Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christopher Lant, Utah State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Feng Huang, Hohai University, China Shanzhong Qi, Shandong Normal University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hailiang Xu, ⊠ xuhl@ms.xib.ac.cn

RECEIVED 06 September 2024 ACCEPTED 30 December 2024 PUBLISHED 03 February 2025

CITATION

Sun M, Shen X, Xu H and Shajiang-Aili A (2025) Dynamics of ecosystem service values in the Tarim River Basin. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 12:1484950. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2024.1484950

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun, Shen, Xu and Shajiang-Aili. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Dynamics of ecosystem service values in the Tarim River Basin

Mengxin Sun^{1,2}, Xin Shen^{1,2}, Hailiang Xu^{1,2}* and Ai Shajiang-Aili^{1,2}

¹State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China, ²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Introduction: Understanding spatial and temporal variations in ecosystem service values (ESV) and their driving factors is essential for effective management and decision-making. This study focuses on the Tarim River Basin, specifically the Aksu, Yarkant, Hotan, and Kaidu Peacock Rivers, and the mainstream Tarim River, which flow through key administrative regions.

Methods: We analyzed multi-temporal land use and land cover (LULC) data from 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2020, applying the comparable coefficient method to assess ESV changes and their spatial distribution. Geospatial analysis was used to identify the key drivers of ESV and their interactions.

Results: (1) The land use pattern in the study area has remained stable, with fluctuations driven by government policies and climate change. Farmland expanded by over 10% from 2000 to 2015, but its growth slowed between 2015 and 2020 due to the "Grain for Green" policy. Built-up areas grew significantly between 2005 and 2010 but declined afterward due to stricter urban planning. Glaciers have reduced in area, though their meltwater contributed to the increase in water bodies and wetlands. (2) ESV increased by 99.34 billion, with grasslands and water bodies contributing 60% and 10%, respectively. ESV peaked in 2015 due to wetland and water body expansion, but declined as wetlands shrank and glaciers retreated. (3) Key natural drivers of ESV include vegetation health (NDVI), proximity to water bodies, and precipitation, while socioeconomic factors like GDP have grown in influence. Interactions between climate and socioeconomic development complicate the dynamics of land use and ESV.

Discussion: The results indicate that while government policies and climate change significantly influence land use and ESV in the Tarim River Basin, socioeconomic factors are increasingly impactful. The complex interactions between climate and socioeconomic development have important implications for ecosystem management and decision-making. This highlights the need to consider both natural and socioeconomic drivers in land use planning and ecosystem service management.

KEYWORDS

ecosystem service value, land use and land cover change, driving factors, spatiotemporal features, Tarim River of Xinjiang

1 Introduction

Ecosystem services (ES) refer to the ecological characteristics, functions, or processes that directly or indirectly contribute to human wellbeing (Costanza et al., 1997; Assessment, 2001; Costanza et al., 2017). Ensuring the sustainable provision of ecosystem services is crucial for meeting the requirements of sustainable development. This necessitates assessing the importance of these services and understanding how various natural, socioeconomic, and climatic factors influence them and their values, which has been instrumental in the advancement of this fields (Luederitz et al., 2015; Bryan et al., 2018). A comprehensive understanding of ecosystem services, their significance, and the identification of the factors and interrelationships among them are fundamental prerequisites for the effective management of socio-environmental systems and the development of policies aimed at improving human wellbeing (Falconí-López et al., 2024; Nesbitt et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2024).

Since the introduction of the initial equivalence factor evaluation method by Costanza et al. (1997), it has been widely applied in the valuation of ecosystem services (ESV) as evidenced by studies conducted by Jiang et al. (2020) and Yu et al. (2023). This method facilitates the comparison and integration of diverse ESV within a unified framework, thereby supporting policy formulation, management strategies, and enhancing public engagement and awareness (Daily et al., 2000). However, despite efforts by Xie et al. (2017) to enhance and refine this method by incorporating varied literature and biomass data to develop a more suitable equivalent factor table for China, the values of ecological services provided by ecosystems can exhibit regional and temporal variations, leading to fluctuations in their valuation. Consequently, ecological indicators such as net primary productivity, precipitation, crop yields, and prices have been employed to adjust the equivalence factors, as proposed by Liu et al. (2023).

Variations in the valuation of ecological services are impacted by a range of factors, encompassing both natural and socioeconomic elements (Li et al., 2023; Bi et al., 2023). Geoprobes, as opposed to conventional linear regression or geographically weighted regression methods, offer advantages such as the capacity to address endogeneity concerns, handle both qualitative quantitative and data, and identify interrelationships among various factors (Zhang et al., 2024). For instance, Zhu et al. (2020) examined the influence of natural and human-induced factors on NDVI fluctuations in the middle section of the Heihe River Basin utilizing geoprobes, aiding in the forecasting of vegetation alterations in response to forthcoming environmental shifts (Yang et al., 2023). Similarly, Zhang et al. (2023) conducted research on alterations in ESV within the Central Water Supply Zone of the South-to-North Water Diversion initiative from 1991 to 2020 employing Geographical Detector methodologies. Gao et al. (2021) explored the principal factors affecting ecosystem services due to human interventions. Previous studies have rarely delved into how ESV from diverse ecosystem categories are influenced by distinct factors. Hence, there exists an urgent need to investigate the relationship between ESV in varied ecosystem types and the underlying natural and socio-economic determinants.

Ecosystems located in arid regions have garnered significant interest due to their vulnerability and importance. The Tarim River

Basin, as a representative arid zone, plays a critical role in maintaining the ecological balance and driving socio-economic progress in the area through the management and utilization of water resources. Currently, the primary surface water sources within the Tarim River Basin consist of the Aksu River, the Yarkant River, the Hotan River, the Kaidu Peacock River, and the Tarim River (Wang et al., 2020). These rivers collectively establish a drainage system characterized by a "four sources and one trunk" pattern. The ecological service value of the "Four Sources and One Stem" region is crucial for local socioeconomic development and ecological conservation (Xu et al., 2020). To assess the effectiveness of ecological water transfer projects and promote sustainable water resource management, it is essential to analyze the area's ecological service value from 2000 onwards. Although ecological indicators such as primary productivity, precipitation, crop yield, and prices are commonly used as adjustment factors, most studies calibrate ESV using data from a single time point. This limits the accurate assessment of ESV and its response times at specific moments. To address this issue, this study introduces precipitation, Net Primary Productivity (NPP), and the Consumer Price Index (CPI) at corresponding time points as adjustment indicators. By utilizing these time- and region-specific metrics, we are able to more precisely assess the ESV in the Tarim River Basin (TRB), thereby enhancing the accuracy of ecosystem service evaluations. Furthermore, while previous studies have examined variations in ESV within the TRB, few have differentiated between the driving factors of productive land use and natural landscapes. This study distinguishes between natural landscapes and productive land use types within the TRB, exploring how natural, socioeconomic, and management factors influence ecosystem services. This approach provides a new perspective on the dynamic relationship between land use patterns and ESV, offering valuable insights for more effective environmental management. The fundamental aims of this investigation are to examine the transformation of land use and land cover (LULC) within the "Four Sources and One Stem" area between 2000 and 2020, investigate the spatial and temporal fluctuations of ESV across various ecosystem types and service categories within the region, and measure the variables that impact the spatial variation of the total ESV and ESV across various ecosystem categories. This study provides a substantial theoretical framework for the ecological restoration and sustainable management of water resources in watersheds within the designated research area, as well as in comparable arid and semi-arid regions globally.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

Currently, the Aksu River, Yarkant River, Hotan River, Kaidu Peacock River, and Tarim River in the Tarim River Basin exhibit interconnected surface water flows, forming a drainage system known as the "Four Sources and One Stem" configuration (Qian et al., 2024). The main administrative regions through which these rivers flow was selected as the primary focus of this research study



(Figure 1). The mechanism responsible for replenishing water in these river systems is linked to glacial melt and precipitation (Bolch et al., 2022). With growing populations and advancing development, water in ecosystems is increasingly being diverted for agricultural and urban uses. Consequently, this results in a significant deficiency of water resources in the region. Additionally, the diminishing availability of water poses a threat to the local ecology, affecting both wildlife and plant species that depend on these water sources. Effective management strategies are urgently needed to balance the demands of human activities with the sustainability of the natural environment.

2.2 Data sources and processing

2.2.1 ESV assessment data

The ESV assessment data included land use datasets, grain production, prices, regional GDP, and sown area. The ESV coefficients were also corrected using data from adjusted ESVs such as NPP and precipitation. The LULCs dataset was sourced from the Centre for Resource and Environmental Sciences and Data, affiliated with the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Data on grain production, price, regional GDP, and sown area were gathered from the Xinjiang Statistical Yearbook and the Compilation of Cost and Income Data of National Agricultural Products. NPP data were obtained from MOD17A3HGF NPP product and precipitation data were sourced from the Centre for Resource and Environmental Sciences and Data at the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

2.2.2 Driver data

This study aims to explore the driving mechanisms behind changes in ESV within the region currently characterized by four water sources and one arid area. Nine indicators were selected as explanatory factors. Natural factors include temperature, precipitation, evapotranspiration, DEM, distance to water bodies, and NDVI, while socio-economic factors include GDP, LIGHT, and population density. Temperature, precipitation, ET, LIGHT, DEM, and GDP data were obtained from the Centre for Resource and Environmental Sciences and Data, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Population density data are from world pop and NDVI data are from Terra MODIS NDVI data from the MOD13A3 product, using the maximum value synthesis method to obtain annual NDVI, with all data resampled to 2 km resolution. Data sources and processing are shown in Table 1.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 The LULC evolutionary analysis model

Single dynamic indicator of land use can quantitatively describe the rate of regional land use change (Redo et al., 2012), The formula is as follows:

Data	Age	Source	Processing
NPP	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mod17a3hgfv006	ArcGIS resampled to 2 km resolution
NDVI	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mod13a3v061	The maximum value synthesis method to obtain annual NDVI
Production of crops	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	The Xinjiang Statistical Yearbook/The Compilation of Cost and Income Data of National Agricultural Products	
Prices of crops	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020		
Distance to water bodies	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	LULC	ArcGIS Euclidean Distance
Land Use and Land Cover	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	
Precipitation	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	ArcGIS resampled to 2 km resolution
GDP	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	
DEM	2000	https://www.resdc.cn	
Evapotranspiration	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	
Light	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	
Temperature	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://www.resdc.cn	
Population density	2000/2005/2010/ 2015/2020	https://hub.worldpop.org	

TABLE 1 Data sources and processing

$$K = \frac{\mathbf{U}_b - \mathbf{U}_a}{\mathbf{U}_a} \times \frac{1}{T} \times 100\% \tag{1}$$

K refers to a single dynamic degree of LULC, where Ua and Ub represent the areas of a specific LULC type at the start and end dates, respectively, and T indicates the monitoring period.

Aggregate land use dynamics pertain to evaluating the rate and extent of alterations in land use patterns within a defined geographical region over a specified timeframe (Equation 2).

$$K_{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u_{bi} - u_{ai}| / 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ai} \times \frac{1}{T} \times 100\%$$
(2)

Ks refers to the comprehensive dynamic degree of LULC, where uai and ubi denote the areas of a specific LULC type at the beginning and end of the period, respectively. T indicates the monitoring duration, and n represents the number of LULC types (Pan et al., 2021).

The land cover transfer matrix reflects the dynamic process of conversion between different land use and cover types over a certain period (Muyibul et al., 2018) (Equation 3).

$$K_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} & \cdots & K_{1n} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} & \cdots & K_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{n1} & K_{n2} & \cdots & K_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$
(3)

K represents the LULC area, n denotes the number of LULC types, and i and j are the specific LULC types at the beginning and end, respectively.

2.3.2 Estimation of ESV

The economic value of the ESV equivalent factor is 1/7th of the regional average market value of food production per unit area (Lyu et al., 2015) (Equation 4). According to the actual situation of agricultural production in Xinjiang, the economic value of crop output was determined to be 827.57 yuan/hm2, 1,666.73 yuan/hm2, and 2,236.50 yuan/hm2, based on the grain output per unit area and the average grain price in 2000, 2010 and 2020, respectively.

$$VC_0 = \frac{1}{7} \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{M_i P_i Q_i}{X}$$
 (4)

Where VC0 is the standard equivalent factor value (yuan/hm2), i is the crop type, Qi is the average price of the crop (yuan/ton), Pi refers to the crop yield per unit area i (t/hm2), Mi refers to the area i (hm2), and X denotes the total area of food crops (hm2).

To establish region-specific ESV coefficients, average food prices in the study area were adjusted according to the consumer price index (CPI) (Zhao et al., 2022) (Equation 5).

$$VC = VC_0 \times \frac{\text{CPI}_n}{\text{CPI}_i} \tag{5}$$

Where VC is the adjusted standardized equivalent factor value (yuan/hm2), CPIn is the year-on-year CPI in 2020; and CPIi is the year-on-year CPI in year i.

2.3.3 Revision of the ecosystem services value equivalence scale

In this study, we determined the ESV equivalent factors for Xinjiang. Specifically, we adopted dryland values for the cropland equivalent factor, the average values of coniferous, mixed coniferous, and broadleaf forests for woodland, and the average values of grassland, scrub, and meadow for grassland. While much existing literature utilizes the equivalence factors proposed by Xie et al. (2017) these factors were derived from average values across China. Direct adoption of these coefficients may lead to inaccurate estimations, as they do not account for ecosystem heterogeneity in the study area (Equations 6, 7). Therefore, we adjusted the service functions related to food production, raw material production, gas regulation, climate regulation, environmental purification, nutrient cycling maintenance, biodiversity conservation, soil conservation, and aesthetic landscape provision using NPP data. Additionally, water supply and hydrological regulation service functions were adjusted using precipitation data (Bao et al., 2023).

$$F_{i}^{'} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{ij}^{'} \tag{6}$$

$$F'_{ij} = \begin{cases} P_{ij} \times F_{ij} \\ R_{ij} \times F_{ij} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Where Ft' is the corrected value equivalent per unit area factor for land use type i, Fij' is the corrected value equivalent per unit area factor for ecological services of type j for land use type i, Pij is the spatial-temporal adjustment factor for NPP, Rij is the spatialtemporal adjustment factor for precipitation, and Fij is the value equivalent per unit area factor for ecological services of type j for land use type i initially factor.

The NPP spatiotemporal adjustment factor was calculated as follows (Equation 8):

$$P_{ij} = \frac{B_i}{B} \tag{8}$$

where Bi is the net primary productivity of vegetation; B is the net primary productivity of vegetation at the national scale (Su et al., 2020).

Spatial and temporal modifiers of precipitation (Equation 9):

$$R_{ij} = \frac{W_i}{W} \tag{9}$$

where Wi is the average precipitation in the study area; W is the national-scale average precipitation (Zhai et al., 2023).

2.3.4 Constructing an ecosystem service valuation model

In this study, with reference to the existing research results (Fei et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019), we constructed an ESV assessment model. The Equation 10 is as follows:

$$ESV = \sum A_i \times F'_i \times VC \tag{10}$$

The ESV for 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2020 was calculated by integrating these components (Han et al., 2021). The ESV was computed using factors from 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2020, along with adjusted standard equivalent factor values.

2.3.5 Drivers of ESV spatial heterogeneity analysis

Geodetector includes factor, interaction, risk, and ecological detection modules. We utilized the factor and interaction detector modules to explore the main drivers of spatial heterogeneity in ecological risk indices across regional landscapes. (Wang et al., 2010; Wang H. et al., 2021) (Equation 11). The expressions for differential and factorial detection are as follows:

$$q = 1 - \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} G_h \sigma_h^2}{G \sigma^2}$$
(11)

q Denotes the extent to which the driver explains the ESV. L is the stratification of the ESV or driver, N is the sample size, and $\sigma 2$ denotes the variance of the ESV.

The interaction test assesses whether the interaction of factors m1 and m2 increases or decreases the contribution of the dependent variable Y (Wei et al., 2023). In particular, it compares the relative magnitudes of q (m1), q (m2), and q (m1 \cap m2), and its results can be categorized into five groups, as shown in Table 2.

We proposed three categories of nine drivers from the perspectives of climatic, natural, and socio-economic factors (Zhang et al., 2023): (1) Natural environment drivers: DEM (Digital Elevation Model), distance to the river, NDVI (Normalised Vegetation Index), climatic drivers: temperature (TEM), precipitation (PRE). (2) Socio-economic factors: GDP, LIGHT, population density (PD). The extent to which each driver explains ESV is then calculated.

2.3.6 Spatial autocorrelation on Moran's index

Moran's index is a statistical method used to measure the distribution pattern of spatial data, commonly employed to study the clustering or dispersion of spatial phenomena. In this study, Moran's index is applied to evaluate the spatial heterogeneity of ESV (Moran, 1950). The Equation 12 is as follows:

$$I = \frac{N}{W} \times \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} W_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x}) (x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$
(12)

In the formula for Moran's index, N represents the number of spatial units. The term Wij refers to the spatial weight between units iii and j, which reflects the degree of spatial relationship or proximity between them. The variables xi and xj represent the values of the variable of interest (in this case, ESV) for units i and j, respectively. $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is the mean value of the variable across all spatial units, and W is the sum of all spatial weights, which accounts for the total spatial connectivity in the study area.

To assess the statistical significance of the Moran's I statistic, the Z-score (Z(I)) is calculated using the following Equation 13:

TABLE 2 Interaction classification.

Interaction	Description
Independence	$q (m1 \cap m2) = q (m1) + q (m2)$
Non-linear enhancement	$q (m1 \cap m2) > q (m1) + q (m2)$
Bi-enhancement	$ \max \ (q \ (m1), \ q \ (m2)) < q \ (m1 \cap m2) < q \ (m1) + q \ (m2) $
Single-factor non-linear weakening	$\label{eq:min} \begin{array}{l} \min{(q\ (m1),\ q\ (m2))} < q\ (m1 \cap m2) < \max{(q\ (m1),\ q\ (m2))} \\ (m1),\ q\ (m2)) \end{array}$
Non-linear attenuation	q (m1∩m2) < min (q (m1), q (m2))

q (m1): Refers to the individual contribution or effect of factor m1 on the dependent variable Y, considering m1 in isolation from other factors. q (m2): Refers to the individual contribution or effect of factor m2 on the dependent variable Y, considering m2 in isolation from other factors. q (m1 \cap m2): Refers to the combined contribution or effect of factors m1 and m2 on the dependent variable Y, considering the interaction between these two factors.

$$Z(I) = \frac{I - E(I)}{\sqrt{Var(I)}}$$
(13)

where E(I) is the expected value of I: E(I) = -1/(n-1), and $\sqrt{Var(I)}$ is the expected variance of *I*: $\sqrt{Var(I)} = E(I^2) - E(I)^2$.

3 Results

3.1 Land-use dynamics

The study results highlighted the dynamics of land use and the stability of its ecological structure within the study area. Overall, the combined land use dynamics from 2000 to 2020 were low, at 0.71%, the largest fluctuation occurred between 2015 and 2020, with a change of 0.32%, followed by the period from 2005 to 2010, which also saw a change of 0.32%. (Figure 2; Table 3), indicating a stable ecological structure. Specifically, significant expansions in built-up land and arable land have occurred since 2020, with a dynamic degree of 5.20% for built-up land and dynamic degree of 2.97% for arable land, reflecting increased human activity and agricultural development. The largest increase in total arable land occurred during the period from 2000 to 2015, with increases of 17.88%, 17.55%, and 13.43% every 5 years, respectively. The expansion of arable land was primarily driven by the conversion of grasslands and deserts, with the proportions of arable land converted from grasslands and deserts being 58.99% and 18.39%, respectively. Simultaneously, a small



TABLE 3 LULC comprehensive dynamics in study area from 2000 to 2020(%).

Time period	K _S (%)
2000-2005	0.20
2005-2010	0.28
2010-2015	0.21
2015-2020	0.32
2000-2020	0.71

portion of arable land (9.25%) has undergone restoration to woodland and grassland, indicating some degree of ecological recovery. Built-up land expanded significantly between 2005 and 2010, increasing by 2,056.43 square kilometers, primarily through the conversion of desert (63.88%) and arable land (29.62%). However, the growth of built-up land was relatively slow between 2000 and 2005 and between 2010 and 2025, with increases of 123.20 and 209.23 square kilometers, respectively. Notably, there was even a negative growth in built-up land between 2015 and 2020. Wetlands experienced a slight increase over the 20-year period, with a dynamic degree of 0.95%. These increases primarily occurred between 2005 and 2010 and were largely driven by the conversion of grasslands, which accounted for 49.60% of the newly formed wetlands. Changes in forest land were minimal, with a negligible change of -0.01%, while grassland saw a decrease of 49,707.27 square kilometers due to degradation to bare land and desert. However, the total area of grassland slightly increased, with a dynamic degree of 0.06%, attributed to the conversion of large areas of desert and bare land into grassland. Notably, glaciers experienced a significant reduction, decreasing in area by 54.56%, predominantly converting to bare ground (69.57%) (Tables 4, 5; Figures 3, 4).

These results illustrate the intricate transformation of land use patterns in the study area over the observed period. Notably, there has been a substantial increase in arable land and built-up areas, driven by intensive human intervention and rapid agricultural expansion. Concurrently, the evolution of natural ecosystems has facilitated the expansion of water bodies and wetlands, likely influenced by increased meltwater from snow and glaciers. These changes underscore the profound impacts of transitions in land use patterns on ecosystem stability, while highlighting the distinct ecological dynamics and characteristics inherent to each region.

3.2 Economic value of ecosystem services per unit area

Following adjustments to equivalence coefficients, this study calculated the ESV per unit area for different land cover types in the study area in 2000,2005, 2010, 2015 and 2020 (Table 6; Figure 5). Results indicated that the ESV per unit area peaked in 2015, followed closely by 2010, with relatively minor differences between the two periods. In contrast, the lowest ESV per unit area was observed in 2000, showing growth rates exceeding 60% for all land cover types.

Furthermore, the data highlighted that wetlands and water bodies exhibited significantly higher ESV per unit area compared to other land cover types. This underscores the crucial role of aquatic ecosystems in sustaining ESV in arid and semi-arid regions, emphasizing the need for future policies to prioritize the protection and restoration of these ecologically sensitive areas.

3.3 Estimation of ESV

There is a general upward trend in ESV from 2000 to 2020, with a cumulative increment of 993.40×10^8 yuan. Grassland occupies about 28% of the study area and contributes the most to ESV (60.13%-61.91%), followed by water bodies (10.31%-11.90%), and although desert is the largest in the study area with more than 40% of the area, its contribution to ESV is only the third highest (8.58%-8.71%). The ESV of cropland, woodland, glaciers, shrubs, and bare land accounted for a small percentage (less than 5%) of the total value. In terms of the growth trend of ESV, the fastest growth in ESV was observed in cropland with a growth rate of 169.21%, followed by wetlands (99.28%) and water bodies (89.37%), and only glaciers showed a negative growth (-24.87%). However, between 2015 and 2020, all categories of ESV experienced varying degrees of decline. Glaciers and wetlands exhibited the most significant reductions, decreasing by 21.94% and 21.91%, respectively, followed by water bodies, which diminished by 18.21% (Figure 6).

The ranking of ecological services by value during the study period remained consistent: Climate regulation > Hydrological regulation > Soil conservation > Biodiversity > Environmental purification > Gas regulation > Aesthetic landscape > Raw material production > Food production > Water supply > Hydrological regulation. Aesthetic landscape > Raw material production > Food production > Water supply > Hydrological regulation also maintained their respective positions. However, between 2015 and 2020, the values of all categories of ecological services experienced significant declines. The values of water supply and hydrological adjustment exhibited the largest reductions, decreasing by 22.82% and 22.59%, respectively (Figure 7).

The value of all ecosystem services was classified into four categories: regulatory services, support services, provisioning services, and cultural services. Regulatory services accounted for the highest share of total ecosystem services in the study area (75%), followed by support services (12%). There was a general upward trend in the values of the ecosystem functions in the study area, but there were significant differences between the functions. Of all services, climate regulation (21.65%–22.79%) and hydrological regulation (18.94%–22.99%) account for the largest portion of total ESV (Figure 8).

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

In this study, the accuracy of the ESV coefficients was verified by adjusting the ESVs of the land use types in the study area by about 50% to generate the sensitivity indices for Xinjiang in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015and 2020 (Table 7). The sensitivity index values for LULCs were below 0.62, with grassland exhibiting the highest sensitivity index, suggesting that ESV in the study area is

TABLE 4 Land	use and	cover	change	from	2000	to	2020.
--------------	---------	-------	--------	------	------	----	-------

LULC type	К (%)											
	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2000–2005	2005–2010	2010–2015	2015–2020	2000–2020		
CL	19816.9	23342.0	27437.4	31121.4	31580.2	3.56	3.51	2.69	0.29	2.97		
FL	7287.7	7224.4	7477.6	7353.4	7278.8	-0.17	0.7	-0.33	-0.2	-0.01		
SL	4434.0	4175.2	3706.4	3654.0	3704.6	-1.17	-2.25	-0.28	0.28	-0.82		
GL	194440.0	193503.9	198848.5	197471.8	196626.9	-0.1	0.55	-0.14	-0.09	0.06		
G	24617.8	24914.6	10994.2	11133.7	11185.7	0.24	-11.17	0.25	0.09	-2.73		
WB	5197.6	5399.3	5426.7	5670.8	5946.1	0.78	0.1	0.9	0.97	0.72		
W	5721.3	5480.0	6888.8	7089.0	6803.9	-0.84	5.14	0.58	-0.8	0.95		
BUL	1151.9	1275.1	3331.5	3540.7	2348.9	2.14	32.25	1.26	-6.73	5.20		
D	296794.4	297375.7	307134.5	307543.4	306455.4	0.04	0.66	0.03	-0.07	0.16		
BL	132737.4	133899.2	121021.1	121996.6	120337.3	0.18	-1.92	0.16	-0.27	-0.47		

CL, cropland; FL, forest land; SL, shrubland; GL, grass land; G, glaciers; WB, water body; W, weltland; BUL, bulit up land; D, desert; BL, barren land.

80

2000	BL	BUL	CL	D	FL	G	GL	S	W	WB
BL	77591.0	13.7	166.9	10436.0	42.1	2081.9	41915.2	19.2	348.5	109.7
BUL	5.4	361.7	648.1	14.5	66.8		48.2	1.7	3.4	2.2
CL	45.4	937.9	16642.9	191.5	841.2		994.9	11.3	80.7	70.6
D	11437.4	692.5	2749.6	261155.3	405.1	55.2	18299.2	470.2	990.2	524.9
FL	65.6	60.0	1070.2	652.6	1814.4	0.0	2884.6	507.6	135.3	97.1
G	11050.3			31.3	24.9	8725.9	4739.8	2.0	31.0	4.8
GL	19974.9	203.9	8809.1	32554.4	3408.9	312.6	123778.6	2052.4	2265.5	1069.2
S	22.7	12.8	907.1	714.7	444.4	0.1	1684.8	513.1	90.7	43.6
W	48.3	5.5	311.5	550.4	137.5		1493.9	113.8	2235.8	824.4
WB	32.0	60.9	273.4	140.6	93.3		762.8	13.1	622.1	3199.3

TABLE 5 LULC transition matrix in study area from 2000 to 2020 (km²).

CL, cropland; FL, forest land; SL, shrubland; GL, grass land; G, glaciers; WB, water body; W, weltland; BUL, bulit up land; D, desert; BL, barren land.



relatively inelastic. These findings indicate a high level of reliability in this study.

3.5 Spatial heterogeneity of ESVs at different scales

The selection of appropriate scales for assessment units reveals the spatial differentiation of ESV within the study area. Analyzing ESV spatial distribution across various scales demonstrates that smaller units provide finer spatial resolution. Notably, the ESV distribution at township and county scales differs significantly from that at the grid scale. Global spatial autocorrelation analysis indicates Moran's I values of 0.853 for $2 \text{ km} \times 2 \text{ km}$ units, 0.695 for $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$ units, 0.040 for township scales, and 0.076 for county scales. Larger units tend to filter out original differentiation information, resulting in less precise assessments. Consequently, a $2 \text{ km} \times 2 \text{ km}$ unit scale was selected for analyzing ESV spatial



FIGURE 4

Spatial distribution of LULC in the study area from 2000 to 2020. Note: CL: Cropland, FL: Forest land, SL: Shrubland, GL: Grass land, G: Glaciers, WB: Water body, W: Weltland, BUL: Bulit up land, D: Desert, BL: Barren land.

TABLE 6 Correction factor from 2000 to 2020.

Year	μ1	µ ₂	Comparable value	Economic correction
2000	0.15	0.31	827.57	1.57
2005	0.16	0.32	1764.32	1.47
2010	0.19	0.34	2107.97	1.26
2015	0.15	0.30	2736.38	1.11
2020	0.14	0.31	2236.50	1.00

 $\mu_1,$ Precipitation Adjustment Factor; $\mu_2,$ NPP, adjustment factor.



2020. Note: CL, Cropland; FL, Forest land; SL, Shrubland; GL, Grass land; G, Glaciers; WB, Water body; W, Weltland; BUL, Bulit up land; D, Desert; BL, Barren land. distribution in the study area, as it passed the significance test. The ESV results in the study area were categorized into five classes using the Natural Breaks method (Figure 9). Over the 20-year period, the spatial distribution of ESV showed minimal changes. Areas with very high and high ESV were primarily located along main stems and around other water sources. Medium ESV areas were dominated by agricultural land and showed expansion. Areas with very low and low ESV corresponded to desert and bare land areas.

3.6 Driver analysis

We selected the years 2000, 2010, and 2020 for geographic detection analysis to identify the factors influencing the changes in ESV. From Table 8, it can be seen that the three most critical factors affecting ESV in the study area in 2010 and 2020 were NDVI, distance from water bodies, and precipitation, and the most significant drivers in 2001 were NDVI, evapotranspiration and precipitation. In contrast, socioeconomic factors were less significant drivers, all less than 0.1, thus natural factors have been the main factors influencing ESV in the study area. However, due to active development and rapid expansion of



FIGURE 6 ESV for different LULC in the study area from 2000 to 2020. (10⁸yuan). Note: CL, Cropland; FL, Forest land; SL, Shrubland; GL, Grass land; G, Glaciers; WB, Water body; W, Weltland; BUL, Bulit up land; D, Desert; BL, Barren land.



FIGURE 7

ESV for different LULCs in the study area from 2000 to 2020 (108CNY). Note: WS, Water supply; SC, Soil conservation; RMP, Raw material production; NCM, Nutrients cycle maintenance; HA, Hydrological adjusting; GR, Gas regulation; FP, Food production; ED, Environment depuration; CR, Climate regulation; B, Biodiversity; AL, Aesthetic landscape.



human activities, the influence of GDP as a driving force has significantly increased.

From Figure 10, it can be seen that the interactions of the drivers in each year were nonlinearly enhanced, and the bidirectional interactions between the drivers were all stronger than the singlefactor drivers, which verified the reasonableness of the selection of the drivers. In 2000, the most significant interaction was temperature ∩ distance from the water body and (q-value of 0.277), and the most significant one in 2010 was temperature \cap NDVI (q-value of 0.368)). The most significant driving interaction in 2020 was NDVI \cap distance from water body (q-value 0.331). The results showed that the three indicators of temperature, NDVI and distance from water body interacted with each other with the most driving force; combined with the results of dispersion factor detection, it can be found that the four driving factors of temperature, precipitation, NDVI and distance from water body interacted with each other with the most influence on ESV in the study area. Probing the study area for interaction factors by dividing it into productive and living landscapes and natural landscapes revealed that the most significant interaction in natural landscapes in 2000 was population density \cap GDP (q-value of 0.270), but this interaction declined over time (q-value of 0.240 in 2010 and 0.121 in 2020), and that the most significant interaction in both 2000 and 2010 was distance from water bodies ∩ NDVI (q-values of 0.433 and 0.454, respectively), and the most significant interaction in

productive and living land was distance from water bodies \cap NDVI in all the years 2000–2020 (q-values of 0.250, 0.268, and 0.225, respectively) (Figure 11).

4 Discussion

4.1 Land-use change and evolution mechanisms

From 2000 to 2020, land use dynamics exhibited relatively modest changes, with a variation rate of 0.71% (Table 3), indicating a stable ecological structure consistent with findings in other arid regions (Gong and Liu, 2021). Between 2000 and 2015, the area of arable land experienced rapid growth, increasing by 17.88%, 17.55%, and 13.43% across three consecutive 5-year periods, respectively. This expansion was primarily driven by the conversion of desert and grassland (Table 5) and was largely facilitated through land reclamation and the development of previously unused areas. However, from 2015 to 2020, the growth rate of arable land slowed significantly, registering an increase of only 1.47%. This deceleration aligns with predictions regarding changes in China's arable land over the past 2 decades, primarily attributed to the implementation of the government-led 'Grain for Green' program (Zhou et al., 2021; Wang L. et al., 2021).

The expansion of built-up land occurred in two distinct phases: a period of rapid growth from 2005 to 2010, during which built-up areas increased by 161.27%, followed by relatively slower growth periods (2000-2005: 10.70%; 2005-2010: 6.28%) and a reduction of built-up land area by 33.66% between 2015 and 2020 (Table 5). Between 2005 and 2010, the rapid expansion of built-up land was primarily driven by the conversion of arable land (Figure 5). This significant growth during 2005-2010 was greatly influenced by the Chinese government's Western Development policy, whereas the subsequent decline was mainly due to urban development policies and stricter urban planning regulations aimed at curbing uncontrolled urban sprawl (Liu et al., 2014). Thus, the expansion and contraction of land for human production and habitation are closely linked to government policies. Specifically, policies related to land protection and resource management play a crucial role in shaping land-use patterns. Policymakers must focus on balancing ecological protection with economic development, prioritizing the preservation of arable land while ensuring the stability of ESV Furthermore, ecological considerations should be integrated into policy formulation.

YEAR	CL	FL	S	GL	W	D	BL	WB	G
2000	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.62	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.10	0.04
2005	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.61	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.12	0.02
2010	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.61	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.12	0.02
2015	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.61	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.12	0.02
2020	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.61	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.11	0.02

TABLE 7 The results of a sensitivity test (CS) for ESV.

CL, cropland; FL, forest land; SL, shrubland; GL, grass land; G, glaciers; WB, water body; W, weltland; BUL, bulit up land; D, desert; BL, barren land.



Among natural land-use types, glaciers underwent significant changes, with their area decreasing by 54.56%, primarily transforming into exposed land (69.57%), consistent with the findings of Liu et al. (2020). The primary driver of glacier melt is climate change (Farinotti et al., 2015; Rounce et al., 2020). Additionally, glacier meltwater serves as a major source of runoff for the Tarim River (Brun et al., 2017). Over the past 20 years, the contribution of glacier meltwater to runoff has led to a moderate increase in water bodies (14.40%) and wetland areas (18.92%) (Table 4). However, the increase in water bodies and wetlands should be approached with caution. While these changes may alleviate water scarcity, the long-term stability of glacier meltwater and its impact on regional water resources require further evaluation. Moreover, as climate change persists, alterations in glacier melt and runoff may have profound effects on ecosystem services, particularly water supply and biodiversity (Cook et al., 2021). Therefore, in managing water resources and ecological protection, policymakers should fully account for the impacts of climate change and adopt adaptive measures to ensure the long-term sustainability of ecosystems.

4.2 ESV assessment

An analysis of ESV in the study area from 2000 to 2020 reveals a consistent upward trend, with a cumulative increase of 993.40 \times 10⁸ yuan. This positive trajectory indicates overall growth in ecosystem service contributions, despite fluctuations and areas of concern. Farmland and built-up areas collectively contribute less than 5% to the total ESV. The

Variables	2000T	2010T	2020T	2000NL	2010NL	2020NL	2000PL	2010PL	2020PL
DW	0.033	0.159	0.170	0.066	0.192	0.254	0.164	0.164	0.157
NDVI	0.169	0.261	0.196	0.149	0.168	0.221	0.046	0.120	0.060
GDP	0.041	0.054	0.093	0.068	0.053	0.092	0.038	0.028	0.034
Light	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.008	0.025
Рор	0.046	0.040	0.041	0.069	0.083	0.041	0.026	0.010	0.019
Tem	0.079	0.094	0.091	0.058	0.093	0.109	0.019	0.080	0.029
Vap	0.115	0.093	0.066	0.055	0.095	0.070	0.009	0.048	0.025
Pre	0.101	0.104	0.095	0.040	0.099	0.101	0.009	0.057	0.026
DEM	0.096	0.095	0.095	0.078	0.088	0.103	0.015	0.025	0.034

TABLE 8 The contributions (q statistics) of different factors to ESV variation.

T, total study area; NL, natural landscapes; PL, productive and living landscapes.

most rapid growth in ESV occurred in agricultural lands, driven by extensive expansion and advancements in sustainable land use policies and practices. In the natural landscape, grasslands cover approximately 28% of the study area and contribute the most to the ESV, accounting for between 60.13% and 61.91%. Over the past 2 decades, the ESV of grasslands has experienced rapid growth, particularly between 2000 and 2005, with an increase of 46.63%. This growth is closely associated with China's ecological restoration program initiated in 2000 as part of the "Grain for Green" project, which aims to convert cropland into forests and grasslands (Li et al., 2020). Water bodies are the second-largest contributors, accounting for 10.31%-11.90%, playing a crucial role in maintaining ecological balance in arid regions (Yin et al., 2020). In contrast, deserts, which cover over 40% of the area, contribute relatively little to the ESV, ranging from 8.43% to 8.71%. This highlights the dominance of water bodies and grasslands in the ESV within the Tarim River Basin (Talukdar et al., 2020). Therefore, it is imperative to persist with the "Grain for Green" policy to reinforce the growth of grassland ESV and to enhance the protection of water resources. From 2015 to 2020, all ESV categories experienced a decline. The most significant reductions were observed in glaciers (-21.94%), followed by wetlands (-21.91%) and water bodies (-18.21%). These declines are primarily attributed to the expansion of water bodies and wetlands in 2015, which created favorable conditions for plant growth in surrounding areas, leading to a peak in ESV in 2010 (Yao et al., 2022; Li C et al., 2021). This underscores the critical role of water resources in determining ESV in arid regions. Policymakers should consider strategies to address ecological challenges arising from water scarcity, such as the ecological water replenishment measures implemented in 2001, which have been instrumental in sustaining vegetation growth along riverbanks. Such approaches hold global significance, especially for other arid regions, which should adopt similar measures to mitigate potential drought impacts. Furthermore, over the past 20 years, only the ESV of glaciers has shown a consistent negative trend (-24.87%), likely due to the impacts of climate change, which have diminished glaciers' capacity to regulate water resources and provide other essential ecosystem services. The rapid melting of glaciers

could affect local water supplies and freshwater ecosystems, while wetland degradation reduces their ability to filter pollutants and store carbon, exacerbating issues related to climate change and water quality (Moomaw et al., 2018; Geist, 2011).

Categorizing ecosystem services into regulating services (75%), supporting services (12%), provisioning services, and cultural services highlights the predominance of regulating services such as climate and hydrological regulation. These services, accounting for 21.65%–22.79% and 18.94%–22.99%, respectively, play crucial roles in ecosystem stability and resilience, aligning with findings from related studies (Song and Deng, 2017). Climate regulation, hydrological adjustment, and soil conservation consistently rank highest, being essential for mitigating the impacts of climate change, managing water resources, and preventing soil erosion (Qiao et al., 2024). This highlights to the world that the increase in water resources resulting from the widespread melting of glaciers is unsustainable.

In the Tarim River Basin, the ESV exhibits significant heterogeneity, particularly in the densely populated production and residential areas (Figures 2, 10). Notably, while the ESV derived from methods such as Costanza et al., 1997 benefit transfer approach provides useful insights, these methods often fail to adequately account for the spatial specificity of ecosystem services and their cumulative effects on specific populations. As pointed out by Kozak et al. (2011), the value of ecosystem services is heavily influenced by local population density and spatial discounting, which can directly affect the perceived value of services in different regions. In arid regions, especially in areas like the Tarim River Basin, varying population densities lead to significant differences in the demand for and value of ecosystem services. The higher dependency on ecosystem services in densely populated production and residential areas may result in an underestimation of their ESV. Therefore, it is crucial to prioritize the protection of ecological environments surrounding these highdensity areas, particularly those providing critical ecosystem services for human life and production.

The study emphasizes a significant increase in ESV from 2000 to 2020, reflecting growing recognition and enhancement of these services. Between 2015 and 2020, there was a slight decline in



ESV, primarily due to factors such as the reduction in water bodies and wetlands leading to decreased vegetation cover. Therefore, the rational utilization of water resources in arid regions is critical for maintaining ecosystem services (Wang et al., 2022). Overall, for policymakers in arid regions, regulating ESV is essential for understanding changes in ecosystem services across different regions and time periods. Identifying trends in ESV aids in the timely implementation of measures to maintain ecosystem stability.

4.3 Spatial heterogeneity of ESV and its driving mechanisms

Analyses of ESV in the study area from 2000 to 2020 reveal a dynamic interplay between natural and socio-economic drivers.

Over these decades, factors such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), distance to water bodies, and precipitation consistently emerged as influential, highlighting their critical role in supporting ecosystem services. Notably, in 2010, evapotranspiration replaced distance to water bodies as a top driver, likely due to significant precipitation increases that year. This shift underscores complex interactions between climatic variables and vegetation, necessitating adaptive management strategies responsive to environmental changes. The persistent significance of NDVI, an indicator of vegetation health, underscores its crucial role in sustaining ecosystem services (Riis et al., 2020). Similarly, the recurring impact of precipitation illustrates ESV's dependence on water availability, crucial for vegetation growth and health (Sun et al., 2017). The prominence of evapotranspiration in 2010 further indicates that precipitation



(D) 2000 Productive and living land, (E) 2010 Productive and living land, (F) 2020 Productive and living land].

changes significantly influence the water balance, enhancing evapotranspiration's role in driving ecosystem services. Socioeconomic factors, such as GDP, initially less significant in 2000, grew in influence by 2020, reflecting increased human activities' impact on ecosystems. This shift is attributed to aggressive development and rapid urban expansion. While natural drivers predominated ESV in 2000, by 2020, GDP's rising influence signifies urbanization's expanding footprint, industrial growth, and infrastructure development impacting ecosystems (Li X et al., 2021). Urban expansion often leads to habitat loss, fragmentation, and increased pollution, degrading ecosystem services (Rosa et al., 2020). Growing demands for resources like water and energy intensify with city growth, further pressuring natural ecosystems and their services (Olabi and Abdelkareem, 2022; Jianying et al., 2020).

When examining determinants of productive land use over 2 decades, proximity to water bodies, NDVI, and GDP were pivotal factors. However, by 2010, temperature replaced GDP, indicating variable influences and dynamic interactions between economic growth and climate conditions (Smith et al., 2014). For natural landscapes, key factors evolved over time, reflecting broader environmental and socio-economic changes. Significant interactions between temperature, NDVI, and distance to water bodies consistently influenced ESV (Xu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2023). Further analyses reveal important interaction factors among productive, living, and natural landscapes. In 2000, the most significant interaction within the natural landscape was between population density and GDP, though this interaction diminished over time (He et al., 2022). This decline may stem from economic activities diversifying with development, moving beyond urbanization and industrialization to include renewable energy, green technologies, and sustainable agriculture. These shifts help mitigate direct impacts on natural landscapes, reducing negative interactions between population density and GDP. Consistent interactions between temperature, NDVI, and distance to water bodies underscore ESV's complexity and sensitivity to natural and human-induced drivers, essential for adaptive land use and conservation strategies.

In summary, integrating natural and socio-economic factors is crucial for developing sustainable land use strategies that mitigate environmental impacts and enhance resilience. Policymakers must balance development goals with sustainable practices, reasonably regulate water resources based on climate detection, maintain the health and stability of green ecological land, and conservation to harmonize development with ecological health.

5 Conclusion

In this study, we analyzed land-use changes over the past 2 decades, quantitatively assessing the ESV of the four major headwater streams and the main stream in the Tarim River Basin using the value equivalent method. Additionally, we employed geodetector analysis to identify key factors influencing ESV across different land types. The results indicate that, under the influence of relevant policies, land designated for human production and habitation underwent rapid expansion between 2000 and 2015. Subsequently, over the following 5 years, the expansion of arable land slowed, and built-up areas decreased. This trend led to a sustained increase in the ESV of arable land from 2000 to 2020. Future policymaking in arid regions should consider ecological factors more carefully while maintaining basic arable land and economic development, planning land-use layouts prudently to stabilize ESV. Regarding natural land use, the significant melting of glaciers has contributed to the expansion of water bodies and wetlands, primarily driven by climate warming. Although this has resulted in some growth in the ESV of water bodies and wetlands, the ESV of glaciers has continued to decline. Over the study period, the total ESV showed an upward trend, peaking in 2015, followed by a slight decline due to abundant water resources in 2015. Moving forward, greater emphasis should be placed on monitoring climate change and allocating water resources appropriately based on climatic conditions. This involves balancing water use for production and living needs with the maintenance of ecological vegetation growth. For instance, ecological water replenishment measures Basin should be carefully adjusted in terms of timing, method, and volume, considering annual precipitation, temperature, and other climatic factors. The analysis of ESV in the study area from 2000 to 2020 highlights the complex interactions between natural and socio-economic drivers, offering significant insights for land-use and ecosystem management. Key natural factors such as NDVI, precipitation, and evapotranspiration have played critical roles in shaping ESV dynamics, particularly in terms of vegetation health and water availability. Over time, socio-economic drivers, including GDP and urbanization, have had an increasing impact on ecosystem services, emphasizing the growing influence of human activities on natural landscapes. The shifting importance of temperature and economic growth reflects the evolving nature of these interactions, necessitating adaptive management strategies that account for both climate change and human development. Therefore, the findings of this study are not only of great importance for land-use and ecosystem management in the Tarim River Basin

References

Assessment, (2001). M.E. Millennium ecosystem assessment. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment.

Bao, J., Wang, W., and Zhao, T. (2023). Spatiotemporal changes of ecosystem service values in response to land cover dynamics in China from 1992 to 2020. *Sustainability* 15, 7210. doi:10.3390/su15097210

Bi, Y., Zheng, L., Wang, Y., Li, J., Yang, H., and Zhang, B. (2023). Coupling relationship between urbanization and water-related ecosystem services in China's Yangtze River Economic Belt and its socio-ecological driving forces: a county-level perspective. *Ecol. Indic.* 146, 109871. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2023. 109871

Bolch, T., Duethmann, D., Wortmann, M., Liu, S., and Disse, M. (2022). Declining glaciers endanger sustainable development of the oases along the Aksu-Tarim River

but also provide valuable references for the conservation and management of other arid regions and similar ecological environments.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

MS: Methodology, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. XS: Data curation, Software, Validation, Writing-review and editing. HX: Conceptualization, Writing-review and editing. AS: Conceptualization, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Preliminary analysis and study on the sealing effectiveness and ecological water quantity of the lower reaches of the Cheerchen River (E3410113).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

(Central Asia). Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol. 29, 209-218. doi:10.1080/13504509. 2021.1943723

Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Kääb, A., and Treichler, D. (2017). A spatially resolved estimate of High Mountain Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2016. *Nat. Geosci.* 10, 668–673. doi:10.1038/ngeo2999

Bryan, B. A., Ye, Y., and Connor, J. D. (2018). Land-use change impacts on ecosystem services value: incorporating the scarcity effects of supply and demand dynamics. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 32, 144–157. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2018.07.002

Cook, D., Malinauskaite, L., Davíðsdóttir, B., and Ögmundardóttir, H. (2021). Coproduction processes underpinning the ecosystem services of glaciers and adaptive management in the era of climate change. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 50, 101342. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser. 2021.101342 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., et al. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* 387 (6630), 253–260. doi:10.1038/387253a0

Costanza, R., De Groot, R., Braat, L., Kubiszewski, I., Fioramonti, L., Sutton, P., et al. (2017). Twenty years of ecosystem services: how far have we come and how far do we still need to go? Ecosyst. *Serv* 28, 1–16. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.09.008

Daily, G. C., Söderqvist, T., Aniyar, S., Arrow, K., Dasgupta, P., Ehrlich, P. R., et al. (2000). The value of nature and the nature of value. *Science* 289, 395–396. doi:10.1126/ science.289.5478.395

Falconí-López, A., Mitesser, O., Schaefer, H. M., Blüthgen, N., Busse, A., Feldhaar, H., et al. (2024). Habitat niches of bird species along a recovery gradient in the Chocó tropical forest. *Ecol. Indic.* 166, 112260. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.112260

Farinotti, D., Longuevergne, L., Moholdt, G., Duethmann, D., Mölg, T., Bolch, T., et al. (2015). Substantial glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 716–722. doi:10.1038/ngeo2513

Fei, L., Shuwen, Z., Jiuchun, Y., Liping, C., Haijuan, Y., and Kun, B. (2018). Effects of land use change on ecosystem services value in West Jilin since the reform and opening of China. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 31, 12–20. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2018.03.009

Gao, X., Shen, J., He, W., Zhao, X., Li, Z., Hu, W., et al. (2021). Spatial-temporal analysis of ecosystem services value and research on ecological compensation in Taihu Lake Basin of Jiangsu Province in China from 2005 to 2018. *J. Clean. Prod.* 317, 128241. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128241

Geist, J. (2011). Integrative freshwater ecology and biodiversity conservation. *Ecol. Indic.* 11, 1507–1516. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.04.002

Gong, B., and Liu, Z. (2021). Assessing impacts of land use policies on environmental sustainability of oasis landscapes with scenario analysis: the case of northern China. *Landsc. Ecol.* 36, 1913–1932. doi:10.1007/s10980-020-01065-x

Han, X., Yu, J., Zhao, X., and Wang, J. (2021). Spatiotemporal evolution of ecosystem service values in an area dominated by vegetation restoration: quantification and mechanisms. *Ecol. Indic.* 131, 108191. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108191

He, L., Guo, J., Jiang, Q., Zhang, Z., and Yu, S. (2022). How did the Chinese Loess Plateau turn green from 2001 to 2020? An explanation using satellite data. *Catena* 214, 106246. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2022.106246

Hu, M., Li, Z., Wang, Y., Jiao, M., Li, M., and Xia, B. (2019). Spatio-temporal changes in ecosystem service value in response to land-use/cover changes in the Pearl River Delta. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 149, 106–114. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec. 2019.05.032

Jiang, W., Lü, Y., Liu, Y., and Gao, W. (2020). Ecosystem service value of the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau significantly increased during 25 years. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 44, 101146. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2020.101146

Jianying, X., Jixing, C., and Yanxu, L. (2020). Partitioned responses of ecosystem services and their tradeoffs to human activities in the Belt and Road region. *J. Clean. Prod.* 276, 123205. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123205

Kozak, J., Lant, C., Shaikh, S., and Wang, G. (2011). The geography of ecosystem service value: the case of the Des Plaines and Cache River wetlands, Illinois. *Appl. Geogr.* 31, 303–311. doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2010.07.001

Li, Y., Liu, W., Feng, Q., Zhu, M., Yang, L., Zhang, J., et al. (2023). The role of land use change in affecting ecosystem services and the ecological security pattern of the Hexi Regions, Northwest China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 855, 158940. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2022.158940

Li, Z., Sun, X., Huang, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Z., Li, S., et al. (2020). Changes in nutrient balance, environmental effects, and green development after returning farmland to forests: a case study in Ningxia, China. *China. Sci. Total Environ.* 735, 139370. doi:10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139370

Li C, C., Fu, B., Wang, S., Stringer, L. C., Wang, Y., Li, Z., et al. (2021). Drivers and impacts of changes in China's drylands. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 2, 858–873. doi:10. 1038/s43017-021-00226-z

Liu, H., Zhou, G., Wennersten, R., and Frostell, B. (2014). Analysis of sustainable urban development approaches in China. *Habitat Int.* 41, 24–32. doi:10.1016/j. habitatint.2013.06.005

Liu, J., Pei, X., Zhu, W., and Jiao, J. (2023). Understanding the intricate tradeoffs among ecosystem services in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei urban agglomeration across spatiotemporal features. *Sci. Total Environ.* 898, 165453. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023. 165453

Liu, M., Nie, Z., Liu, X., Wang, L., and Cao, L. (2024). Change in groundwater table depth caused by natural change and human activities during the past 40 years in the Shiyang River Basin, northwest China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 906, 167722. doi:10.1016/j. scitotenv.2023.167722

Liu, Y., Hou, X., Li, X., Song, B., and Wang, C. (2020). Assessing and predicting changes in ecosystem service values based on land use/cover change in the Bohai Rim coastal zone. *Ecol. Indic.* 111, 106004. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.106004

Li X, X., Zhou, Y., Hejazi, M., Wise, M., Vernon, C., Iyer, G., et al. (2021). Global urban growth between 1870 and 2100 from integrated high resolution mapped data and urban dynamic modeling. *Commun. Earth and Environ.* 2 (1), 201. doi:10.1038/s43247-021-00273-w

Luederitz, C., Brink, E., Gralla, F., Hermelingmeier, V., Meyer, M., Niven, L., et al. (2015). A review of urban ecosystem services: six key challenges for future research. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 14, 98–112. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.05.001

Lyu, J., Shen, B., and Li, H. (2015). Dynamics of major hydro-climatic variables in the headwater catchment of the Tarim River Basin, Xinjiang, China. *Quat. Int.* 380–381, 143–148. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2014.12.017

Moomaw, W. R., Chmura, G., Davies, G. T., Finlayson, C., Middleton, B. A., Natali, S. M., et al. (2018). Wetlands in a changing climate: science, policy and management. *Wetlands* 38, 183–205. doi:10.1007/s13157-018-1023-8

Moran, P. A. (1950). Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika* 37, 17–23. doi:10.2307/2332142

Muyibul, Z., Jianxin, X., Muhtar, P., Qingdong, S., and Run, Z. (2018). Spatiotemporal changes of land use/cover from 1995 to 2015 in an oasis in the middle reaches of the Keriya River, southern Tarim Basin, Northwest China. *Catena* 171, 416–425. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2018.07.038

Nesbitt, L., Hotte, N., Barron, S., Cowan, J., and Sheppard, S. R. J. (2017). The social and economic value of cultural ecosystem services provided by urban forests in North America: a review and suggestions for future research. *Urban For. Urban Green.* 25, 103–111. doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2017.05.005

Olabi, A., and Abdelkareem, M. A. (2022). Renewable energy and climate change. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 158, 112111. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2022.112111

Pan, N., Guan, Q., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Li, H., and Ma, Y. (2021). Spatial differentiation and driving mechanisms in ecosystem service value of arid region: a case study in the middle and lower reaches of Shule River Basin, NW China. *J. Clean. Prod.* 319, 128718. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128718

Qian, K., Ma, X., Yan, W., Li, J., Xu, S., Liu, Y., et al. (2024). Trade-offs and synergies among ecosystem services in Inland River Basins under the influence of ecological water transfer project: a case study on the Tarim River basin. *Sci. Total Environ.* 908, 168248. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168248

Qiao, X., Li, Z., Lin, J., Wang, H., Zheng, S., and Yang, S. (2024). Assessing current and future soil erosion under changing land use based on InVEST and FLUS models in the Yihe River Basin, North China. *Int. Soil Water Conservation Res.* 12, 298–312. doi:10. 1016/j.iswcr.2023.07.001

Redo, D. J., Aide, T. M., Clark, M. L., and Andrade-Núñez, M. J. (2012). Impacts of internal and external policies on land change in Uruguay, 2001–2009. *Environ. Conserv.* 39, 122–131. doi:10.1017/S0376892911000658

Riis, T., Kelly-Quinn, M., Aguiar, F. C., Manolaki, P., Bruno, D., Bejarano, M. D., et al. (2020). Global overview of ecosystem services provided by riparian vegetation. *Bioscience* 70, 501–514. doi:10.1093/biosci/biaa041

Rosa, I. M., Purvis, A., Alkemade, R., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Ferrier, S., Guerra, C. A., et al. (2020). Challenges in producing policy-relevant global scenarios of biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Glob. Ecol. Conservation* 22, e00886. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2019. e00886

Rounce, D. R., Hock, R., and Shean, D. E. (2020). Glacier mass change in High Mountain Asia through 2100 using the open-source Python glacier evolution model (PyGEM). *Front. Earth Sci.* 7, 331. doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00331

Smith, P., Bustamante, M., Ahammad, H., Clark, H., Dong, H., Elsiddig, E. A., et al. (2014). "Agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU)," in *Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change* (Cambridge University Press), 811–922.

Song, W., and Deng, X. (2017). Land-use/land-cover change and ecosystem service provision in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 576, 705–719. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016. 07.078

Su, K., Wei, D.-z., and Lin, W.-x. (2020). Evaluation of ecosystem services value and its implications for policy making in China–A case study of Fujian province. *Ecol. Indic.* 108, 105752. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105752

Sun, G., Hallema, D., and Asbjornsen, H. (2017). Ecohydrological processes and ecosystem services in the Anthropocene: a review. *Ecol. Process.* 6, 35. doi:10.1186/s13717-017-0104-6

Talukdar, S., Singha, P., Mahato, S., Praveen, B., and Rahman, A. (2020). Dynamics of ecosystem services (ESs) in response to land use land cover (LU/LC) changes in the lower Gangetic plain of India. *Ecol. Indic.* 112, 106121. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2020. 106121

Wang, H., Qin, F., Xu, C., Li, B., Guo, L., and Wang, Z. (2021a). Evaluating the suitability of urban development land with a Geodetector. *Ecol. Indic.* 123, 107339. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107339

Wang, J. F., Li, X. H., Christakos, G., Liao, Y. L., Zhang, T., Gu, X., et al. (2010). Geographical detectors-based health risk assessment and its application in the neural tube defects study of the heshun region, China. *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.* 24, 107–127. doi:10. 1080/13658810802443457

Wang, L., Zheng, W., Tang, L., Zhang, S., Liu, Y., and Ke, X. (2021b). Spatial optimization of urban land and cropland based on land production capacity to balance cropland protection and ecological conservation. *J. Environ. Manag.* 285, 112054. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112054

Wang, R., Ding, J., Ge, X., Wang, J., Qin, S., Tan, J., et al. (2023). Impacts of climate change on the wetlands in the arid region of Northwestern China over the past 2 decades. *Ecol. Indic.* 149, 110168. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110168

Wang, Y., Zhang, S., Zhen, H., Chang, X., Shataer, R., and Li, Z. (2020). Spatiotemporal evolution characteristics in ecosystem service values based on land use/cover change in the Tarim River Basin, China. *Sustainability* 12, 7759. doi:10.3390/su12187759

Wang, Z., Guo, J., Ling, H., Han, F., Kong, Z., and Wang, W. (2022). Function zoning based on spatial and temporal changes in quantity and quality of ecosystem services under enhanced management of water resources in arid basins. *Ecol. Indic.* 137, 108725. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108725

Wei, W., Zou, S., Duan, W., Chen, Y., Li, S., and Zhou, Y. (2023). Spatiotemporal variability in extreme precipitation and associated large-scale climate mechanisms in Central Asia from 1950 to 2019. *J. Hydrol.* 620, 129417. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023. 129417

Xie, G., Zhang, C., Zhen, L., and Zhang, L. (2017). Dynamic changes in the value of China's ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 26, 146–154. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser. 2017.06.010

Xu, T., Weng, B., Yan, D., Wang, K., Li, X., Bi, W., et al. (2019). Wetlands of international importance: status, threats, and future protection. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 16, 1818. doi:10.3390/ijerph16101818

Xu, Z., Wei, H., Dong, X., Liu, Y., and Xue, H. (2020). Evaluating the ecological benefits of plantations in arid areas from the perspective of ecosystem service supply and demand-based on emergy analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 705, 135853. doi:10.1016/j. scitotenv.2019.135853

Yang, J., Xie, B., Zhang, D., Mak-Mensah, E., and Pei, T. (2023). Habitat quality assessment and multi-scenario prediction of the Gansu-Qinghai section of the Yellow River Basin based on the FLUS-InVEST model. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11, 1228558. doi:10. 3389/fevo.2023.1228558

Yao, J., Chen, Y., Guan, X., Zhao, Y., Chen, J., and Mao, W. (2022). Recent climate and hydrological changes in a mountain-basin system in Xinjiang, China. *Earth-Science Rev.* 226, 103957. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.103957

Yin, W., Chai, Q., Zhao, C., Yu, A., Fan, Z., Hu, F., et al. (2020). Water utilization in intercropping: a review. *Agric. Water Manag.* 241, 106335. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2020. 106335

Yu, H., Chen, C., and Shao, C. (2023). Spatial and temporal changes in ecosystem service driven by ecological compensation in the Xin'an River Basin, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 146, 109798. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109798

Zhai, Y., Li, W., Shi, S., Gao, Y., Chen, Y., and Ding, Y. (2023). Spatio-temporal dynamics of ecosystem service values in China's Northeast Tiger-Leopard National Park from 2005 to 2020: evidence from environmental factors and land use/land cover changes. *Ecol. Indic.* 155, 110734. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110734

Zhang, H., Hu, Z., Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Song, S., and Chen, X. (2024). How does vegetation change under the warm-wet tendency across Xinjiang, China? *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 127, 103664. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2024.103664

Zhang, X., Zheng, Z., Sun, S., Wen, Y., and Chen, H. (2023). Study on the driving factors of ecosystem service value under the dual influence of natural environment and human activities. *J. Clean. Prod.* 420, 138408. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138408

Zhao, X., Yi, P., Xia, J., He, W., and Gao, X. (2022). Temporal and spatial analysis of the ecosystem service values in the Three Gorges Reservoir area of China based on land use change. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 65, 26549–26563. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-17827-2

Zhou, Y., Li, X., and Liu, Y. (2021). Cultivated land protection and rational use in China. *Land Use Policy* 106, 105454. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105454

Zhu, L., Meng, J., and Zhu, L. (2020). Applying Geodetector to disentangle the contributions of natural and anthropogenic factors to NDVI variations in the middle reaches of the Heihe River Basin. *Ecol. Indic.* 117, 106545. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind. 2020.106545