Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Douglas Dean, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States

REVIEWED BY Jose Guerrero-Gonzalez, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States Kenichi Oishi, Johns Hopkins University, United States \*CORRESPONDENCE

Chase R. Figley ⊠ chase.figley@umanitoba.ca

RECEIVED 30 March 2023 ACCEPTED 22 June 2023 PUBLISHED 06 July 2023

#### CITATION

Figley CR, Figley TD, Wong K, Uddin MN, Dalvit Carvalho da Silva R and Kornelsen J (2023) Periventricular and juxtacortical characterization of UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human white matter atlas networks. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 17:1196624. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1196624

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Figley, Figley, Wong, Uddin, Dalvit Carvalho da Silva and Kornelsen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Periventricular and juxtacortical characterization of UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human white matter atlas networks

Chase R. Figley<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>, Teresa D. Figley<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Kaihim Wong<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Md Nasir Uddin<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Rodrigo Dalvit Carvalho da Silva<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Jennifer Kornelsen<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Division of Diagnostic Imaging, Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Research Program, Kleysen Institute for Advanced Medicine, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, <sup>4</sup>Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, United States, <sup>6</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, United States

**Background:** The open-access UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human white matter (WM) atlas contains specific WM pathways and general WM regions underlying 12 functional brain networks in ICBM152 template space. However, it is not known whether any of these WM networks are disproportionately co-localized with periventricular and/or juxtacortical WM (PVWM and JCWM), which could potentially impact their ability to infer network-specific effects in future studies—particularly in patient populations expected to have disproportionate PVWM and/or JCWM damage.

**Methods:** The current study therefore identified intersecting regions of PVWM and JCWM (defined as WM within 5 mm of the ventricular and cortical boundaries) and: (1) the ICBM152 global WM mask, and (2) all 12 UManitoba-JHU WM networks. Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC), Jaccard Similarity Coefficient (JSC), and proportion of volume (POV) values between PVWM (and JCWM) and each functionally defined WM network were then compared to corresponding values between PVWM (and JCWM) and global WM.

**Results:** Between the 12 WM networks and PVWM, 8 had lower DSC, JSC, and POV; 1 had lower DSC and JSC, but higher POV; and 3 had higher DSC, JSC, and POV compared to global WM. For JCWM, all 12 WM networks had lower DSC, JSC, and POV compared to global WM.

**Conclusion:** The majority of UManitoba-JHU functionally defined WM networks exhibited lower than average spatial similarity with PVWM, and all exhibited lower than average spatial similarity with JCWM. This suggests that they can be used to explore network-specific WM changes, even in patient populations with known predispositions toward PVWM and/or JCWM damage.

#### KEYWORDS

human, brain, cortex, ventricle, white matter, structural connectivity, periventricular, juxtacortical

# Introduction

The UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human white matter (WM) atlases (Figley et al., 2015, 2017) are an openaccess resource1 for investigating specific WM pathways and/or general WM regions underlying 12 previously reported (Shirer et al., 2012) intrinsic functional brain networks.<sup>2</sup> These include: dorsal Default Mode Network (dDMN), ventral Default Mode Network (vDMN), left Executive Control Network (IECN), right Executive Control Network (rECN), anterior Salience Network (aSN), and posterior Salience Network (pSN), Precuneus Network (PN), Language Network (LN), Basal Ganglia Network (BGN), Higher Visual Network (HVN), Visuospatial Network (VSN), and Sensorimotor Network (SMN).3 Analogous to gray matter (GM) region-of-interest (ROI) functional connectivity analyses of task- or resting-state functional MRI (fMRI) (van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol, 2010; Cole et al., 2014), these WM atlases can be used with various types of quantitative MRI methods (e.g., diffusion MRI, magnetization transfer imaging, T1w/T2w ratio, myelin water imaging, etc.) (Geeraert et al., 2018; Uddin et al., 2019) to examine network-based structural connectivity differences between individuals or groups (e.g., related to behavioral measures in neurologically healthy populations or clinical characteristics/outcomes in various patient populations).

However, although the original papers describing the UManitoba-JHU WM atlases (Figley et al., 2015, 2017) reported parameters such as the total WM volume ascribed to each network and the amount of overlap between different WM networks, they did not examine whether (or to what extent) any of these networks might have different spatial similarities or contain different proportions of periventricular white matter (PVWM) and/or juxtacortical white matter (JCWM) compared to global WM-which could be an important consideration when studying certain patient populations with known proclivities to PVWM and/or JCWM damage, such as multiple sclerosis (MS) (Filippi et al., 2019; Bouman et al., 2021; Gauthier, 2022; Pirpamer et al., 2022; Tonietto et al., 2022; Vaneckova et al., 2022), cerebral small vessel disease (Phuah et al., 2022; van Veluw et al., 2022), microbleeds in mild traumatic brain injury (Hageman et al., 2022), and NOTCH3-related spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhages (Chen et al., 2022).4

For example, let us consider a hypothetical experiment seeking to determine whether MS patients (on average) have disproportionate amounts of WM loss and/or microstructural damage within the dDMN compared to other WM regions. In principle, these types of questions can be addressed using the UManitoba-JHU WM networks by either spatially normalizing each patient's brain MRI data to the template (and working in template space) or by applying a patient-specific inverse spatial normalization to the template (and working in subject space) (Pirzada et al., 2020). Either way, let us say that: (1) the UManitoba-JHU dDMN WM mask was used to compare a sample of participants with MS to a matched sample of healthy controls; and (2) that the dDMN WM regions were found to have disproportionately lower volumetric and microstructural values (i.e., statistically significant results after correcting for any unmatched variables, family wise errors owing to multiple comparisons, etc.). In this case, it might be tempting to conclude that there is a network-specific effect, in which MS appears to disproportionately target dDMN WM. However, since it is well established that MS disproportionately affects PVWM and JCWM regions, what if the dDMN WM mask itself was made up of exclusively (or at least disproportionately) PVWM and JCWM regions? Although it would not invalidate the statistically significant findings per se, such a confound would make it difficult (if not impossible) to conclude definitively whether the difference was driven by PVWM and/or JCWM damage, or whether perhaps there was something more inherently interesting about the dDMN network itself.

To address this issue, the aim of the current manuscript is to characterize the spatial similarity between, as well as the proportion of PVWM and JCWM within each of the UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human WM networks, and to compare these to the corresponding PVWM and JCWM measures in global WM to determine whether (and if so, to what extent) any such confounds may exist.

### Methods

Since the UManitoba-JHU WM networks are all spatially normalized to the ICBM152 template (Mazziotta et al., 2001a,b), global PVWM and JCWM masks (and the resulting volumes of each) will be derived from the T1-weighted single-subject ICBM152 image included with the UManitoba-JHU atlas (Figley et al., 2015, 2017)—which is an interpolated (1.00 mm<sup>3</sup>) version of the singlesubject ICBM152 image distributed with SPM8.5 Once the PVWM and JCWM masks have been created, they can then be compared to both the global WM mask and each of the UManitoba-JHU WM network masks to evaluate: (1) spatial similarity using the Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC), (2) spatial similarity using the Jaccard Similarity Coefficient (JSC), and (3) the proportion of overlapping volume (POV) with respect to the global and network WM masks (Yeghiazaryan and Voiculescu, 2018; Costa, 2022). Therefore, because this study relies exclusively on publicly available brain atlases and software tools (i.e., not involving any human or animal study participants), Research Ethics Board approval is not required.

# Defining global white matter, ventricular, and cortical ROIs

The 1.00 mm  $\times$  1.00 mm  $\times$  1.00 mm single-subject T1-weighted ICBM152 image included with the UManitoba-JHU

<sup>1</sup> http://www.nitrc.org/projects/uofm\_jhu\_atlas

<sup>2</sup> http://findlab.stanford.edu/functional\_ROIs.html

<sup>3</sup> All 14 functional networks were investigated using the same methodology, but no white matter tracts met the *a priori* thresholds for inclusion in either the Auditory Network (AN) or Primary Visual Network (PVN) (Figley et al., 2017).

 $<sup>4\,</sup>$  These are just a few examples of diseases that appear to disproportionately affect PVWM and/or JCWM regions, but this list is not exhaustive.

<sup>5</sup> https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/

atlas was segmented using the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12 version r1318)<sup>6</sup> within the Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12 version 7219).<sup>7</sup> With the exception of running CAT12 in "expert mode" to enable the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) mask to be written out along with the GM and WM masks (top row **Figure 1**), tissue segmentation was performed using default CAT12 parameters.

To create ventricular and cortical masks, superfluous voxels beyond these regions were removed from the whole-brain CAT12 CSF and GM masks. This was achieved using the CerebrA atlas and ROI lookup table (Manera et al., 2020), which was initially 3D dilated by 15 mm (in each direction) and then used to: (1) exclude CSF voxels outside of the bilateral third ventricles, lateral ventricle, and inferior lateral ventricle ROIs (for the ventricle mask); and (2) exclude GM voxels from cerebellar and subcortical ROIs (for the cortical mask).

# Defining periventricular and juxtacortical white matter ROIs

Although specific definitions of "periventricular" and "juxtacortical" WM differ (Barkhof and Scheltens, 2006), recent measurements from concentric periventricular bands have shown that quantitative magnetization transfer ratios rapidly increase between 1 and 4 mm from the ventricle boundary, and then become stable after a distance of 5 mm among neurologically healthy controls, as well as patients with clinically isolated syndrome and relapsing-remitting MS (Pirpamer et al., 2022). For the purposes of the current study, we therefore chose an a priori definition for PVWM as WM within 5 mm of the ventricle mask, and JCWM as WM within 5 mm of the cortical mask. Thus, the ICBM152 ventricle and cortical masks were 3D dilated by 5 mm and overlaid on the global WM mask (middle row Figure 1), such that overlapping regions yielded PVWM and JCWM masks (bottom row Figure 1).

## Evaluating spatial similarity

In order to determine whether any of the WM networks shared higher spatial similarity with PVWM or JCWM (compared to the spatial similarities between global WM and PVWM or JCWM), we calculated three common similarity metrics; namely, the Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC; a.k.a., Sørensen–Dice Index or F1 Score), the Jaccard Similarity Coefficient (JSC; a.k.a., Jaccard Index or Intersection over Union), and the Proportion of Overlapping Volume (POV; a.k.a., True Positive Volume Fraction) (Yeghiazaryan and Voiculescu, 2018; Costa, 2022). Where A is the set of elements in the PVWM (or JCWM) mask and B is the set of elements in each WM ROI (i.e., either the global WM mask or each of the 12 functionally defined WM network masks), and where  $\cap$  is the mathematical operator for intersection (i.e., overlapping elements between sets) and  $\cup$  is

the mathematical operator for union (i.e., all elements within the collection of sets): (1) the DSC is defined as twice the number of intersecting (overlapping) elements divided by the total number of elements in each of the sets (i.e.,  $DSC_{A,B} = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{|A|+|B|}$ ); (2) the JSC is defined as the number of intersecting (overlapping) elements divided by the number of elements in the collection of sets (i.e.,  $JSC_{A,B} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A|+|B|-|A \cap B|}$ ); and (3) the POV is defined as the number of elements in the collection of sets (i.e.,  $POV_{A,B} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|B|}$ ) (Yeghiazaryan and Voiculescu, 2018; Costa, 2022). Therefore, using the PVWM and dDMN as an example:  $DSC_{PVWM,dDMN} = \frac{|PVWM \cap dDMN|}{|PVWM|+|dDMN|-|PVWM \cap dDMN|}$ , and  $POV_{PVWM,dDMN} = \frac{|PVWM \cap dDMN|}{|dDMN|}$ .

Having already created the ICBM152 PVWM and JCWM masks (**Figure 2** Row #1), the global WM mask (**Figure 2** Row #2), and each of the network WM masks (**Figure 2** Row #3-14), the DSC, JSC, and POV values were computed between PVWM (and JCWM) and each of the other WM ROIs, allowing the network WM metrics to be directly compared to the corresponding global WM values. Although DSC, JSC, and POV measure similarity in slightly different ways, they all scale between 0 and 1, which (within the context of 3D binary ROIs like the PVWM, JCWM, global WM, and each of the UM-JHU network WM masks) corresponds to having no spatial similarity and perfect spatial similarity, respectively.

## **Results and discussion**

Based on a proximity within 5 mm of the ventricles of the ICBM152 template, PVWM was found to account for 15,429 mm<sup>3</sup> (1.79%) of the 862,542 mm<sup>3</sup> global WM ROI. **Table 1** lists the total volume, PVWM volume, as well as the DSC, JSC, and POV spatial similarity measures between PVWM and each of the other WM ROIs (i.e., global WM and each of the WM networks in the UManitoba-JHU atlas). Out of the 12 WM network masks, 8 had uniformly lower spatial similarity scores (i.e., lower DSC, JSC, and POV) with PVWM compared to global WM. These included the dDMN, vDMN, IECN, aSN, PN, LN, VSN, and SMN. Among the remaining WM networks, 3 (i.e., the pSN, BGN, and HVN) were found to have uniformly higher spatial similarity scores; and one WM network (i.e., the rECN) was found to have lower DSC and JSC, but a slightly higher POV.

Based on a proximity within 5 mm of the cortex of the ICBM152 template, JCWM was found to account for 480,290 mm<sup>3</sup> (55.68%) of the 862,542 mm<sup>3</sup> global WM ROI. Table 2 lists the total volume, JCWM volume, as well as the DSC, JSC, and POV spatial similarity measures between JCWM and each of the other WM ROIs (i.e., global WM and each of the WM networks in the UManitoba-JHU atlas). In this case, all 12 of the WM networks were found to have uniformly lower spatial similarity scores (i.e., lower DSC, JSC, and POV) with JCWM compared to global WM.

One potentially interesting tangential observation is that, within the same 5 mm distance from the cortical and ventricular boundaries, there appears to be approximately 31 times the amount of JCWM (55.68%) compared to PVWM (1.79%) in the overall WM mask. Such a large disparity may initially seem surprising, but is likely the result of two factors: (1) the much larger surface area

<sup>6</sup> http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat/

<sup>7</sup> http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/



of the cortical sheet, compared to the ventricular boundary;<sup>8</sup> and should likely be di

(2) the relatively large amount of competing periventricular GM (e.g., bilateral caudate and thalamus), which means that the already smaller tissue volume within 5 mm of the ventricles is distributed between WM and GM.

Nonetheless, to account for the disparity between PVWM and JCWM volumes, *post hoc* analyses to assess the spatial similarity across the combined PVWM and JCWM masks were computed for global WM and the four networks that showed higher than average spatial similarity relative to PVWM in **Table 1** (i.e., rECN, pSN, BGN, and HVN). Perhaps not surprising given the results reported in **Table 2** and the disparity between total PVWM and JCWM volumes, the combined PVWM and JCWM analysis revealed that all three spatial similarity metrics were higher for global WM (DSC = 0.730, JSC = 0.575, and POV = 0.575) compared to any of the network ROIs (highest DSC = 0.049 for pSN, highest JSC = 0.025 for pSN, and highest POV = 0.292 for HVN).

We therefore make the following recommendations for future studies aiming to use the UManitoba-JHU WM networks to infer network-based differences and/or changes:

- 1. For study populations with no predispositions to PVWM or JCWM damage: All 12 networks can likely be used to draw network-based inferences.
- 2. For study populations with known predispositions to PVWM damage: Network-based inferences can likely be drawn regarding the dDMN, vDMN, IECN, aSN, PN, LN, VSN, SMN without any apparent spatial confounds with PVWM regions. However, any network-based inferences regarding the pSN, BGN, and HVN should be discussed within the context of the inherent spatial confounds. Similarly, although the rECN network had lower DSC and JSC, network-based inferences

should likely be discussed within the context of the marginally higher POV with PVWM.

- For study populations with known predispositions to JCWM damage: All 12 networks can likely be used to draw networkbased inferences without any apparent spatial confounds with JCWM regions.
- 4. For study populations with known predispositions to both PVWM and JCWM damage: All 12 networks can likely be used to draw network-based inferences without any spatial confounds with the combined PVWM and JCWM mask. However, to be forthright, any network-based inferences regarding the pSN, BGN, and HVN should likely be qualified and discussed within the context of their spatial confounds with PVWM.

It should be noted that many factors can influence overall and regional brain volumes, as well as the proportional volumes of different tissue compartments. However, while there are well known sex differences in total brain, GM, WM, and CSF volumes, previous studies have shown that males and females have similar proportions of WM (relative to total brain volume) (Lüders et al., 2002), and that normal appearing cerebral WM volumes tend to scale quite linearly with (and are arguably one of the best predictors of) total brain volume within and between sexes (Jäncke et al., 2019). This suggests that the current findings, with respect to the PVWM and JCWM proportions of the UManitoba-JHU WM atlases, should be broadly generalizable. However, a more recent study suggesting that WM volume scaling is regionally heterogeneous (with larger brains having disproportionately higher WM volumes in the genu and splenium of the corpus callosum, as well as anterior and posterior cortical regions) (Warling et al., 2021) suggests that there could be exceptions for certain patient groups, and presumably in very young (pediatric) or very old (geriatric) populations.

Finally, although the current findings are specific to the UManitoba-JHU WM atlas ROIs reported above, the same approach and methodology could be employed in future studies

<sup>8~</sup> Due to the complex folding patterns of the sulci/gyri, the surface area of an adult human neocortex is approximately 1600  $\rm cm^2$  (Van Essen and Drury, 1997).



#### FIGURE 2

Depictions of the PVWM and JCWM masks (top row), global WM mask (second row), and each of the 12 functionally defined human white matter networks investigated in the current study (rows 3–14) overlaid on the single-subject T1-weighted ICBM152 template. dDMN, dorsal default mode network; vDMN, ventral default mode network; lECN, left executive control network; rECN, right executive control network; aSN, anterior salience network; pSN, posterior salience network; PN, precuneus network; LN, language network; BGN, basal ganglia network; HVN, higher visual network; VSN, visuospatial network; SMN, sensorimotor network.

White matter ROI	Total ROI volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Periventricular within ROI (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Periventricular vs. ROI (DSC)	Periventricular vs. ROI (JSC)	Periventricular vs. ROI (POV)
Global WM	862542	15429	0.035	0.018	0.0179
dDMN	115195	1652	0.025	0.013	0.0143
vDMN	51687	665	0.020	0.010	0.0129
lECN	42528	462	0.016	0.008	0.0109
rECN	41480	829	0.029	0.015	0.0200
aSN	70924	231	0.005	0.003	0.0033
pSN	78805	3532	0.075	0.039	0.0448
PN	46220	625	0.020	0.010	0.014
LN	36539	53	0.002	0.001	0.001
BGN	82463	2949	0.060	0.031	0.036
HVN	24012	897	0.045	0.023	0.037
VSN	44549	220	0.007	0.004	0.005
SMN	98145	707	0.012	0.006	0.007

TABLE 1 Total ROI volume, volume of periventricular white matter (PVWM) within ROI, Dice similarity coefficient (DSC), Jaccard similarity coefficient (JSC), and proportion of overlapping volume (POV) of PVWM within global white matter and each network WM mask.

Reference Global WM values are indicated in **bold font**, lower spatial similarity values are indicated in **blue**, and higher spatial similarity values are indicated in **red**. dDMN, dorsal default mode network; vDMN, ventral default mode network; IECN, left executive control network; rECN, right executive control network; asN, anterior salience network; pSN, posterior salience network; PN, precuneus network; LN, language network; BGN, basal ganglia network; HVN, higher visual network; VSN, visuospatial network; SMN, sensorimotor network.

TABLE 2 Total ROI volume, volume of juxtacortical white matter (JCWM) within ROI, Dice similarity coefficient (DSC), Jaccard similarity coefficient (JSC), and proportion of overlapping volume (POV) of JCWM within global white matter and each network WM mask.

White matter ROI	Total ROI volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Juxtacortical within ROI (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Juxtacortical vs. ROI (DSC)	Juxtacortical vs. ROI (JSC)	Juxtacortical vs. ROI (POV)
Global WM	862542	480290	0.715	0.557	0.557
dDMN	115195	34792	0.117	0.062	0.302
vDMN	51687	16976	0.064	0.033	0.328
lECN	42528	10308	0.039	0.020	0.242
rECN	41480	7445	0.029	0.014	0.179
aSN	70924	13850	0.050	0.026	0.195
pSN	78805	10558	0.038	0.019	0.134
PN	46220	14535	0.055	0.028	0.314
LN	36539	11981	0.046	0.024	0.328
BGN	82463	4374	0.016	0.008	0.053
HVN	24012	6119	0.024	0.012	0.255
VSN	44549	12553	0.048	0.025	0.282
SMN	98145	13789	0.048	0.024	0.140

Reference Global WM values are indicated in **bold font** and lower spatial similarity values are indicated in **blue**. No regions with higher spatial similarity were found. dDMN, dorsal default mode network; vDMN, ventral default mode network; lECN, left executive control network; rECN, right executive control network; aSN, anterior salience network; pSN, posterior salience network; PN, precuneus network; LN, language network; BGN, basal ganglia network; HVN, higher visual network; VSN, visuospatial network; SMN, sensorimotor network.

to examine PVWM and/or JCWM components of other WM ROIs—including those from the JHU (Mori et al., 2008; Oishi et al., 2009), HCP (Yeh et al., 2018), or other WM atlases that (to the best of our knowledge) have not been previously characterized in this way. Moreover, while most of the combined, network-level UManitoba-JHU WM ROIs were not found to disproportionately overlap with PVWM or JCWM regions, individual WM connections within these and other networks still might. Therefore, researchers employing advanced anatomical connectivity analyses—i.e., quantitative MRI values of individual white matter connections (e.g., diffusivity,

streamline count, myelin water fraction), graph theoretical metrics, etc.—to infer "network difference" or "network changes" should be conscious of potential biases in studies involving patient populations with known predispositions to PVWM and/or JCWM damage.

# Conclusion

In summary, the current study characterized the spatial similarities between PVWM (and JCWM) and each of the networks

in the UManitoba-JHU functionally defined human WM atlas, and compared these to PVWM (and JCWM) in global WM. The findings indicate that only 3 out of the 12 WM networks (i.e., the pSN, BGN, and HVN) had uniformly higher spatial similarity with PVWM compared to global WM, and that the majority of WM networks had uniformly lower spatial similarity. Moreover, all 12 networks were found to have uniformly lower spatial similarity with JCWM compared to global WM. Therefore, our overall conclusions are: (1) that, with the exception of PVWM in the pSN, BGN, and HVN networks, PVWM and JCWM are actually spatially under-represented in the UManitoba-JHU functionally defined WM networks; and therefore (2) that the majority of these WM networks can be used to investigate network-specific WM effects in future studies, even in patient populations with known predispositions toward PVWM and/or JCWM damage.

## Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.nitrc.org/projects/uofm\_jhu\_ atlas/.

## Author contributions

CF and TF performed the data analysis and prepared the figures and tables. All authors contributed to the study conception, experimental design, interpretation of the data, manuscript preparation, and manuscript review (including approving the final draft of the manuscript).

# References

Barkhof, F., and Scheltens, P. (2006). Is the whole brain periventricular? J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 77, 143–144. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2005.075101

Bouman, P. M., Strijbis, V. I. J., Jonkman, L. E., Hulst, H. E., Geurts, J. J. G., and Steenwijk, M. D. (2021). Artificial double inversion recovery images for (juxta)cortical lesion visualization in multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler. J.* 28, 541–549. doi: 10.1177/13524585211029860

Chen, C.-H., Hsu, H.-C., Cheng, Y.-W., Chen, Y.-F., Tang, S.-C., and Jeng, J.-S. (2022). Prominent juxtacortical white matter lesion hallmarks *NOTCH*<sub>3</sub>-related intracerebral haemorrhage. *Stroke Vasc. Neurol.* 7, 38–46. doi: 10.1136/svn-2021-001020

Cole, M. W., Bassett, D. S., Power, J. D., Braver, T. S., and Petersen, S. E. (2014). Intrinsic and task-evoked network architectures of the human brain. *Neuron* 83, 238–251. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.014

Costa, L. (2022). On similarity. Phys. A Stat. Mech. its Appl. 599:127456. doi: 10. 1016/j.physa.2022.127456

Figley, T. D., Bhullar, N., Courtney, S. M., and Figley, C. R. (2015). Probabilistic atlases of default mode, executive control and salience network white matter tracts: an fMRI-guided diffusion tensor imaging and tractography study. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9:585. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00585

Figley, T. D., Mortazavi Moghadam, B., Bhullar, N., Kornelsen, J., Courtney, S. M., and Figley, C. R. (2017). Probabilistic white matter atlases of human auditory, basal ganglia, language, precuneus, sensorimotor, visual and visuospatial networks. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11:306. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00306

Filippi, M., Preziosa, P., Banwell, B. L., Barkhof, F., Ciccarelli, O., De Stefano, N., et al. (2019). Assessment of lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in

# Funding

This project was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2016-05954).

# Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge valuable input from the Statistical Consulting Group (particularly Drs. Andrew Morris and Alexandre Leblanc) within the Department of Statistics at the University of Manitoba, as well as financial support in the form of a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2016-05954).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

multiple sclerosis: practical guidelines. Brain 142, 1858-1875. doi: 10.1093/brain/awz144

Gauthier, S. A. (2022). Location, location, location: myelin repair and proximity to ventricular CSF in multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 146, 10–12. doi: 10.1093/brain/awac448

Geeraert, B. L., Lebel, R. M., Mah, A. C., Deoni, S. C., Alsop, D. C., Varma, G., et al. (2018). A comparison of inhomogeneous magnetization transfer, myelin volume fraction, and diffusion tensor imaging measures in healthy children. *Neuroimage* 182, 343–350. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.019

Hageman, G., Hof, J., and Nihom, J. (2022). Susceptibility-weighted MRI and microbleeds in mild traumatic brain injury: prediction of posttraumatic complaints? *Eur. Neurol.* 85, 177–185. doi: 10.1159/000521389

Jäncke, L., Liem, F., and Merillat, S. (2019). Scaling of brain compartments to brain size. *Neuroreport* 30, 573–579. doi: 10.1097/WNR.00000000001249

Lüders, E., Steinmetz, H., and Jäncke, L. (2002). Brain size and grey matter volume in the healthy human brain. *Neuroreport* 13, 2371–2374. doi: 10.1097/01.wnr. 0000049603.85580.da

Manera, A. L., Dadar, M., Fonov, V., and Collins, D. L. (2020). CerebrA, registration and manual label correction of mindboggle-101 atlas for MNI-ICBM152 template. *Sci. Data* 7:237. doi: 10.1038/s41597-020-0557-9

Mazziotta, J., Toga, A., Evans, A., Fox, P., Lancaster, J., Zilles, K., et al. (2001a). A four-dimensional probabilistic atlas of the human brain. *J. Am. Med. Informatics Assoc.* 8, 401–430. doi: 10.1136/jamia.2001.0080401

Mazziotta, J., Toga, A., Evans, A., Fox, P., Lancaster, J., Zilles, K., et al. (2001b). A probabilistic atlas and reference system for the human brain: international consortium

for brain mapping (ICBM). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 356, 1293-1322. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2001.0915

Mori, S., Oishi, K., Jiang, H., Jiang, L., Li, X., Akhter, K., et al. (2008). Stereotaxic white matter atlas based on diffusion tensor imaging in an ICBM template. *Neuroimage* 40, 570–582. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.12.035

Oishi, K., Faria, A., Jiang, H., Li, X., Akhter, K., Zhang, J., et al. (2009). Atlasbased whole brain white matter analysis using large deformation diffeomorphic metric mapping: application to normal elderly and Alzheimer's disease participants. *Neuroimage* 46, 486–499.

Phuah, C.-L., Chen, Y., Strain, J. F., Yechoor, N., Laurido-Soto, O. J., Ances, B. M., et al. (2022). Association of data-driven white matter hyperintensity spatial signatures with distinct cerebral small vessel disease etiologies. *Neurology* 99, e2535–e2547. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000201186

Pirpamer, L., Kincses, B., Kincses, Z. T., Kiss, C., Damulina, A., Khalil, M., et al. (2022). Periventricular magnetisation transfer abnormalities in early multiple sclerosis. *NeuroImage Clin.* 34:103012. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103012

Pirzada, S., Uddin, M. N., Figley, T. D., Kornelsen, J., Puig, J., Marrie, R. A., et al. (2020). Spatial normalization of multiple sclerosis brain MRI data depends on analysis method and software package. *Magn. Reson. Imaging* 68, 83–94. doi: 10.1016/j.mri. 2020.01.016

Shirer, W. R., Ryali, S., Rykhlevskaia, E., Menon, V., and Greicius, M. D. (2012). Decoding subject-driven cognitive states with whole-brain connectivity patterns. *Cereb. Cortex* 22, 158–165. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr099

Tonietto, M., Poirion, E., Lazzarotto, A., Ricigliano, V., Papeix, C., Bottlaender, M., et al. (2022). Periventricular remyelination failure in multiple sclerosis: a substrate for neurodegeneration. *Brain* 146, 182–194. doi: 10.1093/brain/awac334

Uddin, M. N., Figley, T. D., Solar, K. G., Shatil, A. S., and Figley, C. R. (2019). Comparisons between multi-component myelin water fraction, T1w/T2w ratio, and diffusion tensor imaging measures in healthy human brain structures. *Sci. Rep.* 9:2500. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39199-x

van den Heuvel, M. P., and Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2010). Exploring the brain network: a review on resting-state fMRI functional connectivity. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 20, 519–534. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2010.03.008

Van Essen, D. C., and Drury, H. A. (1997). Structural and functional analyses of human cerebral cortex using a surface-based atlas. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 7079–7102. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.17-18-07079.1997

van Veluw, S. J., Barkhof, F., and Schirmer, M. D. (2022). White matter hyperintensity spatial patterns provide clues about underlying disease. *Neurology* 99:10171018. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000201398

Vaneckova, M., Piredda, G. F., Andelova, M., Krasensky, J., Uher, T., Srpova, B., et al. (2022). Periventricular gradient of T1 tissue alterations in multiple sclerosis. *NeuroImage Clin.* 34:103009. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103009

Warling, A., McDermott, C. L., Liu, S., Seidlitz, J., Rodrigue, A. L., Nadig, A., et al. (2021). Regional white matter scaling in the human brain. *J. Neurosci* 41, 7015–7028. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1193-21.2021

Yeghiazaryan, V., and Voiculescu, I. (2018). Family of boundary overlap metrics for the evaluation of medical image segmentation. *J. Med. Imaging* 5:15006. doi: 10.1117/1.JMI.5.1.015006

Yeh, F.-C., Panesar, S., Fernandes, D., Meola, A., Yoshino, M., Fernandez-Miranda, J. C., et al. (2018). Population-averaged atlas of the macroscale human structural connectome and its network topology. *Neuroimage* 178, 57–68. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2018.05.027