Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonella Macerollo, University College London, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Arun Singh, University of South Dakota, United States Nelleke Van Wouwe, University of Louisville, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Veronika Filyushkina filyushkina.veronika@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Brain Imaging and Stimulation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience

RECEIVED 24 June 2022 ACCEPTED 21 September 2022 PUBLISHED 07 October 2022

CITATION

Filyushkina V, Belova E, Usova S, Tomskiy A and Sedov A (2022) Attenuation of neural responses in subthalamic nucleus during internally guided voluntary movements in Parkinson's disease. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 16:977784. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.977784

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Filyushkina, Belova, Usova, Tomskiy and Sedov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Attenuation of neural responses in subthalamic nucleus during internally guided voluntary movements in Parkinson's disease

Veronika Filyushkina¹*, Elena Belova¹, Svetlana Usova¹, Alexey Tomskiy² and Alexey Sedov¹

¹Laboratory of Human Cell Neurophysiology, N.N. Semenov Federal Research Center for Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, ²Department of Functional Neurosurgery, Burdenko National Scientific and Practical Center for Neurosurgery, Moscow, Russia

The proposed models of segregated functional loops describe the organization of motor control over externally triggered (ET) and internally quided (IG) movements. The dopamine deficiency in Parkinson's disease (PD) is considered to cause a disturbance in the functional loop regulating IG movements. At the same time, the neural mechanisms of movement performance and the role of basal ganglia in motor control remain unclear. The aim of this study was to compare neuronal responses in the subthalamic nucleus (STN) during ET and IG movements in PD. We found and analyzed 26 sensitive neurons in 12 PD patients who underwent surgery for implantation of electrodes for deep brain stimulation. We also analyzed the local field potentials (LFP) of the STN of six patients during the postoperative period. Patients were asked to perform voluntary movements (clenching and unclenching the fist) evoked by verbal command (ET) or self-initiated (IG). We showed heterogeneity of neuronal responses and did not find sensitive neurons associated with only one type of movement. Most cells were characterized by leading responses, indicating that the STN has an important role in movement initiation. At the same time, we found attenuation of motor responses during IG movement vs. stable responses during ET movements. LFP analysis also showed attenuation of beta desynchronization during multiple IG movements. We propose that stable neuronal response to ET movements is associated with the reboot of the motor program for each movement, while attenuation of responses to IG movement is associated with single motor program launching for multiple movements.

KEYWORDS

subthalamic nucleus, motor control, externally triggered movements, internally guided movements, single unit activity, local field potentials, Parkinson's disease

10.3389/fnhum.2022.977784

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder caused by the death of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. The lack of dopamine leads to malfunctioning of neural loops inside the basal ganglia (BG) network and, consequently, to excessive inhibition of the motor thalamus, projecting directly to the motor cortex (Alexander et al., 1986). The increased inhibition leads to hypokinetic signs in patients with PD (Jahanshahi et al., 1995; Buhmann et al., 2003; Nambu, 2008).

According to motor control models, self-initiated (internally guided, IG) movements are controlled predominantly by the basal ganglia-thalamus-motor cortex (BGTM) loop, while externally triggered (ET) movements are promoted mainly by a cerebellar-cortical (CC) loop (Cunnington et al., 2002; Cerasa et al., 2006; Taniwaki et al., 2006, 2013; Purzner et al., 2007; Hackney et al., 2015).

The BGTM loop encompasses motor areas of the cortex such as the supplementary motor area (SMA), ventral premotor cortex (PMv), and sensorimotor cortex (SMC) along with several subcortical structures—the putamen (Put), the globus pallidus (GP), and the ventrolateral nucleus of the thalamus (VL; DeLong, 1990; Hasegawa et al., 2022). The cerebellarcortical loop consists of the motor parts of the cortex (SMC or PMv) receiving projections from the anterior lobe of the cerebellum (CB) through the dentate nucleus of the cerebellum (DN) and the ventral posterior lateral (VPL) nucleus of the thalamus, while the cerebellum receives inputs from the motor cortex through the pontine nucleus (Kelly and Strick, 2003).

Dopamine deficiency in PD causes an impairment of the BGTM functional loop, which regulates predominantly internally guided movements, whereas emerging external signals may facilitate motor execution (Martin, 1967). The possible explanation for this is that these functional circuits are segregated and an unaffected cerebellar-cortical loop may be mainly involved in the implementation of externally triggered movements as opposed to internally guided ones (Taniwaki et al., 2006, 2013; Hackney et al., 2015). Another hypothesis based on functional MRI studies proposed that in normal conditions ET and IG movements are primarily processed through CC BGTM circuits, respectively, with the recruitment second pathway. In PD ET tasks are primarily encoded in the CC circuitry including the BGTM circuitry. However, IG movements in PD neither adequately activate the BGTM pathway, nor cause adequate recruitment of the cerebellar-cortical pathway. As a result, both pathways display decreased activity (Lewis et al., 2007).

Most of the studies dealing with ET and IG movements focus on the research of anatomically and functionally segregated brain pathways contributing to motor control for these types of movements (Jahanshahi et al., 1995; Cunnington et al., 2002; François-Brosseau et al., 2009; Filyushkina et al., 2019). Sparse electrophysiological studies on this topic showed that the BGTM is involved in the preparation of both self-paced and externally cued movements while the CTC pathway is involved in the preparation of self-paced but not externally cued movements (Purzner et al., 2007). Only externally-cued movements induced a pro-kinetic event-related beta-desynchronization, whereas beta-oscillations were continuously suppressed during self-paced movements (Bichsel et al., 2018).

The subthalamic nucleus (STN), being an important part of the basal ganglia network, projects to the external and internal pallidum, the modulatory and output nuclei of the BG, respectively, and plays an indispensable role in controlling voluntary movements (Purzner et al., 2007; Bichsel et al., 2018; Hasegawa et al., 2022).

However, the precise mechanisms for movement control within the STN remain unclear as well as the neural underpinnings for IG and ET movements and the pathophysiological mechanisms that disrupt motor function in PD. Here we aim to study the neuronal mechanisms of motor control in STN at the level of single unit activity and local field potentials in patients with PD performing the same ET and IG movements.

Method

Data collection

The study of the activity of STN neurons responding to motor tests included 12 patients (six females and six males, average age 53.1 \pm 8.4, mean disease duration was 9.9 \pm 1.9 years; **Table 1**). Local field potentials were studied during the postoperative period in six patients (five females, one male, average age 53.8 \pm 8.2, mean disease duration was 13.7 \pm 4.5 years), who underwent lead externalization (**Table 1**). The disease severity was estimated using the unified PD rating scale (UPDRS)-III, without levodopa administration (OFFstate). For patients in the intraoperative group, the UPDRS-III scores were assessed before the operation and ranged from 18 to 78 points, for the postoperative group, the UPDRS-III scores were assessed just before the start of recordings and ranged from 26 to 57 points. All patients were withdrawn from medications at least 12 h before the start of the recordings.

The surgical procedure was consistent with the clinical standard of care. The data on the discharge activity of the STN neurons were obtained by microelectrode recording during planned stereotactic surgeries at the Burdenko Center of Neurosurgery. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to their inclusion in the study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Burdenko National Medical Research Center of Neurosurgery. Microelectrode recording was used to identify the STN boundaries and select the optimal trajectory for deep brain stimulation (DBS) electrodes. The

	Patient	Age (years)	Hoehn and Yahr	Disease duration (years)	UPDRS III OFF	PD subtype
Intraoperative recording	1(F)	51	3	11	62	mixed
	2 (F)	64	3	8	52	mixed
	3 (M)	44	4	7	78	mixed
	4 (M)	37	2, 5	10	36	mixed
	5 (F)	57	3	11	43	akin-rigid
	6 (F)	60	2, 5	9	28	akin-rigid
	7 (M)	49	3	9	39	akin-rigid
	8 (M)	50	3	12	46	mixed
	9 (M)	65	3	13	18	mixed
	10 (F)	46	3	8	49	akin-rigid
	11 (M)	58	3	12	51	akin-rigid
	12 (F)	56	3	9	51	mixed
Postoperative recording	1 (F)	44	3	8	41	mixed
	2 (F)	47	2, 5	19	n/a	akin-rigid
	3 (M)	62	3	14	26	mixed
	4 (F)	62	3	14	57	akin-rigid
	5 (F)	56	3	9	35	mixed
	6 (F)	46	3	18	49	mixed

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of Parkinson's disease patients.

F, Female; M, Male; UPDRS III, unified Parkinson's disease rating scale Part III, clinician-scored monitored motor evaluation; OFF, off levodopa medication.

activity of neurons was recorded using a navigational NeuroNav system for inserting a microelectrode (Alpha Omega, Israel¹), which was fixed to a stereotactic Leksell coordinate frame G (Elekta, Sweden) firmly affixed to the patient's head. The calculated target point coordinates were: 3.5–4.5 mm below and 11.5–13 mm lateral to the CA-CP line, 1.5–2.5 mm posterior to the CA-CP midline. Neuronal activity was extracellularly recorded in the two brain hemispheres, starting at a distance of 15 mm to the calculated target point. The middle of the second contact of the DBS electrodes was placed in the middle of the STN, after refining its exact borders along the trajectory based on microelectrode recording (MER) data.

Along with MER, EMG signals for the flexor and extensor muscles of the hand were registered during the surgery with a sampling rate 24 kHz. In the postoperative period (next day after surgery), a 16-channel recording of local field potentials from implanted DBS lead (St. Jude, USA) inside the STN along with EMG signals for the flexor and extensor muscles of the hand were recorded using the EEG system NeuronSpectrum65 (Neurosoft, Russia) with sampling rate 2 kHz. DBS leads were characterized by the length of one contact 1.5 mm, longitudinal spacing 0.5 mm, and spatial configuration 1-3-3-1 (Model 6178). Only the upper and central bipolar signals were included in the study since they were presumably located in the motor region of the STN (Jahanshahi et al., 2015).

During the registration of neural activity, patients were asked to perform movements driven by verbal commands of a researcher (external stimuli) as follows: "clench/unclench your right/left hand". The duration of holding the fist was about 3–4 s, the number of repetitions varied from 5 to 10 times (6 \pm 1.6) on each MER side. Also, patients were asked to clench/unclench their right/left fist without particular verbal commands at their

own pace, the number of repetitions varied from 5 to 10 times (9 ± 3.3) on each MER side. During postoperative multi channel local field potentials (LFP) recordings, we asked patients to perform the same motor tests 10 times.

Data analysis

At the preprocessing stage, neurograms were filtered (100-3,000 Hz) from interference and artifacts, and the activity of single neurons was sorted by the shape and amplitude of spikes, using the principal component analysis method in the Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design, United Kingdom). EMG signals were bandpass-filtered (16-300 Hz) and rectified. The analysis of neural responses was performed by plotting the peristimulus rasters and the peristimulus spike histograms simultaneously with perievent histograms of rectified EMG signals using NeuroExplorer software (Nex, United States). Movement onset was determined manually using EMG traces. When choosing the epoch of analysis, we were guided by the duration of the IG movements (approx 0.5 s), which was shorter than ET and also included the premovement period (0.5 s). For each responding neuron, the amplitude of reactions, the duration of reactions, and the latency period were evaluated.

The analysis of local field potentials included pre-filtering (0.5–300 Hz) of recorded signals and removing artifacts. To assess changes in beta activity, the calculation of band (10–30 Hz) energy vs. time was performed in NeuroExplorer software. The responses were analyzed by plotting peristimulus spectrograms, peristimulus histograms of the beta band energy, and rectified EMG signals. The amplitude and duration of desynchronization of beta activity during ET and IG movements were evaluated.

Statistical analysis was performed using a nonparametric paired Wilcoxon test.

¹ www.alphaomega-eng.com



Results

Overall, we have found 26 neurons that were sensitive to assigned motor tests in twelve studied patients. We have categorized neuronal activity into two groups according to the types of responses: that is, activation (76.9%) and inhibition (23.1%). In 90% of cases, an activation preceded the movement by 0.1–0.3 s, and the magnitude of responses ranged from 16 to 109 spikes per second. Fifty-three and eight-tenths percent of responses were tonic-they lasted throughout the entire motor act from clenching to unclenching a fist, while 46.2% appeared to be phasic-there were brief responses related to certain movement phases lasting for 0.1-0.5 s. In 15.4% of cases, the STN neurons responded after the onset of the movement with a lag of 0.05-0.2 s and a response amplitude about 22-38 spikes per second. Sixty-six and seven-tenths percent of inhibitory responses in the STN neurons were leading, occurring 0.2-0.3 s before the movement onset with the response amplitude about 10-31 spikes per second. Thirty-three and three-tenth percent of inhibitory responses in the STN neurons were lagging, with time latency 0.1-0.2 s from movement onset and response amplitude ranging from 40 to 60 spikes per second. Eightythree and three-tenth percent of inhibitory neurons responded tonically and 16.7% responded in a phasic manner. All phasic responses preceded the movement. All responsive neurons

that were studied here responded both to externally triggered and internally guided motor tests. In contrast to the more prominent and stable reactions during ET movements, the amplitude of responses to IG tests was reduced and in some cases attenuated gradually throughout the trial (Me Δ fr ET = 33, Me Δ fr IG = 26, *p* = 0.003 - Wilcoxon signed-rank test, see **Figure 1**).

Spectral analysis of populational neural activity (LFP) in the postoperative period showed a time-stable desynchronization beta activity during ET movements [i.e., the event-related desynchronization (ERD)] lasting 0.5-1 s which preceded the start of the movement by 0.1-0.4 s. There was also an event-related synchronization of the beta [i.e., the event-related synchronization (ERS)] after the fist unclenching lasting for 1-1.2 s (Figure 2).

When performing self-initiated movements, we observed the beta ERD preceding the initiation of the movement by 0.3–0.1 s and lasting for 0.4–1 s following beta ERS emerging after movement termination. This ERD response had decreased in amplitude in comparison with ET movements (Me $\Delta\beta$ ET = 0.42, Me $\Delta\beta$ IG = 0.22, p = 0.006 - Wilcoxon signed-rank test, see **Figure 2**). We found that in some cases ERD response to IG movement gradually faded after the first two-three trials of clenching the fist in contrast to stable ERD response to ET movement (**Figure 3**).



In some cases, we also observed tonic desynchronization of beta activity during IG movements, which started to recover gradually up to the level of background activity in the course of the movement. For some bipolars, prominent beta ERS was observed after the end of the block of motor tests for both ET and IG movements.

Discussion

STN movement-related activity

According to the BG model, STN, being an integral part of the indirect and hyper-indirect pathway, inhibits unwanted movements (Alexander et al., 1986; Hasegawa et al., 2022). Recent studies of the single unit activity in monkeys have suggested that the STN may stabilize movements by reducing the variability of neural activity in the globus pallidus (Hasegawa et al., 2022). In a few studies of the STN single units in patients with PD, the authors have found neurons responding to movements of the hands, feet, and face in the dorsolateral part of the nucleus (Rodriguez-Oroz et al., 2001; Abosch et al., 2002).

Here we described the dynamics of neural responses in the STN of patients performing motor tests. We revealed the

polymodality of these reactions, which differed both in trend (activation or inhibition) and in response latency (lead or lag). These findings indicate a more complex functional role of the STN than the simple inhibition of movements predicted by the classical basal ganglia model (Alexander et al., 1986). The presence of advanced phasic neural responses suggests that the STNs may be involved in the formation of the motor programs and the initiation of voluntary movements or could be involved in leading cognitive aspects of motor control (Zavala et al., 2015; Aron et al., 2016). At the same time, tonic delayed responses indicate the contribution of the STN also to afferent motor control. These neural responses can be interpreted using a dynamic model of the basal ganglia, which predicts temporal and functional differences in the cortico-subthalamic and striopallido-subthalamic pathways (Nambu et al., 2002; Hasegawa et al., 2022). Moreover, recent animal studies have reported that initiation of movements may be performed primarily through parafascicular nucleus projections to STN, which were named by the authors as the super direct pathway (Watson et al., 2021).

Analysis of the LFP in the STN also revealed different response dynamics during movement, i.e., advanced beta desynchronization followed by hypersynchronization throughout the motor execution. These results are in agreement with the previous data and indicate the important role of



beta desynchronization in starting the motor program (Kühn et al., 2006; Little and Brown, 2014; Sharott et al., 2018). The variety of neural responses shown here and the dynamics of beta synchronization-desynchronization suppose an important functional role of the STN both in initiating the motor program through the cortico-subthalamic or thalamo-subthalamic pathways, as well as in contributing to the execution of the motor program through other intracerebral pathways (Polyakova et al., 2020).

ET vs. IG movement responses

According to the existing model, ET and IG movements are performed largely through segregated BGTM and CC paths (Cunnington et al., 2002; Cerasa et al., 2006; Taniwaki et al., 2006, 2013; Purzner et al., 2007; Hackney et al., 2015). Taking into account this model as well as the classical model of the basal ganglia (Alexander et al., 1986), we expected to detect neurons in the STN that would selectively respond to externally triggered movements but not internally guided ones. Contrary to our predictions, we failed to identify such neurons, instead, we found that the responses of the STN neurons to self-initiated movements displayed a reduced amplitude and usually faded while motor tests were performed repeatedly.

Analysis of the populational neural activity inside the STN represented by LFP data revealed a stable ERD response with ET movements and a significant decrease in the amplitude of beta desynchronization with IG movements, as well as its gradual attenuation with repeated movements. The deficit of desynchronization in IG movement could be possibly explained by a smaller number of neurons involved in this type of movement, however, an analysis of the responses of single STN units disproved this assumption, as all examined units that responded to motor tests were sensitive to both types of movements.

An electrophysiological study by Bichsel et al. (2018) who also studied ET and IG movements from deep brain recordings in patients with PD, described neural responses in the STN of PD patients that were similar to the ERD responses. The authors attribute these differences in responses to the existence of functionally segregated cortico-basal ganglia networks controlling motor behavior in PD patients and thus corroborate the previous assumption of PD patients being

shifted from habitual toward goal-directed behavior (Bichsel et al., 2018). Authors showed tonic beta desynchronization during IG movement, but they did not study the temporal dynamics of such responses. In some patients, we found tonic beta desynchronization, which was attenuating during repeated movements. This observation should be proved in a larger sample of patients.

We assume that our results, on the one hand, indicate the participation of the basal ganglia in the selection of a relevant motor program and the initiation of both types of inspected movements. This may be possibly implemented through hyperdirect cortico-subthalamic projections or parafascicular nucleus (PF)-STN pathway associated with the regulation of attention and the selection of motor program (Nambu et al., 2002; Watson et al., 2021). On the other hand, these data emphasize the importance of an external stimulus that may assist the initiation of a motor program in patients with PD. Under the insufficient feedback in patients with PD, these external stimuli may contribute to the restart of the motor programs and, eventually, facilitate the execution of voluntary movements.

Significant beta desynchronization was found not only in the STN but also in the globus pallidus and motor cortex of PD patients when performing ET and IG movements (Choi et al., 2020). This is consistent with the thesis that changes in beta activity in the BGTM network supporting movements are a prerequisite for normal motor control (van Wijk et al., 2017). However, the observed magnitude of beta ERD in the pre-movement period in GPi and PM/M1 was significantly greater for IG movements compared to ET movements. The differences in the desynchronization level in the GP found previously and in the STN presented here may be attributed to additional striopallidar projections entering the inner segment of the globus pallidus via a "direct" pathway.

In summary, we showed a decrease in the amplitude of neural responses of STN to IG movements performed repeatedly, as well as a reduced and gradually attenuating beta desynchronization when performing self-initiated movements in patients with PD compared with stable neural responses and beta ERD when performing ET movements. A probable explanation for this phenomenon might be as follows. Presumably, each movement caused by an external signal may be viewed as a separate and complete motor program, which is restarted each time through verbal command and entailed beta desynchronization-synchronization. At the same time, in the case of self-initiated movements, the motor program is started once and under the impaired feedback afferentation observed in PD, the neural responses in the basal ganglia gradually fade. Our findings may contribute to a deeper understanding of the neural mechanisms causing the impairment of self-initiated movements in PD and indicate the value of an external stimulus for the implementation of voluntary motor behaviors in patients with PD.

Limitation

Our study has several limitations that should be mentioned. Intraoperative study of neuronal activity has a surgery time limitation and patient fatigue. This limits the number of motor tests presented to patients at each microelectrode recording site. A small number of patients does not allow correlation analysis between neuronal responses and clinical indicators of patients to prove that the observed results are associated with the disease. Due to ethical considerations, it is impossible to analyze neuronal responses in the basal ganglia in a group of healthy people. This limitation will be partly compensated by studying the motor responses in the basal ganglia in patients with Parkinson's disease in OFF- and ON- states and by comparison with data collected from cervical dystonia patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Burdenko National Scientific and Practical Center for Neurosurgery. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

AS and VF contributed to the conception and design of the study. AT, SU, and EB organized the database. VF, EB, and AS performed data preprocessing. VF performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. AS and EB edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The reported study was funded by Russian Science Foundation (RSF), project number 22-15-00344.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Abosch, A., Hutchison, W. D., Saint-Cyr, J. A., Dostrovsky, J. O., and Lozano, A. M. (2002). Movement-related neurons of the subthalamic nucleus in patients with Parkinson disease. *J. Neurosurg*. 97, 1167–1172. doi: 10.3171/jns.2002. 97.5.1167

Alexander, G. E., DeLong, M. R., and Strick, P. L. (1986). Parallel organization of functionally segregated circuits linking basal ganglia and cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 357–381. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041

Aron, A. R., Herz, D. M., Brown, P., Forstmann, B. U., and Zaghloul, K. (2016). Frontosubthalamic circuits for control of action and cognition. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 11489–11495. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2348-16.2016

Bichsel, O., Gassert, R., Stieglitz, L., Uhl, M., Baumann-Vogel, H., Waldvogel, D., et al. (2018). Functionally separated networks for self-paced and externally-cued motor execution in Parkinson's disease: evidence from deep brain recordings in humans. *Neuroimage* 177, 20–29. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.012

Buhmann, C., Glauche, V., Stürenburg, H. J., Oechsner, M., Weiller, C., and Büchel, C. (2003). Pharmacologically modulated fMRI—cortical responsiveness to levodopa in drug-naive hemiparkinsonian patients. *Brain* 126, 451–461. doi: 10.1093/brain/awg033

Cerasa, A., Hagberg, G. E., Peppe, A., Bianciardi, M., Gioia, M. C., Costa, A., et al. (2006). Functional changes in the activity of cerebellum and frontostriatal regions during externally and internally timed movement in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res. Bull.* 71, 259–269. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.09.014

Choi, J. W., Malekmohammadi, M., Sparks, H., Kashanian, A., Cross, K. A., Bordelon, Y., et al. (2020). Altered pallidocortical low-beta oscillations during self-initiated movements in Parkinson disease. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 14:54. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2020.00054

Cunnington, R., Windischberger, C., Deecke, L., and Moser, E. (2002). The preparation and execution of self-initiated and externally-triggered movement: a study of event-related fMRI. *Neuroimage* 15, 373–385. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001. 0976

DeLong, M. R. (1990). Primate models of movement disorders of basal ganglia origin. *Trends Neurosci.* 13, 281–285. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(90)90110-v

Filyushkina, V., Popov, V., Medvednik, R., Ushakov, V., Batalov, A., Tomskiy, A., et al. (2019). Hyperactivity of basal ganglia in patients with Parkinson's disease during internally guided voluntary movements. *Front. Neurol.* 10:847. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00847

François-Brosseau, F.-E., Martinu, K., Strafella, A. P., Petrides, M., Simard, F., and Monchi, O. (2009). Basal ganglia and frontal involvement in self-generated and externally-triggered finger movements in the dominant and non-dominant hand. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 29, 1277–1286. doi: 10.1097/Q18D.00000000003394

Hackney, M. E., Lee, H. L., Battisto, J., Crosson, B., and McGregor, K. M. (2015). Context-dependent neural activation: internally and externally guided rhythmic lower limb movement in individuals with and without neurodegenerative disease. *Front. Neurol.* 6:251. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2015.00251

Hasegawa, T., Chiken, S., Kobayashi, K., and Nambu, A. (2022). Subthalamic nucleus stabilizes movements by reducing neural spike variability in monkey basal ganglia. *Nat. Commun.* 13:2233. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29750-2

Jahanshahi, M., Jenkins, I. H., Brown, R. G., Marsden, C. D., Passingham, R. E., and Brooks, D. J. (1995). Self-initiated versus externally triggered movements. I. An investigation using measurement of regional cerebral blood flow with PET and movement-related potentials in normal and Parkinson's disease subjects. *Brain* 118, 913–933. doi: 10.1093/brain/118.4.913

Jahanshahi, M., Obeso, I., Rothwell, J. C., and Obeso, J. A. (2015). A frontostriato-subthalamic-pallidal network for goal-directed and habitual inhibition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 719–732. doi: 10.1038/nrn4038 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Kelly, R. M., and Strick, P. L. (2003). Cerebellar loops with motor cortex and prefrontal cortex of a nonhuman primate. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 8432–8444. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-23-08432.2003

Kühn, A. A., Doyle, L., Pogosyan, A., Yarrow, K., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G.-H., et al. (2006). Modulation of beta oscillations in the subthalamic area during motor imagery in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 129, 695–706. doi: 10.1093/brain/awh715

Lewis, M. M., Slagle, C. G., Smith, A. B., Truong, Y., Bai, P., McKeown, M. J., et al. (2007). Task specific influences of Parkinson's disease on the striatothalamo-cortical and cerebello-thalamo-cortical motor circuitries. *Neuroscience* 147, 224–235. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.04.006

Little, S., and Brown, P. (2014). The functional role of beta oscillations in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 20, S44–S48. doi: 10.1016/S1353-8020(13)70013-0

Martin, J. P. (1967). The Basal Ganglia and Posture. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott.

Nambu, A. (2008). Seven problems on the basal ganglia. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 18, 595-604. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2008.11.001

Nambu, A., Tokuno, H., and Takada, M. (2002). Functional significance of the cortico-subthalamo-pallidal 'hyperdirect' pathway. *Neurosci. Res.* 43, 111–117. doi: 10.1016/s0168-0102(02)00027-5

Polyakova, Z., Chiken, S., Hatanaka, N., and Nambu, A. (2020). Cortical control of subthalamic neuronal activity through the hyperdirect and indirect pathways in monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 7451–7463. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0772-20.2020

Purzner, J., Paradiso, G. O., Cunic, D., Saint-Cyr, J. A., Hoque, T., Lozano, A. M., et al. (2007). Involvement of the basal ganglia and cerebellar motor pathways in the preparation of self-initiated and externally triggered movements in humans. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 6029–6036. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5441-06.2007

Rodriguez-Oroz, M. C., Rodriguez, M., Guridi, J., Mewes, K., Chockkman, V., Vitek, J., et al. (2001). The subthalamic nucleus in Parkinson's disease: somatotopic organization and physiological characteristics. *Brain* 124, 1777–1790. doi: 10.1093/brain/124.9.1777

Sharott, A., Gulberti, A., Hamel, W., Köppen, J. A., Münchau, A., Buhmann, C., et al. (2018). Spatio-temporal dynamics of cortical drive to human subthalamic nucleus neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 112, 49–62. doi: 10.1016/j. nbd.2018.01.001

Taniwaki, T., Okayama, A., Yoshiura, T., Togao, O., Nakamura, Y., Yamasaki, T., et al. (2006). Functional network of the basal ganglia and cerebellar motor loops in vivo: different activation patterns between self-initiated and externally triggered movements. *Neuroimage* 31, 745–753. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.12.032

Taniwaki, T., Yoshiura, T., Ogata, K., Togao, O., Yamashita, K., Kida, H., et al. (2013). Disrupted connectivity of motor loops in Parkinson's disease during self-initiated but not externally-triggered movements. *Brain Res.* 1512, 45–59. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2013.03.027

van Wijk, B. C. M., Neumann, W.-J., Schneider, G.-H., Sander, T. H., Litvak, V., and Kühn, A. A. (2017). Low-beta cortico-pallidal coherence decreases during movement and correlates with overall reaction time. *Neuroimage* 159, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.024

Watson, G. D. R., Hughes, R. N., Petter, E. A., Fallon, I. P., Kim, N., Severino, F. P. U., et al. (2021). Thalamic projections to the subthalamic nucleus contribute to movement initiation and rescue of parkinsonian symptoms. *Sci. Adv.* 7:eabe9192. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abe9192

Zavala, B., Damera, S., Dong, J. W., Lungu, C., Brown, P., and Zaghloul, K. A. (2015). Human subthalamic nucleus theta and beta oscillations entrain neuronal firing during sensorimotor conflict. *Cereb. Cortex* 27, 496–508. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv244