



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Mohammad Ibrahim Khalil,
University College Dublin, Ireland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Julee J. Boan
✉ jjboan@lakeheadu.ca

RECEIVED 19 January 2024

ACCEPTED 30 January 2024

PUBLISHED 26 February 2024

CITATION

Bysouth D, Boan JJ, Malcolm JR and Taylor AR (2024) Corrigendum: High emissions or carbon neutral? Inclusion of “anthropogenic” forest sinks leads to underreporting of forestry emissions. *Front. For. Glob. Change* 7:1369184. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2024.1369184

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Bysouth, Boan, Malcolm and Taylor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: High emissions or carbon neutral? Inclusion of “anthropogenic” forest sinks leads to underreporting of forestry emissions

David Bysouth¹, Julee J. Boan^{2*}, Jay R. Malcolm³ and Anthony R. Taylor⁴

¹School of Environmental Science, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada, ²International Program, Natural Resources Defense Council, New York, NY, United States, ³Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁴Faculty of Forestry and Environmental Management, University of New Brunswick Fredericton, Fredericton, NB, Canada

KEYWORDS

forest carbon, greenhouse gas emissions, national inventory reports, anthropogenic sinks, wildfire

A corrigendum on

High emissions or carbon neutral? Inclusion of “anthropogenic” forest sinks leads to underreporting of forestry emissions

by Bysouth, D., Boan, J. J., Malcolm, J. R., and Taylor, A. R. (2024). *Front. For. Glob. Change*. 6:1297301. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2023.1297301

In the published article, Bramley and Saul, 2022 was not cited in the article. The citation has now been inserted in 2.3, Paragraph 1 and should read:

“Our definition of annual net emissions associated with forestry comprises the sum of the flows that can reasonably be directly attributed to forestry activities on FLFL (see [Bramley and Saul, 2022](#))”

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 5](#) as published. There was a minor change in input data to create the figure. The corrected [Figure 5](#) and its caption ****Figure 5**. The components of forest carbon flows in Canada’s NIR over the 16-year study period and their average values. The left-hand column shows major wildfires, insect outbreaks, and the subsequent removals from regrowth. The middle column shows emissions and removals directly attributable to forestry. The right column shows net calculations in this study and in Canada’s NIR reporting. NIR emissions portray the managed forest as almost carbon neutral due to the NIR’s disaggregation of significant natural disturbance emissions, while still including natural removals from forest regeneration and growth appear below.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Bramley, M. J., and Saul, G. (2022). *Net Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Logging in Canada?* Available online at: <http://naturecanada.ca/report/what-are-net-ghg-emissions-from-logging-in-canada> (accessed May 1, 2023).

