Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jinhui Liu, Nanjing Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Abedalrhman Alkhateeb, University of Windsor, Canada Subhas Khajanchi, Presidency University, India Nur Damayanti, Indiana University, Purdue University Indianapolis, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Haiyan Zhu, zhuhaiyandoc@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cancer Genetics and Oncogenomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 07 May 2022 ACCEPTED 24 November 2022 PUBLISHED 06 December 2022

CITATION

Du X, Pu X, Wang X, Zhang Y, Jiang T, Ge Y and Zhu H (2022), A novel necroptosis-related InCRNA based signature predicts prognosis and response to treatment in cervical cancer. *Front. Genet.* 13:938250. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.938250

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Du, Pu, Wang, Zhang, Jiang, Ge and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A novel necroptosis-related IncRNA based signature predicts prognosis and response to treatment in cervical cancer

Xinyi Du[†], Xiaowen Pu[†], Xintao Wang, Yuchen Zhang, Ting Jiang, Yanjun Ge and Haiyan Zhu*

Department of Gynecology, Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Background: Necroptosis has been demonstrated to play a crucial role in the prognosis prediction and assessment of treatment outcome in cancers, including cervical cancer. The purpose of this study was to explore the potential prognostic value of necroptosis-related lncRNAs and their relationship with immune microenvironment and response to treatment in cervical cancer.

Methods: Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) were collected to obtain synthetic data matrices. Necroptosis-related lncRNAs were identified by Pearson Correlation analysis. Univariate Cox and multivariate Cox regression analysis and Lasso regression were used to construct a necroptosis-related LncRNAs signature. Kaplan-Meier analysis, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, nomogram, and calibration curves analysis were performed to validate this signature. Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA), immunoassays, and the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) were also analyzed.

Results: Initially, 119 necroptosis-related IncRNAs were identified based on necroptosis-related genes and differentially expressed lncRNAs between normal and cervical cancer samples. Then, a prognostic risk signature consisting of five necroptosis-related IncRNAs (DDN-AS1, DLEU1, RGS5, RUSC1-AS1, TMPO-AS1) was established by Cox regression analysis, and LASSO regression techniques. Based on this signature, patients with cervical cancer were classified into a low- or high-risk group. Cox regression confirmed this signature as an independent prognostic predictor with an AUC value of 0.789 for predicting 1-year OS. A nomogram including signature, age, and TNM stage grade was then established, and showed an AUC of 0.82 for predicting 1year OS. Moreover, GSEA analysis showed that immune-related pathways were enriched in the low-risk group; immunoassays showed that most immune cells, ESTIMAT scores and immune scores were negatively correlated with risk score and that the expression of immune checkpoint-proteins (CD27, CD48, CD200, and TNFRSF14) were higher in the low-risk group. In addition, patients in the low-risk group were more sensitive to Rucaparib, Navitoclax and Crizotinib than those in the high-risk group.

Conclusion: We established a novel necroptosis-related lncRNA based signature to predict prognosis, tumor microenvironment and response to treatment in cervical cancer. Our study provides clues to tailor prognosis prediction and individualized immunization/targeted therapy strategies.

KEYWORDS

necroptosis-related IncRNA, cervical cancer, immune, prognostic model, risk score

1 Introduction

Despite a downward trend in cervical cancer incidence due to the invention of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine and widespread cervical cancer screening, cervical cancer (CC) remains the fourth most common malignant disease among women, with an estimated 604,000 new cases and 342,000 deaths worldwide in 2020 (Sung et al., 2021). Highrisk HPV infection is regarded as a major cause of cervical cancer. Nevertheless, in addition to persistent HPV infection, the development of cervical cancer requires synergistic cancerpromoting factors (Cohen et al., 2019).

Necroptosis, a form of programmed necrotic cell death, has recently been reported to play a pivotal role in oncogenesis, cancer metastasis, and cancer immunity (Yan et al., 2022). In cervical cancer, RETRA (REactivation of Transcriptional Reporter Activity) induces necroptosis and increases ROS production (Mohanty et al., 2022), while receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 (RIPK3) expression is necessary for PolyIC-induced necroptosis (Schmidt et al., 2015). In addition, the hyponecrotic process may predict poor prognosis in HPV-positive cervical cancer by reducing tumor-associated M1 polarization of (Li et al., 2018).

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), a class of transcripts more than 200 nucleotides in length, have been suggested to play a pivotal role in tumor cell activity, participate in multi-gene regulatory networks, and serve as biomarkers for early tumor detection and prognosis (Huarte, 2015), including cervical cancer. LINC01305 suppresses the malignant progression of cervical cancer via miR-129-5p/Sox4 axis (Xu et al., 2020). HAND2-AS1 delays the progression of cervical cancer via its regulation of the microRNA-21-5p/TIMP3/VEGFA axis (Gao et al., 2021). Recent studies have detected a close correlation between lncRNAs and necroptosis (Jiang et al., 2021). NRF (necrosis-related factor) regulates programmed necrosis and myocardial injury during ischemia-reperfusion by targeting microRNA-873 (Wang et al., 2016), and lncRNA H19-derived microRNA-675 promotes liver necroptosis by targeting FADD (Harari-Steinfeld et al., 2021).

In recent years, multiple models have been developed to explore tumor mechanisms, assess cancer prognosis, and guide clinical treatment (Ghosh et al., 2017; Khajanchi and Nieto, 2021; Sardar et al., 2021). More recently, necroptosis-related lncRNAs have been extensively explored in predicting prognosis and immunotherapy response in gastric cancer (Zhao et al., 2021), stomach adenocarcinoma (Luo et al., 2022), breast cancer (Chen et al., 2022), lung adenocarcinoma (Lu et al., 2022), colon cancer (Liu et al., 2022). However, the potential role of necroptosisrelated lncRNAs in cervical cancer remains to be clearly elucidated.

In the current study, we first analyzed necroptosis-related lncRNAs in cervical cancer, then constructed a novel signature based on necroptosis-related lncRNA, and finally investigated the prognostic value of the signature and its relationship with the immune microenvironment and treatment response in cervical cancer. Hoping that provides clues to tailor prognosis prediction and targeted therapy strategies.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Obtaining information of patients with cervical cancer

The RNA transcriptome datasets (HTSeq-Counts and HTSeq-FPKM) and clinical information were downloaded from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc. cancer.gov/) to obtain synthetic data matrices on cervical cancer, and then we used "data.table", "tibble", "dplyr" and "tidyr" packages to convert FPKM values into TPM values of the synthetic matrix. Thus, we obtained two synthetic data matrices. The Counts value matrix was used to identify differentially expressed lncRNAs, while the TPM value matrix was used for the other analyses. To reduce bias in this analysis, we excluded cervical cancer patients with missing overall survival (OS) values or short OS values (<30 days). Based on relevant clinical information, we retrieved 306 patients and randomized them into training group and testing group in a 7:3 ratio using the Strawberry Perl and caret R package.

2.2 Processing necroptosis-related genes and LncRNAs

After searching for the term "necroptosis" in MSigDB (http://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/index. jsp) and

downloading necroptosis-related genes, using the necroptosis-related genes collated by Zhao et al. (2021), we obtained 67 profiles of necroptosis-related genes. Then, the synthesized data matrix was screened by the "limma", "edgR" software package, and we identified 5022 differentially expressed lncRNAs (|Log2 fold change (FC)| > 1, false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05, p < 0.05). Pearson correlation analysis was performed between 67 necroptosis-related genes and differentially expressed lncRNAs in the comprehensive matrices (|Pearson correlation coefficients| >0.3, and p < 0. 001). Finally, 119 necroptosis-related lncRNAs were identified (Burk et al., 2017).

2.3 Construction and validation of the risk signature

Univariate Cox (uni-Cox), multivariate Cox (multi-Cox) regression analysis and lasso regression were used to identify necroptosis-related lncRNAs associated with OS based on clinical data of cervical cancer cases in TCGA (p < 0.05) (Qin et al., 2021). The risk score was calculated as follows (Wang et al., 2018):

$$risk\,score = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (exp\,lncRNA^{k*}coeflncRNA^{k})$$

Cervical cancer patients included in the study were divided into high- and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. The different OS times of the high- and low-risk groups were analyzed with the Kaplan—Meier plotter using the R package "survival", "survminer", "glmnet", "caret", "timeROC", and "finalfit". Then, the model was built. Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the model were plotted at 1, 3 and 5 years by a calculation procedure.

2.4 Identification of independent prognostic factors and ROCs

Univariate Cox (uni-Cox) and multivariate Cox (multi-Cox) regression analyses were used to assess whether the signature and clinical characteristics were independent variable factors. Time-dependent ROC was used to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of independent prognostic factors.

2.5 Nomogram and calibration

Nomograms for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS were created using the "rms", "regplot", and "survivor" packages, and calibration curves based on the Hosmer-Lemeshow test were used to show whether the predicted results matched the actual results.

2.6 Gene set enrichment analyses

Curated gene sets (kegg.v7.4. symbols.gmt) were obtained from MSigDB (www.gsea-msigdb.org) and then the "clusterProfiler" package was used to identify significantly enriched pathways between the low- and high-risk groups (p < 0.05).

2.7 Immune cell infiltration analysis

Based on the GSEA results, we decided to analyze the immune-cell factors in the risk group. Immune cell infiltration was calculated on TIMER2.0 (http://timer.cistrome.org/) for TCGA cervical cancer samples, including TIMER, CIBERSORT, XCELL, QUANTISEQ, MCPcounter, EPIC, and CIBERSORT algorithm. In another way, we can download infiltration estimation profiles of all TCGA tumors on the same website. R packge estimate was used to estimate the level of stromal cells present and the level of immune cell infiltration in the tumor tissues based on expression data. Wilcoxon signed-rank test, "ggplot2" packages were performed in the analysis of the differences in the content of immune infiltrating cells, and the results were displayed in a bubble chart.

2.8 Exploration of drug therapy targeting risk model

To determine whether this signature could be used for drug therapy in cervical cancer patients, we calculated the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of targeted agents on the cervical cancer patients' dataset, dataset by "oncoPredict". Commonly targeted agents for cancer include Rucaparib, AZD8055, Bryostatin1, BX795, CHIR-99021, veliparib, Palbociclib, Embelin, DMOG, and Crizotinib. The difference in IC50 between the two risk groups was compared by Wilcoxon's test. Results were plotted with R packages "ggplot2".

3 Results

3.1 Identification of necroptosis-related LncRNAs in cervical cancer patients

The flow of the study was exhibited in Figure 1. Initially, we utilized gene expression and clinical information from three normal samples and 306 cancer samples to identify necroptosis-related lncRNAs in cervical cancer patients. According to the differentially expression of 67 necroptosis-related genes and lncRNAs between normal and tumor



samples, we obtained 119 necroptosis-related lncRNAs (|correlation coefficients|> 0.3 and p < 0.001), of which we screened 52 upregulated lncRNAs and 67 downregulated lncRNAs with |fold change| > 1, adjusted p < 0.05. Differentially expressed lncRNAs in normal and tumor tissues and necroptosis-related lncRNAs were visualized by heatmap and volcano plot using the "ggplot2" and "pheatmap" packages of R software in Supplementary Figures S1–S2.

3.2 Construction of a novel signature based on necroptosis-related LncRNAs

Next, we explored the prognostic potential of necroptosisrelated lncRNAs in cervical cancer patients. Using univariate Cox regression analysis, we detected 10 necroptosis-related lncRNAs significantly correlated with overall survival (OS) (all p < 0.05) (Figures 2A,B). Based on the 10 necroptosis-related lncRNAs



TABLE 1 The coefficients (coef) of the five necrosis-related lncRNAs with prognostic significance.

LncRNAs	DDN-AS1	DLEU1	RGS5	RUSC1-AS1	TMPO-AS1
Correlation coefficient	0.2805	0.5993	-0.3592	0.4950	-0.4184

screened above, further randomized LASSO regression technique was performed to construct signatures, and the results showed that nine necroptosis-related lncRNAs were appropriate for constructing prognostic signatures (Figures 2C,D) (Supplementary Table S1). Then we obtained five necroptosis-related lncRNAs by multivariate Cox regression analysis, of which 3 lncRNAs were upregulated and two IncRNAs were downregulated (Table 1). We then calculated the risk score using the following formula:

$$\begin{split} \textit{risk score} &= \text{DDN} - \text{AS1} \times (0.2805) + \text{DLEU1} \times (0.5993) \\ &+ \text{RGS5} \times (-0.3592) + \text{RUSC1} - \text{AS1} \times (0.4950) \\ &+ \text{TMPO} - \text{AS1} \times (-0.4184) \end{split}$$

Thus, all cervical cancer patients were classified as low- or high-risk group according to the median risk score calculated by the above formula, As shown in Figure 3, patients in the high-risk group had significantly shorter survival times than those in the low-risk group, whether in the training group, test group or entire group. In addition, stratification analyses based on clinicopathologic characteristics, including age, gender, grade, stage, T, M, and N, showed that this signature was significantly associated with OS in all sub-groups (Supplementary Figure S3).

3.3 Construction of a nomogram based on necroptosis-related LncRNAs signature

Subsequently, we further explored the prognostic value of necrosis-associated lncRNAs signature. As shown in Table 2, the signature was confirmed as an independent prognostic predictor in univariate Cox regression (HR = 1.351, 95%CI = 1.161-1.573), and multivariate Cox regression (HR = 1.299, 95%CI = 1.119-1.508). In addition to the signature, T4 (101.837 and 14.825-699.563; p < 0.001) and N1 (3.942 and 1.799-8.636; p < 0.001) were identified as independent prognostic factors (Table 2). Next, we constructed a nomogram based on the three independent prognostic factors mentioned above: necroptosis-related



Prognosis value of the novel necroptosis-related lncRNAs prognostic model. Exhibition of the novel necroptosis-related lncRNAs signature based on risk score of the training, testing, and entire sets, respectively. Survival time and survival status between low and high-risk groups in the training (A), testing (B), and entire sets (C), respectively. Heat map of five lncRNAs expression in the training (D), test (E), and entire sets (F), respectively. Kaplan–Meier survival curves of OS of patients between low- and high-risk groups in the training (G), test (H), and entire sets (I), respectively.

ID	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95%CI	<i>p</i> -value	
Risk score	1.351	1.161-1.573	<0.001	1.299	1.119-1.508	<0.001	
Age	0.969	0.938-1.001	0.054	_	_	_	
Grade-G2	1.315	0.626-2.766	0.470	_	_	_	
Grade-G3	1.040	0.494-2.189	0.918	_	_	_	
Grade-G4	< 0.001	0~∞	0.995	_	_	_	
T-T2	0.550	0.190-1.588	0.269	0.482	0.160-1.451	0.194	
T-T3	1.701	0.398-7.267	0.474	1.396	0.321-6.067	0.656	
T-T4	267.841	36.009-1992.260	< 0.001	101.837	14.825-699.563	< 0.001	
N-N1	3.902	1.842-8.268	<0.001	3.942	1.799-8.636	< 0.001	

IncRNAs signature, age, and TNM stage grade (Figure 4A). Using the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year calibration plots, we found that the nomogram had a good concordance with the prediction of 1-year OS (Figure 4B). Time-dependent receiver operating characteristics (ROC) were used to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of this novel signature on the prognosis. The area under curve (AUC) for the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS of this signature



Nomogram for predicting overall survival (OS) in patients with cervical cancer. (A) Nomogram integrating signature, age, and tumor stage predicts the probability 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS. (B) Calibration curves for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS.



Receiver operating characteristic curves for overall survival (OS). (A,B) ROC curves for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS in training (A), testing (B), and the entire sets (C), respectively. (D) The 1-year OS ROC curves foe signature, clinical features and nomogram.



were 0.798, 0.748, and 0.760 in the training set, 0.764, 0.606, and 0.586 in the test set, 0.789, 0.707, and 0.711 in the entire set, respectively (Figures 5A–C). The AUCs of the signature and the nomogram for 1-year OS were 0.789 and 0.821 respectively, which were superior to the clinical parameters (age, grade, T, N) (Figure 5D).

3.4 Altered pathways based on necroptosis-related LncRNAs signature

To explore the possible signaling pathways involved in necroptosis-related lncRNAs, GSEA was performed. As shown in Figure 6, we found that leukocyte transendothelial migration, primary immunodeficiency, intestinal immune network for IgA production, complement and coagulation cascades were reached in the low-risk group, while retinol metabolism, PPAR signaling pathway, pathways in cancer, melanoma, MAPK signaling pathway were enriched in the high-risk group (p < 0.05). Notably, immune-related pathways were enriched in the lowrisk group. Accordingly, we performed immunoassays on the established signature, especially in the low-risk group.

3.5 Immune-related analysis based on necroptosis-related LncRNAs signature

Using TIMER, CIBERSORT, XCELL, QUANTISEQ, MCPcounter, EPIC, and CIBERSORT algorithm, we explored the correlation between immune cells and risk score in the low-risk group and found majority of immune cells were negatively correlated with risk score (Figures 7A,B). We also calculated the

correlation between immune scores and signature. As show in Figure 7C, necroptosis-related lncRNAs signature showed a strong negative correlation with ESTIMAT scores and immune scores of cervical cancers. Moreover, ESTIMAT scores were significantly higher in the low-risk group than in the high-risk group. Moreover, immune checkpoint analysis showed higher expression of CD27, CD48, CD200, and TNFRSF14 in the low-risk group (Figure 7D).

3.6 Prediction of treatment response based on necroptosis-related LncRNAs signature

We explored the relationship between the signature and response to commonly targeted agents by calculating the IC50 and observed that patients in the low-risk group were more sensitive to Crizotinib (p = 0.00012), Rucaparib (p = 0.027), and Navitoclax (p = 0.0097) than those in high-risk group (Figure 8).

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first analysis of necrosisassociated lncRNAs in cervical cancer and will help provide an important basis for future studies. Initially, we identified five necrosis-related lncRNAs, including lncRNA DDN-AS1, lncRNA DLEU1, lncRNA RGS5, lncRNA RUSC1-AS1, and lncRNA TMPO-AS1, for the construction of a signature that independently predict cervical cancer prognosis. So far, lncRNA DDN-AS1, lncRNA TMPO-AS1, lncRNA RUSC1-AS1, and



IncRNA DLEU1 have been detected to be upregulated in cervical cancer and acted as promoters in cervical carcinogenesis (Liu et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Gang et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2020). LncRNA DDN-AS1-miR-15a/16-TCF3 feedback loop contributed to proliferation, migration, and invasion in cervical cancer (Liu et al., 2019). LncRNA TMPO-AS1 promoted proliferation, migration, and invasion of cervical cancer cell by regulating the miR-143-3p/ZEB1 axis (Gang et al., 2020). LncRNA RUSC1-AS1 was reported to promote cervical cancer tumorigenesis by upregulating the output of miR- 744-Bcl-2 axis (Guo et al., 2020). LncRNA DLEU1 promoted cervical cancer cell proliferation and invasion via the miR-381/ HOXA13 axis. In addition, overexpression of DLEU1 (Liu et al., 2018) and RUSC1-AS1 (Guo et al., 2020) was significantly associated with shorter overall survival of patients with cervical cancer. Our study is consistent with these previous reporters and reveals an important role of necrosis-associated lncRNAs in promoting the growth and/or progression of cervical cancer. RGS5 (regulator of G protein signaling 5), a family of GTPase activating proteins, is extensively up-regulated in a variety of malignant cells, including non-small cell lung cancer (Huang et al., 2012), renal cell carcinoma (Furuya et al., 2004), and ovarian cancer (Wang et al., 2019), and is associated with tumor growth and poor prognosis. The RGS5-TGFβ-Smad2/3 axis converts pro-to anti-apoptotic signaling in tumor-residing pericytes and assists tumor growth (Dasgupta et al., 2021). RGS5 reduces proliferation of cancer cell derived primary endothelial cells via MAPK/ERK signaling pathway under hypoxia (Wang et al., 2019) in ovarian cancer. Our results suggested that RGS5 is up-regulated in cervical cancer, but its molecular biological function needs further investigation.

Recently, there is appreciable evidence that necroptosisrelated lncRNAs can predict cancer prognosis and immunotherapy response. Zhao et al. (2021) reported that necroptosis-related lncRNAs could predict prognosis and help distinguish between cold and hot tumors in gastric cancer. In the study by Lu et al. (2022), a signature of seven necroptosis-related lncRNAs independently predicted the prognosis of patients with adenocarcinoma and provides lung guidance for immunotherapy. Similar results were obtained by the group of Liu et al. (2022), a signature of six necroptosis-related lncRNAs predicted survival in colon cancer and guided clinical drug. Our current study reported for the first time that a signature based on five necroptosis-related lncRNAs was an independent prognostic predictor of cervical cancer. Further ROC analysis confirmed that this signature predicted prognosis better than the clinical parameters (age, grade, T, N). Taken together, necroptosisrelated lncRNAs may be clinically sensitive indicators for assessing the prognosis of patients with cervical cancer.

Necroptosis, a regulated cell death mainly mediated by RIPK1 (receptor-interacting protein [RIP] kinase 1), RIPK3, and MLKL (mixed lineage kinase domain-like pseudokinase) (Gong et al., 2019), has recently been reported to play significant roles in regulating cancer progression and is considered to be a trigger and amplifier of antitumor immunity in cancer therapy. In the present study, we detected several top altered pathways based on necrosis-associated lncRNA signatures that are immune-related, which further supports the notion that necrosis alters the tumor immune microenvironment.

Immune cells play a crucial role in antitumor activity, and many studies have used immune cells to exert antitumor effects, such as the destructive CAR-T cell (chimeric antigen receptor) therapies (Nair and Westin, 2020), and dendritic cell (DC)



vaccines (Santos and Butterfield, 2018). Zhang et al. (2021) found that the main immune cell types in cervical cancer are B cells, T cells, natural killer cells (NK), and macrophages, and a recent study found that risk scores in an immune-related lncRNA based prognostic model of cervical cancer negatively correlated with macrophages M1, macrophages M2, myeloid dendritic cell, and CD8 + T cells, and positively correlated with macrophages M0 (Lv et al., 2022). In our study, we found that the risk score for necroptosis-related lncRNA prognostic signature was negatively correlated with most immune cells, including monocyte, myeloid dendritic cell activated, T cell follicular helper, T cell regulatory (Tregs) in the low-risk group. In summary, based on the enrichment of immune-related pathways in the low-risk group and the negative correlation of risk scores with most immune cells, we hypothesized that patients in the low-risk group might be more suitable for immunotherapy and our model could be used to assess the efficacy and prognosis of immunotherapy for patients.

Rucaparib is a PAPP inhibitor that has shown potential value as a novel targeted agent in the treatment of cervical cancer. Rucaparib exerts significant anti-proliferative effects and acts as an effective radiosensitizer in cervical cancer (Tang et al., 2019). Rucaparib antagonizes multidrug resistance in cervical cancer cells by blocking the function of ABC transporters (Chen et al., 2020). Our current study revealed that cervical cancer patients in the low-risk group exhibiting greater sensitivity to Rucaparib, suggesting that a novel signature based on necroptosis-related lncRNAs is a predictor of Rucaparib efficacy. The underlying mechanism may be that PARP-1 expression/activity may be upregulated in response to ongoing oxidative stress (HPV and inflammation) and further promote progression through NF-JB and NAD + depletion-induced necrosis (Tomao et al., 2020). Crizotinib, an inhibitor of mesenchymal epithelial transforming factor (c-MET) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), has shown promising anticancer effects in cervical cancer. Crizotinib induces anticancer activity in human cervical cancer cells through ROSdependent mitochondrial depolarization and induction of apoptosis pathways (Varma and Tiwari, 2021). In addition, the sensitivity of crizotinib could be enhanced by Na+/H+ exchanger regulator factor 1 (NHERFI) (Yang et al., 2020). Our current study also showed cervical cancer patients in lowrisk groups were more sensitivity to crizotinib. Collectively, our findings suggest that the low-risk patients may be more suitable for treatment with rucaparib and crizotinib, and our findings will help accelerate the exploration of rucaparib and crizotinib for the treatment of cervical cancer. Navitoclax is a novel inhibitor of Bcl-2 family proteins and has shown encouraging efficacy in small-cell lung cancer (Gandhi et al., 2011). There are few studies on Navitoclax in cervical cancer. In the current study, we showed that cervical cancer patients in low-risk groups were more sensitivity to Navitoclax, however, further studies are needed to determine whether Navitoclax can be used in the treatment of cervical cancer.

In the current study, we first constructed a prognostic model for necrosis-associated lncRNAs using univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis combined with LASSO regression to improve the accuracy and validity of the predictive model. Then, an easy-to-use line graph model was constructed based on Cox analysis in carefully screened patients with clinicopathological features, which would facilitate prediction in individual patients. We further evaluated the prognostic signature using ROC curves and AUC and confirmed that the signature showed good predictive value. Although we used many methods to successfully optimize our model, there are still some drawbacks and deficiencies. Firstly, this study is a retrospective study and there is some bias in the data. Second, we have performed internal validation with the test set and entire set in the model, but it is difficult to externally validate the prognosis. Finally, the mechanism by which these lncRNAs affect necroptosis remains unknown. Further functional and mechanistic studies of necroptosis-related lncRNAs are necessary.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we established a signature based on five necroptosis-related lncRNA that can independently predict cervical cancer prognosis. In addition, this signature can predict treatment response in cervical cancer. We found patients with cervical cancer in the low-risk group showed more sensitivity to rucaparib, crizotinib, and navitoclax, and our findings will help accelerate the exploration of these drugs for the treatment of cervical cancer.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

Study concept and design: XD and HZ. Acquisition of the data: XD, TJ, XP, and XW. Analysis and interpretation of the data: XD, TJ, XP, and XW. Statistical analysis: XD, TJ, XP, and XW. Drafting of the manuscript: XD, TJ, XP, XW, and HZ. Administrative, technical, or material support: XD, TJ, XP, and XW. Supervision: HZ. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Shanghai Municipal Health Commission, 202040129.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank contributions from the TCGA network.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2022.938250/full#supplementary-material

References

Burk, R. D., Chen, Z., Saller, C., Tarvin, K., Carvalho, A. L., Scapulatempo-Neto, C., et al. (2017). Integrated genomic and molecular characterization of cervical cancer. *Nature* 543 (7645), 378–384. doi:10.1038/nature21386

Chen, F., Yang, J., Fang, M., Wu, Y., Su, D., and Sheng, Y. (2022). Necroptosis-related lncRNA to establish novel prognostic signature and predict the immunotherapy response in breast cancer. J. Clin. Lab. Anal. 36, 4. doi:10.1002/jcla.24302

Chen, Z., Ling, K., Zhu, Y., Deng, L., Li, Y., and Liang, Z. (2020). Rucaparib antagonize multidrug resistance in cervical cancer cells through blocking the function of ABC transporters. *Gene* 759, 145000. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2020.145000

Cohen, P. A., Jhingran, A., Oaknin, A., and Denny, L. (2019). Cervical cancer. *Lancet* 393, 169–182. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X

Dasgupta, S., Ghosh, T., Dhar, J., Bhuniya, A., Nandi, P., Das, A., et al. (2021). RGS5-TGF β -Smad2/3 axis switches pro- to anti-apoptotic signaling in tumor-residing pericytes, assisting tumor growth. *Cell Death Differ.* 28 (11), 3052–3076. doi:10.1038/s41418-021-00801-3

Furuya, M., Nishiyama, M., Kimura, S., Suyama, T., Naya, Y., Ito, H., et al. (2004). Expression of regulator of G protein signalling protein 5 (RGS5) in the tumour vasculature of human renal cell carcinoma. *J. Pathol.* 203 (1), 551–558. doi:10.1002/path.1543

Gandhi, L., Camidge, D. R., Ribeiro de Oliveira, M., Bonomi, P., Gandara, D., Khaira, D., et al. (2011). Phase I study of Navitoclax (ABT-263), a novel Bcl-2 family inhibitor, in patients with small-cell lung cancer and other solid tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29 (7), 909–916. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.31.6208

Gang, X., Yuan, M., and Zhang, J. (2020). Long non-coding RNA TMPO-AS1 promotes cervical cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion by regulating miR-143-3p/ZEB1 Axis. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 12, 1587–1599. doi:10.2147/cmar.S226409

Gao, Y., Zou, T., Liang, W., Zhang, Z., and Qie, M. (2021). Long non-coding RNA HAND2-AS1 delays cervical cancer progression via its regulation on the microRNA-21-5p/TIMP3/VEGFA axis. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 28 (6), 619–633. doi:10.1038/s41417-020-00243-y

Ghosh, D., Khajanchi, S., Mangiarotti, S., Denis, F., Dana, S. K., and Letellier, C. (2017). How tumor growth can be influenced by delayed interactions between cancer cells and the microenvironment? *Biosystems*. 158, 17–30. doi:10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.05.001

Gong, Y., Fan, Z., Luo, G., Yang, C., Huang, Q., Fan, K., et al. (2019). The role of necroptosis in cancer biology and therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 18, 100. doi:10.1186/ s12943-019-1029-8

Guo, Q., Zhang, Q., Lu, L., and Xu, Y. (2020). Long noncoding RNA RUSC1-AS1 promotes tumorigenesis in cervical cancer by acting as a competing endogenous RNA of microRNA-744 and consequently increasing Bcl-2 expression. *Cell Cycle* 19 (10), 1222–1235. doi:10.1080/15384101.2020.1749468

Harari-Steinfeld, R., Gefen, M., Simerzin, A., Zorde-Khvalevsky, E., Rivkin, M., Ella, E., et al. (2021). The lncRNA H19-derived MicroRNA-675 promotes liver necroptosis by targeting FADD. *Cancers (Basel)* 13, 411. doi:10.3390/cancers13030411

Huang, G., Song, H., Wang, R., Han, X., and Chen, L. (2012). The relationship between RGS5 expression and cancer differentiation and metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Surg. Oncol.* 105 (4), 420–424. doi:10.1002/jso.22033

Huarte, M. (2015). The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. *Nat. Med.* 21 (11), 1253–1261. doi:10.1038/nm.3981

Jiang, N., Zhang, X., Gu, X., Li, X., and Shang, L. (2021). Progress in understanding the role of lncRNA in programmed cell death. *Cell Death Discov.* 7, 30. doi:10.1038/s41420-021-00407-1

Khajanchi, S., and Nieto, J. J. (2021). Spatiotemporal dynamics of a glioma immune interaction model. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 22385. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00985-1

Li, L., Yu, S., and Zang, C. (2018). Low necroptosis process predicts poor treatment outcome of human papillomavirus positive cervical cancers by decreasing tumor-associated macrophages M1 polarization. *Gynecol. Obstet. Invest.* 83 (3), 259–267. doi:10.1159/000487434

Liu, C., Tian, X., Zhang, J., and Jiang, L. (2018). Long non-coding RNA DLEU1 promotes proliferation and invasion by interacting with miR-381 and enhancing HOXA13 expression in cervical cancer. *Front. Genet.* 9, 629. doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00629

Liu, L., Huang, L., Chen, W., Zhang, G., Li, Y., Wu, Y., et al. (2022). Comprehensive analysis of necroptosis-related long noncoding RNA immune infiltration and prediction of prognosis in patients with colon cancer. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9, 811269. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2022.811269

Liu, Z., Wu, M., Shi, H., Huang, C., Luo, S., and Song, X. (2019). DDN-AS1-miR-15a/16-TCF3 feedback loop regulates tumor progression in cervical cancer. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 120 (6), 10228–10238. doi:10.1002/jcb.28307

Lu, Y., Luo, X., Wang, Q., Chen, J., Zhang, X., Li, Y., et al. (2022). A novel necroptosis-related lncRNA signature predicts the prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma. *Front. Genet.* 13, 862741. doi:10.3389/fgene.2022.862741

Luo, L., Li, L., Liu, L., Feng, Z., Zeng, Q., Shu, X., et al. (2022). A necroptosisrelated lncRNA-based signature to predict prognosis and probe molecular characteristics of stomach adenocarcinoma. *Front. Genet.* 13, 833928. doi:10. 3389/fgene.2022.833928

Lv, X., Liu, L., Li, P., Yuan, Y., Peng, M., Jin, H., et al. (2022). Constructing a novel signature based on immune-related lncRNA to improve prognosis prediction of cervical squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Reprod. Sci.* 29 (3), 800–815. doi:10. 1007/s43032-022-00851-z

Mohanty, S., Yadav, P., Lakshminarayanan, H., Sharma, P., Vivekanandhan, A., and Karunagaran, D. (2022). RETRA induces necroptosis in cervical cancer cells through RIPK1, RIPK3, MLKL and increased ROS production. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 920, 174840. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2022.174840

Nair, R., and Westin, J. (2020). CAR T-Cells. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1244, 215-233. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-41008-7_10

Qin, D., Lv, X., Liu, L., Li, P., Yuan, Y., Peng, M., et al. (2021). Constructing A novel signature based on immune-related lncrna to improve prognosis prediction of cervical squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Reprod. Sci.* 29, 800–815. doi:10.21203/ rs.3.rs-640056/v1

Santos, P. M., and Butterfield, L. H. (2018). Dendritic cell-based cancer vaccines. J. Immunol. 200, 443-449. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1701024

Sardar, M., Khajanchi, S., Biswas, S., Abdelwahab, S. F., and Nisar, K. S. (2021). Exploring the dynamics of a tumor-immune interplay with time delay. *Alexandria Eng. J.* 60 (5), 4875–4888. doi:10.1016/j.aej.2021.03.041

Schmidt, S. V., Seibert, S., Walch-Rückheim, B., Vicinus, B., Kamionka, E. M., Pahne-Zeppenfeld, J., et al. (2015). RIPK3 expression in cervical cancer cells is required for PolyIC-induced necroptosis, IL-1 α release, and efficient paracrine dendritic cell activation. *Oncotarget* 6 (11), 8635–8647. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.3249

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., et al. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Ca. Cancer J. Clin.* 71 (3), 209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660

Tang, M., Liu, Q., Zhou, L., Chen, L., Yang, X., Yu, J., et al. (2019). The poly (ADPribose) polymerase inhibitor rucaparib suppresses proliferation and serves as an effective radiosensitizer in cervical cancer. *Invest. New Drugs* 37 (1), 65–75. doi:10. 1007/s10637-018-0616-7

Tomao, F., Santangelo, G., Musacchio, L., Di Donato, V., Fischetti, M., Giancotti, A., et al. (2020). Targeting cervical cancer: Is there a role for poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition? *J. Cell. Physiol.* 235 (6), 5050–5058. doi:10.1002/jcp.29440

Varma, D. A., and Tiwari, M. (2021). Crizotinib-induced anti-cancer activity in human cervical carcinoma cells via ROS-dependent mitochondrial depolarization and induction of apoptotic pathway. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 47 (11), 3923–3930. doi:10.1111/jog.15003

Wang, D., Xu, Y., Feng, L., Yin, P., Song, S. S., Wu, F., et al. (2019). RGS5 decreases the proliferation of human ovarian carcinoma-derived primary endothelial cells through the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway in hypoxia. *Oncol. Rep.* 41 (1), 165–177. doi:10.3892/or.2018.6811

Wang, K., Liu, F., Liu, C. Y., An, T., Zhang, J., Zhou, L. Y., et al. (2016). The long noncoding RNA NRF regulates programmed necrosis and myocardial injury during ischemia and reperfusion by targeting miR-873. *Cell Death Differ.* 23 (8), 1394–1405. doi:10.1038/cdd.2016.28

Wang, Y., Farmer, M., Izaguirre, E. W., Schwartz, D. L., Somer, B., Tillmanns, T., et al. (2018). Association of definitive pelvic radiation therapy with survival among patients with newly diagnosed metastatic cervical cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 4 (9), 1288–1291. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.2677

Xu, Y., Zhu, H., Ma, H., Yuan, L., Hu, Q., and Yang, L. (2020). LINC01305 inhibits malignant progression of cervical cancer via miR-129-5p/Sox4 axis. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 12 (11), 7581–7592.

Yan, J., Wan, P., Choksi, S., and Liu, Z. G. (2022). Necroptosis and tumor progression. *Trends Cancer* 8 (1), 21-27. doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2021.09.003

Yang, F., Hu, M., Chang, S., Huang, J., Si, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2020). Alteration in the sensitivity to crizotinib by Na(+)/H(+) exchanger regulatory factor 1 is dependent to its subcellular localization in ALK-positive lung cancers. *BMC Cancer* 20 (1), 202. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-6687-9

Zhang, Y., Yu, M., Jing, Y., Cheng, J., Zhang, C., Cheng, L., et al. (2021). Baseline immunity and impact of chemotherapy on immune microenvironment in cervical cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 124 (2), 414–424. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-01123-w

Zhao, Z., Liu, H., Zhou, X., Fang, D., Ou, X., Ye, J., et al. (2021). Necroptosisrelated lncRNAs: Predicting prognosis and the distinction between the cold and hot tumors in gastric cancer. *J. Oncol.* 2021, 6718443. doi:10.1155/2021/ 6718443