



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
Glenn Hyman,
Self-employed, Colombia

REVIEWED BY
Jose Cora,
São Paulo State University, Brazil
Patrick Lavelle,
Sorbonne Universités, France

*CORRESPONDENCE
Lina M. Moreno-Conn,
lmoreno@agrosavia.co

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted
to Land Use Dynamics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Environmental Science

RECEIVED 30 March 2021
ACCEPTED 11 October 2022
PUBLISHED 25 October 2022

CITATION
Moreno-Conn LM,
Rodríguez-Hernandez NS, Arguello JO,
Gallo Gordillo O, Bernal-Riobo JH,
Arango M and Baquero JE (2022), Land
use change and its effect on ecosystem
services in an Oxisol of the eastern High
Plains of meta department in Colombia.
Front. Environ. Sci. 10:687804.
doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.687804

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Moreno-Conn, Rodríguez-
Hernandez, Arguello, Gallo Gordillo,
Bernal-Riobo, Arango and Baquero. This
is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Land use change and its effect on ecosystem services in an Oxisol of the eastern High Plains of meta department in Colombia

Lina M. Moreno-Conn*, Nubia S. Rodríguez-Hernandez,
José Orlando Arguello, Oscar Gallo Gordillo,
Jaime H. Bernal-Riobo, Miguel Arango and José E. Baquero

Agricultural Research Group, Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation—Agrosavia, La Libertad Research Center, Villavicencio, Colombia

Increasing cultivation on acid soils of Colombia's Eastern High Plains in the Orinoquia region require crop management strategies that balance commercial and environmental interests. Previous research showed the effects of land preparation and management on certain aspects of ecosystem services of these acid soils. This research aimed to comprehensively evaluate the impact of use and soil management in a native savanna Oxisol converted to five production systems gaining traction among local farmers: *Annual crops rotation–sugarcane (ACR-S)*; *Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)*; *Sugarcane monocrop (SM)*; *Rubber associated with Desmodium ovalifolium (R-Do)* as a cover crop; *Pasture Brachiaria decumbens - Annual crops rotation (PBd-ACR)* and *native savanna (NS)* as a control. The experimental design consisted of conditioning the soil with two doses of dolomitic lime and incorporating three tillage methods over 5 years. A Principal Components Analysis (PCA) constructed indicators of ecosystem services (ES), such as fertility, water regulation, macroinvertebrate diversity, climate control related to greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and carbon stock. The indicator values were adjusted between 0.10 and 1.0. Physicochemical variables with the greatest statistical weight were identified for components 1 and 2 each year. The fertility indicator of the native savanna for year one had a low range with a value of 0.11 compared to the other systems ($p \leq 0.05$). During the years two, three, and four, soil fertility increased in the *PBd-ACR* (0.51) production system compared to the other systems. *PBd-ACR* generally improved water supply, although with lower indicator values than *ACR-MS* and *R-Do*. *PBd-ACR* show high biodiversity for edaphic macroinvertebrates compared to other systems. Field measurements detected methane emissions only in the *SM* system. Oxisols changed with the introduction of crops. The positive and negative tradeoffs of production systems and their agronomic practices should be considered in agricultural development of these acid soils.

KEYWORDS

synthetic index, cos, GHG, native savanna, productive systems

1 Introduction

The rapid increase in world population, growing demand for food, and urban and agricultural expansion have been the key driving forces for land-use change (Yu et al., 2013). Anthropogenic land surface change converts natural savannas and forests into cultivated lands for food, fiber, raw materials, and bioenergy (Alexander et al., 2015). These systems can negatively impact ecosystems and environmental services, contributing to environmental degradation and global climate change (Foley et al., 2005; Lawler et al., 2014; Tolessa et al., 2017; Hasan et al., 2020).

Soils are of vital importance for the support, provision, and regulation of many ecosystem services (ES), such as biogeochemical cycles of nutrients, food production, habitat provisioning, biodiversity, and climate change mitigation (Contanza, 2016; Pereira et al., 2018). Ecosystem services benefit humans and other living organisms either directly or indirectly (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Deng et al., 2013; Duru et al., 2015). Intensification of agricultural production exposes soils to change and affects their structure, function, and capacity to provide ecosystem services, which affects the productive and environmental sustainability of the agroecosystem (de Vries et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2016; Kertész, 2017; Kertész et al., 2019).

Understanding the effects of land-use change and climate change on ES at global, regional, and local scales will be needed to develop alternative management strategies and policies that contribute to sustainable resource use. Intensive agriculture leaves the soils without cover and increases the risk of erosion, desertification, salinization, and degradation (Fu et al., 2017). For example, the Brazilian Cerrado has come under substantial pressure during the last 50 years caused by significant land-use/land-cover change, primarily because of agricultural expansion and urbanization (Lorz et al., 2012). This expansion has led to around 39% of the natural savannas being transformed into cultivated land and pastures. These lands are dedicated to livestock and agriculture to produce export grains such as soybeans, corn, and cotton fiber (Klink & Machado, 2005; Sano et al., 2010). Consequently, such an enormous land-use transition has increased greenhouse gas emissions, caused biodiversity loss, and decreased ecosystem services (de Espindola et al., 2021).

The tropical savannas of South America occupy about 269 million hectares (Mha), of which approximately 17 Mha are in the Orinoco region in Colombia, in the departments of Meta, Vichada, Arauca, and Casanare. This region presents various landscapes, with foothills, flat plains, and undulating high plains. Throughout this region are gallery forests that serve as biological corridors, supporting essential hydrological functions fundamental for the provision of ES (Romero-Ruiz et al., 2012). The High Plains region in Colombia covers 4,255,451 ha in a 60 km wide strip that extends south of the





Meta River from the municipality of Puerto López to the Venezuelan border (IGAC 2002). The region is considered Colombia's last agricultural frontier. The soils are mainly of the Oxisol order (*Tropeptic haplustox isohyperthermic*) (Soil Survey Staff, 1999; Soil Survey Staff, 2014) with low fertility. They contain high iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) contents with values of 40 mg kg⁻¹ and 5.70 cmol kg⁻¹, respectively. Soil pH is between 3.8 and 5.0, with levels of exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K) lower than 0.2 cmol kg⁻¹ and organic matter of 1.5–2.0% (Huertas et al., 2018). These conditions tend to decrease biological activity and reduce mineralization rates and biodiversity (Thomas and Ayarza, 1999; Rivas et al., 2004; Rivera et al., 2013). Oxisols are of sedimentary origin with densities ranging from 1.40 to 1.65 g cm⁻³. They have sandy to silty-clay loam textures with low infiltration and water storage capacity. When subjected to intensive tillage, their fragile structures make them susceptible to compaction, erosion, and degradation. They are highly vulnerable to mechanization, negatively affecting their agricultural sustainability (Amezquita et al., 2013).

In recent decades, the Colombian Agricultural Institute (ICA), the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) and the Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation (Agrosavia) have contributed to the technological development of this region through the establishment of integrated soil management. These practices develop a productive soil layer that reduces the physicochemical, biological, and productive limitations of these Oxisols. These management strategies and incorporation of improved plant germplasm (deep-rooted *Brachiaria* grasses) increased agricultural productivity, generating important economic advances both for producers and consumers in the region (Amezquita et al., 2004).

However, these changes generate concerns about ecosystem services. What are the impacts on the soil and natural biodiversity of the region? What are the impacts on water resources and water pollution from intense use of correctives, fertilizers, and chemical pesticides (Rodríguez et al., 2019)? To guide policies for sustainable development, it is important to identify and understand the impacts of land-use change in the Meta High Plains of this resource. Lavelle et al. (2014) evaluated soil ecosystem services in production systems with pastures, annual crops (rice, maize, and soybeans), oil palm and rubber plantations. Their synchronic approach used a chronosequence of sample sites (substituting space for time) to compare the production systems by macroinvertebrate biodiversity, climate regulation, hydrological functions, soil stability provided by macro-aggregation, and potential for nutrient supply. They compared the production systems with the savanna in the Orinoco River basin of eastern Colombia, finding that the greatest differences between land uses were observed in macroinvertebrates' communities and soil macro aggregation patterns. By contrast, this research aims to explore ecosystem services in greater depth, sampling plots over time in a diachronic

TABLE 1 Production systems established in an Oxisol of the High Plains as alternatives for soil use change (2011–2015).

Production systems	2011					2012					2013					2014					2015																																						
	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D											
Annual crops rotation – sugarcane (ACR-S)						S ¹		H	S ²			H						H																			H																						
Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)						S ¹		H	S ²			H	S ⁴		H	S ²			H	S ⁴		H	S ²			H													S ⁴		H	S ⁵			H	S ⁴		H	S ⁵			H	S ⁴		H	S ⁵			H
Sugarcane monocrop (SM)						S ³													H																			H														H							
Rubber in association with <i>Desmodium ovalifolium</i> (R-Do)						S ^{6,7}																																																					
Pasture <i>Brachiaria decumbens</i> - Annual crops rotation (PBd-ACR)						S ⁸													S ⁹		H	S ⁴			H													S ⁹		H	S ⁴			H	S ⁹		H	S ¹⁰			H	S ⁹		H	S ¹⁰			H	
Native savanna (NS)																																																											

S Sowing		Native savanna	¹ Rice Var. Corpoica Llanura 11	⁶ <i>Desmodium ovalifolium</i>
H Harvest		Soil conditioning (tillage and lime)	² Soybean Var. Corpoica Superior 6	⁷ Rubber Clone FX 3864
		Presence of production systems	³ Sugarcane Var. Cenicaña 8592	⁸ Pasture <i>Brachiaria decumbens</i>
		Fallow	⁴ Maize Pioneer 30K73	⁹ Soybean Corpoica Guayuriba 9
			⁵ Soybean Corpoica Achagua 8	¹⁰ Sorghum Corpoica JTT18

approach. Considering the above, our research hypothesizes that land-use change with the implementation of production systems and the incorporation of management practices differentially affect the ecosystem services provided by the soil.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site and crops

The study was conducted between 2011 and 2015 at Agrosavia’s Taluma experimental station (4°22’24.10”N, -72°13’19.23”W). The study area lies in Colombia’s Eastern High Plains, in the municipality of Puerto López (Meta, Colombia). The climate of the Orinoco region is tropical, with average temperatures of 27°C and a monomodal rainfall regime (Amezquita, 1999). Precipitation ranges from 1,500 to 2,000 mm, concentrated between April and August, with relative humidity of around 80% (Bernal et al., 2013). Favorable natural conditions and growing demand for arable land have led to a progressive increase in cultivated area in the Eastern High Plains. However, expected crop yields do not meet economic expectations, suggesting the need for improved management strategies. Studies conducted by Romero-Ruiz et al. (2012) from 1987 to 2007 showed that 14% of the eastern plains of Colombia experienced some land-use change. Savannas underwent a systematic conversion to agricultural uses. Pastures, perennial crops and oil palm expanded into areas of native savanna.

The research focused on five production systems: 1) *Annual crops rotation-sugarcane* (ACR-S); 2) *Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean* (ACR-MS); 3) *Sugarcane monocrop* (SM); 4) *Rubber* in association with *Desmodium ovalifolium*

(*R-Do*); and 5) *Pasture Brachiaria decumbens* followed by *Annual crops rotation* (PBd-ACR). Native savanna (NS) served as a reference site. Table 1 describes the crop planting times established in an Oxisol of the High Plains. These five systems respond to new demands for biofuel from sugarcane, nitrogen fixing benefits and market demand from soybeans, growth of rubber plantations in the High Plains, and soil fertility benefits of crop-livestock systems. In 2011, prior to planting ACR-S and ACR-MS, rice was planted in the native savanna, a practice carried out in the region for soil conditioning. These systems were evaluated to assess the effect of land-use change on ES indicators, including fertility, water regulation, biodiversity, and climate regulation (Greenhouse gas emissions and soil organic carbon) for five consecutive years.

Before crop establishment, three types of plows (chisel, harrow, and mouldboard) were used to incorporate dolomitic lime [Ca(CO₃)₂ and Mg(CO₃)₂]. Trial managers incorporated two doses of dolomitic lime (3.0 and 6.0 Mg ha⁻¹) into the soil before planting, with further fertilization based on the requirements of each plant species (Table 2). Parameters such as precipitation (mm) were measured in the study area throughout the evaluation period with a Hellmann-type rain gauge (see Supplementary Material SA).

2.2 Statistical design

The statistical design was a completely randomized block design on a split-split plot arrangement of treatments with four replicates with production systems ACR-S, ACR-MS, SM, R-Do, PBd-ACR and NS as control as the main plot, doses of dolomitic

TABLE 2 Fertilization of the production systems according to requirements.

Crop	Planting density	Nutrition	Herbicides	Insect and fungicide
Rice*	25 × 10 ⁵ plants ha ⁻¹ 0.17 m row spacing	180 kg Urea 250 kg DAP 100 kg Kieserite 200 kg KCL 20 kg Borozinco		
Soybean*	350,000 plants ha ⁻¹ 0.34 m row spacing	1 L Rhizobium 150 kg KCL 100 kg Kieserite 200 kg SFT 20 kg Borozinco	2.0 L Roundup 0.5 L Sencor 2.0 L Dual gold	1.0 L Amistar 1.0 kg Sevin 80%
Maize*	60,000 plants ha ⁻¹ 0.8 m row spacing	200 kg Urea 150 kg KCL 250 kg DAP 100 kg Kieserite 20 kg Borozinco	1.0 L Prowl 1 kg Atrazina 80% 2.0 L Roundup	1.0 L Match
Sorghum*	60,000 plants ha ⁻¹ 0.8 m row spacing	200 kg Urea 100 kg KCL 150 kg DAP 100 kg Kieserite 20 kg Borozinco	1.0 L Prowl 1 kg Atrazina 80% 2.0 L Roundup	1.0 L Match
Sugarcane**	40,000 plants ha ⁻¹ 1.5 m row spacing	200 kg Urea 150 kg KCL 200 kg DAP 100 kg Kieserite 20 kg Borozinco	1 L Finale SL	200 inches Trichogramma
Pasture**	4.0 Mg ha ⁻¹	100 kg Urea 75 kg DAP 50 kg KCL		
Rubber**	555 plants ha ⁻¹ distance of 3 × 6 m	200 kg Urea 100 kg KCL 100 kg DAP 80 kg Kieserite 20 kg Borozinco	2.0 L Roundup	
<i>D. ovalifolium**</i>	<i>0.5 Mg ha⁻¹</i>		1.0 L Select	

***Dolomitic lime (3.0 and 6.0 Mg ha⁻¹): 30% CaO, 14% MgO

***Rock phosphate (0.6 Mg ha⁻¹): 25% P₂O₅, 32 CaO

Kieserite: 3% P₂O₅, 6% CaO, 24% MgO, 16% S, 16% SiO₂

DAP (Diammonium phosphate): 45% P₂O₅, 18% N

SFT (Triple superphosphate): 44% P₂O₅, 13% CaO

KCL (Potassium chloride): 60% K₂O

Borozinco: 3% N, 6% S, 2.5% B, 0.5% Cu, 17% SiO₂, 15% Zn

Data in italics in Table 2 correspond to commercial fertilizers and their composition.

TABLE 3 Analytical methods used for the determination of soil chemistry properties.

Properties	Extractive solution	Analytical methods	References
Organic carbon	Acid combustion	Colorimetry	Walkley & Black, (1934)
Exchangeable bases: calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K) - cmol kg ⁻¹	Ammonium Acetate 1N - pH: 7.0	Atomic absorption spectroscopy	IGAC, (2006)
Exchangeable acidity: aluminium (Al) and hydrogenum (H) - cmol kg ⁻¹	Potassium chloride 1M	Volumetric	IGAC, (2006)
pH	1:1 ratio (water:soil)	Potentiometric	IGAC, (2006)
Phosphorus (P) - mg kg ⁻¹	Bray II extractant	Colorimetry	
Sulfur (S) - mg kg ⁻¹	Calcium phosphate	Turbidimetry	IGAC, (2006)
Microelements: copper (Co), molybdenum (Mo), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), and boron (B)—(mg kg ⁻¹)	Hot water extraction Calcium phosphate extraction	Atomic absorption spectroscopy Colorimetry Turbidimetry	IGAC, (2006)

TABLE 4 Analytical methods used for the determination of soil physical properties.

Properties	Analytical methods	References
Texture	Bouyoucos	IGAC (2006)
Real Density	Pycnometer	IGAC (2006)
Bulk density	Cylinder of known volume (5 cm diameter and 5 cm height)	IGAC (2006)
Humidity retention curve	Pressure plates	IGAC (2006)
Total porosity	Calculated from density	Soil Science Division Staff. 2017
Size and pore distribution	Calculations from the hydrophysical curve	Warren Forsythe (1985)
Resistance to penetration	Penetrologer Eijkelkamp	Elaoud et al. (2017)
Soil moisture content	Gravimetric	IGAC (2006)
Available water capacity	Calculations based on moisture retention: water between the tensions of 10 kPa and 1,500 kPa	Soil Science Division Staff. (2017). Pag 450
Structural Stability (DPM)	Yoder	Yoder (1936) SCCS (2013)

lime (3.0 and 6.0 ton ha⁻¹) as subplots and tillage systems (chisel, harrow, and mouldboard) as sub-subplots. Each experimental unit comprised 400 m² (20 m × 20 m).

2.3 Soil fertility and water provision ecosystem services

Soil chemical and physical properties were determined once every year to estimate indicators related to soil fertility and water provision. The organic matter content, the exchangeable bases such as calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), exchangeable acidity as aluminum (Al), and pH were determined from each experimental plot at four depths (0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40 cm). The analytical methods used to determine soil chemistry variables are described in Table 3.

Soil physical properties associated with the water provision indicator were taken at four depths (0–10; 10–20; 20–30, and

30–40 cm) in each experimental unit and are described in Table 4.

2.4 Soil diversity ecosystem services

2.4.1. Soil macroinvertebrates

The number of edaphic macroinvertebrates was determined once every year using The Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility (TSBF) method (Anderson and Ingram, 1993). A 25 × 25 × 20 cm block of soil at three random points in each experimental plot was sampled. Organisms were separated manually; morphotypes were preserved with 70% alcohol and, with earthworms, 4% formalin. Counting and identification down to the order level were made by observation using a stereomicroscope. Taxonomic richness, abundance, and Simpson diversity index were calculated. The morphospecies accumulation curve was determined using the EstimateS program (Version 9.1.0, Copyright RK Colwell: <http://purl.oclc.org/estimates>).

2.5 Soil greenhouse gas fluxes and carbon storage

GHG sampling was conducted after implementing agricultural practices, mainly fertilization and tillage. From 2012 to 2015, monthly samples were taken in *ACR-MS*, *SM*, *PBd-ACR* and *NS* systems to determine the fluxes of nitrous oxide (N₂O), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and methane (CH₄) emitted by the soil. The samples were taken from closed chambers installed in each production system at 5 cm depth. Sample analysis was performed in a Shimadzu GC-14A gas chromatograph, equipped with ECD (electron capture detector for N₂O) and FID (flame ionization detector for CH₄) detectors. A gas analyzer (Qubit Systems S151 with IRGA infrared technology) was used for CO₂.

The fluxes were calculated following the GRACEnet method (Parkin and Venterea, 2010). The results were reported as the concentration in ppm (v/v) and were transformed into mass units according to the ideal gas Equation 1:

$$PV = nRT \quad (1)$$

Where:

P: Atmospheric pressure of the area under study (0.97 atm).

V: Volume (L).

n: Number of moles.

R: Ideal gas constant (0,08206 L atm mol⁻¹ K⁻¹).

T: Temperature inside the chambers in K.

GHG fluxes were estimated by non-linear (Hutchinson and Mosier, 1981) and linear regression using the HMR library (version 0.4.1) of R (Pedersen et al., 2010). Due to the high sensitivity of non-linear fluxes in changes of concentration, those that met the 5% rejection criterion of the null hypothesis of fluxes equal to zero (H₀) were determined as significant. Otherwise, fluxes estimated with a linear regression that met at least 30% probability of rejection of H₀ were used.

Soil organic matter and bulk density (BD) values at two depths (0–10 and 10–20 cm) were used to estimate each experimental unit's soil organic carbon storage.

2.6 Statistical analysis

2.6.1 Soil ecosystem indicator calculation

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to identify the chemical, physical and biological (soil macroinvertebrates) variables that best discriminate the difference between the system's productivity at two depths (0–10 and 10–20 cm). And from there, the ecosystem services (ES) indicators of fertility, water regulation, and biodiversity were generated. For the construction of the indicator, variables with positive or negative contributions were selected according to the

correlation circle (>50% of the maximum value, to components 1 and 2). The variable's value is multiplied by the corresponding weight factor for each variable. The indicator values were calculated according to Equation 2 proposed by Velasquez et al. (2007):

$$I_{ii} = F_1 \times (\alpha I_a + \beta I_b + \gamma I_c \dots) + F_2 \times (\alpha II_a + \beta II_b + \gamma II_c \dots) \quad (2)$$

I_{ii}: the value of ecosystem services indicator *i* in experimental sampling plot 1.

F₁ and F₂: % of the variance value explained by principal components 1 and 2 estimated from PCA of the data set of indicators I_i

αI, βI, γI: respective contributions of variables a, b, and c corresponding to components 1(I) and 2 (II).

a, b, c = values of the variables measured in experimental unit 1

Values were transformed to a range between 0.1 and 1.0, where 1 represents the maximum value for the ecosystem services measured in each system (Rodríguez et al., 2013). The ADE 4 1.4.5 library (Dray and Dufour, 2007) of the R program (R Core Team, 2019) was used for the calculation.

The GHG-based climate regulation indicator was estimated from cumulative emissions of CO₂ equivalent and carbon stock by transforming the values between 0.1 and 1 (Lavelle et al., 2014). Carbon stock was calculated from the soil organic carbon (SOC) concentration multiplied by the bulk density (BD) at depth (0–10 and 10–20 cm).

Indicators associated with the production systems were compared using ANOVA ($p \leq 0.05$), and the comparison test between means was performed by applying LSMeans with $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level with the statistical program SAS (Inst. Inc.) version 9.0.

3 Results

3.1 Soil fertility indicator

Principal Component Analysis showed that Ca, Mg, Al, and pH at the 0–10 and 10–20 cm depths were variables with the highest influence on components 1 and 2 during the study period. These variables were used to calculate the fertility indicator in 2011. For 2012, 2013, and 2014, the variables Cu, Mo, S, P, and Mn were added to Ca, Mg, Al and pH, for the PCA (see Supplementary Material SB and SC). The NS value for 2011, was 0.11 and it was considered the reference point to determine the effect of soil management change. After the soil intervention for the establishment of crops, the fertility indicator reached a value of 0.39 ($p \leq 0.05$) in the *ACR-S* and *ACR-MS*, in 2011. *PBd-ACR* and *R-Do* showed the highest

TABLE 5 Soil fertility indicator valued in production systems established in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Production systems	2011	2012	2013	2014
<i>Annual crops rotation-sugarcane (ACR-S)</i>	0.39 ± 0.05 a	0.34 ± 0.04 a	0.40 ± 0.04 a	0.32 ± 0.03 a
<i>Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)</i>	0.39 ± 0.04 a	0.29 ± 0.03 a	0.38 ± 0.03 a	0.35 ± 0.03 a
<i>Sugarcane monocrop (SM)</i>	0.23 ± 0.01 b	0.26 ± 0.02 a	0.27 ± 0.02 a	0.28 ± 0.02 a
<i>Pasture Brachiaria decumbens-Annual crop rotation (PBd-ACR)</i>	0.22 ± 0.02 b	0.47 ± 0.05 a	0.55 ± 0.06 a	0.51 ± 0.05 a
<i>Rubber with Desmodium ovalifolium (R-Do)</i>	0.21 ± 0.01 b	0.44 ± 0.04 a	0.40 ± 0.03 a	0.45 ± 0.02 a

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

TABLE 6 Water provision indicator valued in the production systems established in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Production systems	2011	2012	2013	2014
<i>Annual crops rotation-sugarcane (ACR-S)</i>	0.42 ± 0.04 bc	0.30 ± 0.03 b	0.38 ± 0.03a	0.51 ± 0.03ab
<i>Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)</i>	0.32 ± 0.03 c	0.34 ± 0.03 b	0.42 ± 0.04 a	0.48 ± 0.02 b
<i>Sugarcane monocrop (SM)</i>	0.39 ± 0.03 c	0.43 ± 0.04 b	0.34 ± 0.03 a	0.67 ± 0.03 a
<i>Pasture Brachiaria decumbens-Annual crop rotation (PBd-ACR)</i>	0.55 ± 0.02 ab	0.44 ± 0.05 ab	0.56 ± 0.05 a	0.49 ± 0.03 b
<i>Rubber with Desmodium ovalifolium (R-Do)</i>	0.58 ± 0.04 a	0.58 ± 0.03 a	0.60 ± 0.04 a	0.48 ± 0.04 b

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

TABLE 7 Soil diversity indicator valued in the production systems established in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Production systems	2011	2012	2013	2014
<i>Annual crops rotation-sugarcane (ACR-S)</i>	0.28 ± 0.03 b	0.35 ± 0.03 a	0.70 ± 0.03 a	0.64 ± 0.05 ab
<i>Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)</i>	0.24 ± 0.03 b	0.36 ± 0.03 a	0.44 ± 0.04 bc	0.72 ± 0.04 a
<i>Sugarcane monocrop (SM)</i>	0.27 ± 0.04 b	0.56 ± 0.03 a	0.60 ± 0.04 ab	0.64 ± 0.04 ab
<i>Pasture Brachiaria decumbens-Annual crop rotation (PBd-ACR)</i>	0.30 ± 0.03 b	0.33 ± 0.03 a	0.33 ± 0.04 c	0.60 ± 0.05 ab
<i>Rubber with Desmodium ovalifolium (R-Do)</i>	0.35 ± 0.06 b	0.47 ± 0.03 a	0.42 ± 0.04 bc	0.52 ± 0.05 b

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

values, with indicators of 0.47 and 0.44, respectively, without statistically significant differences from the other crops established in 2012. No statistically significant differences were evidenced for the soil fertility indicator in all production systems in 2013 and 2014. However, *PBd-ACR* and *R-Do* showed higher values, indicating increases over native savanna of 70% (Table 5).

In evaluating the fertility indicator in the production systems and the effect of incorporating 3.0 and 6.0 ton ha^{-1} of lime, no statistically significant differences were found between the doses applied to the soil in 2011. For 2013 and 2014, a higher Ca availability was found in the soil with 6.0 ton ha^{-1} (2.5–3.2 cmol kg^{-1}), while the limed soil with 3.0 ton ha^{-1} presented a Ca concentration between 1.3 and 1.8 cmol kg^{-1} .

3.2 Soil water function indicator

Principal Component Analysis indicated that bulk density (BD) and pore distribution at the macropore, mesopore, and micropore levels contributed more than other variables to components 1 and 2 during the sampling period (see supplementary material D and E); BD presented the greatest weight for component 1, at depths of 0–10 cm. Water function indicators were calculated and associated with soil moisture storage capacity and porosity. The indicator value for the NS was 0.32, considering that no mechanical soil removal was performed. The values found in production systems ranged between 0.30 and 0.67, which shows how the introduction of the crops affected these Oxisols. *PBd-ACR* and *R-Do* systems showed the greatest values during the evaluation period with

TABLE 8 Stock carbon indicator valued in the production systems established in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Production systems	2011	2012	2013	2014
<i>Annual crops rotation-sugarcane (ACR-S)</i>	0.44 ± 0.03 a	0.33 ± 0.04 a	0.47 ± 0.04 a	0.39 ± 0.03 a
<i>Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)</i>	0.45 ± 0.04 a	0.29 ± 0.03 a	0.43 ± 0.03 a	0.41 ± 0.02 a
<i>Sugarcane monocrop (SM)</i>	0.46 ± 0.03 a	0.25 ± 0.01 a	0.40 ± 0.02 a	0.31 ± 0.02 a
<i>Pasture Brachiaria decumbens-Annual crop rotation (PBd-ACR)</i>	0.45 ± 0.04 a	0.42 ± 0.04 a	0.57 ± 0.05 a	0.57 ± 0.05 a
<i>Rubber with Desmodium ovalifolium (R-Do)</i>	0.45 ± 0.03 a	0.40 ± 0.02 a	0.50 ± 0.04 a	0.56 ± 0.03 a

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

TABLE 9 Stock carbon indicator valued with tillage implement in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Implementation of tillage	2011	2012	2013	2014
Harrow	0.49 ± 0.03 a	0.35 ± 0.02 a	0.48 ± 0.03 a	0.45 ± 0.03 a
Chisel	0.44 ± 0.02 ab	0.35 ± 0.02 a	0.50 ± 0.03 a	0.46 ± 0.03 a
Moldboard	0.41 ± 0.02 b	0.31 ± 0.03 b	0.45 ± 0.03 a	0.44 ± 0.03 a

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

0.56 and 0.60, respectively, except in the fourth year, when the highest value was found in *SM* (0.67) ($p \leq 0.05$) (Table 6).

Soil BD for the NS was 1.6 g cm^{-3} in 2011. After soil preparation, the values were between 1.17 and 1.40 g cm^{-3} in the first 10 cm of depth for production systems. The *R-Do* and *PBd-ACR* systems had low values of 1.35 and 1.40 g cm^{-3} respectively, at a depth of 0–10 cm. *ACR-S* and *SM* decreased BD for 2014. The water regulation indicator was higher in production systems with lower BD, showing an inverse relationship.

3.3 Soil diversity indicator

The analysis identified 16 orders of macroinvertebrates. PCA showed that abundance (measured as population density or individuals per square meter), *Blattodea*, *Hymenoptera*, and *Coleoptera* were the highest contributing variables in components 1 and 2 during the sampling period (see supplementary material F and G).

The biodiversity indicator of the native savanna taken as a reference in 2011 was 0.79. This value was statistically and significantly different from values for *ACR-S* (0.28), *ACR-MS* (0.24), *SM* (0.27), *PBd-ACR* (0.30), and *R-Do* (Table 7). For 2012 and 2013, an increase in the biodiversity indicator was observed in the *ACR-S*, *ACR-MS*, *SM* and *R-Do* systems. The difference could be related to soil and crop management practices that favored the density of edaphic macrofauna. In general, all the production systems except *PBd-ACR* showed an increase in the biodiversity indicator throughout

the evaluation period compared to the NS whose value decreased in 2014 (0.55).

In 2011, termites were the most abundant group at depths of 0–10 cm of soil, followed by beetles and ants with densities of 6,981, 1,581, and 1,300 individuals per meter squared, respectively. Earthworms were found in lower abundance with a density of 263. At 10–20 cm of soil depth, there was a 34% decrease in the abundance of termites (2,344 individuals per meter squared) and 69% for beetles (1,088 individuals per meter squared). Termite abundance reached densities of 4,213, 1,556, and 1,858 after conversion from NS to *R-Do* and *PBd-ACR*, the highest abundance of termites was obtained after the NS without intervention with densities of 4,213, 1,556, and 1,858 individuals per meter squared, respectively or 2012, 2013, and 2014. For *R-Do*, *SM* and *ACR-S* there was a higher abundance of termites, ants, and beetles than the abundance of earthworms, which were lower in all systems every year evaluated.

3.4 Carbon stock indicator

The analysis gave a 2011 reference value of 0.40 for the carbon stock indicator in NS at a depth of 0–20 cm. No statistically significant differences were observed between production systems and the carbon stock indicator during the evaluation period (Table 8). After plowing and lime fertilization, carbon stock indicators for harrow and mouldboard tillage were statistically different, with values of 0.49 and 0.41, respectively, according to the analysis of variance after the first year (Table 9). In 2012, the same pattern of the previous year was maintained,

TABLE 10 GHG indicator valued in the productive systems established in an Oxisol of the Meta High Plains.

Production systems	2012	2013	2014
Annual crops rotation-maize-soybean (ACR-MS)	0.35 a	0.38 a	0.39 a
Sugarcane monocrop (SM)	0.50 b	0.38 c	0.60 a
Pasture Brachiaria decumbens-Annual crop rotation (PBd-ACR)	0.43 b	0.38 b	0.50 a

Means with different letters within a column indicate statistically significant differences according to LSMMeans ($\alpha = 0.05$).

with differences detected only for tillage, with a decrease of almost one point with respect to the last year, with values of 0.35 for harrow tillage and 0.31 for mouldboard tillage. However, the significance slightly exceeded 5%. In 2013 and 2014, the analysis showed no statistically significant effects for the applied treatments. The indicator values for these years are similar to those obtained in 2012 (see supplementary material H).

3.5 Greenhouse gas emission indicator

For the construction of the GHG indicator, soil emissions measured for CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ were integrated to express them as CO₂ equivalent in Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In 2011, measurements were made in the last months, when the management of the systems was established, and GHG was only measured in a fraction of the year. This period was excluded from the indicator analysis. In all systems, the indicator value was lower than 0.5, except for the SM system, which in 2014 presented a value of 0.60. The ACR-MS system did not show significant differences over the years; despite having agronomic management, the values obtained are close to the savanna, whose reference value in 2012 was 0.30. The low values of the indicator were qualified as positive because they were related to lower GHG emissions (Table 10).

The analysis of nitrous oxide emissions for 2012 did not present differences for tillage implements, systems, and lime doses or their interactions; the range was defined between the SM system with 0.47 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ and the ACR-MS system with 0.94 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. In 2013, the highest N₂O emission values of the years evaluated were evidenced, ranging between 2.5 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ for the SM system to 4.6 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ for the PBd-ACR and ACR-MS systems. N₂O emissions decreased for the systems reported above. In the case of the native savanna, emissions were close to those reported for the SM system, with the lowest values during the evaluation period.

Statistically significant differences were observed with respect to carbon dioxide for the production systems factor for all the years evaluated. The PBd-ACR and ACR-MS systems presented the highest values, with averages of 4.0 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. The NS presented similar values to ACR-MS, showing the lowest emissions with 3.1 and 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively. The highest CO₂ emissions were found in 2014, when the SM

production system showed a value of 7.4 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ and PBd-ACR was 6.7 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. In the NS the emission value for the same period was 6.0 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. The SM system was the only one where methane emissions were detected, with a range for the years evaluated between 0.20 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ and 0.97 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. In the native savanna as a control, no statistically significant emissions were detected for this gas (see supplementary material I).

4 Discussion

Oxisols are generally of low fertility, with high acidity and poor content of exchangeable bases such as Ca and Mg. These conditions limit agricultural production, making it necessary to establish production systems based on tillage and fertilizers, contributing to more productive topsoil (Amezquita et al., 2004). This research showed significant changes in soil fertility when NS are converted to production systems with correctives and fertilizers, increasing the concentration of Ca, Mg, pH, and decreasing Al in the soil. The fertility indicator synthesized from the analysis of these variables was sensitive and only allowed discrimination of these changes between production systems for 2011. These changes in the fertility indicator were also observed in a study conducted by Lavelle et al. (2014), in farmers' fields in the Meta High Plains, and allowed differentiating the agronomic management applied between transient crop systems, pastures and permanent crops, such as rubber and oil palm. NS conversion to production systems, using 3.0 and 6.0 Mg ha⁻¹ of lime incorporated with tillage implements, had a positive effect on the correction of soil acidity, increasing the pH to values above 5.5 in the first 20 cm of depth. Gallo et al. (2013) reported similar results showing that Ca and Mg concentrations in a High Plains Oxisol were higher at depths 0–10 y 10–20 cm. Incorporating correctives in Oxisols is a common practice to reduce Al levels and increase the contents of exchangeable bases in the soil (Sánchez et al., 1991). According to Amezquita (1999), the tillage implements for incorporating lime at greater depths in the soil profile and the establishment of crops that provide deep roots are strategies that lead to improving the productivity and persistence of production systems in the Colombian High Plains.

Analysis of physical properties revealed differences in the change in soil use and between the systems established for 2011.

The indicator's value also increased in the following years, with the *SM* system, regardless of the application of lime and tillage, presenting the highest value. This effect can be explained because of sugarcane's characteristic as a deep-rooted crop. Sugarcane's deep roots result from long growth cycles and longevity of the root system through periodic harvests, where the ratoon resprouts and remains in the soil over time (Venu et al., 2017).

Regarding soil physical variables and the changes derived from converting NS, practices implemented in 2011 had an impact in the first 20 cm of depth, with significant changes in the hydrophysical properties. Macropore and mesopore percentage increased in the first year. Subsequently, they decreased in a differentiated manner among the *SM* systems. *ACR-MS* and *PBd-ACR* systems were established, with mechanized soil tillage necessary for the establishment of crops in 2014. Critical levels identified for mechanization decisions were percentages of macropores less than 10%, mesopores less than 8% and micropores greater than 30%, and a bulk density greater than 1.6 g cm^{-3} in the first 20 cm of depth. Baquero and Rojas (2001) and Baquero et al. (2001) showed that the Oxisols of the High Plains are highly resilient. The effects of tillage on some physical properties are temporary, requiring contributions of organic carbon for those effects to be stable for more extended periods, consolidating the porous structure achieved and decreasing the frequency of mechanization.

The biodiversity indicator showed substantial differences among production systems established in 2011, 2012 and 2013 compared to the NS. Biodiversity increased in the *SM* system from the year 2012, a result that can be associated with the soil's physical variables, where there was a greater presence of macropores and mesopores. Earthworms (*Haplotaxia*) were the organisms with the lowest abundance throughout the evaluation period. This result may be associated with the effect of soil management during the establishment and maintenance of the built production systems. The same tendency of the indicator was seen in the systems whose management was reduced, such as *ACR-S* and *SM*. The biodiversity indicator was lower during 2012 and 2013 in *ACR-MS* and *PBd-ACR*. The evaluation of soil macroinvertebrates showed that the highest abundance occurred in the first 10 cm of depth. Sixteen taxonomic orders were identified, where the highest abundance was related to the *Blattodea* (termites), *Hymenoptera* (ants), and *Coleoptera* (beetles). Similar results were obtained by Pérez et al. (2019) in an Oxisol of the Colombian High Plains under different pasture management, where the order *Blattodea* presented the greatest densities, representing 72% of the total abundance found in the soil. According to Jiménez et al. (2006), termites and earthworms are the dominant edaphic macrofauna in tropical savannas, such as the Brazilian Cerrado and the Eastern Plains of Colombia. Jouquet et al. (2016) indicated that the edaphic macrofauna represents an important biological component of

tropical soils and is related to the soil's physical properties, such as porosity and density. For example, through their activity as soil engineers, termites can contribute to the maintenance of aeration, water infiltration, and climate control through carbon conservation.

Bulk density (BD) and soil organic carbon content, as independent variables, showed differences among production systems when both were analyzed for 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth. However, the analysis of variance for the indicator associated with carbon content from 0 to 20 cm depth, calculated based on those two variables, showed a significant effect due to the tillage implements used for soil conditioning, but did not show significant differences between production systems over time. Similar results were reported by Hati et al. (2007) for these same variables, BD and SOC. In addition, these authors found that SOC has beneficial effects on soil physical properties with reduced BD and increased microorganism activity.

The results for GHGs showed that production systems emissions were related to precipitation events, but not with tillage, lime dosage, and their interaction. During the emissions evaluation period, the highest CO₂ emissions were reported in 2013, the year with the highest rainfall with an average of 2,170 mm. Sugarcane was the crop with the highest methane. The agronomic management of the *SM* that remained throughout the years of evaluation had a variation compared to the other systems. It involved the cutting of stems, regrowth of plant material, and crop residues, combined with periodic fertilization. Compared to the other systems, this differential management may have contributed to the higher methane and nitrous oxide emissions, related to the higher amount of organic carbon in the soil and the abundance of termites.

4.1 Integration of indicators

The construction and analysis of the fertility, water regulation, climate regulation (SOC and GHG), and biodiversity indicators, allows comparison of production systems and guidance for land-use change strategies. Benefits should be identified for at least one ecosystem services as compared to the native savanna, even though the provision of other services may decrease over time. Thus, for soil fertility, conversion of NS increased the indicator for two production systems, *PBd-ACR* and *R-Do*. For the other three systems, the impact was lower. These results are similar to those obtained by Lavelle et al., (2014) where they indicated that annual crops have a positive impact on these Oxisol's fertility indicator.

Nutrient supply is improved by incorporating fertilizers, but those negatively impact macroinvertebrate communities affecting the biodiversity indicator. In contrast with the

biodiversity indicator, the water regulation and soil carbon indicators showed improved physical conditions of soil in the evaluated systems. Sustainable soil use requires the analysis of *tradeoffs* that allow for a balance between supply and demand of ES, thus favoring ecosystem functions and their long-term maintenance.

5 Conclusion

The evaluated indicators made it possible to identify the positive and negative effects of converting native savanna to agricultural production systems. The *PBd-ACR* systems raised soil fertility. Converting NS negatively affects climate control indicators, especially GHG emissions; for the carbon stock, the impact is not so clear. The carbon stock indicator did not help to differentiate impacts of converting Oxisol savannas to the proposed systems. Decreases in topsoil bulk density due to conversion and soil conditioning may have complicated the evaluation of carbon.

The strategy of incorporating corrective measures and deep tillage positively affected some ES indicators provided by Oxisols of the Colombian Eastern High Plains for the first 3 years. However, from the fourth year of soil use change, fertility and water functions decreased, showing the need to carry out conditioning again to maintain soil characteristics required for productive sustainability.

This research showed that the use of deep tillage to incorporate long-term amendments for production systems is an alternative for the management of Oxisols. Deep tillage can reduce the frequency of mechanical alteration needed for the system, which is traditionally done at each planting or crop renewal period.

Periodic monitoring of ES indicators made it possible to identify how land-use change and tillage management practices and the application of correctives positively or negatively affect some soil properties. The selection of variables with the greatest contribution to the construction of ES indicators allows for reducing the number of measurements required to make management decisions at the local level to maintain and conserve the balance of production systems.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are readily available. The data generated in this research may be shared once Agrosavia and the intellectual property department authorize it. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to propiedadintellectual@agrosavia.co.

Author contributions

JEB and JHBR developed and managed the research project. JEB, JHBR, OGG, and JOA participated in the planning of field trials and the consolidation of experimental data. MA performed a statistical analysis of the data. LMMC and NSRH prepared the manuscript with important contributions from all authors.

Funding

This research was financed by the Colombian Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MADR) through a special technical and scientific cooperation agreement 1726 between the Colombian Research Corporation -Agrosavia and the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Colombian Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development for financing this research; the researchers and operators of the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) who participated in the execution of activities and field sampling, as well as the support professionals and operators of the Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation Agrosavia for their follow-up and support in data collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.687804/full#supplementary-material>

References

- Alexander, P., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Dislich, C., Dodson, J. R., Engstrom, K., and Moran, D. (2015). Drivers for global agricultural land use change: The nexus of diet, population, yield and bioenergy. *Glob. Environ. Change* 35, 138–147. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.08.011
- Amézquita, E. (1999). Physical properties of the Llanos Orientales soils and their requirements of tillage. *Palmas* 20 (1), 73–86. Available at: <https://publicaciones.fedepalma.org/index.php/palmas/article/view/692>.
- Amézquita, E., Rao, I. M., Rivera, M., Corrales, I., and Bernal, J. H. (2013). Sistemas agropastoriles: Un enfoque integrado para el manejo sostenible de Oxisoles de los Llanos Orientales de Colombia. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT)-Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural (MADR) de Colombia; Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Corpoica). 288 p. (Documento de Trabajo CIAT No. 223). ISBN 978-958-694-117-4. Available at: http://ciatlibrary.ciat.cgiar.org/Articulos_Ciat/biblioteca/Sistemas_Agropastoriles.pdf#page=247.
- Amézquita, E., Thomas, R. J., Rao, I. M., Molina, D. L., and Hoyos, P. (2004). Use of deep-rooted tropical pastures to build-up an arable layer through improved soil properties of an Oxisol in the Eastern Plains (Llanos Orientales) of Colombia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 103, 269–277. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2003.12.017
- Anderson, J. M., and Ingram, J. S. I. (1993). Tropical soil Biology and fertility programme. A handbook of methods. Available at: http://courses.washington.edu/esrm304a/lectures/Soils/Tropical%20Soil%20Biology%20and%20Fertility%20Methods_Web%20Soils%20Reading.pdf.
- Baquero, J. E., and Rojas, L. A. (2001). "Interaction effects of organic materials and lime on grain yield and nutrient acquisition of three maize varieties grown in an Oxisol of the Colombia Eastern Plains," in *Plant Nutrition – food security and sustainability of agroecosystems*. Editors W. J. Horst and M. K. Schenk (Printed in Netherlands: Published by Kluwer Academic Publishers). ISBN 0-7923-7105-4: 982-983.
- Baquero, J. E., Aristizábal, Q. D., Rendón, W., and Salamanca, C. R. (2001). Manejo conservacionista de los suelos arroceros de la Orinoquia Colombiana. Boletín técnico N° 32. Corpoica- Pronatta. Edit. JARAMILLO, C. 60 p. ISBN 958-33-5894-0. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/11348/6714>.
- Bernal, J. H., Peña, A. J., Díaz, N. C., and Obando, D. (2013). Condiciones Climáticas de la Altillanura Plana Colombiana en el Contexto de Cambio Climático. En: *Sistemas Agropastoriles: Un Enfoque Integrado para el Manejo Sostenible de Oxisoles de los Llanos Orientales de Colombia*. ISBN 978-958-694-117-4. Available at: http://ciat-library.ciat.cgiar.org/articulos_ciat/biblioteca/Sistemas_Agropastoriles.pdf#page=28.
- Costanza, R. (2016). "Ecosystem services in theory and practice," in *Handbook of ecosystem services*. Editors M. Potschin, R. Haines-Young, R. U. Fish, and R. K. Turner (London and New York: Routledge). eBook ISBN 9781315775302.
- de Espindola, G., de Silva Figueiredo, E., Picanço, P., and dos Reis, A. (2021). Cropland expansion as a driver of land-use change: The case of cerrado-caatinga transition zone in Brazil. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* 23, 17146–17160. doi:10.1007/s10668-021-01387-z
- de Vries, F., Thébault, E., Liiri, M., Birkhofer, K., Tsiafouli, M., Björnlund, L., et al. (2013). Soil food web properties explain ecosystem services across European land use systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110 (35), 14296–14301. doi:10.1073/pnas.1305198110
- Deng, X., Li, Z., Huang, J., Shi, Q., and Li, Y. (2013). A revisit to the impacts of land use changes on the human wellbeing via altering the ecosystem provisioning services. *Adv. Meteorology* 8, 907367. doi:10.1155/2013/907367
- Soil Science Division Staff (2017). "Soil survey manual," in *USDA handbook 18*. Editors C. Ditzler, K. Scheffe, and H. C. Monger (Washington, D.C: Government Printing Office).
- Dray, S., and Dufour, A.-B. (2007). The ade4 package: Implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. *J. Stat. Softw.* 22 (4), 1–20. doi:10.18637/jss.v022.i04
- Duru, M., Therond, O., Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Magne, M. A., Justes, E., et al. (2015). How to implement biodiversity-based agriculture to enhance ecosystem services: A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 35, 1259–1281. doi:10.1007/s13593-015-0306-1
- Elaoud, A., Hassen, H. B., Salah, N. B., Masmoudi, A., and Chehaibi, S. (2017). Modeling of soil penetration resistance using multiple linear regression (MLR). *Arab. J. Geosci.* 10, 442. doi:10.1007/s12517-017-3235-2
- Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., et al. (2005). Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309 (5734), 570–574. doi:10.1126/science.1111772
- Forsythe, W. (1985). *Física de Suelos. Manual de laboratorio*. la Ed. San José, Ipis, Costa Rica: IICA, San José de Costa Rica, 211p.
- Fu, B., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, B., Yin, S., Zhu, H., et al. (2016). Evaluation of ecosystem service value of riparian zone using land use data from 1986 to 2012. *Ecol. Indic.* 69, 873–881. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.05.048
- Fu, Q., Li, B., Hou, Y., Bi, X., and Zhang, X. (2017). Effects of land use and climate change on ecosystem services in central asia's arid regions: A case study in alтай prefecture, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 607–608, 633–646. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.241
- Gallo, O., Bernal, J., Baquero, J. E., Botero, R., and Gómez, J. (2013). Efecto de tres métodos de incorporación de cal dolomítica en la altillanura plana colombiana. *Suelos Ecuat.* 43 (1), 24–28. ISSN 0562-5351. Available at: http://www.unicauca.edu.co/revistas/index.php/suelos_ecuatoriales/article/view/52.
- Hasan, S. S., Zhen, L., Miah, G., Ahamed, T., and Samie, A. (2020). Impact of land use change on ecosystem services: A review. *Environ. Dev.* 34, 100527. doi:10.1016/j.envdev.2020.100527
- Hati, K. M., Swarup, A., Dwivedi, A. K., Misra, A. K., and Bandyopadhyay, K. K. (2007). Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization, and manuring. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 119, 127–134. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.06.017
- Huertas, H., Rangel, J., and Parra, A. (2018). Caracterización de la fertilidad química de los suelos en sistemas productivos de la altillanura plana, Meta, Colombia. *luaz*. 46, 54–69. doi:10.17151/luaz.2018.46.5
- Hutchinson, G. L., and Mosier, A. R. (1981). Improved soil cover method for field measurement of nitrous oxide fluxes. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 45, 311–316. doi:10.2136/sssaj1981.03615995004500020017x
- IGAC - Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi (2002). *Atlas de Colombia*. 5.a ed. Bogotá, Colombia: IGAC.
- IGAC - Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi (2006). *Métodos analíticos del laboratorio de suelos 6 edición*. Bogotá, Colombia: IGAC.
- Jiménez, J., Decaëns, T., and Lavelle, P. (2006). Nutrient spatial variability in biogenic structures of Nasutitermes (Termitinae; Isoptera) in a gallery forest of the Colombian "Llanos. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38 (5), 1132–1138. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.09.026
- Jouquet, P., Bottinelli, N., Shanbhag, R. R., Bourguignon, T., Traoré, S., and Shahid Abbas Abbasi, S. A. (2016). Termites: The neglected soil engineers of tropical soils. *Soil Sci.* 181, 157–165. doi:10.1097/SS.0000000000000119
- Kertész, Á., Nagy, L. A., and Balázs, B. (2019). Effect of land use change on ecosystem services in Lake Balaton Catchment. *Land Use Policy* 80, 430–438. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.005
- Kertész, Á. (2017). "The effect of land degradation on ecosystem services," in *Ecosystem services of headwater catchments*. Editors J. Křeček, M. Haigh, T. Hofer, E. Kubin, and C. Promper (New Delhi: Springer India), 209–216. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-57946-7_18
- Klink, C., and Machado, R. (2005). Conservation of the Brazilian Cerrado. *Conserv. Biol.* 19 (3), 707–713. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00702.x
- Lavelle, P., Rodríguez, N., Arguello, O., Bernal, J., Botero, C., Chaparro, P., et al. (2014). Soil ecosystem services and land use in the rapidly changing Orinoco River Basin of Colombia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 185, 106–117. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.12.020
- Lawler, J. J., Lewis, D. J., Nelson, E., Plantinga, A. J., Polasky, S., Withey, J. C., et al. (2014). Projected land-use change impacts on ecosystem services in the United States. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111 (20), 7492–7497. doi:10.1073/pnas.1405557111
- Lorz, C., Neumann, C., Bakker, F., Pietzsch, K., Weiss, H., and Makeschin, F. (2012). A web-based planning support tool for sediment management in a meso-scale river basin in Western Central Brazil. *J. Environ. Manage.* 127, S15–S23. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.11.005
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Washington, DC: Island Press. Available at: www.millenniumassessment.org.
- Parkin, T. B., and Venterea, A. (2010). Chapter 3. Chamber-base trace gas flux measurements. *Follett RF Sampl. Protoc.* 3, 1–39.
- Pedersen, A. R., Petersen, S., and Scheldeb, K. (2010). A comprehensive approach to soil-atmosphere trace-gas flux estimation with static chambers. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 61, 888–902. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01291.x
- Pereira, P., Bogunovic, I., Muñoz-Rojas, M., and Brevik, E. (2018). Soil ecosystem services, sustainability, valuation, and management. Current Opinion in. *Environ. Sci. Health* 5, 7–13. doi:10.1016/j.coesh.2017.12.003
- Pérez-López, O., Bueno, G. A., Baquero, J. E., Onofre, H. G., Cassalet-Bustillo, E., Molina, A. M., et al. (2019). *Modelo productivo tecnologías integrales para sistemas de*

- producción de bovinos de cría en la altillanura colombiana. Mosquera, Colombia: Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (AGROSAVIA). ISBN E-book: 978-958-740-268-1.
- R Core Team (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Core Team. Available at: <http://www.r-project.org/>.
- Rivas, L., Hoyos, P., Amézquita, E., and Molina, D. L. (2004). "Manejo y uso de los suelos de la Altillanura Colombiana," in *En Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT) (Eds.), Manejo y uso de los suelos de la Altillanura Colombiana. Análisis económico de una estrategia para su conservación y mejoramiento. Construcción de la capa arable* (Cali, Colombia: CIAT), 6–12. Available at: http://ciat-library.ciat.cgiar.org/Articulos_Ciat/degradacion_capa_arable.pdf.
- Rivera, M., Amézquita, E., Rao, I. M., Corrales, I. I., and Chávez, L. F. (2013). "Establecimiento de sistemas agropastoriles y su efecto en el rendimiento de cultivos en suelos ácidos de sabanas," in *Sistemas agropastoriles: Un enfoque integrado para el manejo sostenible de Oxisoles de los llanos orientales de Colombia*. Editors E. E. Amézquita, I. M. Rao, M. Rivera, I. Corrales, and J. H. Bernal (Cali, Colombia: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT); Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural (MADR) de Colombia; Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Corpoica)), 119–146. Available at: <https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/54575>.
- Rodríguez, A., Lavelle, P., Pulido, S. X., Gutierrez, A. J., Bernal, J., Arguello, O., et al. (2013). Construcción de indicadores de ecoeficiencia para la altillanura plana en los municipios de Puerto López y Puerto Gaitán, Departamento del Meta. ISBN 978-958-740-153-0. Available at: https://repository.agrosavia.co/bitstream/handle/20.500.12324/1868/60400_62674.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
- Rodríguez, B., Gonzalo, B. C., and Roger, A. (2019). *Adopción e impacto de los sistemas agropecuarios introducidos en la altillanura plana del Meta*. Cundinamarca, Colombia: Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Agrosavia). ISBN E-book: 978-958-740-296-4. doi:10.21930/agrosavia.analisis.7402964
- Romero-Ruiz, M. H., Flantua, S. G. A., Tansey, K., and Berrio, J. C. (2012). Landscape transformations in savannas of northern South America: Land use/cover changes since 1987 in the Llanos Orientales of Colombia. *Appl. Geogr.* 32, 766–776. doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.08.010
- Sanchez, L. F., Baquero, J. E., and Munevar, F. (1991). Limitantes nutricionales para el establecimiento del cultivo de soya en Oxisoles de los Llanos Orientales. *Suelos Ecuat.* 21 (1), 5–16.
- Sano, E., Rosa, R., Brito, J., and Ferreira, L. (2010). Land cover mapping of the tropical savanna region in Brazil. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 166, 113–124. doi:10.1007/s10661-009-0988-4
- SCCS - Sociedad Colombiana de la Ciencia del Suelo (2013). *Ciencia del suelo: Principios básicos. - 2 edición burbano hernán y silva francisco*. Dhaka, Bangladesh: SCCS.
- Soil Survey Staff (2014). *Keys to soil taxonomy*. 12th ed. Washington, DC, USA: Soil Survey Staff. Available at: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/class/taxonomy/?cid=nracs142p2_053580.
- Soil Survey Staff (1999). *Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys*. 2nd ed. Washington, DC, USA: Soil Survey Staff. Available at: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051232.pdf.
- Thomas, R. J., and Ayarza, M. A. (1999). *Sustainable land management for the oxisols of the Latin American savannas: Dynamics of soil organic matter and indicators of soil quality*. Cali, Colombia: Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), 231. ISBN 958-694-011-X. Available at: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/54288/Sustainable_land_management.pdf?sequence=1.
- Tolessa, T., Senbeta, F., and Kidane, M. (2017). The impact of land use/land cover change on ecosystem services in the central highlands of Ethiopia. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 23, 47–54. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.11.010
- Velasquez, E., Lavelle, P., and Andrade, M. (2007). GISQ, a multifunctional indicator of soil quality. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39 (12), 3066–3080. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.06.013
- Venu, M. T., Madhu Bindu, G. S., Vijay Kumar, M., and Syamraj Naik, C. (2017). Study on root characteristics of sugarcane (*saccharum officinarum*) genotypes for moisture stress. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* 18 (5), 1–4. doi:10.9734/ijpss/2017/34838
- Walkley, A., and Black, I. A. (1934). An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* 37 (1), 29–38. doi:10.1097/00010694-193401000-00003
- Yoder, R. E. (1936). A direct method of aggregate analysis of soils and study of the physical nature of erosion losses. *J. Am. Soc. Agron.* 28, 337–351. doi:10.2134/agronj1936.00021962002800050001x
- Yu, R., Deng, X., Yan, Z., and Shi, C. (2013). Dynamic evaluation of land productivity in China. *Chin. J. Popul. Resour. Environ.* 11 (3), 253–260. doi:10.1080/10042857.2013.835547