Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zhi-Ping Liu, Shandong University, China

REVIEWED BY Mengqin Yuan, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, China Sweta Talyan, Max Planck Institute for Heart and Lung Research, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Guoli Zhou, ⊠ zhoug@msu.edu Hanne M. Hoffmann, ⊠ hanne@msu.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Computational Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 23 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 11 January 2023

CITATION

Zhou G, Fichorova RN, Holzman C, Chen B, Chang C, Kasten EP and Hoffmann HM (2023), Placental circadian lincRNAs and spontaneous preterm birth. *Front. Genet.* 13:1051396. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1051396

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhou, Fichorova, Holzman, Chen, Chang, Kasten and Hoffmann. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Placental circadian lincRNAs and spontaneous preterm birth

Guoli Zhou¹*, Raina N. Fichorova², Claudia Holzman³, Bin Chen⁴, Chi Chang³, Eric P. Kasten^{1,5} and Hanne M. Hoffmann⁶*

¹Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States, ²Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States, ⁴Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States, ⁵Department of Radiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States, ⁶Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) have a much higher cell- and/or tissue-specificity compared to mRNAs in most cases, making them excellent candidates for therapeutic applications to reduce off-target effects. Placental long non-coding RNAs have been investigated in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia (often causing preterm birth (PTB)), but less is known about their role in preterm birth. Preterm birth occurs in 11% of pregnancies and is the most common cause of death among infants in the world. We recently identified that genes that drive circadian rhythms in cells, termed molecular clock genes, are deregulated in maternal blood of women with spontaneous PTB (sPTB) and in the placenta of women with preeclampsia. Next, we focused on circadian genes-correlated long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs, making up most of the long non-coding RNAs), designated as circadian lincRNAs, associated with sPTB. We compared the co-altered circadian transcripts-correlated lincRNAs expressed in placentas of sPTB and term births using two published independent RNAseq datasets (GSE73712 and GSE174415). Nine core clock genes were up- or downregulated in sPTB versus term birth, where the RORA transcript was the only gene downregulated in sPTB across both independent datasets. We found that five circadian lincRNAs (LINC00893, LINC00265, LINC01089, LINC00482, and LINC00649) were decreased in sPTB vs term births across both datasets ($p \le .0222$, FDR≤.1973) and were negatively correlated with the dataset-specific clock genesbased risk scores (correlation coefficient r = $-.65 \sim -.43$, $p \leq .0365$, FDR $\leq .0601$). Gene set variation analysis revealed that 65 pathways were significantly enriched by these same five differentially expressed lincRNAs, of which over 85% of the pathways could be linked to immune/inflammation/oxidative stress and cell cycle/apoptosis/ autophagy/cellular senescence. These findings may improve our understanding of the pathogenesis of spontaneous preterm birth and provide novel insights into the development of potentially more effective and specific therapeutic targets against sPTB.

KEYWORDS

preterm (birth), molecular clock, lincRNA, transcriptional co-alteration, GSVA analysis

1 Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB) is a major contributor to infant mortality, morbidity, and later-life handicapping conditions (Chang et al., 2013). The global PTB rate is about 11% (Chang et al., 2013; Middleton et al., 2018). Approximately 40%–45% of PTBs are spontaneous preterm labor, 25%–30% are related to preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), and 30%–35% are attributed to medically indicated preterm deliveries (Goldenberg et al., 2008). Both

spontaneous preterm labor and PPROM are often combined and designated as spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) (Goldenberg et al., 2008). Many factors have been associated with an increased risk of sPTB such as impaired placenta function, maternal age and race, previous PTB history, vaginal bleeding during pregnancy, shortened cervix, smoking, and maternal chronic conditions (e.g., high blood pressure, diabetes, autoimmune disease, and depression) (Goldenberg et al., 2008). Despite intensive research, the pathophysiology and the relevant molecular mechanisms of sPTB remain unclear, which might explain why we lack the effective means of sPTB prediction/ prevention.

The placenta is the anatomic interface between mother and fetus and plays a critical role in regulating nutrient supply to the fetus and producing hormones that control both fetal and maternal physiology during pregnancy (Illsley, 2011; McNamara and Kay, 2011). Therefore, the placenta has become a promising target for investigating the etiology and/or pathophysiology of sPTB and subsequently for developing precision diagnosis and therapeutics of sPTB. Studies on global placental gene expression profiling linked to sPTB are emerging and have provided promising novel insights into the etiology and pathogenesis of sPTB (Ackerman et al., 2016; Huusko et al., 2021; Lien et al., 2021). One possible mechanism is that the placental "molecular clock", a cell endogenous time-keeping mechanism, might be required for female reproductive success. Studies in mice have shown that loss of molecular clock function reduces reproductive success (Miller et al., 2004; Kennaway et al., 2012; Sen and Hoffmann, 2020; Yaw et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2021), and is associated with increased risk of mis-timed birth and dystocia (Ratajczak et al., 2012; Miller and Takahashi, 2014). Our recent studies in human placenta indicate that co-alterations of the molecular clock mRNAs NR1D2, CLOCK and PER3 are significantly associated with term preeclampsia (Zhou et al., 2022), whereas coalteration of CLOCK and CRY2 at the mRNA levels in second trimester maternal blood are significantly associated with an increased risk of sPTB (Zhou et al., 2021a). However, the expression pattern of core molecular clock genes in placentas of sPTB vs term births, as well as the underlying mechanism(s) are still unknown.

LncRNAs are a class of endogenous, non-protein coding RNAs longer than 200 nucleotides. Long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) represent the major type of lncRNAs. The function of lncRNAs include chromatin modification, transcriptional regulation, and RNA processing (Fernandes et al., 2019; Statello et al., 2021). Expression of many lncRNAs (including lincRNAs) have much higher cell- and/or tissue-specificity compared to mRNAs, making them excellent candidates for therapeutic applications to reduce off-target effects (Arun et al., 2018). Growing evidence indicates that lncRNAs play an important role in the pathogenesis of various chronic diseases (Yarani et al., 2018; Das et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2021b). Placental lncRNAs specifically have been implicated in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia (a disease often leading to PTB), e.g., regulating the proliferation, invasion, and migration of placental trophoblast cells (Chen et al., 2020; Monteiro et al., 2021). However, to date, we are aware of only one published, small (10 PPROM cases) clinical study investigating the relationship between placental lncRNAs and PTB (Luo et al., 2013). Thus, more rigorous studies are needed to further clarify the potential role of placental lncRNAs in relation to PTB. On the other hand, studies with mouse models have revealed that a large number of lncRNAs are regulated by the molecular clock (Fan et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). However, to date, no reports on placental circadian genes-related lincRNAs associated with PTB including sPTB have been found.

In this study, we compared the co-altered circadian transcriptscorrelated lincRNAs, designated as circadian lincRNAs, in placentas of sPTB versus term birth groups using two independent RNAseq datasets (GSE73712 and GSE174415) from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. We selected 14 core circadian transcripts (*ARNTL, ARNTL2, CLOCK, CRY1, CRY2, NPAS2, NPAS3, NR1D1, NR1D2, PER1, PER2, PER3, RORA,* and *TIMELESS*) based on our previous study (Zhou et al., 2022) and the detectability of their mRNAs in both datasets. We also analyzed the circadian lincRNAs-correlated and sPTB-associated biological pathways using the Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) (Zhou et al., 2022).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design, selection of patients, and demographics

The GSE73712 was a set of RNAseq data of human placentas from 10 term births and 20 sPTBs, among which half of both sPTB and term births were sampled from the villous trophoblast region and another half from the decidual basalis region of placentas (Ackerman et al., 2016). The samples in this data set excluded those with chromosomal aneuploidy, fetal structural abnormalities, multi-fetal gestations, uterine contractions at term, known maternal medical conditions (e.g., chronic hypertension, preeclampsia, diabetes, thrombophilias, thyroid disease), viral infection (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus), and fetal heart rate abnormalities (Ackerman et al., 2016). The sPTB group was a mixture of preterm labor (defined as the presence of regular uterine contractions and documented cervical effacement and/or dilatation in patients <37 weeks of gestational age) and PPROM (confirmed by vaginal "pooling", and positive "nitrazine" or "ferning" tests) (Ackerman et al., 2016). The race/ethnicity of the study population is unclear in the original research (Ackerman et al., 2016).

The GSE174415 dataset was derived from 32 African American women's placental tissues (16 sPTB and 16 term births) (Lien et al., 2021). Placenta samples were collected from mid-placenta near the cord insertion on the fetal side (Lien et al., 2021). sPTB was defined as preterm labor (defined as regular contractions and cervical dilation) or PPROM occurring between >20 and <37 weeks of gestational age (Lien et al., 2021). Women with multiple gestations, fetal chromosomal abnormalities, major fetal anomalies, intrauterine growth fetal demise, intrauterine restriction, clinical chorioamnionitis, induction of labor, elective cesarean delivery, gestational diabetes, and gestational hypertension or preeclampsia were excluded (Lien et al., 2021).

Individual-level information about demographic and clinical characteristics associated with placental specimens were not available in both datasets. All sequenced reads in. fastq files were generated by using the Illumina HiSeq system, aligned to the reference human genome (hg38), and curated as gene-level raw (i.e., non-normalized) read counts in both studies (Ackerman et al., 2016; Lien et al., 2021). We re-analyzed the gene-level raw read counts in both datasets (see below for details).



2.2 Bioinformatics and statistical analyses

Figure 1 is a bioinformatics pipeline that we developed to identify sPTB-associated circadian lincRNAs and their correlated pathways with two independent datasets. Before starting with this pipeline, the duplicates of the transcript ID or name were removed by keeping the highest value. Then, the two datasets were filtered by the setting of the raw counts>10 (i.e., counts-per-million (cpm) > .5) in at least 70% samples followed by log (cpm+1)-transformation and trimmed mean of the M-values (TMM) normalization using the edgeR package (Robinson et al., 2010). The normalized data were further limma-voom-transformed (Law et al., 2014) and then used to compute moderated t-statistics with eBayes () function in limma R package for detecting differentially expressed (DE) transcripts (Ritchie et al., 2015). Next, we retrieved 14 core circadian transcripts (ARNTL, ARNTL2, CLOCK, CRY1, CRY2, NPAS2, NPAS3, NR1D1, NR1D2, PER1, PER2, PER3, RORA, and TIMELESS) based on our previous study (Zhou et al., 2022) and the detectability of their mRNAs in both datasets. The mRNA levels (log (cpm+1)) of all these circadian genes in placentas were summarized as means with standard deviations (SDs) together with the moderated t statistics, p-values, and false discovery rates (FDRs) that were extracted from the output of the limma-voom DE transcripts' analysis. The cut-off values for statistical significance were set as p < .05 and FDR q < .25 (Drizik et al., 2020). In order to obtain the DE lincRNAs that are correlated with the identified DE clock genes as a whole, we used an unweighted linear combination of the DE clock transcripts to generate a composite score (Zhou et al., 2022), designated as "DE clock genes-based risk score" (Figure 1). The levels of DE lincRNAs were extracted from the output of the limma-voom analysis of the same filtered and normalized RNAseq datasets and then the correlations of the DE clock genes-based risk score with the DE lincRNAs were examined using the Pearson correlation method (Figure 1). The significant DE lincRNAs correlated with the DE clock genes-based risk score were determined with the cut-off significance values of p < .05 and FDR<.10 (Zhou et al., 2020), which were designated as sPTB-associated circadian lincRNAs. Finally, we overlapped the two pools of significant sPTBassociated circadian lincRNAs identified from the two independent datasets to generate common significant sPTB-associated circadian lincRNAs across the two datasets, designated as circadian lincRNAs (Figure 1). In order to explore whether these five circadian lincRNAs have functional similarities, we retrieved their genomic locations and transcript sequences from the GeneCards Suite databases (Stelzer et al., 2016) and NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq) database (NCBI Entrez Gene) (O'Leary et al., 2016) followed by a multiple sequence alignment to find the transcript sequence identity among these five lincRNAs via a web program - Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) (Madeira et al., 2022). In order to capture the variation in these five circadian lincRNAs between sPTB and term births, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) of all samples using R prcomp () and autoplot () functions.

Next, the Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) analysis was conducted with two datasets (log (cpm+1)-transformed) to explore the biological pathways that are related to the identified circadian lincRNAs, respectively, by referring to our previous method (Zhou et al., 2022) (Figure 1). Compared to the classical gene set enrichment analysis, the GSVA method increases the statistical power to detect subtle changes of pathway activity over a sample population (Hänzelmann et al., 2013). We used the pathway subcollection - c2. cp.v7.5.1. symbols.gmt in the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). The differential pathways between sPTB and term births were examined using *limma* R package (Ritchie et al., 2015) with the cut-offs of p < .05 and FDR<.25 (Figure 1). Similarly, in order to obtain the DE pathways that are correlated with five common circadian DE lincRNAs as a whole, we used an unweighted linear combination of the five common circadian DE lincRNAs to generate a

Gene	Term	sPTB	Moderated t	p	FDR	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)				
GSE73712 dataset ($n = 10$	0 for Term, $n = 20$ for sPTB)					
ARNTL	3.45 (.32)	3.62 (.33)	1.25	.2203	.5278	
ARNTL2	5.49 (.25)	5.42 (.27)	-1.03	.3109	.6158	
CLOCK	6.59 (.17)	6.60 (.14)	66	.5116	.7658	
CRY1	5.01 (.17)	4.90 (.15)	-2.01	.0533	.2868	
CRY2	4.92 (.20)	5.04 (.23)	.92	.3656	.6642	
NPAS2	4.97 (.36)	4.65 (.33)	-3.14	.0037	.0841	
NPAS3	1.64 (.85)	1.19 (.65)	-1.59	.1231	.4032	
NR1D1	1.93 (.51)	1.22 (.93)	-2.53	.0169	.1750	
NR1D2	6.64 (.40)	6.12 (.57)	-2.98	.0056	.1003	
PER1	4.71 (.37)	5.22 (.67)	2.06	.0484	.2759	
PER2	5.13 (.53)	5.00 (.31)	-1.02	.3145	.6193	
PER3	3.48 (.43)	3.00 (.43)	-3.37	.0020	.0615	
RORA	6.50 (.41)	6.04 (.34)	-3.51	.0014	.0535	
TIMELESS	4.35 (.18)	4.51 (.43)	.83	.4148	.7028	
GSE174415 dataset (n = 1	6 for Term, n = 16 for sPTB)					
ARNTL	3.04 (.43)	3.35 (.38)	2.65	.0120	.1475	
ARNTL2	5.67 (.21)	5.69 (.29)	.17	.8630	.9393	
CLOCK	6.17 (.25)	6.21 (.22)	.44	.6639	.8366	
CRY1	5.19 (.17)	4.91 (.23)	-3.05	.0043	.0975	
CRY2	4.35 (.30)	4.27 (.17)	98	.3319	.6087	
NPAS2	4.52 (.50)	4.33 (.40)	-1.51	.1408	.4114	
NPAS3	.71 (.57)	.28 (.56)	-2.19	.0357	.2257	
NR1D1	.27 (.76)	.12 (1.04)	54	.5932	.7987	
NR1D2	6.09 (.46)	6.08 (.33)	12	.9075	.9600	
PER1	3.54 (.62)	3.41 (.44)	75	.4606	.7102	
PER2	4.99 (.30)	4.55 (.39)	-3.65	.0008	.0498	
PER3	2.17 (.62)	2.30 (.50)	.69	.4950	.7333	
RORA	6.33 (.34)	6.04 (.42)	-2.28	.0292	.2075	
TIMELESS	3.44 (.46)	3.68 (.33)	1.89	.0665	.2954	

TABLE 1 Differential expressions of detectable circadian gene transcripts in placenta between sPTB and term births.

*Cut-off values for statistical significance were set as p < .05, FDR <.25.

composite score (Zhou et al., 2022), designated as "common circadian DE lincRNAs-based risk score" (Figure 1). Then, the correlations between the circadian lincRNAs-based risk score and the sPTB-associated pathways were analyzed using the Pearson correlation method (p < .05 and FDR<.10) (Figure 1). Finally, two pools of sPTB-associated pathways correlated with common circadian lincRNAs-based risk score were overlapped to generate common pathways (Figure 1).

All data management and statistical analyses described above were conducted with R (R Development Core Team) and SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

3 Results

3.1 Differentially expressed (DE) circadian genes in placental tissue between sPTB and term groups

After removing the transcripts with very low counts across all the libraries, we had a total of 15,929 and 14,195 transcripts remaining in the GSE73712 and GSE174415 datasets, respectively, which included 14 core molecular clock genes as well as 175 and 106 lincRNAs, respectively (data not shown). *Limma-voom* moderated t-tests revealed that 2,347 and 2562 DE transcripts were detected from the GSE73712 (1,321 down- and 1,116 upregulated) and GSE174415 (1,318 down- and 1,244 upregulated), respectively (Figure 1). Among these DE transcripts, nine core molecular clock genes were differentially expressed between the two groups (*NPAS2*, *NR1D1*, *NR1D2*, *PER3*, and *RORA* in GSE73712; *ARNTL*, *CRY1*, *NPAS3*, *PER2*, and *RORA* in GSE174415) (Figure 1).

In the GSE73712 the average expressions of DE core molecular clock gene transcripts (*NPAS2*, *NR1D1*, *NR1D2*, *PER3*, and *RORA*) were lower in sPTB than in term birth (Table 1; Figure 2). In contrast, in the GSE174415 dataset, the mean of *ARNTL* gene transcript was significantly higher in sPTB than in term, while four other molecular clock genes (*CRY1*, *NPAS3*, *PER2*, and *RORA*) were significantly decreased in sPTB vs term (Table 1; Figure 2). Among these 9 DE clock genes, only the *RORA* transcript was robustly downregulated in sPTB vs term across both independent datasets (Table 1). The remaining circadian gene transcripts were not significantly associated with sPTB in both datasets (Table 1; Figure 2).



FIGURE 2

Violin plots of five differentially expressed core clock genes in sPTB vs Term in two datasets. Violin plots for **(A)** GSE73712, and **(B)** GSE174415. The red loosely dashed line in the center of each violin box represents the mean and the white dotted lines in the center represent the confidence limits for the population mean. All *p*-values on the top of the bars were generated by the moderated *t*-test in limma R package.

TABLE 2 Five common lincRNAs associated v	with both sPTB (vs Ter	rm) and co-alteration of clock (gene transcripts in placenta	across two independent datasets.
			gene compensation in procession	deress the manufactor antesses

LincRNA	Term (n = 10) sPTB (n = 20) Moderated t for DE lincRNAs			lincRNAs	Clock genes-based risk score ^a correlation			
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)		pb	FDR ^ь		pc	FDR ^c
GSE73712 (Term	= 10, sPTB = 20)							
LINC00893	2.79 (.36)	2.35 (.52)	-3.12	.0039	.0855	64 (81,37)	<.0001	.0014
LINC00265	3.53 (.24)	2.98 (.33)	-5.30	<.0001	.0059	61 (80,32)	.0002	.0019
LINC01089	3.96 (.23)	3.79 (.22)	-2.41	.0222	.1973	50 (73,17)	.0040	.0144
LINC00482	4.82 (.51)	4.34 (.37)	-3.31	.0024	.0670	45 (70,10)	.0124	.0316
LINC00649	3.52 (.27)	3.11 (.43)	-3.33	.0023	.0656	38 (65,03)	.0365	.0601
GSE174415 (Term = 16, sPTB = 16)								
LINC00893	2.85 (.94)	1.86 (.93)	-3.36	.0019	.0694	50 (72,19)	.0030	.0075
LINC00265	3.07 (.59)	2.55 (.70)	-2.80	.0083	.1274	43 (67,09)	.0144	.0234
LINC01089	2.39 (.38)	1.80 (.44)	-4.19	.0002	.0279	65 (81,39)	<.0001	.0025
LINC00482	4.41 (.43)	3.86 (.49)	-3.11	.0037	.0914	51 (73,19)	.0027	.0075
LINC00649	3.54 (.41)	3.02 (.37)	-3.93	.0004	.0368	45 (69,12)	.0091	.0175

^aFor GSE73712 dataset, the clock genes-based risk score = (6-NPAS2) + (3-NR1D1) + (8-NR1D2) + (5-PER3) + (7-RORA) based on the identified differentially expressed (DE) clock genes in Table 1 and their corresponding maximum values. For GSE174415 dataset, the clock genes-based risk score = ARNTL + (6-CRY1) + (2-NPAS3) + (6-PER2) + (7-RORA) based on the identified DE, clock genes in Table 1 and their corresponding maximum values.

^bCut-off values for moderated t tests were set as p < .05 and FDR<.25.

°Cut-off values for multiple Pearson correlations were set as p < .05 and FDR<.10.

3.2 Common circadian DE lincRNAs in placental tissue between sPTB and term groups across two datasets

As described above, after removing the transcripts with very low counts across all the libraries, there were 175 and 106 lincRNAs detectable in GSE73712 and GSE174415 datasets, respectively (data not shown). To adapt the data for downstream moderated *t*-test, the *Limma-voom* was applied. The results revealed that 28 and 25 DE lincRNA transcripts were detected from the GSE73712 and GSE174415, respectively (Figure 1).

To find the circadian DE lincRNAs, we first linearly combined the levels of the five molecular clock gene transcripts identified in each dataset, designated as a combined clock genes-based risk score (Figure 1). Specifically, for a "protective" clock gene (i.e., the expression of the gene is downregulated in sPTB), we used this "protective" gene's maximum value among all samples minus this gene's expression value for a given individual sample to represent the contribution of this 'protective' gene in the defined clock genesbased risk score (Zhou et al., 2022). Based on the identified DE clock genes in Table 1 and their corresponding maximum values, the clock genes-based risk score equaled [(6-NPAS2) + (3-NR1D1) + (8-NR1D2) + (5-PER3) + (7-RORA)] for GSE73712 and [ARNTL + (6-CRY1) + (2-NPAS3) + (6-PER2) + (7-RORA)] for GSE174415, respectively (Table 2, see footnote). Then, we applied the Pearson correlation method to analyze the correlations between the clock genes-based risk score and DE lincRNAs in the two datasets, separately (Figure 1). The results demonstrated there were 18 and 20 DE lincRNAs significantly correlated with the molecular clock genes-based risk score in GSE73712 and GSE174415 (p < .05 and FDR<.10), respectively (Figure 1). Finally, we obtained five common circadian DE lincRNAs (*LINC00893*, *LINC00265*, *LINC01089*, *LINC00482*, and *LINC00649*) across the two datasets by overlapping these two pools of the circadian DE lincRNAs (Figure 1; Table 2).

As shown in Table 2 and Figure 3, the average expressions of all five circadian lincRNA transcripts (*LINC00893*, *LINC00265*, *LINC01089*, *LINC00482*, and *LINC00649*) in both datasets were significantly lower in sPTB than in term birth. According to Table 1, eight out of nine clock genes in at least one of two datasets were significantly decreased in sPTB vs term, which were accompanied by the significant decrease of all five circadian lincRNAs



FIGURE 3

Violin plots of the expressions of five common circadian DE lincRNAs in sPTB vs Term in two datasets. Violin plots for (A) GSE73712, and (B) GSE174415. The red loosely dashed line in the center of each violin box represents the mean and the white dotted lines in the center represent the confidence limits for the population mean. All p-values on the top of the bars were generated by the moderated t-test in limma R package



between the clock genes-based risk score with each of the 5 DE lincRNA for (A) GSE73712 dataset, (B) GSE174415. The dots represent raw data points, and the lines represent linear regression lines for the relationships of clock genes-based risk score with each of five common circadian DE lincRNAs. The clock genesbased risk score = [(6-NPAS2) + (3-NR1D1) + (8-NR1D2) + (5-PER3) + (7-RORA)] for GSE73712 and [ARNTL + (6-CRY1) + (2-NPAS3) + (6-PER2) + (7-RORA)] RORA)] for GSE174415, respectively.

(Table 2). Using the dataset-specific clock genes-based risk score, we found that there were significantly negative correlations between this risk score and these individual circadian DE lincRNAs in both datasets (Figure 4). Further analysis with the multiple sequence alignment revealed that there are about 41.1%-53.1% sequence identity among these five circadian lincRNAs (Supplementary Table S1). PCA analysis of these five circadian lincRNAs in the two datasets reveals that there are two major clusters: one clusters between 0 and .3 or .2 on x-axis (PC1) and the other clusters between -.3 and 0 on x-axis (Supplementary Figure S1). The PCA plot also demonstrates that both groups have a substantial variability in the expression of five circadian lincRNAs across individual samples, i.e., the heterogeneity of these five circadian lincRNAs in the samples (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.3 Biological pathways predicted to be enriched by the five common circadian lincRNAs in sPTB vs term births across two datasets

In order to observe the global biological pathways that are associated with sPTB vs term births, we converted the log (cpm+1)-transformed transcript matrix into a GSVA pathway score matrix at the individual sample level using the GSVA method (Hänzelmann et al., 2013), followed by the analysis of the sPTBenriched pathways using the classical limma R package (Law et al., 2014) for two datasets, separately (Figure 1). The results indicated that there were 460 and 494 pathways significantly associated with sPTB (data not shown) (Figure 1).

Similar to the clock genes-based risk score, we also linearly combined the levels of the five circadian lincRNA transcripts in the two datasets, designated as circadian lincRNAs-based risk score, which equaled [(5-LINC01089) + (6-LINC00482) + (4-LINC00893) + (5-LINC00649) + (4-LINC00265) for GSE73712, and (4-LINC01089) + (5-LINC00482) + (5-LINC00893) + (5-LINC00649) + (5-LINC00265) for GSE174415 (Table 2). Then, we applied the Pearson correlation method to analyze the correlations between the five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score and DE pathways in the two datasets, separately (Figure 1). The results revealed that there were 181 and 422 DE pathways significantly correlated with the five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score in GSE73712 and GSE174415 (p < .05 and FDR<.10), respectively (Figure 1). Finally, we overlapped the two pools of the pathways and obtained a total of 65 pathways (5 down- and 60 upregulated) that were enriched by the five circadian lincRNAs in sPTB across the two datasets (Supplementary Tables S2, S3). As shown in Figure 5, the identified top 5 decreased or increased pathways in sPTB in the GSE73712 dataset were also observed to be significantly decreased or increased in sPTB, respectively, in the GSE174415 dataset. Furthermore, these decreased or increased pathways were observed to be negatively or positively correlated with the five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score, respectively, in both datasets (Figure 6).

Based on a literature review and our previous studies (Zhou et al., 2022), we manually searched the published research papers (regardless of cell origin and mammalian species) to examine possible links between



Violin plots of top 5 decreased or increased pathway scores in sPTB vs Term in two datasets. Violin plots of the top 5 decreased pathways for (A) GSE73712, and (B) GSE174415, and the top five increased pathways for (D) GSE73712, and (E) GSE174415. The names of the predicted (C) down and (F) upregulated pathways identified in (A), (B) and (D), (E), respectively. The red loosely dashed line in the center of each violin box represents the mean and the white dotted lines in the center represent the confidence limits for the population mean. The pathway scores were generated by the GSVA R package. All *p*-values on the top of the bars were generated by the moderated *t*-test in limma R package (Tables S2 and S3).



FIGURE 6

Visualization of the correlations between five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score and top 5 decreased or increased pathway scores in two datasets. Linear correlation between the five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score and each of top 5 decreased pathway scores for **(A)** GSE73712 dataset, **(B)** GSE174415. Linear correlation between the five circadian lincRNAs-based risk score and each of top five increased pathways for **(C)** GSE73712 dataset, **(D)** GSE174415. The dots represent raw data points, and the lines represent linear regression lines for the relationships of five lincRNAs-based risk score with each of top five pathways. The five lincRNAs-based risk score = [(5-LINC01089) + (6-LINC00482) + (4-LINC00893) + (5-LINC00649) + (4-LINC00265)] for GSE73712, and [(4-LINC01089) + (5-LINC00482) + (5-LINC00649) + (5-LINC00265)] for GSE174415, respectively. The pathway scores were generated by the GSVA R package. The names of the identified up and downregulated pathways are indicated in Figures 5C, F, respectively.

Five common circadian LincRNAs-Based risk Score ^a -Correlated	GSE73712		GSE1			
patnway	r (95% CL)	pb	FDR⁵	r (95% CL)	Pb	FDR⁵
Decreased pathways in sPTB			_			
^{c,d} PID BMP Pathway	44 (69,09)	.015	.0304	44 (69,11)	.0106	.0146
CREACTOME NOTCH4 intracellular domain regulates transcription	40 (67,05)	.0268	.0495	49 (71,17)	.0042	.0064
^{cd} Reactome regulation of gene expression in late stage branching morphogenesis pancreatic bud precursor cells	49 (73,16)	.0049	.0122	61 (79,34)	.0001	.0003
^{c,d} Reactome RUNX3 regulates notch signaling	46 (70,12)	.0102	.0221	62 (80,35)	<.0001	.0003
'Reactome TRAF6 mediated IRF7 activation	41 (67,06)	.0238	.0449	63 (80,36)	<.0001	.0003
Increased pathways in sPTB						
^c BIOCARTA COMP pathway	.55 (.23, .76)	.0015	.0045	.49 (.17, .72)	.0040	.0062
^{c,d} BIOCARTA EIF4 pathway	.49 (.15, .72)	.0057	.0134	.40 (.06, .66)	.0219	.0277
^d BIOCARTA TFF pathway	.55 (.23, .76)	.0014	.0043	.35 (.00, .62)	.0496	.0581
^d KEGG Alzheimers disease	.43 (.08, .68)	.0183	.0358	.72 (.49, .85)	<.0001	.0003
^c KEGG beta alanine metabolism	.42 (.07, .68)	.0211	.0403	.58 (.28, .77)	.0004	.0008
^{d,e} KEGG butanoate metabolism	.40 (.05, .67)	.0270	.0497	.58 (.29, .77)	.0003	.0007
^c KEGG cardiac muscle contraction	.38 (.02, .65)	.0378	.0654	.72 (.49, .85)	<.0001	.0003
^{c,e,f} KEGG glycine serine and threonine metabolism	.53 (.21, .75)	.0023	.0066	.57 (.28, .77)	.0005	.001
^e KEGG propanoate metabolism	.49 (.16, .72)	.005	.0124	.56 (.26, .76)	.0007	.0013
^d KEGG pyrimidine metabolism	.39 (.03, .66)	.0323	.0574	.53 (.22, .74)	.0015	.0026
KEGG renin angiotensin system	.59 (.30, .79)	.0004	.0014	.61 (.33, .79)	.0002	.0005
KEGG steroid biosynthesis	.48 (.14, .71)	.0071	.0162	.72 (.50, .86)	<.0001	.0003
REACTOME acyl chain remodelling of PG	.44 (.09, .69)	.0151	.0305	.45 (.13, .69)	.0083	.0118
REACTOME ADP signalling through P2Y PURINOCEPTOR 1	.61 (.33, .80)	.0002	.0008	.53 (.23, .74)	.0013	.0023
REACTOME ADP signalling through P2Y PURINOCEPTOR 12	.49 (.16, .72)	.0055	.0132	.66 (.40, .82)	<.0001	.0003
REACTOME antigen processing cross presentation	.45 (.10, .70)	.0123	.0257	.72 (.50, .86)	<.0001	.0003
^C REACTOME cellular response to chemical stress	38 (02, 65)	0384	0657	71 (48, 85)	< 0001	0003
^d RFACTOME CHK1 CHK2 CDS1 mediated inactivation of cyclin B	52 (20, 74)	0028	0077	62 (34 79)	0001	0003
CDK1 complex	(120, 17 1)	10020	10077	102 (10 1, 17 7)	10001	10000
^{c,d} REACTOME CILIUM assembly	.39 (.03, .65)	.0346	.0610	.37 (.02, .63)	.0391	.0471
dREACTOME cooperation of PDCL PHLP1 and TRIC CCT in G protein beta	.45 (.11, .70)	.0112	.0239	.64 (.37, .81)	<.0001	.0003
folding						
^d REACTOME cooperation of prefoldin and TRIC CCT in ACTIN and tubulin folding	.47 (.14, .71)	.0074	.0167	.56 (.27, .76)	.0006	.0012
CREACTOME Creation of C4 and C2 activators	.61 (.32, .79)	.0002	.0008	.40 (.05, .65)	.0242	.0303
^d REACTOME Cyclin D associated events in G1	.37 (.01, .64)	.0445	.0747	.67 (.43, .83)	<.0001	.0003
REACTOME diseases of carbohydrate metabolism	.61 (.32, .79)	.0002	.0008	.64 (.37, .81)	<.0001	.0003
^d REACTOME folding of actin by CCT TRIC	.43 (.08, .68)	.0174	.0342	.49 (.17, .71)	.0043	.0066
REACTOME G beta gamma signalling through PI3KGAMMA	.61 (.32, .80)	.0002	.0008	.37 (.03, .64)	.0338	.0413
^d REACTOME G protein activation	58 (27, 78)	0006	0020	56 (26, 76)	0007	0013
^d REACTOME G protein beta gamma signalling	54 (23, 76)	0016	0047	35 (00, 62)	0480	0567
dREACTOME gap junction degradation	49 (15, 72)	0058	0136	63 (37, 81)	< 0001	0003
dRFACTOME glucagon type ligand recentors	49 (16, 72)	0053	0130	59 (30, 78)	0003	0007
^C REACTOME gluconeogenesis	51 (18, 74)	0035	0090	56 (26, 76)	0007	0013
^d REACTOME dutamate and dutamine metabolism	55 (23, 76)	0014	0043	50 (18, 72)	0033	0052
SPEACTOME glutathione conjugation	53 (21, 75)	0023	0045	63 (36, 80)	< 0001	.0032
^d PEACTOME dwogen synthesis	60 (30, 79)	.0023	.0000	74 (53, 87)	< 0001	.0003
SPEACTOME infaction with Muschastavium tubarculasis	.00 (.30, .79)	.0002	0202	44 (10, 69)	0120	.0005
ACTOME Interior with Mycobacterium tuberculosis	.40 (.12, .71)	.0092	.0202	.44 (.10, .08)	.0120	.0103
SPEACTOME matchelism of amino acide and derivatives	.45 (.11, .70)	.0121	.0255	.00(.40, .02)	< 0001	.0003
EACTOME metabolism of align and staring a	.40 (.05, .00)	.028	.0509	.08 (.43, .83)	<.0001	.0005
FDEACTOME metabolism of lotate and ptermes	.00 (.30, ./9)	.0004	.0014	.40 (.05, .65)	.0242	.0303
SDEACTOME NEE we lister have not been for the family of th	.52 (.20, .74)	.0026	.00/2	.07 (.42, .83)	<.0001	.0003
recruiting them to clathrin adapters	.37 (.02, .65)	.0410	.0693	.47 (.15, .70)	.0060	.0088
^d REACTOME pentose phosphate pathway	.49 (.15, .72)	.0056	.0133	.53 (.23, .74)	.0013	.0023
CREACTOME protein folding	.57 (.26, .77)	.0008	.0026	.66 (.40, .82)	<.0001	.0003
^{c,d} REACTOME signaling by robo receptors	.43 (.08, .68)	.0169	.0334	.60 (.32, .79)	.0002	.0005
^e REACTOME synthesis of very long chain fatty acyl coas	.51 (.19, .74)	.0031	.0082	.79 (.61, .89)	<.0001	.0003
CREACTOME the role of NEF in HIV 1 replication and disease pathogenesis	.56 (.25, .77)	.0010	.0032	.47 (.14, .70)	.0065	.0094

TABLE 3 Common pathways that are enriched by both five circadian lincRNAs in placenta and sPTB (vs Term) across two independent datasets.

(Continued on following page)

Five common circadian LincRNAs-Based risk Score ^a -Correlated	GSE7	73712		GSE174415		
раттway	r (95% CL)	pb	FDR⁵	r (95% CL)	P ^b	FDR ^b
CREACTOME Thromboxane signalling through TP receptor	.58 (.28, .78)	.0006	.0020	.65 (.39, .81)	<.0001	.0003
deREACTOME TP53 regulates metabolic genes	.42 (.07, .68)	.0209	.0403	.64 (.38, .81)	<.0001	.0003
°REACTOME translation	.38 (.03, .65)	.0352	.0613	.58 (.29, .77)	.0004	.0008
°REACTOME WNT5A dependent internalization of FZD2 FZD5 and ROR2	.55 (.24, .76)	.0013	.004	.57 (.28, .77)	.0005	.001
^d WP amino acid metabolism	.53 (.20, .74)	.0024	.0068	.71 (.47, .85)	<.0001	.0003
^d WP cholesterol metabolism with bloch and Kandutschrussell pathways	.63 (.35, .81)	.0001	.0005	.77 (.57, .88)	<.0001	.0003
^d WP common pathways underlying drug addiction	.52 (.19, .74)	.003	.0081	.55 (.25, .75)	.0009	.0017
"WP metabolic reprogramming in colon cancer	.49 (.16, .72)	.0054	.0131	.58 (.29, .77)	.0003	.0007
^c WP metapathway biotransformation phase I and II	.60 (.30, .79)	.0004	.0014	.73 (.51, .86)	<.0001	.0003
^d WP Mitochondrial complex III assembly	.45 (.11, .70)	.0118	.025	.53 (.22, .74)	.0015	.0026
^d WP Nanoparticle triggered regulated necrosis	.54 (.23, .76)	.0015	.0045	.42 (.08, .67)	.0170	.0219
^f WP Onecarbon metabolism	.38 (.02, .65)	.0383	.0657	.44 (.11, .69)	.0105	.0145
^f WP Onecarbon metabolism and related pathways	.53 (.22, .75)	.0019	.0056	.54 (.23, .75)	.0012	.0021
^{c,d} WP purine metabolism and related disorders	.63 (.34, .80)	.0001	.0005	.60 (.31, .78)	.0002	.0005
^{c,d} WP RALA downstream regulated genes	.60 (.31, .79)	.0003	.0012	.70 (.46, .84)	<.0001	.0003

TABLE 3 (Continued) Common pathways that are enriched by both five circadian lincRNAs in placenta and sPTB (vs Term) across two independent datasets.

^aFor GSE73712 dataset, the five common lincRNAs-based risk score = (5-LINC01089) + (6-LINC00482) + (4-LINC00893) + (5-LINC00649) + (4-LINC00265) based on the identified five common lincRNAs, in Table 2 and their corresponding maximum values. For GSE174415 dataset, the five common lincRNAs-based risk score = (4-LINC01089) + (5-LINC00482) + (5-

 $^{\rm b}$ Cut-off values for multiple Pearson correlations were set as p < .05 and FDR<.10.

"The category of immune, inflammation, and oxidative stress.

^dThe category of cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, and cellular senescence.

"The category of energy metabolism and protein synthesis.

^fThe category of methylation.

each of these 65 pathways and at least one of the physiological/ pathophysiological processes including "cell cycle", "apoptosis", "autophagy", "cellular senescence", "immune", "inflammation", "oxidative stress", "energy metabolism", "protein synthesis", and/or "methylation" in the PubMed database. The results suggested that out of the 65 pathways: about 31 can be linked to the cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, and cellular senescence; 34 relate to immune, inflammation, and oxidative stress; eight relate to energy metabolism and protein synthesis; and five are associated with methylation (Table 3). Some of these pathways can be linked simultaneously to multiple processes described above (Table 3).

4 Discussion

In the present study, we found that nine core molecular clock genes are dysregulated and are accompanied by the robust and significant decrease of five circadian lincRNAs in the placenta in sPTB vs term births across two independent datasets. We also found that these five circadian lincRNAs share more than 40% sequence identity, suggesting that they are very likely to share some functional similarities (Pearson, 2013). To our knowledge these findings for the first time suggest that both core molecular clock genes and lincRNAs in the placenta may be coordinately associated with sPTB. Some lncRNAs have been reported to be controlled by molecular clock genes (Qi et al., 2020) or regulate the transcriptional expression of molecular clock genes (Wang et al., 2020). However, the cause-effect relationship between the identified molecular clock genes and the five lincRNAs in the placenta in sPTB vs term is unknown. Both LINC00893 and LINC00265 have been linked to circadian rhythm pathways in cancers (Ge et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). The five lincRNAs identified here have also been reported to be involved in proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, inflammation, angiogenesis, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in various cancer cells (Li et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2021b; Ou et al., 2021; Zhi et al., 2021). These cancer pathways partially overlap with our findings from the pathway analysis of these five circadian lincRNAs (see below for details). It must be mentioned that our PCA analysis reveals a substantial heterogeneity of the expression of these five circadian lincRNAs across individual samples in both groups in two datasets. Such heterogeneity might be related to the variations in time-of-day/time-of-year of the sample collection and/or placental locations of sampling as well as the heterogeneous sPTB subtypes.

The core molecular clock genes associated with the five circadian lincRNAs in our two datasets are not exactly the same (NPAS2, NR1D1, NR1D2, PER3, and RORA in GSE73712; ARNTL, CRY1, NPAS3, PER2, and RORA in GSE174415). This might be due to the following possible differences between datasets: 1) time of day for sample collection; 2) race/ethnicity (unknown in GSE73712 and African American in GSE174415); 3) definition of sPTB, 4) sampling regions of the placenta; and/or 5) inclusion/exclusion criteria of the participants. Interestingly, the RORA gene transcript was decreased in the sPTB group among both datasets. In mice, the Rora gene (a homolog of the human RORA gene) mediates the control of cell autonomous BMAL1 oscillations via a ROR/REV-ERB-response element (RORE)-dependent mechanism whereas the CLOCK: BMAL1 heterodimer drives rhythmic transcription of other core molecular clock genes via their binding to the E-box elements in the promoters of these target genes (Kondratov et al., 2003; Akashi and Takumi, 2005; Solt et al., 2011). Thus, the placental RORA gene might function as a gatekeeper to control the circadian rhythmic expressions

of these sPTB-associated lincRNAs. Our results indicate that the *RORA* gene may be a robust target for investigating the circadian lincRNA-sPTB relationship and underlying mechanisms. Further, in our recent study (Zhou et al., 2022), we found that the placenta from women with preeclampsia presented with increased *CRY1* mRNA as well as reduced *NR1D2* and *PER3* mRNA. Therefore, there appears to be some degree of specificity in the change of placental molecular clock genes in different pregnancy complications.

Using the GSVA method, we observed that a total of 65 pathways are significantly enriched by the five circadian lincRNAs in the placenta and can be broadly grouped into immune/inflammation/oxidative stress, cell cycle/apoptosis/autophagy/cellular senescence as well as energy metabolism/protein synthesis- and/or methylation-related pathways. It is considered that among the major mechanisms underlying the etiology and/or pathogenesis of sPTB are immune responses and inflammation at the maternal-fetal interface (Romero et al., 2014; Brien et al., 2019; Goldstein et al., 2020; Green and Arck, 2020; Humberg et al., 2020; Parris et al., 2021). sPTB has also been linked to placental oxidative stress (Chang et al., 2013; Menon, 2014; Polettini et al., 2014; Perrone et al., 2016; Saroyo et al., 2021), cellular senescence (Cha and Aronoff, 2017; Menon et al., 2017; Saroyo et al., 2021), apoptosis (Tarquini et al., 2018; Kovács et al., 2020), autophagy (Agrawal et al., 2015; Cao et al., 2016), methylation (Tilley et al., 2018; Mani et al., 2019; Schuster et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022), and energy metabolism (Elshenawy et al., 2020; Lien et al., 2020; Lien et al., 2021). In addition, there is evidence that protein synthesis is inversely related to birth weight and gestational age (Johnson and Metcoff, 1986). Taken together, our results suggest that the identified five circadian lincRNAs might be involved in placental intertwined pathophysiological processes leading to sPTB. The 65 identified pathways warrant further investigation to elucidate the functions of the five placental circadian lincRNAs in relation to sPTB and possible therapeutic targets for prevention of sPTB. Larger clinical and experimental studies are needed to further clarify the relationships among molecular clock genes, lincRNAs, and sPTB as well as the underlying mechanisms.

Major strengths of our study are the robust bioinformatics approach and the use of two independent datasets to improve the generalizability of the results. Among the limitations are a lack of information about the time-of-day/time-of-year of the sample collection, incomplete list of the lncRNAs in both datasets, relatively small sample size (20 sPTBs in GSE73712, 16 sPTBs in GSE174415), no detailed pathological information about the placental samples, non-available maternal demographics (race, age, and parity) in one or two datasets, different sPTB definitions, different placental locations of sampling in the two datasets, bulk RNAseq data only (not single-cell RNAseq data), possible bias introduced by no labor in term in the dataset GSE73712, and a lack of experimental validation of the identified circadian lincRNAs associated with sPTB and their enriched biological pathways.

In conclusion, the decrease in levels of five circadian lincRNA transcripts in sPTB placentas is associated with the altered pathways involving cellular senescence, apoptosis, autophagy, immune response, inflammation, oxidative stress, energy metabolism, protein synthesis, and methylation. Further exploration of these five circadian genes-correlated lincRNAs and their associated pathways may improve our understanding of the pathogenesis of sPTB and provide novel insights into the development of potentially more effective and specific therapeutic targets to prevent sPTB.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi? acc=GSE73712 NCBI GEO GSE174415.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board at the University of Pennsylvania (protocol #821376, GSE174415) and by the Human Investigation Committee of Yale University and the Institutional Review Board of the Ohio State University (GSE73712). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

GZ conceived and designed the study. GZ, RF, CH, BC, CC, EK. and HH drafted the manuscript. All authors had final approval of the submitted and published versions.

Funding

This research was funded by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch project MICL1018024 (HH).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Alexandra Yaw and Brooke Devries for proofreading the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.1051396/ full#supplementary-material

References

Ackerman, W. E., Buhimschi, I. A., Eidem, H. R., Rinker, D. C., Rokas, A., Rood, K., et al. (2016). Comprehensive RNA profiling of villous trophoblast and decidua basalis in pregnancies complicated by preterm birth following intra-amniotic infection. *Placenta* 44, 23–33. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2016.05.010

Agrawal, V., Jaiswal, M. K., Mallers, T., Katara, G. K., Gilman-Sachs, A., Beaman, K. D., et al. (2015). Altered autophagic flux enhances inflammatory responses during inflammation-induced preterm labor. *Sci. Rep.* 5 (1), 9410. doi:10.1038/srep09410

Akashi, M., and Takumi, T. (2005). The orphan nuclear receptor RORalpha regulates circadian transcription of the mammalian core-clock Bmall. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 12 (5), 441–448. doi:10.1038/nsmb925

Arun, G., Diermeier, S. D., and Spector, D. L. (2018). Therapeutic targeting of long noncoding RNAs in cancer. *Trends Mol. Med.* 24 (3), 257–277. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2018. 01.001

Brien, M-E., Baker, B., Duval, C., Gaudreault, V., Jones, R. L., and Girard, S. (2019). Alarmins at the maternal-fetal interface: Involvement of inflammation in placental dysfunction and pregnancy complications 1. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 97 (3), 206–212. doi:10.1139/cjpp-2018-0363

Cao, B., Macones, C., and Mysorekar, I. U. (2016). ATG16L1 governs placental infection risk and preterm birth in mice and women. *JCI Insight* 1, e86654. JCI Insight. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.86654

Cha, J. M., and Aronoff, D. M. (2017). A role for cellular senescence in birth timing. *Cell Cycle* 16 (21), 2023–2031. Cell Cycle. doi:10.1080/15384101.2017.1371888

Chang, H. H., Larson, J., Blencowe, H., Spong, C. Y., Howson, C. P., Cairns-Smith, S., et al. (2013). Preventing preterm births: Analysis of trends and potential reductions with interventions in 39 countries with very high human development index. *Lancet* 381, 223–234. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61856-X

Chen, Q., Jiang, S., Liu, H., Gao, Y., Yang, X., Ren, Z., et al. (2020). Association of lncRNA SH3PXD2A-AS1 with preeclampsia and its function in invasion and migration of placental trophoblast cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 11 (7), 583. doi:10.1038/s41419-020-02796-0

Das, S., Shah, R., Dimmeler, S., Freedman, J. E., Holley, C., Lee, J-M., et al. (2020). Noncoding RNAs in cardiovascular disease: Current knowledge, tools and technologies for investigation, and future directions: A scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circ. Genom. Precis. Med.* 13 (4), e000062. Circ Genomic Precis Med. doi:10. 1161/HCG.000000000000062

Drizik, E., Corbett, S., Zheng, Y., Vermeulen, R., Dai, Y., Hu, W., et al. (2020). Transcriptomic changes in the nasal epithelium associated with diesel engine exhaust exposure. *Environ. Int.* 137, 105506. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105506

Elshenawy, S., Pinney, S. E., Stuart, T., Doulias, P-T., Zura, G., Parry, S., et al. (2020). The metabolomic signature of the placenta in spontaneous preterm birth. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (3), 1043. doi:10.3390/ijms21031043

Fan, Z., Zhao, M., Joshi, P. D., Li, P., Zhang, Y., Guo, W., et al. (2017). A class of circadian long non-coding RNAs mark enhancers modulating long-range circadian gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45 (10), 5720–5738. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx156

Fernandes, J., Acuña, S., Aoki, J., Floeter-Winter, L., and Muxel, S. (2019). Long noncoding RNAs in the regulation of gene expression: Physiology and disease. *Noncoding. RNA* 5 (1), 17. doi:10.3390/ncrna5010017

Ge, H., Yan, Y., Yue, C., Liang, C., and Wu, J. (2019). Long noncoding RNA LINC00265 targets egfr and promotes deterioration of colorectal cancer: A comprehensive study based on data mining and *in vitro* validation. *Onco Targets Ther.* 12, 10681–10692. doi:10.2147/OTT.S227482

Goldenberg, R. L., Culhane, J. F., Iams, J. D., and Romero, R. (2008). Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet* 371, 75–84. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4

Goldstein, J. A., Gallagher, K., Beck, C., Kumar, R., and Gernand, A. D. (2020). Maternal-fetal inflammation in the placenta and the developmental origins of health and disease. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 531543. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.531543

Green, E. S., and Arck, P. C. (2020). Pathogenesis of preterm birth: Bidirectional inflammation in mother and fetus. *Semin. Immunopathol.* 42 (4), 413–429. doi:10.1007/s00281-020-00807-y

Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R., and Guinney, J. (2013). Gsva: Gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinforma*. 14, 7. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-7

Hoffmann, H. M., Meadows, J. D., Breuer, J. A., Yaw, A. M., Nguyen, D., Tonsfeldt, K. J., et al. (2021). The transcription factors SIX3 and VAX1 are required for suprachiasmatic nucleus circadian output and fertility in female mice. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 99, 2625–2645. doi:10.1002/jnr.24864

Humberg, A., Fortmann, I., Siller, B., Kopp, M. V., Herting, E., Göpel, W., et al. (2020). Preterm birth and sustained inflammation: Consequences for the neonate. *Semin. Immunopathol.* 42 (4), 451–468. doi:10.1007/s00281-020-00803-2

Huusko, J. M., Tiensuu, H., Haapalainen, A. M., Pasanen, A., Tissarinen, P., Karjalainen, M. K., et al. (2021). Integrative genetic, genomic and transcriptomic analysis of heat shock protein and nuclear hormone receptor gene associations with spontaneous preterm birth. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 17115. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-96374-9

Illsley, N. P. (2011). "Placental metabolism," in *The placenta* (Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell), 50–56.

Johnson, C., and Metcoff, J. (1986). Relation of protein synthesis to plasma and cell amino acids in neonates. *Pediatr. Res.* 20 (2), 140–146. doi:10.1203/00006450-198602000-00009

Kennaway, D. J., Boden, M. J., and Varcoe, T. J. (2012). Circadian rhythms and fertility. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 349, 56–61. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2011.08.013

Kondratov, R. V., Chernov, M. V., Kondratova, A. A., Gorbacheva, V. Y., Gudkov, A. V., and Antoch, M. P. (2003). BMAL1-dependent circadian oscillation of nuclear CLOCK: Posttranslational events induced by dimerization of transcriptional activators of the mammalian clock system. *Genes Dev.* 17 (15), 1921–1932. doi:10.1101/gad.1099503

Kovács, P., Joó, J. G., Tamás, V., Molnár, Z., Burik-Hajas, D., Bódis, J., et al. (2020). The role of apoptosis in the complex pathogenesis of the most common obstetrics and gynaecology diseases. *Physiol. Int.* 107 (1), 106–119. doi:10.1556/2060.2020.00014

Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W., and Smyth, G. K. (2014). voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol.* 15 (2), R29. doi:10. 1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29

Li, Y., Cui, S., Shi, W., Yang, B., Yuan, Y., Yan, S., et al. (2020). Differential placental methylation in preeclampsia, preterm and term pregnancies. *Placenta* 93, 56–63. doi:10. 1016/j.placenta.2020.02.009

Li, Y., Wang, J., Wang, F., Gao, C., Cao, Y., and Wang, J. (2021). Development and verification of an autophagy-related IncRNA signature to predict clinical outcomes and therapeutic responses in ovarian cancer. *Front. Med.* 8, 715250. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021. 715250

Lien, Y-C., Zhang, Z., Barila, G., Green-Brown, A., Elovitz, M. A., and Simmons, R. A. (2020). Intrauterine inflammation alters the transcriptome and metabolome in placenta. *Front. Physiol.* 11, 592689. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.592689

Lien, Y-C., Zhang, Z., Cheng, Y., Polyak, E., Sillers, L., Falk, M. J., et al. (2021). Human placental transcriptome reveals critical alterations in inflammation and energy metabolism with fetal sex differences in spontaneous preterm birth. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (15), 7899. doi:10.3390/ijms22157899

Luo, X., Shi, Q., Gu, Y. Y., Pan, J., Hua, M., Liu, M., et al. (2013). LncRNA pathway involved in premature preterm rupture of membrane (PPROM): An epigenomic approach to study the pathogenesis of reproductive disorders. *PLoS One* 8 (11), e79897. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079897

Madeira, F., Pearce, M., Tivey, A. R. N., Basutkar, P., Lee, J., Edbali, O., et al. (2022). Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, W276–W279. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac240

Mani, S., Ghosh, J., Lan, Y., Senapati, S., Ord, T., Sapienza, C., et al. (2019). Epigenetic changes in preterm birth placenta suggest a role for ADAMTS genes in spontaneous preterm birth. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 28 (1), 84–95. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy325

McNamara, J. M., and Kay, H. H. (2011). "Placental hormones: Physiology, disease, and prenatal diagnosis," in *The placenta* (Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell), 57–65.

Menon, R., Mesiano, S., and Taylor, R. N. (2017). Programmed fetal membrane senescence and exosome-mediated signaling: A mechanism associated with timing of human parturition. *Front. Endocrinol.* 8, 196. doi:10.3389/fendo.2017.00196

Menon, R. (2014). Oxidative stress damage as a detrimental factor in preterm birth pathology. *Front. Immunol.* 5, 567. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00567/abstract

Middleton, P., Shepherd, E., and Crowther, C. A. (2018). Induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 5, CD004945. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004945.pub4

Miller, B. H., Olson, S. L., Turek, F. W., Levine, J. E., Horton, T. H., and Takahashi, J. S. (2004). Circadian Clock mutation disrupts estrous cyclicity and maintenance of pregnancy. *Curr. Biol.* 14 (15), 1367–1373. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.07.055

Miller, B. H., and Takahashi, J. S. (2014). Central circadian control of female reproductive function. *Front. Endocrinol.* 4, 195. (Lausanne). doi:10.3389/fendo.2013. 00195

Monteiro, L. J., Peñailillo, R., Sánchez, M., Acuña-Gallardo, S., Mönckeberg, M., Ong, J., et al. (2021). The role of long non-coding RNAs in trophoblast regulation in preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction. *Genes (Basel)* 12 (7), 970. doi:10.3390/genes12070970

O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., et al. (2016). Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: Current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D733–D745. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1189

Ou, X., Zhou, X., Li, J., Ye, J., Liu, H., Fang, D., et al. (2021). p53-Induced LINC00893 regulates RBFOX2 stability to suppress gastric cancer progression. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 796451. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.796451

Parris, K. M., Amabebe, E., Cohen, M. C., and Anumba, D. O. (2021). Placental microbial-metabolite profiles and inflammatory mechanisms associated with preterm birth. J. Clin. Pathol. 74 (1), 10–18. doi:10.1136/jclinpath-2020-206536

Pearson, W. R. (2013). An introduction to sequence similarity ("Homology") searching. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* 42 (1). doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi0301s42

Perrone, S., Tataranno, M. L., Negro, S., Longini, M., Toti, M. S., Alagna, M. G., et al. (2016). Placental histological examination and the relationship with oxidative stress in preterm infants. *Placenta* 46, 72–78. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2016.08.084

Polettini, J., Silva, M. G., Kacerovsky, M., Syed, T. A., Saade, G., and Menon, R. (2014). Expression profiles of fetal membrane nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases (NOX) 2 and 3 differentiates spontaneous preterm birth and pPROM pathophysiologies. *Placenta* 35 (3), 188–194. Placenta. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2013.12.012

Qi, F., Jiang, Z., Hou, W., Peng, B., Cheng, S., Zhang, X., et al. (2020). The clockcontrolled lncRNA-ak028245 participates in the immune response via immune response factors OTUD7B and A20. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 35 (6), 542–554. doi:10.1177/ 0748730420944328

Ratajczak, C. K., Asada, M., Allen, G. C., McMahon, D. G., Muglia, L. M., Smith, D., et al. (2012). Generation of myometrium-specific Bmall knockout mice for parturition analysis. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 24, 759–767. doi:10.1071/RD11164

Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43 (7), e47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26 (1), 139–140. Bioinformatics. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

Romero, R., Dey, S. K., and Fisher, S. J. (2014). Preterm labor: One syndrome, many causes. *Science*(80) 345, 760–765. doi:10.1126/science.1251816

Saroyo, Y. B., Wibowo, N., Irwinda, R., Prijanti, A. R., Yunihastuti, E., Bardosono, S., et al. (2021). Oxidative stress induced damage and early senescence in preterm placenta. *J. Pregnancy* 2021, 9923761. doi:10.1155/2021/9923761

Schuster, J., Uzun, A., Stablia, J., Schorl, C., Mori, M., and Padbury, J. F. (2019). Effect of prematurity on genome wide methylation in the placenta. *BMC Med. Genet.* 20 (1), 116. doi:10.1186/s12881-019-0835-6

Sen, A., and Hoffmann, H. M. H. M. (2020). Role of core circadian clock genes in hormone release and target tissue sensitivity in the reproductive axis. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 501, 110655. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2019.110655

Solt, L. A., Kojetin, D. J., and Burris, T. P. (2011). The REV-ERBs and RORs: Molecular links between circadian rhythms and lipid homeostasis. *Future Med. Chem.* 3 (5), 623–638. doi:10.4155/fmc.11.9

Statello, L., Guo, C-J., Chen, L-L., and Huarte, M. (2021). Gene regulation by long noncoding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 22, 96–118. doi:10.1038/ s41580-020-00315-9

Stelzer, G., Rosen, N., Plaschkes, I., Zimmerman, S., Twik, M., Fishilevich, S., et al. (2016). The GeneCards suite: From gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* 54 (1), 1. doi:10.1002/cpbi.5

Tarquini, F., Picchiassi, E., Coata, G., Centra, M., Bini, V., Meniconi, S., et al. (2018). Induction of the apoptotic pathway by oxidative stress in spontaneous preterm birth: Single nucleotide polymorphisms, maternal lifestyle factors and health status. *Biomed. Rep.* 9, 81–89. doi:10.3892/br.2018.1103

Tilley, S. K., Martin, E. M., Smeester, L., Joseph, R. M., Kuban, K. C. K., Heeren, T. C., et al. (2018). Placental CpG methylation of infants born extremely preterm predicts

cognitive impairment later in life. *PLoS One* 13 (3), e0193271. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0193271

Wang, H., Di, X., Bi, Y., Sun, S., and Wang, T. (2021). Long non-coding RNA LINC00649 regulates YES-associated protein 1 (YAP1)/Hippo pathway to accelerate gastric cancer (GC) progression via sequestering miR-16-5p. *Bioengineered* 12, 1791–1802. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.1924554

Wang, S., Lin, Y., Li, F., Qin, Z., Zhou, Z., Gao, L., et al. (2020). An NF- κ B-driven lncRNA orchestrates colitis and circadian clock. *Sci. Adv.* 6 (42), eabb5202. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abb5202

Wang, X.-M., Tian, F-Y., Xie, C-B., Niu, Z-Z., and Chen, W-Q. (2022). Abnormal placental DNA methylation variation in spontaneous preterm birth. *J. Matern. Neonatal Med.* 35 (24), 4704–4712. doi:10.1080/14767058.2020.1863357

Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Wei, N., Sun, Y., Pan, W., and Chen, Y. (2021). Silencing LINC00482 inhibits tumor-associated inflammation and angiogenesis through down-regulation of MMP-15 via FOXA1 in bladder cancer. *Aging (Albany NY)* 13, 2264–2278. doi:10.18632/aging.202247

Yarani, R., Mirza, A. H., Kaur, S., and Pociot, F. (2018). The emerging role of lncRNAs in inflammatory bowel disease. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50 (12), 1–14. doi:10.1038/s12276-018-0188-9

Yaw, A. M., McLane-Svoboda, A. K., and Hoffmann, H. M. (2020). Shiftwork and light at night negatively impact molecular and endocrine timekeeping in the female reproductive Axis in humans and rodents. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (1), 324–328. doi:10. 3390/ijms22010324

Zhang, D., Cai, X., Cai, S., Chen, W., and Hu, C. (2020). Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 01089 weakens tumor proliferation, migration, and invasion by sponging miR-3187-3p in non-small cell Lung cancer. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 12, 12151–12162. doi:10. 2147/CMAR.S258532

Zhi, Y., Sun, F., Cai, C., Li, H., Wang, K., Sun, J., et al. (2021). LINC00265 promotes the viability, proliferation, and migration of bladder cancer cells via the miR-4677-3p/ FGF6 axis. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 40, S434–S446. doi:10.1177/09603271211043479

Zhou, G., Duong, T. V., Kasten, E. P., and Hoffmann, H. M. (2021a). Low CLOCK and CRY2 in 2nd trimester human maternal blood and risk of preterm birth: A nested case-control study. *Biol. Reprod.* 105 (4), 827–836. Biol Reprod. doi:10.1093/biolre/ ioab119

Zhou, G., Holzman, C., Heng, Y. J., Kibschull, M., Lye, S. J., and Vazquez, A. (2020). EBF1 gene mRNA levels in maternal blood and spontaneous preterm birth. *Reprod. Sci.* 27 (1), 316–324. doi:10.1007/s43032-019-00027-2

Zhou, G., Winn, E., Nguyen, D., Kasten, E. P., Petroff, M. G., and Hoffmann, H. M. (2022). Co-alterations of circadian clock gene transcripts in human placenta in preeclampsia. *Sci. Rep.* 12 (1), 17856. Sci Rep. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-22507-3

Zhou, S., Yu, X., Wang, M., Meng, Y., Song, D., Yang, H., et al. (2021b). Long non-coding RNAs in pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 9. doi:10. 3389/fcell.2021.719247