garvey L, Choi BS, Abebe T, Hailu A, Hancock M, et al. Increased level of arginase activity correlates with disease severity in HIV-seropositive patients. *J Infect Dis* (2010) **202**:374–85. doi:10.1086/653736
89. Rodriguez PC, Hernandez CP, Morrow K, Sierra R, Zabaleta J, Wyczechowska DD, et al. L-arginine deprivation regulates cyclin D3 mRNA stability in human T cells by controlling HuR expression. *J Immunol* (2010) **185**:5198–204. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1001224
90. Munder M, Choi BS, Rogers M, Kropf P. L-arginine deprivation impairs *Leishmania* major-specific T-cell responses. *Eur J Immunol* (2009) **39**:2161–72. doi:10.1002/eji.200839041
91. Feldmeyer N, Wabnitz G, Leicht S, Luckner-Minden C, Schiller M, Franz T, et al. Arginine deficiency leads to impaired cofilin dephosphorylation in activated human T lymphocytes. *Int Immunol* (2012) **24**:303–13. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxs004
92. Modolell M, Choi BS, Ryan RO, Hancock M, Titus RG, Abebe T, et al. Local suppression of T cell responses by arginase-induced L-arginine depletion in non-healing leishmaniasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2009) **3**:e480. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000480
93. Mieulet V, Yan L, Choisy C, Sully K, Procter J, Kouroumalis A, et al. TPL-2-mediated activation of MAPK downstream of TLR4 signaling is coupled to arginine availability. *Sci Signal* (2010) **3**:ra61. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2000934
94. Munder M, Engelhardt M, Knies D, Medenhoff S, Wabnitz G, Luckner-Minden C, et al. Cytotoxicity of tumor antigen specific human T cells is unimpaired by arginine depletion. *PLoS One* (2013) **8**:e63521. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063521
95. Kapp K, Prufer S, Michel CS, Habermeier A, Luckner-Minden C, Giese T, et al. Granulocyte functions are independent of arginine availability. *J Leukoc Biol* (2014). doi:10.1189/jlb.3AB0214-082R
96. Mattila JT, Ojo OO, Kepka-Lenhart D, Marino S, Kim JH, Eum SY, et al. Microenvironments in *Tuberculous granulomas* are delineated by distinct populations of macrophage subsets and expression of nitric oxide synthase and arginase isoforms. *J Immunol* (2013) **191**:773–84. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1300113
97. Hecker M, Nematollahi H, Hey C, Busse R, Racke K. Inhibition of arginase by NG-hydroxy-L-arginine in alveolar macrophages: implications for the utilization of L-arginine for nitric oxide synthesis. *FEBS Lett* (1995) **359**:251–4. doi:10.1016/0014-5793(95)00039-C
98. Bauer PM, Fukuto JM, Buga GM, Pegg AE, Ignarro LJ. Nitric oxide inhibits ornithine decarboxylase by S-nitrosylation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1999) **262**:355–8. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1999.1210
99. Hey C, Boucher JL, Vadon-Le Goff S, Ketterer G, Wessler I, Racke K. Inhibition of arginase in rat and rabbit alveolar macrophages by N omega-hydroxy-D,L-inospicine, effects on L-arginine utilization by nitric oxide synthase. *Br J Pharmacol* (1997) **121**:395–400. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0701143
100. Lee J, Ryu H, Ferrante RJ, Morris SM Jr, Ratan RR. Translational control of inducible nitric oxide synthase expression by arginine can explain the arginine paradox. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2003) **100**:4843–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.0735876100
101. Southan GJ, Szabo C, Thiemermann C. Inhibition of the induction of nitric oxide synthase by spermine is modulated by aldehyde dehydrogenase. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (1994) **203**:1638–44. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1994.2374
102. Baydoun AR, Morgan DM. Inhibition of ornithine decarboxylase potentiates nitric oxide production in LPS-activated J774 cells. *Br J Pharmacol* (1998) **125**:1511–6. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0702231
103. Bussiere FI, Chaturvedi R, Cheng Y, Gobert AP, Asim M, Blumberg DR, et al. Spermine causes loss of innate immune response to *Helicobacter pylori* by inhibition of inducible nitric-oxide synthase translation. *J Biol Chem* (2005) **280**:2409–12. doi:10.1074/jbc.C400498200
104. Mills CD, Ley K. M1 and M2 macrophages: the chicken and the egg of immunity. *J Innate Immun* (2014) **6**:716–26. doi:10.1159/000364945
105. Colegio OR, Chu NQ, Szabo AL, Chu T, Rhebergen AM, Jairam V, et al. Functional polarization of tumour-associated macrophages by tumour-derived lactic acid. *Nature* (2014) **513**:559–63. doi:10.1038/nature13490
106. Barilli A, Rotoli BM, Visigalli R, Dall'Asta V. Gliadin activates arginase pathway in RAW264.7 cells and in human monocytes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2014) **1842**:1364–71. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.04.021
107. Osorio EY, Travi BL, da Cruz AM, Saldarriaga OA, Medina AA, Melby PC. Growth factor and Th2 cytokine signaling pathways converge at STAT6 to promote arginase expression in progressive experimental visceral leishmaniasis. *PLoS Pathog* (2014) **10**:e1004165. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004165
108. Tao B, Jin W, Xu J, Liang Z, Yao J, Zhang Y, et al. Myeloid-specific disruption of tyrosine phosphatase shp2 promotes alternative activation of macrophages and predisposes mice to pulmonary fibrosis. *J Immunol* (2014) **193**:2801–11. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1303463
109. Sahin E, Haubenwallner S, Kuttke M, Kollmann I, Halfmann A, Dohnal AB, et al. Macrophage PTEN regulates expression and secretion of arginase I modulating innate and adaptive immune responses. *J Immunol* (2014) **193**:1717–27. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1302167
110. Rauh MJ, Ho V, Pereira C, Sham A, Sly LM, Lam V, et al. SHIP represses the generation of alternatively activated macrophages. *Immunity* (2005) **23**:361–74. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2005.09.003
111. Serrat N, Pereira-Lopes S, Comalada M, Lloberas J, Celada A. Deacetylation of C/EBPbeta is required for IL-4-induced arginase-1 expression in murine macrophages. *Eur J Immunol* (2012) **42**:3028–37. doi:10.1002/eji.201242413
112. Qualls JE, Neale G, Smith AM, Koo MS, DeFreitas AA, Zhang H, et al. Arginine usage in mycobacteria-infected macrophages depends on autocrine-paracrine cytokine signaling. *Sci Signal* (2010) **3**:ra62. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2000955
113. Munder M, Eichmann K, Moran JM, Centeno F, Soler G, Modolell M. Th1/Th2-regulated expression of arginase isoforms in murine macrophages and dendritic cells. *J Immunol* (1999) **163**:3771–7.
114. Heitmann L, Abad Dar M, Schreiber T, Erdmann H, Behrends J, McKenzie AN, et al. The IL-13/IL-4/Ralpha axis is involved in tuberculosis-associated pathology. *J Pathol* (2014) **234**:338–50. doi:10.1002/path.4399
115. Duque-Correa MA, Kuhl AA, Rodriguez PC, Zedler U, Schommer-Leitner S, Rao M, et al. Macrophage arginase-1 controls bacterial growth and pathology in hypoxic tuberculosis granulomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2014) **111**:E4024–32. doi:10.1073/pnas.1408839111
116. Vats D, Mukundan L, Odegaard JI, Zhang L, Smith KL, Morel CR, et al. Oxidative metabolism and PGC-1beta attenuate macrophage-mediated inflammation. *Cell Metab* (2006) **4**:13–24. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2006.08.006
117. Ganeshan K, Chawla A. Metabolic regulation of immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2014) **32**:609–34. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120236
118. Lamour SD, Choi BS, Keun HC, Muller I, Saric J. Metabolic characterization of *Leishmania* major infection in activated and nonactivated macrophages. *J Proteome Res* (2012) **11**:4211–22. doi:10.1021/pr3003358
119. Biswas SK, Mantovani A. Orchestration of metabolism by macrophages. *Cell Metab* (2012) **15**:432–7. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011.11.013
120. Ghesquiere B, Wong BW, Kuchnio A, Carmeliet P. Metabolism of stromal and immune cells in health and disease. *Nature* (2014) **511**:167–76. doi:10.1038/nature13312
121. Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Obstacles and opportunities for understanding macrophage polarization. *J Leukoc Biol* (2011) **89**:557–63. doi:10.1189/jlb.0710409

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 24 September 2014; paper pending published: 07 October 2014; accepted: 09 October 2014; published online: 27 October 2014.

Citation: Rath M, Müller I, Kropf P, Closs EI and Munder M (2014) Metabolism via arginase or nitric oxide synthase: two competing arginine pathways in macrophages. *Front. Immunol.* 5:532. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00532

This article was submitted to *Inflammation*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Immunology*.

Copyright © 2014 Rath, Müller, Kropf, Closs and Munder. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.