Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Colm Antoine O Morain, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

*CORRESPONDENCE Yijia Wang Wyijiawang_1980@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Gastroenterology and Cancer, a section of the journal Frontiers in Gastroenterology

RECEIVED 16 February 2023 ACCEPTED 07 March 2023 PUBLISHED 13 March 2023

CITATION

Wang Y, Wan X and Hou S (2023) Editorial: Gut microbiota and chemotherapy resistance of colorectal cancer. *Front. Gastroenterol.* 2:1167322. doi: 10.3389/fgstr.2023.1167322

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Wan and Hou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Gut microbiota and chemotherapy resistance of colorectal cancer

Yijia Wang^{1*}, Xuehua Wan² and Shaobin Hou³

¹Laboratory of Oncologic Molecular Medicine, Tianjin Union Medical Center, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ²TEDA Institute of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ³Advanced Studies in Genomics, Proteomics, and Bioinformatics, University of Hawaii At Manoa, Honolulu, HI, United States

KEYWORDS

gut microbiota, chemotherapy resistance, colorectal cancer, tumor microenvironment, metabolite

Editorial on the Research Topic

Gut microbiota and chemotherapy resistance of colorectal cancer

Gut microbiota play a crucial role in the development and progression of colorectal cancer (CRC). Microbes or their metabolites interact with the intestinal mucosal surfaces directly, which regulate the host immune response and some signaling pathways of the intestinal epithelial cells. These regulation effects change the immune escape ability of tumor cells and some key proteins in the signaling pathways that are related to chemotherapy resistance.

Chen et al. found that a higher gut microbiota diversity was associated with more favorable treatment outcomes in patients with metastatic CRC. The authors enrolled 110 patients with metastatic CRC, who were treated with cetuximab or bevacizumab. *Klebsiella quasipneumoniae* exhibited greater fold change in abundance in the progressive disease (PD) group than in the partial response (PR) group. The *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species exhibited higher abundance in the PD group. The abundance of *Fusobacterium nucleatum* was approximately 32 times higher in the PD group than in the PR group. These bacteria may be potential markers to predict the curative effects of cetuximab or bevacizumab. A prospective, randomized study with a larger sample size and greater number of stool samples is necessary to validate the correlation between microbiota and targeted therapy in mCRC.

Sun et al. distinguished the differences of gut microbiota between CRC with and without metastases. The results showed that the microbial composition (diversity) of the healthy control group was better than the CRC patients group, while the same difference was also found between the tumor and metastasis groups. Some changes of bacterial abundances were also found to be characteristic of metastatic CRC. Therefore, the analysis of gut microbiota can serve as a supplementary biological basis for the diagnosis and treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer, which may offer the potential to develop non-invasive diagnostic tests.

In their opinion article, Jia et al. discussed the close relationship between the enteric microbiota, enteric nervous system, and CRC tumorigenesis, which may provide a new perspective for CRC treatment.

Qin et al. established a radioresistant colorectal cancer cell line developed from the parental HCT116 cell. Transcriptomics analyses were performed to search for the underlying genes that contribute to radioresistance and to investigate its association with the prognosis of CRC patients. The authors built a risk score model with five radioresistance genes, including TNFRSF13C, CD36, ANGPTL4, LAMB3, and SERPINA1, to predict prognosis after radiotherapy for CRC.

Zhu et al. assessed the microbial composition and diversity of sporadic CRC tumors with varying MutL protein homolog 1 (MLH1) status and the effects of functional genes related to bacterial markers and clinical diagnostic prediction. The authors constructed a high-accuracy model to detect and evaluate the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve with candidate biomarkers. The study included 23 patients with negative/defective MLH1 (DM group) and 22 patients with positive/intact MLH1. The authors found that the genera *Lachnoclostridium* and *Coprococcus* as key species may be crucial biomarkers for the non-invasive diagnostic prediction of DM in patients with sporadic CRC in the future.

In general, the authors of these articles found some characteristic bacteria in various types of CRC or chemotherapy prognosis. These bacteria and their diversity were used to created potential prediction markers in CRC identification or chemotherapy selection. Considering the critical role of gut microbiota in the tumor microenvironment, these findings help us to further understand the role of gut microbiota in CRC chemotherapy. However, the investigation of the mechanisms of how gut microbiota affect the resistance of chemotherapy is still lacking in these studies. Many studies focus on the level of strain but ignore the metabolite or antigen of bacteria. Future investigations may focus on the interaction between the metabolite of bacteria and the intestines or tumors to explore the role of gut microbiota on chemotherapy.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China, grant numbers 81972826 and 12174203.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.