



Corrigendum: Searching for the Mechanisms of Mammalian Cellular Aging Through Underlying Gene Regulatory Networks

Wenbo Li¹, Lei Zhao¹ and Jin Wang^{2*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, China, ² Department of Chemistry and Physics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY, United States

Keywords: aging, slow-aging, landscape, flux, entropy production, gene regulatory network

A Corrigendum on

Searching for the Mechanisms of Mammalian Cellular Aging Through Underlying Gene Regulatory Networks

Li, W., Zhao, L., and Wang, J. (2020). Front. Genet. 11:593. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00593

In the published article, there are various errors due to a mistake in the labels in **Figure 2B**. The labels for fast-aging and slow-aging are incorrect and should be exchanged. The corrected **Figure 2** appears below.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Tian Hong, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, United States

*Correspondence:

Jin Wang jin.wang.1@stonybrook.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Genetics of Aging, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 23 March 2021 Accepted: 25 March 2021 Published: 20 May 2021

Citation:

Li W, Zhao L and Wang J (2021) Corrigendum: Searching for the Mechanisms of Mammalian Cellular Aging Through Underlying Gene Regulatory Networks. Front. Genet. 12:684415. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.684415 Consequently, Figure 7A and Figure 7C should be exchanged. The corrected Figure 7 appears below.

In **Figure 8A**, the labels for fast-aging and slow-aging are incorrect and should be exchanged. The corrected **Figure 8** appears below.

Also, there are spelling errors in 2.4. Global Sensitivity Analysis of Aging in Mammals, Paragraph 2. BH_{FS} should be changed to BH_{SF} and BH_{IS} should be changed to BH_{SI} . BH_{IF} should be changed to BH_{FS} .

Corrections have been made to 2.4. Global Sensitivity Analysis of Aging in Mammals, Paragraph 2:

We performed global sensitivity analysis on the basal expression level to quantifying the barrier height changes for every gene. The detailed results of the global sensitivity analysis are shown in Figure 5. For the barriers related to the slow-aging state, **BH**_{SF} and **BH**_{SI}, we can see that increasing the basal expression levels of the genes AMPK, FOXO, and Sestrins significantly enhances the stability of the slow-aging state. This indicates that it becomes harder for the system to escape from the slow-aging state. In contrast, gene AKT significantly decreases the stability of the slow-aging state. In contrast, gene AKT significantly decreases the stability of the slow-aging state. These results are consistent with previous experimental findings (Salminen and Kaarniranta, 2012; Lee et al., 2013; Gharibi et al., 2014; Martins et al., 2015). For the barrier heights related to the fast-aging state, **BH**_{FI} and **BH**_{FS}, we can clearly see that increasing the basal expression levels of the genes AMPK, SIRT1, and Sestrins significantly decreases the stability of the fast-aging state. AMPK and Sestrins play opposite roles in the slow-aging state, but the role of SIRT1 in slow-aging is not significant. For the intermediate state, but not in the other two states. Although the existence of the intermediate state between fast-aging and slow-aging has not been

1



directly verified, this study shows that different genes seem to influence different attractors. This can provide new insight for research on mammalian cellular aging mechanisms.

The mistake in 2.4. Global Sensitivity Analysis of Aging in Mammals, Paragraph 3 is caused by the label mistake in **Figure 2B**. The word decreased, destabilize, stabilize and promote at the bottom of the paragraph should be corrected.

A correction has been made to 2.4. Global Sensitivity Analysis of Aging in Mammals, Paragraph 3:

We also performed global sensitivity analysis on regulatory strength ω_{ij} . The bar charts shown in Figure 6 reflect $\Delta BH = BH_0$ vs. ω_{ij} . The most sensitive regulation from the slowaging state to the fast-aging state is SIRT1->AMPK, and the barrier height from the slow-aging state to the fast-aging state is increased with increasing SIRT1->AMPK. This means that increasing the activation regulation of SIRT1->AMPK will stabilize the slow-aging state and therefore delay the aging process. The most sensitive regulation of barrier height from the fast-aging state to the slow-aging state is AMPK->SIRT1, and the barrier height from the fast-aging state to slow-aging state is decreased with increasing AMPK->SIRT1. This means that increasing the activation regulation of AMPK->SIRT1 will destabilize the fast-aging state and therefore increase the chance of slow aging, thereby delaying the aging process. The most



figure 7 The landscape topography changes from the monostable state of fast-aging to the oscillation between the fast-aging and the slow-aging, and then to the monostable slow-aging state upon the increase of the regulation of Sestrins->AMPK. (A) The landscape of fast-aging. (B) The landscape of oscillation between fast-aging and slow-aging. (C) The landscape of slow-aging.

sensitive regulation of barrier height from the intermediate state to the slow-aging state is AKT-|p53, and the barrier height from the intermediate state to the slow-aging state is increased with increasing AKT-|p53. This means that increasing the inhibition regulation of AKT-|p53 will stabilize the intermediate state and decrease the chance of slow aging, effectively promoting the aging process. The most sensitive regulation of barrier height from the slow-aging state to the intermediate state is p53->Sestrins, and the barrier height from the slow-aging state to the intermediate state is increased with increasing p53->Sestrins. This means that increasing the activation regulation of p53->Sestrins will stabilize



the slow-aging state and therefore delay the aging process. The most sensitive regulation of barrier height from the fastaging state to the intermediate state is Sestrins->AMPK, and the barrier height from the fast-aging state to the intermediate state is decreased with increasing Sestrins->AMPK. This means the increasing the activation regulation of Sestrins->AMPK will destabilize the fast-aging state and therefore increase the chance of slow aging, thus effectively delaying the aging process. The most sensitive regulation of barrier height from the intermediate state to the fast-aging state is SIRT1->AMPK, and the barrier height from the intermediate state to the fast-aging state is decreased with increasing SIRT1->AMPK. This means that increasing the activation regulation of SIRT1->AMPK will stabilize the intermediate state and destabilize the fast-aging state and therefore delay the aging process. We show the top three sensitive regulations for each barrier in Table 1. Changes in these regulatory strengths significantly change the system behavior. Further experiments are needed to validate these predictions.

These mistakes are caused by the label mistake in **Figure 2B**. Some statements about oscillation in **Figures 7** and **8** should be corrected. Some words of slow-aging should be changed to fast-aging and some words of fast-aging should be changed to slow-aging.

Corrections have been made to 2.5. Aging Oscillations Landscape, Paragraph 1 and 3:

Oscillation dynamics can emerge in certain parameter regimes when the regulation strengths are varied. The transitions between the oscillation and monostable states are found to be mainly regulated by Sestrins->AMPK. The changes in landscape topography are shown in Figure 7. RS represents the regulation strength of Sestrins->AMPK. The landscape shows oscillation dynamics with a Mexican hat shape when RS is 0.76, as shown in Figure 7B. The two relatively deeper regions on the oscillation ring correspond to the fast-aging and slow-aging state, respectively. The states of the system rotate clockwise along the oscillation ring valley around the central hill of the Mexican hat. When the regulation strength RS is increased, the slow-aging state attractor becomes deeper. When the regulation strength RS is increased to 0.88, the system switches from the oscillation to a monostable state with only the slow-aging attractor state. In contrast, when the regulation strength RS is decreased, the basin at the fast-aging steady state becomes deeper. When the regulation strength RS is decreased to 0.62, the system switches from the oscillation to a monostable state with only the fast-aging steady state.

We also quantified the thermodynamic cost in terms of the entropy production rate (EPR), which is related to the flux and the mean flux, for the phase transition/bifurcation from the monostability of fast-aging to oscillation and from the oscillation to monostability of slow-aging by increasing the regulation strength of Sestrins->AMPK. An increase in the EPR indicates that the system costs more energy to maintain. The mean flux correlates with the EPR. As shown in Figure 8A, the EPR is low when the system stays in the phase of the fastaging state. When the strength of Sestrins->AMPK increases, the EPR increases sharply at the phase where the transition from the stable fast-aging state to oscillation occurs. When the system switches from oscillation to the monostable slow-aging state, the EPR sharply decreases and then stays at a low level. This demonstrates that the oscillation costs more energy to maintain than either the fast-aging or slow-aging state. Through the oscillation, the dynamic process of switching between fastaging and slow-aging achieves functional switching, which can cost more energy. Therefore, there can be direct and indirect pathways for aging. The direct pathway is the one directly from the slow-aging state to the fast-aging state. The indirect pathways can be from the slow-aging state to the fast-aging state through either the intermediate state or oscillation.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

- Gharibi, B., Farzadi, S., Ghuman, M., and Hughes, F. J. (2014). Inhibition of akt/mTOR attenuates age-related changes in mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells.* 32, 2256–2266. doi: 10.1002/stem.1709
- Lee, J. H., Budanov, A. V., and Karin, M. (2013). Sestrins orchestrate cellular metabolism to attenuate aging. *Cell Metab.* 18, 792–801. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.08.018
- Martins, R., Lithgow, G. J., and Link, W. (2015). Long live FOXO: unraveling the role of FOXO proteins in aging and longevity. *Aging Cell*. 15, 196–207. doi: 10.1111/acel.12427
- Salminen, A., and Kaarniranta, K. (2012). AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) controls the aging process via an integrated signaling network. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 11, 230–241. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2011.12.005

Copyright © 2021 Li, Zhao and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.