#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Jiachao Peng, Wuhan Institute of Technology, China

REVIEWED BY Jiani Tan, Shanghai University, China Mohamed R. Abonazel, Cairo University, Egypt

\*CORRESPONDENCE Pengcheng Xie, ⊠ xiepc@ms.giec.ac.cn

RECEIVED 16 September 2024 ACCEPTED 02 January 2025 PUBLISHED 29 January 2025

#### CITATION

Qin X, Xie P and Liao C (2025) Study on the synergistic effect of  $NO_x$  and  $CO_2$  emission reduction in the industrial sector of Guangzhou. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 13:1497121. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2025.1497121

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Qin, Xie and Liao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Study on the synergistic effect of $NO_x$ and $CO_2$ emission reduction in the industrial sector of Guangzhou

# Xingcun Qin<sup>1,2</sup>, Pengcheng Xie<sup>2</sup>\* and Cuiping Liao<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Energy Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, <sup>2</sup>Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China

**Introduction:** As a major source of pollutant and  $CO_2$  emissions, the industrial sector faces the dual challenge of pollution control and carbon reduction. Accurately identifying the synergy between pollutant and carbon emissions in different regions' industrial sectors is crucial for developing regional policies for coordinated pollution reduction and carbon abatement.

**Methods:** This study takes Guangzhou as a case study to quantitatively assess the synergistic effect of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in its industrial sector. First, the LMDI decomposition method was applied to analyze the factors influencing the change in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in Guangzhou's industrial sector. Next, the CFGLS model was used to quantify the synergistic effect between NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Finally, a robustness check was conducted on the results.

**Results and discussion:** The findings indicate that the synergistic effect in carbon reduction is the most significant driver of  $NO_x$  reduction in Guangzhou's industrial sector, with a 10,000-ton reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions leading to a 0.4-ton decrease in  $NO_x$  emissions. The interaction effect analysis shows that increasing the use of natural gas and reducing energy intensity do not amplify this synergy. The results could provide valuable insights for coordinated pollution reduction and carbon abatement policies designing in Guangzhou's industrial sector.

#### KEYWORDS

synergistic emission reduction,  $\text{CO}_2$  emission,  $\text{NO}_x$  emission, industrial sector, CFGLS model

# **1** Introduction

The control of pollutants has long been a focal point in environmental concerns. Human energy activities have generated numerous pollutants such as  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , PM2.5, etc., causing significant damage to the ecological environment and posing risks to human health (Burnett et al., 2018; Turner et al., 2016). Concurrently, the substantial emission of greenhouse gases has led to global warming, with climate risks becoming increasingly imminent. Thus, measures to control carbon emissions and gradually achieve net-zero emissions are emerging as an international consensus. China is confronted with the dual tasks of pollutant control and carbon reduction. In terms of pollutant control, the State Council of China has issued the "New Action Plan for Pollution Control," outlining phased targets and implementation roadmaps. Regarding carbon reduction, China made significant commitments during the 75th session of the

United Nations General Assembly: China aims to peak its carbon emissions by 2030 and strive for carbon neutrality by 2060. Environmental protection policies and climate actions are crucial topics for realizing China's green development, with the Chinese government actively seeking pathways to synergistically advance both processes.

There is potential for synergistic emission reductions between CO2 and pollutants, as highlighted in studies such as (He et al., 2010), which quantified the synergistic effects of multiple pollutants. Synergistic governance is considered the most cost-effective approach to reduce both CO2 and pollutant emissions (Xue et al., 2023). Effective synergistic governance requires policies tailored to the specific characteristics of different regions and industries. Previous research has mainly focused on regional or urban levels (Chen et al., 2023; Jia et al., 2023; Shi et al., 2023), with limited studies on specific industries, leading to a lack of localized empirical research for industry-specific emission reduction policies. The industrial sector, as a major source of both pollutants and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, plays a critical role in achieving carbon neutrality. Therefore, quantifying and comprehensively analyzing the synergy between CO<sub>2</sub> and pollutant emissions in the industrial sector is essential for formulating effective emission reduction policies.

According to data from the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of China, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from China's industrial sector in 2022 amounted to 3.333 million tons, accounting for 32.7% of total industrial air pollutant emissions. Research indicates that from 2013 to 2019, the concentrations of PM2.5 and SO<sub>2</sub> in 74 key Chinese cities decreased by 47% and 75%, respectively, while NOx concentrations only declined by 23%, indicating limited progress in NO<sub>x</sub> control (Chu et al., 2022). CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in the industrial sector are key to achieving decarbonization and cleaner production. This paper uses Guangzhou's industrial sector as a case study, decomposing the factors influencing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with an extended Kaya-LMDI model and quantifying the synergistic effect of CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> reductions using robust econometric models. It also examines the factors affecting the synergy through an interaction effect model, followed by a robustness check of the results.

This study aims to answer the following questions: 1) What are the factors influencing  $NO_x$  emissions in Guangzhou's industrial sector? 2) How can the synergistic effect of  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  emission reductions in Guangzhou's industrial sector be quantified? 3) How do changes in energy structure and energy intensity impact the synergy between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  reductions? The findings of this study will provide localized empirical evidence for coordinated emission reduction policies in Guangzhou's industrial sector and offer insights for broader policy development in other industrial sectors.

The structure of this paper is outlined as follows: Section 2 reviews relevant studies; Section 3 introduces the research methods and data sources used; Section 4 presents the decomposition results of LMDI, followed by analysis and discussion of the results; Sections 5, 6 discuss the estimation results of synergistic effects and conduct robustness checks; finally, the last section summarizes the research findings and presents corresponding policy suggestions.

# 2 Literature review

The concept of carbon emission reduction synergistic effects was first proposed by the IPCC in 2001. It refers to that GHG emission reductions can simultaneously lead to other socio-economic benefits, the most important part of which is to significantly contribute to synergistic emission reductions of pollutants (Dong et al., 2019). Research by Yi et al. (2023) supports the existence of synergistic effects, as they found that over the past decade, China has achieved the majority of pollutant reduction through coordinated governance efforts. Jia et al. (2024) evaluated the synergistic effects of PM2.5 and CO2 using a synergistic coordinate system and emission reduction elasticity coefficients, finding that synergistic effects exist across all major sectors. Jiao et al. (2020) analyzed the synergistic benefits of CO<sub>2</sub> and atmospheric pollutant reduction measures in Guangzhou's transportation sector using the LEAP model, revealing that promoting the electrification of the transportation sector can achieve the maximum synergistic benefits. Yu et al. (2020) decomposed the factors influencing the synergistic effects of CO2 and pollutant reduction in China's power sector, indicating that the key to achieving coordinated emission reduction in the power industry lies in adjusting the energy structure and upgrading technologies. Zeng and He (2023) quantified the synergistic emission reduction effects of China's transportation sector, and based on provincial data, their research showed that every 10,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduced in the transportation sector could lead to a reduction of 11,950 tons of pollutants.

Research on NOx synergistic effects is relatively scarce. Existing studies on NO<sub>x</sub> synergistic effects are mostly based on model simulations and scenario analyses (Feng et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2023). These models rely on a series of assumptions and are difficult to comprehensively explain the real world, with results serving only as trend references. Econometric methods and the LMDI method are widely used in NO<sub>x</sub> emission studies. Wang et al. (2019) used a geographically weighted regression model to analyze the driving factors of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from energy consumption in 30 provinces of China, revealing significant north-south differences influenced by economic development and energy intensity. Ding et al. (2017) employed the LMDI method to analyze the driving factors of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in provinces of China and regional challenges in emission reduction, finding that improvements in energy efficiency and technological advancements are the main drivers of emission reductions and regional controls on NOx would be more effective. In addition to national-level studies, some scholars have conducted in-depth studies on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in individual regions (Xu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2015), while research on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in more finely segmented sectors and industries is relatively scarce.

Research also indicates that appropriate policies can enhance the synergistic effect of  $CO_2$  and pollutant emission reductions. Bollen et al. (2009) used the integrated assessment model MERGE to simulate the synergistic effects of air pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions reductions, finding that some long-term climate change strategies simultaneously improve air quality in the short term. Plachinski et al. (2014) found that low-carbon policies in the U.S. power sector have synergistic effects in reducing PM2.5 emissions. Also, similar results were obtained in studies by Bollen and Brink (2014) and Alam et al. (2018), which used

Energy consumption category	Carbon emission factor
Anthracite	1.909
Fuel Oil	3.0472
Diesel Oil	3.1451
Liquefied Petroleum	2.9240
Natural Gas	21.650
Liquefied Natural gas	2.8639
Electricity	6.379

TABLE 1 CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors for different energy consumption categories.

local data from the EU and Ireland, respectively. In terms of research of China, Yang et al. (2017) pointed out that, under China's carbon peaking and carbon neutrality scenarios, pollutant emissions will be significantly reduced. Yang et al. (2017), highlighted the significant potential for  $CO_2$  and pollutant co-reduction in China's industrial sector based on large-scale industrial enterprise data. However, the key to formulating appropriate synergistic emission reduction policies for the industrial sector lies in the rigorous quantification and empirical analysis of the synergistic effects. As specific targeted research is still limited this paper aims to expand existing insights in this area.

After summarizing existing literature, it is found that most studies focus on the synergistic effects between PM2.5 and SO<sub>2</sub>, few studies focus on the synergistic effects of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Second, studies on synergistic effects are mainly conducted at the provincial or national level, lacking research on individual sectors. Third, research on synergistic effects of NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in the industrial sector is limited, while the industrial sector serves as a major source of CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Fourth, research methods for synergistic effects are mostly based on model simulations and scenario analyses, while empirical and quantitative analyses are scarce.

Apart from previous studies, the main contributions of this paper are as follows: 1) Based on extended Kaya model and LMDI method, this paper decomposes the influencing factors of  $NO_x$ emissions variation in the industrial sector of Guangzhou City and identifies the presence of synergistic effects; 2) Employing rigorous econometric models, this paper quantifies the synergistic effects of carbon reduction and  $NO_x$ , deriving empirically-based conclusions and circumventing the drawbacks associated with excessive assumption; 3) This paper identifies the interaction between synergistic effects and factors such as energy structure and energy intensity, which can offer policy insights for effectively leveraging synergistic effects of carbon and  $NO_x$  reduction.

# 3 Method and data

## 3.1 LMDI decomposition method

LMDI method is a technique used to decompose changes in energy or carbon emissions (Ang, 2005). It decomposes overall changes into contributions from individual factors based on the logarithmic mean of the Divisia index. The advantage of this method lies in its ability to handle zero values and absence of residuals. Building upon the Kaya identity, we extend the relationship between  $NO_x$  emissions and  $CO_2$  emissions, energy structure, industrial output, etc. In this expanded framework, the LMDI method can be meaningfully applied to decompose  $NO_x$  emission factors.

The  $NO_x$  emissions from the industrial sector of Guangzhou City can be decomposed into the following factors in Equation 1:

$$NOXM_{t} = \sum_{i} NOXM_{it} = \sum_{i} \frac{NOXM_{it}}{CM_{it}} \cdot \frac{CM_{it}}{E_{it}} \cdot \frac{E_{it}}{IVA_{it}} \cdot IVA_{it}$$
(1)

Here, i represents the i-th industry in industrial sector, and t represents the t-th year.  $NOXM_t$  represents the total  $NO_x$  emissions from the industrial sector of Guangzhou City in year t.  $CM_{it}$ ,  $E_{it}$  and  $IVA_{it}$  represent the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, and industrial value added of the i-th industry in year t, respectively.

Let  $\Delta NOXM$  represents the change in pollutant emissions from the base year  $t_0$  to the target year t, then  $\Delta NOXM$  can be expressed as follows in Equation 2:

$$\Delta NOXM = NOXM^{t} - NOXM^{t_{0}} = \sum_{i} CSE_{it} \cdot ES_{it} \cdot EI_{it} \cdot IVA_{it}$$
$$-\sum_{i} CSE_{it_{0}} \cdot ES_{it_{0}} \cdot EI_{it_{0}} \cdot IVA_{it_{0}} = \Delta NOXM_{CSE}$$
$$+\Delta NOXM_{ES} + \Delta NOXM_{EI} + \Delta NOXM_{IVA}$$
(2)

Through further decomposition using the logarithmic index method, we can obtain the following Equations 3–6.

$$\Delta NOXM_{CSE} = \sum_{i} \frac{NOXM_{i}^{t} - NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}}{\ln NOXM_{i}^{t} - \ln NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}} \ln \frac{CSE_{i}^{t}}{CSE_{i}^{t_{0}}}$$
(3)

$$\Delta NOXM_{ES} = \sum_{i} \frac{NOXM_{i}^{t} - NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}}{\ln NOXM_{i}^{t} - \ln NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}} \ln \frac{ES_{i}^{t}}{ES_{i}^{t_{0}}}$$
(4)

$$\Delta NOXM_{EI} = \sum_{i} \frac{NOXM_{i}^{t} - NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}}{\ln NOXM_{i}^{t} - \ln NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}} \ln \frac{EI_{i}^{t}}{EI_{i}^{t_{0}}}$$
(5)

$$\Delta NOXM_{IVA} = \sum_{i} \frac{NOXM_{i}^{t} - NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}}{\ln NOXM_{i}^{t} - \ln NOXM_{i}^{t_{0}}} \ln \frac{IVA_{i}^{t}}{IVA_{i}^{t_{0}}}$$
(6)

As derived above, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the industrial sector of Guangzhou City can be decomposed into four parts:  $\Delta NOXM_{CSE}$ represents the synergistic effect of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on NO<sub>x</sub> reduction;  $\Delta NOXM_{ES}$  represents the energy structure effect, reflecting the impact of changes in total energy carbon emission factors on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions;  $\Delta NOXM_{EI}$  represents the energy intensity effect, reflecting the impact of changes in energy intensity on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions;  $\Delta NOXM_{IVA}$  represents the industrial output effect, reflecting the impact of changes in industrial sector output on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

# 3.2 Two-way fixed-effects model of NO<sub>x</sub> reduction

To further quantify the synergistic effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$ , we established an econometric model for  $NO_x$  reduction. Building upon the decomposition results of the LMDI, this model

Variable	Definition	Unit	Source
NMR	NOx reduction	Thousand tons	Calculated from survey data
CMR	CO <sub>2</sub> reduction	Ten Thousand tons	Calculated based on Table 1 and Statistical Yearbook data
ES	Proportion of Natural Gas Consumption	Percentage	Calculated from Statistical Yearbook data
EI	Energy Intensity	Tons of Standard Coal per Ten Thousand Yuan	Calculated from Statistical Yearbook data
IVA	Industrial Value Added	Hundred Million Yuan	Statistical Yearbook

#### TABLE 2 Summary of variables.

Note: All economic data are adjusted to 2011 comparable prices.

takes the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction quantity as the dependent variable and the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction quantity as the core explanatory variable, with the estimated coefficient  $\beta_1$  representing the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> reduction per unit of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. To control other factors' influences, variables reflecting energy structure, energy intensity, and industrial production scale were included in the model. Moreover, considering the differences in industry structure, energy preferences, and policy factors among various industrial sectors, individual and time fixed effects were introduced to account for common shocks. The two-way fixed-effects model is formulated as follows in Equation 7:

$$NMR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CMR_{it} + \beta_2 ES_{it} + \beta_3 EI_{it} + \beta_4 IVA_{it} + \beta_5 IVA2_{it} + \gamma_t + \delta_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(7)

where i represents the i-th industry and t represents the year. NMR<sub>it</sub> represents NO<sub>x</sub> reduction and CMR<sub>it</sub> represents CO<sub>2</sub> reduction;  $ES_{it}$  denotes the energy structure, given that the carbon emission factor of natural gas is relatively low, the energy structure is represented by the proportion of natural gas in the industry's energy consumption; EIit stands for energy intensity; IVAit indicates industrial value added; IVA2<sub>it</sub> represents the quadratic term of industrial value added. The introduction of this term aims to observe whether there exists an inverted U-shaped curve relationship between industrial value added and NOx reduction, which assists the government in formulating corresponding emission control strategies according to different stages of industrial economic development.  $\epsilon_{it}$  represents the unobserved random error term.  $\delta_i$  represents industry fixed effects, representing the unobserved effects of each industry that do not vary over time, such as differences in energy demand and industrial production processes among industries.  $\gamma_t$  represents time fixed effects. Considering that including time dummy variables might lead to a loss of estimation parameters' degrees of freedom and increase variance, in order to save model parameters, a time trend term is introduced into the model to control time effects, which include various factors such as energy prices and environmental policies.

The fixed effects model employed in this study is based on the following statistical assumptions regarding the properties of the error term.

1) The error term has a zero mean and is uncorrelated with the explanatory variables, individual fixed effects, and time fixed effects:  $E(\varepsilon_{it}|x_{it}, \gamma_t, \delta_i) = 0$  2) The error term is homoscedastic, with no contemporaneous correlation across panels or serial correlation

within panels. 3) The relationship between the dependent variable and explanatory variables is assumed to be linear, consistent with the theoretical framework underpinning this study. These assumptions will be validated and tested in Section 5 to determine the appropriate regression model.

## 3.3 Variable descriptions and data sources

The basic data used in this study primarily come from the Guangzhou Statistical Yearbook from 2011 to 2022. Based on the national economic industry classification of China, we subdivide Guangzhou's industrial sector into ten main industries: Power (PWR), Petrochemicals (PET), Textiles (TEX), Paper and Printing (P&P), Biopharmaceuticals (BioPhar), Iron, Equipment Manufacturing (EM), Information Technology (IT), Building Materials (BM), Other Industries. The  $CO_2$  emissions of each industry were calculated according to the following Equation 8.

$$E = \sum A_i \cdot \mu_i \tag{8}$$

where: E represents the total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the industry,  $A_i$  represents the consumption of the i-th type of fuel in the industry,  $\mu_i$  represents the carbon emission factor of the i-th type of fuel.

The fuel consumption data is sourced from the energy consumption data provided in the Guangzhou Statistical Yearbook, while the carbon emission factors are sourced from the "Guidelines for Compilation of Greenhouse Gas Inventories for Cities and Counties (Districts) in Guangdong Province (Trial)," respectively.

The formula for calculating the dependent variable,  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  reduction, in the econometric model is as follows in Equation 9.

$$NMR_{it} = NOXM_{i,t-1} - NOXM_{i,t}$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

Here,  $NMR_{it}$  represents the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction for the i-th industry in year t.

The formula for the core explanatory variable, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, is as follows in Equation 10:

$$CMR_{it} = CM_{i,t-1} - CM_{i,t} \tag{10}$$

where  $CMR_{it}$  represents the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction for the i-th industry in year t.

The summary of all variables is presented in Table 2. The descriptive statistics of variables are presented in Table 3.

Variable	Observation	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
NMR	120	0.568	2.051	-1.301	15.447
CMR	120	17.971	144.102	-269.958	1146.876
ES	120	11.733	9.719	1.839	54.867
EI	120	0.785	0.752	0.125	4.365
IVA	120	559.947	608.378	30.117	3137.979

TABLE 3 Descriptive statistics of variables.



# 4 LMDI decomposition results analysis

## 4.1 Overall decomposition results

Since the "12th Five-Year Plan" period, Guangzhou has actively engaged in pollution reduction and carbon reduction efforts, improving its energy structure and optimizing industrial layout through measures such as technological improvement, energy substitution, and eliminating outdated production capacity. By 2022, the energy intensity of Guangzhou's industrial sector had decreased by 55% compared to 2011, while the  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$ emissions reductions reached 26.063 million tons and 63,949 tons, respectively, representing a decrease of 29.5% and 85.4% compared to 2011.

With LMDI method, we decomposed the annual changes in  $NO_x$  emissions in Guangzhou's industrial sector from 2011 to 2022 into four influencing factors:  $CO_2$  synergistic reduction effect (CSE), energy structure effect (ES), energy intensity effect (EI), and industrial output effect (IVA), with "Total" representing the total change in  $NO_x$  emissions for that year. The specific decomposition results are shown in Figure 1.

As shown in Figure 1, the  $NO_x$  emissions from Guangzhou's industrial sector exhibits an overall downward trend from 2011 to 2019, with the most significant decrease in 2016. There is a slight increase in 2021, followed by a continued decrease in 2022. As the



main factor contributing to the increase in  $NO_x$  emission, the industrial output effect has a consistent positive impact on  $NO_x$  emissions, indicating that the expansion of production scale leads to a corresponding increase in emissions of pollutants such as  $NO_x$ .

The main contribution to  $NO_x$  reduction comes from the synergistic effect of carbon reduction, with an average annual carbon reduction synergy effect of 4,650 tons from 2011 to 2022, indicating significant potential for  $NO_x$  reduction through carbon synergy. The energy intensity effect contributes an average of 3,025 tons per year to  $NO_x$  reduction, second only to the carbon reduction synergy effect. Years with negative energy intensity effects are accompanied by a decrease in energy intensity, indicating that improvements in energy efficiency could effectively reduce fossil fuel consumption so that promote  $NO_x$  reduction. Compared to other effects, the energy structure effect is not significant, suggesting that overall changes in energy carbon emission factors in Guangzhou's industrial sector are not pronounced, indicating significant potential for the industrial sector's energy clean-up.

In summary, the synergistic effect of carbon reduction, energy intensity effect, and industrial output effect have a significant impact on NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in Guangzhou's industrial sector, while the energy structure effect has a weaker influence. The industrial output effect has a positive impact, leading to a noticeable increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with an increase in industrial output. The synergistic effect of carbon reduction and the energy intensity effect contribute mainly to negative effects. Among all influencing factors, the contribution of the synergistic effect of carbon reduction to NO<sub>x</sub> reduction is the largest, making it the most important pathway for NO<sub>x</sub> reduction.

## 4.2 Decomposition results by industry

Figure 2 illustrates the decomposition results of  $NO_x$  emission changes in the 10 industry categories of Guangzhou's industrial sector. The total emission change is obtained by subtracting the  $NO_x$ emissions in 2022 from those in 2011 for each industry, representing the total emission change from 2011 to 2022. Due to the significant disparity in emission levels between the electricity industry and other industries, the decomposition results are presented as the contribution of each influencing factor to the absolute value of the total effect. The specific calculation method is described in Equation 11.

$$Contribution = \frac{Eeffect_i}{|Total|}$$
(11)

Consistent with the analysis in Section 4.1, we decomposed the  $NO_x$  emission changes in each industry into the sum of four influencing factors. They are the  $CO_2$  synergistic emission reduction effect (CSE), energy structure effect (ES), energy intensity effect (EI), and industrial output effect (IVA).

From Figure 2, it is evident that the synergistic effect of carbon emissions contributes the most to NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in each industry, indicating that the synergistic effect of carbon reduction is an important pathway for NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in the industrial sector. Additionally, the contribution of the CO2 reduction synergistic effect to NO<sub>x</sub> reduction varies significantly across different industries. The power industry exhibits the highest one, with the synergic effect accounting for a reduction of 41,111 tons of NO, and followed by the building materials and petrochemical industries, with contributions of 5,373.3 tons and 3,972.5 tons, respectively. The contribution of energy intensity effect to NOx reduction is second only to the synergistic effect of carbon reduction, and technological progress and improved energy efficiency in each industry significantly promote NO<sub>x</sub> reduction. The energy intensity effect in the iron industry is positive, which is related to the increase in energy intensity in the iron industry from 2011 to 2022. The iron industry should actively conduct technological research and development, optimize production processes, and reduce energy intensity to improve economic benefits while reducing emissions of pollutants such as NOx. The industrial output effect has a significant positive impact on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, indicating that the expansion of production scale leads to a corresponding increase in emissions of pollutants such as NOx. The industrial sector should further transform its current production methods towards sustainable production, introducing negative carbon technologies and pollution control technologies to address environmental externalities during the production process.

Compared to other effects, the energy structure effect is not significant. Except for power and iron, the energy structure effect in other industries is positive, indicating that these industries need to quickly transition their energy structure to increase the proportion of clean fuels, achieving the goal of synergistic carbon reduction and pollution reduction.

In summary, carbon emission synergistic effect and energy intensity effect serve as significant means and pathways for  $NO_x$ synergistic reduction in Guangzhou's industrial sectors. All industries should take proactive measures to reduce  $CO_2$ emissions while enhancing technological innovation to improve energy efficiency, thereby effectively leveraging energy intensity effects to facilitate the reduction of pollutants such as  $NO_x$ . There is ample room for optimizing the energy structure across various industrial sectors in Guangzhou. Continuous efforts should be made to increase the proportion of high-quality clean energy usage and explore potential fuel substitutions to lower energy emission intensity factors, transitioning towards a sustainable energy structure. TABLE 4 IPS test result.

	Variables	IPS test unit root	P-value
Levels	NMR	-2.8395	0.0023
	CMR	-1.0597	0.1446
	ES	0.7143	0.7625
	EI	-3.4785	0.0003
	IVA	-2.2822	0.0112
First difference	NMR	-11.5221	0.0000
	CMR	-7.2065	0.0000
	ES	-1.8303	0.0336
	EI	-12.6974	0.0000
	IVA	-3.3077	0.0005

TABLE 5 Kao cointegration test result.

	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Modified Dickey-Fuller t	-2.8764	0.0020
Dickey-Fuller t	-8.1490	0.0000
Augmented Dickey-Fuller t	-2.1177	0.0171
Unadjusted modified Dickey-Fuller t	-7.7627	0.0000
Unadjusted modified Dickey-Fuller t	-10.0633	0.0000

# 5 Analysis and discussion of econometric model results

The variables included in the econometric model (7) may be nonstationary sequences, which can lead to "pseudo-regression." Therefore, before conducting regression analysis, we perform unit root tests on the variables involved in the econometric model. Considering the relatively small panel sample size, we employ the IPS test. The results of the IPS test are presented in Table 4.

The results indicate that some variables are non-stationary at the level, but the first-difference series of all variables reject the null hypothesis of having a unit root at least at the 0.05 significance level. Therefore, all variables are first-order integrated. To determine the potential cointegration relationships, we conduct the Kao cointegration test between the explanatory and dependent variables. The results of the Kao cointegration test are presented in Table 5.

The results of the Kao cointegration test strongly reject the null hypothesis that there is no cointegration relationship between the dependent and explanatory variables. There exists a "long-term equilibrium" relationship among the variables, allowing for regression analysis using the original variable sequences. Furthermore, to address the possibility of multicollinearity among the variables, we computed the VIF statistic. The results in Table 6 show that all VIF, values are below 10, indicating that multicollinearity is not a concern among the variables (Hair et al., 2009).

Moreover, to ensure the effectiveness of the fixed effects model we employed, a Hausman test is conducted to select the appropriate TABLE 6 VIF test.

Variable	VIF
IVA	7.990
IVA2	7.430
EI	1.190
ES	1.050
CMR	1.020
Mean value	3.730

TABLE 7 Result of Hausman test.

	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value
Hausman test	18.25	0.0011

estimation model. The results of the Hausman test are presented in Table 7. The test results strongly reject the null hypothesis, indicating that in this study, the fixed effects model is more suitable than the random effects model.

To employ the most appropriate estimation methods, we conduct tests for between-group heteroskedasticity, within-group autocorrelation, and between-group contemporaneous correlation on the panel data. The test results are presented in Table 8. The Modified Wald test results strongly reject the null hypothesis of "homoskedasticity," indicating the presence of between-group heteroskedasticity in the panel data.

We perform the Wooldridge test for within-group autocorrelation, and the results indicate that the null hypothesis of "no first-order within-group autocorrelation" cannot be rejected, suggesting no issue of within-group autocorrelation in the panel data. Additionally, we conduct Pesaran's test and Friedman's test for between-group contemporaneous correlation, and both tests strongly reject the null hypothesis of "no between-group contemporaneous correlation," indicating the need to consider the issue of between-group contemporaneous correlation.

Table 8 results indicate that there is between-group heteroskedasticity and between-group contemporaneous correlation in the panel data of Guangzhou's industrial sectors. Thus, appropriate estimation methods are required to estimate the fixed-effects model. In this case, the OLS method cannot provide consistent estimates, and instead, the FGLS method is needed. Specifically, the FGLS can only handle within-group autocorrelation, whereas the comprehensive FGLS (CFGLS) estimation can simultaneously address issues of between-group heteroskedasticity, within-group autocorrelation, and between-group contemporaneous correlation. We employ the CFGLS model to estimate the synergistic effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  emission. Additionally, for comparison, we will report the results of both FE, FGLS and CFGLS methods.

The estimation results of the CFGLS model, which effectively addresses between-group heteroskedasticity and between-group contemporaneous correlation, indicate significant synergistic effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  emission reduction. As shown in Model (3) of Table 9, the estimated coefficient of the core explanatory variable CMR (CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction) on the dependent variable NMR is 0.0004, significant at the 0.001 level. It is noteworthy that the variables used in the model are measured in CMR (in ten thousand tons) and NMR (in thousand tons), implying that each ten thousand tons of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction results in a 0.4-ton reduction in NOx emissions. The coefficient of ES is significantly positive, which indicates that actively improving the energy structure and increasing the proportion of natural gas usage is one of the ways to reduce NOx emissions. Furthermore, the estimated coefficient of the variable EI, representing energy intensity, is significantly negative, indicating that a reduction in energy intensity significantly promotes NOx reduction, consistent with the decomposition results of LMDI. The improvement in energy efficiency brought about by technological progress is an important way to promote NOx reduction. The coefficient of the first-order term of industrial value-added is significantly positive, while the coefficient of the second-order term is significantly negative, indicating a reverse U-shaped relationship between industrial value-added and NOx reduction, with the potential for NOx reduction increasing first and then decreasing with the development of industrial economy.

To explore the differences in synergistic effects among different industries, we introduced interaction terms between industry dummy variables and CMR, as shown in Model (4) of Table 9. The interaction term between the dummy variable for the Power industry and CMR is significantly negative, indicating that the synergistic emission reduction potential of the Power industry is significantly greater than that of other industries.

To further investigate the factors influencing the synergistic effects of  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  reduction, the interaction effects between CMR and other variables are analyzed. In Model (5), the interaction term between variable ES and CMR is added. It is found that the coefficient of the interaction term is positive but close to zero. This suggests that adjusting the energy structure to increase the proportion of natural gas consumption does not significantly promote synergistic effects.

In Model (6), the interaction term between variable EI and CO2redu is added. The results show that the coefficient of the interaction term is significantly positive, indicating that a decrease in energy intensity does not promote synergistic effects; instead, it weakens them. According to the energy rebound effect, a decrease in

TABLE 8 Results of the tests.

Between-group	en-group heteroscedasticity test Within-group autocorrelation tes			tion tests	Intergroup si	multaneous ( tests	correlation	
	Statistics	P-value		Statistics	P-value		Statistics	P-value
Modified wald's test	17927.25	0.0000	Wooldridge's test	0.084	0.7790	Pesaran's test	9.513	0.0000
						Friedman's test	49.031	0.0000

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	FE	FGLS	CFGLS	CFGLS	CFGLS	CFGLS
CMR	0.0007	0.0010	0.0004***	0.0000	-0.0001	-0.0007***
	(0.0008)	(0.0013)	(0.0001)	(0.0000)	(0.0001)	(0.0002)
ES	-0.0218	0.0341	-0.0102***	-0.0069***	-0.0057*	-0.0030
	(0.0384)	(0.0341)	(0.0018)	(0.0021)	(0.0023)	(0.0033)
EI	-0.3791	-0.2242	-0.1983***	-0.0915***	-0.1248***	0.0519*
	(0.3696)	(0.8491)	(0.0282)	(0.0181)	(0.0358)	(0.0226)
IVA	0.0013	0.0019	0.0006***	0.0005***	0.0004**	0.0004**
	(0.0007)	(0.0017)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
IVA2	-0.0000	-0.0000	-0.0000***	-0.0000***	-0.0000**	-0.0000
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
Dianli*CMR				0.0032***		
				(0.0005)		
ES*CMR					0.0000***	
					(0.0000)	
EI*CMR						0.0020***
						(0.0005)
Т	-0.1237	-0.1267	-0.0550***	-0.0485***	-0.0355**	-0.0373*
	(0.0896)	(0.1004)	(0.0118)	(0.0108)	(0.0132)	(0.0151)
Constant	249.8655	255.2836	115.3093***	101.9122***	76.0550**	79.2100**
	(180.7593)	(202.6658)	(23.8682)	(21.7573)	(26.7385)	(30.4212)
Observation	120	120	120	120	120	120
Sector fixed effect	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time fixed effect	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

TABLE 9 Estimated results of different methods.

Standard errors in parentheses.

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001.

energy intensity improves energy efficiency, leading to a decrease in the effective price of energy services. The lower price stimulates more energy demand, which may result in increased energy consumption and emissions of pollutants such as  $NO_x$ .

# 6 Robustness tests

# 6.1 Lagging the core explanatory variables by one period

The core explanatory variable CMR may suffer from endogeneity issues. To address potential endogeneity concerns, we lagged the core explanatory variable by one period and conduct the regression again. The lagged variable LCMR represents the  $CO_2$  emission reduction from the previous period, which cannot affect the current period's  $NO_x$  emission reduction and is uncorrelated with the disturbances of the current period. The regression results, as shown in Model (1) of

Table 10, still exhibit significantly positive coefficient estimates for the core explanatory variable, indicating a significant synergistic effect between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  reduction. The regression results for other variables are also similar to the baseline results, which confirm the robustness of the baseline results.

# 6.2 Excluding exceptional years

Due to the impact of COVID-19, the Chinese government implemented strict measures to restrict outdoor activities and industrial production in 2020, leading to a sharp decrease in  $CO_2$ emissions (Liang et al., 2023). Research from Nanchang, China, also indicates that compared to the same period in 2019, the pandemic in 2020 resulted in a 2% reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions, with reductions of 54.5% and 18.9% in the power and manufacturing industries, respectively. Furthermore,  $CO_2$  emissions in 2021 increased by 14.3%–14.9% compared to 2019, indicating a rapid recovery of

#### TABLE 10 Results of robustness tests.

	(1)	(2)
	CFGLS	CFGLS
LCMR	0.0004***	
	(0.0000)	
CMR		0.0004***
		(0.0001)
ES	-0.0180***	-0.0166***
	(0.0009)	(0.0022)
EI	-0.3414***	-0.2231***
	(0.0182)	(0.0270)
IVA	0.0011***	0.0007***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
IVA2	-0.0000***	-0.0000***
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)
Т	-0.1290***	-0.0654***
	(0.0112)	(0.0106)
Constant	264.6461***	136.4968***
	(22.6935)	(21.4673)
Observation	110	110
Sector fixed effect	Yes	Yes
Time fixed effect	Yes	Yes

Standard errors in parentheses.

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001.

economic activities to pre-pandemic levels (Hu et al., 2022). The "unexpected" decline in emissions from industrial activities in 2020 due to the pandemic may interfere with the research results. To address this, we exclude the data from 2020 and conduct the regression again to verify the robustness of the results. Since industrial activities and emission levels in 2021 have essentially returned to pre-pandemic levels, data from that year are not excluded. The regression results, as shown in Model (2) of Table 10, indicate that the estimated coefficient for the core explanatory variable CMR remains significantly positive, and other coefficients are similar to the baseline regression results, demonstrating the robustness of our baseline regression results.

# 7 Conclusion

Pollutant emissions and carbon emissions share the same origin, promoting synergies in reducing pollution and carbon emission has become an inevitable choice to facilitate the comprehensive green transformation of China's economic and social development. This paper, focusing on the industrial sector of Guangzhou City, employs LMDI method to decompose the change in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions into the effects of four influencing factors. Additionally, a two-way fixed effects model is employed to quantify the synergistic reduction effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$ . The LMDI decomposition results indicate the following: 1) The largest driver of  $NO_x$  reduction in Guangzhou's industrial sector is the synergistic reduction effect resulting from  $CO_2$  mitigation, followed by the emission reduction effect due to decreased energy intensity. 2) Economic growth in the industrial sector is the primary driver of increased  $NO_x$  emissions. 3) All industries within the industrial sector exhibit significant synergistic reduction effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$ , with the power industry having the highest synergistic reduction effect.

Based on the LMDI decomposition results, the CFGLS model was used to quantify the synergistic reduction effects between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  in Guangzhou's industrial sector. The key conclusions are as follows: 1) The synergistic reduction effect between  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  is significant at the 0.01 level, with a reduction of 10,000 tons of  $CO_2$ leading to a reduction of 0.4 tons of  $NO_x$ . 2) Increasing the proportion of natural gas usage can effectively promote  $NO_x$  reduction. 3) There is an inverted U-shaped curve between  $NO_x$  reduction and industrial added value, indicating that  $NO_x$  reduction potential first increases and then decreases with industrial economic development. 4) The study of interaction effects shows that the synergistic carbon reduction effect in the power industry is higher than in other industries, highlighting the need to prioritize synergistic reduction in power industry.

This paper identifies the influencing factors of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in Guangzhou's industrial sector and quantifies the synergistic reduction effects between NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. It can provide valuable insights into achieving joint NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> reductions through synergistic effects in the industrial sector.

This study decomposes the factors influencing  $NO_x$  emissions in Guangzhou's industrial sector, quantifies the synergistic emission reduction effects between  $NO_x$  and  $CO_2$ , and analyzes the impact of changes in energy intensity and energy structure on this synergy, providing comprehensive and robust conclusions. However, several limitations should be acknowledged. Due to data availability, the panel data used in this study covers the period from 2011 to 2022. Future research could expand the time span by incorporating additional data sources, thereby providing results based on a larger sample. This study focuses only on Guangzhou's industrial sector, future research could integrate data from multiple regions to provide more generalizable empirical conclusions. While this study offers a thorough analysis of the synergistic effects, it does not delve into specific synergistic emission reduction measures, and future studies could explore this aspect further.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

XQ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft. PX: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing. CL: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by funding from the Rockefeller Brother Foundation (Grant No. 23-246).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

Alam, Md.S., Hyde, B., Duffy, P., and McNabola, A. (2018). Analysing the Co-Benefits of transport fleet and fuel policies in reducing PM2.5 and CO2 emissions. *J. Clean. Prod.* 172, 623–634. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.169

Ang, B. W. (2005). The LMDI approach to decomposition analysis: a practical guide. Energy Policy 33, 867–871. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2003.10.010

Bollen, J., and Brink, C. (2014). Air pollution policy in Europe: quantifying the interaction with greenhouse gases and climate change policies. *Energy Econ.* 46, 202–215. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2014.08.028

Bollen, J., van der Zwaan, B., Brink, C., and Eerens, H. (2009). Local air pollution and global climate change: a combined cost-benefit analysis. *Resour. Energy Econ.* 31, 161–181. doi:10.1016/j.reseneeco.2009.03.001

Burnett, R., Chen, H., Szyszkowicz, M., Fann, N., Hubbell, B., Pope, C. A., III, et al. (2018). Global estimates of mortality associated with long-term exposure to outdoor fine particulate matter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115, 9592–9597. doi:10.1073/pnas.1803222115

Chen, S., Tan, Z., Wang, J., Zhang, L., He, X., and Mu, S. (2023). Spatial and temporal evolution of synergizing the reduction of pollution and carbon emissions and examination on comprehensive pilot effects–evidence from the national ecoindustrial demonstration parks in China. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 101, 107147. doi:10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107147

Chu, B., Ding, Y., Gao, X., Li, J., Zhu, T., Yu, Y., et al. (2022). Coordinated control of fine-Particle and Ozone pollution by the substantial reduction of nitrogen oxides. *Engineering* 15, 13–16. doi:10.1016/j.eng.2021.09.006

Ding, L., Liu, C., Chen, K., Huang, Y., and Diao, B. (2017). Atmospheric pollution reduction effect and regional predicament: an empirical analysis based on the Chinese provincial NO x emissions. *J. Environ. Manage.* 196, 178–187. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.03.016

Dong, F., Yu, B., and Pan, Y. (2019). Examining the synergistic effect of CO2 emissions on PM2.5 emissions reduction: evidence from China. J. Clean. Prod. 223, 759–771. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.152

Feng, X. Z., Lugovoy, O., and Qin, H. (2018). Co-controlling CO<sub>2</sub> and NOx emission in China's cement industry: An optimaldevelopment pathway study. *Adv. Clim. Change Res.* 9, 34–42. doi:10.1016/j.accre.2018.02.004

Hair, J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., and Anderson, R. E. (2009). Multivariate data analysis. 7th Edn. Prentice-Hall.

He, K., Lei, Y., Pan, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., and Chen, D. (2010). Co-benefits from energy policies in China. *Energy, Energy Sustain. Dev. China* 35, 4265–4272. doi:10. 1016/j.energy.2008.07.021

Hu, C., Griffis, T. J., Xia, L., Xiao, W., Liu, C., Xiao, Q., et al. (2022). Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction during the COVID-19 pandemic in Nanchang City, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 309, 119767. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119767

Jia, W., Li, L., Lei, Y., and Wu, S. (2023). Synergistic effect of  $CO_2$  and PM2.5 emissions from coal consumption and the impacts on health effects. *J. Environ. Manage.* 325, 116535. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116535

Jia, W., Li, L., Zhu, L., Lei, Y., Wu, S., and Dong, Z. (2024). The synergistic effects of PM2.5 and CO2 from China's energy consumption. *Sci. Total Environ.* 908, 168121. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168121

Jiao, J., Huang, Y., and Liao, C. (2020). Co-benefits of reducing CO<sub>2</sub> and air pollutant emissions in the urban transport sector: a case of Guangzhou. *Energy Sustain. Dev.* 59, 131–143. doi:10.1016/j.esd.2020.10.005

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2025.1497121/ full#supplementary-material

Liang, M., Zhang, Y., Ma, Q., Yu, D., Chen, X., and Cohen, J. B. (2023). Dramatic decline of observed atmospheric  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  during the COVID-19 lockdown over the Yangtze River Delta of China. *J. Environ. Sci.* 124, 712–722. doi:10.1016/j.jes.2021. 09.034

Plachinski, S. D., Holloway, T., Meier, P. J., Nemet, G. F., Rrushaj, A., Oberman, J. T., et al. (2014). Quantifying the emissions and air quality co-benefits of lower-carbon electricity production. *Atmos. Environ.* 94, 180–191. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014. 03.028

Shi, Q., Liang, Q., Huo, T., You, K., and Cai, W. (2023). Evaluation of  $CO_2$  and  $SO_2$  synergistic emission reduction: the case of China. *J. Clean. Prod.* 433, 139784. doi:10. 1016/j.jclepro.2023.139784

Shi, X., Huang, Z., Dai, Y., Du, W., and Cheng, J. (2024). Evaluating emission reduction potential and co-benefits of CO2 and air pollutants from mobile sources: a case study in Shanghai, China. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 202, 107347

Turner, M. C., Jerrett, M., Pope, C. A., Krewski, D., Gapstur, S. M., Diver, W. R., et al. (2016). Long-term ozone exposure and mortality in a large prospective study. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 193, 1134–1142. doi:10.1164/rccm.201508-1633OC

Wang, J., Ma, Y., Qiu, Y., Liu, L., and Dong, Z. (2019). Spatially differentiated effects of socioeconomic factors on China's NOx generation from energy consumption: implications for mitigation policy. *J. Environ. Manage.* 250, 109417. doi:10.1016/j. jenvman.2019.109417

Xu, X., Huang, G., Liu, L., Guan, Y., Zhai, M., and Li, Y. (2020). Revealing dynamic impacts of socioeconomic factors on air pollution changes in Guangdong Province, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 699, 134178. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019. 134178

Xue, W., Lei, Y., Liu, X., Shi, X., Liu, Z., Xu, Y., et al. (2023). Synergistic assessment of air pollution and carbon emissions from the economic perspective in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 858, 159736. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159736

Yang, X., Teng, F., Wang, X., and Zhang, Q. (2017). "System optimization and Cobenefit analysis of China's deep de-carbonization effort towards its INDC target," in Energy Procedia, 8th Int. Conf. Appl. Energy, ICAE2016, Beijing, China, October 8–11, 2016, 105, 3314–3319. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.754

Yi, M., Guan, Y., Wu, T., Wen, L., and Sheng, M. S. (2023). Assessing China's synergistic governance of emission reduction between pollutants and CO2. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 102, 107196. doi:10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107196

Yu, Y., Jin, Z., Li, J., and Jia, L. (2020). Low-carbon development path research on China's power industry based on synergistic emission reduction between CO2 and air pollutants. J. Clean. Prod. 275, 123097. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123097

Zeng, Q.-H., and He, L.-Y. (2023). Study on the synergistic effect of air pollution prevention and carbon emission reduction in the context of "dual carbon": evidence from China's transport sector. *Energy Policy* 173, 113370. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2022. 113370

Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Liang, S., Xu, M., Zhang, Q., Zhao, H., et al. (2015). A dual strategy for controlling energy consumption and air pollution in China's metropolis of Beijing. *Energy* 81, 294–303. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2014.12.041

Yang, Z., Tate, J., Morganti, E., Philips, I., and Shepherd, S. (2023). How accelerating the electrification of the van sector in Great Britain can deliver faster CO<sub>2</sub> and NOx reductions. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 88, 104300