Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jing Chen, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Jiadi Wen, Yale Medicine, United States Yulin Zhou, Xiamen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jun Ren, ⊠ junren@scu.edu.cn Xinlian Chen, ⊠ chenxinlian11@qq.com

RECEIVED 04 November 2024 ACCEPTED 17 February 2025 PUBLISHED 05 March 2025

CITATION

Peng C, Chen H, Zhou F, Yang H, Li Y, Keqie Y, Zhao X, Wang H, Hu T, Liu S, Ren J and Chen X (2025) Molecular diagnosis and preimplantation genetic testing for chromosome 1q21.1 recurrent microduplication. *Front. Genet.* 16:1522406. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2025.1522406

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Peng, Chen, Zhou, Yang, Li, Keqie, Zhao, Wang, Hu, Liu, Ren and Chen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Molecular diagnosis and preimplantation genetic testing for chromosome 1q21.1 recurrent microduplication

Cuiting Peng^{1,2}, Han Chen^{1,2}, Fan Zhou^{1,2}, Hong Yang^{1,2}, Yutong Li^{1,2}, Yuezhi Keqie^{1,2}, Xu Zhao^{1,2}, He Wang^{1,2}, Ting Hu^{1,2}, Shanling Liu^{1,2}, Jun Ren^{1,2}* and Xinlian Chen^{1,2}*

¹Department of Medical Genetics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ²Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Sichuan University, Ministry of Education, Chengdu, China

As the development of molecular diagnostic methods, a large number of clinically relevant or disease-related copy number variations (CNVs) could be detected, and the demand for genetic counselling and clinical treatment is also increasing. For patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs, preimplantation genetic testing (PGT) could provide a feasible path to prevent the inheritance of the genetic disorder in the offspring. In this study, we included a couple with 1q21.1 recurrent microduplication to conduct molecular diagnosis and PGT clinical application. The optical genome mapping (OGM) successfully verified the orientation and location of the microduplication, which further proved OGM as a promising approach for chromosomal anomalies detection with high resolutions. In PGT application, linkage-analysis-based PGT and high resolution PGT-A were simultaneously conducted for the pedigree and all the embryos. The results were consistent between linkage analysis and high resolution aneuploid analysis in the targeted region. One embryo that was absent of paternal 1q21.1q21.2 duplication was selected for further transplantation. This successful clinical practice in this study shed light for future molecular diagnosis and PGT application in tandem microduplications.

KEYWORDS

preimplantation genetic testing, microduplication, next-generation sequencing, optical genome mapping, MARSALA

1 Introduction

The widespread chromosome copy number variations (CNVs) in the human genome contribute a major source of human genetic diversity, also are associated with rare and complex diseases (Stankiewicz and Lupski, 2010; Cook and Scherer, 2008; Watson et al., 2014). The CNVs size can range from kilobases (kb) to megabases (Mb), which are difficult to be identified by karyotyping analysis (Smeets, 2004). Owing to the development of diagnostic methods such as chromosomal microarray (CMA), whole exome sequencing (WES) and optical genome mapping (OGM), a large number of CNVs, especially those submicroscopic CNVs with ranges less than 5 Mb has been widely identified in recent years (Vissers et al., 2005; Alkan et al., 2011; Kosugi et al., 2019; Schwartz et al., 1993). An increasing number of cases carrying different CNVs has been identified, made it possible to

Recurrent rearrangements of chromosome 1q21.1 was one subset of CNVs that has been reported in association with variant clinical phenotypes (Mefford et al., 2008). The chromosome 1q21.1 locus, with multiple low-copy repeats, is susceptible to recurrent deletions and duplications. Carriers of the 1q21.1 recurrent microduplications (with a minimal duplication size of ~1.35 Mb) present variable phenotypes ranging from normal clinical features to developmental delay (DD), autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), seizures and congenital anomalies (Kaminsky et al., 2011; Qiao et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2023). The diverse phenotypes and incomplete penetrance, made genetic counselling for 1q21.1 microduplication challengeable.

Once a pathogenic CNV is recognized in a couple, prenatal diagnosis or preimplantation genetic testing (PGT) can be utilized to prevent the transmission of the pathogenic CNV (Geraedts and Sermon, 2016; Sabria-Back et al., 2022). Recently, PGT strategy based on SNP haplotyping has been applied in couples with small pathogenic CNVs to identify unaffected embryos, since the conventional PGT-A platform is not fully available because of the limited resolution (Xie et al., 2022).

In this study, we performed PGT for a couple with an approximately 1.69 Mb of duplication in chromosome 1q21.1q21.2 region. We first validated that the duplication is arranged in tandem arrays by OGM, then we performed SNP-based PGT and high-resolution PGT-A for the embryos. A total of 5 embryos were obtained and 2 of them were absence of the inherited duplication, of which 1 embryo was selected for transplantation after further PGT-A analysis. Our results validated the significant advantages of OGM in detecting small CNVs, especially to identify the locations of microduplications. Also, the results verified the value of linkage-analysis -based PGT-M application in tandem microduplications.

2 Methods

2.1 Molecular diagnosis by optical genome mapping

Peripheral blood sample was collected and ultrahigh molecular extracted DNA was from the weight man with 1q21.1q21.2 duplication via the SP Blood and Cell Culture DNA Isolation Kit (Bionano Genomics, San Diego, CA, United States). Subsequently, genomic DNA sample were labelled with DLS DNA Labeling Kit (Bionano Genomics), loaded on a Saphyr chip and imaged on the Saphyr instrument following the manufactory's directions. Data collection and analysis were performed with Bionano Solve software v.3.5 (Bionano Genomics), Bionano Access software (version1.7.1) (Bionano Genomics), as we described previously (Ren et al., 2023). The reference for analysis is hg19_DLE1_0 kb_0labels.cmap and map rate for this case is around 93.7%. For CNVs, the data calls were output and annotated with confidence score (set at 0.99) and feature CNV overlap precision was over 500 kb (Dremsek et al., 2021).

2.2 *In vitro* fertilization, trophectoderm biopsy and whole genome amplification (WGA)

Ovarian stimulation, *in vitro* fertilization and later embryo transfer processes after genetic testing were conducted in the reproductive medical center according to the standard protocol (Schoolcraft et al., 2011; McArthur et al., 2005). Trophectoderm (TE) biopsy were conducted for a total of 5 embryos. Two-steps of whole genome amplification method of multiple annealing and looping-based amplification cycles (MALBAC) (Yikon Genomics) was applied in WGA for each embryo following the standard protocol (Zong et al., 2012). Whole genome products were then purified using DNA Clean-up Kit (CWBIO).

2.3 SNP haplotyping analysis based on MARSALA

Genomic DNA was extracted from both peripheral blood samples of this couple and amniotic fluid cells from the affected offspring according to the manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN, QIAamp DNA Micro Kit). Then, the mutated allele revealed by sequencing with an uploidy and linkage analyses (MARSALA) were conducted for all the samples (Yan et al., 2015). Around 5 Mb of valid reads were collected for each sample by sequencing on the MGI 200 or T7 platform. An average sequencing depth of 2-3× were obtained, which is sufficient for measuring call-targeted SNPs (Xiong et al., 2019). Raw reads were filtered by fastp and aligned to human reference genome (hg19) (BWA). Bam files were then exported after marking duplicates (markdup) by samblaster program. Variant calling and SNP annotation were conducted using bcftools. SNPs with a depth of less than 4× or with low quality were filtered out. The heterozygous SNPs with frequencies outside the range of 0.2-0.8 and homozygous within the range of 0.1-0.9 were filtered out. Haplotyping analysis was then conducted using informative SNPs which were heterozygous in the male (the microduplication carrier) and homozygous in the female (the normal spouse). Particularly in this case, the same and homozygous SNPs inside the microduplication region for the female and the affected offspring, could also be selected for linkage analysis. MARSALA procedure and haplotyping analysis were then conducted for embryos.

2.4 Sanger sequencing validation

To validate the detection efficiency of MARSALA, a total of 5 informative SNPs from both upstream and downstream of microduplication region were selected to perform Sanger sequencing validation. Five pairs of specific primers were designed to amplify the segments containing the SNPs sites. PCR amplifications were performed as before (Peng et al., 2023).



Subsequent Sanger sequencing was performed and data was analyzed using ChromasPro software.

2.5 CNV analysis and direct mutation detection by MARSALA

The NGS data obtained based on MARSALA platform for each embryo was sufficient for whole genome CNV analysis, even for precisely detection of 1.69 Mb duplication in this case (Yan et al., 2015). Valid reads for each sample were around 5 Mb. The raw reads were filtered by fastp (https://github.com/OpenGene/ fastp) and aligned to reference sequence using BWA software. For >4 Mb CNVs analysis, the reads were counted with the bin size of 1 Mb. For analysis of small CNVs (around 1 Mb) in target region, 400 kb of bin size was divided. Circular binary segmentation algorithm (CBS) and R-language were used for copy number variations reporting and visualization for the whole genome based on each bin.

3 Results

3.1 Patient and genetic background

A couple with advanced maternal and paternal ages (both >35 years old) who have experienced recurrent miscarriage (RM) was included in this study (Figure 1A). The phenotypes and karyotypes were both normal for the couple. Chromosomal microarray analysis for amniotic fluid cells from the most recent pregnancy detected a 1,690 kb of microduplication in 1q21.121.2 region, which is paternal inherited (data not shown here). After sufficient genetic counselling and fully informed consent, this couple hope to avoid the inheritance of this microduplication by the help of PGT, in spite that no definite phenotypes were described in the male carrier.

3.2 Molecular diagnosis of 1q21.1 tandem microduplication by optical genome mapping

To successfully apply SNP haplotyping-based PGT in this case, OGM analysis was applied to further accurately locate the duplication segment. The results showed a microduplication of around 1.69 Mb in chr1 (q21.1-q21.2) as shown in Figure 1B. The red box on the top showed the region of microduplication in chromosome 1. CNV plot and sample genome map against reference showed the exact duplication range of chr1:146305863-147992406 (GRCh37) (black box). The genome-wide circos plot exhibited the whole chromosomes and the CNV profile represented by the blue line in the black box highlighted the microduplication in chromosome 1 (Supplementary Figure S1). No evidence showed the microduplication inserted in other chromosome by OGM. The results verified the duplication was a tandem repeat nearing the original location in 1q21.1.

3.3 SNP haplotyping and sanger sequencing validation

Once the molecular diagnosis of 1q21.1 tandem microduplication was verified, the PGT strategy based on SNP haplotyping would be applicable. In this study, we first conducted next-generation sequencing for the couple and the affected offspring based on MARSALA platform. Haplotyping analysis was then conducted using informative SNPs within the 2-million base pair (Mbp) region flanking the target microduplication region (chr1:146305863-147992406). The representative informative SNPs used in linkage analysis were listed in Table 1. Paternal hap 1 represented the high-risk haplotype linked with the 1q21.1 microduplication, and hap 2 represented the low-risk haplotype on the contrary. Notably, in microduplication region, these heterozygous SNPs in the male while same and homozygous in the female and the affected offspring,

RSID	POS	Distance	Male	Paternal hap 1	Paternal hap 2	Female	Proband	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5
rs1747899	144,939,820	-1366043	C/G	С	G	C/C	C/C	C/C	C/G	C/C	C/C	C/G
rs117176,741	145,555,016	-750847	A/T	Т	А	A/A	A/T	A/T	A/A	A/T	A/T	A/A
rs11804687	145,569,027	-736836	A/C	С	А	C/C	C/C	C/C	A/C	C/C	C/C	A/C
rs10910830	145,587,540	-718323	C/G	G	С	C/C	C/G	C/G	C/C	C/G	C/G	C/C
rs3754342	145,595,542	-710321	G/C	С	G	G/G	G/C	G/C	G/G	G/C	G/C	G/G
rs11591191	145,675,931	-629932	T/C	Т	С	T/T	T/T	T/T	T/C	T/T	T/T	T/C
rs12750384	145,681,484	-624379	T/C	Т	С	T/T	T/T	T/T	T/C	T/T	T/T	T/C
rs1023945	145,703,115	-602748	T/C	Т	С	T/T	T/T	T/T	T/C	T/T	T/T	T/C
rs1970612	145,703,590	-602273	G/T	G	Т	G/G	G/G	G/G	G/T	G/G	G/G	G/T
rs74696952	146,791,849		T/C			T/T	T/T	T/T	T/C	T/T	T/T	T/C
rs117246310	146,793,088		T/G			T/T	T/T	T/T	./	T/T	T/T	T/G
rs2353991	146,933,543		T/A			A/A	A/A	A/A	T/A	A/A	A/A	T/A
rs74609846	147,045,875		C/G			C/C	C/C	C/C	C/G	C/C	C/C	C/G
rs183547578	147,186,471		C/T			C/C	C/C	C/C	./	C/C	C/C	C/T
rs3009468	147,825,454		A/G			A/A	A/A	A/A	A/G	A/A	A/A	A/G
rs2999617	147,825,662		T/C			T/T	T/T	T/T	T/C	T/T	T/T	T/C
rs55886213	147,825,732		C/G			C/C	C/C	C/C	C/G	C/C	C/C	C/G
rs2999618	147,825,763		G/A			G/G	G/G	G/G	G/A	G/G	G/G	G/A
rs2999619	147,825,765		G/A			G/G	G/G	G/G	G/A	G/G	G/G	G/A
rs17581,597	149,860,372	1,867,966	C/T	Т	С	C/C	C/T	C/T	C/C	C/T	C/T	C/C
rs141696447	149,903,396	1,910,990	C/A	А	С	C/C	C/A	C/A	C/C	C/A	C/A	C/C
rs117037899	149,934,524	1,942,118	G/A	G	А	G/G	G/G	G/G	G/A	G/G	G/G	G/A

TABLE 1 Representative informative SNPs used in linkage analysis.

RSID: reference SNP cluster ID, the ID numbers highlighted in bold refer to the downstream of duplication region;

POS: genomic location;

Distance: distance flanking the duplication region (chr1: 146305863-147992406 by OGM)

Reference sequence: GRCh37/hg19 reference genome;

Paternal hap1 and hap 2 represent high-risk and low-risk haplotype of the male respectively.

Areas marked with grey shading showed the haplotype analysis in the duplication region.

could also be selected for linkage analysis as showed in Table 1 (marked with grey shading). For these SNPs, affected offsprings should be homozygous same as the proband, while unaffected offsprings should be heterozygous. In clinical cycle, embryos were then conducted linkage analysis after WGA and sequencing. A total of 5 embryos was obtained after two IVF cycles and two of them (E2 and E5) were verified that not inherited the paternal high-risk haplotype based on SNP linkage analysis (as shown in Figure 2; Table 1). Five SNPs sites were selected to further conduct Sanger sequencing on all the samples to validate the accuracy of NGS (data not shown here).

3.4 CNV analysis

In our conventional PGT-A procedure, only deletions or duplications greater than 4 Mb will be reported when reads

are aligned. In this study, CNVs greater than 1 Mb will be presented after CNV analysis of the specific duplication case. The CNVs results were summarized in Table 2, and sketch maps were displayed in Figure 3. As the results shown in Table 2; Figure 3, the embryo E4 showed a deletion of around 53 Mb in region of 4q28.3q35.2 other than the microduplication of 1q21.1q21.2. Embryo E5 showed chromosomal number abnormalities of chromosome 20, 21 and 22, though without microduplication in 1q21.1q21.1. On the contrary, embryo E1 and E3 inherited the paternal microduplication, although there are no other chromosomal abnormalities. Thus, only embryo E2 was normal karyotype and absent of 1q21.1q21.1 microduplication. Direct duplication detection also showed that E1, E3 and E4 exhibited a copy number variations in the target region (Figure 4). In summary, based on SNP haplotyping and CNV analysis, embryo E2 could be selected for subsequent transplantation after the PGT analysis.



TABLE 2 Detection results summary of the five biopsied blastocysts.

Biopsied blastocysts	Grade of blastocysts	CNV analysis and direct duplication detection	SNP Linkage analysis	SNP Sanger sequencing validation
E1	5BC	dup (1)(q21.1q21.2)(~1.20 Mb)	Affected	accordance
E2	4BB	Normal	Unaffected	accordance
E3	4BB	dup (1)(q21.1q21.2)(~1.20 Mb)	Affected	accordance
E4	4BC	dup (1)(q21.1q21.2)(~1.20 Mb) del(4)(q28.3q35.2)(~53.00 Mb)	Affected	accordance
E5	4BC	-20,+21,-22	Unaffected	accordance

CNV, copy number variation detected by MARSALA.

4 Discussion

Traditionally, NGS-based PGT-A was applied for genome-wide aneuploidy analysis with resolution of >5 Mb (Group EP-SP-AW et al., 2020). And the PGT-M strategy based on linkage analysis was widely used in monogenic disorders to distinguish high-risk and low-risk haplotype of a prospective parent (Group et al., 2020). For small CNVs, especially those <1 Mb, conventional PGT-A strategy is not available. Inspired by PGT-M strategy, linkage analysis based on upstream and downstream informative SNPs of the target regions could also be used for PGT analysis in couples with small CNVs, once a CNV was recognized as pathogenic or likely pathogenic. For PGT in microdeletions, linkage analysis could be conducted based on informative SNPs flanking or within the deletion regions detected



FIGURE 3

Copy number variations results of the pedigree and embryos. The sketch maps are generated after compared with the hg19 reference genome based on the MARSALA data. The black box highlights the duplication in chromosome 1 of E1, E3 and E4. The red box refers to different chromosomal abnormalities in E4 and E5. The detailed CNVs results were presented in Table 2.



by NGS or SNP array, together with high resolution PGT-A for aneuploidy analysis (Hu et al., 2024).

The situation is more complicated for PGT in microduplications, since SNP-based linkage analysis could only be available when the duplications are precisely located in chromosome. The molecular diagnosis for microduplication, especially to decipher the orientation and location of the duplicated segments, is usually challengeable using karyotype, CMA or NGS platform due to their limited resolution, short read length or other technical limitations. The long-read sequencing technologies could generate long and contiguous reads to cover longer genomic regions, which is potential for direct haplotype phasing or precisely breakpoint detection (Peng et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2019; Thibodeau et al., 2020). Long reads are superior to short reads regarding detection break points of microdeletions/duplications to the kilobase level. Yet, the detection accuracy and efficiency will be significantly decreased for larger microdeletions/duplications. Another new method named C-MoKa (chromosome conformation-based karyotyping) is reported as a promising method for chromosomal abnormalities detection, especially for complex and cryptic SVs (Bao et al., 2025). Optical genome mapping (OGM) based on whole-genome imaging and assembly, has recently been proved as a valuable approach for chromosomal anomalies detection with high resolutions. Ultra-

high-molecular-weight DNA was labeled at specific sites with an average distance about 3.6 kb and genome assembly was performed, made it possible for OGM to detect small SVs and unbalanced aberrations at sizes ranging from a few kilobases to several megabases (Dremsek et al., 2021). Thus, OGM could be a promising diagnostic approach for chromosome microduplications, including detection of the duplication size, orientation and location.

In this study, a workflow of PGT for microduplication was depicted including molecular diagnosis by OGM, linkage analysis and PGT-A by NGS. At first, OGM analysis was conducted for the male 1q21.121.2 microduplication carrier (detected by CMA) before the couple can be included in linkage-analysis-based PGT strategy. Combined with CNV and SV calling by OGM, a 1.69 Mb of tandem microduplication was found in 1q21.121.2 region. The results further validated OGM as an efficient method for small CNVs detection. Then, in consideration of the duplication size, a high genomic resolution of next-generation sequencing was conducted for all the samples to fully detect SNPs and small chromosomal anomalies. This so-called MARSALA method with adequate and flexible resolution, provides an economic and timesaving approach in PGT applications for small CNVs. In this study, informative SNPs were selected for linkage analysis and high resolution of PGT-A was simultaneously conducted for all the embryos. Furthermore, to validate the detection efficiency of MARSALA, a total of 5 informative SNPs were selected to perform Sanger sequencing for validation. The PGT results in this case verified the accordance of SNP-based linkage analysis with high-resolution PGT-A for small CNVs.

It is worth mentioning that for this couple with advanced ages, few embryos were obtained from each IVF cycle, made the chances of obtaining normal embryos less likely. Only embryo E2 was normal karyotype and absent of paternal 1q21.1q21.1 duplication, and ready for transplantation. Embryo E1 and E3 both inherited the paternal duplication while without other chromosomal abnormalities. After genetic counselling, this couple decided to freeze embryo E1 and E3 for later consideration since they are with no willing for further IVF cycle due to advanced ages. Notably, in PGT application, genetic counselling is essential for patients to be informed of the probability for multiple IVF cycles or no suitable embryos to transfer, especially for patients with advanced ages (Murphy et al., 2019; Parikh et al., 2023). On the other hand, the heterogeneities in phenotypes made small CNVs as a clinical dilemma including diagnosis, variation interpretation and genetic counselling. The development of PGT provides a feasible path for patients with genetic deficiency to prevent the recurrence of the disorder in the next-generation. And our strategy of molecular diagnosis and PGT in this study, provided a successful clinical practice and shed light for future applications of PGT in microduplications.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

CP: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing, Validation. HC: Data curation, Writing-original draft. FZ: Methodology, Writing-review and editing. HY: Methodology, Writing-review and editing. YL: Data curation, Writing-review and editing. YK: Data curation, Writing-review and editing. XZ: Methodology, Writing-review and editing. HW: Validation, Visualization, Writing-review and editing. TH: Validation, Writing-review and editing. SL: Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing-review and editing. JR: Validation, Writing-original draft. XC: Validation, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFC1005303) and the Technology Research and Development Program of the Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province, China (2021YFS0078).

Acknowledgments

We thank the family for their cooperation and participation. We thank the embryology team at the Center of Reproductive Medicine for help with sample preparation. We also thank Lu Nie, Tuan Li, Teng Zhang and Zhen Liu from Yikon Genomics for technical help for data analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Alkan, C., Coe, B. P., and Eichler, E. E. (2011). Genome structural variation discovery and genotyping. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 12, 363–376. doi:10.1038/nrg2958

Bao, X., Yang, Y., Niu, W., Wang, Y., Shi, H., Zou, Y., et al. (2025). Comprehensive analysis of chromosome abnormalities by chromosome conformation based karyotyping (c-moka) in patients with conception failure and pregnancy loss. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 567, 120089. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2024.120089

Cook, Jr E. H., and Scherer, S. W. (2008). Copy-number variations associated with neuropsychiatric conditions. *Nature* 455, 919–923. doi:10.1038/nature07458

Dremsek, P., Schwarz, T., Weil, B., Malashka, A., Laccone, F., and Neesen, J. (2021). Optical genome mapping in routine human genetic diagnostics—its advantages and limitations. *Genes.* 12, 1958. doi:10.3390/genes12121958

Geraedts, J., and Sermon, K. (2016). Preimplantation genetic screening 2.0: the theory. *MHR Basic Sci. reproductive Med.* 22, 839–844. doi:10.1093/molehr/gaw033

Group, E. P.-M. W., Carvalho, F., Moutou, C., Dimitriadou, E., Dreesen, J., Giménez, C., et al. (2020). Eshre pgt consortium good practice recommendations for the detection of monogenic disorders. *Hum. Reprod. open* 2020, hoaa018. doi:10.1093/hropen/hoaa018

Group EP-SP-AWCoonen, E., Rubio, C., Christopikou, D., Dimitriadou, E., Gontar, J., et al. (2020). Eshre pgt consortium good practice recommendations for the detection of structural and numerical chromosomal aberrations. *Hum. Reprod. open* 2020, hoaa017. doi:10.1093/hropen/hoaa017

Hu, X., Wang, W., Luo, K., JingZhang, Y., ZhenxingHe, W., ShuopingYang, L. T., et al. (2024). Extended application of pgt-m strategies for small pathogenic canvas. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 41, 739–750. doi:10.1007/s10815-024-03028-6

Huang, T.-T., Xu, H.-F., Wang, S.-Y., Lin, W. X., Tung, Y. H., Khan, K. U., et al. (2023). Identification of 1q21. 1 microduplication in a family: a case report. *World J. Clin. Cases* 11, 874–882. doi:10.12998/wjcc.v11.i4.874

Kaminsky, E. B., Kaul, V., Paschall, J., Church, D. M., Bunke, B., Kunig, D., et al. (2011). An evidence-based approach to establish the functional and clinical significance of copy number variants in intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Genet. Med.* 13, 777–784. doi:10.1097/GIM.0b013e31822c79f9

Kosugi, S., Momozawa, Y., Liu, X., Terao, C., Kubo, M., and Kamatani, Y. (2019). Comprehensive evaluation of structural variation detection algorithms for whole genome sequencing. *Genome Biol.* 20, 117–118. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1720-5

McArthur, S. J., Leigh, D., Marshall, J. T., de Boer, K. A., and Jansen, R. P. S. (2005). Pregnancies and live births after trophectoderm biopsy and preimplantation genetic testing of human blastocysts. *Fertil. Steril.* 84, 1628–1636. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005. 05.063

Mefford, H. C., Sharp, A. J., Baker, C., Itsara, A., Jiang, Z., Buysse, K., et al. (2008). Recurrent rearrangements of chromosome 1q21. 1 and variable pediatric phenotypes. *New Engl. J. Med.* 359, 1685–1699. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0805384

Murphy, L. A., Seidler, E. A., Vaughan, D. A., Resetkova, N., Penzias, A. S., Toth, T. L., et al. (2019). To test or not to test? A framework for counselling patients on preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (pgt-a). *Hum. Reprod.* 34, 268–275. doi:10.1093/humrep/dey346

Parikh, F., Athalye, A., Madon, P., Khandeparkar, M., Naik, D., Sanap, R., et al. (2023). Genetic counseling for pre-implantation genetic testing of monogenic disorders (pgt-m). *Front. Reproductive Health* 5, 1213546. doi:10.3389/frph.2023. 1213546

Peng, C., Chen, H., Ren, J., Zhou, F., Li, Y., Keqie, Y., et al. (2023). A long-read sequencing and snp haplotype-based novel preimplantation genetic testing method for female adpkd patient with *de novo* pkd1 mutation. *BMC Genomics* 24, 521. doi:10.1186/s12864-023-09593-x

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2025.1522406/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Exported circos plot of OGM analysis. The genome-wide circos plot showing the whole chromosomes after OGM analysis. The blue line in the black box showed the duplication in chromosome 1.

Qiao, Y., Badduke, C., Tang, F., Cowieson, D., Martell, S., Lewis, S. M. E., et al. (2017). Whole exome sequencing of families with 1q21. 1 microdeletion or microduplication. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 173, 1782–1791. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.38247

Ren, J., Keqie, Y., Li, Y., Li, L., Luo, M., Gao, M., et al. (2023). Case report: optical genome mapping revealed double rearrangements in a male undergoing preimplantation genetic testing. *Front. Genet.* 14, 1132404. doi:10.3389/fgene.2023. 1132404

Sabria-Back, J., Monteagudo-Sánchez, A., Sánchez-Delgado, M., Ferguson-Smith, A. C., Gómez, O., Pertierra Cartada, A., et al. (2022). Preimplantation genetic testing for a chr14q32 microdeletion in a family with kagami-Ogata syndrome and temple syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* 59, 253–261. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2020-107433

Schoolcraft, W. B., Treff, N. R., Stevens, J. M., Ferry, K., Katz-Jaffe, M., and Scott, R. T. (2011). Live birth outcome with trophectoderm biopsy, blastocyst vitrification, and single-nucleotide polymorphism microarray-based comprehensive chromosome screening in infertile patients. *Fertil. Steril.* 96, 638–640. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011. 06.049

Schwartz, D. C., Li, X., Hernandez, L. I., Ramnarain, S. P., Huff, E. J., and Wang, Y. K. (1993). Ordered restriction maps of saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosomes constructed by optical mapping. *Science* 262, 110–114. doi:10.1126/science.8211116

Smeets, D. F. (2004). Historical prospective of human cytogenetics: from microscope to microarray. *Clin. Biochem.* 37, 439–446. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2004.03.006

Stankiewicz, P., and Lupski, J. R. (2010). Structural variation in the human genome and its role in disease. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 61, 437–455. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-100708-204735

Thibodeau, M. L., O'Neill, K., Dixon, K., Reisle, C., Mungall, K. L., Krzywinski, M., et al. (2020). Improved structural variant interpretation for hereditary cancer susceptibility using long-read sequencing. *Genet. Med.* 22, 1892–1897. doi:10.1038/ s41436-020-0880-8

Vissers, L. E., Veltman, J. A., Van Kessel, A. G., and Brunner, H. G. (2005). Identification of disease genes by whole genome cgh arrays. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 14, R215–R223. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddi268

Wang, H., Chau, M. H. K., Cao, Y., Kwok, K. Y., and Choy, K. W. (2017). Chromosome copy number variants in fetuses with syndromic malformations. *Birth Defects Res.* 109, 725–733. doi:10.1002/bdr2.1054

Watson, C. T., Tomas, M.-B., Sharp, A. J., and Mefford, H. C. (2014). The genetics of microdeletion and microduplication syndromes: an update. *Annu. Rev. genomics Hum. Genet.* 15, 215–244. doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-091212-153408

Xie, P., Hu, X., Kong, L., Mao, Y., Cheng, D., Kang, K., et al. (2022). A novel multifunctional haplotyping-based preimplantation genetic testing for different genetic conditions. *Hum. Reprod.* 37, 2546–2559. doi:10.1093/humrep/deac190

Xiong, L., Huang, L., Tian, F., Lu, S., and Xie, X. S. (2019). Bayesian model for accurate marsala (mutated allele revealed by sequencing with aneuploidy and linkage analyses). *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.* 36, 1263–1271. doi:10.1007/s10815-019-01451-8

Yan, L., Huang, L., Xu, L., Huang, J., Ma, F., Zhu, X., et al. (2015). Live births after simultaneous avoidance of monogenic diseases and chromosome abnormality by next-generation sequencing with linkage analyses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 15964–15969. doi:10.1073/pnas.1523297113

Zhang, S., Liang, F., Lei, C., Wu, J., Fu, J., Yang, Q., et al. (2019). Long-read sequencing and haplotype linkage analysis enabled preimplantation genetic testing for patients carrying pathogenic inversions. *J. Med. Genet.* 56, 741–749. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2018-105976

Zong, C., Lu, S., Chapman, A. R., and Xie, X. S. (2012). Genome-wide detection of single-nucleotide and copy-number variations of a single human cell. *Science* 338, 1622–1626. doi:10.1126/science.1229164