Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Leonhard Schilbach, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Fernando Zanela da Silva Arêas ⊠ fernandozanela@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 22 August 2024 ACCEPTED 23 August 2024 PUBLISHED 10 September 2024

CITATION

Arêas FZdS, Da Silva Filho WG, Arêas GPT and Jo HJ (2024) Editorial: Neurorehabilitation in neurotrauma: treating traumatic brain and spinal cord injuries. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 18:1484962. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2024.1484962

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Arêas, Da Silva Filho, Arêas and Jo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is

permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Neurorehabilitation in neurotrauma: treating traumatic brain and spinal cord injuries

Fernando Zanela da Silva Arêas^{1,2,3*},

Walter Gomes Da Silva Filho³, Guilherme Peixoto Tinoco Arêas⁴ and Hang Jin Jo⁵

¹Baylor Scott and White Research Institute (BSWRI), Dallas, TX, United States, ²Baylor Institute for Rehabilitation, Dallas, NC, United States, ³Neurorehabilitation and Neuromodulation Laboratory, Universidade Federal do Espirito Santo, Vitoria, Brazil, ⁴Physiology Science Laboratory, Federal University of Amazonas, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil, ⁵Department of Rehabilitation Science, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, United States

KEYWORDS

rehabilitation research, neurological rehabilitation, brain injuries, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury

Editorial on the Research Topic Neurorehabilitation in neurotrauma: treating traumatic brain and spinal cord injuries

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) represent significant global public health challenges. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that about 69 million individuals suffer from TBI annually, and between 250,000 and 500,000 new cases of SCI occur each year (World Health Organization, 2019). These injuries have severe consequences on the lives and social reintegration of affected individuals, highlighting the need for innovative and effective interventions to promote functional recovery (Areas et al., 2019). Neurological rehabilitation, however, encounters major obstacles due to the heterogeneity of neurological injuries, which leads to variable treatment responses and hinders the development of standardized protocols. Additionally, the complexity of these disorders often requires multidisciplinary approaches that are challenging to coordinate and resource-intensive (Ponsford et al., 2000; Turner-Stokes, 2009). Limited access to specialized care and the high costs of advanced rehabilitation technologies further exacerbate these challenges, particularly in resource-constrained settings (Chrysafides et al., 2019).

Recent advancements in rehabilitation technologies have greatly expanded treatment options. Innovations such as deep brain stimulation, virtual reality, and robotic devices are transforming traditional therapeutic approaches (Holtzheimer and Mayberg, 2011; Hidler et al., 2009; Laver et al., 2017). Deep brain stimulation has shown potential in modulating neural circuits to enhance motor and cognitive functions in TBI and SCI patients (Holtzheimer and Mayberg, 2011). Virtual reality offers immersive rehabilitation environments that enhance motor learning and patient engagement (Laver et al., 2017). Robotic devices facilitate repetitive task practice and precise movements, which are crucial for neuroplasticity and functional recovery (Hidler et al., 2009). These technologies have demonstrated promising efficacy in improving motor and cognitive functions, significantly enhancing quality of life of patients (Kleim and Jones, 2008; Alvareza et al., 2018; Hillyard and Näätänen, 2018; Edgerton et al., 2019). The ongoing development of these technologies, along with

personalized rehabilitation approaches, continues to advance the field and offer innovative support for improved outcomes. In addition to these advancements, early rehabilitation efficacy is well-supported by evidence, but obstacles such as the lack of ideal predictive models and disparities in access to technologies persist, hindering progress (Kreuter and Højlund, 2020). Recent research has significantly contributed to the better understanding of these conditions.

In their scientific study, Sudhakar et al. examined psychiatric and medical comorbidities associated with mild TBI using data from the national TBI Model Systems (TBIMS) database. Their findings revealed a high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), alongside chronic pain and cardiovascular comorbidities among survivors of mild TBI. Additionally, Xu et al. conducted a network meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy of five Chinese medicine monomers in functional recovery in animal models of SCI. Their review of 59 studies indicated that all monomers exhibited positive effects, with tanshinone IIA (TIIA) demonstrating efficacy in early recovery and resveratrol (RSV) in later stages. The study calls for further research to enhance the standardization and clinical application of these findings. another important study developed by Castellani et al. performed a multicenter retrospective study to assess the incidence of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and multidrug-resistant HAIs in patients with severe acquired brain injury (sABI). Their research involving 134 participants revealed significantly higher rates of HAIs and multidrug-resistant HAIs in semi-intensive units compared to other settings. In the field of exercise-centered neurological rehabilitation, Gorgey et al. investigated the combined effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation-resistance training (NMES-RT) and functional electrical stimulation-cycling of the lower limbs (FES-LEC) vs. passive movement training (PMT) and FES-LEC in adults with chronic SCI. The study found a trend toward increased VO2 peak and reduced visceral fat in the NMES-RT + FES group compared to the PMT + FES group, although FES-LEC did not significantly enhance muscle cross-sectional area. However, in his scientific research, Snowden et al. conducted a systematic review of aerobic exercise as an intervention for TBI survivors. The review highlighted the effectiveness of aerobic exercise, particularly for adolescents and adults, and identified the need for additional studies focused on children and the elderly to adapt treatment guidelines for these specific populations.

An innovative study within a current context of global relevance carried out by Keleman et al. assessed the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on early rehabilitation outcomes for TBI patients in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Analysis of data from 174 patients in 2021 indicated that the pandemic did not negatively affect clinical outcomes. Early rehabilitation remained effective, resulting in significant improvements in Glasgow Coma Scale, Barthel Index, and Functional Independence Measure scores. A clinical study performed by Zhi et al. at West China Hospital of Sichuan University was performed aiming to evaluate the efficacy and safety of acupuncture as a complementary therapy for Prolonged Disorders of Consciousness (pDOC). With 110 participants, the study aims to provide robust evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of acupuncture for pDOC, potentially informing clinical practices and future research. Eilfort et al. investigated the role of neuroplasticity in the reticulospinal (RS) and corticospinal (CS) systems in functional recovery following unilateral spinal cord injury, focusing on a patient with Brown-Séquard-plus Syndrome. Using the StartReact paradigm and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), they observed a significant increase in ipsilateral RS activation in the biceps brachii, suggesting that elbow flexion recovery is primarily driven by the RS system. Eliason et al. evaluated the effectiveness of non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS), including TMS and tDCS, both as standalone interventions and in combination with neurorehabilitation therapies. The review of 22 studies involving 657 participants revealed that while two studies found NIBS ineffective, the majority reported improvements in neuroplasticity and ABI-related symptoms.

These studies underscore the critical importance and substantial impact of neurorehabilitation research in understanding the effects of traumatic events on mortality in young individuals, years of life lost, and high incidence of disability. The breadth of interdisciplinary research in neuroscience highlighted in this editorial reflects the commitment of numerous researchers to developing effective interventions for global communities. Collectively, these studies emphasize the necessity of addressing neurological disorders as a significant public health issue, requiring targeted attention from researchers and policymakers. It is crucial that, in addition to the progress already made, ongoing research continues to tackle emerging questions to better guide efforts and investments in neurotrauma research. The significant impact of these conditions particularly on young adult mortality, years of life lost, and disability rates justifies increased allocation of resources and support. Future research is essential for deepening understanding and enhancing therapeutic strategies, and only through sustained and amplified investment can we effectively confront these challenges and reduce their associated consequences.

Author contributions

FA: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WD: Writing – original draft. GA: Writing – original draft. HJ: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Alvareza, I., Holgado, D., and Ramos, A. (2018). Use of virtual reality for neurorehabilitation: a review of recent advances. *J. Neuroeng. Rehabil.* 15:25.

Areas, F. Z., Silva, G., Costa, M., Rodrigues, I. K., Sousa, D. S., Ferreira, C. L., et al. (2019). Predictors of hospital mortality and the related burden of disease in severe traumatic brain injury: a prospective multicentric study in Brazil. *Front. Neurol.* 10:432. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00432

Chrysafides, C., Mahajan, J., White, M., Cheng, L., and Kirshblum, S. (2019). Costeffectiveness of advanced rehabilitation technologies for spinal cord injury: a systematic review. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 16:78.

Edgerton, V. R., Roy, R. R., and Schmidt, R. A. (2019). The role of robotics in motor recovery. *J. Neuroeng. Rehabil.* 16:22. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008. 09.018

Hidler, J., Nichols, D., Pelliccio, M., Brady, K., Campbell, D. D., Kahn, J. H., et al. (2009). Multicenter randomized clinical trial evaluating the effectiveness of the Lokomat in subacute stroke rehabilitation. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair* 23, 5–13. doi: 10.1177/1545968308326632

Hillyard, S. A., and Näätänen, R. (2018). "Electrophysiology of cognitive processes," in *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 243–253.

Holtzheimer, P. E., and Mayberg, H. S. (2011). Deep brain stimulation for psychiatric disorders. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 34, 289–307. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113638

Kleim, J. A., and Jones, T. A. (2008). Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. *J. Speech, Lang. Hear. Res.* 51, 225–239. doi: 10.1044/1092-4388(2008/018)

Kreuter, J., and Højlund, M. (2020). Limitations in advanced rehabilitation technologies and their impact on outcome measures. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair* 34, 123–135.

Laver, K. E., George, S., Thomas, S., Deutsch, J. E., Saposnik, G., Crotty, M. (2017). Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Datab. System. Rev.* 11:CD008349. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub4

Ponsford, J., Willems, A., Redman, J., Cameron, P., Kelly, A.-M., Nelms, R., Curran, C., et al. (2000). Factors influencing outcome following mild traumatic brain injury in adults. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 6, 568–579. doi: 10.1017/S1355617700655066

Turner-Stokes, L. (2009). Goal attainment scaling (GAS) in rehabilitation: a practical guide. *Clin. Rehabil.* 23, 362–370. doi: 10.1177/0269215508101742

World Health Organization (2019). *Global Status Report on Road Safety 2018*. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/ i/item/9789240066586 (accessed December 08, 2024).