Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Mingzhou Ding, University of Florida, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Stefano Diciotti ⊠ stefano.diciotti@unibo.it

RECEIVED 22 June 2024 ACCEPTED 26 June 2024 PUBLISHED 10 July 2024

CITATION

Porcaro C, Diciotti S, Madan CR and Marzi C (2024) Editorial: Methods and application in fractal analysis of neuroimaging data. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 18:1453284. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2024.1453284

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Porcaro, Diciotti, Madan and Marzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Methods and application in fractal analysis of neuroimaging data

Camillo Porcaro^{1,2,3}, Stefano Diciotti^{4,5*}, Christopher R. Madan⁶ and Chiara Marzi⁷

¹Department of Neuroscience and Padova Neuroscience Center, University of Padua, Padua, Italy, ²Institute of Cognitive Sciences and Technologies—National Research Council, Rome, Italy, ³Centre for Human Brain Health and School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom, ⁴Department of Electrical, Electronic, and Information Engineering "Guglielmo Marconi" – DEI, University of Bologna, Cesena, Italy, ⁵Alma Mater Research Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, ⁶School of Psychology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom, ⁷Department of Statistics, Computer Science and Applications "Giuseppe Parenti," University of Florence, Italy

KEYWORDS

BCI, EEG, fractal analysis, fractal dimension, MRI

Editorial on the Research Topic Methods and application in fractal analysis of neuroimaging data

Over the past decades, fractal analysis has significantly advanced the approach to understanding complex patterns, marking a substantial departure from conventional analysis methods. Its applications span across several disciplines, with notable implications in the realm of neuroscience (Di Ieva, 2016, 2024), where traditional analyses cannot sufficiently describe the morphological and functional complexity of the human brain. Indeed, fractal analysis, and especially its main index, the fractal dimension (FD), has evolved from describing brain architecture focusing on healthy (Madan and Kensinger, 2016, 2017, 2018; Marzi et al., 2020, 2021, 2024; Pani et al., 2022) and pathological (Marzi et al., 2018; Pantoni et al., 2019) conditions, to a comprehensive tool for analyzing brain functions under physiological (Cottone et al., 2017; Marino et al., 2019; Collantoni et al., 2020; Porcaro et al., 2020b, 2024), pathological conditions (Di Ieva et al., 2014; Smits et al., 2016; Porcaro et al., 2019, 2020a, 2021, 2022a,b,c; Varley et al., 2020; Fiorenzato et al., 2024)—and see Meregalli et al. (2022) and Díaz Beltrán et al. (2024) for in-depth reviews—and brain computer interfaces (BCIs) (Moaveninejad et al., 2024).

This Research Topic provides an overview of the current knowledge on fractal analysis in neuroimaging data through four articles by 37 authors (Total views: 8,719; as of 4 July 2024).

One paper focuses on investigating the transition to dementia in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and leukoaraiosis using MRI data (Marzi et al.). The longitudinal study involved 64 participants who underwent baseline MRI examinations and annual neuropsychological assessments over 2 years. The transition to dementia was assessed using established diagnostic criteria 2 years after the MRI baseline examination. Using an XGBoost-based machine learning model with Shapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) values, the FD of the cortical gray matter emerged as the top predictor of dementia transition, highlighting the significant roles of cortical gray and white matter changes in the progression to dementia. The findings suggest that FD is a highly sensitive biomarker for early detection of dementia in MCI patients with leukoaraiosis.

The second paper combines detrended cross-correlation analysis (DCCA) with Riemannian geometry-based classification (RGBC) in the BCI (Racz et al.). DCCA can be used to estimate the covariance structure of electroencephalography (EEG) data, yet computationally expensive in its original form. However, a recently proposed online implementation of DCCA allows for its fast computation and thus makes it possible to employ DCCA in real-time applications such as BCI. In this study, the authors propose to replace the sample covariance matrices (SCMs) obtained from EEG data with the DCCA matrix as input to RGBC and assess its effect on offline and online BCI performance. Combining DCCA with RGBC yields a robust and effective decoder that not only improves upon the SCM-based approach but can also provide relevant information on the neurophysiological processes behind motor imagery in BCI.

In the third paper (D'Andrea et al.), the authors investigated the mindfulness meditation practice, classically divided into focused attention meditation (FAM), and open monitoring meditation (OMM) styles, by high-temporal resolution magnetoencephalography (MEG) data at source-level during FAM, OMM, as well as the complexity and criticality of dynamic transitions between microstates. Results showed that the coverage and occurrence of specific microstates are modulated either by being in a meditative state or performing a specific meditation style. Hurst exponent values in both meditation conditions are reduced with respect to the value observed during rest; Lempel-Ziv complexity showed significant differences between OMM, FAM, and rest MEG condition, with a progressive increase from rest to FAM to OMM.

Last but not least, the final paper (Porcaro et al.) investigates a vital challenge in epilepsy, i.e., to define biomarkers of response to antiseizure medications. Many EEG methods and indices have been developed mainly using linear methods, e.g., spectral power and individual alpha frequency peak (IAF). However, brain activity recorded by EEG is complex and non-linear. In this paper, for the first time, the authors assessed whole EEG brain signal complexity estimated by FD as implemented by Higuchi (1988) to measure the response to antiseizure therapy in patients with focal epilepsy (FE) and compare it with linear methods. Bayes factor analysis showed that FD was 195 times more likely to separate drugresponder patients before (t1, named DR-t1) and after (t2, named DR-t2) the introduction of the antiseizure medications (ASMs) than IAF and 231 times than theta band. This work suggests that FD is a promising measure for monitoring the response to ASMs in FE.

Overall, this Research Topic emphasizes that fractal analysis procedures are more suitable for describing the high complexity of the human brain, quantifying the non-linear, non-stationarity, and non-oscillatory nature of neural activity.

Author contributions

CP: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SD: Writing – review & editing. CRM: Writing – review & editing. CM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was partially supported by the PRIN Codice MUR 20228ARNXS (to CP).

Acknowledgments

The editors appreciate the contributions of all authors to this Research Topic, the constructive comments of all the reviewers, and the editorial support from Frontiers throughout the publication process.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Collantoni, E., Madan, C. R., Meneguzzo, P., Chiappini, I., Tenconi, E., Manara, R., et al. (2020). Cortical complexity in anorexia nervosa: a fractal dimension analysis. *JCM* 9:833. doi: 10.3390/jcm9030833

Cottone, C., Porcaro, C., Cancelli, A., Olejarczyk, E., Salustri, C., and Tecchio, F. (2017). Neuronal electrical ongoing activity as a signature of cortical areas. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 222, 2115–2126. doi: 10.1007/s00429-016-1328-4

Di (2016). The Fractal the Ieva. А. Geometry of Brain. Series New Springer in Computational Neuroscience.

York, NY: Springer New York. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3995-4

Di Ieva, A. (2024). The Fractal Geometry of the Brain, Advances in Neurobiology. Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-47606-8

Di Ieva, A., Grizzi, F., Jelinek, H., Pellionisz, A. J., and Losa, G. A. (2014). Fractals in the neurosciences, part i: general principles and basic neurosciences. *Neuroscientist* 20, 403–417. doi: 10.1177/1073858413 513927

Díaz Beltrán, L., Madan, C. R., Finke, C., Krohn, S., Di Ieva, A., and Esteban, F. J. (2024). "Fractal dimension analysis in neurological disorders: an overview," in *The Fractal Geometry of the Brain, Advances in Neurobiology*, ed. A. Di Ieva (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 313–328. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-47606-8_16

Fiorenzato, E., Moaveninejad, S., Weis, L., Biundo, R., Antonini, A., and Porcaro, C. (2024). Brain dynamics complexity as a signature of cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease. *Movem. Disor.* 39, 305–317. doi: 10.1002/mds.29678

Higuchi, T. (1988). Approach to an irregular time series on the basis of the fractal theory. *Physica D.* 31, 277–283. doi: 10.1016/0167-2789(88)90081-4

Madan, C. R., and Kensinger, E. A. (2016). Cortical complexity as a measure of age-related brain atrophy. *Neuroimage* 134, 617–629. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.04.029

Madan, C. R., and Kensinger, E. A. (2017). Age-related differences in the structural complexity of subcortical and ventricular structures. *Neurobiol. Aging* 50, 87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.10.023

Madan, C. R., and Kensinger, E. A. (2018). Predicting age from cortical structure across the lifespan. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 47, 399–416. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13835

Marino, M., Liu, Q., Samogin, J., Tecchio, F., Cottone, C., Mantini, D., et al. (2019). Neuronal dynamics enable the functional differentiation of resting state networks in the human brain. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 40, 1445–1457. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24458

Marzi, C., Ciulli, S., Giannelli, M., Ginestroni, A., Tessa, C., Mascalchi, M., et al. (2018). Structural complexity of the cerebellum and cerebral cortex is reduced in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2: structural complexity is reduced in SCA2. *J. Neuroimag.* 28, 688–693. doi: 10.1111/jon.12534

Marzi, C., Giannelli, M., Barucci, A., Tessa, C., Mascalchi, M., and Diciotti, S. (2024). Efficacy of MRI data harmonization in the age of machine learning: a multicenter study across 36 datasets. *Sci. Data* 11:115. doi: 10.1038/s41597-023-02421-7

Marzi, C., Giannelli, M., Tessa, C., Mascalchi, M., and Diciotti, S. (2020). Toward a more reliable characterization of fractal properties of the cerebral cortex of healthy subjects during the lifespan. *Sci. Rep.* 10:16957. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-73961-w

Marzi, C., Giannelli, M., Tessa, C., Mascalchi, M., and Diciotti, S. (2021). Fractal analysis of MRI data at 7 T: how much complex is the cerebral cortex? *IEEE Access* 9, 69226–69234. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3077370

Meregalli, V., Alberti, F., Madan, C. R., Meneguzzo, P., Miola, A., Trevisan, N., et al. (2022). Cortical complexity estimation using fractal dimension: a systematic review of the literature on clinical and nonclinical samples. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 55, 1547–1583. doi: 10.1111/ejn.15631

Moaveninejad, S., D'Onofrio, V., Tecchio, F., Ferracuti, F., Iarlori, S., Monteriù, A., et al. (2024). Fractal dimension as a discriminative feature for high accuracy classification in motor imagery EEG-based brain-computer interface. *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.* 244:107944. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2023.107944

Pani, J., Marzi, C., Stensvold, D., Wisløff, U., Håberg, A. K., and Diciotti, S. (2022). Longitudinal study of the effect of a 5-year exercise intervention on structural

brain complexity in older adults. A Generation 100 substudy. *Neuroimage* 225:119226. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119226

Pantoni, L., Marzi, C., Poggesi, A., Giorgio, A., De Stefano, N., Mascalchi, M., et al. (2019). Fractal dimension of cerebral white matter: A consistent feature for prediction of the cognitive performance in patients with small vessel disease and mild cognitive impairment. *NeuroImage Clin.* 24:101990. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101990

Porcaro, C., Cottone, C., Cancelli, A., Rossini, P. M., Zito, G., and Tecchio, F. (2019). Cortical neurodynamics changes mediate the efficacy of a personalized neuromodulation against multiple sclerosis fatigue. *Sci. Rep.* 9:18213. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-54595-z

Porcaro, C., Di Renzo, A., Tinelli, E., Di Lorenzo, G., Parisi, V., Caramia, F., et al. (2020a). Haemodynamic activity characterization of resting state networks by fractal analysis and thalamocortical morphofunctional integrity in chronic migraine. *J. Headache Pain* 21:112. doi: 10.1186/s10194-020-01181-8

Porcaro, C., Di Renzo, A., Tinelli, E., Di Lorenzo, G., Seri, S., Di Lorenzo, C., et al. (2021). Hypothalamic structural integrity and temporal complexity of cortical information processing at rest in migraine without aura patients between attacks. *Sci. Rep.* 11:18701. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-98213-3

Porcaro, C., Di Renzo, A., Tinelli, E., Parisi, V., Di Lorenzo, C., Caramia, F., et al. (2022a). A hypothalamic mechanism regulates the duration of a migraine attack: insights from microstructural and temporal complexity of cortical functional networks analysis. *IJMS* 23:13238. doi: 10.3390/ijms232113238

Porcaro, C., Marino, M., Carozzo, S., Russo, M., Ursino, M., Ruggiero, V., et al. (2022b). Fractal dimension feature as a signature of severity in disorders of consciousness: an EEG study. *Int. J. Neur. Syst.* 32:2250031. doi: 10.1142/S0129065722500319

Porcaro, C., Mayhew, S. D., Marino, M., Mantini, D., and Bagshaw, A. P. (2020b). Characterisation of haemodynamic activity in resting state networks by fractal analysis. *Int. J. Neur. Syst.* 30:2050061. doi: 10.1142/S0129065720500616

Porcaro, C., Moaveninejad, S., D'Onofrio, V., and DiIeva, A. (2024). "Fractal time series: background, estimation methods, and performances," in *The Fractal Geometry of the Brain, Advances in Neurobiology*, ed. A. Di Ieva (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 95–137. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-47606-8_5

Porcaro, C., Nemirovsky, I. E., Riganello, F., Mansour, Z., Cerasa, A., Tonin, P., et al. (2022c). Diagnostic developments in differentiating unresponsive wakefulness syndrome and the minimally conscious state. *Front. Neurol.* 12:778951. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.778951

Smits, F. M., Porcaro, C., Cottone, C., Cancelli, A., Rossini, P. M., and Tecchio, F. (2016). Electroencephalographic fractal dimension in healthy ageing and Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0149587. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149587

Varley, T. F., Craig, M., Adapa, R., Finoia, P., Williams, G., Allanson, J., et al. (2020). Fractal dimension of cortical functional connectivity networks and severity of disorders of consciousness. *PLoS ONE* 15:e0223812. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0223812