



The Alkali-Tolerant Bacterium of *Bacillus thuringiensis* EM-A1 Can Effectively Perform Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification

Tingjiang Wang, Mengping Chen, Xiwen Liang, Fali Chen, Tengxia He* and Zhu Li

Key Laboratory of Plant Resource Conservation and Germplasm Innovation in Mountainous Region (Ministry of Education), Collaborative Innovation Center for Mountain Ecology Agro-Bioengineering (CICMEAB), College of Life Sciences/Institute of Agro-Bioengineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Likun Wang, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Lei Yang, Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, China Ang Li, Harbin Institute of Technology, China

> *Correspondence: Tenaxia He

> > txhe@gzu.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Water and Wastewater Management, a section of the journal Frontiers in Environmental Science

> Received: 19 November 2021 Accepted: 21 December 2021 Published: 19 January 2022

Citation:

Wang T, Chen M, Liang X, Chen F, He T and Li Z (2022) The Alkali-Tolerant Bacterium of Bacillus thuringiensis EM-A1 Can Effectively Perform Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification. Front. Environ. Sci. 9:818316. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2021.818316 Removal of nitrogen from hydroxylamine could effectively improve the wastewater treatment efficiency. In this work, *Bacillus thuringiensis* EM-A1 was obtained from a biogas digester with hydroxylamine as the only nitrogen source. Hydroxylamine (100%) and total nitrogen (71.86%) were efficiently removed under the following conditions: 30°C, sucrose as carbon source, carbon to nitrogen ratio 40, rotation speed 150 rpm, pH 9.58, and inoculant concentration of 0.58×10^8 colony-forming units. Ammonium was completely consumed by strain EM-A1, and 8.32 ± 0.08 mg/L of nitrate was produced during the ammonium removal process. During aerobic denitrification, the removal efficiencies of NO₂⁻-N and NO₃⁻-N by strain EM-A1 were 100 and 76.67%, respectively. There were about 29.34 ± 0.18%, 26.71 ± 0.36%, and 23.72 ± 0.88% initial total nitrogen lost as nitrogenous gas when NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and NO₂⁻ were separately used as the sole nitrogen source. The specific activities of ammonia monooxygenase, hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, nitrate reductase, and nitrite oxidoreductase were successfully detected as 0.37, 0.88, 0.45, and 0.70 U/mg protein, respectively. These results indicated that *B. thuringiensis* EM-A1 is a promising candidate for bioremediation of inorganic nitrogen from wastewater.

Keywords: hydroxylamine removal, heterotrophic nitrification, aerobic denitrification, hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, nitrogen balance

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, human activities have resulted in surface water and groundwater pollution by nitrogen (N) compounds in many areas of China. The death of aquatic animals caused by the eutrophication of water bodies is a typical example of the destruction of the nitrogen cycle through human activities (Heil et al., 2016; Soler-Jofra et al., 2021). The increasing emission of N compounds into the environment has become a serious threat to human health. Accumulation of large amounts of inorganic N could affect the reproduction and metabolic activities of humans and animals (Jiang, 2000). High N pollution has become an urgent problem for humans, animals, and nature (Jiang, 2000; Zhang et al., 2008; Wu, 2018). The efficient and effective removal of inorganic N from wastewater has become an important research field. Recently, N removal by classical biological nitrification–denitrification has been widely used as one of the most effective and economical processes for wastewater treatment.

1

Forty percent of surface water does not meet international drinking water standards, and the ammonium nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N) is the main N-containing pollutant (Feng et al., 2012). Additionally, the nitrite (NO₂⁻-N) could be generated from NH₄⁺-N oxidation process. When NO₂⁻-N is accumulated in the body, it may affect the delivery of oxygen through the blood and cause death by hypoxia (Zhang et al., 2013). Compared with NH₄⁺-N and NO₂⁻-N, the nitrate (NO₃⁻-N), as another pollutant in wastewater, is more stable and difficult to biodegrade at normal temperature (Su et al., 2016). Therefore, the isolation of bacteria that can efficiently remove NH₄⁺-N, NO₂⁻N, and NO₃⁻-N is very important to purify the N pollution wastewater.

Previous studies showed that hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) is an inevitable intermediate in the process of heterotrophic nitrification (Chen and Ni, 2011; Chen and Ni, 2012; Huang et al., 2013). Excessive NH₂OH accumulation would lower the total nitrogen (TN) removal efficiency and might also result in bacterial death in wastewater (Ouyang et al., 2020; Xing et al., 2020). For instance, 0.2, 1.0, and 5.0 mg/L of NH₂OH strongly inhibited the activities of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria, Nitrobacter, and Nitrobacter agilis, respectively (Soler-Jofra et al., 2021). Unfortunately, the NH2OH could accumulate to 4.3 mg/L from ammonium oxidation in partial nitritation granular airlift, which could result in an increase of ammonium accumulation in a continuous partial nitrification airlift reactor (Poot et al., 2016; Soler-Jofra et al., 2021). Therefore, exploiting the bacteria that can effectively remove NH₂OH is important to improve the wastewater treatment efficiency. However, the studies on nitrifying or denitrifying bacteria that can effectively remove NH2OH are rarely reported (Zhao et al., 2010b; Chen et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2019; Wang and He, 2020), because it is difficult to provide electrons to denitrifying bacteria through NH₂OH nitrification (Jetten et al., 1997). In addition, the majority of heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification bacteria are unsuitable to purify the alkaline wastewaters, such as papermaking, chemical, textile, food, petroleum, chloro-alkali, and beverage industries (Jain et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2020).

In this study, the strain of EM-A1 was isolated with the sole NH₂OH source, which was identified as Bacillus thuringiensis. The inorganic nitrogen removal efficiencies and intermediate products accumulation were conducted by a series of shake flask tests. The cell growth and NH2OH removal characteristics with the critical influence factors, such as inoculum size, carbon (C) source, C to N ratio (C/N), pH, rotation speeds, and temperature, were carefully investigated. The pathways of heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification were analyzed by nitrogen balance. Furthermore, the specific activities of ammonia hydroxylamine monooxygenase, oxidoreductase, nitrate reductase, and nitrite oxidoreductase involved in the removal of NH4⁺-N, NH2OH, NO3⁻-N, and NO2⁻-N were detected. The results observed from the above experiments may explain why strain EM-A1 could be used as an alternative microbial resource for the removal of multiple inorganic nitrogen forms, especially for NH₂OH, from the wastewater treatment system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The biogas residue sample was collected from biogas digester, Guiyang country, Guizhou province, China.

Media

The enrichment medium (g/L) consisted of 0.124 NH₂OH.HCl, 3.6763 sodium citrate, 0.04 MgSO₄, 1.5 KH₂PO₄, 0.009 Fe₂(SO₄)₃, 0.014 CaCl₂, and 3.5 K₂HPO₄. The pH was adjusted to 7.2.

The screening medium for NH₂OH (g/L) consisted of 0.0992 NH₂OH.HCl, 3.6763 sodium citrate, 3.5 K₂HPO₄, 1.5 KH₂PO₄, 0.04 MgSO₄, 0.009 Fe₂(SO₄)₃, and 0.014 CaCl₂. The pH was adjusted to 7.2.

The bromothymol blue (BTB) solid medium (pH 7.0) contained (g/L): 8.5 sodium succinate, 1 NaNO₂, 0.2 CaCl₂·7H₂O, 1 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1 KH₂PO₄, 0.5 FeCl₂·6H₂O, BTB reagent 1 ml [1.5% in ethanol], and 20 agar (He et al., 2018).

LB medium (pH 7.2) was prepared according to standard procedures (Chen et al., 2011).

The basic medium (BM) (g/L) used to evaluate heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification consisted of 3.6763 sodium citrate, 1.5 KH₂PO₄, 0.144 KNO₃, 0.0944 (NH₄)₂SO₄ or 0.0986 NaNO₂, 0.04 MgSO₄, 0.009 Fe₂(SO₄)₃, and 0.014 CaCl₂. The pH was adjusted to 7.2. All media were autoclaved at 121°C for 30 min.

Bacterial Isolation and Identification

The sample from biogas digester (1 ml) was inoculated into enrichment medium and cultured for 4 days (20°C, 150 rpm), and the operation was repeated three times. The enriched culture (25, 35, and 45 μ l) was spread on BTB agar plates with a glass rod. After inoculation (20°C, 48 h), bacteria with blue circular colonies were selected and cultured on fresh BTB plates. After 3–5 rounds of purification, the purified nitrifying bacteria were inoculated on LB solid medium (20°C, 48 h) and stored at 4°C for later experiments. All operations were performed under aseptic conditions.

The isolated single colonies were inoculated on BTB medium and the colony morphology was observed on the LB medium. The scanning electron microscopy (SU8100; Hitachi, Japan) is used for strain morphology, and gram staining was examined under optical microscopy (Olympus BX53-DIC; Olympus, Japan). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using universal primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGACCTGGCTAG-3') and 1492R (5'-GGTTACCTTTTTGTTACGACTT-3') (Guo et al., 2013). The 16S rRNA gene sequencing was performed by General Biol (Anhui, China). Obtained alignment was performed by the database of BLAST. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA 6.0.

Nitrification and Denitrification Ability of Strain EM-A1

To evaluate the nitrification and denitrification capacity of strain EM-A1, three N compounds (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^-) were used

as sole N source in BM instead of NH₂OH. For each N compound, five species of sodium citrate were selected as the C sources. The OD₆₀₀ of the inoculant was adjusted to 0.25 \times 10⁸ CFU in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, and then incubated aerobically at 20°C, 150 rpm for 48 h.

Evaluation of NH₂OH and Total N Removal Capacity

The effects of different culture conditions (inoculant concentration, dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, C/N ratio, C source, and pH) on NH₂OH and TN removal by strain EM-A1 were evaluated. The inoculant concentration was evaluated by the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). Controls were prepared containing screening medium without bacteria inoculation. After 91 h inoculation, cultures were taken to measure the cell density and various inorganic N contents (including NH₄⁺-N, NO2-N, NO3-N, NH2OH, and TN). Single-factor-effect tests on the N removal capacity of strain EM-A1 were tested as follows: temperature (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30°C), pH (6.52, 6.92, 7.45, 7.98, 8.56, and 9.58), rotation speed (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 rpm), C source (sodium citrate, sodium potassium tartrate, sodium carbonate, sucrose, and glucose), C/N ratio (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 45), and inoculum concentration (0.35×10^8) , 0.42×10^8 , 0.5×10^8 , 0.58×10^8 , and 0.68×10^8 colony-forming units (CFUs). Strain EM-A1 was inoculated at 20°C with rotation speed (150 rpm) for 91 h. All experiments were carried out at 20°C except for the temperature treatments. The removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN were calculated to determine the optimal conditions for nitrification by strain EM-A1.

The formula of nitrogen removal efficiency was $(L1-L2)/L1 \times 100\%$, where L1 and L2 represent the initial and final concentrations of NH₂OH (or TN) in the medium at 91 h, respectively.

Nitrogen Balance Analysis

To explore the nitrogen balance during inorganic nitrogen removal process, the strain EM-A1 was harvested after cultivation and centrifuged at $8,000 \times g$ for 5 min. To ensure the inclusion of intracellular total nitrogen in the final total nitrogen, the Scientz-IID ultrasonic cell disruption system was used to break bacterial cells. The calculations of lost N as nitrogen gas were determined as described previously (Chen et al., 2021). And all the nitrogen balances of inorganic nitrogen were performed under the condition of C/N ratio of 15.

Enzyme Activities

After cultivation, the cells of strain EM-A1 were harvested with sole NH_4^+ -N, NH_2OH , NO_2^- -N, or NO_3^- -N and centrifuged at 6,000 × *g* for 5 min. The total protein was extracted using a protein extraction kit (CWBIO), and the protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Beijing, China). The ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) was detected by Welai Company (Guiyang, China). The HAO activity trial included 20 ml reaction solution contained potassium ferricyanide, Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), NH₂OH, and enzyme extract. The disappearance of hydroxylamine from the reaction mixture was

used to demonstrate the HAO (Chen et al., 2021). For evaluation of the activity of nitrate reductase (NR), the reaction mixture (20 ml) contained Tris-HCl buffer (pH = 7.4), 0.02 mmol/l NADH, enzyme extract and nitrate. The disappearance of nitrate from the reaction mixture was used to estimate the activity of NR. The nitrite oxidoreductase (NIR) activity was measured by nitrite reductase kit (COMIN).

The specific activity (U/mg) was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the transformation of $1 \mu mol$ of the substrate per minute by the amount of protein in mg. The nonenzyme extract addition treatment was used as the blank controls. All assays were displayed in triplicate.

Analytical Methods

Bacterial growth was determined by OD_{600} . The concentrations of TN, NO_3^- -N, NO_2^- N, and NH_4^+ -N were measured by alkaline potassium persulfate digestion-ultraviolet spectrophotometry, ultraviolet spectrophotometry, N-(1-naphthalene)-diaminoethane photometry, and indophenol blue method, respectively (Guo et al., 2013). NH₂OH was analyzed by indirect spectrophotometry (Frear and Burrell, 1955). The pH meter (DDS-307A, China) was used to detect pH. All experiments were tested in triplicate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of Strain EM-A1

Strain EM-A1 showed white circular, opaque, and smooth with dry surfaces on the LB plates (**Figure 1A**), gram positive (**Figure 1B**), and had rod-shaped cells (**Figure 1C**). BLAST alignment analysis exhibited that strain EM-A1 had the highest similarity (99%) with the *B. thuringiensis*. A phylogenetic tree was constructed and revealed that strain EM-A1 belongs to *B. thuringiensis* based on its phylogenetic relationship (**Figure 2**). Based on morphological analysis, strain EM-A1 was provisionally assigned to *B. thuringiensis* (GenBank accession MW551565.1).

Analysis of N Removal Characteristics of Strain EM-A1

To determine whether the bacteria have the ability of nitrification, NH4⁺-N (20 mg/L) was selected as the N source in the BM. After 48 h incubation, NH_4^+ -N was completely removed from the BM, with corresponding TN removal of 56.31% (Figure 3). These results showed that strain EM-A1 could effectively perform heterotrophic nitrification to remove NH4+-N. Meanwhile, no NO₂⁻-N generation was observed during the NH₄⁺-N oxidation process, while high NO₃⁻-N concentration (8.32 \pm 0.08 mg/L) was accumulated. The transformation of NH₄⁺-N to NO₃⁻-N indicated that the strain EM-A1 was capable of heterotrophic nitrification. The NO₃⁻-N accumulation from NH₄⁺-N oxidation was inconsistent with some studies, in which NH4+-N was first converted into NO₂⁻-N and then into NO₃⁻-N by heterotrophic nitrifying bacteria such as Pseudomonas sp. HXF1 (Hu et al., 2021) and Pseudomonas guganensis strain 4-n-1 (Motamedi and Jafari, 2020). In addition, the low TN removal efficiency by strain



FIGURE 1 | The morphologies of the strain EM-A1. Colony on LB plates (A), gram staining reaction by optical microscope (B), and cells under the scanning electron microscope (C).



EM-A1 was possibly because of NO₃⁻-N accumulation (Liu et al., 2015).

Subsequently, the denitrification capacity of strain EM-A1 was measured by using NO₂⁻-N or NO₃⁻-N as the sole N source. When NO₂⁻-N was selected as the only N source in BM, the NO₂⁻-N was completely removed within 48 h, and no NH₄⁺-N was observed, but NO₃⁻-N (2.65 \pm 0.52 mg/L) was observed during the process of NO₂⁻-N transformation. These results were inconsistent with several reported strains, such as *Pseudomonas mendocina*

IHB602 (Hong et al., 2020), *Pseudomonas taiwanensis* J488 (He et al., 2020), *Streptomyces mediolani* EM-B2 (He et al., 2021), and *Acinetobacter* sp. ND7 (Xia et al., 2020), in which no accumulation of NO₃⁻-N was observed during the NO₂⁻-N reduction process. When NO₃⁻-N was selected as only N source, the NO₃⁻-N removal efficiency was 76.67% after 48 h cultivation, and the residual concentration of NO₃⁻-N was 5.74 \pm 0.73 mg/L. Meanwhile, NO₂⁻-N accumulation was hardly detected during NO₃⁻-N removal, which contradicted the theory that



FIGURE 3 Nitrogen removal efficiency of strain EM-AI under aerobic conditions using ammonium and nitrite as sole nitrogen source. Values are presented as the means ± SD of the results of three replicates (error bars). Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at $\rho < 0.05$.

Effects of Different Factors on NH₂OH and TN Removal Capacity

Effect of Inoculum Concentration on $\rm NH_2OH$ and TN Removal

The inoculum concentration is an important factor in biological N removal. Low inoculum concentrations will fail to achieve high N removal, while excessive inoculum concentrations might lead to bacterial death because of competition for nutrients (Ye et al., 2016). The NH₂OH and TN removal efficiencies increased with increasing inoculum concentration (Figure 4A). When the inoculum concentration increased from 0.35×10^8 to $0.42 \times$ 10⁸ CFU, the removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN increased from 71.14 and 6.67% to 84.19 and 11.76%, respectively. When the inoculum concentration increased to 0.58×10^8 CFU, the NH₂OH and TN concentration decreased to 2.6 ± 0.68 mg/L and 18.02 ± 0.53 mg/L. The removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN showed an increasing trend and reached the maximum values of 87.93 and 28.04%, respectively. Meanwhile, the accumulation of nitrite was only 0.92 ± 0.04 mg/L, which was different from the heterotrophic nitrifying bacteria Pseudomonas putida Y-9, which transformed the whole NH₂OH to NO₂⁻ (Huang et al., 2019). A



 NO_2^{-} -N accumulation is inevitable during biological treatment of wastewater (Zou et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2018; Lang et al., 2020). Overall, these results showed that strain EM-A1 is a heterotrophic nitrifying-aerobic denitrifying bacterium that can efficiently remove low concentrations of NH_4^+ -N, NO_2^- -N, and NO_3^- -N within 48 h. different relationship was observed between inoculum concentration and bacterial denitrification for high inoculum concentration. When the inoculum concentration was increased from 0.58×10^8 CFU to 0.68×10^8 CFU, the TN removal efficiency decreased significantly to 14% (p < 0.05). Therefore, to maintain the effective removal of NH₂OH and



TN, the inoculum concentration of strain EM-A1 needs to be maintained at 0.58 \times $10^8\,{\rm CFU}.$

Effect of C Source on NH₂OH and TN Removal

A C source is necessary for cell growth and metabolism of microorganisms, and different C sources directly affect the N removal ability of heterotrophic nitrifying bacteria (Ren et al., 2014). In this experiment, the effects of five C sources on the removal of NH₂OH and TN by strain EM-A1 were studied. The bacterium could use a wide range of C sources, but only sodium carbonate and sucrose significantly promoted NH₂OH removal (Figure 4B). When in a medium with sodium carbonate as the sole carbon source, whether inoculated or not, the removal efficiencies of hydroxylamine and total nitrogen were 100%, so we can infer that the removal of nitrogen is not related with bacteria. In addition to sodium carbonate, the NH₂OH (83.1%) and TN (55.32%) removal efficiencies were highest when sucrose was used as the C source. After inoculation of 91 h, the levels of NH₂OH and TN kept about 4.55 ± 0.88 mg/L and 12.36 ± 1.68 mg/L, respectively. There was little accumulation of NH_4^+ -N (5.72 ± 0.22 mg/L), NO_2^- -N (0.05 ± 0.0.1 mg/L), and NO_3^{-} -N (1.64 ± 0.02 mg/L) observed during NH₂OH oxidation with growth on sucrose. Therefore, sucrose was selected as the optimal C source for EM-A1. This result was consistent with that of Bacillus licheniformis FP6 (Nie et al., 2013), but was different from those for Bacillus methylotrophicus strain L7 (Zhang et al., 2012), Pseudomonas aeruginosa P-1 (Wei et al., 2021), Pseudomonas stutzeri GEP-01 (Gao et al., 2020), for which the optimal C sources were sodium succinate, glucose, and sodium citrate, respectively. These experimental results showed that carbohydrate was more beneficial to N removal than organic substances for strain EM-A1.

NH₂OH and TN Removal at Different Temperature

Temperature is not only an important factor for maintaining enzyme activity but also has a great impact on various metabolic processes in cells (Huang, 2009). Previous reports showed that low temperature would reduce or even completely inhibit the growth, activity, and function of relevant ammoniaoxidizing bacteria, and eventually lead to failure of the nitrification process (Taylor and Bishop, 1989). In this research, the capacity of strain EM-A1 to remove NH₂OH and TN at different temperatures was investigated. The N removal of strain EM-1 occurred over a wide temperature range (from 5°C to 30°C; Figure 5A). Increasing the temperature significantly enhanced the NH2OH and TN removal efficiencies. The NH₂OH removal efficiency showed a gentle upward trend (from 19.25 to 46.04%) The temperature was changed from 5°C to 20°C, which was consistent with the theory that low temperature is not conducive to bacterial nitrification (He et al., 2018). When temperature rose from 20°C to 30°C, the NH₂OH and TN removal was continuously enhanced, and the maximum NH2OH (93.44%) and TN (67.18%) removal efficiencies were observed at 30°C. Meanwhile, the low concentrations of NH₂OH (1.43 \pm 0.03 mg/L) and TN (7.73 \pm 0.18 mg/L) were detected at the end of cultivation. When the temperature was increased from 5°C to 30°C, the concentrations of inorganic N (ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate) were increased from 2.54 ± 0.21 mg/L to $6.29 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg/L}$, which might be because the higher temperature was more conducive to NH₂OH conversion by strain EM-A1. The NH₂OH and TN removal efficiency dropped sharply if the temperature exceeded 30°C, whether inoculated with strain EM-A1 or not. This phenomenon exhibited that high NH₂OH removal efficiency at 35°C was

connected with its instability at high temperature, rather than inoculation of strain EM-A1. Above all, the optimum temperature for strain EM-A1 is 30°C, which was consistent with several reports that the optimum temperature is 30–35°C (Shammas, 1986; Hammer and Knight, 1994).

NH₂OH and TN Removal Under Different C/N Ratios

Sufficient C is necessary for microbial growth (Yan et al., 2002). To explore the optimal C/N ratio for strain EM-A1, C/N ratios (15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 45) were tested. As shown in Figure 5B, the C/N ratio obviously affected the N removal capacity of strain EM-A1 (p < 0.05). When the C/N ratio was 15, the NH₂OH (3.1%) and TN removal efficiencies (15.61%) were lowest, which might be because there was insufficient C for heterotrophic growth (Wan et al., 2017). When the C/N ratio was changed from 20 to 35, the NH₂OH and TN removal efficiencies have expanded from 4.51 and 23.84% to 65.49 and 99.78%, respectively (p < 0.05). This showed that increasing the C/N ratio significantly improved the N removal ability of strain EM-A1. The removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN were increased to the maximum of 100 and 73.91%, respectively, when the C/N ratio was 40. Only 6.94 \pm 0.12 mg/L of TN was left in the medium. There was about C inorganic N (NH4+-N, NO2-N, and NO3-N) accumulation during the NH₂OH oxidation process, which indicated that NH₂OH was mainly transformed to gaseous N (Liu et al., 2019; He et al., 2020). The result was consistent with the theory that heterotrophic nitrification bacteria usually require high concentrations of organic C for nitrification (Li et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). However, this does not mean that the higher C/N ratios are more favorable for the nitrification ability of bacteria. When C/N ratio rose to 45, the removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN decreased to 55.32 and 83.10%, respectively. Therefore, the optimum C/N ratio for strain EM-A1 was 40, which indicated that strain EM-A1 was more suitable for wastewater with high C content.

Effect of pH on Removal of Hydroxylamine and Total Nitrogen

According to previous reports, pH affects the absorption of nutrients and emission of membrane for bacteria by affecting cell membrane potential and emission of metabolites (Miao, 2011). The pH range suitable for nitrification by nitrifying bacteria is 7.9-9.0, and nitrification can be inhibited at pH values lower than 6.0 (Wang et al., 2003). Consequently, it is essential to explore the influence of pH on heterotrophic nitrification. As shown in Figure 6A, the removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN increased with increasing pH. When the pH was 6.52, strain EM-A1 had the lowest removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN, which were 25.31 and 0.1%, respectively. When the pH rose from 6.52 to 8.56, the removal efficiencies of NH₂OH and TN significantly improved to 47.84 and 23.72%, respectively. These results showed that higher pH was more beneficial for NH₂OH and TN removal by strain EM-A1. When the pH increased from 8.56 to 9.33, the NH₂OH and TN removal efficiencies increased rapidly, and maximum NH₂OH and TN removal efficiencies (100 and 71.86%) were observed when the pH was 9.33. Only 5.79 \pm 0.63 mg/L of TN was not removed. However, after 91 h incubation, the NO2-N accumulation peaked a maximum value of 2.22 ± 0.08 mg/L, and the concentration of NH₄⁺-N was lowest (2.08 \pm 0.08 mg/L) at pH 9.33. These aforementioned results suggested that a strong alkaline environment was more conducive to nitrification by strain EM-A1, which is inconsistent with previous studies, where the optimal pH of nitrification was 7.85 and 8-9 (Hans, 1994; Jiang et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2015). Strain EM-A1 is an alkali-resisting bacterium.

The NH₂OH and TN Removal at Different DO

To explore the influence of DO on the N removal ability of strain EM-A1, different shaker rotation speeds (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 rpm) were measured. The N removal ability of strain

Substances	Initial N (mg/L)	Final N (mg/L)					Intracellular-N (mg/L)	N lose (%)
		NH4+-N	NH₂OH-N	NO₂ [−] N	NO₃ [−] -N	Organic-N		
NH4 ⁺ -N	54.88 ± 0.47	0.72 ± 0.06	5.47 ± 0.59	0	1.29 ± 0.61	23.54 ± 0.15	13.59 ± 0.6	29.34 ± 0.18
NO ₂ ⁻ N	57.95 ± 0.58	4.38 ± 0.4	0	5.45 ± 0.23	4.67 ± 0.28	19.72 ± 1.02	16.24 ± 0.18	23.72 ± 0.88
NO3 ⁻ -N	58.18 ± 0.57	4.35 ± 0.96	0	5.78 ± 1.11	11.24 ± 0.38	10.10 ± 0.81	11.28 ± 0.61	26.71 ± 0.36

Values represent mean \pm S.D., of triplicates (n = 3). Final organic-N = final soluble TN – (final NH₄⁺-N) – (final NO₃⁻-N) – (final NH₂OH). Intracellular-N = (final TN – final Soluble TN). % N removal = [(initial TN) – (final NH₄⁺-N) – (final NO₃⁻-N) – (final NO₂⁻-N) – (final organic-N) – (final intracellular-N) – (final NH₂OH)]/initial TN × 100%.

EM-A1 was decreased with the increasing rotation speeds (Figure 6B).

For instance, when the rotation speed was stationary, the lowest NH2OH and TN removal efficiencies were achieved of 41.16 and 14.83%, respectively. The inorganic nitrogen of NO₂⁻-N (0.80 \pm 0.04 mg/L), NO₃⁻-N (0.39 \pm 0.36 mg/L), and NH₄⁺-N $(6.73 \pm 0.0.15 \text{ mg/L})$ were accumulated. The relative high level of NH4⁺-N production in NH2OH oxidation at 0 rpm was inconsistent with a previous report (Liu and Wang, 2013). As the further increase of the speed was from 50 to 100 rpm, the NH₂OH removal efficiency increased from 48.99 to 59.07%. The highest NH₂OH and TN removal efficiencies of 73.42 and 48.2% were obtained when the speed climbed to 150 rpm. In such condition, the residual of NH₂OH and TN was 7 \pm 0.57 mg/L and 14.58 ± 0.47 mg/L, respectively. This further confirmed that high DO condition was more conductive to strain EM-A1 to perform heterotrophic nitrification and cell growth, which was also reported by several studies (Wang et al., 2007; Lei et al., 2019; Ouyang et al., 2020).

Nitrogen Balance Analysis in Process of Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification

The discrepancy results of the nitrogen balance among, NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻N, and NO₂⁻-N were shown in **Table 1**. When NH₄⁺-N was used as the sole nitrogen source, about $29.34\% \pm 0.18$ of initial TN was removed as the nitrogenous gas after 24 h incubation. Only 0.72 \pm 0.06 mg/L of NH₄⁺ was remained in the nitrification system. There were low concentrations of NH₂OH, NO₃⁻⁻N, and organic-N accumulated. The nitrite was not detected even though the NH₂OH was produced as 5.47 mg/L, which was different from that there was about 0.05-2.22 mg/L nitrite generation during the NH₂OH oxidation process. This may be that a small amount of accumulation nitrite was rapidly converted into nitrogenous gas. Therefore, the nitrification pathway could be inferred as $NH_4^+-N \rightarrow NH_2OH \rightarrow NO_3^--N \rightarrow NO_2^--N \rightarrow nitrogenous gas.$ When the NO₃⁻-N and NO₂⁻-N were separately used as the sole nitrogen, the nitrogen loss efficiency reached $26.71 \pm 0.36\%$ and 23.72 ± 0.88%, respectively. The nitrogen loss efficiency was conspicuously higher than that of 14.88% by Paracoccus denitrificans Z195 (Zhang et al., 2020) and 19.63% by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia DQ01 (Jia et al., 2019) when they were processed with nitrate alone. The NO2-N accumulation was detected during NO2-N transformation

process. Thus, the denitrification pathway could be deduced as $NO_3^-\text{-}N \to NO_2^-\text{-}N \to nitrogenous gas.$

Enzyme Analysis

To further analyze the nitrogen removal mechanism of strain EM-A1, AMO, HAO, NR, and NiR activities were examined. After cell lysis of strain EM-A1, the specific activities of AMO, HAO, NR, and NIR were detected as 0.37, 0.88, 0.45, and 0.70 U/mg protein, respectively (Table 1). Medhi et al. (2017) found that the extracellular-specific activities of AMO, NR, and NIR were 0.07, 0.023, and 0.122 U/mg protein, while they were 0.01, 0.006, and 0.01 U/mg protein intracellularly under aerobic condition in a strain of Paracoccus denitrificans ISTOD1. The specific activities of AMO, NR, and NIR in strain EM-A1 were greater than the sum of the intracellular and extracellular related specific activities in Paracoccus denitrificans ISTOD1. Additionally, the specific HAO activity (0.88 U/mg protein) in strain EM-A1 was higher than those detected in A. calcoaceticus HNR (0.051 U/mg protein) (Zhao et al., 2010a) and Pseudomonas PB16 (0.47 U/mg protein) (Jetten et al., 1997). The target heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification enzymes were successfully expressed and detected, which further confirmed that strain EM-A1 could be capable of full heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification. Combined with the results of nitrogen balance analysis, the possible pathway for nitrogen removal was identical to that of $\mathrm{NH_4^+}\text{-}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH_2ON} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO_2^-}\text{-}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO_3^-}\text{-}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO_2^-}\text{-}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N_2O}$ \rightarrow N₂, which was performed by *B. thuringiensis* WXN-23 (Xu et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

B. thuringiensis EM-A1 was isolated from the biogas digester by using NH₂OH as the sole N source. The optimal conditions for NH₂OH removal were sucrose, C/N 40, temperature 30°C, pH 9.58, inoculant concentration of 0.58×10^8 CFU, and rotation speed of 150 rpm. *B. thuringiensis* EM-A1 efficiently removed low concentrations (20 mg/L) of NH₄⁺-N, NO₂⁻-N, and NO₃⁻-N within 48 h. Combined with the results of intermediates accumulation, nitrogen balance analysis, specific activities of AMO, HAO, NR, and NIR, the possible pathway for nitrogen removal by strain EM-A1 was NH₄⁺-N \rightarrow NH₂ON \rightarrow NO₂⁻-N \rightarrow NO₃⁻-N \rightarrow NO₃⁻-N \rightarrow nitrogen gas. Therefore, strain EM-A1 could be a promising candidate for inorganic nitrogen removal from wastewater.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: GenBank (accession number: MW551532).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TW: Investigation, Experimental design, Experimental operation, Data calculation, Paper writing. MC: Investigation, Experimental design, Data calculation, Experimental operation, Figure construction. XL: Investigation, Experimental operation, Data calculation. FC: Experimental operation. TH: Investigation, Experimental idea,

REFERENCES

- Chen, M., Ding, C., He, T., Zhang, M., and Wu, Q. (2022). Efficient Hydroxylamine Removal through Heterotrophic Nitrification by Novel Bacterium Glutamicibacter Arilaitensis EM-H8. *Chemosphere* 288 (Pt 1), 132475. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132475
- Chen, M., Wang, W., Feng, Y., Zhu, X., Zhou, H., Tan, Z., et al. (2014). Impact Resistance of Different Factors on Ammonia Removal by Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification Bacterium Aeromonas Sp. HN-02. *Bioresour. Technol.* 167, 456–461. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.06.001
- Chen, Q., and Ni, J. (2012). Ammonium Removal by Agrobacterium Sp. LAD9 Capable of Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 113 (5), 619–623. doi:10.1016/j.jbiosc.2011.12.012
- Chen, Q., and Ni, J. (2011). Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification by Novel Isolated Bacteria. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 38 (9), 1305–1310. doi:10.1007/s10295-010-0911-6
- Chen, W., Ding, X. L., He, Y. L., Mo, Y., Xu, L. J., and Gao, S. F. (2011). Isolation and Identification of Nitrite Degrading Bacteria and Their Degradation Characteristics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34 (S2), 37–41.
- Chen, Z. H., Xu, S. S., Li, X., and Liu, F. X. (2015). The Influence of pH on the Efficiency of Anammox Reaction. *Environ. Prot. Chem. industry* 35 (2), 121–126.
- Feng, S., Xie, S., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Ding, W., Liao, X., et al. (2012). Ammonium Removal Pathways and Microbial Community in GAC-Sand Dual media Filter in Drinking Water Treatment. *J. Environ. Sci.* 24 (9), 1587–1593. doi:10.1016/ s1001-0742(11)60965-0
- Frear, D. S., and Burrell, R. C. (1955). Spectrophotometric Method for Determining Hydroxylamine Reductase Activity in Higher Plants. Anal. Chem. 27 (10), 1664–1665. doi:10.1021/ac60106a054
- Gao, J., Zhu, T., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Gao, J., Zhang, J., et al. (2020). Ammonium Removal Characteristics of Heterotrophic Nitrifying Bacterium Pseudomonas Stutzeri GEP-01 with Potential for Treatment of Ammonium-Rich Wastewater. *Bioproc. Biosyst Eng* 43 (6), 959–969. doi:10.1007/s00449-020-02292-x
- Guo, L., Chen, Q., Fang, F., Hu, Z., Wu, J., Miao, A., et al. (2013). Application Potential of a Newly Isolated Indigenous Aerobic Denitrifier for Nitrate and Ammonium Removal of Eutrophic lake Water. *Bioresour. Technol.* 142, 45–51. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.021
- Hammer, D. A., and Knight, R. L. (1994). Designing Constructed Wetlands for Nitrogen Removal. Water Sci. Technol. 29 (4), 15–27. doi:10.2166/ wst.1994.0148
- Hans, B. (1994). Use of Constructed Wetlands in Water Pollution Control: Historical Development, Present Status, and Future Perspectives. Water Sci. Technol. 30 (8), 209–223. doi:10.2166/wst.1994.0413
- He, T., Ye, Q., Sun, Q., Cai, X., Ni, J., Li, Z., et al. (2018). Removal of Nitrate in Simulated Water at Low Temperature by a Novel Psychrotrophic and Aerobic Bacterium, Pseudomonas Taiwanensis Strain J. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2018, 4984087. doi:10.1155/2018/4984087

Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing-review, Paper revisions. ZL: Investigation.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Guizhou Province Science and Technology Planning Project (Qianke He Foundation-ZK (2021) General 233), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 42007223), the Natural Science Research Young Talents Project of Provincial Education Department (No. Guizhou Education and KY word (2021) 086), Gui Da Zhuan Ji He Zi (2019) 04 and Gui Da cultivation (2019)50, the Science and Technology plan Project of Guizhou Province (Support of Guizhou Science and Technology Cooperation (2021)193). Undergraduate "SRT Plan" project, (Guida SRT (2021) No. 271) to TW.

- He, T., Xie, D., Ni, J., Li, Z., and Li, Z. (2020). Nitrous Oxide Produced Directly from Ammonium, Nitrate and Nitrite during Nitrification and Denitrification. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 388, 122114. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122114
- He, T. X., Wu, Q. F., Ding, C. Y., Chen, M. P., and Zhang, M. M. (2021). Hydroxylamine and Nitrite are Removed Effectively by Streptomyces Mediolani Strain EM-B2. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 224, 112693.
- Heil, J., Vereecken, H., and Brüggemann, N. (2016). A Review of Chemical Reactions of Nitrification Intermediates and Their Role in Nitrogen Cycling and Nitrogen Trace Gas Formation in Soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 67 (1), 23–39. doi:10.1111/ejss.12306
- Hong, P., Wu, X., Shu, Y., Wang, C., Tian, C., Wu, H., et al. (2020). Bioaugmentation Treatment of Nitrogen-Rich Wastewater with a Denitrifier with Biofilm-Formation and Nitrogen-Removal Capacities in a Sequencing Batch Biofilm Reactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* 303, 122905. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122905
- Hu, X. F., Su, J. F., Ali, A. J., Wang, Z., and Wu, Z. Z. (2021). Heterotrophic Nitrification and Biomineralization Potential of Pseudomonas Sp. HXF1 for the Simultaneous Removal of Ammonia Nitrogen and Fluoride from Groundwater. *Bioresour. Technol.* 323, 124608. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124608
- Huang, X., Li, W., Zhang, D., and Qin, W. (2013). Ammonium Removal by a Novel Oligotrophic Acinetobacter Sp. Y16 Capable of Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification at Low Temperature. *Bioresour. Technol.* 146, 44–50. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.046
- Huang, X., Xu, Y., He, T., Jia, H., Feng, M., Xiang, S., et al. (2019). Ammonium Transformed into Nitrous Oxide via Nitric Oxide by Pseudomonas Putida Y-9 under Aerobic Conditions without Hydroxylamine as Intermediate. *Bioresour. Technol.* 277, 87–93. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.040
- Huang, Y. (2009). Study on Metabolism Pathway of Nitrogen Removel by a New Strain Shanghai Jiao. Shanghai: Tong University.
- Jain, R. M., Mody, K. H., Keshri, J., and Jha, B. (2014). Biological Neutralization and Biosorption of Dyes of Alkaline Textile Industry Wastewater. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 84 (1-2), 83–89. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.05.033
- Jetten, M. S. M., de Bruijn, P., and Kuenen, J. G. (1997). Hydroxylamine Metabolism in Pseudomonas PB16 Involvement of a Novel. *Antoni. Leeuw* 71, 69–74. doi:10.1023/a:1000145617904
- Jia, Y., Zhou, M., Chen, Y., Luo, J., and Hu, Y. (2019). Carbon Selection for Nitrogen Degradation Pathway by Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia: Based on the Balances of Nitrogen, Carbon and Electron. *Bioresour. Technol.* 294, 122114. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122114
- Jiang, A. X. (2000). The Mechanism and Countermeasures of Nitrogen Pollution in Water Environment. *China's Popul. Resour. Environ.* 10 (S2), 77–78.
- Jiang, T. S., Yang, Q., Shang, H. T., Gan, Y. P., Bai, Y., and Wang, H. C. (2007). The Influence of Temperature and pH Value on Activated Sludge Method for Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal. *Chin. J. Environ. Eng.* 1 (9), 10–14.
- Lang, X., Li, Q., Ji, M., Yan, G., and Guo, S. (2020). Isolation and Niche Characteristics in Simultaneous Nitrification and Denitrification Application of an Aerobic Denitrifier, Acinetobacter Sp. YS2. *Bioresour. Technol.* 302, 122799. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122799

- Lei, X., Jia, Y., Chen, Y., and Hu, Y. (2019). Simultaneous Nitrification and Denitrification without Nitrite Accumulation by a Novel Isolated Ochrobactrum Anthropic LJ81. *Bioresour. Technol.* 272, 442–450. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2018.10.060
- Li, D., Liu, R., Cui, X., He, M., Zheng, S., Du, W., et al. (2021). Co-culture of Bacteria and Microalgae for Treatment of High Concentration Biogas Slurry. J. Water Process Eng. 41, 102014. doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102014
- Liu, G., and Wang, J. (2013). Long-term Low Do Enriches and Shifts Nitrifier Community in Activated Sludge. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (10), 5109–5117. doi:10.1021/es304647y
- Liu, Y., Ai, G.-M., Wu, M.-R., Li, S.-S., Miao, L.-L., and Liu, Z.-P. (2019). Photobacterium Sp. NNA4, an Efficient Hydroxylamine-Transforming Heterotrophic Nitrifier/aerobic Denitrifier. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 128 (1), 64–71. doi:10.1016/j.jbiosc.2018.12.014
- Liu, Y., Hu, T., Song, Y., Chen, H., and Lv, Y. (2015). Heterotrophic Nitrogen Removal by Acinetobacter Sp. Y1 Isolated from Coke Plant Wastewater. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 120 (5), 549–554. doi:10.1016/j.jbiosc.2015.03.015
- Medhi, K., Singhal, A., Chauhan, D. K., and Thakur, I. S. (2017). Investigating the Nitrification and Denitrification Kinetics under Aerobic and Anaerobic Conditions by *Paracoccus Denitrificans* ISTOD1. *Bioresour. Technol.* 242, 334–343. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.084
- Miao, L. (2011). Isolation of Heterotrophic Nitrifying Bacteria and Characters of Removal of Ammonia. Changsha: Central South University.
- Motamedi, H., and Jafari, M. (2020). Screening Heterotrophic Ammonia Removal and Aerobic Denitrifying Bacteria from Wastewater of Ammonia Production Units of a Petrochemical Industry. *Curr. Microbiol.* 77 (9), 2207–2214. doi:10.1007/s00284-020-02065-5
- Nie, H. H., Zhao, Q. F., Li, C. H., Wang, Y. N., and Zhang, D. M. (2013). Isolation, Identification and Denitrification Characteristics of a Strain of Nitrogenous Nitrogen Removal Bacteria. *Microbiol. China* 40 (11), 2146–2155.
- Ouyang, L., Wang, K., Liu, X., Wong, M. H., Hu, Z., Chen, H., et al. (2020). A Study on the Nitrogen Removal Efficacy of Bacterium Acinetobacter Tandoii MZ-5 from a Contaminated River of Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China. *Bioresour. Technol.* 315, 123888. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123888
- Poot, V., Hoekstra, M., Geleijnse, M. A. A., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., and Pérez, J. (2016). Effects of the Residual Ammonium Concentration on NOB Repression during Partial Nitritation with Granular Sludge. *Water Res.* 106, 518–530. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.10.028
- Ren, Y.-X., Yang, L., and Liang, X. (2014). The Characteristics of a Novel Heterotrophic Nitrifying and Aerobic Denitrifying Bacterium, Acinetobacter Junii YB. *Bioresour. Technol.* 171, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.058
- Shammas, N. K. (1986). Interactions of Temperature, pH, and Biomass on the Nitrification Process. Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation) 58 (1), 52–59. doi:10.2307/25042841
- Soler-Jofra, A., Pérez, J., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2021). Hydroxylamine and the Nitrogen Cycle: A Review. Water Res. 190, 116723. doi:10.1016/ j.watres.2020.116723
- Su, J. f., Ma, M., Huang, T. I., Ma, F., Shao, S. c., Lu, J. s., et al. (2016). Characteristics of Autotrophic and Heterotrophic Denitrification by the Strain Pseudomonas Sp. H117. *Geomicrobiology J.* 34 (1), 45–52. doi:10.1080/01490451.2015.1137661
- Taylor, E. T., and Bishop, P. L. (1989). Distribution and Role of Bacterial Nitrifying Populations in Nitrogen Removal in Aquatic Treatment Systems. *Pergamon* 23 (8), 947–955. doi:10.1016/0043-1354(89)90167-x
- Wan, W., He, D., and Xue, Z. (2017). Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorus by Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification of a Denitrifying Phosphorus-Accumulating Bacterium Enterobacter cloacae HW-15. *Ecol. Eng.* 99, 199–208. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.11.030
- Wang, H. Y., Ma, F., Su, J. F., Zuo, W., Zhang, X. X., and Zhang, J. (2007). The Effect of Different Carbon Sources and Carbon-Nitrogen Ratios on the Denitrification Performance of an Aerobic Denitrifying Bacteria. Acta Scientiae (06), 968–972.
- Wang, Q., and He, J. (2020). Complete Nitrogen Removal via Simultaneous Nitrification and Denitrification by a Novel Phosphate Accumulating Thauera Sp. Strain SND5. *Water Res.* 185, 116300. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2020.116300
- Wang, X. W., Kong, Q. X., Jin, M., Song, N., Zheng, J. L., Gu, C. Q., et al. (2003). Effect of pH and Aeration on Nitrification of Nitrifying Bacteria. J. Prev. Med. Chin. PLA 21, 319–321.
- Wei, R., Hui, C., Zhang, Y., Jiang, H., Zhao, Y., and Du, L. (2021). Nitrogen Removal Characteristics and Predicted Conversion Pathways of a Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification Bacterium, Pseudomonas

aeruginosa P-1. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 28 (6), 7503–7514. doi:10.1007/s11356-020-11066-7

- Wu, Y. (2018). Study on Nitrogen Status of Urban Surface Water and Nitrogen Utilization Mechanism of Aquatic Plants. Tianjin: Tianjin University.
- Xia, L., Li, X., Fan, W., and Wang, J. (2020). Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification by a Novel Acinetobacter Sp. ND7 Isolated from Municipal Activated Sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.* 301, 122749. doi:10.1016/ j.biortech.2020.122749
- Xing, C. Y., Fan, Y. C., Chen, X., Guo, J. S., Shen, Y., Yan, P., et al. (2020). The Effect of Hydroxylamine on Anammox Sludge Community. *Environ. Sci.* 41 (7), 3365–3372. doi:10.13227/j.hjkx.201911244
- Yan, N., Jin, X. B., and Zhang, J. Q. (2002). Comparison of Methanol and Glucose as Carbon Sources in the Denitrification Process. J. Shanghai Normal Univ. (Natural Sci. Edition) 31 (03), 41–44. doi:10.13227/j.hjkx.201911244
- Ye, J., Zhao, B., An, Q., and Huang, Y.-S. (2016). Nitrogen Removal by Providencia Rettgeri Strain YL with Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification. *Environ. Technol.* 37 (17), 2206–2213. doi:10.1080/09593330.2016.1146338
- Zhang, D., Li, W., Huang, X., Qin, W., and Liu, M. (2013). Removal of Ammonium in Surface Water at Low Temperature by a Newly Isolated Microbacterium Sp. Strain SFA13. *Bioresour. Technol.* 137, 147–152. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.094
- Zhang, H., Li, S., Ma, B., Huang, T., Qiu, H., Zhao, Z., et al. (2020). Nitrate Removal Characteristics and 13C Metabolic Pathways of Aerobic Denitrifying Bacterium Paracoccus Denitrificans Z195. *Bioresour. Technol.* 307, 123230. doi:10.1016/ j.biortech.2020.123230
- Zhang, M., Pan, L., Su, C., Liu, L., and Dou, L. (2021). Simultaneous Aerobic Removal of Phosphorus and Nitrogen by a Novel Salt-Tolerant Phosphate-Accumulating Organism and the Application Potential in Treatment of Domestic Sewage and Aquaculture Sewage. *Sci. Total Environ.* 758, 143580. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143580
- Zhang, Q.-L., Liu, Y., Ai, G.-M., Miao, L.-L., Zheng, H.-Y., and Liu, Z.-P. (2012).
 The Characteristics of a Novel Heterotrophic Nitrification-Aerobic Denitrification Bacterium, Bacillus Methylotrophicus Strain L7. *Bioresour. Technol.* 108, 35–44. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.12.139
- Zhang, Q. L., Wang, H., Zhang, L. Q., Li, J., and Tang, X. Q. (2008). The Impact of Nitrate Nitrogen Pollution in Drinking Water on Human Health. *Groundwater* 30 (01), 57–59.
- Zhao, B., Cheng, D. Y., Tan, P., An, Q., and Guo, J. S. (2018). Characterization of an Aerobic Denitrifier Pseudomonas Stutzeri Strain XL-2 to Achieve Efficient Nitrate Removal. *Bioresour. Technol.* 250, 564–573. doi:10.1016/ j.biortech.2017.11.038
- Zhao, B., He, Y. L., Hughes, J., and Zhang, X. F. (2010a). Heterotrophic Nitrogen Removal by a Newly Isolated Acinetobacter Calcoaceticus HNR. *Bioresour. Technol.* 101 (14), 5194–5200. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.043
- Zhao, B., He, Y. L., and Zhang, X. F. (2010b). Nitrogen Removal Capability through Simultaneous Heterotrophic Nitrification and Aerobic Denitrification byBacillussp. LY. Environ. Technol. 31 (4), 409–416. doi:10.1080/09593330903508922
- Zou, S., Yao, S., and Ni, J. (2014). High-efficient Nitrogen Removal by Coupling Enriched Autotrophic-Nitrification and Aerobic-Denitrification Consortiums at Cold Temperature. *Bioresour. Technol.* 161, 288–296. doi:10.1016/ j.biortech.2014.03.066

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Chen, Liang, Chen, He and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.