Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Luigi Ricciardiello, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Fabio Grizzi, Humanitas Research Hospital, Italy Camille BLERIOT, Institut Gustave Roussy, France

*CORRESPONDENCE Roberd M. Bostick rmbosti@emory.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Gastroenterology and Cancer, a section of the journal Frontiers in Gastroenterology

RECEIVED 04 March 2022 ACCEPTED 30 September 2022 PUBLISHED 07 November 2022

CITATION

Shu TD, Rutherford RE, Seabrook ME, Barry EL and Bostick RM (2022) Associations of dietary, lifestyle, and other participant characteristics with APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 expression in the normal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients. *Front. Gastroenterol.* 1:889925. doi: 10.3389/fgstr.2022.889925

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Shu, Rutherford, Seabrook, Barry and Bostick. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Associations of dietary, lifestyle, and other participant characteristics with APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 expression in the normal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients

Timothy D. Shu¹, Robin E. Rutherford², March E. Seabrook³, Elizabeth L. Barry⁴ and Roberd M. Bostick^{1,5*}

¹Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ²Division of Digestive Diseases, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ³Consultants in Gastroenterology, West Columbia, SC, United States, ⁴Department of Epidemiology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH, United States, ⁵Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States

Abnormal expression of Wnt pathway and DNA mismatch repair proteins is common during colorectal carcinogenesis. To investigate cross-sectional associations of lifestyle, dietary, and other participant characteristics with the expression of such proteins, we measured APC, β-catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 colorectal crypt expression in biopsies of normal-appearing colorectal mucosa from 104 sporadic colorectal adenoma patients using automated immunohistochemistry and quantitative image analysis. We used multivariable general linear models to compare adjusted mean biomarker expression across categories of participant characteristics. Example findings include that among women relative to men, mean APC expression in whole crypts, the upper 40% of crypts (differentiation zone), and the lower 60% of crypts (proliferation zone) was 322.9% higher (p<0.01), 296.7% higher (p<0.01), and 399.1% higher (p<0.01), respectively. Among participants with higher alcohol consumption, APC expression in the crypt differentiation zone was estimated to be 15.9% lower (p=0.08). Among those with higher total meat consumption, β -catenin expression in whole crypts and the crypt proliferation zone was estimated to be 20.5% higher (p=0.07) and 19.6% higher (p=0.06), respectively, and MSH2 expression in the crypt differentiation zone was estimated to be 64.4% lower (p=0.10). Among those with a higher body mass index, MSH2 expression in the crypt differentiation zone was estimated to be 87.5% lower (p=0.15). These pilot study findings suggest that being male, higher adiposity, and higher alcohol and meat consumption may be unfavorably associated with biomarkers of colorectal carcinogenesis pathway proteins in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients and support further investigation in larger studies.

KEYWORDS

APC, beta-catenin, E-cadherin, MutS homolog 2, population characteristics, colorectal neoplasms, cross-sectional studies

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the US among men and women combined (1, 2). Considerable evidence supports that a large proportion of CRC incidence may be related to a combination of environmental exposures, such as diet, smoking, alcohol consumption, and a sedentary lifestyle (3). Considerable evidence also supports that most colorectal cancers develop through changes (mutations, DNA methylation, expression levels) in certain Wnt signaling pathway (in about 85% of sporadic colorectal cancers) and DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes (in about 15% of sporadic colorectal cancers) (4, 5). These genes are involved in the normal structure and function of colorectal crypts, and become altered during colorectal carcinogenesis (6). The protein products of these genes include APC, β-catenin, and E-cadherin in the Wnt pathway, and the MSH2 and MLH1 MMR proteins (6, 7). The expression levels of these proteins in whole crypts and in functional crypt zones, such as the proliferation zone (lower 60% of crypts) and the differentiation zone (upper 40% of crypts) in the normal mucosa were reported to differ between patients with incident, sporadic colorectal adenoma (the immediate precursor to most CRCs), and those with no current or previous adenoma (8, 9). The expression levels of these proteins have also been reported to be modifiable by calcium and/or vitamin D supplementation in randomized controlled trials (10-12). These findings support that these proteins may serve as modifiable, pre-neoplastic, biomarkers of risk for colorectal neoplasms. Other than testing the effects of calcium and vitamin D on the biomarkers, there has been limited investigation of associations of other dietary, lifestyle, and other participant characteristics with the biomarkers (8, 9). Detection of such associations would provide clues for exposures that may modify the expression of the biomarkers and reduce risk for colorectal neoplasms.

Accordingly, we investigated cross-sectional differences in expression of APC, β-catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 (which was highly correlated with MLH1 expression in our previous studies (9, 12)) across categories of multiple demographic, medical, dietary, and lifestyle characteristics among sporadic colorectal adenoma patients participating in a randomized, controlled trial of calcium and/or vitamin D and colorectal adenoma recurrence. We hypothesized that putative anticolorectal cancer risk factors would be associated with higher APC, E-cadherin, and MSH2 expression, and lower total βcatenin expression, as well as higher anti-proliferative APC relative to pro-proliferative β -catenin expression, and higher MSH2 relative to mib-1 (epitope of the Ki-67 proliferation marker) expression (i.e., higher mismatch repair relative to proliferation). We also hypothesized that the directions of associations of putative pro-colorectal cancer risk factors with the expression levels of the biomarkers would be opposite to those for the anti-colorectal cancer risk factors.

Materials and methods

Participant population

Study participants were recruited from two clinical centers as part of a larger randomized, placebo-controlled, chemoprevention clinical trial testing the efficacy of supplemental calcium and vitamin D for preventing colorectal adenoma recurrence (the "parent" study, NCT00153816) (13). Eligible participants were 45 - 75 years old, in general good health, and within 4 months of study entry had a complete, clean colonoscopy reaching the cecum resulting in a histologically-identified neoplastic polyp ≥ 2 mm in diameter from any site in the colon or rectum. Exclusion criteria included an invasive carcinoma in any polyp removed, familial colonic polyposis syndromes, inflammatory bowel diseases, malabsorption syndromes, history of large bowel resection, alcohol or narcotic dependence, serum calcium concentration outside the normal range, serum creatinine >20% concentration over the upper limit of normal, serum 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (25 [OH]D) concentration <12 ng/mL (30 mmol/L or 0.00012 kg/m³) or >90 ng/mL (225 mmol/L or 0.00090 kg/m³), history of kidney

Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; MMR, mismatch repair; IRB, institutional review board; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

stones or hyperparathyroidism, and history of osteoporosis or other medical condition that could require supplemental vitamin D or calcium. Additional exclusion criteria for the adjunct biomarker study included being unable to be off aspirin for 7 days, history of a bleeding disorder, or current use of an anticoagulant medication.

Parent study

For the parent study (13), between May 2004 and July 2008, 19,083 apparently eligible patients were identified through initial screening of colonoscopy and pathology reports. Of these, 2,259 met the final eligibility criteria, consented to participate, and were randomized. After the parent study was underway, funding was received for the adjunct biomarker study. For the adjunct biomarker study (11, 14), prior to randomization, 231 apparently eligible parent study participants at two of the 11 clinical centers (South Carolina and Georgia) were offered participation in the biomarker study. Of these, 109 met the final eligibility criteria, signed consent, and had baseline rectal biopsies taken; of these, sufficient biopsy tissue for biomarker measurements was obtained at baseline on 104. All participants signed a consent form upon enrollment. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) for both clinical centers (the Emory IRB) approved the research (Emory IRB numbers IRB00012627 for the parent study [original approval February 16, 2004 and renewed annually] and IRB00000357 for the adjunct biomarker study [original approval October 14, 2005, and renewed annually]).

At enrollment, study coordinators collected from each study participant information on medical history, medication and nutritional supplement use, diet, and lifestyle. Diet was assessed using a semi-quantitative Block Brief 2000 food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (NutritionQuest, Berkeley, CA). Physical activity was assessed and categorized as low, moderate, and high using the short form International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ; publicly available at www.ipaq.ki.se). Peripheral venous blood samples for measurements of calcium, creatinine, and 25(OH)D concentrations were also obtained at baseline (13).

Biopsy collection

Adjunct biomarker study participants underwent biopsies of normal-appearing rectal mucosa without any preceding bowelcleansing procedure (11, 14). Six 1 mm-thick biopsies were collected from the rectal mucosa 10 cm above the external anal aperture through a proctoscope using jumbo cup flexible biopsy forceps. No biopsy was taken within 4.0 cm of a polypoid lesion. Biopsies were immediately placed into saline, oriented, transferred to 10% normal-buffered formalin for 24 hours, then transferred to 70% ethanol for up to a week, and then embedded in paraffin blocks (two blocks of three biopsies per participant, per visit). APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 (plus mib-1 [Ki-67 epitope]) were measured in the biopsies using automated immunohistochemistry with image analysis (mib-1 was included for the present analyses as a marker of proliferation, strictly to assess MSH2 expression relative to proliferation) (11, 14).

Immunohistochemistry protocol

Five slides with three levels of 3 µm-thick biopsy sections taken 40 µm apart were prepared for each biomarker. Heatmediated antigen retrieval was then used to uncover the epitope. Slides were placed into a preheated Pretreatment Module (Lab Vision Corp., Fremont, CA) with 100x citrate buffer pH 6.0 (DAKO S1699, DAKO Corp., Carpinteria, CA) and steamed for 40 minutes. The slides were then put into a DakoCytomation Autostainer Plus system that immunohistochemically processed the slides using a streptavidin-biotin method (LSAB2 Detection System [DAKO K0675]) and a monoclonal antibody to each biomarker. Commercially available antibodies were selected based on previous literature and comparing the staining patterns found in positive and negative control tissues and in normal colorectal mucosa with those reported in the literature in which antibody specificity was validated. Information on the antibodies is as follows. For APC: antibody ID - AB_2057371; antibody name - anti-APC (Ab-7) mouse mAb (CC-1) antibody; clone ID - CC-1; clonality - monoclonal; target antigen - APC human; vendor/catalog number - Millipore OP80; and host organism - mouse. For β-catenin: antibody ID - AB_397555; antibody name - mouse anti-catenin beta monoclonal antibody unconjugated clone 14; clone ID - 14; clonality - monoclonal; target antigen - human catenin; vendor/catalog number - BD Biosciences 610154; and host organism - mouse. For E-cadherin: antibody ID AB_2533118; antibody name - E-cadherin monoclonal antibody (4A2C7); clonality - monoclonal; target antigen - E-cadherin human; vendor/catalog number - Thermo Fisher 33-4000; and host organism - mouse. For MSH2: antibody ID - AB_2266524; antibody name - anti-MSH2 (Ab-2) mouse mAb (FE11) antibody; clonality - monoclonal; target antigen -MSH2 human; vendor/catalog number - Millipore NA27; and host organism - mouse. For mib-1: antibody ID - AB_2142367; antibody name - Ki-67 antibody; clone ID - mib-1; clonality monoclonal; target antigen - human recombinant peptide corresponding to a 1002 bp Ki-67 cDNA fragment; vendor/ catalog number - DAKO M7240; and host organism - mouse. The antibodies were used in the following concentrations: APC -1:50; β-catenin - 1:300; E-cadherin - 1:50; MSH2 - 1:500; and mib-1 - 1:350. Only the slides for MSH2 were counterstained (with hematoxylin); all slides were cover-slipped with a Leica CV5000 Coverslipper (Leica Microsystems, Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL). Positive and negative control slides were included in each staining batch (11, 14).

Quantifying labeling densities of biomarkers in normal colorectal crypts

The optical densities of the immunohistochemically-labeled biomarkers in colorectal crypts were measured using quantitative image analysis (Figure 1). First, slides were scanned using an Aperio Scanscope CS digital scanner (Aperio Technologies, Inc., Vista, CA). Next, these digital images were reviewed with our custom-developed CellularEyes program (DivEyes LLC, Atlanta, GA) to identify colorectal crypts that would be acceptable for analysis. A "scorable" crypt was defined as an intact crypt that extended from the muscularis mucosa to the colorectal lumen. Before analysis, images of the negative and positive control slides were checked to ensure staining adequacy.



FIGURE 1

Images of colorectal crypts in histologic sections of biopsies of morphologically-normal rectal mucosa, immunohistochemically processed for APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 proteins. Each image also shows a hemicrypt that was traced by the laboratory technician, segmented by the image analysis program, and the biomarker labeling identified and its labeling optical density measured in the entire hemicrypt and in its segments by the image analysis program. Note that for APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, no counterstain was used, and the entire epithelium of the hemicrypts was traced, and for MSH2, counterstaining with hematoxylin was used, and only the nuclear zone of the hemicrypts was traced.

Standardized settings were used for all equipment throughout the scoring process. The technician, who was blinded to participant characteristics, selected two of three biopsies with 16 - 20 "scorable" "hemicrypts" (one side of the crypt) per biopsy and used a digital drawing board to trace the border of each hemicrypt. The program then divided the hemicrypt outline into 50 equally spaced segments (with the average widths of normal colonocytes) and measured the backgroundcorrected optical density of the biomarker labeling across the entire hemicrypt and for each individual segment. Our measurement technology did not allow for measuring biomarker subcellular locations. Resulting data were automatically transferred into a MySQL database (Sun Microsystems Inc., Redwood Shores, CA). These analysis steps were then repeated for the next identified hemicrypt. Using these procedures, we analyzed, on average, approximately 28 hemicrypts/biomarker/participant, yielding a total of approximately 2,900 hemicrypts analyzed per biomarker across all participants. Reliability control samples that were previously analyzed by the reader were re-analyzed over the course of the trial to determine intra-reader "scoring" reliability. The intraclass correlation coefficient for each biomarker was >0.90 (11, 14).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were cross-sectional, conducted on baseline data only. We summarized participant characteristics using simple descriptive statistics, such as means, ranges, and standard deviations for continuous variables, and proportions as percentages for categorical variables.

To assess associations of the biomarkers with selected participant characteristics, we used multivariable general linear models to compare adjusted mean biomarker expression across categories (e.g., tertiles of dietary intakes) of the participant characteristics. All macronutrients were analyzed as their percentage contribution to total energy intake, and all micronutrients were analyzed as nutrient densities (e.g., mg of calcium/1,000 kcal of total energy intake). For any exposurebiomarker association, we considered biomarker staining batch, adenoma characteristics, and all remaining exposure variables as potential confounding variables. A priori, we elected to include biomarker staining batch and total energy intake in all models. Other confounders were exposures that were estimated to be at least modestly associated with a given biomarker via using forward model selection with a cutoff of $p \le 0.4$, followed by backward model selection of the resulting covariates with a cutoff of $p \leq 0.2$. All final model covariates are listed in the Tables' footnotes. As previously described (11, 14), we analyzed biomarker expression in whole crypts and in crypt functional zones, including the upper 40% of crypts (the canonical differentiation zone), and the lower 60% of crypts (the canonical proliferation zone). We also assessed differences in the balance of anti-proliferative APC relative to pro-proliferative β -catenin (calculated as an APC/ β -catenin ratio), and of MSH2 relative to proliferation (calculated as a MSH2/mib-1 ratio) in whole crypts and the differentiation and proliferation zones of crypts. For perspective on differences in mean biomarker expression across participant characteristics categories, we calculated proportional mean differences as: (comparison group mean - reference group mean)/(reference group mean) x 100%.

We conducted all statistical analyses using SAS software Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). We considered two-sided p-values ≤ 0.05 statistically significant. Since this was a pilot study to estimate the strengths and variability of hypothesized associations for further investigation in a larger observational study or randomized, controlled trials, we also noted participant characteristics for which estimated adjusted mean biomarker proportional differences between those in the highest relative to the lowest or referent participant characteristic category was $\geq 15\%$, and with a roughly dose-response or threshold pattern of the means across the categories (if >2 categories) and a p-value ≤ 0.15 .

Results

Participant characteristics

Selected characteristics of the 104 study participants are summarized in Table 1. Participants' ages ranged from 47 – 75 years (mean 59 years), 46% of participants were male, and 79% were white. Most (97%) participants had at least a high school education, 8% were current smokers, 38% reported high physical activity levels, 18% had an advanced adenoma (size \geq 1.0 cm, villous component, or high-grade dysplasia), and 28% had multiple (>1) adenomas. Participants' body mass indexes (BMI) ranged from 21.0 – 54.1 kg/m² (mean 29.6 kg/m²), and their serum 25-OH-vitamin D concentrations ranged from 12.9 – 68.8 ng/mL (mean 24.1 ng/mL).

Biomarker expression, by participant characteristics

Proportional differences across categories of selected participant characteristics in adjusted mean APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 expression in whole crypts and the differentiation and proliferation zones of crypts are presented in Tables 2–5. Representative images of whole crypts immunohistochemically processed and analyzed for APC, β catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 expression are shown in Figure 1. In Table 2, we summarize the strongest findings for all of the biomarkers. The criteria for inclusion in this table were: TABLE 1 Selected baseline characteristics of the study participants^a (n = 104).

Demographics Bern (%) Mala: 46.2 Female 53.8 Race (%) 53.8 White 78.9 Black 19.2 Other 19 Age (yra) 58.5 6.7 47 – 75 Jefgerb 7 7 7 Carrently smole (%) 7.7 7 7 Acotol consumption (%) 5.8 6.7 47 – 75 High 5.8 7 47 – 75 Kongo (%) 7.7 7 7 Acotol consumption (%) 1.2 7 7 Eow ¹ 5.8 7 47 – 75 High* 5.4 2 7 7 Corrently smole (%) 3.5 7 7 High* 5.6 21.0 – 54.1 5.6 21.0 – 54.1 Carles 2.00 26.0 7 2.1.0 – 54.1 Storage (kg/dw) 1.5 7.7 2.1.0 – 54.1 2.5.0 2.0.0 2.0.	Characteristics	Mean or proportion	SD	Range
Set (%)Main6.2Famale5.3Ret (%)7.9White7.9Make7.9Other1.9Agr (ng.)6.7Agr (ng.)6.7Agr (ng.)6.7Agr (ng.)7.8Enstre7.8Enstre7.8Agr (ng.)6.8Agr (ng.)6.3Agr (ng.)6.3Agr (ng.)7.8Agr (ng.)7.9Agr (ng.)7.9Agr (n	Demographics			
Mai42Fende53Ker (%)78Maic78Mate78App (yn.)636747-75App (yn.)7877Arror (water)72Arror (water)7377About (water)7377App (yn.)7377App (yn.)7374App (yn.)7374App (yn.)7474App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7474App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7574App (yn.)7574 </td <td>Sex (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Sex (%)			
Fende338Rac789White789Bak192Oter192Oter192Agr(xs)62Agr(xs)63Catolations(%)63Labolations(%)54Reglaufy face analysis (%)63Reglaufy face analysis (%)63Reglaufy face analysis (%)63Catolations(%)64Reglaufy face analysis (%)63Reglaufy face analysis (%)63Reglaufy face analysis (%)64Catolation (%)64Catolation (%)64Reglaufy face analysis (%)64Statistication (%) <td< td=""><td>Male</td><td>46.2</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Male	46.2		
<table-container>RecNoteNoteNote12Jeka12Jey (rs)19Jey (rs)19Jey (rs)19Cardin (rs)7Karber10Jey (rs)10Jey (rs)10</table-container>	Female	53.8		
Mike 789 Mike 120 Other 130 Age (rs.) 6.89 6.7 47-75 Lifey 120 120 120 Lifey 7.7 Action construction (s) 120 120 Low ¹ 5.8 120 120 120 Regularly take aptirin (S) 6.5 120 120 120 Regularly take aptirin (S) 6.6 120	Race (%)			
İklak192öke'192Age'(xs)6.7Kay (xs)6.7Kay7.7Kay7.7Kay7.7Kay6.8Kay6.8Regularly face saprin (%)6.2Regularly face saprin (%)6.2Regularly face saprin (%)6.3Regularly face saprin (%)6.4Kay6.4Sapring (%)6.5Regularly face saprin (%)6.5Regularly face saprin (%)6.4Sapring (%)7.6Regularly face saprin (%)6.5Regularly face saprin (%)7.6Regularly face sapring (%)7.6 <tr< td=""><td>White</td><td>78.9</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	White	78.9		
Öder 19 Age (ros) 6.7 47-75 Jerset 7 7 Carenty mole (%) 7.7 7 Accionamytion (%) 5.8 7 7 Low ¹ 5.8 7 7 Justice and motion (%) 3.5 7 7 Regularly fake angrin (%) 3.6 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.6 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.6 7 7 Physical active level (%) 3.6 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.6 7 7 Physical active level (%) 3.6 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.7 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.7 7 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.7 7 7 7 7 7 Inglest education level (%) 3.6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Black	19.2		
Age (rps.) 589 6.7 47 - 75 Lifeyi 5.7 Local constraints 7.7 Acabal constraints 5.8 Low ³ 5.8 Low ³ 5.8 Biglish ⁴ 5.2 Biglish ⁴ 5.2 Biglish ⁴ 5.3 Biglish ⁴ 5.3 Biglish ⁴ 5.4 College 5.6 College 6.5 Graduat 5.6 Postal activity level ⁴ (%) 5.6 Low as index (kg/m ²) ⁶ 5.6 Moderate 1.7 Moderate 5.6 Start and total energy) 5.6 Dial energy (kadidw) 5.6 Dial energy (kadidw) <td< td=""><td>Other</td><td>1.9</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Other	1.9		
<table-container>Hist-Circle consumption (%)7.3Low of consumption (%)5.8Low of consumption (%)6.3Regularly consumption (%)6.3Regularly consumption (%)6.3Regularly consumption (%)6.3High school6.3Colleg-6.0Colleg-6.0Low of consumption (%)7.4Not consumption (%)7.4Not consumption (%)7.4Not consumption (%)7.4Colleg-6.0Colleg-7.4Not consumption (%)7.4Not consumption (%)<td>Age (yrs.)</td><td>58.9</td><td>6.7</td><td>47 - 75</td></table-container>	Age (yrs.)	58.9	6.7	47 - 75
Currenty snoke (%)7,7Locholar consumption (%)5,8Locholar consumption (%)8,5Regularly' take asprin (%)8,5Regularly' take asprin (%)8,5Regularly' take asprin (%)8,6Regularly' take asprin (%)8,6Regularly' take asprin (%)8,6College6,5Galante2,0Physical activity levelf (%)8,5Kow9,6Stativity levelf (%)5,6Quitarly (%)9,6Stativity levelf (%)9,7Stativity levelf (%)9,7Stativity levelf (%)9,7	Lifestyle			
Abole consumption (%) 54. Ing ¹ 54. Ing ¹ 54. High of Consumption (%) 54. Regularly fulke aspirin (%) 36. Regularly fulke aspirin (%) 37. High shool 56. Callego 62. Galago 56. Vision (%) 37. Physical stivity level ⁴ (%) 36. Inder Consumption (%) 36. Physical stivity level ⁴ (%) 37. Inder Consumption (%) 38. Moderate 37. Inder Consumption (%) 36. Sologo 56. 210.0-51. Sologo 56. 210.0-51. Sologo 56. 210.0-51. Sologo 56. 210.0-52. Sologo 56. 210.0-52. Sologo 56. 210.0-52. Sologo 56. 30.0-2936. Sologo 56. 30.0-2936. Sologo 56. 30.0-2936. Sologo 56. 10.0.0-2036. Sologo	Currently smoke (%)	7.7		
Low ³ 548 Hgh ³ 529 Rgular's date aptin (%) 385 Rgular's date aptin (%) 367 High school 367 Golge 360 Cologe 360 Golder 360 Vision (%) 360 Socon 2000 56 210 - 541 < 250 - 2000	Alcohol consumption (%)			
Hgh ^b 452 Rey Larly' take aspirin (%) 365 Rey Larly' take non-aspin NSAID (%) 367 Hgh shol 366 Collage 365 Gaduat 360 Pister Harly 365 Moderate 317 Hgh 365 Koy Modrate 367 Sologe (Saloge) 368 Yes Mark (kg/m ³) ⁶ 367 Yes Mark (kg/m ³) ⁶ 363 Yes Mark (kg/m ³) ⁶ 363 Yes Mark (kg/m ³) ⁶ 363	Low ^b	54.8		
Regularly' take aspirin (%)385Regularly' take non-aspirin NSAID (%)3.7High education level (%)4.6Golleg3.6Galdeg3.6Graduate2.60Physical citivity level ⁴ (%)3.6Moderate3.7Moderate3.7Star Sing Citik (Mar ³) ⁶ 5.62.5 - 2.9.23.6Detarger (Kalday)3.6Detarger (Kalday)1.5Start for total energy)1.5Total fact (ks % of total energy)3.6Total fact (ks % of total energy) <td>High^b</td> <td>45.2</td> <td></td> <td></td>	High ^b	45.2		
Regularly ⁶ tke non-aspiri NSAID (%) 33.7 High chol 34.6 Gollog 36.5 Gadue 26.0 Physical activity level ^d (%) 38.5 Moderate 31.7 High 36.5 Oolgrag 5.6 Oolgrag 36.7 High 36.5 Statistic Keylm ² ¹⁶ 5.6 Oolgrag 16.7 25.0 - 29.9 41.6 20.0 36.7 Dial energy (koldwy) 15.5 Oolgrag 7.4 Total energy (koldwy) 15.3 Ooldrafe (a % of total energy) 15.7 Total fat (a % of total energy) 36.3 Total fat (a % of total energy) 15.6 Total full (mg/L00 kcal) 15.6 Oolgrafe (activity field) 16.3 Total full (mg/L00 kcal) 16.7 Oolgrafe (activity field) 17.8 Total full (mg/L00 kcal) 10.7 Oolgrafe (activity field) 10.7 Total full (mg/L00 kcal) 10	Regularly ^c take aspirin (%)	38.5		
High school 34.6 Golleg 36.5 Goldate 36.5 Joidate 36.5 Joidate 36.7 Joidate 36.7 Joidate 37.7 Joidate 37.7 Joidate 36.7 Joidate 36.7<	Regularly ^c take non-aspirin NSAID (%)	33.7		
High school 346 Colleg 363 Graduate 363 Physical activity level ⁴ (%) 364 Iww 888 Moderate 317 High 365 Story mass index (kg/m ²) ⁶ 5.6 21.0 - 54.1 < 25	Highest education level (%)			
College 36.5 Graduate 36.0 Piscial activity level ⁴⁰ (%). 38.5 Moderate 31.7 High 36.0 College 2.6 Sologe 3.6 Joo 36.0 Distry transing (kg/m ²) ⁶ 36.0 Distry transing (kg/m ²) 36.0 <td>High school</td> <td>34.6</td> <td></td> <td></td>	High school	34.6		
Gradue 260 Plysical activity level ⁴ (%). 28.8 Moderate 31.7 High 36.5 Solvy mass index (kg/m ³) ⁶ 21.0 - 5.4 2.5 0 - 29.9 41.6 ≥ 30.0 36.6 Divide Integration (Kal/Agr) Total energy (kcal/Agr) 1,349 7.61 2.7 Total for (Kal/Agr) 1,5 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 36.3 Total energy (kcal/Agr) 1,5 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 36.3 Total for (Kal/Agr) 36.3 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 1,5 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 36.3 Total for (Kal/Agr) 36.3 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 4.6 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 3.6 Total for (Kal/Agr) 3.6 Saturation (Kal/Agr) 3.6	College	36.5		
Physical activity level ⁴ (%) Iow 288 Moderate 317 High 385 Solv mass index (kg/m ²) ⁶ 296 5.6 21.0 - 5.41 < 25 25.0 - 29.9 316 30.0 29.9 316 Distary table Total energy (kal/day) 1,349 763 630 - 2,396 Saturate fa (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 4.2 - 17.4 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fa (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 1.7.8 - 5.8.5 Total fu (ag / 1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 1.5.6 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 2.2 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 2.2 Total energy (kal/day) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 9.0 0.2 - 5.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Distary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Graduate	26.0		
Low 28.8 Moderate 31.7 High 38.5 Exdy mass index (kg/m ²) ^e 29.6 5.6 21.0 - 54.1 < 25	Physical activity level ^d (%)			
Moderate 31.7 High 38.5 Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^e 29.6 5.6 $21.0 - 54.1$ < 25 21.8 $25.0 - 29.9$ 36.6 Detary indexTotal energy (kcal/day) 1.49 76.3 $630 - 2.936$ Startated fat (as % of total energy) 1.5 2.7 $4.2 - 17.4$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total' fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total' fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total' fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total' fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total' fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19.2 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19.2 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19.2 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.6 19.2 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total energy (brit) (anol) (brit) 2.17 36.2 36.7 Total energy (brit) (Low	28.8		
High38.5Body mass index (kg/m ²)°29.65.621.0 - 5.4.1 < 25 21.825.0 - 29.941.6 > 20.0 36.67.47.636.30 - 2.9.36Ditary intakeTotal energy (kcal/day)1,3497.636.30 - 2.9.36Saturated fat (as % of total energy)11.52.74.2 - 17.4Total (as % of total energy)36.37.417.8 - 58.5Total 'cacium (mg/1,000 kcal)4.61.92.6 - 15.6Diary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)5.61.92.6 - 15.6Diary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)10.74.04.6 - 22.4Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)4.62.20.7 - 12.5Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)2.170.90.2 - 50.4Errur metationUtimation (ng/mL)24.19.312.9 - 68.8AdometationsUtimatone addenoma ⁶ (%)7.8	Moderate	31.7		
Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^e 29.6 5.6 21.0 - 54.1 $250 - 29.9$ 41.6 $250 - 29.9$ 36.6 Detary intake Total energy (kcl/day) 1,349 763 630 - 2.936 Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 1.5 2.7 4.2 - 17.4 Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 17.8 - 58.5 Total ⁴ calcium (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 15.6 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 15.6 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 1.07 4.0 4.6 - 22.4 Total for (g/1,000 kcal) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 2.1 9.3 1.2 - 9.68.8 Colspan="2">Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Ditary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 2.1 9.3 1.2 - 9.68.8 Ditary fiber	High	38.5		
< 25 21.8 $25.0 - 29.9$ 41.6 ≥ 30.0 36.6 Detary inske Total energy (kal/day) $1,349$ 763 $630 - 2,936$ Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 $4.2 - 17.4$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total ⁴ calcium (mg/1,000 kcal) 25 161 $1070 - 1,085$ Total ⁴ vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal) 56 1.9 $2.6 - 15.6$ Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 $4.6 - 22.4$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 1.25$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 1.25$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 1.25$ Strum concentrations 1.7 9.3 $12.9 - 68.8$ Aleonan characteristics 17.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 With advanced adenoma ⁸ (%) 17.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 Aleonomas (%) 17.8	Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^e	29.6	5.6	21.0 - 54.1
$250 - 299$ 416 ≥ 30.0 366 Ditary intake Total energy (kcal/day) $1,349$ 763 $630 - 2,936$ Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 $4.2 - 17.4$ Total $4c_{3}$ % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total $4c_{3}$ % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total $4c_{3}$ % of total energy) 5.6 1.9 $2.6 - 15.6$ Total $4c_{3}$ % of total (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 $2.6 - 15.6$ Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 $4.6 - 22.4$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 12.5$ Total neut (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 $0.2 - 50.6$ Serum concentrations	< 25	21.8		
≥ 30.0 36.6 Detary intake $1,349$ 763 $630 - 2,936$ Saturated fat as % of total energy) $1,349$ 763 $630 - 2,936$ Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 $4.2 - 17.4$ Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total ⁴ calcium (mg/1,000 kcal) 425 161 $170 - 1,085$ Total ⁴ vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 $2.6 - 15.6$ Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 $4.6 - 22.4$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 12.5$ Total neat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 $0.2 - 50.6$ Serum concentrationsVith advanced adenoma ⁶ (%) 17.8 With advanced adenoma ⁶ (%) 17.8 17.8	25.0 - 29.9	41.6		
Dietary intake Total energy (kal/day) 1,349 763 630 - 2,936 Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 4.2 - 17.4 Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 17.8 - 58.5 Total ^f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal) 425 161 170 - 1,085 Total ^f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 15.6 Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 22.4 Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 0.7 - 1.25 Total near (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Serum concentrations 241 9.3 12.9 - 68.8 Atoman Lancerteristics 11.5 12.9 - 68.8 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 17.8 12.9 - 68.8 Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%) 27.7 12.9 - 68.8	≥ 30.0	36.6		
1,349 763 630 - 2,936 Saturated fat (as % of total energy) 11.5 2.7 4.2 - 17.4 Total fat (as % of total energy) 36.3 7.4 17.8 - 58.5 Total ^f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal) 425 161 170 - 1,085 Total ^f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 15.6 Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 22.4 Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 0.7 - 12.5 Total meat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Serum concentrations 25 1.7 1.2 9 - 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 17.8 1.8 1.2 9 - 68.8 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 17.8 1.7 1.2 9 - 68.8	Dietary intake			
Saturated fat (as % of total energy)11.52.7 $4.2 - 17.4$ Total fat (as % of total energy)36.37.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total ^f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal)425161 $170 - 1,085$ Total ^f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal)5.61.9 $2.6 - 15.6$ Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)10.74.0 $4.6 - 22.4$ Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)4.62.2 $0.7 - 12.5$ Total meat (servings/day)1.70.9 $0.2 - 5.0$ Serum concentrations25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL)24.19.312.9 - 68.8Adenoma characteristicsWith advanced adenoma ^g (%)17.8Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%)27.7	Total energy (kcal/day)	1,349	763	630 - 2,936
Total fat (as % of total energy)36.37.4 $17.8 - 58.5$ Total f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal)425161 $170 - 1,085$ Total f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal)5.61.92.6 - 15.6Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)10.74.04.6 - 22.4Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)4.62.20.7 - 12.5Total meat (servings/day)1.70.90.2 - 5.0Serum concentrations25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL)24.19.312.9 - 68.8Adenoma characteristicsWith advanced adenoma ^g (%)17.817.8	Saturated fat (as % of total energy)	11.5	2.7	4.2 - 17.4
Total f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal)425161170 - 1,085Total f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal)5.61.92.6 - 15.6Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)10.74.04.6 - 22.4Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)4.62.20.7 - 12.5Total neat (servings/day)1.70.90.2 - 5.0Serum concentrations25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL)24.19.312.9 - 68.8Adenoma characteristics17.817.817.8With advanced adenoma ^g (%)17.827.717.8	Total fat (as % of total energy)	36.3	7.4	17.8 - 58.5
Total ^f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal) 5.6 1.9 2.6 - 15.6 Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 22.4 Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 0.7 - 12.5 Total meat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Serum concentrations 25-0H vitamin D (ng/mL) 24.1 9.3 12.9 - 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8	Total ^f calcium (mg/1,000 kcal)	425	161	170 - 1,085
Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal) 10.7 4.0 4.6 - 22.4 Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 0.7 - 12.5 Total meat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Serum concentrations 2.1 9.3 12.9 - 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 17.8 17.8 17.8 With advanced adenoma ⁶ (%) 27.7 27.7 17.8	Total ^f vitamin E (mg/1,000 kcal)	5.6	1.9	2.6 - 15.6
Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day) 4.6 2.2 $0.7 - 12.5$ Total meat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 $0.2 - 5.0$ Serum concentrations 24.1 9.3 $12.9 - 68.8$ Adenoma characteristics 17.8 17.8 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 17.8 27.7	Dietary fiber (g/1,000 kcal)	10.7	4.0	4.6 - 22.4
Total meat (servings/day) 1.7 0.9 0.2 - 5.0 Serum concentrations 25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL) 24.1 9.3 12.9 - 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 17.8 17.8 17.9 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 27.7 27.7	Total vegetables and fruit (servings/day)	4.6	2.2	0.7 - 12.5
Serum concentrations 25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL) 24.1 9.3 12.9 - 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 12.9 - 68.8 12.9 - 68.8 12.9 - 68.8 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 17.8 12.9 - 68.8 12.9 - 68.8 Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%) 27.7 27.7 27.7	Total meat (servings/day)	1.7	0.9	0.2 - 5.0
25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL) 24.1 9.3 12.9 – 68.8 Adenoma characteristics 7 7 With advanced adenoma ^g (%) 17.8 7 Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%) 27.7	Serum concentrations			
Adenoma characteristics With advanced adenoma ^g (%) Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%) 27.7	25-OH vitamin D (ng/mL)	24.1	9.3	12.9 - 68.8
With advanced adenomage (%)17.8Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%)27.7	Adenoma characteristics			
Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%) 27.7	With advanced adenoma ^g (%)	17.8		
	Multiple (> 1) adenomas (%)	27.7		

SD, standard deviation; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug. ^aA subset of colorectal adenoma patients participating in the Calcium/Vitamin D, Biomarkers and Colon Polyp Prevention Trial from the South Carolina and Georgia clinical centers. ^bLow alcohol consumption is ≤ 0.8 drinks/day for men, 0 drinks/day for women; high alcohol consumption is > 0.8 drinks/day for men, > 0 drinks/day for women. ^cTake at least once a week.

^dFrom the short form International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ; publicly available at www.ipaq.ki.se).

^eCategorized according to World Health Organization guidelines for normal, overweight, and obese.

^fDietary plus supplemental intake.

^gSize \geq 1.0 cm, villous component, or high-grade dysplasia.

D

TABLE 2 Summary of adjusted mean differences^a in the expression of colon carcinogenesis pathway proteins in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of colorectal adenoma patients (n = 104) across categories of participant characteristics.

characteristics								BIOM	arkers							
		APC		β-catenin			APC/β-catenin ^b			E-cadherin				MSH2		MSH2/ mib-1 ^c
	Whole crypt	Upper 40% ^d	Lower 60% ^e	Whole crypt	Upper 40%	Lower 60%	Whole crypt	Upper 40%	Lower 60%	Whole crypt	Upper 40%	Lower 60%	Whole crypt	Upper 40%	Lower 60%	Whole crypt
Lifestyle, demograph	ics															
Age																
Female	↑+	↑+	↑+				↑+	↑+	↑+							
Take aspirin																(↓+)
Take non-aspirin NSAID																
Currently smoke																
Alcohol consumption		Ļ														
Physical activity																
Body mass index											(†)			\downarrow		
Dietary intakes																
Saturated fat																
Total fat																
Total ^f vitamin E																
Total ^f calcium																
Dietary fiber																
Meat				1		1	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow					\downarrow		
Vegetables and fruit																
Serum concentration	s															
25-OH vitamin D																

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

^aMean differences across categories of participant characteristics assessed using multivariable general linear models containing the characteristic of interest, staining batch, and measured confounding variables (see Tables 3–5 and Supplemental Tables 1–5). Criteria for inclusion in table: estimated proportional mean difference \geq 15% plus a p-value \leq 0.15 for the estimated difference, plus a dose-response or threshold association pattern (if > 2 categories). Up/down arrows indicate the direction (higher or lower, respectively) of the mean biomarker difference between a higher exposure category relative to the reference category. Arrows in brackets [e.g., (†)] indicate that the direction of the difference was opposite that hypothesized. + Indicates a statistically significant (p \leq 0.05) finding.

 b APC expression divided by β -catenin expression in the whole crypt, upper 40% of crypts, and lower 60% of crypts.

^cMSH2 expression divided by mib-1 (Ki-67 epitope) expression in the whole crypt.

^dBiomarker expression in the upper 40% of the crypt (the canonical differentiation zone).

^eBiomarker expression in the lower 60% of the crypt (the canonical proliferation zone).

^fTotal = dietary + supplemental.

estimated proportional mean differences in biomarker expression between the highest and lowest categories of the exposure variable \geq 15%, plus an approximate dose-response or threshold pattern (if >2 categories) and a p-value \leq 0.15. More comprehensive findings and exact values are provided in Tables 3–5 and Supplemental Tables 1–5. Only the findings meeting these criteria for inclusion in Table 2 are described in the remainder of the Results section below.

APC

As shown in Table 3, the mean adjusted APC expression among women relative to men was, proportionately, 322.9% higher (p<0.01) in whole crypts, 296.7% higher (p<0.01) in the differentiation zone of crypts, and 399.1% higher (p<0.01) in the proliferation zone of crypts. The mean adjusted APC expression among those with higher alcohol consumption relative to those with lower alcohol consumption was estimated to be 15.9% lower (p=0.08) in the differentiation zone of crypts.

β-catenin

As shown in Table 3, the mean adjusted β -catenin expression among participants in the highest relative to those in the lowest total meat consumption tertile was estimated to be

TABLE 3 Comparisons of proportional differences in adjusted mean APC and β -catenin expression^a in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients (n = 104), by selected participant characteristics.

			APC		β-catenin								
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	p	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	p	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	р	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	p
Age (yrs)													
47 - 54	34	-		-		-		-		_		_	
55 - 62	35	8.6		12.4		5.3		2.2		-3.2		1.8	
63 – 75	35	7.1	0.62	15.0	0.42	0.1	0.87	5.4	0.79	10.0	0.65	2.9	0.93
Sex													
Male	48	-		-		-		-		_		_	
Female	56	322.9	< 0.01	296.7	< 0.01	399.1	< 0.01	22.8	0.29	4.2	0.91	33.5	0.14
Regularly ^d take asp	irin												
No	64	-		-		-		-		_		_	
Yes	40	-1.8	0.80	-6.9	0.42	2.9	0.92	-0.5	0.93	0.2	0.99	-0.6	0.93
Regularly ^d take oth	er N	SAID											
No	69	-		-		-		-		-		-	
Yes	35	1.5	0.84	9.1	0.33	-4.4	0.62	-2.5	0.69	-11.0	0.31	-2.3	0.70
Currently smoke													
No	96	-		-		-		-		_		_	
Yes	8	-1.4	0.91	-5.2	0.74	2.8	0.86	8.8	0.42	10.5	0.61	7.4	0.50
Alcohol consumption	on												
Low ^e	57	-		-		_		-		_		_	
High ^e	47	-10.2	0.18	-15.9	0.08	-4.6	0.64	1.6	0.82	-4.5	0.73	2.5	0.73
Physical activity													
Low	30	-		-		-		-		-		-	
Moderate	38	-3.2		-7.3		1.0		-0.4		-4.6		-0.7	
High	27	-1.2	0.94	-6.3	0.77	4.3	0.93	-5.8	0.61	13.0	0.36	-5.9	0.61
Body mass index (k	g/m ²	2)											
< 25.0	22	-		-		-		-		-		-	
25.0 - 29.9	43	14.9		17.0		12.2		10.8		-14.5		11.1	
≥ 30	39	20.4	0.18	22.1	0.27	17.1	0.47	8.7	0.41	-8.6	0.53	8.7	0.39
Total energy, tertile	es												
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	-3.3		-5.9		-1.2		16.4		-8.6		15.0	
3	35	12.4	0.39	10.2	0.48	14.3	0.56	5.7	0.10	-17.7	0.36	5.0	0.14
Saturated fat (% of	total	l energy), tertil	es										
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	2.0		-4.6		8.2		5.2		27.4		4.6	
3	35	-8.5	0.56	-4.2	0.90	-12.3	0.26	-8.0	0.27	5.2	0.14	-9.2	0.25
Total fat (% of total	l ene	ergy), tertiles											
1	34	-		_		_		-		_		_	
2	35	3.0		-1.0		6.5		-6.1		7.7		-5.3	
3	35	-3.4	0.78	2.8	0.94	-9.3	0.38	-12.4	0.19	-1.1	0.30	-12.6	0.18
Total ^f vitamin E/1,0	000 k	cal, tertiles											
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	16.5		18.6		13.7		-6.8		-3.3		-7.8	
3	35	14.1	0.25	11.0	0.33	16.9	0.43	-8.6	0.53	21.4	0.25	-8.3	0.49

(Continued)

10.3389/fgstr.2022.889925

TABLE 3 Continued

				APC			β-catenin							
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	p	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	p	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	p	
Total ^f calcium/1,000	0 kca	l, tertiles												
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-		
2	35	-3.4		-5.3		-1.7		3.6		20.3		4.7		
3	35	-6.3	0.79	2.2	0.81	-14.1	0.41	7.6	0.64	-0.1	0.30	7.1	0.68	
Dietary fiber/1,000	kcal,	tertiles												
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-		
2	35	4.2		-1.5		11.2		-5.5		24.5		-5.2		
3	35	15.5	0.51	-1.9	0.99	35.9	0.16	-4.8	0.78	10.6	0.34	-4.7	0.81	
Total meat intake, t	ertil	es												
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-		
2	35	-14.9		-8.5		-20.2		20.5		-14.0		21.1		
3	35	-17.3	0.18	-18.4	0.39	-15.4	0.17	20.5	0.07	-3.3	0.53	19.6	0.06	
Total vegetables and	d fru	it intake, tertil	es											
1	34	-		_		_		-		_		_		
2	35	-13.8		-18.1		-9.8		-3.0		17.4		-2.4		
3	35	-13.0	0.26	-13.5	0.22	-13.9	0.54	-2.0	0.93	6.4	0.54	0.0	0.93	
Serum 25-OH-vitan	nin I	O (ng/mL)												
< 17.9	34	-		-		-		-		-		-		
17.9 - 26.9	35	-2.6		-6.6		2.1		-15.3		11.2		-16.1		
> 26.9	35	-2.1	0.70	1.9	0.73	-5.4	0.79	-4.3	0.07	-3.0	0.59	-5.2	0.05	

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; prop. diff., proportional difference.

^aBiomarker expression measured using automated immunohistochemistry with image analysis. Proportional differences in adjusted mean biomarker expression calculated as follows: first, adjusted mean differences in optical densities of biomarker labeling were calculated (see Supplemental Tables 1 and 2 for exact means and 95% confidence intervals) using general linear models, adjusted for staining batch, total energy intake, and other confounding variables (for APC models: sex and intakes of total meat, dietary fiber/1,000 kcal of total energy intake, and total vegetables and fruit; for β -catenin models: total fat intake as a percentage of total energy intake); second, the proportional mean differences were calculated from the adjusted mean differences as (comparison group mean - reference group mean) x 100%.

^bBiomarker expression in the upper 40% of the crypt (the canonical differentiation zone). ^cBiomarker expression in the lower 60% of the crypt (the canonical proliferation zone).

^dTake at least once a week.

Take at least once a week.

^cLow alcohol consumption is ≤ 0.8 drinks/day for men, 0 drinks/day for women; high alcohol consumption is > 0.8 drinks/day for men, > 0 drinks/day for women. ^fDietary plus supplemental intake.

20.5% higher (p=0.07) in whole crypts and 19.6% higher (p=0.06) in the proliferation zone of crypts.

APC/ β -catenin ratio

As shown in Table 4, the mean adjusted ratio of APC expression to β -catenin expression (APC/ β -catenin ratio) among women relative to men was 191.7% higher (p=0.01) in whole crypts, 210.0% higher (p=0.02) in the differentiation zone of crypts, and 207.7% higher (p=0.03) in the proliferation zone of crypts. The APC/ β -catenin ratio among participants in the highest relative to the lowest total meat consumption tertile was estimated to be 27.6% lower (p=0.11) in whole crypts, 20.0% lower (p=0.15) in the differentiation zone of crypts.

E-cadherin

As shown in Table 5, the mean adjusted E-cadherin expression among participants in the highest relative to those in the lowest BMI tertile was estimated to be 128.0% higher (p=0.15) in the differentiation zone of crypts. The observed association for BMI was in the opposite direction hypothesized.

MSH2

As shown in Table 5, the mean adjusted MSH2 expression among participants in the highest relative to those in the lowest BMI tertile was estimated to be 87.5% lower (p=0.15) in the differentiation zone of crypts. The mean adjusted MSH2 expression among participants in the highest relative to those TABLE 4 Comparisons of adjusted mean APC/ β -catenin and MSH2/mib-1 expression^a in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients (n = 104), by selected participant characteristics.

			MSH2/mib-1 ^c							
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	p	Upper 40% of crypts, ^d prop. diff. (%)	p	Lower 60% of crypts, ^e prop. diff. (%)	p	Who prop	ole crypts, o. diff. (%)	p
Age (yrs)										
47 - 54	34	-		-		-			-	
55 - 62	35	8.7		10.0		11.5			-1.9	
63 - 75	35	0.0	0.68	0.0	0.69	-3.8		0.75	0.0	0.98
Sex										
Male	48	-		-		-			-	
Female	56	191.7	0.01	210.0	0.02	207.7		0.03	-21.9	0.22
Regularly ^f take aspir	in									
No	64	-		-		-			-	
Yes	40	-4.2	0.84	5.0	0.57	-13.8		0.34	-15.4	0.04
Regularly ^f take other	r NSAI	D								
No	69	-		-		-			-	
Yes	35	4.3	0.76	-4.8	0.61	16.7		0.30	-1.8	0.82
Currently smoke										
No	96	-		-		-			-	
Yes	8	-12.5	0.58	-4.8	0.78	-14.8		0.56	-11.7	0.43
Alcohol consumptio	n									
Low ^g	57	_		-		-			-	
High ^g	47	-11.5	0.30	-13.0	0.39	-10.3		0.42	-14.8	0.12
Physical activity										
Low	30	-		-		-			-	
Moderate	38	4.3		5.0		0.0			-10.6	
High	27	0.0	0.99	5.0	0.93	-3.7		0.99	-4.7	0.55
Body mass index (kş	g/m ²)									
< 25.0	22	-		-		-			-	
25.0 - 29.9	43	0.0		0.0		4.0			1.9	
≥ 30	39	13.6	0.62	10.0	0.69	16.0		0.73	-1.9	0.94
Total energy, tertiles	6									
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-16.7		-10.0		-24.1			-15.8	
3	35	12.5	0.09	20.0	0.19	3.4		0.11	-1.2	0.18
Saturated fat (% of t	otal er	ergy), tertiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-8.3		5.0		-17.2			16.4	
3	35	0.0	0.79	5.0	0.96	-3.4		0.36	15.1	0.25
Total fat (% of total	energy	y), tertiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	14.3		10.0		12.5			7.2	
3	35	19.0	0.75	0.0	0.78	20.8		0.49	8.5	0.66
Total ^h vitamin E/1,0	00 kca	l, tertiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	

(Continued)

10

TABLE 4 Continued

				APC/β-catenin ^b					MSH2/mib-1 ^c	
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	р	Upper 40% of crypts, ^d p Low prop. diff. (%)		Lower 60% of crypts, ^e prop. diff. (%)	p	Who prop	le crypts, . diff. (%)	p
2	35	19.0		16.7		25.0			-6.1	
3	35	14.3	0.39	27.8	0.41	4.2		0.35	-1.2	0.81
Total ^h calcium/1,000) kcal,	tertiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-8.0		-13.0		0.0			-6.6	
3	35	-8.0	0.73	-17.4	0.53	-3.7		0.98	-4.2	0.80
Dietary fiber/1,000 k	ccal, te	rtiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-4.0		5.0		12.0			-6.3	
3	35	-16.0	0.82	5.0	0.96	4.0		0.78	-19.3	0.24
Total meat intake, to	ertiles									
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-27.6		-28.0		-26.5			11.2	
3	35	-27.6	0.11	-20.0	0.15	-35.3		0.08	5.9	0.63
Total vegetables and	fruit	intake, tertiles								
1	34	-		-		-			-	
2	35	-8.0		-9.1		-7.1			-4.1	
3	35	-12.0	0.76	-9.1	0.88	-7.1		0.87	-15.1	0.32
Serum 25-OH-vitam	in D (ng/mL)								
< 17.9	34	-		-		-			-	
17.9 - 26.9	35	8.7		21.1		0.0			17.4	
> 26.9	35	-4.3	0.74	0.0	0.45	-3.7		0.97	6.7	0.30

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; prop. diff., proportional difference.

^aBiomarker expression measured using automated immunohistochemistry with image analysis. Proportional differences in adjusted mean biomarker expression calculated as follows: first, adjusted mean differences in optical densities of biomarker labeling were calculated (see Supplemental Table 3 for exact means and 95% confidence intervals) using general linear models, adjusted for staining batch, total energy intake, and other confounding variables (for APC/ β -catenin models: sex and intakes of total mean, dietary fiber/1,000 kcal of total energy intake, and total vegetables and fruit; for MSH2/mib-1 models: aspirin use and total calcium intake/1,000 kcal of total energy intake); second, the proportional mean differences were calculated from the adjusted mean differences as (comparison group mean - reference group mean)/(reference group mean) x 100%.

^bAPC expression divided by β-catenin expression.

^cMSH2 expression divided by mib-1 expression.

^dBiomarker expression in the upper 40% of the crypt (the canonical differentiation zone).

^eBiomarker expression in the lower 60% of the crypt (the canonical proliferation zone).

^fTake at least once a week.

^gLow alcohol consumption is \leq 0.8 drinks/day for men, 0 drinks/day for women; high alcohol consumption is > 0.8 drinks/day for men, > 0 drinks/day for women. ^hDietary plus supplemental.

in the lowest total meat consumption tertile was estimated to be 64.4% lower (p=0.10) in the differentiation zone of crypts.

MSH2/mib-1 ratio

As shown in Table 4, the ratio of mean adjusted MSH2 to mib-1 expression (MSH2/mib-1 ratio) among participants who regularly took aspirin at least once a week or more relative to those who did not was estimated to be 15.4% lower (p=0.04) in whole crypts, although the direction of this association was opposite to that hypothesized.

Discussion

Our findings suggest that sex, alcohol consumption, adiposity, aspirin, and meat consumption each may be associated with biomarkers of colorectal carcinogenesis pathway proteins in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients. Our findings, although cross-sectional, suggest that the exposures may affect colorectal carcinogenesis pathways in the colorectal epithelium and thus, risk for colorectal neoplasms, which supports further investigation in larger observational studies and randomized, controlled trials. TABLE 5 Comparisons of proportional differences in adjusted mean E-cadherin and MSH2 expression^a in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients (n = 104), by selected participant characteristics.

				E-cadhe	rin		MSH2						
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	р	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	Р	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	p	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	p
Age (yrs)													
47 - 54	34	-		_		_		-		-		-	
55 - 62	35	2.8		-36.5		3.7		0.9		-31.4		2.0	
63 - 75	35	-4.2	0.75	-35.5	0.29	-5.7	0.63	1.3	0.99	165.3	0.51	-0.3	0.96
Sex													
Male	48	-		-		-		-		-		-	
Female	56	6.9	0.72	127.8	0.27	9.3	0.64	-6.4	0.72	10.1	0.97	-10.1	0.55
Regularly ^d take asp	irin												
No	64	-		_		_		-		-		-	
Yes	40	-2.1	0.78	-4.1	0.88	-2.8	0.72	-14.3	0.04	43.0	0.71	-12.6	0.06
Regularly ^d take oth	er N	SAID											
No	69	-		-		-		-		_		_	
Yes	35	-0.7	0.92	36.4	0.31	-0.4	0.97	-5.4	0.45	-63.6	0.25	-5.1	0.46
Currently smoke													
No	96	_		_		_		-		_		_	
Yes	8	-7.9	0.56	53.4	0.31	-9.7	0.49	1.2	0.93	-173.6	0.26	-1.4	0.92
Alcohol consumption	on												
Low ^e	57												
High ^e	47	-3.9	0.63	-3.2	0.92	-3.5	0.68	-8.3	0.31	6.8	0.95	-7.1	0.38
Physical activity													
Low	30	_		_		_		_		_		_	
Moderate	38	-2.1		42.6		-3.7		-9.3		-68.1		7.1	
High	27	-10.1	0.43	-44.6	0.01	-12.1	0.36	3.1	0.74	70.3	0.46	3.1	0.74
Body mass index (k	g/m ²	2)											
< 25.0	22	_		_		_		_		_		_	
25.0 - 29.9	43	2.4		133.9		3.0		3.3		-73.2		1.5	
≥ 30	39	4.2	0.92	128.0	0.15	5.5	0.87	-1.2	0.84	-87.5	0.15	-3.3	0.83
Total energy, tertile	es												
1	34	_		_		_		_		_		_	
2	35	-9.2		51.5		-10.0		2.7		-93.2		5.0	
3	35	4.8	0.26	45.3	0.73	0.3	0.42	5.8	0.82	-34.2	0.36	8.1	0.06
Saturated fat (% of	total	energy), tertil	es										
1	34	-		_		_		_		_		_	
2	35	17.8		22.5		18.7		-2.8		121.6		-2.3	
3	35	2.8	0.12	-0.4	0.77	4.0	0.13	-5.8	0.83	91.1	0.81	-7.8	0.69
Total fat (% of tota	l ene	rgy), tertiles											
1	34	-		_		_		_		_		_	
2	35	10.1		-12.8		12.5		-1.2		277.8		-1.7	
3	35	-0.2	0.44	5.0	0.86	1.7	0.38	-1.4	0.99	-54.5	0.22	-2.5	0.96
Total ^f vitamin E/1.0	000 k	cal, tertiles											
1	34	_		_		_		_		_		_	
2	35	-5.5		13.9		-8.8		-6.7		55.7		-6.5	
3	35	-5.8	0.80	-24.8	0.49	-8.0	0.62	-2.1	0.73	179.9	0.72	-3.3	0.75
Total ^f calcium/1,00	0 kca	l, tertiles											

(Continued)

TABLE 5 Continued

				E-cadher	rin		MSH2						
Characteristics	n	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	Р	Whole crypts, prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Upper 40% of crypts, ^b prop. diff. (%)	Þ	Lower 60% of crypts, ^c prop. diff. (%)	p
1	34	-		-		-		-		_		-	
2	35	-7.0		0.1		-7.2		-16.9		85.2		-14.2	
3	35	7.2	0.36	-4.7	0.99	6.2	0.44	-7.7	0.14	6.7	0.86	-9.0	0.11
Dietary fiber/1,000	kcal,	tertiles											
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	-1.5		-44.8		-3.4		-10.4		138.5		-8.3	
3	35	6.1	0.79	81.1	0.02	0.7	0.91	1.7	0.32	-115.0	0.15	2.4	0.43
Total meat intake, t	tertil	es											
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	-9.9		101.4		-5.9		0.4		-108.2		1.8	
3	35	-3.6	0.53	44.5	0.16	-2.6	0.82	-11.5	0.45	-64.4	0.10	-10.8	0.41
Total vegetables and	d fru	it intake, tertil	es										
1	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
2	35	16.7		-53.1		15.8		3.4		482.0		2.7	
3	35	16.2	0.22	-14.3	0.12	19.7	0.20	-4.8	0.66	-64.4	0.13	-4.3	0.73
Serum 25-OH-vitan	nin I	O (ng/mL)											
< 17.9	34	-		-		-		-		-		-	
17.9 – 26.9	35	-9.7		-49.3		-11.5		-4.2		783.3		-3.2	
> 26.9	35	-0.7	0.50	0.0	0.16	-1.3	0.42	6.5	0.54	289.5	0.37	5.7	0.64

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; prop. diff., proportional difference.

^aBiomarker expression measured using automated immunohistochemistry with image analysis. Proportional differences in adjusted mean biomarker expression calculated as follows: first, adjusted mean differences in optical densities of biomarker labeling were calculated (see Supplemental Tables 4 and 5 for exact means and 95% confidence intervals) using general linear models, adjusted for staining batch, total energy intake, and other confounding variables (for E-cadherin models: total vegetables and fruit intake; for MSH2 models: aspirin use and total calcium intake/1,000 kcal of total energy intake); second, the proportional mean differences were calculated from the adjusted mean differences as (comparison group mean - reference group mean) x 100%.

^bBiomarker expression in the upper 40% of the crypt (the canonical differentiation zone).

^cBiomarker expression in the lower 60% of the crypt (the canonical proliferation zone).

^dTake at least once a week.

^cLow alcohol consumption is ≤ 0.8 drinks/day for men, 0 drinks/day for women; high alcohol consumption is > 0.8 drinks/day for men, > 0 drinks/day for women. ^fDietary plus supplemental intake.

More specifically, our results suggest that, based on their estimated associations with APC, β-catenin, and E-cadherin expression, being male and greater alcohol and meat consumption may be associated with a colorectal mucosa at higher risk for colorectal carcinogenesis through the Wnt/APC colon carcinogenesis pathway. The findings for men included substantial, statistically significant higher expression of antiproliferative APC alone and in its balance with pro-proliferative β-catenin expression in whole crypts and in the crypt differentiation and proliferation zones (upper 40% and lower 60% of crypts, respectively). Similarly, the findings for total meat consumption included higher estimated pro-proliferative β -catenin expression in whole crypts and the crypt proliferation zone, and lower APC relative to β -catenin expression (i.e., a more pro-proliferative balance) in whole crypts and in the crypt differentiation and proliferation zones. The findings for alcohol consumption were limited to lower APC expression in the crypt differentiation zone.

However, our estimated association of BMI with E-cadherin expression was opposite to that we hypothesized. A higher BMI was estimated to be associated with higher E-cadherin expression in the differentiation zone of crypts (although not in whole crypts or in the proliferation zone of crypts). The reasons for this finding are unclear but could include unknown uncontrolled confounding and chance; it is also possible that some exposures may affect some biomarkers in ways that may increase risk (e.g., a higher BMI could decrease MSH2), and others in ways that may decrease risk (e.g., a higher BMI could increase E-cadherin).

Our findings also suggest that, based on their associations with the expression of the MSH2 DNA MMR protein, higher BMI and total meat consumption may be associated with a colorectal mucosa at higher risk for colorectal carcinogenesis through impaired DNA MMR. It is noted that the findings for BMI and meat consumption in relation to MSH2 expression were limited to the crypt differentiation zone. However, our findings for aspirin use were opposite to those hypothesized. Regularly taking aspirin (but not other non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]) was associated with lower expression of MSH2 relative to proliferation (the MSH2/mib-1 ratio), although only in whole crypts, and MSH2 expression alone did not differ according to aspirin use. The findings for aspirin being opposite to those hypothesized and different from those for other NSAIDs are unclear but suggest chance and/or unknown uncontrolled confounding.

Cellular mechanisms for associations of various dietary and medical characteristics with APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, and MSH2 have been reported. Meat, especially red meat, contains high levels of heme, an iron-porphyrin metalloprotein. In rats, heme consumption induced lipid peroxidation in the colon leading to cell surface damage and APC mutation (15). Alcohol increased APC mutation and intestinal tumorigenesis in mice (16). Larger BMI was also previously associated with aberrant expression of MMR proteins, including MSH2 (17). Finally, while CRC incidence is lower among women, which is consistent with our finding that women had higher APC expression and a higher APC/ β -catenin expression ratio, the mechanism behind such differences in protein expression is not well understood (18).

Whether calcium and vitamin D exposures affect the aforementioned biomarkers was investigated in two small, randomized, controlled trials (10-12, 14) and one observational study (8, 9). In contrast to the present observational study in which there was no substantial evidence of associations of serum 25-OH-vitamin D concentrations with the biomarkers reported herein, in both trials, vitamin D3 supplementation increased APC or the APC/β-catenin ratio and MSH2 or the MSH2/mib-1 ratio. In one of the two trials (the one not related to the present study population (10, 12)), supplemental vitamin D3 also increased E-cadherin expression. In that same trial, supplemental calcium (2.0 g/day) also increased the APC/β-catenin ratio and MSH2 expression. However, in the other trial (11, 14), which was conducted with the same participants as in the present study, supplemental calcium (1.2 g/day) had no apparent effect on the biomarkers. In a cross-sectional analysis of an outpatient, elective colonoscopy population (8, 9), higher serum 25-OH-vitamin D concentrations were associated with a higher APC/B-catenin ratio, but were not associated with E-cadherin or MSH2 expression (an MSH2/mib-1 ratio was not investigated). Total calcium intakes were not substantially or statistically significantly associated with APC, β -catenin, the APC/ β catenin ratio, or MSH2 expression.

Likely reasons for the discrepancies in findings between the present study and the previous investigations of calcium, vitamin D and the biomarkers reported herein are: 1) in the present study, prospective participants with serum 25-OH-vitamin D concentrations <12 or >90 ng/mL were excluded from

participation, thus restricting the range of vitamin D exposures for the cross-sectional analyses, which would tend to yield attenuated associations; and 2) in the clinical trials, those randomized to supplemental vitamin D or calcium received much higher amounts of those agents than did those randomized to placebo, which would more likely yield positive findings if there is a true effect. Taken together, previous literature supports that higher vitamin D exposures may increase the APC/ β -catenin ratio and E-cadherin and MSH2 expression, and higher calcium intakes may also increase the APC/ β -catenin ratio and MSH2 expression in the normalappearing colorectal mucosa. However, the present and previous studies taken together do not appear to support that higher calcium exposures substantially affect Ecadherin expression.

To the best of our knowledge, only one reported study, the aforementioned cross-sectional analysis of an outpatient, elective colonoscopy population (8, 9), investigated associations of other exposures in humans with the expression of the biomarkers investigated in the present study. In crosssectional analyses of subsets of that study population, APC/βcatenin scores were lower among men and those with higher total fat and processed meat intakes (a variable not available for the present study). β-catenin expression alone was higher among alcohol consumers and those with higher waist to hip ratios (although BMI was measured in the present study, waist to hip ratios were not). MSH2 expression was higher among those who took an NSAID (aspirin or other NSAID). However, contrary to hypotheses, the APC/β-catenin scores and APC expression alone were lower among those with higher physical activity and those who regularly took a NSAID, and β -catenin expression was higher among those who regularly took a NSAID. The findings between the previous and present study are generally consistent with each other in relation to sex, alcohol consumption, meat intakes, and adiposity, but are dissimilar in relation to physical activity and NSAID use. The studies also were consistent with one another in relation to estimated null associations of various other participant characteristics with the various biomarkers. The reasons for the few discrepancies between the findings of the two studies are unclear but may involve differences in dietary assessment (the previous study used a comprehensive Willett FFQ, whereas the present study used a more limited Block Brief 2000 FFQ), and differences in study populations. The colonoscopy population comprised both patients with and without colorectal adenoma, whereas our study population comprised only colorectal adenoma patients participating in a long-term chemoprevention trial.

Our study addresses the goal of our research group, which is to identify, develop, and apply modifiable, pre-neoplastic, phenotypic biomarkers of risk for colorectal neoplasms, analogous to lipid profiles for ischemic heart disease (19). Such biomarkers can be used: 1) to increase understanding of relevant CRC etiologic mechanisms in humans; 2) to identify in observational studies, such as the one reported herein, exposures that potentially may modulate these mechanisms; 3) as endpoints in clinical trials to assess the potential efficacy, optimum dose, and potential long-term safety of interventions (identified in part via use 2); and 4) to help clinically assess and manage CRC risk. An example of the latter is that they could be used in conjunction with a baseline colonoscopy to personalize colonoscopy or other CRC screening intervals. Another example is that, if correlates of the tissue markers can be identified in easy to procure surrogate fluids, such as blood or urine, the surrogate measures could be used for managing CRC risk in a primary care setting as one would for ischemic heart disease prevention (19).

Our study had several strengths and limitations. A primary limitation was the small sample size, which limited our ability to detect associations or to conduct stratified analyses. However, the study was a pilot study to estimate the strengths and variability of potential associations of participant characteristics with the selected biomarkers. To this end, we estimated several associations that were 1) consistent with hypotheses, and 2) of sufficient magnitude and feasible variability, to support them as candidates for further investigation in a larger observational study and/or in randomized, controlled trials. We measured biomarkers only in rectal biopsies, so possible exposure-biomarker associations at other colon sites remain unknown. Our study was restricted to sporadic colorectal adenoma patients-all of whom were participating in a long-term, randomized, controlled chemoprevention trial-so, our findings may not be generalizable to other populations. Other limitations included the observational, cross-sectional design, which precludes assessing cause-effect, and that FFQs have known limitations (e.g., recall error and limited food and food preparation choices), which may yield attenuated associations. Our measurement methodology is semiquantitative, and cannot be strictly calibrated to standards; however, all procedures were done according to strict protocols across all study participants and our measurements were highly reliable, allowing biomarker values among participants and exposures to be validly ranked relative to one another. Finally, our measurement technology did not allow us to evaluate β-catenin sub-cellular localization; however, findings from a case-control study (8) suggested that total β-catenin expression in the normal colorectal mucosa was greater in sporadic colorectal adenoma cases relative to that in normal controls. Study strengths included: 1) the comprehensive assessment of multiple dietary, lifestyle, demographic, and medical factors, and 2) the automated immunostaining and novel image analysis software, which enabled quantification of crypt biomarker distributions and high biomarker scoring reliability.

In conclusion, the results of this pilot, cross-sectional study, taken together with previous literature, support that being male, higher adiposity, and higher alcohol and meat consumption each may be unfavorably associated with biomarkers of colorectal carcinogenesis pathways in the normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients. These associations, although preliminary and cross-sectional, suggest that various exposures may affect colorectal carcinogenesis pathways in the colorectal epithelium, and thus risk for colorectal neoplasms, and so support further investigation in larger observational studies and/or in randomized controlled trials. Our findings also support further study of the use of APC, β -catenin, E-cadherin, MSH2, and APC/ β -catenin and MSH2/ mib-1 ratios in the normal-appearing rectal mucosa, as potentially modifiable, pre-neoplastic markers of risk for colorectal neoplasms.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Emory University Institutional Review Board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

TS conducted the analyses and was the primary manuscript writer. RR, MS, and EB contributed to data collection and manuscript review. RB led the overall study, including data collection, data analysis and interpretation, and reviewing and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health (R01 CA114456 to RMB and R01 CA098286 to John A. Baron); Georgia Cancer Coalition Distinguished Scholar award (to RB); the Anne and Wilson P. Franklin Foundation (to RB).

Acknowledgments

A preliminary version of some of the contents of this manuscript was previously included in an Emory University MPH in Epidemiology thesis, which appeared online (https:// etd.library.emory.edu/concern/etds/ws859g73z?locale=en).

Conflict of interest

Author MS was employed by Consultants in Gastroenterology. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Edwards BK, Ward E, Kohler BA, Eheman C, Zauber AG, Anderson RN, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975-2006, featuring colorectal cancer trends and impact of interventions (risk factors, screening, and treatment) to reduce future rates. *Cancer* (2010) 116(3):544–73. doi: 10.1002/cncr.24760

2. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2015. Atlanta: American Cancer Society (2015).

3. Aleksandrova K, Pischon T, Jenab M, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Fedirko V, Norat T, et al. Combined impact of healthy lifestyle factors on colorectal cancer: a large European cohort study. *BMC Med* (2014) 12:168. doi: 10.1186/s12916-014-0168-4

4. Dowty JG, Win AK, Buchanan DD, Lindor NM, Macrae FA, Clendenning M, et al. Cancer risks for MLH1 and MSH2 mutation carriers. *Hum Mutat* (2013) 34 (3):490–7. doi: 10.1002/humu.22262

5. Kwong LN, Dove WF. APC and its modifiers in colon cancer. Adv Exp Med Biol (2009) 656:85-106. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1145-2_8

6. Boland CR, Goel A. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology (2010) 138(6):2073-87.e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.12.064

7. Komiya Y, Habas R. Wnt signal transduction pathways. Organogenesis (2008) 4(2):68–75. doi: 10.4161/org.4.2.5851

8. Ahearn TU, Shaukat A, Flanders WD, Seabrook ME, Bostick RM. Markers of the APC/beta-catenin signaling pathway as potential treatable, preneoplastic biomarkers of risk for colorectal neoplasms. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2012) 21(6):969–79. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0126

9. Sidelnikov E, Bostick RM, Flanders WD, Long Q, Seabrook ME. Colorectal mucosal expression of MSH2 as a potential biomarker of risk for colorectal neoplasms. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2009) 18(11):2965–73. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0519

10. Ahearn TU, Shaukat A, Flanders WD, Rutherford RE, Bostick RM. A randomized clinical trial of the effects of supplemental calcium and vitamin D3 on the APC/beta-catenin pathway in the normal mucosa of colorectal adenoma patients. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* (2012) 5(10):1247–56. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-12-0292

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fgstr.2022.889925/full#supplementary-material

11. Liu S, Barry EL, Baron JA, Rutherford RE, Seabrook ME, Bostick RM. Effects of supplemental calcium and vitamin d on the APC/beta-catenin pathway in the normal colorectal mucosa of colorectal adenoma patients. *Mol Carcinog* (2017) 56 (2):412–24. doi: 10.1002/mc.22504

12. Sidelnikov E, Bostick RM, Flanders WD, Long Q, Fedirko V, Shaukat A, et al. Effects of calcium and vitamin d on MLH1 and MSH2 expression in rectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2010) 19(4):1022–32. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0526

13. Baron JA, Barry EL, Mott LA, Rees JR, Sandler RS, Snover DC, et al. A trial of calcium and vitamin d for the prevention of colorectal adenomas. *N Engl J Med* (2015) 373(16):1519–30. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1500409

14. Kwan AK, Um CY, Rutherford RE, Seabrook ME, Barry EL, Fedirko V, et al. Effects of vitamin d and calcium on expression of MSH2 and transforming growth factors in normal-appearing colorectal mucosa of sporadic colorectal adenoma patients: A randomized clinical trial. *Mol Carcinog* (2019) 58(4):511–23. doi: 10.1002/mc.22945

15. Bastide NM, Chenni F, Audebert M, Santarelli RL, Tache S, Naud N, et al. A central role for heme iron in colon carcinogenesis associated with red meat intake. *Cancer Res* (2015) 75(5):870–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2554

16. Na HK, Lee JY. Molecular basis of alcohol-related gastric and colon cancer. Int J Mol Sci (2017) 18(6):1116. doi: 10.3390/ijms18061116

17. Sun Z, Yu X, Wang H, Zhang S, Zhao Z, Xu R. Clinical significance of mismatch repair gene expression in sporadic colorectal cancer. *Exp Ther Med* (2014) 8(5):1416–22. doi: 10.3892/etm.2014.1927

 White A, Ironmonger L, Steele RJC, Ormiston-Smith N, Crawford C, Seims A. A review of sex-related differences in colorectal cancer incidence, screening uptake, routes to diagnosis, cancer stage and survival in the UK. *BMC Cancer* (2018) 18(1):906. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4786-7

19. Bostick RM. Effects of supplemental vitamin d and calcium on normal colon tissue and circulating biomarkers of risk for colorectal neoplasms. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* (2015) 148:86–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.01.010