

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
Jennifer M. Hensel,
University of Manitoba, Canada

REVIEWED BY Lucy C. Barker, University of Toronto, Canada Firdaus Hafidz, Gadjah Mada University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE
Jangho Yoon
jangho.yoon@usuhs.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Mental Health Services,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Health Services

RECEIVED 12 May 2022 ACCEPTED 26 July 2022 PUBLISHED 17 August 2022

CITATION

Yoon J, Harvey SM and Luck J (2022) Improved depression screening and treatment among low-income pregnant and postpartum women following Medicaid expansion in the U.S. Front. Health Serv. 2:942476. doi: 10.3389/frhs.2022.942476

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Yoon, Harvey and Luck. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Improved depression screening and treatment among low-income pregnant and postpartum women following Medicaid expansion in the U.S

Jangho Yoon^{1*}, S. Marie Harvey² and Jeff Luck²

¹Department of Preventive Medicine and Biostatistics, F. Edward Hebert School of Medicine, The Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, United States, ²School of Social and Behavioral Health Sciences, College of Public Health and Human Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States

Objective: This study examined the effect of Medicaid expansion in Oregon under the Affordable Care Act on depression screening and treatment among pregnant and postpartum women who gave Medicaid-financed births.

Methods: Oregon birth certificates were linked to Medicaid enrollment and claims records for 2011–2016. The sample included a policy group of 1,368 women (n=2,831) who gave births covered by pregnancy-only Medicaid in the pre-expansion period (before 2014) and full-scope Medicaid in the post-expansion period, and the comparison group of 2,229 women (n=4,580) who gave births covered by full-scope Medicaid in both pre- and post-expansion periods. Outcomes included indicators for depression screening, psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy, and combined psychotherapy-pharmacotherapy, separately for the first, second, and third trimesters, and 2 and 6 months postpartum. This study utilized a difference-indifferences approach that compared pre-post change in an outcome for the policy group to a counterfactual pre-post change from the comparison group.

Results: Medicaid expansion led to a 3.64%-point increase in the rate of depression screening 6 months postpartum, 3.28%-point increase in the rate of psychotherapy 6 months postpartum, and 2.3 and 1%-point increases in the rates of pharmacotherapy and combined treatment in the first trimester, respectively. The relationships were driven by disproportionate gains among non-Hispanic whites and urban residents.

Conclusions: Expanding Medicaid eligibility may improve depression screening and treatment among low-income women early in pregnancy and/or beyond the usual two-month postpartum period. However, it does not necessarily reduce racial/ethnic and regional gaps in depression screening and treatment.

KEYWORDS

Medicaid expansion, depression screening, psychotherapy for depression, antidepressant, pharmacotherapy for depression, postpartum, pregnancy

Introduction

Depression is highly prevalent, chronic, and costly (1–4). The 12-month prevalence of major depression was 8.7% for women in 2017, compared to 5.3% for men (5). As the most common complication of childbearing (1, 6), depression affects 8.5–11.5% of pregnant women (7), and 11–14% women are affected by postpartum depression (8–11). Low-income mothers of infants and young children are particularly vulnerable: over 10% of poor infants have a mother who is severely depressed and more than half have a mother with some depression (12). Also, new mothers with depression experience more severe, chronic symptoms if they remain untreated or undertreated (13).

Access to appropriate treatment of depression remains limited for low-income adults, especially among racial minority groups (14, 15). In 2008–2010, about half (49.4%) of uninsured low-income mothers of young children who had a major depressive episode did not receive any treatment for their depressive condition and 70.7% of them did not use prescription medication (16). Lack of health insurance coverage is arguably among the main reasons for the low rates of depression screening and treatment among low-income women (17).

As the largest funding source for perinatal and maternity care in the U.S., Medicaid offers low-income women a wide range of behavioral services, such as mental health counseling, psychopharmacology, crisis stabilization, and substance or alcohol abuse treatment (18). Despite state-level variations in the scope and type of services, Medicaid programs must provide comprehensive benefits for pregnancy-related services (e.g., prenatal care, counseling and support services, delivery, postpartum visits, substance use disorder services, and home visits) to pregnant women with incomes up to 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL), and cover them for 60 days postpartum (18). However, categorical eligibility requirements (such as the elderly, individuals with disabilities, children, and pregnant women) disrupt continuous health insurance coverage, providing many women with Medicaid benefits only during pregnancy and up to 60 days after delivery. Recent data show that about one-third of Medicaid women with a live birth in 2018 lost Medicaid eligibility within 6 months postpartum (19). In 2014, the Affordable Care Act (ACA) broadened Medicaid eligibility by allowing states to provide continuous full Medicaid benefits to individuals with modified adjusted gross income (MAGI) at or below 138% FPL, regardless of pregnancy status, through an optional Medicaid expansion, which extended coverage for many lowincome mothers beyond pregnancy and the immediate 2month postpartum period (18). As of June 2022, 39 states (including the District of Columbia) had extended Medicaid eligibility under the ACA (20). By 2016, 9.5 million lowincome women who were not categorically eligible prior to the ACA obtained continuous Medicaid coverage through the Medicaid expansion (21). Further, under the ACA state Medicaid programs must cover preventive care recommended by the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) for beneficiaries who become eligible under ACA Medicaid expansion. Specifically, the Alternative Benefit Plan (ABP) that must be provided under the Medicaid expansion option includes 10 Essential Health Benefits, such as depression screening and services for mental health and substance use disorders (18). For example, the USPSTF recommends screening for depression in the general adult population (including pregnant and postpartum women) (22) and counseling interventions for perinatal depression (23). The ACA Medicaid expansion, therefore, presents a unique opportunity to improve the screening and treatment of depression among low-income women in pregnancy and postpartum.

This study examined the extent to which the ACA Medicaid expansion in Oregon led to improved screening and treatment for depression among pregnant and postpartum women who had Medicaid-financed births. We posit that Medicaid expansion increased access to depression screening and treatment among Medicaid-eligible women, especially early in pregnancy and beyond 2 months from delivery, because it extended coverage for low-income mothers beyond pregnancy and the immediate 2-month postpartum period. We also explored potential heterogeneity in the effect of Medicaid expansion by race/ethnicity and rurality of residence location. Individuals from racial/ethnic minority groups and rural settings tend to have lower incomes. They are also more likely to receive Medicaid benefits, and financial barriers often interfere with their access to mental health treatment (24-27). Therefore, results may hold policy implications for reducing a gap in access to depression treatment for low-income racial/ethnic minority and rural populations.

Methods

Data sources and sample

We retrieved data from Oregon birth certificates and Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). Birth certificate data included all Oregon live births between January 2011 and December 2016 ($N=266,600;\ 207,356$ women). We restricted analysis to women who became pregnant on or after January 1, 2011 and gave birth on or before July 1, 2013 (to allow for six-month postpartum follow-up) for the pre-expansion period, and then conceived again on or after January 1, 2014 and gave birth on or before July 1, 2016 for the post-Medicaid expansion period. All women in the birth certificate data were deterministically linked to Medicaid enrollment and claims data from the MMIS. The enrollment data were used to identify sources of payment at delivery. The claims data provided diagnosis and procedure codes we used to construct outcome measures, shown below.

This study measured the effect of ACA Medicaid expansion on those targeted by the provision, women who otherwise might have received health insurance benefits through pregnancy-only Medicaid. Using the Medicaid enrollment data, we identified a policy group of 1,368 women (n = 2,831) who were covered by pregnancy-only Medicaid at childbirth in the pre-expansion period but had full-scope Medicaid coverage at childbirth in the post-expansion period. The comparison group included 2,229 women (n = 4,580) covered by full-scope Medicaid at childbirth in both pre- and post-expansion periods. So, the study sample included a total of 3,597 women (n = 7,411) in Oregon Medicaid who gave births financed by Medicaid in both the pre-expansion (2011–2013) and post-expansion (2014– 2016) periods. The study protocol was approved by Institutional Review Boards at the Oregon Health Authority (IRB No. 13-25) and Oregon State University.

Outcome measures

We utilized 20 binary outcome measures. We created depression screening indicators, separately for the first, second, and third trimesters of pregnancy and 2- and 6-month postpartum periods. Depression screening was identified by diagnoses of screening for depression, Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes, or Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes in Medicaid medical claims data (details are available in Appendix Table 1).

Treatment of depression was categorized into psychotherapy only, pharmacotherapy (antidepressants) only, and combined treatment with psychotherapy and antidepressant medication. As with screening above, we constructed five binary indicators for each outcome category. We identified psychotherapy with CPT codes in combination with depression diagnoses. We identified pharmacotherapy with national drug code (NDC) and included all classes of antidepressant drugs: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI), tricyclic antidepressants (TCA), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), and other depressants (mirtazapine and bupropion) (see Appendix Table 1 for details).

Statistical analysis

We utilized a difference-in-differences (DID) approach that compared the pre-post difference in the rates of depression screening and treatment for the policy group to the counterfactual pre-post difference in that outcome for the comparison group (see Appendix A). The main independent variable was the interaction term of the policy-group and post-expansion period indicators; its coefficient captures an incremental change in the outcome attributable to Medicaid expansion. The post-expansion period indicator was included

in the model to adjust for average pre-post trends for each outcome. We controlled for maternal characteristics including age at childbirth, race/ethnicity, education, marital status, rurality, body mass index (BMI) at childbirth, smoking during pregnancy, and history of multiple births (e.g., twins and triplets). Race/ethnicity categories included non-Hispanic white (reference), black, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, and Hispanic. Rurality was specified as urban areas (reference category), large rural areas, or small/isolated rural areas, which we defined using ZIP codes of residence and Rural Urban Commuting Area (RUCA) criteria (28). We included year-month linear time trend and month dummies to control for secular time trends and seasonality, respectively. Also, individual fixed-effects controlled for all time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity (e.g., unobserved health conditions that might affect both depression and Medicaid eligibility) so that our estimates have a stronger robust property arising from minimizing the influence of unobserved characteristics unique to each individual.

To explore racial/ethnic heterogeneity, we augmented the reference model with additional triple interaction terms obtained by multiplying the main interaction term by each race/ethnicity category. To explore the effects of Medicaid expansion by the rurality of residence we included additional triple interaction terms for rurality, separately for large and small/isolated rural areas. We computed incremental effects separately for each racial/ethnic group and rurality category as a linear combination of coefficients (see Appendix A for details).

Our DID estimates may capture unbiased effects of the Medicaid expansion because of the assumption of parallel trends in the outcomes in the pre-expansion period. Examination of aggregate monthly trends indicates that the policy and control groups exhibited closely-overlapped pre-expansion trends for all the outcomes (see Appendix Figure 1). Results from falsification regression analyses also confirmed that the parallel trend assumption was satisfied (see Appendix B).

We estimated linear probability models, so our estimates can be directly interpreted as average incremental changes in the outcomes over the post-expansion period. Over 98% of predicted probabilities ranged within the unit interval. Standard errors were adjusted for intraclass correlation at the person level.

Results

Compared to women in the comparison group (those covered by full-scope Medicaid at childbirth in both preand post-expansion periods), women in the policy group (those covered by pregnancy-only Medicaid at childbirth before expansion but full-scope Medicaid at childbirth after expansion) were more likely to be non-Hispanic white, educated, married, a rural resident, and a smoker (Table 1). Mean age and mean BMI

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the study sample (n = 7,411).

Policy group ⁴ 100 100 0 100 Post period ⁵ 0 100 0 100 Policy groupXpost period ⁵ 0 100 0 0 Covariates Wofter's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AlAN ⁴ 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^a 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level **High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 63.3	Characteristic	Policy	group	Comparison group	
Main explanatory variables Policy group* 100 100 0 0 100 0 Post period* 0 100 0 0 0 0 Policy groupXpost period* 0 100 0 0 0 0 Policy groupXpost period* 0 100 0 0 0 0 0 Policy groupXpost period* 0 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 Policy groupXpost period* 0 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 40.9 40.3 5.57 5.52 AIANA 66.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI* 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 1.91 1.90 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 1.91 1.90 5.37 53.1 Education level <-High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.3 45.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality or exidence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 41.3 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8		Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Policy group ⁴ 100 100 0 100 Post period ⁵ 0 100 0 100 Policy groupXpost period ⁵ 0 100 0 0 Covariates Wofter's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AlAN ⁴ 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^a 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level **High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 63.3		%	%	%	%
Post period ^b 0 100 0 100 Policy groupXpost period ^c 0 100 0 0 Covariates Mother's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 32.3 33.8 32.3 33.8 32.3 34.7 4.52 4.70 4.70 4.70 4.70 5.57 5.52 4.70	Main explanatory variables				
Policy group Xpost period's 0 100 0 0 0 Covariates Mother's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 18.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9 19.9	Policy group ^a	100	100	0	0
Covariates Mother's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AIAN'd 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI* 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level 2 41.3 49.3 45.2 High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81	Post period ^b	0	100	0	100
Mother's age at delivery [mean (SD)] 24.2 (4.98) 27.0 (4.97) 25.0 (5.48) 27.8 (5.41) Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AIAN'd 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI* 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level <high (6.25)="" (6.53)="" (6.56="" (6.83)="" (reference)="" (sd)]="" 10.3="" 11.5="" 13.7="" 14.0="" 15.6="" 16.7="" 18.7="" 19.8<="" 21.3="" 21.5="" 23.3="" 32.1="" 32.2="" 32.7="" 32.9="" 35.4="" 37.7="" 4.13="" 4.45="" 4.49="" 43.3="" 44.3="" 45.2="" 45.7="" 49.2="" 49.3="" 5.07="" 5.23="" 5.63="" 65.2="" 67.3="" 79.2="" 8.81="" 81.8="" 85.2="" 87.1="" [mean="" and="" at="" bmi="" college="" delivery="" during="" graduates="" high="" higher="" large="" location="" married="" mother="" of="" pregnancy="" remote="" residence="" resident="" rural="" rurality="" school="" small="" smoked="" td="" urban=""><td>Policy groupXpost period^c</td><td>0</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></high>	Policy groupXpost period ^c	0	100	0	0
Race/ethnicity White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AIAN ^d 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^e 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location 2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 Smoked dur	Covariates				
White (reference) 67.1 67.5 31.8 32.3 Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AIAN ^d 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^e 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level <high (reference)<="" school="" td=""> 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Wrban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy</high>	Mother's age at delivery [mean (SD)]	24.2 (4.98)	27.0 (4.97)	25.0 (5.48)	27.8 (5.41)
Black 4.09 4.03 5.57 5.52 AIAN ^d 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^e 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level 24.6 2.52 8.7 49.3 45.2 High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location 4.13 45.7 49.2 Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)]	Race/ethnicity				
AIAN ^d 6.63 6.23 4.52 4.70 Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^e 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level <high (6.25)="" (6.53)="" (6.56="" (6.83)="" (reference)="" (sd)]="" 10.3="" 11.5="" 13.7="" 14.0="" 15.6="" 16.7="" 18.7="" 19.8<="" 21.3="" 21.5="" 23.3="" 32.1="" 32.2="" 32.7="" 32.9="" 35.4="" 37.7="" 4.13="" 4.45="" 4.49="" 43.3="" 44.3="" 45.2="" 45.7="" 49.2="" 49.3="" 5.07="" 5.23="" 5.63="" 65.2="" 67.3="" 79.2="" 8.81="" 81.8="" 85.2="" 87.1="" [mean="" and="" at="" bmi="" college="" delivery="" during="" graduates="" high="" higher="" large="" location="" married="" mother="" of="" pregnancy="" remote="" residence="" resident="" rural="" rurality="" school="" small="" smoked="" td="" urban=""><td>White (reference)</td><td>67.1</td><td>67.5</td><td>31.8</td><td>32.3</td></high>	White (reference)	67.1	67.5	31.8	32.3
Asian 1.90 1.91 1.97 1.91 NHPI ^e 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level <high (6.25)="" (6.53)="" (6.56="" (6.83)="" (reference)="" (sd)]="" 10.3="" 11.5="" 13.7="" 14.0="" 15.6="" 16.7="" 18.7="" 19.8<="" 21.3="" 21.5="" 23.3="" 32.1="" 32.2="" 32.7="" 32.9="" 35.4="" 37.7="" 4.13="" 4.45="" 4.49="" 43.3="" 44.3="" 45.2="" 45.7="" 49.2="" 49.3="" 5.07="" 5.23="" 5.63="" 65.2="" 67.3="" 79.2="" 8.81="" 81.8="" 85.2="" 87.1="" [mean="" and="" at="" bmi="" college="" delivery="" during="" graduates="" high="" higher="" large="" location="" married="" mother="" of="" pregnancy="" remote="" residence="" resident="" rural="" rurality="" school="" small="" smoked="" td="" urban=""><td>Black</td><td>4.09</td><td>4.03</td><td>5.57</td><td>5.52</td></high>	Black	4.09	4.03	5.57	5.52
NHPI° 1.20 1.27 2.46 2.52 Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level 2 2 49.3 45.2 High school (reference) 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location 8 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident (reference) 8.5.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	$AIAN^d$	6.63	6.23	4.52	4.70
Hispanic 19.1 19.0 53.7 53.1 Education level <hispanic pu<="" public="" td=""><td>Asian</td><td>1.90</td><td>1.91</td><td>1.97</td><td>1.91</td></hispanic>	Asian	1.90	1.91	1.97	1.91
Education level <high (reference)<="" school="" td=""> 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8</high>	NHPI ^e	1.20	1.27	2.46	2.52
<high (reference)<="" school="" td=""> 23.3 18.7 49.3 45.2 High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8</high>	Hispanic	19.1	19.0	53.7	53.1
High school graduates 65.2 67.3 45.7 49.2 College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Education level				
College and higher 11.5 14.0 5.07 5.63 Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	<high (reference)<="" school="" td=""><td>23.3</td><td>18.7</td><td>49.3</td><td>45.2</td></high>	23.3	18.7	49.3	45.2
Mother married at delivery 37.7 44.3 35.4 43.3 Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	High school graduates	65.2	67.3	45.7	49.2
Rurality of residence location Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	College and higher	11.5	14.0	5.07	5.63
Urban resident (reference) 85.2 79.2 87.1 81.8 Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Mother married at delivery	37.7	44.3	35.4	43.3
Large rural resident 10.3 15.6 8.81 13.7 Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Rurality of residence location				
Small/remote rural resident 4.49 5.23 4.13 4.45 BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56 Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Urban resident (reference)	85.2	79.2	87.1	81.8
BMI at delivery [mean (SD)] 32.1 (6.53) 32.7 (6.83) 32.2 (6.25) 32.9 (6.56) Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Large rural resident	10.3	15.6	8.81	13.7
Smoked during pregnancy 21.5 21.3 16.7 19.8	Small/remote rural resident	4.49	5.23	4.13	4.45
	BMI at delivery [mean (SD)]	32.1 (6.53)	32.7 (6.83)	32.2 (6.25)	32.9 (6.56)
History of multiple births 0.53 1.77 0.50 1.61	Smoked during pregnancy	21.5	21.3	16.7	19.8
	History of multiple births	0.53	1.77	0.50	1.61
n 1,418 1,413 2,280 2,300	n	1,418	1,413	2,280	2,300

^aPolicy group consists of women covered by pregnancy-only Medicaid before Medicaid expansion and full-scope Medicaid after Medicaid expansion. Reference group consists of women covered by full-scope Medicaid both before and after Medicaid expansion.

were similar between the groups. The proportion of multiplebirth history was also comparable and had similar pre-post increases for both groups.

Women in the policy and comparison groups had similar rates of depression screening and treatment at baseline (i.e., before Medicaid expansion), and both groups experienced increases in the rates following the Medicaid expansion (Table 2). Unadjusted difference-in-differences estimates were almost always positive and the largest in the first trimester for pharmacotherapy and in the 6-month postpartum period for screening, psychotherapy, and combined treatment. This finding indicates overall larger increases in the crude rates of depression screening and treatment for the policy group following the Medicaid expansion. Appendix Figure 1 illustrates

the patterns using month-to-month aggregate time-series for each outcome.

Reported in Table 3 are adjusted difference-in-difference estimates which measure incremental effects of Medicaid expansion (see Appendix Tables 2–5 for full results). Medicaid expansion was not significantly associated with a change in depression screening in pregnancy and 2 months postpartum. However, it was associated with a 3.64%-point increase in the rate of depression screening 6 months postpartum (p=0.047). This change represents an increase by one quarter from the pre-expansion average of 14.7% for the policy group.

Medicaid expansion was not associated with changes in psychotherapy rates in pregnancy and 2 months postpartum, but was significantly associated with a 3.28%-point increase in the

^bPost-Medicaid expansion period indicator for 2014–2016.

^cPolicy groupXpost period = Interaction of the policy group and post-expansion period indicators.

^dAIAN, American Indian/Alaskan Native.

^eNHPI, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

TABLE 2 Outcome measures.

Characteristic	Policy group		Compa	rison group	Crude DID estimates ^a
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	
	%	%	%	%	
Depression screening					
First trimester	11.8	13.9	12.6	14.1	0.6
Second trimester	12.1	12.9	12.3	14.7	-1.5
Third trimester	22.1	20.0	19.9	21.9	-4.1
Two-month postpartum	7.62	12.4	6.93	9.74	2.0
Six-month postpartum	14.7	23.1	13.8	18.7	3.5
Treatment for depression					
Psychotherapy only					
First trimester	10.4	9.70	10.5	11.4	-1.7
Second trimester	10.6	11.0	10.9	12.5	-1.1
Third trimester	20.3	17.4	18.1	19.7	-4.5
Two-month postpartum	4.72	7.22	4.61	6.39	0.7
Six-month postpartum	7.83	12.5	8.07	10.5	2.3
Pharmacotherapy only					
First trimester	1.97	4.60	2.37	2.70	2.3
Second trimester	2.75	4.53	1.97	2.52	1.2
Third trimester	2.82	4.53	2.28	2.87	1.1
Two-month postpartum	7.48	9.77	5.18	5.96	1.5
Six-month postpartum	10.2	13.2	7.54	9.22	1.3
Combined treatment					
First trimester	0.56	1.77	1.01	1.26	1.0
Second trimester	0.85	1.42	0.66	1.13	0.1
Third trimester	1.34	2.26	1.05	1.43	0.5
Two-month postpartum	1.41	2.62	1.05	1.00	1.3
Six-month postpartum	3.03	6.37	2.94	4.17	2.1

^aReported are unadjusted difference-in-differences estimates in the effect of Medicaid expansion.

TABLE 3 Effects of Medicaid expansion on depression screening and treatment among women enrolled in Oregon Medicaid (incremental changes in percentage points)^a.

Perinatal period	Screening	Treatment				
		Psychotherapy	Pharmacotherapy	Combined treatment		
First trimester	-1.20 (1.57)	-2.50 (1.49)	2.30** (0.83)	0.98* (5.01)		
Second trimester	-1.47 (1.64)	-0.78 (1.55)	1.34 (0.80)	0.34 (0.49)		
Third trimester	-3.10 (1.96)	-3.70 (1.90)	0.82 (0.77)	0.84 (0.59)		
Two-month postpartum	2.19 (1.48)	1.29 (1.20)	0.75 (1.14)	1.12 (0.60)		
Six-month postpartum	3.64* (1.83)	3.28** (1.49)	-0.14 (1.43)	1.83 (0.98)		

^aReported are difference-in-differences estimates of incremental effects of Medicaid expansion measured in percentage-point changes. All models are fully specified and the full set of regression results is reported in Appendix Tables 2–5. Standard errors in parentheses are adjusted for intraclass correlation.

rate of psychotherapy 6 months postpartum (p < 0.01), a 42% increase from the pre-expansion average of 7.83% for the policy group. The rates of pharmacotherapy and combined treatment

significantly increased in the first trimester by 2.3% points (p<0.01) and 1% point (p=0.049), respectively, following Medicaid expansion.

^{*}p < 0.05. **p < 0.01.

TABLE 4 Effects of Medicaid expansion on depression screening and psychotherapy use among women enrolled Oregon Medicaid by race/ethnicity (incremental changes in percentage points)^a.

Perinatal period and race/ethnicity	Screening	Treatment		
		Psychotherapy	Pharmacotherapy	Combined treatment
First trimester				
Non-Hispanic White	2.34* (1.13)	-2.83 (1.69)	3.05*** (0.94)	1.11* (0.58)
Black	3.99 (6.36)	0.45 (5.85)	-0.44 (3.27)	-0.12 (2.04)
AIAN ^b	-0.92 (4.85)	-2.05(4.47)	0.89 (2.49)	4.04** (1.56)
Asian	-7.07 (8.63)	-8.76 (7.94)	4.59 (4.43)	-0.39 (2.77)
NHPI ^c	-2.01 (11.1)	-1.44(2.80)	-0.56 (5.69)	-0.46 (3.56)
Hispanic	-1.29 (3.04)	-2.14 (2.68)	0.65 (2.56)	0.07 (0.98)
Second trimester				
Non-Hispanic White	0.31 (1.83)	-0.78 (1.76)	1.91* (0.91)	0.33 (0.54)
Black	-5.32 (6.52)	-4.46 (6.11)	-0.26 (3.15)	-0.24 (1.88)
AIAN ^b	-4.55 (4.98)	-2.69 (4.66)	3.00 (2.40)	-0.12 (1.43)
Asian	-2.20(8.84)	-1.10(8.19)	2.23 (4.28)	-0.33 (2.55)
NHPI ^c	-9.28 (11.4)	-8.97 (10.6)	-0.36 (5.49)	-0.54 (3.28)
Hispanic	0.16 (3.12)	1.28 (2.92)	-0.94 (1.51)	0.10 (0.90)
Third trimester				
Non-Hispanic White	-1.15 (1.88)	-3.52 (2.16)	1.57 (0.87)	1.20 (0.66)
Black	-1.99 (7.77)	-5.45 (7.15)	-4.51 (3.04)	1.46 (2.30)
AIAN ^b	-9.41 (5.93)	-7.78 (5.73)	2.99 (2.32)	0.86 (1.76)
Asian	-14.2 (10.5)	-13.4 (10.2)	2.23 (4.28)	-0.57 (3.13)
NHPI ^c	-4.35 (13.5)	-3.72 (13.1)	-0.46 (4.12)	-0.13 (4.02)
Hispanic	2.41 (3.72)	-1.71 (3.59)	-0.03 (1.45)	-0.34 (1.10)
Two-month postpartum				
Non-Hispanic White	-2.42 (2.24)	1.38 (1.36)	-0.28 (1.30)	1.42* (0.66)
Black	0.18 (5.66)	-0.26 (4.73)	2.76 (4.49)	-0.09 (2.31)
AIAN ^b	4.52 (4.32)	1.82 (3.62)	11.4 (3.43)	0.23 (1.76)
Asian	4.90 (7.68)	2.82 (6.42)	2.93 (6.10)	-0.26 (3.13)
NHPI ^c	-2.34 (9.87)	5.49 (8.25)	-2.34 (7.83)	-0.09 (4.02)
Hispanic	2.16 (2.70)	0.64 (2.26)	0.23 (2.15)	0.82 (1.10)
Six-month postpartum				
Non-Hispanic White	2.06 (1.63)	3.54* (1.70)	-1.32 (1.62)	2.34* (1.13)
Black	-2.65 (7.15)	0.40 (5.87)	1.69 (5.63)	1.42 (3.93)
AIAN ^b	13.8* (5.46)	6.82 (4.48)	8.56* (4.29)	0.34 (3.30)
Asian	3.78 (9.71)	1.41 (7.97)	6.97 (7.63)	1.41 (5.33)
NHPI ^c	-5.03 (12.4)	11.6 (10.2)	8.10 (9.80)	-1.52 (6.84)
Hispanic	1.93 (3.42)	1.26 (2.81)	-0.79 (2.69)	0.89 (1.88)

^aReported are difference-in-differences estimates of incremental effects of Medicaid expansion measured in percentage-point changes. They came from the augmented model that included additional triple interaction terms of the policy group indicator, post-ACA period indicator, and race/ethnicity categories. See Appendix Tables 6–9 for full coefficients. All covariates were included and standard errors are adjusted for intraclass correlation. The incremental effect was calculated for each race/ethnicity subgroup as the linear combination of coefficients for the reference group (whites) plus the interaction term coefficient for the race/ethnicity category.

Table 4 presents the effect of ACA Medicaid expansion by race/ethnicity. We report incremental effects for each racial/ethnic subgroup, computed as linear combination of coefficients (see Appendix Tables 6–9 for regression coefficients). Significant increases in screening and treatment of depression following Medicaid expansion, when there were

^bAIAN, American Indian/Alaskan Native.

^cNHPI, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

^{*}p < 0.05.

^{**}p < 0.01.

^{***}p < 0.001.

TABLE 5 Effects of Medicaid expansion on depression screening and psychotherapy use among women enrolled Oregon Medicaid by the rurality of residence (incremental changes in percentage points)^a.

Perinatal period and rurality	Screening	Treatment			
		Psychotherapy	Pharmacotherapy	Combined treatment	
First trimester					
Urban	-0.54(1.71)	-2.62 (1.58)	2.16* (0.88)	0.92 (0.55)	
Large rural	4.86 (3.93)	-0.25 (3.61)	5.71** (2.02)	1.11 (1.26)	
Small rural	-4.03 (5.67)	-4.21 (5.00)	-2.61 (2.91)	1.69 (1.82)	
Second trimester					
Urban	-1.68 (1.76)	-0.55 (1.64)	1.70* (0.85)	0.09 (0.51)	
Large rural	-0.92 (4.03)	-1.98 (3.78)	-2.20 (1.95)	2.17 (1.17)	
Small rural	0.51 (5.82)	-1.93 (5.45)	3.21 (2.81)	0.27 (1.69)	
Third trimester					
Urban	-2.46 (2.09)	-3.39 (2.02)	1.07 (0.82)	0.89 (0.62)	
Large rural	-4.92 (4.80)	-4.99 (4.64)	-0.73 (1.88)	1.97 (1.42)	
Small rural	-9.12 (6.92)	-5.93 (6.70)	0.22 (2.72)	-2.22 (2.06)	
Two-month postpartum					
Urban	2.99* (1.52)	1.72 (1.27)	0.88 (1.21)	1.64** (0.62)	
Large rural	2.82 (3.50)	2.78 (2.92)	-3.05 (2.78)	-0.97 (1.42)	
Small rural	-11.6* (5.05)	-8.51* (4.22)	6.67 (4.01)	-2.59 (2.05)	
Six-month postpartum					
Urban	4.54* (1.93)	3.67* (1.58)	-0.46 (1.52)	2.48* (1.06)	
Large rural	3.32 (4.43)	4.76 (3.63)	-1.18 (3.48)	-0.18 (2.42)	
Small rural	-9.83 (6.38)	-5.94 (5.23)	6.95 (5.02)	-4.07 (3.50)	

^aReported are difference-in-differences estimates of incremental effects of Medicaid expansion measured in percentage-point changes. They came from the augmented model that included additional triple interaction terms of the policy group indicator, post-ACA period indicator, and rurality categories. See Appendix Tables 10–13 for full coefficients. All covariates were included and standard errors are adjusted for intraclass correlation. The incremental effects presented here for each category of rurality was computed as the linear combination of coefficients for the reference group (urban) plus the interaction term coefficient for the rurality category.

*p < 0.05.

such increases, were found mostly for non-Hispanic white women: Medicaid expansion was associated with an increase in the rate of screening in the first trimester (2.34%-point increase, p = 0.039); psychotherapy 6 months postpartum (3.54%-point increase, p = 0.036); pharmacotherapy in the first trimester (3.05%-point increase, p < 0.001) and the second trimester (1.91%-point increase, p = 0.035); and combined treatment in the first trimester (1.11%-point increase, p = 0.049), 2 months postpartum (1.42%-point increase, p = 0.032), and 6 months postpartum (2.34%-point increase, p = 0.039). Significant positive effects of Medicaid expansion were also found for American Indians/Alaska Natives in a few instances such as the rate of screening 6 months postpartum (13.8%-point increase, p = 0.012); pharmacotherapy 6 months postpartum (8.56%-point increase, p = 0.046); and combined treatment in the first trimester (4.04%-point increase, p < 0.01).

The effects of Medicaid expansion by rurality are reported in Table 5 (see Appendix Tables 10–13 for regression coefficients). Statistically significant increases in the rates of depression

screening and treatment following Medicaid expansion were found among urban residents, when there were such increases as the rates of screening 2 months postpartum (2.99%-point increase, p = 0.050) and 6 months postpartum (4.54%-point increase, p = 0.018); psychotherapy 6 months postpartum (3.67%-point increase, p = 0.020); pharmacotherapy in the first trimester (2.16%-point increase, p = 0.014) and the second trimester (1.70%-point increase, p < 0.01); and combined treatment 2 months postpartum (1.64%-point increase, p < 0.01) and 6 months postpartum (2.48%point increase, p = 0.019). For women living in large rural regions, only the rate of pharmacotherapy increased by 5.71% points following Medicaid expansion. Interestingly, Medicaid expansion was associated with decreases in the rates of screening (11.6%-point decrease, p = 0.021) and psychotherapy (8.51%point decrease, p = 0.044) 2 months postpartum among women in small rural areas. Otherwise, no statistically detectable effect of Medicaid expansion was discovered by the level of rurality.

^{**}p < 0.01.

Discussion

This study tested whether ACA Medicaid expansion led to a change in screening and treatment of depression among pregnant and postpartum women who gave births financed by Oregon Medicaid. Our analyses provide evidence that Medicaid expansion in Oregon led to non-trivial increases in the rates of screening and treatment for depression in the first trimester and/or 6 months postpartum among women who had Medicaid-financed deliveries. We interpret the findings as a beneficial effect of extending insurance coverage for low-income women under Medicaid expansion early in their pregnancies and beyond the usual 2-month postpartum period. This finding is encouraging because timely access to care, especially at the early stages of pregnancy, is among the important determinants of maternal and infant health (29), and perinatal depression can potentially lead to a variety of adverse outcomes for both mother and infant (30-32).

Our findings add to the emerging research in this area that documents beneficial impacts of expanding Medicaid programs on access to mental health services among low-income individuals. Fry and Sommers (33) reported a 23%-point reduction in the rate of uninsured low-income adults with depression as well as improvement in access to health care and medication in Arkansas and Kentucky following Medicaid expansion in those states. Baicker et al. (17) found a 50% reduction in undiagnosed depression and 60% reduction in untreated depression among previously uninsured adults in Oregon following the state's Medicaid expansion through Oregon's Medicaid lottery in 2008.

Although it goes beyond the scope of the current analysis, we speculate that ACA Medicaid expansion might ultimately lead to a decline in maternal mortality and morbidity among low-income women given that depression has been verified as a major risk factor for perinatal suicidality, with risk being elevated by the severity of symptoms (34, 35). The prevalence of suicidality among women with minor depression was 34.1% during pregnancy and 30.6% during the postpartum period (36). Postpartum depression, which influences \sim 10-15% of women who deliver worldwide (37), is a strong predictor of postpartum suicidality (38). In addition, the beneficial effect of Medicaid expansion might also extend to infants and young children of low-income mothers with depression. When it remains untreated, maternal depression can lead to adverse infant outcomes (39), and an elevated risk of physical, mental, cognitive, socioemotional, and behavioral problems among children over the longer term (40, 41). We also infer that Medicaid expansion may ultimately reduce a gender gap in depression prevalence (1, 5) and income-based disparities in treatment (42). Future work may assess the validity of this conjecture.

The literature points to significant racial/ethnic disparities in treatment of psychiatric disorders including depression (42-44), despite lack of evidence on racial/ethnic differences in the prevalence of depression (45). Further, recent studies have reported widening or sustained gaps in mental health treatment (including detection and treatment of depression) between non-Hispanic whites and racial/ethnic minority populations (42, 44, 46, 47). Rurality of residence location also deserves attention because disparities in access to depression treatment between urban and rural residents have been reported (43). In one study, rates of mental health treatment decreased gradually as the rurality of residence location increased from large metropolitan areas to isolated rural settings (48). Our findings suggest that expanding Medicaid does not necessarily contribute to a reduction of existing racial/ethnic and regional gaps in screening and treatment of depression in pregnancy and postpartum among Medicaid-eligible low-income women. Further, eliminating such disparities requires more than simply expanding population health insurance coverage. We also need to confront other system and social determinants of mental health care disparities, such as the supply of racial/ethnic minority and rural mental health providers and training a culturally-competent mental health workforce to address unique circumstances of minority patients such as cultural values (44).

Data come from Oregon's on-going efforts to transform its Medicaid program offer a potential promise. Since 2012 Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs) have served Oregon Medicaid beneficiaries across the state, prioritizing the elimination of health disparities through a multifaceted approach that included community health workers, Regional Health Equity Coalitions, and integration of physical and behavioral health care. Early data show that CCO implementation was associated with reductions in racial differences in access to care (49). In January 2020, the state launched the second round of the system transformation through CCO 2.0 (50), which further emphasizes improvement in the behavioral health system and focuses on social determinants of health and health equity. We believe that such system transformation, along with the expansion of behavioral health benefits coverage, is necessary to address perinatal mental health disparities because simply expanding population behavioral health insurance coverage does not guarantee existing disparities in access to depression screening and treatment for low-income pregnant and postpartum women.

Limitations are noteworthy. First, we examined only women in the pregnancy and 6-month postpartum periods, and therefore our findings should be generalized to the other low-income women with caution. Second, we restricted our analysis to women who gave births financed by Medicaid in both pre-and post-expansion periods to elevate the internal validity of findings. This approach, however, might weaken the external validity, and therefore our results should be generalized to the entire Medicaid women with caution. Nonetheless, the

entire population of Oregon women who gave live births during the study period were quite similar to those included in our study in terms of many observable characteristics (see Appendix Table 14). Third, because our findings reflect one state's experience of Medicaid expansion, they may not be generalizable to other states that differ in terms of demographics, population health, political climate, or how Medicaid program structure. Fourth, we did not have information on depression screening and treatment for women who were uninsured or privately-insured. Therefore, our findings should be viewed from the perspective of the Medicaid program, and our estimates might be greater in magnitude than those from the societal perspective. However, we do not expect that our estimates are significantly overstated because uninsured women in general do not receive adequate screening and treatment for depression, and privately-insured women in general are not affected by Medicaid expansion.

Conclusions

This study provides evidence of the value of expanding a Medicaid program for low-income women of reproductive age, showing that expanding Medicaid eligibility could offer an opportunity to improve depression screening and treatment among low-income women early in pregnancy and/or beyond postpartum. Our findings also underscore the need for further addressing racial/ethnic and regional gaps in depression screening and treatment.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because the current Data Use Agreement between Oregon State University (OSU) and Oregon Health Authority (OHA) does not allow public release of any data files including original data from OHA and analytic data files constructed by OSU. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to marie.harvey@oregonstate.edu.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Boards at the Oregon Health Authority and Oregon State University Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JY conceived and designed the study, performed statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. SH, JL, and JY acquired data and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have read and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Cooperative Agreement 1U01DP004783-01 to the College of Public Health and Human Sciences at Oregon State University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The contents, views or opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect official policy or position of Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, the Department of Defense (DoD), or Departments of the Army, Navy, or Air Force.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frhs.2022.942476/full#supplementary-material

References

- 1. Kessler RC, Petukhova M, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Wittchen H-U. Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* (2012) 21:169–84. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1359
- 2. Greenberg PE, Stiglin LE, Finkelstein SN, Berndt ER. The Economic burden of depression in 1990. *J Clin Psychiatry*. (1993) 54:405-18.
- 3. Brody DJ, Pratt LA, Hughes J. Prevalence of depression among adults aged 20 and over: United States, 2013–2016. NCHS Data Brief. (2018) 303:1–8.
- 4. National Institute of Mental Health. *Depression*. Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/depression/index.shtm (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 5. National Institute of Mental Health. *Depression*. Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/major-depression.shtml (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 6. Weissman M, Leaf P, Bruce M, Florio L. The epidemiology of dysthymia in five communities: rates, risks, comorbidity, and treatment. *Am J Psychiatry*. (1988) 145:815–9. doi: 10.1176/ajp.145.7.815
- 7. Gaynes BN, Gavin N, Meltzer-Brody S, Nohr KN, Swinson T, Gartlener G, et al. Perinatal depression: prevalence, screening accuracy, and screening outcomes. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). (2005) 119:1–8. doi: 10.1037/e439372005-001
- 8. O'Hara MW, Swain AM. Rates and risk of postpartum depression-a metaanalysis. Int Rev Psychiatry. (1996) 8:37–54. doi: 10.3109/09540269609037816
- 9. Wisner KL, Sit DKY, McShea MC, Rizzo DM, Zoretich RA, Hughes CL, et al. Onset timing, thoughts of self-harm, and diagnoses in postpartum women with screen-positive depression findings. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2013) 70:490–8. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.87
- 10. Ertel KA, Rich-Edwards JW, Koenen KC. Maternal depression in the United States: nationally representative rates and risks. *J Womens Health*. (2011) 20:1609–17. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2010.2657
- $11.~{\rm Ko}$ JY, Rockhill KM, Tong VT, Morrow B, Farr SL. Trends in postpartum depressive symptoms 27 States, 2004, 2008, and 2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. (2017) 66:153–58. doi: $10.15585/{\rm mmwr.mm6606a1}$
- 12. Vericker T, Macomber J, Golden O. *Infants of Depressed Mothers Living in Poverty: Opportunities to Identify and Serve.* The Urban Institute, Washington, DC (2010). Available online at: https://www.urban.org/research/publication/infants-depressed-mothers-living-poverty-opportunities-identify-and-serve (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 13. Dimatteo MR, Lepper HS, Croghan TW. Depression is a risk factor for noncompliance with medical treatment: meta-analysis of the effects of anxiety and depression on patient adherence. *Arch Intern Med.* (2000) 160:2101–7. doi: 10.1001/archinte.160.14.2101
- 14. Melfi CA, Croghan TW, Hanna MP. Access to treatment for depression in a Medicaid population. J Health Care Poor Underserved. (1999) 10:201–15. doi: 10.1353/hpu.2010.0100
- 15. Melfi CA, Croghan TW, Hanna MP, Robinson RL. Racial variation in antidepressant treatment in a Medicaid population. *J Clin Psychiatry.* (2000) 61:16–21. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v61n0105
- 16. McDaniel M, Lowenstein C. Depression in Low-Income Mothers of Young Children: Are They Getting the Treatment They Need? The Urban Institute, Washington, DC (2013). Available online at: https://www.urban.org/research/publication/depression-low-income-mothers-young-children-are-they-getting-treatment-they-need (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 17. Baicker K, Allen HL, Wright BJ, Taubman SL, Finkelstein AN. The effect of Medicaid on management of depression: evidence from the Oregon Health Insurance. *Exp Milbank Q.* (2018) 96:29–56. doi: 10.1111/1468-0009.12311
- 18. Gifford K, Walls J, Ranji U, Salganicoff A, Gomez I. Medicaid Coverage of Pregnancy and Perinatal Benefits: Results from a State Survey. Henry J Kais Fam Found (2017). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/report/medicaid-coverage-of-pregnancy-and-perinatal-benefits-results-from-a-state-survey/ (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 19. Corallo B, Tolbert J, Saunders H, Frederiksen B. *Medicaid Enrollment Patterns During the Postpartum Year.* (2022). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-enrollment-patterns-during-the-postpartum-year/?utm_campaign= KFF-2022-Medicaid&utm_source=hs_email&utm_medium=email&hsenc=p2ANqtz-8Y5dZojeZQV4Vji84Z-pMOetfguXbigHwQ6JbBLA-E5ykiEtLZd2HDRfCwltvMckd_9GUMM66lQbGRFNx7nHOPzPEc2w (accessed July 25, 2022).

- 20. Henry J Kais Fam Foundation. Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions: Interactive Map. (2022). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/status-of-state-medicaid-expansion-decisions-interactive-map/ (accessed June 23, 2022).
- 21. Uberoi N, Finegold K, Gee E. Health Insurance Coverage and the Affordable Care Act, 2010—2016. (2016). Available online at: https://aspe.hhs.gov/pdf-report/health-insurance-coverage-and-affordable-care-act-2010-2016 (accessed June 23, 2022).
- 22. Siu AL. The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Screening for depression in adults US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. (2016) 315:380–7. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.18392
- 23. US Preventive Services Task Force. Interventions to prevent perinatal depression: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:580–7. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.0007
- 24. Galea S, Vlahov D. Urban health: evidence, challenges and directions. *Annu Rev Public Health*. (2005) 26:341–65 doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.26.021304.144708
- 25. Ojeda VD, Bergstresser SM. Gender, race-ethnicity, and psychosocial barriers to mental health care: an examination of perceptions and attitudes among adults reporting unmet need. *J Health Soc Behav.* (2008) 49:317–34. doi:10.1177/002214650804900306
- 26. Henry J Kais Fam Foundation. *KFF State Health Facts: Distribution of the Nonelderly with Medicaid by Race/Ethnicity.* (2019). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/medicaid/state-indicator/distribution-by-raceethnicity-4/ (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 27. Foutz J, Artiga S, Garfield R. *The Role of Medicaid in Rural America*. Henry J Kais Fam Found (2017). Available online at: https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/the-role-of-medicaid-in-rural-america/ (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 28. USDA ERS. Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes. Available online at: https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-commuting-area-codes/ (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 29. Berg CJ, Callaghan WM, Syverson C, Henderson Z. Pregnancy-related mortality in the United States, 1998 to 2005. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2010) 116:1302–9. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181fdfb11
- 30. Becker M, Weinberger T, Chandy A, Schmukler S. Depression during pregnancy and postpartum. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* (2016) 18:32. doi:10.1007/s11920-016-0664-7
- 31. Pearlstein T, Howard M, Salisbury A, Zlotnick C. Postpartum depression. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2009) 200:357–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2008.11.033
- 32. National Institute of Mental Health. *Perinatal Depression*. Available online at: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/perinatal-depression/index.shtml (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 33. Fry CE, Sommers BD. Effect of Medicaid expansion on health insurance coverage and access to care among adults with depression. *Psychiatr Serv.* (2018) 69:1146–52. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800181
- 34. Alhusen JL, Frohman N, Purcell G. Intimate partner violence and suicidal ideation in pregnant women. Arch Womens Ment Health. (2015) 18:573–8. doi: 10.1007/s00737-015-0515-2
- 35. Kim JJ, La Porte LM, Saleh MP, Allweiss S, Adams MG, Zhou Y, et al. Suicide risk among perinatal women who report thoughts of self-harm on depression screens. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2015) 125:885–93. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000000000018
- 36. Mauri M, Oppo A, Borri C, Banti S, PND-ReScU group. Suicidality in the perinatal period: comparison of two self-report instruments Results from PND-ReScU. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. (2012) 15:39–47. doi:10.1007/s00737-011-0246-y
- 37. Halbreich U, Karkun S. Cross-cultural and social diversity of prevalence of postpartum depression and depressive symptoms. *J Affect Disord.* (2006) 91:97–111. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2005.12.051
- 38. Do T, Hu Z, Otto J, Rohrbeck P. Depression and suicidality during the postpartum period after first time deliveries, active component service women and dependent spouses, U.S. Armed Forces, 2007-2012. MSMR. (2013) 20
- 39. Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ, et al. Meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (2010) 67:1012–24. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.111

- 40. National Research Council (US) and Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Depression, Parenting Practices, and the Healthy Development of Children. Depression in Parents, Parenting, and Children: Opportunities to Improve Identification, Treatment, and Prevention. (England MJ, Sim LJ, eds.). Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2009). Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK215117/ (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 41. Knitzer J, Theberge S, Johnson K. Reducing Maternal Depression and its Impact on Young Children: Toward a Responsive Early Childhood Policy Framework. National Center for Children in Poverty (2018). Available online at: http://www.nccp.org/publications/pub_791.html (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 42. Amutah-Onukagha N, Doamekpor L, Gardner M. An examination of the sociodemographic and health determinants of major depressive disorder among black women. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. (2017) 4:1074–82. doi: 10.1007/s40615-016-0312-2
- 43. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2018 National Healthcare Quality and Disparities Report. Available online at: https://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/nhqrdr/nhqdr18/index.html (accessed May 11, 2022).
- 44. McGuire TG, Miranda J. New evidence regarding racial and ethnic disparities in mental health: Policy implications. *Health Aff.* (2008) 27:393–403. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.27.2.393

- 45. Dankwa-Mullan I, Rhee KB, Williams K, Sanchez I, Sy FS, Stinson N, et al. The science of eliminating health disparities: summary and analysis of the NIH Summit recommendations. *Am J Public Health.* (2010) 100:S12–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2010.191619
- 46. Blanco C, Patel SR, Liu L, Hou SS, Progovac AM. National trends in ethnic disparities in mental health care. *Med Care*. (2007) 45:1012–9. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e3180ca95d3
- 47. Cook BL, Trinh N-H, Li Z, Hou SS-Y, Progovac AM. Trends in racial-ethnic disparities in access to mental health care, 2004-2012. *Psychiatr Serv.* (2017) 68:9–16. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201500453
- 48. Hauenstein EJ, Petterson S, Rovnyak V, Merwin E, Heise B, Wagner D. Rurality and mental health treatment. *Adm Policy Ment Health Ment Health Serv Res.* (2007) 34:255–67. doi: 10.1007/s10488-006-0105-8
- 49. McConnell KJ, Charlesworth CJ, Meath THA, George RM, Kim H. Oregon's emphasis on equity shows signs of early success for Black and American Indian Medicaid enrollees. *Health Aff.* (2018) 37:386–93. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.20 17.1282
- 50. Oregon Health Authority. Available online at: https://www.oregon.gov/oha/OHPB/Pages/CCO-2-0.aspx (accessed June 23, 2022).