Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wilson Thau Lym Yong, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia

REVIEWED BY Xiyang Zhao, Jilin Agricultural University, China Huilong Chen, China Agricultural University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Kang Du, ⊠ dukang@bjfu.edu.cn Jun Yang, ⊠ yang_jun@bjfu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 21 May 2024 ACCEPTED 07 January 2025 PUBLISHED 29 January 2025

CITATION

Liu J, Chen H, Li C, Du K and Yang J (2025) Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of GRAS transcription factors under cold stress in diploid and triploid *Eucalyptus*. *Front. Genet.* 16:1436285. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2025.1436285

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Liu, Chen, Li, Du and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of GRAS transcription factors under cold stress in diploid and triploid *Eucalyptus*

Jiannan Liu, Hao Chen, Chenhe Li, Kang Du* and Jun Yang*

State Key Laboratory of Tree Genetics and Breeding, National Engineering Research Center of Tree Breeding and Ecological Restoration, Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in Forest Trees and Ornamental Plants of Ministry of Education, College of Biological Sciences and Technology, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, China

The GRAS [GRI (Gibberellic Acid Insensitive), RGA (Repressor of GAI-3 mutant), and SCR (Scarecrow)] transcription factors play a pivotal role in the development and stress responses of plants. Eucalyptus is an important fast-growing tree species worldwide, yet its poor cold tolerance limits its cultivation range. This study conducted a bioinformatics analysis of Eucalyptus grandis GRAS family and investigated the expression patterns of GRAS genes in different ploidy Eucalyptus under cold treatment. This study identified 92 EgrGRAS genes, which were divided into eight subfamilies. Interspecies synteny analysis found that E. grandis and Populus trichocarpa have more syntenic GRAS gene pairs. Chromosome localization analysis revealed that 90 EgrGRAS genes were found to be unevenly distributed across 11 chromosomes. Gene structure analysis found similar intron-exon structures in EgrGRAS genes. Protein motif analysis revealed that proteins within the same subfamily have certain structural similarities. The physical and chemical properties of the proteins encoded by EgrGRAS genes vary, but the ranges of amino acid numbers, molecular weights, and isoelectric points (pl) are similar to those of GRAS proteins from other species. Subcellular localization prediction using software found that 56 members of EgrGRAS family are localized in the nucleus, with a few members localized in the cytoplasm, chloroplasts, and mitochondria. Tobacco subcellular localization experiments verified a nuclear-localized GRAS transcription factor. Cis-acting element analysis predicted that EgrGRAS genes are involved in the growth as well as the response to hormones, light induction, and low-temperature stress. Transcriptome data analysis and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments in diploid and triploid Eucalyptus urophylla found that some EgrGRAS genes exhibited upregulated expression under different cold treatment durations, with certain genes from the LISCL, PAT1, and DELLA subfamilies significantly upregulated in triploid Eucalyptus. These EgrGRAS transcription factors may play an important role in Eucalyptus response to cold stress. The study lays a molecular foundation for the breeding of coldresistant Eucalyptus varieties.

KEYWORDS

GRAS, genome-wide analysis, cold stress, Eucalyptus, triploid

1 Introduction

The GRAS transcription factor family is named after the initial discovery of three family members: Gibberellic Acid Insensitive (GAI), Repressor of GAI-3 mutant (RGA), and Scarecrow (SCR) (Di Laurenzio et al., 1996; Peng et al., 1997; Pysh et al., 1999; Silverstone et al., 1998). GRAS transcription factors are plantspecific regulatory proteins whose structure and function have been extensively studied. These proteins typically consist of 400-770 amino acid residues and possess a conserved C-terminal domain. This domain contains several characteristic polypeptide motifs, including leucine-rich region I (LHRI), leucine-rich region II (LHRII), VHIID, PFYRE, and SAW motifs (Bolle, 2004). VHIID represents the core region of GRAS transcription factors, featuring a highly conserved P-N-H-D-Q-L structural unit with an L-R-I-T-G terminal structure (Tian et al., 2004). LHRI and LHRII flank VHIID on both sides. PFYRE consists of three pairs of conserved protein sequences P, FY, and RE, while SAW consists of three conserved amino acids R-E, W-G, and W-W (Lee et al., 2008). Compared with the conserved C-terminal, the N-terminal of GRAS family proteins are more variable and contain many inherently disordered domains (IDRs). N-terminal is a functional component of GRAS family proteins (Sun et al., 2012), which can recognize and bind to different specific proteins and play different roles in plants.

At present, members of GRAS gene family have been identified in a variety of plants, such as 54 members in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (Niu et al., 2017), 32 in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) (Tian et al., 2004), 60 in rice (Oryza sativa) and 106 in Populus (P. trichocarpa) (Liu and Widmer, 2014). Among them, GRAS gene family in Arabidopsis and rice can be divided into nine subfamilies (Hirsch and Oldroyd, 2009). The SCR and SHR proteins are involved in the regulation of root growth in Arabidopsis (Helariutta et al., 2000), while the MOC1 protein participates in the establishment and maintenance of leaf axil in rice (Lin et al., 2012). The PAT1 protein in Arabidopsis is involved in the signal transduction of phytochrome A (phyA) (Bolle et al., 2000), and the SLR1 protein in rice inhibits the signal transduction of gibberellin (Hirano et al., 2010). In recent years, an increasing number of studies have indicated that GRAS transcription factors not only play a significant role in plant growth and development, signal transduction, and other aspects, but also have substantial functions in response to biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. Under biotic stress, OsCIGR2 in rice reduced the number of cell deaths by inhibiting the invasion of pathogenic bacteria (Tanabe et al., 2016); under various environmental stresses, NtGRAS1 in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) regulated stress resistance by increasing the level of reactive oxygen species (Czikkel and Maxwell, 2007); under high salinity and drought stress, the expression of Gh_A0IG0682 and Gh_A04G0081 in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) was upregulated (Zhang et al., 2018). Specifically, some studies have indicated that GRAS transcription factors are associated with the plant response to cold stress. Low temperatures affected the expression of GRAS genes in bananas (Tong et al., 2023). VaPAT1 played a critical role in the lowtemperature stress response of grape calli by regulating jasmonic acid (JA) biosynthesis (Wang et al., 2021). DELLAs were involved in the CBF1-induced chilling tolerance mechanism, enhancing the freezing resistance of Arabidopsis (Achard et al., 2008).

Eucalyptus is one of the most widely cultivated tree species in the world, known for its rapid growth rate, high-quality timber, and strong carbon sequestration capabilities. It is commonly used in applications such as timber production, papermaking, and board manufacturing (Vilasboa et al., 2019). Originally native to Australia, Eucalyptus has been extensively introduced and cultivated in China since the mid-1990s (Zhang and Wang, 2021), where it is grown on a large scale in Guangxi, Guangdong, Sichuan, and Hainan as a fastgrowing and high-yielding forest tree species. With the continuous development of China's Eucalyptus industry, the cultivation range of Eucalyptus has gradually moved northward. However, its insufficient cold tolerance has limited its widespread promotion. Studies have indicated that plants undergoing natural or artificial polyploidization tend to exhibit enhanced tolerance to various stresses, which positively impacts their growth and results in a broader environmental adaptability (Bon et al., 2020; Brochmann et al., 2004; Song et al., 2020). Eucalyptus does not possess natural polyploids; however, the artificial induction of chromosome doubling in megaspores to obtain triploidy was successfully achieved (Yang et al., 2018). This makes it possible to study the expression response of GRAS gene family members in Eucalyptus at different ploidy levels under cold stress.

To explore the expression differences of *GRAS* gene family members under cold stress in triploid and diploid *Eucalyptus*, this study first identified the members of *EgrGRAS* gene family at the whole-genome level. Then, their physicochemical properties, subcellular localization, evolutionary relationships, sequence characteristics, chromosomal distribution, synteny, and *cis*-acting elements were analyzed. Finally, using transcriptome sequencing data and qRT-PCR experiments, the expression patterns of *GRAS* genes in triploid and diploid *E. urophylla* under cold stress were analyzed, uncovering *EgrGRAS* family members that significantly respond to cold stress in triploid *Eucalyptus*. This study lays the foundation for further exploration of *Eucalyptus* cold resistance gene resources and the molecular mechanisms underlying the response of triploid *Eucalyptus* to cold stress.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Identification of EgrGRAS family members

Identification of *Eucalyptus grandis* GRAS family members was conducted using two approaches: (1) The whole-genome information and annotation files of *E. grandis* (v2.0) were downloaded from Phytozome (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe. gov/). Arabidopsis *GRAS* genomic data were obtained from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/) (Lamesch et al., 2012). Utilizing the TBtools software (Chen et al., 2020), BLAST P alignment searches were performed to identify members of EgrGRAS family (E-value \leq 1e⁻⁵), using the amino acid sequences of 32 ATGRAS members as the query sequences. (2) Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile files were downloaded from InterPro (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) (Blum et al., 2021). The Simple HMM Search program within the TBtools software was employed, in conjunction with the conserved GRAS domain (PF03514) to search (E-value \leq 1e⁻¹⁰) for EgrGRAS sequence data. By comparing the analytical results of the two methods, we preliminarily ascertain the members of the EgrGRAS family. To confirm that the predicted proteins contain GRAS domain, we utilized NCBI-CDD (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi) (Lu et al., 2020) and SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) (Letunic et al., 2021) for their validation and assessment. Proteins lacking a complete GRAS domain were excluded, thereby determining the final members of EgrGRAS transcription factors.

The Protein Parameter Calc program within the TBtools software was utilized to predict the amino acid count, molecular weight, isoelectric point, and other physicochemical properties of EgrGRAS members. Additionally, WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort. hgc.jp/) was employed for the prediction of subcellular localization of these proteins. Meanwhile, we randomly selected an EgrGRAS to carry out the subcellular localization experiment. The Eucgr.H01257. 1.v2.0 (EgrGRAS60 in Supplementary Table S1) were isolated from the cDNA of E. urophylla. The coding sequence (CDS) of EgrGRAS60 without a stop codon was integrated into the pCAMBIA1300-eGFP vector. The primers are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The constructed fusion expression vector was transformed into Agrobacterium GV3101 (pSoupp19). Expand and resuspend the positive clones screened by PCR. The bacterial suspension was injected into the lower epidermis of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves when the OD₆₀₀ value was 0.5 - 0.8. The infected tobacco plants were cultivated for 36 - 48 h. Subsequently, the fluorescent signal of the tobacco leaves was observed by using a laser confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP8; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.2 Phylogenetic analysis of GRAS members

The amino acid sequences of EgrGRAS family were subjected to multiple sequence alignment using the Clustal W tool available in MEGA 11 (Tamura et al., 2021). Trim the results using the Quick Run TrimAL program in TBtools software. Subsequently, a phylogenetic tree of EgrGRAS was constructed employing the neighbor joining (NJ) method in MEGA 11, with default parameters and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Preliminary grouping of EgrGRAS family members was conducted. The iTOL software was used to beautify the phylogenetic tree (https://itol.embl.de/) (Letunic and Bork, 2024).

GRAS genes in Populus have been previously reported (Liu and Widmer, 2014). Utilizing protein sequences retrieved from the PlantTFDB database (https://planttfdb.gao-lab.org/index.php) (Jin et al., 2017), 102 PotriGRAS family members located on the chromosomes have been selected. These were then combined with 32 ATGRAS protein sequences, which had been previously obtained from TAIR, and 92 EgrGRAS protein sequences that had been identified, into a single file. The sequences were aligned using Muscle5 (https://www.drive5.com/muscle5/) (Edgar, 2004). Following alignment, the sequences were trimmed using the trimAl tool (https://github.com/inab/trimal) (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009). Subsequently, a phylogenetic tree for the three species was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method with the best-fit model "JTT + F + R5" and 1000bootstrap values in IQ-TREE (Minh et al., 2020). Finally, the evolutionary tree was visualized and embellished using iTOL.

2.3 Analysis of gene structure and conserved motif

The conserved motifs analysis of EgrGRAS proteins was conducted by MEME (https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme) (Bailey et al., 2015), with the maximum number of motifs set to 10, and all other parameters were retained at their default values. The resulting files, along with the phylogenetic tree files and the GFF3 database obtained from Phytozome, were collectively imported into the Gene Structure View program within the TBtools software. This facilitated the generation of a comprehensive diagram depicting the gene structure of *EgrGRAS* and the conservation of protein motifs.

2.4 Chromosomal localization and synteny analysis

The gene location distribution of *EgrGRAS* gene family members across the 11 chromosomes was obtained using the Gene Location Visualize program within the TBtools software, by incorporating *E. grandis* GFF3 files and *GRAS* gene IDs. The genome data and the gene annotation files of *A. thaliana* (TAIR10), *O. sativa* (v7.0), *S. lycopersicum* (ITAG5.0), and *P, trichocarpa* (v3.1) were downloaded from Phytozome (https://www.arabidopsis.org/). The One Step MCScanX-Super Fast plugin (Wang et al., 2012) was installed within the TBtools software, which was subsequently utilized for synteny analysis between *E. grandis* and the other species (E-value $\leq 1e^{-10}$). Finally, the Dual Synteny Plot for MCscanX program in TBtools software was employed to generate the syntenic analyzing graphs.

2.5 The prediction of cis-element

The 2,000 base pairs upstream of the transcription start codon of *EgrGRAS* genes were extracted using the Gtf/ Gff3 Sequences Extract and Fasta Extract programs within the TBtools software. Subsequently, the promoter sequences of *EgrGRAS* genes were subjected to *cis*-acting element prediction using PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/ plantcare/html/) (Lescot et al., 2002). The data were filtered and the results were organized. Finally, the Simple BioSequence Viewer program within the TBtools software, in conjunction with the evolutionary tree files, was utilized to visualize the key *cis*-acting elements.

2.6 Transcriptome data analysis of *EgrGRAS* gene family members

We used previously reported transcriptome sequencing data to conduct expression analysis of *EgrGRAS* (Chen et al., 2021). These data were obtained from young leaves of diploid and triploid *E. urophylla* seedlings. Transcript abundance was represented as Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM). LT1, LT2, LT3, and LT4 refer to cold treatment for 6, 12, 24, and 48 h, respectively. After 48 h of treatment at 4°C, the seedlings were returned to a 25°C environment for recovery: Re1 and Re2, indicating recovery periods of 12 and 24 h,

respectively. The non-cold treatment group (CK) was used as a control. The expression levels of different transcripts of the same gene were summed. The data for the expression levels of GRAS genes were integrated separately for diploids and triploids under CK, LT1, LT2, LT3, LT4, Re1 and Re2, ensuring that the majority of the FPKM values exceeded 1 (Supplementary Tables S3, 4). Heatmaps of GRAS gene expression under different treatments for both diploid and triploid E. urophylla were generated using the HeatMap program in TBtools software, with Log₂(FPKM+1) scale, normalizing rows and setting hierarchical clustering. To further explore the impact of differential expression of GRAS genes on the cold tolerance of leaves in *E. urophylla* with different ploidy levels, the Log₂ (fold change) values of differentially expressed GRAS genes in the leaves of triploid and diploid E. urophylla under the aforementioned seven treatments were integrated (Supplementary Table S5). A heatmap of gene differential expression was constructed using TBtools software, with setting hierarchical clustering.

2.7 Cold treatments of *Eucalyptus urophylla* and qRT-PCR analysis

Diploid and triploid *E. urophylla* seedlings originated from the Guangxi Dongmen Forest Farm. After a period of domestication in the greenhouse at Beijing Forestry University, seedlings (approximately 3 months old) with consistent growth were selected for the experiment. The seedlings maintained at 25° C served as the control group. The experimental groups included treatments at 4° C for 6, 12, 24, and 48 h, as well as recovery at 25° C for 12 and 24 h following 48 h of treatment at 4° C, totaling six groups. All *E. urophylla* seedlings were grown under a 16/8 h (light/dark) photoperiod. Young leaves were collected after each treatment, with three biological replicates for each group. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C.

The total RNA extraction was carried out using an RNAprep Pure Plant Plus Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China, cat DP441). cDNA was quantitatively prepared with a cDNA Synthesis Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China, cat KR106). The qRT-PCR was conducted on the 7,500 Fast instrument (Applied Biosystems, MA, United States) using 2 × Sybr Green qPCR Mix (Aidlab, Beijing, China, cat PC33). *Actin2 (Eucgr.100241)* was utilized as a reference gene (Cassan-Wang et al., 2012). The qRT-PCR primers were obtained from qPrimerDB (https://qprimerdb.biodb.org/), and the primers of the seven analyzed genes are presented in Supplementary Table S6. The relative expression levels of the target genes were calculated using the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) in Microsoft Excel. GraphPad Prism version 9.5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, www.graphpad.com) was employed for analysis of variance (ANOVA), multiple comparisons and plotting. **p* < 0.05 and ***p* < 0.01.

3 Results

3.1 Identification of *EgrGRAS* genes and subcellar localization analysis

Through BLAST P and HMM screening, as well as validation with the CDD database and SMART, a total of 92 members of

EgrGRAS gene family were identified. The obtained sequence IDs were renamed from EgrGRAS1 to EgrGRAS92 (Supplementary Table S1). The basic characteristics and property of EgrGRAS family members were listed in Supplementary Table S1. The length of EgrGRAS proteins ranged from 267 amino acids (EgrGRAS82) to 817 amino acids (EgrGRAS74), with molecular weight spanning from 31,472.38 Da (EgrGRAS82) to 92,755.82 Da (EgrGRAS74). The pIof the proteins varied between 4.86 (EgrGRAS1) and 9.15 (EgrGRAS88). Judging from the pI value, most of EgrGRAS proteins (77/92) were acidic, and the rest EgrGRAS proteins (15/92) were alkaline. Except for EgrGRAS68, which had an average hydrophilicity index of 0.007, all other members were hydrophilic, with an index less than zero. The majority of EgrGRAS members were unstable, with instability index exceeding 40. Only EgrGRAS63 had an instability index of 37.38, indicating that it may be a stable hydrophilic protein. The higher the aliphatic index of a protein, the greater its thermal stability. For the EgrGRAS proteins, the aliphatic index ranged from 68.74 (EgrGRAS3) to 96.62 (EgrGRAS58).

Subcellular localization prediction results indicated that 56 were localized to the nucleus, 19 to the cytoplasm, 16 to the chloroplasts, and one (EgrGRAS61) to the mitochondria among 92 EgrGRAS proteins. Additionally, we performed transient transformation of the cloned EgrGRAS60. In the control, the GFP protein in the empty vector was localized to the cell membrane and nucleus. Whereas, in the fusion expression vector EgrGRAS60-GFP, fluorescence was not present in the cell membrane but was prominently detected in the nucleus (Figure 1). This finding suggested that EgrGRAS60 was localized in the nucleus, which was in accordance with the WoLF PSORT software prediction made by the (Supplementary Table S1).

3.2 Phylogenetic relationships of EgrGRAS proteins

Using the neighbor joining (NJ) method for intraspecific phylogenetic analysis of *E.grandis*, the results showed that 92 EgrGRAS proteins could be categorized into 11 subgroups (Figure 2). Subgroup I comprised38 EgrGRAS members, while Subgroups II and VI each contained four members. Subgroup III included 17 members, and Subgroups IV harbored two members. Subgroups VIII and IX each featured one member identified as Eucgr.H01257.1.v2.0 and Eucgr.A00605.1.v2.0, respectively. Subgroup V comprised 14 members, and Subgroups X and XI each contained three members.

To obtain more precise evolutionary information for GRAS family proteins, the GRAS protein sequences from Arabidopsis and Populus were aligned with EgrGRAS protein sequences. A phylogenetic tree for the three species was constructed using the ML method in IQ-TREE. Building upon previous research (Chen et al., 2019; Niu et al., 2017; Song et al., 2014), we named each cluster, which were categorized into eight subfamilies: LISCL, SCR, DELLA, Egr1, HAM, Egr2, PAT1, and SHR (Figure 3). The DELLA subfamily contained three EgrGRAS proteins (Eucgr.C04156.1.v2.0, Eucgr.J01594.1.v2.0, and Eucgr.G02163.1.v2.0), which were part of the EgrGRAS subgroup V in Figure 2. The LISCL subfamily included all members of



subgroup I and five members of subgroup V. The remaining six members of subgroup V in Figure 2, along with four PotriGRAS members, constituted the Egr1 subfamily in Figure 3. The SCR subfamily contained all members of subgroup VII, as well as Eucgr.A00903.1.v2.0 and Eucgr.K01320.1.v2.0 from subgroup VI and Eucgr.D01917.1.v2.0 from subgroup IV. Another member of subgroup IV (Eucgr.F02630.1.v2.0) clustered with two PotriGRAS members in Figure 3, forming the subfamily Egr2. The HAM subfamily included all members of EgrGRAS subgroup VIII, IX, Х. and XI, as well as Eucgr.F01978.1.v2.0 and Eucgr.I02451.1.v2.0 from subgroup VI. The PAT1 subfamily encompassed all members of subgroup III in Figure 2. All members of sugroup II in Figure 2 were assigned to the SHR subfamily in Figure 3.

3.3 Gene structures and motif patterns of EgrGRAS members

The analysis of *EgrGRAS* gene structures (Figure 4B) indicated that a total of 34 *EgrGRAS* genes lacked introns (indicated by "—"), and 45 genes contained one intron. The sum of these two groups accounted for approximately 86% of *EgrGRAS* gene family members. The three *EgrGRAS* genes of the DELLA subfamily exhibited highly similar gene structures and all lack introns. The *Eucgr.B02347.1.v2.0* in the LISCL subfamily had seven exons, making it the gene with the largest number of exons in the EgrGRAS family.

The analysis of conserved protein motifs (Figure 4A) revealed that these motifs were more concentrated at the *C*-terminus compared to the *N*-terminal region. Within the same subfamily, the types and order of motifs were similar. Most members of the LISCL, DELLA, and PAT1 subfamilies contained ten motifs ranging from Motif 1 to Motif 10. The SHR subfamily was found to lack Motif 8 in Eucgr.H02292.1.v2.0, Eucgr.K00873.1.v2.0, and Eucgr.I00704.1.v2.0. Except for Eucer.F01978.1.v2.0, all members of the HAM subfamily lacked Motif 1. Most members of the SCR

subfamily lacked Motif 8 and Motif 9. The amino acid composition of the identified conserved motifs was shown in Figure 4C. According to previous reports, LHRI, LHRII, VHIID, PFYRE, and SAW were the five conserved domains at the *C*-terminus of GRAS proteins (Bolle, 2004). It was discovered that in EgrGRAS, Motif 6 corresponded to the SAW domain, Motif 3 belonged to the PFYRE domain, Motif 2 was the VHIID domain, Motif 4 belonged to the LHRI domain, and Motif 10 was the LHRII domain.

3.4 Chromosomal distributions of *EgrGRAS* genes and synteny analysis

Chromosomal location analysis revealed that a total of 90 GRAS genes were mapped onto the 11 chromosomes of E. grandis (the corresponding gene IDs are listed in Supplementary Table S1) as shown in Figure 5, with the remaining two genes (EgrGRAS91 and EgrGRAS92) not yet assembled onto E. grandis chromosomes. Chr02 contained the most EgrGRAS genes and 17 EgrGRAS genes were located on it. The distribution of the EgrGRAS genes on Chr03 was the scarcest, with only one EgrGRAS31. Chr01, Chr11, Chr06 contained 13, 12, and 11 EgrGRAS genes, respectively; Chr10, Chr07, Chr08 harbored nine, eight, and respectively; Chr09, eleven EgrGRAS genes, Chr05, Chr04 possessed five, four, and three EgrGRAS genes, respectively.

To gain a deeper understanding of the evolutionary clues for *EgrGRAS* gene family, comparative syntenic graphs (Figure 6) were constructed between *EgrGRAS* and a monocotrice), two dicots (Arabidopsis and tomato), and a woody plant (Populus). The results revealed that 42 members of *EgrGRAS* gene family showed syntenic relationships with those in rice (10), Arabidopsis (18), tomato (30), and Populus (38). The numbers of *EgrGRAS* syntenic genes in rice, Arabidopsis, tomato, and Populus were 13, 33, 49, and 83, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). Overall, a higher number of *GRAS* syntenic gene pairs were identified between *E. grandis* and dicots in comparison to



monocots. Furthermore, a closer evolutionary relationship was observed between *E. grandis* and Populus.

3.5 The *cis*-element analysis of *EgrGRAS* genes

The analysis of *cis*-acting elements (Figure 7) revealed that *EgrGRAS* genes encompassed elements associated with plant hormone responsiveness, such as salicylic acid, abscisic acid (ABA), methyl jasmonate (MeJA), auxin, and gibberellin responsive elements. Additionally, *EgrGRAS* genes included a significant number of

elements related to external responses, including defense and stress responsiveness, low-temperature responsiveness, light responsiveness, drought induction, anaerobic induction, circadian control, and wound responsiveness. Among them, 76 *cis*-acting elements involved in low-temperature responsiveness were distributed in 49 *EgrGRAS* genes. Furthermore, 42 members of *EgrGRAS* gene family contained a total of 55 *cis*-acting regulatory elements related to meristem expression. A total of 47 MYBHv1 binding sites were predicted, which were presented in 33 members of the *EgrGRAS* gene family.These results suggested that *EgrGRAS* genes were involved in hormone regulation, light induction, responses to certain abiotic stresses, and plant growth.



from the subfamilies of *Arabidopsis thaliana* GRAS, with different subfamilies represented by distinct colors. Subfamilies that did not contain EgrGRAS had been removed from the figure.

3.6 Expression analysis of *GRAS* genes in leaves of diploid and triploid *Eucalyptus* and qRT-PCR analysis

After excluding genes with extremely low expression levels and non-expressed genes across all treatments, a gene expression heatmap was constructed using the Log₂ (FPKM+1) values of the remaining 33 GRAS genes (Figure 8). It was observed that certain *GRAS* genes exhibited low expression under the CK but high expression under other cold treatments. Genes such as *Eucgr.F04276.v2.0*, *Eucgr.F03414.v2.0*, *Eucgr.G02939.v2.0*, *Eucgr.A02754.v2.0*, *Eucgr.D01435.v2.0*, and *Eucgr.E03895.v2.0* showed similar expression patterns in in diploid and triploid *E. urophylla*, with high expression levels in at least three out of the four cold treatments (LT1 to LT4). In the diploid *E. urophylla*, the *Eucgr.B02328.v2.0* showed higher expression under LT2 and LT3 treatments, while *Eucgr.B02349.v2.0* exhibited higher expression under LT1 and LT2. However, in the triploid *E. urophylla*, these two genes displayed higher expression under LT3 and LT4. The *Eucgr.B02337*, which also showed high expression under LT3_T and LT4_T, had elevated expression under LT3_D but low expression under LT4_D. The *Eucgr.F01003.v2.0* of diploid *E. urophylla* exhibited low expression across all LT1 to LT4 treatments, whereas this gene in triploid *E. urophylla* showed high expression under LT1 and LT2. The *Eucgr.J02044.v2.0* of triploid *E. urophylla* exhibited high expression levels under LT3 and LT4, whereas this gene in triploid *E. urophylla* showed low expression levels under these same treatments.

To further validate the reliability of the transcriptomic data, we examined the expression patterns of six EgrGRAS genes using qRT-PCR. The experimental results indicated that, compared to the



FIGURE 4

Analysis of the gene structure and conserved motif of EgrGRAS members. (A) Ten conserved motifs were represented with different colors. (B) Green indicated coding sequences (CDS), and yellow indicated untranslated regions (UTR). (C) The amino acid sequences of motifs and structural domain names.





grandis genome and other species.

μαθ2 Αλλάζι 1.0 0 μαφ Βλλάζι 1.0 0	
μαρ Αλάδι 1.0 0 μαρ Βάλλαι 1.0 0	
μαρ μαλοίτι 1.00 μαρ μαλοίτι 1.00 μαρ μαλοίτι 1.00	
μαρ Αλλητία 1.0 0 μαρ Βλλητία 1.0 0	
μαρα Αλλ771 1.0 0 μαρα Αλλ771 1.0 0 μαρα Βλλ71 1.0 0 μαρα Αλλ771 1.0 0 μαρα Βλλ11 1.0 0 μαρα Αλλ71 1.0 0	
μαρ Δλ071 1.0 0 μαρ Δλ081 1.0 0 μαρ Δλ081 1.0 0 μαρ Δλ017 1.0 0	
agg Alossi 12.0 agg Alossi 12.0 agg Alossi 12.0 by Alossi 12.0 by Alossi 12.0 by Blossi	
ag A02711.2.0 ag A02721.2.0 ag A02721.2.0 ag A02721.2.0 bg A0	
age Ad2172 1.2.0 age 00232 1.2.0 age 00232 1.2.0 age 00233 1.2.0 bg 00234	
ang AD214 1.0 0 ang BD233 1.0 0 ang BD234 1.0 0 ang B	
ang 002381 1.0 0 ang 002381	
ag θuide 11 20 θuide 12 20 θ	
age 2023 11.2 0 age 2	
wg θ2033 1.0 0 wg θ2033 1.0 0 wg θ2034 1.0	
bigg Display Display bigg <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
ung BL/LI LU ung BL/LI LU un	
age 80241142 0 402 80241142 0 402 8024112 0 402	
μου 2014/11/20 1	
wg 02341.0 0 wg 023411.0 0 wg 023410	
reg ML244 1.0 0 reg M	
ang BO2H41 LO ang BO	
xxx 8003011420	
org 002311.00	
org 80014 1.0 0	
ago Custos 1.0 U ago Distas 1.2 U ago Distas 2.2 O ago Distas 2.2 O ago Estas 2.2 O ago Estas 1.2 O ago Estas 1.2 O ago Foltas 2.2 O ago Foltas 1.2 O ago Folta	
w D01432 2.0 w D01432 2.0 w D01432 2.0 w D01432 1.0 w D01502 1.0 w	
oog D01917.1.4.2.0 org C01907.1.4.2.0 org C01910.1.2.0 org C01910.1.2.0 org F01910.1.2.0 org F01910.1.2.0 org F01910.1.2.0	
org ED1931.0.0	
ang C0151 1.0 0	-
Age Contract of University of Contract of	_
equ F01000 1.0.0 equ F01011 1.0.0 equ F01030 1.0.0 equ F03031 1.0.0 equ F03041 1.0.0 equ F03041 1.0.0	-
uxgr F01878.1 v2 0	
urgp F02830.1.v2.0	
ucgr F03414.1.v2.0	
	-
acor F03523 1 v2 0	-
ucgr.F03769.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.F04276.1.v2.0	
ucgr.F04276.2.v2.0	
Jcgr.F04278.3.v2.0	
Jogr. FU4460. 1, V2. 0	-
Jogr G02939.1.v2.0	-
Jogr.G02940.1.v2.0	-
Jogr.G02941.1.v2.0	_
Ingr. G03258.1.v2.0	_
Ingr (603258.3. v2.0	
Jogr. G03258.4.v2.0	
xcgr.H01009.1.v2.0	
xogr H01010.1.v2.0	
xcgr.H01257.1.v2.0	_
INGR. FIV.2292. 1. V2.0	_
Jogr.H04039.1.v2.0	
ucgr.H04688.1.v2.0	
uogr.100238.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.100704.1.v2.0	
NCGR.IU 1020-51.V2.0	
Lucar 102451.1.v2.0	-
ucgr J01242.1.v2.0-	
uogr.J01244.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.J01594.1.v2.0	
ucgr.J02042.1.v2.0	
ucgr J02043.1.v2.0	
ucgr.J02044.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.J02815.1.v2.0	H
cgr.K00873.1.v2.0	_
Jogr K01320.1.v2.0	_
ucor K01384.1.v2.0	
ucgr.K02752.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.K03117.1.v2.0	-
ucgr.K03118.1.v2.0	
xogr.K03119.1.v2.0	-
K03126 1 v2 0	-
	-
xogr.K03127.1.v2.0	
ucgr K03127.1.v2.0	
kopr.K03127.1.v2.0	

FIGURE 7

Predicted *cis*-elements in the 2,000 bp upstream of *EgrGRAS* genes. Different *cis*-elements were represented by rectangles of distinct colors.

control group (CK), there were no significant expression differences for the majority of genes under Re1 and Re2 treatments. The *Eucgr.A02754* of diploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under LT2, LT3, and LT4 treatments, whereas the gene of triploid *E. urophylla* only exhibited upregulation under LT2. The *Eucgr.B02328* of diploid *E. urophylla* displayed no significant difference in expression across all experimental groups; however, the *Eucgr.B02328* of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated in LT1, LT3, and LT4. The *Eucgr.B02337* showed significant upregulation under LT4_T treatment, whereas no significant expression difference was observed for this gene in LT4_D. Under LT1 and LT3, the *Eucgr.B02349* of diploid and triploid *E. urophylla* exhibited significant upregulation of expression; however, under LT4 treatment, this gene of diploid *E. urophylla* showed significant upregulation, while the gene in triploid *E. urophylla* demonstrated significant downregulation. The *Eucgr.F01003* of diploid *E. urophylla* was significantly downregulated under LT1, LT3, and LT4, whereas the gene of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under the same conditions. Similarly, we observed that the *Eucgr.J02044* of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under LT3 and LT4, while this gene of diploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under LT3 and LT4, while this gene of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under LT3 and LT4, while this gene of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly upregulated under LT3 and LT4, while this gene of triploid *E. urophylla* was significantly downregulated under these treatments.

To explore the differential responses to cold stress following polyploidization in plants, the differential expression of GRAS genes in the leaves of triploid and diploid Eucalyptus was presented using TBtools, with the Log₂ (fold change) values depicted in Figure 10. Eucgr.F01003.v2.0 exhibited significant upregulation in expression under CK, LT1 to LT4, and Re1, with particularly pronounced upregulation observed under LT1, LT2, and LT4. Eucgr.B02337.v2.0 showed significant upregulation under LT1 to LT4 and Re1, with the highest upregulation under LT4. Eucgr.C04156.v2.0 was significantly upregulated under LT1, LT3 and Re2. Eucgr.A00903.1.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under LT3 and LT4, with a more pronounced downregulation under LT4. Eucgr.J02041.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under LT3, whereas Eucgr.A02754.v2.0 was significantly upregulated under the same condition. Eucgr.J02042.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under CK, LT1, LT2, LT3 and Re1. Eucgr.F03414.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under Re1 and Re2. Eucgr.D01435.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under Re2, while Eucgr.K01320.v2.0 was significantly upregulated under the same condition. Eucgr.B02328.v2.0, Eucgr.B02349.v2.0 and Eucgr.J02044.v2.0 were all significantly upregulated under LT4.

4 Discussion

With the rapid advancement of whole-genome sequencing technologies in recent years, the genome-wide identification of *GRAS* family members has been progressively achieved across different species. The *GRAS* family represents a class of transcription factors and plays an important role in the growth, development and stress responses of plants. However, research related to *Eucalyptus GRAS* gene family is lacking. Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis of *EgrGRAS* genes. Moreover, studies have indicated that polyploid plants typically exhibit increased tolerance to cold stress (Bon et al., 2020; Brochmann et al., 2004; Song et al., 2020). We further investigated the response patterns of *GRAS* genes to low temperatures in *E. urophylla* with different ploidy levels.

4.1 The evolutionary analysis and gene structure of EgrGRAS family members

In this investigation, a total of 92 *E. grandis GRAS* genes were identified. This number exceeded the count of *GRAS* genes found in



FIGURE 8

Expression heat maps of *GRAS* genes in (A) diploid and (B) triploid *Eucalyptus urophylla*. LT1, LT2, LT3, and LT4 refer to low-temperature treatments of 6, 12, 24, and 48 h, respectively. Re1 and Re2 refer to after 48 h of treatment at 4°C, the seedlings were returned to a 25°C environment for recovery 12 and 24 h, respectively. The FPKM values from RNA-seq data were transformed to Log₂ (FPKM+1). The color scale represented the transformed values, with red indicating high expression and blue indicating low expression.



FIGURE 9

The expression patterns of GRAS genes in (A) diploid and (B) triploid *Eucalyptus urophylla* leaves by qRT-PCR analysis. The *y*-axis represented the relative expression levels of *EgrGRAS*, while the *x*-axis denoted the control group and various experimental groups. Standard error bars indicated the standard deviation of three replicates. Asterisks signified significant upregulation or downregulation of *EgrGRAS* genes in other treatment groups compared to the CK (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01).

Arabidopsis (32) (Tian et al., 2004), *Prunus mume* (46) (Lu et al., 2015) and rice (60) (Liu and Widmer, 2014). The number of *EgrGRAS* genes is larger, probably because its genome (430.0 Mb) is greater than

those of Arabidopsis (125.0 Mb), *P. mume* (280.0 Mb), and rice (385.7 Mb). Through intraspecific evolutionary analysis, we classified EgrGRAS proteins into subgroups I to XI (Figure 2). In contrast, in the



phylogenetic tree of the three species (Figure 3), 92 EgrGRAS, 9 ATGRAS, and 123 PotriGRAS clustered into eight subfamilies. The reason for the different clustering results is the use of different analytical methods. Referring to the classification of the Arabidopsis GRAS family proteins (Liu and Widmer, 2014; Tian et al., 2004), six clusters within the phylogenetic tree were named as LISCL, SCR, DELLA, HAM, PAT1, and SHR. The remaining two clusters were denoted as Egr1 and Egr2, which did not contain ATGRAS but only PotriGRAS and EgrGRAS. This might represent that these subfamilies were specific evolutionary branches unique to woody dicots. The results of the synteny analysis (Figure 6) revealed that 42 EgrGRAS genes had 178 syntenic GRAS genes on the chromosomes of rice, Arabidopsis, tomato, and Populus. Notably, the LISCL subfamily members Eucgr.A01279.1.v2.0, Eucgr.B02328.1.v2.0,

Eucgr.K03117.1.v2.0, Eucgr.G02939.1.v2.0, and *Eucgr.J02040.1.v2.0,* as well as the SCR subfamily member *Eucgr.K01320.1.v2.0* and the HAM subfamily member *Eucgr.E01509.1.v2.0,* all have syntenic gene pairs with the other four species. This indicates that these seven *EgrGRAS* genes are highly conserved and may have originated prior to the divergence of these species.

Chromosomal localization of *EgrGRAS* members was performed, and the results showed an uneven distribution among the 90 members that were mapped to chromosomes (Figure 5). Approximately 46% of the *EgrGRAS* genes were distributed on chr01, chr02, and chr11, forming short clusters of tandem repeats. In contrast, chr03 harbored only one *EgrGRAS* gene. Upon analyzing the gene structure of all *EgrGRAS* members, we observed that 86% of *EgrGRAS* family members lack introns or contain only one intron (Figure 4B). This

was similar to the findings in *Arabidopsis*, tomato, and soybean (*Glycine max*), where *GRAS* genes lacking introns accounted for 67.6% (Tian et al., 2004), 77.4% (Niu et al., 2017), and 77.8% (Wang et al., 2020) respectively. Genes within the same subfamily exhibited a similar exon-intron distribution pattern. Some genes within the LISCL, SCR, and PAT1 subfamilies contained a higher number of introns, which may indicate that they play significant roles in the evolutionary process of *Eucalyptus*.

4.2 Analysis of the physicochemical properties and protein motifs of EgrGRAS transcription factors

In this study, the amino acid quantity, molecular weight and pI of proteins encoded by members of *EgrGRAS* gene family ranged from 267 to 817aa, 31.5–92.8 kDa and 4.86 to 9.15, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). These findings were basically consistent with the results from studies on *GRAS* genes encoding proteins in soybean (Wang et al., 2020) and a wild relative of sweet potato (*Ipomoea trifida*) (Chen et al., 2019), indicating that GRAS proteins from different species shared similar physicochemical properties. We cloned an *EgrGRAS* gene, and transient transformation studies revealed its localization to the cell nucleus, which is consistent with the result of subcellular localization predictions. More than half of the EgrGRAS proteins are localized within the nucleus, suggesting that they may be important nuclear transcription factors.

Conserved motif analysis was conducted on 92 EgrGRAS proteins and proteins from the same subfamily exhibited similar motif structures and arrangements (Figure 4A), indicating potential functional similarities among EgrGRAS proteins. The vast majority of EgrGRAS proteins contained Motif6, Motif3, Motif2, Motif4, and Motif10, which were respectively located within the SAW, PFYRE, VHIID, LHRI, and LHRII domains. Studies have indicated that these motifs can mediate protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. Most members of the LISCL, DELLA, and PAT1 subfamilies possessed Motif1, Motif8, and Motif9, while some members of other subfamilies lacked these motifs. This suggests that proteins within the LISCL, DELLA, and PAT1 subfamilies may exert unique functions.

4.3 Analysis of *cis*-acting elements and expression of *EgrGRAS* genes in *Eucalyptus urophylla* with different ploidy under cold stress

Analysis of the *cis*-acting elements of *EgrGRAS* genes had been revealed that the promoter region predominantly contained response elements for hormones, light, and stress (Figure 7). Among these, the stress responses included abiotic stresses such as low temperature and drought. Studies have indicated that plant hormones play a significant role in the regulation of signal transduction pathways and in response to external stresses (Peleg and Blumwald, 2011), including ABA (Huang et al., 2012) and MeJA (Yuan et al., 2017). This study found that the promoter region of *EgrGRAS* genes contained response elements for hormones such as

ABA and MeJA, suggesting that *GRAS* genes have a certain function in the regulatory network of eucalyptus in response to external stresses. Over half of *EgrGRAS* genes contained low-temperature responsive elements, specifically, 28 members from the LISCL subfamily, 5 members from the SCR subfamily, 2 members (*Eucgr.C04156.1.v2.0* and *Eucgr.J01594.1.v2.0*) from the DELLA subfamily, 4 members (*Eucgr.A00605.1.v2.0*, *Eucgr.I00236.1.v2.0*, *Eucgr.E01509.1.v2.0*, and *Eucgr.I02451.1.v2.0*) from the HAM subfamily, 5 members from the PAT1 subfamily, and 3 members (*Eucgr.K00873.1.v2.0*, *Eucgr.J02815.1.v2.0*, and *Eucgr.I00704.1.v2.0*) from the SHR subfamily. These *GRAS* genes may play an important role in cold resistance. Studies on the function of *GRAS* genes in cotton and tea plant (*Camellia sinensis*) have also identified the capability of *GRAS* genes to respond to cold stress (Wang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018).

The transcriptome data analysis of GRAS genes in the leaves of E. urophylla with different ploidy levels identified 33 genes of particular interest (Figure 8). qRT-PCR experiments were conducted to examine the expression of six of these genes (Figure 9). Within the same subfamily, multiple genes exhibited similar expression profiles. Eucgr.A02754.v2.0, a member of the PAT1 subfamily, was significantly upregulated under LT2_D, LT3_D, and LT4_D treatments (Figures 8A, 9A). Genes of the same subfamily, Eucgr.D01435.v2.0, Eucgr.E03895.v2.0, and Eucgr.F04276.v2.0, exhibited similar expression patterns, showing significant upregulation in at least three out of the four treatments (LT1 to LT4) in both diploid and triploid E. urophylla (Figure 8). Eucgr.B02328.v2.0, belonging to the LISCL subfamily, was significantly upregulated under LT3_T and LT4_T (Figures 8B, 9B). Other genes of this subfamily, including Eucgr.B02346.v2.0, Eucgr.B02349.v2.0, Eucgr.B02348.v2.0, Eucgr.B02345.v2.0, Eucgr.B02350.v2.0, and Eucgr.G02939.v2.0, displayed significant upregulation in at least two out of the four treatments (LT1 to LT4) in both diploid and triploid E. urophylla (Figure 8). The study also found that the expression patterns of the same gene varied between diploid and triploid E. urophylla. Both transcriptome analysis and qRT-PCR experiments revealed that, compared to CK, Eucgr.J02044.v2.0 was significantly downregulated under LT3_D and LT4_D but upregulated under LT3_T and LT4_T.

A study about Castor Beans has shown that genes from the LISCL and PAT1 subfamilies responded to various environmental stresses, including cold stress (Xu et al., 2016). Previous research on the overexpression of the VaPAT1 transcription factor in Arabidopsis has confirmed the important role of PAT1 subfamily genes in enhancing plant tolerance to abiotic stresses (Yuan et al., 2016). Many studies have indicated that the DELLA subfamily is involved in the response to cold stress and other abiotic stresses (Achard and Genschik, 2009; Achard et al., 2008). Using the expression levels of diploids as a reference, the differential expression in triploids was depicted in Figure 10. Eucgr.B02328.v2.0, Eucgr.B02337.v2.0, and Eucgr.J02044.v2.0 from the LISCL subfamily were all significantly upregulated under The Eucgr.F01003.1.v2.0 LT4 treatment. from the PAT1 subfamily was significantly upregulated under LT1, LT2, and LT4. Comparing the relative expression levels of these four genes in Figures 9A, B also yielded this result. Additionally, we found that the Eucgr. C04156.v2.0 from the DELLA subfamily exhibited significant upregulation under LT1, LT3 and Re2 (Figure 10).

Comparing the expression patterns of this gene in Figures 8A, B also confirmed this result. Recent research has found that changes in gene expression in polyploid plants, particularly the upregulation of cold-resistant gene expression, may be a significant reason for their enhanced cold tolerance (Bon et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Tossi et al., 2022). In our study, it was evident that *Eucgr.C04156*.v2.0, *Eucgr.B02328*.v2.0, *Eucgr.B02337*.v2.0, *Eucgr.J02044*.v2.0, and *Eucgr.F01003*.v2.0 were likely key genes in the response to cold stress in triploid *Eucalyptus*.

5 Conclusion

In summary, this study has identified 92 EgrGRAS genes, of which 90 were unevenly distributed across 11 chromosomes. Based on the phylogenetic tree constructed with Arabidopsis and Populus, the 92 GRAS genes were classified into eight subfamilies. Synteny analysis revealed that E. grandis GRAS shared more syntenic gene pairs with Populus GRAS, indicating a closer phylogenetic relationship between the two species. Gene structure analysis found that most EgrGRAS genes lacked introns and had similar intron-exon structures. Physicochemical property analysis showed that the physicochemical properties of EgrGRAS proteins were similar to those of GRAS proteins from other species. Subcellular localization prediction suggested that most EgrGRAS proteins were localized to the nucleus. Tobacco transient transformation experiments further confirmed that GRAS proteins are nuclear transcription factors. Conserved motif analysis found that GRAS proteins from the same subfamily had similar motif composition and order, while different subfamilies exhibited differences. Cisacting element analysis revealed that EgrGRAS contained numerous cis-acting elements associated with plant growth and response to light induction, hormones, and stress. Transcriptome analysis and qRT-PCR experiments revealed that certain members of the DELLA, LISCL and PAT1 subfamilies were highly expressed under cold stress in both diploid and triploid E. urophylla, with significantly upregulated expression in triploid plants compared to diploid plants. These genes may play an extremely important role in the response of Eucalyptus to cold stress. This study laid the foundation for future in-depth research on the function of Eucalyptus GRAS genes and the regulatory mechanisms of triploid Eucalyptus response to lowtemperature stress.

Data availability statement

All relevant data is contained within the article: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/ Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

References

Achard, P., and Genschik, P. (2009). Releasing the brakes of plant growth: how GAs shutdown DELLA proteins. J. Exp. Bot. 60 (4), 1085–1092. doi:10.1093/jxb/ern301

Achard, P., Gong, F., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Hedden, P., and Genschik, P. (2008). The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the

Author contributions

IL: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft. HC: Investigation, Writing-original draft. CL: Investigation, Writing-original draft. KD: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing-review and editing. JY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the College Student Research and Career-creation Program of Beijing (S202310022065), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2021ZY62) and the 5.5 Engineering Research & Innovation Team Project of Beijing Forestry University (BLRC2023C06).

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Zhao Liu, Jing Wang and Yuchen Zhang from Beijing Forestry University for data collection and additional help.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2025.1436285/ full#supplementary-material

accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. *Plant cell* 20 (8), 2117–2129. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.058941

Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E., and Noble, W. S. (2015). The MEME suite. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 43 (W1), W39–W49. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv416 Blum, M., Chang, H., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Kandasaamy, S., Mitchell, A., et al. (2021). The InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 49 (D1), D344–D354. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa977

Bolle, C. (2004). The role of GRAS proteins in plant signal transduction and development. *Planta* 218 (5), 683-692. doi:10.1007/s00425-004-1203-z

Bolle, C., Koncz, C., and Chua, N. H. (2000). PAT1, a new member of the GRAS family, is involved in phytochrome a signal transduction. *Genes. Dev.* 14 (10), 1269–1278. doi:10.1101/gad.14.10.1269

Bon, P. V., Harwood, C. E., Nghiem, Q. C., Thinh, H. H., Son, D. H., and Chinh, N. V. (2020). Growth of triploid and diploidacacia clones in three contrasting environments in Viet Nam. *Aust. For.* 83 (4), 265–274. doi:10.1080/00049158. 2020.1819009

Brochmann, C., Brysting, A. K., Alsos, I. G., Borgen, L., Grundt, H. H., Scheen, A. C., et al. (2004). Polyploidy in arctic plants. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 82(4), 521–536. doi:10.1111/j. 1095-8312.2004.00337.x

Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M., and Gabaldon, T. (2009). Trimal: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25(15), 1972–1973. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

Cassan-Wang, H., Soler, M., Yu, H., Camargo, E. L., Carocha, V., Ladouce, N., et al. (2012). Reference genes for high-throughput quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis of gene expression in organs and tissues of Eucalyptus grown in various environmental conditions. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 53 (12), 2101–2116. doi:10.1093/pcp/ pcs152

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant.* 13 (8), 1194–1202. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Chen, H., Li, J., Qiu, B., Zhao, Y., Liu, Z., Yang, J., et al. (2021). Long non-coding RNA and its regulatory network response to cold stress in eucalyptus urophylla s.t.blake. *Forests* 12 (7), 836. doi:10.3390/f12070836

Chen, Y., Zhu, P., Wu, S., Lu, Y., Sun, J., Cao, Q., et al. (2019). Identification and expression analysis of GRAS transcription factors in the wild relative of sweet potato ipomoea trifida. *BMC Genomics* 20 (1), 911. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-6316-7

Czikkel, B. E., and Maxwell, D. P. (2007). NtGRAS1, a novel stress-induced member of the GRAS family in tobacco, localizes to the nucleus. *J. Plant Physiol.* 164 (9), 1220–1230. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2006.07.010

Di Laurenzio, L., Wysocka-Diller, J., Malamy, J. E., Pysh, L., Helariutta, Y., Freshour, G., et al. (1996). The SCARECROW gene regulates an asymmetric cell division that is essential for generating the radial organization of the arabidopsis root. *Cell* 86 (3), 423–433. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80115-4

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 32 (5), 1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340

Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Wysocka-Diller, J., Nakajima, K., Jung, J., Sena, G., et al. (2000). The SHORT-ROOT gene controls radial patterning of the arabidopsis root through radial signaling. *Cell*. 101 (5), 555–567. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00) 80865-x

Hirano, K., Asano, K., Tsuji, H., Kawamura, M., Mori, H., Kitano, H., et al. (2010). Characterization of the molecular mechanism underlying gibberellin perception complex formation in rice. *Plant. Cell.* 22 (8), 2680–2696. doi:10. 1105/tpc.110.075549

Hirsch, S., and Oldroyd, G. E. (2009). GRAS-domain transcription factors that regulate plant development. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 4 (8), 698–700. doi:10.4161/psb.4.8. 9176

Huang, G. T., Ma, S. L., Bai, L. P., Zhang, L., Ma, H., Jia, P., et al. (2012). Signal transduction during cold, salt, and drought stresses in plants. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39 (2), 969–987. doi:10.1007/s11033-011-0823-1

Jin, J., Tian, F., Yang, D. C., Meng, Y. Q., Kong, L., Luo, J., et al. (2017). PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 45 (D1), D1040-D1045–D1045. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw982

Lamesch, P., Berardini, T. Z., Li, D., Swarbreck, D., Wilks, C., Sasidharan, R., et al. (2012). The arabidopsis information resource (TAIR): improved gene annotation and new tools. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 40, D1202–D1210. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1090

Lee, M. H., Kim, B., Song, S. K., Heo, J. O., Yu, N. I., Lee, S. A., et al. (2008). Large-scale analysis of the GRAS gene family in arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 67 (6), 659–670. doi:10.1007/s11103-008-9345-1

Lescot, M., Dehais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van de Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for *in silico* analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 30 (1), 325–327. doi:10.1093/ nar/30.1.325

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2024). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 44, W242–W245. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw290

Letunic, I., Khedkar, S., and Bork, P. (2021). SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2020. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 49 (D1), D458–D460. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkaa937

Lin, Q., Wang, D., Dong, H., Gu, S., Cheng, Z., Gong, J., et al. (2012). Rice APC/c(TE) controls tillering by mediating the degradation of MONOCULM 1. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 752. doi:10.1038/ncomms1716

Liu, X., and Widmer, A. (2014). Genome-wide comparative analysis of the GRAS gene family in populus, arabidopsis and rice. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 32 (6), 1129–1145. doi:10. 1007/s11105-014-0721-5

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-delta delta c(t)) method. *Methods* 25 (4), 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Lu, J., Wang, T., Xu, Z., Sun, L., and Zhang, Q. (2015). Genome-wide analysis of the GRAS gene family in prunus mume. *Mol. Genet. Genomics.* 290 (1), 303–317. doi:10. 1007/s00438-014-0918-1

Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Geer, R. C., Gonzales, N. R., et al. (2020). CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 48 (D1), D265-D268–D268. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz991

Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., et al. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37 (5), 1530–1534. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015

Niu, Y., Zhao, T., Xu, X., and Li, J. (2017). Genome-wide identification and characterization of GRAS transcription factors in tomato (solanum lycopersicum). *PeerJ* 5, e3955. doi:10.7717/peerj.3955

Peleg, Z., and Blumwald, E. (2011). Hormone balance and abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14 (3), 290–295. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2011.02.001

Peng, J., Carol, P., Richards, D. E., King, K. E., Cowling, R. J., Murphy, G. P., et al. (1997). The arabidopsis GAI gene defines a signaling pathway that negatively regulates gibberellin responses. *Genes. Dev.* 11 (23), 3194–3205. doi:10.1101/gad.11.23.3194

Pysh, L. D., Diller, J. W. W., Camilleri, C., Bouchez, D., and Benfey, P. N. (1999). The GRAS gene family in arabidopsis: sequence characterization and basic expression analysis of the SCARECROW-LIKE genes. *Plant J.* 18 (1), 111–119. doi:10.1046/j. 1365-313X.1999.00431.x

Silverstone, A. L., Ciampaglio, C. N., and Sun, T. (1998). The arabidopsis RGA gene encodes a transcriptional regulator repressing the gibberellin signal transduction pathway. *Plant. Cell* 10 (2), 155–169. doi:10.1105/tpc.10.2.155

Song, X., Liu, T., Duan, W., Ma, Q., Ren, J., Wang, Z., et al. (2014). Genome-wide analysis of the GRAS gene family in Chinese cabbage (brassica rapa ssp. Pekinensis). *Genomics* 103 (1), 135–146. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2013.12.004

Song, X., Wang, J., Sun, P., Ma, X., Yang, Q., Hu, J., et al. (2020). Preferential gene retention increases the robustness of cold regulation in brassicaceae and other plants after polyploidization. *Hortic. Res.* 7 (1), 20. doi:10.1038/s41438-020-0253-0

Sun, X., Jones, W. T., and Rikkerink, E. H. (2012). GRAS proteins: the versatile roles of intrinsically disordered proteins in plant signalling. *Biochem. J.* 442 (1), 1–12. doi:10. 1042/BJ20111766

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., and Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38 (7), 3022–3027. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab120

Tanabe, S., Onodera, H., Hara, N., Ishii-Minami, N., Day, B., Fujisawa, Y., et al. (2016). The elicitor-responsive gene for a GRAS family protein, CIGR2, suppresses cell death in rice inoculated with rice blast fungus via activation of a heat shock transcription factor, OsHsf23. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 80 (1), 145–151. doi:10.1080/09168451. 2015.1075866

Tian, C., Wan, P., Sun, S., Li, J., and Chen, M. (2004). Genome-wide analysis of the GRAS gene family in rice and arabidopsis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 54 (4), 519–532. doi:10.1023/ B:PLAN.0000038256.89809.57

Tong, N., Li, D., Zhang, S., Tang, M., Chen, Y., Zhang, Z., et al. (2023). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the GRAS family under low-temperature stress in bananas. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14, 1216070. doi:10.3389/fpls.2023.1216070

Tossi, V. E., Martinez Tosar, L. J., Laino, L. E., Iannicelli, J., Regalado, J. J., Escandon, A. S., et al. (2022). Impact of polyploidy on plant tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 869423. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.869423

Vilasboa, J., Da Costa, C. T., and Fett-Neto, A. G. (2019). Rooting of eucalypt cuttings as a problem-solving oriented model in plant biology. *Prog. Biophysics Mol. Biol. An Int. Rev. J.* 146, 85–97. doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2018.12.007

Wang, L., Ding, X., Gao, Y., and Yang, S. (2020). Genome-wide identification and characterization of GRAS genes in soybean (glycine max). *BMC Plant Biol.* 20 (1), 415. doi:10.1186/s12870-020-02636-5

Wang, Y., Tang, H., Debarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., et al. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic. acids. Res.* 40 (7), e49. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1293

Wang, Y. X., Liu, Z. W., Wu, Z. J., Li, H., Wang, W. L., Cui, X., et al. (2018). Genomewide identification and expression analysis of GRAS family transcription factors in tea plant (Camellia sinensis). *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1), 3949. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22275-z

Wang, Z., Wong, D. C. J., Wang, Y., Xu, G., Ren, C., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). GRASdomain transcription factor PAT1 regulates jasmonic acid biosynthesis in grape cold stress response. Plant physiol. (Bethesda). 186 (3), 1660-1678. doi:10.1093/plphys/kiab142

Xu, W., Chen, Z., Ahmed, N., Han, B., Cui, Q., and Liu, A. (2016). Genome-wide identification, evolutionary analysis, and stress responses of the GRAS gene family in castor beans. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17 (7), 1004. doi:10.3390/ijms17071004

Yang, J., Wang, J., Liu, Z., Xiong, T., Lan, J., Han, Q., et al. (2018). Megaspore chromosome doubling in eucalyptus urophylla s.t. Blake induced by colchicine treatment to produce triploids. *Forests* 9 (11), 728. doi:10.3390/f9110728

Yuan, H. M., Sheng, Y., Chen, W. J., Lu, Y. Q., Tang, X., Ou-Yang, M., et al. (2017). Overexpression of hevea brasiliensis HbICE1 enhances cold tolerance in arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1462. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01462 Yuan, Y., Fang, L., Karungo, S. K., Zhang, L., Gao, Y., Li, S., et al. (2016). Overexpression of VaPAT1, a GRAS transcription factor from Vitis amurensis, confers abiotic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 35 (3), 655–666. doi:10.1007/s00299-015-1910-x

Zhang, B., Liu, J., Yang, Z. E., Chen, E. Y., Zhang, C. J., Zhang, X. Y., et al. (2018). Genome-wide analysis of GRAS transcription factor gene family in gossypium hirsutum l. *BMC Genomics* 19, 348. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4722-x

Zhang, Y., and Wang, X. (2021). Geographical spatial distribution and productivity dynamic change of eucalyptus plantations in China. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 19764. doi:10.1038/ s41598-021-97089-7