Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xing Niu, China Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Dan Yu, Shanghai Changzheng Hospital, China Zhang Zhenyu, First Affiliated Hospital of Jinzhou Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Guodong Wu, wjbzmz@sina.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to RNA, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 05 September 2022 ACCEPTED 26 October 2022 PUBLISHED 14 November 2022

CITATION

Wu G, Feng D, Zhang Z, Zhang G and Zhang W (2022), Establishment of lung adenocarcinoma classification and risk model based on necroptosisrelated genes. *Front. Genet.* 13:1037011. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1037011

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wu, Feng, Zhang, Zhang and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Establishment of lung adenocarcinoma classification and risk model based on necroptosis-related genes

Guodong Wu¹*[†], Dingwei Feng^{2†}, Ziyu Zhang¹, Gao Zhang¹ and Wei Zhang¹

¹Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, The First Hospital of Fangshan District, Beijing, China, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Beijing Yanhua Hospital, Beijing, China

Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most widely known histological subtype of lung cancer. Its classification is significant for the characteristic evaluation of patients. The aim of this research is to assess the categorization of LUAD and its risk model based on necroptosis and to investigate its potential regulatory mechanisms for diagnosing and treating LUAD. According to the expression profile data along with the clinical information related to LUAD from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), we constructed a consistency matrix through consistency clustering, and used the ConsensusClusterPlus as the measurement distance to cluster and subtype the samples, and performed gene set enrichment analysis and immune infiltration analysis. Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) regression was utilized for obtaining prognostic significant necroptosis phenotype-related genes. Finally, we measured each patient's riskscore (RS) and build a risk model, and predicted the effect of immunotherapy for different groups of risk factors in the model. Three molecular subtypes of LUAD were obtained by cluster analysis of necroptosisrelated genes in LUAD samples. Compared with C1, C3 had a better prognosis and higher immune cell infiltration. The prognosis of the C1 subtype was poor and had a high clinical grade. The proportion of Stage II, Stage III, and Stage IV was much more in comparison with that of the other two subtypes. TP53 gene had a high mutation frequency in the C1 subtype. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) indicated that the aberrant pathways in the C1 and C3 subtypes mainly included some cell cycle-related pathways. In addition, seven genes were identified as related genes of necroptosis phenotype affecting prognosis. High RS had a poor prognosis, while low RS had a good prognosis. The RS was verified to have a strong ability to predict survival. LUAD can be classified by the genes linked with cell necrosis and apoptosis. The difference among various types is helpful to deepen the understanding of LUAD. In addition, a risk model was constructed based. In conclusion, this study provides potential detection targets and treatment methods for LUAD from a new perspective.

KEYWORDS

LUAD, necroptosis, immune microenvironment, risk model, immunotherapy

Introduction

Lung cancer has the highest death rate around the globe (Bray et al., 2018). Its most widely known histological subtype is the Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), making up about 50% of the total lung cancer cases. It has a high risk of distant metastasis at each stage (Shi et al., 2016) and is linked with increased malignancy and a worse prognosis (Gong et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). LUAD treatment is based on grade and stage and is mainly determined by the evaluation of tumor histology and patient characteristics by pathologists (Wei et al., 2019). The prognosis of lung cancer is unsatisfactory even though there has been improvement in its present treatment approach (chemotherapy, surgical resection, radiotherapy, immunotherapy, and molecular targeted therapy). Even at present, the 5-year survival rate of lung cancer patients is only 4%-17%, while the 5-year survival rate of metastatic tumor patients is <5% (Hirsch et al., 2017; Arbour and Riely, 2019; Anusewicz et al., 2020). Consequently, it is very important to diagnose this disease on time along with a detailed and precise risk assessment. Most of the risk assessment and monitoring tools that are being used at present for lung cancer use the clinical features and pathological parameters, among these the most widely used approach, is TNM stratification. Though, the current tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) models are usually linked with limited confidence in lung cancer prognosis prediction, which is composed of great heterogeneity among individuals. Therefore, it is necessary to coordinate the clinicopathological features of the genome when evaluating the survival prognosis of individuals.

Necroptosis, a kind of programmed necrotic cell apoptosis, is the gatekeeper of the host against pathogen invasion. It is a recently found type of programmed cell death that unlike apoptosis is unrelated to caspase (Robinson et al., 2019). The morphological manifestations of necroptosis are cell rounding and swelling, explosive rupture of the cell membrane, cell membrane perforation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (Nikoletopoulou et al., 2013). During the inhibition or low level of caspase-8, receptorinteracting protein 1 (RIP1) can use receptor-interacting protein 3 (RIP3) to develop the complex of RIP1-RIP3, therefore, stimulating the mixed spectrum of pseudokinases. Phosphorylation of mixed-lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL) occurs to synthesize necrotic bodies, leading to necroptosis (Vandenabeele et al., 2010). The necroptosis imbalance is also a key factor in many inflammatory diseases. Necroptosis is known to have both positive and negative effects, and it has a complicated link with cancer. Even though research shows that upon the blockage of apoptosis, necroptosis can inhibit tumor growth as well as metastasis, however, its key regulators will promote tumor growth and metastasis (Liu et al., 2021). Increasing evidence shows that necroptosis has the ability to inhibit the growth and metastasis of tumors, so it can be used

as a potential method to treat cancer (Li et al., 2020a; Park et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020). These reports have highlighted the significant involvement of necroptosis in tumorigenesis and metastasis, suggesting the potential of targeting necroptosis as a new tumor classification and treatment.

In this study, we identified stable molecular subtypes by consensus clustering using genes associated with cell necroptosis and compared the clinicopathological features, mutation features, immune features, and pathway features among subtypes. Finally, the genes linked with the prognosis score and necroptosis were found by expression difference analysis and Lasso. Then, the risk model and clinical prognosis model were constructed, which could assist in the personalized treatment of LUAD patients.

Materials and methods

Collection and processing of data

The mutation, as well as RNA-Seq data of LUAD, were taken from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, http://cancergenome. nih.gov/abouttcga) using TCGA GDC API. In the RNA-Seq data, we removed the samples with no information regarding clinical follow-up, survival time, and status. After selecting, a total of 500 samples of primary LUAD were obtained. Then, the Ensembl in the data was changed into a Gene symbol, and the expression of numerous gene symbols was considered the mid-value. The expression data of the GSE72094 and GSE31210 datasets were taken from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). 398 and 226 LUAD samples were included respectively after selection. For the above GEO data set, the annotation information of the corresponding chip platform was downloaded. According to the annotation information, the probe was mapped to the gene, and the single probe matching numerous genes was eliminated. When a gene was matched with multiple probes, we considered the median as the gene expression value. The current study utilized the TCGA as the training set, and GSE72094 and GSE31210 data sets were utilized as independent verification sets. In addition, our necroptosis-related genes came from previous study (Xin et al., 2022), with a total of 74 genes.

Molecular typing of necroptosis-related genes

Univariate Cox analysis by Cox function in the R package highlighted the genes substantially linked with LUAD prognosis (p < 0.05). A consistency matrix was constructed by ConsensusClusterPlus (Wilkerson and Hayes, 2010) to cluster and divide the samples according to these genes. The molecular subtypes of samples were provided by the expression data of

genes linked with necroptosis. We carried out 500 bootstraps with the "PAM" algorithm and "1-Pearson correlation" as the distance measurement. Each bootstrap had 80% of the subjects in the training set. The cluster number was set from 2 to 10. The best division was done by measuring the consistency matrix and the cumulative distribution function (CDF), and we got the molecular subtypes of the samples.

Establishing of risk model

The differentially expressed necroptosis genes (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and |log2fold change (FC)| >1) were selected by limma package in molecular subtypes. Afterward, we chose genes that were expressed differentially and had a significant prognosis (p < 0.05). The proportion of genes was further reduced by Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) regression, and major prognostic genes related to the phenotype of necroptosis were obtained. By creating a penalty function, it can obtain a more precise model by compressing some coefficients and setting others to zero. To process data with complicated collinearity is a biased estimation that yet preserves the benefit of subset contraction. It makes variable selection during parameter estimation possible and improves the way multicollinearity in regression analysis is dealt with. The risk model was subsequently created. The prognosis risk score (RS) for individual patients was determined with: $RS = \Sigma \beta i \times Expi$.

Expi is referred to as the level of expression of genes linked with the prognosis of necroptosis phenotype, β is referred to as the Cox regression coefficient of the corresponding gene. The patients were sorted into RS-high and RS-low groups according to the threshold "classification." We drew the survival curve by the Kaplan-Meier method for prognosis analysis, and the significance of the difference was found with the help of the log-rank test.

Prediction of immunotherapy effect

The Tumor Immune Dysfunction and Exclusion (TIDE) algorithm (Jiang et al., 2018) was employed for verification of the impact that immune microenvironment score (IMS) has on the prediction of clinical response of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). TIDE algorithm is a calculation method for predicting immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) reactivity by using a gene expression profile. It evaluates three types of cells that inhibit the infiltration of T cells in tumors, including myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), tumor-associated fibroblasts (TAF), and the M2 subtypes of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), as well as two distinct subtypes of tumor immune escape mechanisms, including tumor-infiltrating cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) dysfunction score and CTL immunosuppressive factor rejection score. The higher

TIDE prediction score indicated an increased likelihood of immune escape, showing immunotherapy to be less beneficial for patients.

Gene set enrichment analysis

For understanding the pathways of various biological mechanisms in a variety of molecular subtypes, GSEA was employed for pathway analysis. We utilized all candidate gene sets present in the Hallmark (Liberzon et al., 2015) for GSEA. FDR <0.05 was taken as a significant enrichment.

Immune infiltration analysis

Cell type Identification By Estimating Relative Subsets Of RNA Transcripts (CIBERSORT) algorithm (Chen et al., 2018) (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/) was used for the quantification of 22 immune cells' relative abundance in LUAD. Simultaneously, the number of immune cells was measured with the help of the Estimation of Stromal and Immune cells in Malignant Tumor tissues utilizing Expression data (ESTIMATE) software (Yoshihara et al., 2013).

Statistical analysis

All R packages and statistical analysis were conducted in R software (4.1.1). Parameters with no specific indication were default. Statistical methods were indicated in the figure legends. p < 0.05 was considered as significant. ns, no significance. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.

Results

Molecular typing on the basis of genes related to necroptosis

Firstly, the expression of necroptosis-related genes was taken from the expression matrix of TCGA, and 20 necroptosis genes with significant prognosis related to LUAD were selected (Figure 1A, p <0.05). Patients were classified by consensus clustering in accordance with the expression profiles of these 20 genes. We finally determined that the optimal number of clusters was 3 as it gave us comparatively stable clustering outcomes (Figures 1B,C), i.e., k = 3 to get three separate molecular subtypes (Figure 1D). Further analysis revealed that there were major prognostic variations in the prognostic features of the three molecular subtypes (Figure 1E). In general, C3 showed a good prognosis, and the C1 subtype had a poor prognosis. In addition, for the GSE72094 data set, after molecular typing with the same method, it was found that there were major



TCGA cohort; (**B**) cumulative distribution function (CDF) curve of the samples in TCGA cohort; (**C**) The CDF Delta area curve of TCGA cohort axis is for k, and the vertical axis is for the relative change of the area under the CDF curve; (**D**) Sample clustering Heatmap when consensus k = 3 in TCGA queue; (**E**) Kaplan-Meier (KM) curve of overall survival (OS) prognosis of three subtypes in TCGA cohort; (**F**) Prognostic variations in the three molecular subtypes in the GSE72094 cohort; (**G**) The heat map of the expression of necroptosis genes with significant prognosis in different subtypes of TCGA.

variations in prognosis (Figure 1F), similar to the training set. At the same time, the expression differences of these 20 necroptosis genes that were substantially linked with the prognosis in separate molecular subtypes of TCGA were compared (Figure 1G).

Clinicopathological characteristics among molecular subtypes

We kept on exploring the differences in clinicopathological characteristics in separate molecular subtypes present in the TCGA cohort. In the TCGA data set, there were variations in the distribution of diverse clinical features among the three molecular subtypes. It could be observed that the C1 subtype had a high clinical grade, and male patients accounted for a large proportion of C1 and C2 subtypes (Figure 2A). Moreover, we also compared the clinicopathological characteristics of various molecular subtypes in the GSE72094 cohort and observed that the proportion of Stage II in the C1 subtype was substantially greater than that of the other two subtypes, and the proportion of Stage II was considerably reduced in comparison with that of the other two subtypes. KRAS, STK11, and TP53 gene mutations in patients with the C3 subtype were considerably reduced in comparison with those in patients with C1 and C2 subtypes,



Clinicopathological properties of molecular subtypes. (A) The clinicopathological characteristics of molecular subtypes in TCGA cohort; (B) The clinicopathological characteristics of molecular subtypes of GSE72094 cohort; The lower and upper parts of the proportion are the statistical significance of the distribution difference in two pairs -log10 (p-value).

and EGFR gene mutations were significantly more than those in patients with C1 and C2 subtypes (Figure 2B).

Mutation characteristics among molecular subtypes

This report also explained the variations of genomic alterations in the three molecular subtypes in the TCGA cohort. Firstly, the molecular characteristic information of TCGA was obtained from the previous pan-cancer research (Thorsson et al., 2018). Among them, the C1 subtype showed a higher Homologous Recombination Defects, Aneuploidy Score, Number of Segments, Fraction Altered, and Tumor mutation burden (Figure 3A). In addition, according to 160 different immune signatures, LUAD was divided into five immune subtypes, of which the best prognosis was observed in the immune subtypes C3 and C4 and C6 had the poorest prognosis. It was discovered that, of the three types of molecular subtypes defined in this study, the C3 subtype



described in the previous study accounted for more of the C3 subtypes described when the relationship between these five immune subtypes and the three types of molecular subtypes described by us was compared (Figure 3B). In

addition, based on the correlation analysis between gene mutation and molecular subtype, we concluded that there was a major link between molecular subtype and gene mutation. TP53, CSMD3, and KRAS, and other genes had numerous



somatic mutations in LUAD, and the TP53 gene had the highest mutation frequency in the C1 subtype (Figure 3C).

Immune characteristics among molecular subtypes

To clearly understand the difference in immune microenvironment among subjects with various molecular subtypes, the level of immune cell infiltration in patients in the TCGA cohort was evaluated by the gene expression level in immune cells. Firstly, based on the relative abundance of 22 immune cells (Figure 4A), it was observed that most immune cell types had significant differences among subtypes. For example, macrophages of the M1 type were substantially more infiltrated in C1 and C3 subtypes than in C2, while regulatory T cells (Tregs) were substantially more infiltrated in C2 subtypes in comparison with the C1 and C3. Simultaneously, the "immune score" of the C3 subtype was increased in comparison with that of other subtypes, i.e., C1 and C2 subtypes, with higher immune cell infiltration (Figure 4B). In addition, by comparing the immune infiltration of the GSE72094 cohort (Figures 4C,D), a similar phenomenon to TCGA could be observed.

Pathway analysis between molecular subtypes

GSEA analysis was done to identify the differentially activated pathways in various molecular subtypes. The outcomes revealed that in comparison with the C3 subtype,



the C1 subtype was significantly enriched in 32 pathways in the TCGA cohort and 18 pathways in the GSE72094 cohort (Figures 5A,B). Simultaneously, through the comparative analysis of abnormal pathways in C1 and C3 subtypes in various LUAD cohorts, it was found that the activated pathways mainly included some cell cycle-related pathways, such as HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE, HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2, HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR, HALLMARK_

MITOTIC_SPINDLE, etc., while the inhibited pathways mainly included some immune-related pathways, such as HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE, HALLMARK_ INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE, HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_ REJECTION, HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT, HALLMARK_ INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE, etc. (Figure 5B). Through the comparative analysis of the pathways in C1 and C2, C1 and C3 subtypes, and the differences



of KEGG function enrichment analysis results of differentially downregulated genes among TCGA molecular subtypes; (C) Bubble chart of KEGG function enrichment analysis results of differentially downregulated genes among TCGA molecular subtypes.

between C2 and C3 subtypes in the TCGA cohort (Figures 5C,D), it was found that the cell cycle-related pathways in C1 patients were activated on the whole, while the immunerelated pathways were inhibited. Therefore, we inferred that the necroptosis genes used for molecular typing might play a critical role in the cell cycle-related pathways and the tumor microenvironment.

Analysis of differentially expressed genes in molecular subtypes

In the analysis described above; three separate molecular subtypes were identified by the necroptosis genes with significant univariate prognosis. Next, the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) among C1 vs. C2, C1 vs. C3, and C2 vs. C3 subtypes



were calculated by using the limma package. Firstly, there were 119 DEGs between the subtypes C1 and C2, including 46 highly expressed and 73 genes with low expression. Secondly, among the DEGs of C1 and C3 subtypes, there were 88 up-regulated genes and 183 down-regulated genes. Finally, among the DEGs between C2 and C3 subtypes, there were 45 up-regulated genes and 140 downregulated genes (Figure 6A). The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of differentially up-regulated genes among C1 vs. C2, C1 vs. C3, and C2 vs. C3 subtypes was performed by the R software package clusterprofiler. The results showed that C1 vs. C2 and C1 vs. C3 subtypes were substantially enriched in

some pathways linked with cell cycle such as cellular senescence, cell cycle, p53 signaling pathway, etc. While the C2 vs. C3 subtype was significantly enriched in metabolic-related pathways (Figure 6B). Similarly, based on the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially downregulated genes among C1 vs. C2, C1 vs. C3, and C2 vs. C3 subtypes, the results showed that there were fewer differential pathways among C1 vs. C2 subtypes, while there were more differential pathways among C1 vs. C3 and C2 v sC3 subtypes, especially among C2 vs. C3 subtypes, and the down-regulated genes among these subtypes were substantially enriched in some immune and inflammatory-related differential pathways (Figure 6C).

Identification of key necroptosis genes

405 genes were obtained by identifying DEGs among molecular subtypes. Next, these genes were assessed with univariate Cox regression analysis, along with the 242 genes that impacted the prognosis more (p < 0.05)were identified, including 84 "Risk" and 158 "Protective" genes (Figure 7A). Then, for Lasso regression, the "glmnet" R package was utilized to select the proportion of genes used to build risk models among the 242 genes with significant prognosis. Each independent variable's change track was first examined. The number of independent variable coefficients that are progressively heading to 0 rose as the lambda value increased (Figure 7B). 10-fold cross-validation was utilized for creating the model, and the confidence interval under each lambda was assessed. The value of lambda = 0.0543 indicated the optimal output (Figure 7C). Therefore, we selected FAM83A, HMMR, ANLN, RHOV, CXCL17, MS4A1, and CCR2 as the related genes of necroptosis phenotype that affected the prognosis when lambda = 0.0543 (Figure 7D).

Establishment and verification of risk model

The prognostic RS related to apoptosis was calculated and normalized for each sample. At the same time, samples with RS greater than 0 were put in the RS-high group and samples having RS less than or equal to 0 were put in the RS-low group. A major difference was observed in the RS-high and -low groups (p < 0.001). Finally, 260 samples were put in the RS-high group, and 240 samples into the RS-low group. The RS distribution of patients in the TCGA cohort of the training set suggested that RS-high samples had a poor prognosis (Figure 8A). The 'timeROC' R package was utilized for assessing the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) of RS prognosis classification, and the classification efficiency of prognosis prediction for 1, 3, and 5 years were analyzed respectively (Figure 8B). The outcomes revealed a high area under curve (AUC) values of prognosis prediction of the model for 1, 3, and 5 years, which were 0.73, 0.7, and 0.67, respectively. Finally, patients with higher RS showed worse overall survival in the training cohort (Figure 8C). For confirming the robustness of the clinical prognosis model prediction of necroptosis-related genes, it was verified in GSE72094 and GSE31210 cohorts. The RS of patients was calculated following the same method and samples were divided into high group when RS > 0 and samples were put in low group when RS < 0. The validation cohort had outcomes similarly to those of the training set. The prognosis of high RS was poor, while that of low RS was good (Figures 8D-G).

Riskscore in different clinicopathological characteristics

We discovered that the RS of patients with late T Stage, N Stage, M Stage, and Stage was considerably greater in comparison with that of patients with early stage by the comparison of RS distribution among the groups of clinicopathological features in the TCGA cohort. Additionally, we discovered that male patients had a higher RS. Between molecular subtypes, RS was compared and examined. When compared to the RS of C3 molecular subtype with a favorable prognosis, the RS of the C1 subtype with a much worse prognosis was significantly higher (Figure 9A). In addition, based on the comparative analysis of the prognosis differences between different clinicopathological characteristics groups in the TCGA cohort in the RS-high and -low groups defined by us, our risk groups also had good results in different clinical groups, proving the reliability of our risk groups (Figure 9B).

Characteristics of immune/pathways between riskscore groups

To clarify the variation in the immune microenvironment of patients in the RS group, the relative abundance of 22 immune cells in RS-high and -low groups in the TCGA cohort was compared. There were significant variations in 10 immune cells present in the RS-high and -low groups (Figure 10A). Such as, the abundance of resting CD4 memory T cells in the RS-low group were much higher in comparison with that in the RS-high group, while the abundance of activated CD4 memory T cells in the RS-low group was considerably lower when compared with the RS-high group. At the same time, the ESTIMATE was used for evaluating the immune cell infiltration. It was found that "the estimated immune subgroup" had higher immune infiltration (Figure 10B). This phenomenon was also observed in the GSE72094 cohort (Figures 10C,D).

Then, we studied the link of RS with 22 immune cell components in the TCGA queue and observed that RS and resting CD4 memory T cells, activated CD4 memory T cells, and resting dendritic cells along with nine others (Figure 10E).

To analyze the link of RS with the biological role of distinct samples, we chose the gene expression profile relating to the LUAD samples in the TCGA cohort and used the GSVA R package for single sample Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (ssGSEA). The score of individual samples on various functions was measured to get the ssGSEA score of individual functions related to each sample. After studying the link between these functions and calculating the RS, functional pathways greater than 0.45 were selected, from which we could see that RS and KEGG_CELL_Cycle and other cell cycle-related pathways showed a positive correlation (Figure 10F).



curve of RS in GSE72094 queue; (F,G) ROC curve and KM survival curve of RS in GSE31210 queue.

Differences in immunotherapy/ chemotherapy between riskscore groups

In addition, whether there were differences in immunotherapy between RS groups in the TCGA cohort were analyzed. First, we did a comparison of the expression of immune checkpoints among RS groups and found that most immune checkpoint genes were differentially expressed in RS groups. On the whole, the differential expression of immune checkpoint genes, such as CTLA4, PDCD1, in the RS-low group was considerably increased in comparison with that in the RS-high group (Figure 11A). In addition, by evaluating the possible clinical impact of immunotherapy in the RS-high and -low groups, we observed that in the TCGA cohort, the RS-high



FIGURE 9

RS in different clinicopathological characteristics. (A) The difference of RS between different clinicopathological groups of the TCGA cohort; (B) KM curve between RS-high and -low groups among different clinicopathological groups of the TCGA cohort.



than 0.45. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001.

group had an increased TIDE score, suggesting that the possibility of immune escape for the RS-high group was more and that of benefiting from immunotherapy was less (Figure 11C). The scores of MDSC and T cell rejection were increased in the RS-high group, which might be a factor leading to the low benefit of immunotherapy in the RS-high group. Furthermore, the response of the RS group in the TCGA cohort to traditional chemotherapy drugs, such as docetaxel, vinorelbine, paclitaxel, and cisplatin was also analyzed. We discovered that the RS-high group showed more sensitivity to the stated drugs than the RS-low group (Figure 11E).

At the same time, the differences in immunotherapy and chemotherapy among RS groups in the GSE72094 cohort were

analyzed, and the same phenomenon as that in the TCGA cohort was observed (Figures 11B,D,F).

Riskscore combined with clinicopathological characteristics for improving the prognosis model and survival prediction

Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of RS and clinicopathological features showed that RS was the most significant prognostic factor (Figures 12A,B). For risk assessment quantification and survival probability of patients with LUAD, a nomogram was established (Figure 12C) in combination with RS,



N Stage, T Stage, and other clinicopathological characteristics. The model results showed the greatest effect of RS on survival rate prediction. We evaluated the model for its prediction accuracy using a calibration curve, it could be observed that the predicted calibration curve of the three calibration points in 1, 3, and 5 years was near the standard curve (Figure 12D), showing the nomogram's good prediction ability. Moreover, the decision curve analysis (DCA) was also utilized for evaluating the model's reliability. It could be seen that the benefits of RS and nomogram were considerably increased when compared with that of the extreme curve. Compared with other clinicopathological characteristics, the nomogram showed the strongest ability to predict survival, followed by RS (Figure 12E).

Discussion

Necroptosis is a type of cell death related to the morphological characteristics of necrotic cells and its intrinsic signal transduction is like that of apoptotic cells. Nevertheless, necroptosis and apoptosis are different mechanisms that help in the inhibition of tumor development and metastasis (Fu et al., 2013; Lawlor et al., 2015; Newton, 2015). Numerous research conducted since the word "necroptosis" was first proposed have revealed that necroptosis can prevent tumor growth and metastasis, suggesting that it can be used for the treatment of cancer (Li et al., 2020a; Park et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020). However, molecular typing of LUAD according to genes linked

with necroptosis has not been reported. Based on necroptosis, cluster analysis was done using LUAD samples provided by the TCGA and GEO data sets, and we obtained three molecular subtypes C1, C2, and C3 of LUAD. C1 had a worse prognosis than C3, whereas C3 had a better prognosis. The matrix and immune cells enlisted and activated in the microenvironment associated with the tumor determine the tumor cells in LUAD. Immune cells and immune-related molecules also infiltrate the tumor microenvironment, which is where tumor cells proliferate, develop, and prepare for metastasis (Seong et al., 2020; Sprooten et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021). Therefore, the variations in the immune microenvironment in subjects with different molecular subtypes were also observed, which showed that the immune score of the C3 subtype was increased in comparison with that of other subtypes, indicating that the C3 subtype had relatively high immune cell infiltration. This was supported by our prior study showing that C3 had a good prognosis and the overall survival rate of patients with a high immune score was more in comparison with that of patients having a low immune score. This finding indicates that from the beginning of tumor formation, LUAD patients with higher immune scores may have stronger adaptive immune responses than those with lower immune scores (Ma et al., 2021). Therefore, the higher immune cell content and an immune score of C3 may be one of the guarantees of a good prognosis.

Then, we calculated RS and constructed a risk model, in which RS-high samples had a worse prognosis. In addition, the



evaluation of potential clinical effects of immunotherapy in RShigh and -low groups showed that the RS-high group had a higher score and a higher possibility of immune escape. In other words, in comparison with the RS-low group, the patients of the RS-high group were observed to have a worse prognosis and upregulated expression of immune checkpoints. They were more suitable for immunotherapy and were more likely to benefit from it.

Based on the role of necroptosis in the regulation of tumor immunity, we carried out the ssGSEA to find the immune status of various RS groups. Immune cells (resting CD4 memory T cells, memory B cells, and resting dendritic cells) were mostly active in



the RS-low group, among these, some were closely linked with necroptosis. But, CD8 T cells had no significance between highand low-group. Necrotic cells could provide dendritic cells with tumor-specific antigens and inflammatory cytokines for antigen cross initiation (Sprooten et al., 2020). These outcomes indicate the possible involvement of necroptosis in the progression of LUAD by tumor immunity regulation.

In addition, the function of abnormal pathways in the C1 and C3 subtypes was analyzed, and the results showed that the activated pathways mainly included some cell cycle-related pathways, such as HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2. The relationship between cell cycles and necroptosis is inseparable. MYC pathway is one of the most significant signal pathways in the process of necroptosis. In addition, the MYC transcription factor has been shown in other studies to inhibit the formation of anti-necrotic protein of the RIPK1-RIPK3 complex (Seong et al., 2020), which fully

demonstrates the reliability of our typing results. Different subtypes do have great differences in the process of necroptosis.

Studies have shown that FAM83A and FAM83A-AS1 are upregulated in LUAD in comparison with the adjacent healthy tissues. This high expression indicates poor survival and more advanced clinical stages (Wang et al., 2021a). Moreover, several studies have shown that FAM83A can be used as a prognostic characteristic and potential oncogene of LUAD (Zhang et al., 2019; Gan et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021). In this study, seven genes were identified as prognostic genes related to the phenotype of necroptosis, and FAM83A was one of them. In addition, these six genes (HMMR (Li et al., 2020b; Li et al., 2021), ANLN (Zhang et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021), RHOV (Wang et al., 2021b; Zhang et al., 2021), CXCL17 (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022), MS4A1 (Ma et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020), and CCR2 (Liu and Wu, 2021; Wan et al., 2021)) have also been studied to support their use as potential prognostic biomarkers and possible immunotherapeutic targets related to LUAD, but our research supports their involvement in the incidence and development of LUAD from the perspective of cell necroptosis.

The classification based on the correlation between necroptosis provides a novel insight for research on LUAD. In addition, nomograms were established in the LUAD cohort based on RS and clinicopathological characteristics. Compared with other clinicopathological characteristics, RS in this nomogram had significant advantages in accurately predicting the survival rate of LUAD and greatly enhanced the clinical application of gene risk typing linked with necroptosis. Therefore, the typing proposed in this study is new and meaningful, and it is found that necroptosis-related genes may be involved in it.

Nevertheless, this study has certain deficiencies and limitations. First, for external validation, the addition of more clinical databases is preferable. Moreover, further experimental evidence is still needed to confirm the conclusions of this paper. For example, experiments are needed to verify the expression differences of genes linked with necroptosis in three separate molecular subtypes. Finally, experiments should verify that the necroptosis-related genes in different subtypes have an impact on tumor progression and prognosis, and the specific study of their possible interaction and regulation mechanism needs to be further studied. To overcome the shortcomings of this research, we will recollect and expand clinical samples in the follow-up work, perform more external experiments for verifying the efficacy of this model, and conduct large-scale independent studies in the future to confirm the efficacy of this risk classification.

In summary, the predictive attributes of genes linked with necroptosis have the ability of independent prognostic prediction of LUAD patients, assist in elucidating the mechanism and process of necroptosis genes in LUAD, and provide LUAD patients with immunotherapy guidance, but additional experimental confirmation is still required in the future.

Conclusion

In a word, the stable molecular subtypes were identified by using the related genes of necroptosis through consensus clustering. Then, we chose a total of seven genes linked

References

with the prognosis of necroptosis by analyzing the DEGs among the molecular subtypes and Lasso. Additionally, the RS model was created based on the prognosis-related genes of necroptosis. The model had strong robustness, which was independent of clinical-pathological characteristics, and played a stable predictive effect in independent data sets. Finally, we combined RS with clinicopathological characteristics to further improve the prognosis model and survival prediction. The model had high prediction accuracy and survival prediction ability.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ supplementary material.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to this present work: GW and DF designed the study, ZZ acquired the data. GZ drafted the manuscript, WZ revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Anusewicz, D., Orzechowska, M., and Bednarek, A. K. (2020). Lung squamous cell carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma differential gene expression regulation through pathways of Notch, Hedgehog, Wnt, and ErbB signalling. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 21128. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-77284-8

Arbour, K. C., and Riely, G. J. (2019). Systemic therapy for locally advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: A review. *Jama* 322 (8), 764–774. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.11058

Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., and Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Ca. Cancer J. Clin.* 68 (6), 394–424. doi:10.3322/caac.21492

Chen, B., Khodadoust, M. S., Liu, C. L., Newman, A. M., and Alizadeh, A. A. (2018). Profiling tumor infiltrating immune cells with CIBERSORT. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1711, 243–259. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7493-1_12

Deng, F., Xu, Z., Zhou, J., Zhang, R., and Gong, X. (2021). ANLN regulated by miR-30a-5p mediates malignant progression of lung adenocarcinoma. *Comput. Math. Methods Med.* 2021, 9549287. doi:10.1155/2021/9549287

Fu, Z., Deng, B., Liao, Y., Shan, L., Yin, F., Wang, Z., et al. (2013). The anti-tumor effect of shikonin on osteosarcoma by inducing RIP1 and RIP3 dependent necroptosis. *BMC cancer* 13, 580. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-580

Gan, J., Li, Y., and Meng, Q. (2020). Corrigendum: Systematic analysis of expression profiles and prognostic significance for FAM83 family in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 653454. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2021.653454

Gong, S., Qu, X., Yang, S., Zhou, S., Li, P., and Zhang, Q. (2019). RFC3 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in lung adenocarcinoma cells through the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway and possesses prognostic value in lung adenocarcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 44 (6), 2276–2288. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2019.4386

Hirsch, F. R., Scagliotti, G. V., Mulshine, J. L., Kwon, R., Curran, W. J., Jr., Wu, Y. L., et al. (2017). Lung cancer: Current therapies and new targeted treatments. *Lancet* (London, Engl. 389 (10066), 299–311. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30958-8

Jiang, P., Gu, S., Pan, D., Fu, J., Sahu, A., Hu, X., et al. (2018). Signatures of T cell dysfunction and exclusion predict cancer immunotherapy response. *Nat. Med.* 24 (10), 1550–1558. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0136-1

Lawlor, K. E., Khan, N., Mildenhall, A., Gerlic, M., Croker, B. A., D'Cruz, A. A., et al. (2015). RIPK3 promotes cell death and NLRP3 inflammasome activation in the absence of MLKL. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6282. doi:10.1038/ncomms7282

Li, M., Pan, M., Wang, J., You, C., Zhao, F., Zheng, D., et al. (2020). miR-7 reduces breast cancer stem cell metastasis via inhibiting RELA to decrease ESAM expression. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* 18, 70–82. doi:10.1016/j.omto.2020.06.002

Li, W., Pan, T., Jiang, W., and Zhao, H. (2020). HCG18/miR-34a-5p/HMMR axis accelerates the progression of lung adenocarcinoma. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* = *Biomedecine Pharmacother.* 129, 110217. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110217

Li, X., Zuo, H., Zhang, L., Sun, Q., Xin, Y., and Zhang, L. (2021). Validating HMMR expression and its prognostic significance in lung adenocarcinoma based on data mining and bioinformatics methods. *Front. Oncol.* 11, 720302. doi:10.3389/ fonc.2021.720302

Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J. P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.* 1 (6), 417–425. doi:10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004

Liu, F., and Wu, H. (2021). CC chemokine receptors in lung adenocarcinoma: The inflammation-related prognostic biomarkers and immunotherapeutic targets. *J. Inflamm. Res.* 14, 267–285. doi:10.2147/JIR.S278395

Liu, W., Xie, X., and Wu, J. (2020). Mechanism of lung adenocarcinoma spine metastasis induced by CXCL17. *Cell. Oncol.* 43 (2), 311–320. doi:10.1007/s13402-019-00491-7

Liu, Y., Chen, Q., Zhu, Y., Wang, T., Ye, L., Han, L., et al. (2021). Non-coding RNAs in necroptosis, pyroptosis and ferroptosis in cancer metastasis. *Cell Death Discov.* 7 (1), 210. doi:10.1038/s41420-021-00596-9

Ma, C., Luo, H., Cao, J., Zheng, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Identification of a novel tumor microenvironment-associated eight-gene signature for prognosis prediction in lung adenocarcinoma. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 571641. doi:10.3389/ fmolb.2020.571641

Ma, Q., Chen, Y., Xiao, F., Hao, Y., Song, Z., Zhang, J., et al. (2021). A signature of estimate-stromal-immune score-based genes associated with the prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma. *Transl. Lung Cancer Res.* 10 (3), 1484–1500. doi:10.21037/tlcr-21-223

Newton, K. (2015). RIPK1 and RIPK3: Critical regulators of inflammation and cell death. *Trends Cell Biol.* 25 (6), 347–353. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2015.01.001

Nikoletopoulou, V., Markaki, M., Palikaras, K., and Tavernarakis, N. (2013). Crosstalk between apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1833 (12), 3448–3459. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.06.001

Park, J. E., Lee, J. H., Lee, S. Y., Hong, M. J., Choi, J. E., Park, S., et al. (2020). Expression of key regulatory genes in necroptosis and its effect on the prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. J. Cancer 11 (18), 5503–5510. doi:10.7150/jca.46172

Robinson, N., Ganesan, R., Hegedűs, C., Kovács, K., Kufer, T. A., and Virág, L. (2019). Programmed necrotic cell death of macrophages: Focus on pyroptosis, necroptosis, and parthanatos. *Redox Biol.* 26, 101239. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2019. 101239

Seong, D., Jeong, M., Seo, J., Lee, J. Y., Hwang, C. H., Shin, H. C., et al. (2020). Identification of MYC as an antinecroptotic protein that stifles RIPK1-RIPK3

complex formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 117 (33), 19982–19993. doi:10. 1073/pnas.2000979117

Shi, J., Hua, X., Zhu, B., Ravichandran, S., Wang, M., Nguyen, C., et al. (2016). Somatic genomics and clinical features of lung adenocarcinoma: A retrospective study. *PLoS Med.* 13 (12), e1002162. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002162

Song, C., Guo, Z., Yu, D., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., Dong, Z., et al. (2020). A prognostic nomogram combining immune-related gene signature and clinical factors predicts survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 1300. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.01300

Song, J., Sun, Y., Cao, H., Liu, Z., Xi, L., Dong, C., et al. (2021). A novel pyroptosisrelated lncRNA signature for prognostic prediction in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *Bioengineered* 12 (1), 5932–5949. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021. 1972078

Sprooten, J., De Wijngaert, P., Vanmeerbeerk, I., Martin, S., Vangheluwe, P., Schlenner, S., et al. (2020). Necroptosis in immuno-oncology and cancer immunotherapy. *Cells* 9 (8), E1823. doi:10.3390/cells9081823

Tan, H. Y., Wang, N., Chan, Y. T., Zhang, C., Guo, W., Chen, F., et al. (2020). ID1 overexpression increases gefitinib sensitivity in non-small cell lung cancer by activating RIP3/MLKL-dependent necroptosis. *Cancer Lett.* 475, 109–118. doi:10. 1016/j.canlet.2020.01.025

Thorsson, V., Gibbs, D. L., Brown, S. D., Wolf, D., Bortone, D. S., Ou Yang, T. H., et al. (2018). The immune landscape of cancer. *Immunity* 48 (4), 812–830.e14. e14. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.023

Vandenabeele, P., Galluzzi, L., Vanden Berghe, T., and Kroemer, G. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: An ordered cellular explosion. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11 (10), 700–714. doi:10.1038/nrm2970

Wan, Y., Wang, X., Liu, T., Fan, T., Zhang, Z., Wang, B., et al. (2021). Prognostic value of CCR2 as an immune indicator in lung adenocarcinoma: A study based on tumor-infiltrating immune cell analysis. *Cancer Med.* 10 (12), 4150–4163. doi:10. 1002/cam4.3931

Wang, G., Li, X., Yao, Y., Jiang, Z., Zhou, H., Xie, K., et al. (2021). FAM83A and FAM83A-AS1 both play oncogenic roles in lung adenocarcinoma. *Oncol. Lett.* 21 (4), 297. doi:10.3892/ol.2021.12558

Wang, K., Li, R., Zhang, Y., Qi, W., Fang, T., Yue, W., et al. (2022). Prognostic significance and therapeutic target of CXC chemokines in the microenvironment of lung adenocarcinoma. *Int. J. Gen. Med.* 15, 2283–2300. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S352511

Wang, Y., Zhang, G., and Wang, R. (2021). Six CT83-related genes-based prognostic signature for lung adenocarcinoma. *Comb. Chem. High. Throughput Screen.* 25, 1565–1575. doi:10.2174/1871520621666210713112630

Wei, J. W., Tafe, L. J., Linnik, Y. A., Vaickus, L. J., Tomita, N., and Hassanpour, S. (2019). Pathologist-level classification of histologic patterns on resected lung adenocarcinoma slides with deep neural networks. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 3358. doi:10. 1038/s41598-019-40041-7

Wilkerson, M. D., and Hayes, D. N. (2010). ConsensusClusterPlus: A class discovery tool with confidence assessments and item tracking. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 26 (12), 1572–1573. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq170

Xin, S., Mao, J., Duan, C., Wang, J., Lu, Y., Yang, J., et al. (2022). Identification and quantification of necroptosis landscape on therapy and prognosis in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma. *Front. Genet.* 13, 832046. doi:10.3389/fgene.2022.832046

Yoshihara, K., Shahmoradgoli, M., Martínez, E., Vegesna, R., Kim, H., Torres-Garcia, W., et al. (2013). Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2612. doi:10.1038/ncomms3612

Yu, J., Hou, M., and Pei, T. (2020). FAM83A is a prognosis signature and potential oncogene of lung adenocarcinoma. *DNA Cell Biol.* 39 (5), 890–899. doi:10.1089/dna.2019.4970

Zhang, D., Jiang, Q., Ge, X., Shi, Y., Ye, T., Mi, Y., et al. (2021). RHOV promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell growth and metastasis through JNK/c-Jun pathway. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 17 (10), 2622–2632. doi:10.7150/ijbs.59939

Zhang, J., Sun, G., and Mei, X. (2019). Elevated FAM83A expression predicts poorer clincal outcome in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Biomark*. 26 (3), 367–373. doi:10.3233/CBM-190520

Zhang, L., He, M., Zhu, W., Lv, X., Zhao, Y., Yan, Y., et al. (2020). Identification of a panel of mitotic spindle-related genes as a signature predicting survival in lung adenocarcinoma. J. Cell. Physiol. 235 (5), 4361–4375. doi:10.1002/jcp.29312

Zhou, X., Hua, D., Gao, C., Zhang, Y., Qiu, L., and Wang, L. (2019). Icotinib and pemetrexed in treatment of lung adenocarcinoma and the effects on prognostic survival rate of patients. *Oncol. Lett.* 18 (4), 4153–4159. doi:10.3892/ol.2019.10763