Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Feng Fang, BrainHealth Tech Inc., United States

REVIEWED BY Omur Gulsum Deniz, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Türkiye Jin-Oh Hahn, University of Maryland, College Park, United States

★CORRESPONDENCE Michiaki Nagai ⊠ nagai10m@r6.dion.ne.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Brain Health and Clinical Neuroscience, a section of the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience

RECEIVED 21 January 2023 ACCEPTED 08 March 2023 PUBLISHED 23 March 2023

CITATION

Nagai M, Dote K, Kato M, Sasaki S, Oda N and Förster CY (2023) Afterload reduction after non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation in acute heart failure. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 17:1149449. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1149449

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Nagai, Dote, Kato, Sasaki, Oda and Förster. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Afterload reduction after non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation in acute heart failure

Michiaki Nagai^{1*}, Keigo Dote¹, Masaya Kato¹, Shota Sasaki¹, Noboru Oda¹ and Carola Y. Förster²

¹Department of Cardiology, Hiroshima City Asa Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan, ²Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care, Emergency and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Introduction: While central blood pressure (BP) has been recognized as a major indicator of left ventricular (LV) afterload, the reduction of central pressure decreases LV afterload and may prevent heart failure (HF) decompensation. Non-invasive transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) was shown to improve cardiac function in HF patients. In this study, the relationship between active tVNS and reduction of central BP was investigated in patients with acute HF (AHF).

Methods: The 22 patients hospitalized for AHF after initial stabilization (median 80 yrs, males 60%) were randomly assigned to active or sham group. For 1 h daily over 5 days, low-level transcutaneous electrical stimulation (LLTS) (20 Hz, 1 mA) was performed after attaching an ear clip to the tragus (active group) or the earlobe (sham control group). Before and after stimulation, central aortic systolic pressure (CASP), brachial systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP) as well as heart rate (HR) were noninvasively measured.

Results: No significant differences in baseline characteristics were observed between the active and sham groups. In the active group, CASP, SBP, DBP, and HR each decreased significantly after stimulation (all p < 0.05), whereas in the sham group, CASP, SBP, DBP, and HR each increased significantly after stimulation (all p < 0.05). All the changes in CASP, SBP, DBP and HR before and after stimulation were also significantly different between active and sham groups (all p < 0.01). There were no device-related side effects.

Conclusion: In this study, the left tragus tVNS resulted in an acute afterload reduction in the elderly AHF patients. Non-invasive LLTS may be useful and safe for reducing afterload in AHF.

Clinical trial registration: Clinical Trials.gov, identifier UMIN000044121.

KEYWORDS

transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation, low-level transcutaneous electrical stimulation, acute heart failure, central aortic systolic pressure, afterload

Introduction

Increased loading leads to pressure- and volume-mediated remodeling of left ventricular (LV) structures (Levy et al., 1996; Kenchaiah and Pfeffer, 2004). LV hypertrophy (LVH) is an early compensatory mechanism for chronic pressure overload, which can preserve cardiac output and delay the onset of heart failure (HF). However, LV remodeling is more closely associated with decompensation and HF can occur as a result of diastolic dysfunction (Lazzeroni et al., 2016). In addition, LV remodeling is induced by activated neurohormonal pathways, including the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (du Cailar et al., 2000; Lopez et al., 2006). LVH has a concentric or eccentric phenotype and is associated with both HF with reduced ejection fraction (EF) (HFrEF) and HF with preserved EF (HFpEF) (Oh and Cho, 2020).

Increased wave reflex is recognized as a major hemodynamic finding of vascular aging, which is a determinant of central blood pressure (BP). Estimated central BP is shown to be superior to brachial BP in relation to target organ damage and longterm cardiovascular prognosis (Williams et al., 2006; Kario, 2010; Cheng et al., 2017), and is measured noninvasively using a variety of techniques (Cheng et al., 2017). In the PARAMETER study, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors were superior to angiotensin II receptor blockers in reducing central systolic BP (SBP) (Williams et al., 2017). This preferential reduction of central pressure may significantly reduce LV afterload and prevent HF decompensation. Although noninvasively measured central BP represents an accurate central afterload (Chen et al., 1997; Sharman et al., 2006), to date, few studies have been conducted on changes in central BP due to therapeutic intervention in acute HF (AHF) patients.

The vagus nerve is a complex nervous system in the body, connecting vital organs such as the lungs, intestines, stomach, heart, and brain. It affects breathing, digestion, cardiac function and even mental health. Therefore, optimizing the function of the vagus nerve is thought to ameliorate target organ damage. The Xth cranial nerve has a cutaneous representation in the "Ramsay Hunt zone" located in the ear canal. Via Wrisberg's intermediate nerve, cutaneous stimuli reaches the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) which is the main brain area of integration for vagal afferents in brainstem (Clarke et al., 1997; Ventureyra, 2000). Stimulation of "Ramsay Hunt zone" has shown beneficial effects upon seizure control (Lim, 1998; Shen, 1998). In fact, distinct vagus evoked potentials were observed after stimulation inside the tragus (Fallgatter et al., 2003). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) (tVNS) is a non-invasive, simple emergency treatment with few side effects that has spread worldwide by stimulation of the vagus nerve auricular branch of tragus (Deuchars et al., 2018). tVNS has been reported to be effective in reducing SNS activity (Clancy et al., 2014), and in Dahl salt-sensitive rats, low-level tragus stimulation (LLTS) reduced inflammatory cytokines, macrophage infiltration and myocardial fibrosis, and improved cardiac function (Elkholey et al., 2022). In addition, clinical studies have shown that LLTS significantly improved LV performance in HFpEF patients (Tran et al., 2019).

To date, no studies have examined the relationship between tVNS and afterload reduction in patients with AHF. From these perspectives, we hypothesized that active LLTS could significantly reduce central BP compared to sham stimulation in AHF.

Materials and methods

Study population

From June 2021 to June 2022, this study was conducted at Hiroshima City Asa Hospital (University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trials Registry, UMIN000044121). Patients were considered eligible for study enrollment if they were hospitalized with AHF during this study. We defined AHF as rapid onset or worsening of symptoms and/or signs of HF. Symptoms of HF were fatigue, shortness of breath, and swollen ankles. Signs of HF were peripheral edema, elevated jugular venous pressure, and lung crackling (Mebazaa et al., 2015; Ponikowski et al., 2016). The diagnosis of AHF in each patient was based on the European Society of Cardiology 2016 guidelines for the diagnosis of HF (Ponikowski et al., 2016). For B-type natriuretic peptide used to diagnose AHF, the exclusion cut-off point is set at 100 pg/mL based on the current guidelines (Ponikowski et al., 2016). Each AHF diagnosis was made by experienced cardiologists. Consecutive eligible patients with AHF aged 40-85 years were enrolled after the study objectives were fully explained.

A sample size of 22 patients (11 in each group) would provide at least 80% power to detect this difference, at a 2sided significance alpha level of 0.05. Inclusion criteria included (i) patients with an on-admission SBP of >100 mmHg; (ii) consenting to participate in this study. Exclusion criteria included (i) patients with multiple organ failure (possibility of unclear relationship between VNS and HF); (ii) patients in shock (possibility of excessive hypotension): (iii) patients with severe bradycardia, excluding those with a pacemaker (possibility of promoting bradycardia): (iv) patients with sepsis (possibility of excessive hypotension): (v) patients who did not consent to participate in this study.

The clinical trial protocol was approved by the Research Committee of Hiroshima City Asa Hospital (02-6-25), Hiroshima, Japan at April 6, 2023. And this study was conducted in accordance with the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Randomization and study procedures

Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation

Patients were randomly assigned to active or sham LLTS group (1:1) after informed consent. Randomization was performed with random number table. The Parasym device (Parasym, London, UK) was used to perform stimulation (Figure 1A). In patients hospitalized for AHF after initial stabilization between 48 h and 5 days after admission, the ear clip electrode was attached to tragus in the active group (Figure 1B), while, in the sham group, the



ear clip was attached to the earlobe, which is devoid of vagal innervation (**Figure 1C**; Lehtimaki et al., 2013; Clancy et al., 2014). A pulse width of 200 μ s and a pulse frequency of 20 Hz were included in the stimulation settings (Stavrakis et al., 2015), similar to our prior report in a patient with HFpEF (Nagai et al., 2022). The stimulation amplitude was titrated to 1 mA below the discomfort threshold. For 1 h daily for 5 days, stimulation was applied by the individually trained co-medical staffs. Patients were requested to comment phenomenon related to each daily session if there were. To reduce bias, patients and investigators collecting study measurements were blinded to treatment assignments. The site of active or sham stimulation was not revealed to achieve blinding of patients.

Central aortic systolic pressure

Noninvasively, central aortic systolic pressure (CASP) was measured using the A-Pulse CASPro device (Health STATS, Singapore) (Ott et al., 2012). By combining calibrated radial pulse waveform data (type I calibration) and N-point moving average algorithm, the A-Pulse CASPro product was reported to share strong consistency with the invasive cardiac catheterization measurements, and its data agrees with requirement of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (Ott et al., 2012). We measured CASP in addition to brachial SBP, diastolic BP (DBP) as well as heart rate (HR) three times at one occasion before and after stimulation, and average values of three measurements were calculated as representative values in CASP, SBP, DBP, and HR. 5-day average values of CASP, SBP, DBP, and HR were compared before and after stimulation, and compared between two groups.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as median or percentages. While we used a chi-squared test for comparisons of categorical variables between the groups, Mann–Whiteney U test was performed for continuous variables at baseline. Measurements in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR before and after stimulation in each group were analyzed through paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test, changes in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR between the two groups were compared through Mann–Whiteney U test. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. We performed all analyses using SPSS version 11.5J statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

Study population

From June 2021 to June 2022, 45 patients were screened for eligibility, and 22 were enrolled in this study. In the 22 patients enrolled in this study (median 80 yrs, males 60%), the 11 patients (50%) were assigned to active stimulation group and the 11 patients (50%) to sham stimulation group (Figure 2). In each group, two patients withdrew from the study before stimulation. While one patient was excluded due to bad CASP data quality in active stimulation group, one patient was excluded due to delirium before stimulation procedure in sham group. Therefore, in the final analysis, a total of 16 patients with complete data were included (Figure 3). Between the 2 groups, the baseline patients' characteristics were balanced (Table 1). Most of the patients were elderly, and HFpEF was observed in 75% of the active stimulation group and 87.5% of the sham stimulation group. Adherence to the protocol of daily stimulation was well, and all of the 16 patients were completed stimulation procedure. The stimulation amplitude has not been changed as 20 mA in both groups in each procedure over 5 days. There were no differences in BP or HR on admission between the active and sham group (134 mmHg versus 128 mmHg, respectively, p = 0.65 and 80 bpm versus 74b pm, respectively, p = 0.33). Although, in the sham group, only one person felt slight wrongness at the stimulation site, the procedure was completed without any side effects that interrupted the study.

Central aortic systolic pressure and other hemodynamic parameters before and after stimulation

The outcomes of the study in the CASP and other hemodynamic parameters before and after stimulation are summarized in **Table 2**. Significant differences were observed in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP as well as HR before and after stimulation. In the active group, each of CASP, SBP, DBP, and HR was significantly decreased after stimulation (all p < 0.05) (**Figures 4A**, **5A**, **6A**, **7A**), while each of CASP, SBP, DBP as well as HR was significantly increased after stimulation in the sham group (all p < 0.05) (**Figures 4B**, **5B**, **6B**, **7B**).

Changes in central aortic systolic pressure and other hemodynamic parameters between two groups

When the changes in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP, and HR before and after stimulation were compared between active and sham





groups, there were significant differences in changes in CASP (p < 0.001), brachial SBP (p < 0.001), DBP (p < 0.01) as well as HR (p < 0.001) (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, transcutaneous active stimulation of the left vagus nerve auricular branch at the tragus for 1 h was associated with an acute reduction in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR. On the other hand, sham stimulation of the left earlobe resulted in an acute increase in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR in patients with AHF. This first human study suggests that non-invasive LLTS is effective and safe for reducing afterload in treating AHF. This favorable effect due to tVNS on cardiac dynamics may be related to the improved sympatho-vagal balance in AHF.

tVNS and afterload reduction

In this study, acute active tVNS resulted in a reduction in CASP in AHF patients. Increased vagus nerve activity increases muscarinic receptor activation and decreases excessive adrenergic receptor activation (Olshansky et al., 2008). tVNS has been suggested to suppress SNS induction due to inhibition of the neural activity of major ganglionated plexuses in the intrinsic cardiac autonomic nervous system (Yu et al., 2011). In post-infarction animal models, LLTS improved LV remodeling (Wang et al., 2014, 2015), cardiac autonomic remodeling (Yu et al., 2016), and reduced ventricular arrhythmia inducibility. In patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, LLTS reduced myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (Yu et al., 2017). On the other hand, tVNS ameliorated diastolic dysfunction in an animal model of HFpEF (Zhou et al., 2019) and acutely ameliorated LV

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population.

Baseline characteristics	Active (<i>n</i> = 8)	Sham (<i>n</i> = 8)	P-value		
Age, years	79.1 (72.1; 82.1)	76.9 (70.9; 80.8)	0.13		
Male, %	62.6	50.0	0.72		
Body mass index, kg/m ²	21.6 (20.3; 22.5)	22.8 (20.3; 28.0)	0.44		
Ejection fraction, %	60.6 (47.2; 68.0)	63.1 (54.7; 69.8)	0.72		
E/e'	23.2 (19.2; 30.1)	16.9 (13.1; 29.4)	0.44		
Current smoking, %	50.0	50.0	1.0		
Daily alcohol intake, %	62.5	50.0	0.72		
Hypertension, %	50.0	87.5	0.23		
Diabetes mellitus, %	25.0	0.0	0.44		
Lipid disorder, %	25.0	12.5	0.72		
Chronic atrial fibrillation, %	50.0	50.0	1.0		
Baseline laboratory values					
Brain natriuretic peptide, pg/ml	402 (206; 555)	495 (211; 936)	0.33		
Estimated glomerular filtration rate, ml/min./1.73 m ²	35.3 (25.6; 52.8)	49.7 (36.7; 69.1)	0.13		
Medication at baseline:					
ACEI use, %	0.0	12.5	0.72		
ARB use, %	37.5	50.0	0.72		
ARNI use, %	12.5	0.0	0.72		
Beta-blocker, %	50.0	62.5	0.72		
MRA use, %	25.0	12.5	0.72		
SGLT2i use, %	25.0	0.0	0.44		
Loop diuretics, %	62.5	87.5	0.44		
Medication during admission:					
I.V. loop diuretic use, %	87.5	100	0.72		
I.V. isosorbide dinitrate, %	12.5	12.5	1.0		
Blood pressure and heart rate parameters:					
Systolic blood pressure on admission, mmHg	134 (120; 141)	128 (123; 137)	0.65		
Diastolic blood pressure on admission, mmHg	73 (57; 84)	77 (63; 83)	0.72		
Heart rate on admission, mmHg	80 (76; 96)	74 (65; 82)	0.33		

Data are median and (IQR25—IQR75) or as percentages. Mann–Whiteney U test for continuous variables and the χ^2 test for qualitative variables were used. ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; MRA, mineral receptor antagonist; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose co-transporters 2 inhibitor; I.V., intravenous.

strain in humans (Tran et al., 2019; Stavrakis et al., 2022). In a patient with HFpEF, left renal hemodynamics, right ventricular function and strain improved after 1 h of tVNS *via* the left tragus (Nagai et al., 2022).

Animal and human studies have shown that longitudinal strain was reduced by increased afterload (Donal et al., 2009; Burns et al., 2010; A'roch et al., 2012). Activation of peripheral SNS increases LV afterload *via* enhanced wave reflection (Floras, 2009; Millar et al., 2019). In this study, tVNS was associated with reduced afterload. One of the mechanisms by which tVNS reduces CASP is suggested to be suppression of SNS activity *via* tVNS that reduces the augmented wave reflection. The current findings raise the possibility that non-pharmacological interventions in tVNS that reduce sympathetic outflow improve ventriculo-aortic coupling and reduce cardiac afterload in patients with AHF.

Active tVNS and acute hemodynamic response

In this study, brachial SBP, DBP, and HR were significantly reduced after active tVNS. In hypertensive rats, acute VNS showed beneficial effects on BP as well as HR dynamics (Plachta et al., 2014, 2016; Annoni and Tolkacheva, 2018). This acute reduction in BP was previously demonstrated when selective and non-selective VNS therapy was performed to anesthetized rats (Plachta et al., 2014, 2016). Low-intensity focused ultrasound VNS technique also reduced BP and HR in rabbits (Ji et al., 2020).

The effects of tVNS on acute hemodynamic response may be mediated by aortic depressor nerve activation, that signals the brain to enhance baroreflex and lower BP (Plachta et al., 2014). Acute noninvasive tVNS improved baroreflex sensitivity in healthy young men (Antonino et al., 2017). Anti-adrenergic, TABLE 2 Central aortic systolic pressure (CASP) before and after stimulation.

Variables	Before stimulation	After stimulation	<i>P</i> -value
Active stimulation $(n = 8)$			
Central aortic systolic pressure (mmHg)	133 (109; 148)	119 (104; 135)	0.017
Brachial systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	147 (117; 160)	133 (111; 140)	0.012
Brachial diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	77 (73; 82)	71 (65; 79)	0.012
Heart rate (beat per minute)	79 (69; 95)	77 (67; 85)	0.017
Sham stimulation $(n = 8)$			
Central aortic systolic pressure (mmHg)	106 (94; 127)	108 (104; 139)	0.017
Brachial systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	113 (107; 140)	119 (113; 150)	0.017
Brachial diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	69 (63; 79)	75 (68; 92)	0.025
Heart rate (beat per minute)	68 (65; 74)	73 (69; 80)	0.012

Data are median and (IQR25-IQR75) in 5 days average values. Paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used.



FIGURE 4

Effect of active vs sham tVNS on measures of central aortic systolic pressure. (A) Active stimulation. (B) Sham stimulation. tVNS, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation.



Effect of active vs sham tVNS on measures of brachial systolic blood pressure. (A) Active stimulation. (B) Sham stimulation. tVNS, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation



FIGURE 6

Effect of active vs sham tVNS on measures of brachial diastolic blood pressure. (A) Active stimulation. (B) Sham stimulation. tVNS, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation.



TABLE 3 Changes in CASP between active and sham stimulation groups.

Variables	Active stimulation ($n = 8$)	Sham stimulation ($n = 8$)	<i>P</i> -value
Delta central aortic systolic pressure (mmHg)	-11.5 (-18.8; -5.03)	8.37 (4.70; 12.9)	0.0003
Delta systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	-11.5 (-14.1; -8.70)	7.87 (2.48; 11.0)	0.0002
Delta diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	-5.10 (-6.52; -2.07)	8.60 (4.12; 13.0)	0.001
Delta heart rate (beat per minute)	-4.63 (-6.40; -1.55)	2.73 (1.95; 5.68)	0.0002

Data are median and (IQR25–IQR75) of differences of 5 days average values between before and after stimulation. Mann-Whiteney U test was used.

anti-inflammatory effects, activation of nitric oxide release in the heart and inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system are considered as additional mechanisms by which VNS is hypothesized to provide therapeutic effects. Suppression of central SNS outflow by activation of vagal afferent fibers leads to decreased systemic sympathetic activity, linking in decreased HR, vascular resistance, and arterial BP. In the several studies, the effect of VNS was demonstrated to decrease systemic inflammation through activation of cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathways, reducing cytokine synthesis and inflammatory responses (Tracey, 2007; Zhang et al., 2009). These mechanisms may also contribute to vascular- and cardio-protection in the long term, suggesting potential non-pharmacological HF treatments.

On the other hand, in young healthy males, a significant increase in BP and a decreasing trend in HR were observed after tVNS to the right tragus (Sinkovec et al., 2021). The evoked sympathomimetic response was indicated by a significant increase in peripheral resistance parameters and BP, and was likely a secondary baroreceptor-mediated reflex response by tVNS. The age, stimulus side and disease background differed between studies and may have been associated with contradictory findings compared to those in our study. From these perspectives, tVNS may be suitable in conditions characterized by increased SNS activity, such as AHF in our study population.

Sham stimulation and acute hemodynamic response

Contrary to our hypothesis, in this study, sham stimulation significantly increased CASP, brachial SBP, DBP, and HR compared to before stimulation. Significant differences were observed with sham stimulation compared to active stimulation in all changes in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR. An explanation for the increases in CASP, brachial SBP, DBP and HR may suggest that an increase in SNS responses to sham stimulation cannot be ruled out in AHF patients.

The earlobe is considered a reliable site for sham stimulation because of its innervation without vagus nerve fibers (Kraus et al., 2007; Frangos et al., 2015; Badran et al., 2018). Therefore, it is thought that earlobe stimulation has almost no effect derived from the parasympathetic nervous system. In fact, distinct vagus evoked potentials were seen only after stimulation inside the tragus, but not after stimulation of the earlobe (Fallgatter et al., 2003). Almost all controlled tVNS trials investigate the earlobe as a sham region, presumably the site that produces the biological activity of stimuli in terms of physiological responses. Indeed, in healthy subjects, changes in blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal evoked by earlobe transcutaneous stimulation was documented through functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) imaging studies (Butt et al., 2019). While BOLD signal activation of the NTS was not observed under the left earlobe stimulation as sham conditions (Frangos et al., 2015), left earlobe stimulation produced activation in the right insular cortex (Ic) in fMRI study.

Earlier studies supported the concept that the cardiovascular system is regulated by a central autonomic network (CAN) including anterior cingulate gyrus, amygdala and Ic (Nagai et al., 2010, 2017). Auricular tVNS activates not only the NTS but also a wide range of central nervous systems, including the CAN (Farmer et al., 2021; Hilz, 2022). Noninvasive tVNS attenuates proinflammatory cytokines and enhances antioxidant levels in brainstem and forebrain regions of Dahl salt-sensitive rats (Subramanian et al., 2020).

In patients with drug-refractory epilepsy, the right hemispheric inactivation induced an increase of high frequency of HR and BP, and the left hemispheric inactivation induced an increase low frequency of HR and BP (Hilz et al., 2001). In addition, within the Ic, a site of cardiac representation was identified (Oppenheimer et al., 1992). Tachycardia or pressor effect was observed on the stimulation of the right Ic, while bradycardia or depressor

effect was significantly more common after stimulation of the left Ic (Oppenheimer et al., 1992). These results suggest that right hemisphere including the right Ic is associated with SNS activity.

In this study, sham stimulation increased both BP and HR in AHF patients, suggesting that stimulation of the left earlobe might have increased SNS activity *via* the right Ic. Further investigation is required to determine whether the hyperactivity of SNS is a physiological response due to left earlobe stimulation.

Limitation

In this study, 6 participants dropped out of the study. It has been suggested that the presence of dropout may have influenced the study results. However, there were no significant differences in any factor when comparing the baseline characteristics of the dropout and analysis groups. Therefore, the impact of the dropout group in this study is likely to be limited. The ASCOT CAFÉ study showed that the degree of reduction in central BP by 4.3 mmHg affected prognosis in patients at high risk for cardiovascular disease (Williams et al., 2006). In the present study, active tVNS was associated with a decrease in CASP of 11.5 mmHg. Although this effect suggests a prognostic benefit, the patient demographics, baseline characteristics, study design and treatments were fundamentally different from those in the ASCOT CAFÉ study (Williams et al., 2006), limiting simple comparisons. To date, no studies have investigated the relationship between tVNS and CASP, and whether the central BP lowering effect of tVNS improves the prognosis of HF needs to be verified in future largescale clinical trials. In this study, almost patients well responded to the initial AHF treatment, and tVNS was added to conventional treatment. Indeed, the elderly HF patients were enrolled in this study and guideline-based pharmacological treatment titrations (McDonagh et al., 2021) were not followed in the all of the patients. Therefore, tVNS may be a useful therapeutic method with few side effects even for the elderly HF patients for whom titration of guideline-recommended pharmacotherapy (McDonagh et al., 2021) is difficult and for patients in whom pharmacotherapy fails to improve the clinical outcome of AHF. At this time, these hypotheses could not be tested in this study.

Conclusion

In this study, the left tragus tVNS resulted in an acute afterload reduction, while the left earlobe stimulation resulted in an acute afterload increase in AHF patients. Non-invasive LLTS is useful and safe for reducing afterload in AHF. Further studies will be needed to elucidate the pathophysiology underlying the relationship between tVNS and afterload reduction in relation to neurocardiology, specifically the brain-heart axis in AHF.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committees of the Hiroshima City Asa Hospital (02-6-25). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MN, SS, and CF contributed to the conception of this study. MN, KD, MK, and NO participated in the patient enrollment, analysis, and interpretation of this study. MN, KD, and CF assisted and supervised the overall production of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by DFG grant Fo 315/5-1 to CF and grant by Foundation Forschung Hilft to CF.

References

Annoni, E. M., and Tolkacheva, E. G. (2018). Acute cardiovascular and hemodynamic effects of vagus nerve stimulation in conscious hypertensive rats. *Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.* 2018, 3685–3688. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2018. 8513025

Antonino, D., Teixeira, A. L., Maia-Lopes, P. M., Souza, M. C., Sabino-Carvalho, J. L., Murray, A. R., et al. (2017). Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation acutely improves spontaneous cardiac baroreflex sensitivity in healthy young men: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Brain Stimul.* 10, 875–881. doi: 10.1016/j.brs. 2017.05.006

A'roch, R., Gustafsson, U., Johansson, G., Poelaert, J., and Haney, M. (2012). Left ventricular strain and peak systolic velocity: responses to controlled changes in load and contractility, explored in a porcine model. *Cardiovasc. Ultrasound.* 10:22. doi: 10.1186/1476-7120-10-22

Badran, B. W., Mithoefer, O. J., Summer, C. E., LaBate, N. T., Glusman, C. E., Badran, A. W., et al. (2018). Short trains of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) have parameter specific effects on heart rate. *Brain Stimul.* 11, 699–708. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2018.04.004

Burns, A. T., La Gerche, A., D'Hooge, J., MacIsaac, A. I., and Prior, D. L. (2010). Left ventricular strain and strain rate: characterization of the effect of load in human subjects. *Eur. J. Echocardiogr.* 11, 283–289. doi: 10.1093/ejechocard/jep214

Butt, M. F., Albusoda, A., Farmer, A. D., and Aziz, Q. (2019). The anatomical basis for transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation. *J. Anat.* 236, 588–611. doi: 10.1111/joa.13122

Chen, C. H., Nevo, E., Fetics, B., Pak, P. H., Yin, F. C. P., Maughan, W. L., et al. (1997). Estimation of central aortic pressure waveform by mathematical transformation of radial tonometry pressure: validation of generalized transfer function. *Circulation* 95, 1827–1836. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.95.7.1827

Cheng, H. M., Park, S., Huang, Q., Hoshide, S., Wang, J. G., Kario, K., et al. (2017). Characteristics on the management of hypertension in asiamorning hypertension discussion group (COME Asia MHDG). Vascular aging and hypertension: implications for the clinical application of central blood pressure. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 230, 209–213. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.12.170

Clancy, J. A., Mary, D. A., Witte, K. K., Greenwood, J. P., Deuchars, S. A., and Deuchars, J. (2014). Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation in healthy humans reduces sympathetic nerve activity. *Brain Stimul.* 7, 871–877. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2014.07.031

Clarke, B. M., Upton, A. R., Griffin, H., Fitzpatrick, D., and DeNardis, M. (1997). Chronic stimulation of the left vagus nerve in epilepsy: balance effects. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* 24, 230–234. doi: 10.1017/S0317167100021855

Acknowledgments

We thank Miyuki Masuhara at the Department of Clinical Laboratory, Hiroshima City Asa Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan for technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Deuchars, S. A., Lall, V. K., Clancy, J., Mahadi, M., Murray, A., Peers, L., et al. (2018). Mechanisms underpinning sympathetic nervous activity and its modulation using transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *Exp. Physiol.* 103, 326–331. doi: 10. 1113/EP086433

Donal, E., Bergerot, C., Thibault, H., Ernande, L., Loufoua, J., Augeul, L., et al. (2009). Influence of afterload on left ventricular radial and longitudinal systolic functions: a two dimensional strain imaging study. *Eur. J. Echocardiogr.* 10, 914–921. doi: 10.1093/ejechocard/jep095

du Cailar, G., Pasquie, J. L., Ribstein, J., and Mimran, A. (2000). Left ventricular adaptation to hypertension and plasma renin activity. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* 14, 181–188. doi: 10.1038/sj.jhh.1000974

Elkholey, K., Niewiadomska, M., Morris, L., Whyte, S., Houser, J., Humphrey, M. B., et al. (2022). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation ameliorates the phenotype of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction through its anti-inflammatory effects. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 15:e009288. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.122.009288

Fallgatter, A. J., Neuhauser, B., Herrmann, M. J., Ehlis, A. C., Wagener, A., Scheuerpflug, P., et al. (2003). Far field potentials from the brain stem after transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *J. Neural Transm.* 110, 1437–1443. doi: 10.1007/s00702-003-0087-6

Farmer, A. D., Strzelczyk, A., Finisguerra, A., Gourine, A. V., Gharabaghi, A., Hasan, A., et al. (2021). International consensus based review and recommendations for minimum reporting standards in research on transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (Version 2020). *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 14:568051. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2020.568051

Floras, J. S. (2009). Sympathetic nervous system activation in human heart failure: clinical implications of an updated model. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 54, 375–385. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.03.061

Frangos, E., Ellrich, J., and Komisaruk, B. R. (2015). Non-invasive access to the vagus nerve central projections via electrical stimulation of the external ear: fMRI evidence in humans. *Brain Stimul.* 8, 624–636. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2014.11.018

Hilz, M. J. (2022). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation - a brief introduction and overview. *Auton. Neurosci.* 243:103038. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2022.103038

Hilz, M. J., Dutsch, M., Perrine, K., Nelson, P. K., Rauhut, U., and Devinsky, O. (2001). Hemisphreic influence on autonomic modulation and baroreflex sensitivity. *Ann. Neurol.* 49, 575–584. doi: 10.1002/ana.1006

Ji, N., Lin, W. H., Chen, F., Xu, L., Huang, J., and Li, G. (2020). Blood pressure modulation with low-intensity focused ultrasound stimulation to the vagus nerve: a pilot animal study. *Front. Neurosci.* 14:586424. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.586424

Kario, K. (2010). Proposal of RAS-diuretic vs. RAS-calcium antagonist strategies in high-risk hypertension: insight from the 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure profile and central pressure. J. Am. Soc. Hypertens. 4, 215–218. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2010.06.005

Kenchaiah, S., and Pfeffer, M. A. (2004). Cardiac remodeling in systemic hypertension. *Med. Clin. North Am.* 88, 115–130. doi: 10.1016/S0025-7125(03)0 0168-8

Kraus, T., Hosl, K., Kiess, O., Schanze, A., Kornhuber, J., and Forster, C. (2007). BOLD fMRI deactivation of limbic and temporal brain structures and mood enhancing effect by transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *J. Neural Transm.* 114, 1485–1493. doi: 10.1007/s00702-007-0755-z

Lazzeroni, D., Rimoldi, O., and Camici, P. G. (2016). From left ventricular hypertrophy to dysfunction and failure. *Circ. J.* 80, 555–564. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-16-0062

Lehtimaki, J., Hyvarinen, P., Ylikoski, M., Bergholm, M., Mäkelä, J. P., Aarnisalo, A., et al. (2013). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation in tinnitus: a pilot study. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 133, 378–382. doi: 10.3109/00016489.2012.750736

Levy, D., Larson, M. G., Vasan, R. S., Kannel, W. B., and Ho, K. K. (1996). The progression from hypertension to congestive heart failure. *JAMA* 275, 1557–1562. doi: 10.1001/jama.1996.03530440037034

Lim, S. H. (1998). "Use of acupunture in the treatment of epilepsy (abstract)," in Second Congress of Asian Oceanian Epilepsy Organization, Taipei, 142.

Lopez, B., Gonzalez, A., Querejeta, R., Larman, M., and Diez, J. (2006). Alterations in the pattern of collagen deposition may contribute to the deterioration of systolic function in hypertensive patients with heart failure. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 48, 89–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.01.077

McDonagh, T. A., Metra, M., Adamo, M., Gardner, R. S., Baumbach, A., Böhm, M., et al. (2021). ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 42, 3599–3726. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368

Mebazaa, A., Yilmaz, M. B., Levy, P., Ponikowski, P., Peacock, W. F., Laribi, S., et al. (2015). Recommendations on pre-hospital and early hospital management of acute heart failure: a consensus paper from the heart failure association of the European society of cardiology, the European society of emergency medicine and the society of academic emergency medicine. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 17, 544–558. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.289

Millar, P. J., Notarius, C. F., Haruki, N., and Floras, J. S. (2019). Heart failure-specific relationship between muscle sympathetic nerve activity and aortic wave reflection. *J. Card Fail.* 25, 404–408. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2019.03.005

Nagai, M., Dote, K., Kato, M., Sasaki, S., Oda, N., and Förster C.Y. (2022). Case report: SGLT2i, transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation, and their effects on intrarenal venous flow pattern in HFpEF. *Front. Neurosci.* 16:999831. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022. 999831

Nagai, M., Dote, K., Kato, M., Sasaki, S., Oda, N., Kagawa, E., et al. (2017). The insular cortex and takotsubo cardiomyopathy. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 23, 879–888. doi: 10.2174/1381612822666161006123530

Nagai, M., Hoshide, S., and Kario, K. (2010). The insular cortex and cardiovascular system: a new insight into the brain-heart axis. J. Am. Soc. Hypertens. 4, 174–182. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2010.05.001

Oh, G. C., and Cho, H. J. (2020). Blood pressure and heart failure. *Clin. Hypertens.* 26:1. doi: 10.1186/s40885-019-0132-x

Olshansky, B., Sabbah, H. N., Hauptman, P. J., and Colucci, W. S. (2008). Parasympathetic nervous system and heart failure: pathophysiology and potential implications for therapy. *Circulation* 118, 863–871. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.107.760405

Oppenheimer, S. M., Gelb, A., Girvin, J. P., and Hachinski, V. C. (1992). Cardiovascular effects of human insular cortex stimulation. *Neurology* 42, 1727–1732. doi: 10.1212/WNL.42.9.1727

Ott, C., Haetinger, S., Schneider, M. P., Pauschinger, M., and Schmieder, R. E. (2012). Comparison of two noninvasive devices for measurement of central systolic blood pressure with invasive measurement during cardiac catheterization. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* 14, 575–579. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7176.2012.00682.x

Plachta, D. T., Gierthmuehlen, M., Cota, O., Espinosa, N., Boeser, F., Herrera, T. C., et al. (2014). Blood pressure control with selective vagal nerve stimulation and minimal side effects. *J. Neural Eng.* 11:036011. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/11/3/036011

Plachta, D. T., Zentner, J., Aguirre, D., Cota, O., Stieglitz, T., and Gierthmuehlen, M. (2016). Effect of cardiac-cycle-synchronized selective vagal stimulation on heart rate and blood pressure in rats. *Adv. Ther.* 33, 1246–1261. doi: 10.1007/s12325-016-0348-z

Ponikowski, P., Voors, A. A., Anker, S. D., Bueno, H., Cleland, J. G. F., Coats, A. J. S., et al. (2016). 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European society of cardiology (ESC): developed with the special contribution of the heart failure association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur. Heart J.* 37, 2129–2200. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128

Sharman, J. E., Lim, R., Qasem, A. M., Coombes, J. S., Burgess, M. I., Franco, J., et al. (2006). Validation of a generalized transfer function to noninvasively derive central blood pressure during exercise. *Hypertension* 47, 1203–1208. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP. 0000223013.60612.72

Shen, E. Y. (1998). "The concept and mechanisms of acupuncture in seizure control (abstract)," in 2nd Congress of Asian Oceanian Epilepsy Organization, Taipei, 142.

Sinkovec, M., Trobec, R., and Meglic, B. (2021). Cardiovascular responses to low-level transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *Auton. Neurosci.* 236:102851. doi: 10. 1016/j.autneu.2021.102851

Stavrakis, S., Elkholey, K., Morris, L., Niewiadomska, M., Asad, Z. U. A., and Humphrey, M. B. (2022). Neuromodulation of inflammation to treat heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a pilot randomized clinical trial. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 11:e023582. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.023582

Stavrakis, S., Humphrey, M. B., Scherlag, B. J., Hu, Y., Jackman, W. M., Nakagawa, H., et al. (2015). Low-level transcutaneous electrical vagus nerve stimulation suppresses atrial fibrillation. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 65, 867–875. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014. 12.026

Subramanian, M., Edwards, L., Melton, A., Branen, L., Herron, A., Sivasubramanian, M. K., et al. (2020). Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation attenuates proinflammatory cytokines and augments antioxidant levels in the brainstem and forebrain regions of Dahl salt sensitive rats. *Sci. Rep.* 10:17576. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-7 4257-9

Tracey, K. J. (2007). Physiology and immunology of the cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway. J. Clin. Investig. 117, 289–296. doi: 10.1172/JCI30555

Tran, N., Asad, Z., Elkholey, K., Scherlag, B. J., Po, S. S., and Stavrakis, S. (2019). Autonomic neuromodulation acutely ameliorates left ventricular strain in humans. J. Cardiovasc. Transl. Res. 12, 221–230. doi: 10.1007/s12265-018-9853-6

Ventureyra, E. C. G. (2000). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation for partial onset seizure therapy. a new concept. *Child's Nerv. Syst.* 16, 101–102. doi: 10.1007/s003810050021

Wang, Z., Yu, L., Huang, B., Wang, S., Liao, K., Saren, G., et al. (2015). Low-level transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the auricular branch of vagus nerve ameliorates left ventricular remodeling and dysfunction by downregulation of matrix metalloproteinase 9 and transforming growth factor β 1. *J. Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 65, 342–348. doi: 10.1097/FJC.000000000000201

Wang, Z., Yu, L., Wang, S., Huang, B., Liao, K., Saren, G., et al. (2014). Chronic intermittent low-level transcutaneous electrical stimulation of auricular branch of vagus nerve improves left ventricular remodeling in conscious dogs with healed myocardial infarction. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 7, 1014–1021. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001564

Williams, B., Cockcroft, J. R., Kario, K., Zappe, D. H., Brunel, P. C., Wang, Q., et al. (2017). Effects of Sacubitril/valsartan versus Olmesartan on central hemodynamics in the elderly with systolic hypertension: the PARAMETER Study. *Hypertension* 69, 411–420. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08556

Williams, B., Lacy, P. S., Thom, S. M., Cruickshank, K., Stanton, A., Collier, D., et al. (2006). Differential impact of blood pressure-lowering drugs on central aortic pressure and clinical outcomes: principal results of the conduit artery function evaluation (CAFE) study. *Circulation* 113, 1213–1225. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105. 595496

Yu, L., Huang, B., Po, S. S., Tan, T., Wang, M., Zhou, L., et al. (2017). Low-level tragus stimulation for the treatment of ischemia and reperfusion injury in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a proof-of-concept study. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 10, 1511–1520. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2017.04.036

Yu, L., Scherlag, B. J., Li, S., Sheng, X., Lu, Z., Nakagawa, H., et al. (2011). Low-level vagosympathetic nerve stimulation inhibits atrial fibrillation inducibility: direct evidence by neural recordings from intrinsic cardiac ganglia. *J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol.* 22, 455–463. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.2010.0 1908.x

Yu, L., Wang, S., Zhou, X., Wang, Z., Huang, B., Liao, K., et al. (2016). Chronic intermittent low-level stimulation of tragus reduces cardiac autonomic remodeling and ventricular arrhythmia inducibility in a post-infarction canine model. *JACC Clin. Electrophysiol.* 2, 330–339. doi: 10.1016/j.jacep.2015. 11.006

Zhang, Y., Popovic, Z. B., Bibevski, S., Fakhry, I., Sica, D. A., Van Wagoner, D. R., et al. (2009). Chronic vagus nerve stimulation improves autonomic control and attenuates systemic inflammation and heart failure progression in a canine high-rate pacing model. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 2, 692–699. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.109. 873968

Zhou, L., Filiberti, A., Humphrey, M. B., Fleming, C. D., Scherlag, B. J., Po, S. S., et al. (2019). Low-level transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation attenuates cardiac remodelling in a rat model of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Exp. Physiol.* 104, 28–38. doi: 10.1113/EP087351