



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Hugo Morais,
University of Lisbon, Portugal

REVIEWED BY

Linfei Yin,
Guangxi University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Dongwei Xie,
✉ 2020103615@ruc.edu.cn

RECEIVED 07 May 2023

ACCEPTED 10 July 2023

PUBLISHED 26 July 2023

CITATION

Dou Y, Tan S and Xie D (2023),
Comparison of machine learning and
statistical methods in the field of
renewable energy power generation
forecasting: a mini review.
Front. Energy Res. 11:1218603.
doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2023.1218603

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Dou, Tan and Xie. This is an
open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Comparison of machine learning and statistical methods in the field of renewable energy power generation forecasting: a mini review

Yibo Dou¹, Shuwen Tan² and Dongwei Xie^{3*}

¹School of Software, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, China, ²SJTU-UNIDO Joint Institute of Inclusive and Sustainable Industrial Development, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ³School of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

In the post-COVID-19 era, countries are paying more attention to the energy transition as well as tackling the increasingly severe climate crisis. Renewable energy has attracted much attention because of its low economic costs and environmental friendliness. However, renewable energy cannot be widely adopted due to its high intermittency and volatility, which threaten the security and stability of power grids and hinder the operation and scheduling of power systems. Therefore, research on renewable power forecasting is important for integrating renewable energy and the power grid and improving operational efficiency. In this mini-review, we compare two kinds of common renewable power forecasting methods: machine learning methods and statistical methods. Then, the advantages and disadvantages of the two methods are discussed from different perspectives. Finally, the current challenges and feasible research directions for renewable energy forecasting are listed.

KEYWORDS

power generation forecasting, machine learning, statistical methods, energy transition, climate crisis

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic had a huge impact on the world economy, society, and public health and was one of the most terrible disasters in human history. The “post-COVID-19 era” is an era in which economic growth, international relations, industrial development, and people’s consumption habits have greatly changed due to the pandemic (Schwab and Malleret, 2020). While the impacts of the pandemic on human society will persist for a long time, climate change is also gaining more attention as another serious crisis. The United Nations has listed climate change as a key issue in its recent Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which have been adopted into the 2030 Agenda (Usman et al., 2021). We can ascertain the reason: climate change can create catastrophic events, and its effects will be long-lasting, cumulative, and irreversible after a tipping point is reached (Jiao et al., 2020). CO₂ emissions from the power sector decreased significantly during COVID-19, but this was largely due to the economic recession (Bertram et al., 2021). A green economic recovery in the post-COVID-19 era has prompted countries to think about the energy transition. The restructuring of global value chains in the post-COVID-19 era also notably brings new

opportunities for a transition to green and low-carbon energy (Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China, 2020).

To address global climate change and ensure energy security, many countries and regions have increasingly sought to shift to a low-carbon development paradigm and formulated corresponding strategies and policies (International Energy Agency, 2021). In 2021, the European Union (EU) set a new target of 40% renewable energy as a share of primary energy (up from 32%) by 2030 in the “European Climate Law” (Council of the European Union, 2021). In October 2021, the Japanese government released “The Sixth Strategic Energy Plan,” which proposes to use renewable energy as the main source of electricity and increase the proportion of installed renewable energy to 36%–38% by 2030 (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2021). In November 2021, the U.S. published “The Long-Term Strategy of the United States: Pathways to Net-Zero Greenhouse Gas Emissions by 2050”, outlining plans to achieve net zero emissions by 2050 (United States Department of State, 2021). In June 2022, China's National Energy Administration released the “14th Five-Year Plan for Renewable Energy Development” to increase annual renewable energy generation to approximately 3.3 trillion kW hours by 2025 (National Development and Reform Commission, 2022). Efforts at the policy level have opened new horizons for energy transformation in the post-COVID-19 era.

As a vital area of the energy transition, renewable energy generation is gaining increasing attention in the post-COVID-19 era. Renewable energy consists of energy from natural sources, including the sun, wind, water, and biofuels (International Energy Agency, 2023). Compared with fossil energy, renewable energy has absolute advantages in terms of environmental friendliness (Bauer et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021; Li and Haneklaus, 2022). In addition, long-term reliance on traditional nonrenewable energy sources results in resource depletion, while renewable energy generation has better sustainability (VanDeventer et al., 2019). However, the chaotic nature of the meteorological system leads to intermittent and fluctuating wind and PV power generation, while the grid input requires stability and smoothness. Furthermore, connecting a large number of new energy sources to the grid directly threatens the safety and stability of grid operation (Li et al., 2021; Krechowicz et al., 2022). This instability is not conducive to the economic dispatch of electricity, and the frequent abandonment of wind and solar causes the utilization of renewable energy to be very low (Fan et al., 2022). If PV and wind power generation can be predicted more accurately and timely, it can effectively promote beneficial grid connections and the efficient utilization of new energy sources (Barbieri et al., 2017; Alkesaiberi et al., 2022). Therefore, research on the prediction of renewable energy power generation has important research value and practical significance.

Renewable power forecasting uses the change patterns of historical data and information to directly or indirectly predict power generation in the future. Power forecasting is used to maintain grid security and stability and to provide information to make decisions regarding power dispatch (Mahmoud et al., 2018; Netsanet et al., 2018). The research of many current studies is mainly focused on grid dispatch and control, power system planning and maintenance, and power plant siting (Demolli et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2019; Chen and Liu, 2020; Dupré et al., 2020; Wang and Lin, 2023). Mainstream methods can be divided into physical, machine

learning, and statistical methods (Mellit et al., 2020). The physical methods refer to the direct calculation of wind and PV power generation through physical models. Machine learning focuses on learning hidden features in historical data that can be applied to new sample data for classification or prediction (Samuel, 1967). Power generation prediction can be considered a regression problem, and support vector machines, random forests, extreme learning machines, and deep learning algorithms are receiving increasing attention as solutions to this problem. Statistical methods are based on historical data, from which information is extracted to predict time series. For example, statistical methods aim to determine the relationship between power generation and historical data such as wind speed and direction, solar irradiance, humidity, and temperature (Zheng et al., 2020). Traditional statistical methods mainly contain time series methods such as ARMA, ARIMA, and ARMAX. This paper focuses on the two most common approaches, statistical and machine learning methods.

2 Machine learning methods and statistical methods

2.1 Machine learning methods

In early research on the prediction of renewable power generation, traditional machine learning methods were widely used, the most representative of which are support vector machines (SVMs) (Fonseca et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012) and artificial neural networks (ANNs) (Izgi et al., 2012; Dumitru et al., 2016; Son et al., 2018). With the development of this research field, traditional machine learning methods have gradually been replaced by hybrid machine learning methods, ensemble learning methods, and deep learning methods.

Hybrid machine learning methods combine the advantages of more than two methods to achieve better prediction results. A key direction is to introduce intelligent optimization algorithms into traditional machine learning to improve the performance of the algorithms. The most commonly used hybrid methods in renewable energy generation forecasting have been based on support vector machines (SVMs) and extreme learning machines (ELMs). SVM is a nonlinear classifier based on the kernel method, which can avoid the disadvantages of overfitting (Olatomiwa et al., 2015). VanDeventer et al. (2019) introduced a genetic algorithm (GA) based on the support vector machine and demonstrated that the GASVM model can reduce the root mean square error (RMSE) by 98% in short-term PV power prediction compared to the conventional SVM model. Similarly, Xiao et al. (2022) proposed an SVM model based on gray wolf optimization (GWO-SVM), which can significantly reduce the RMSE in PV power prediction. ELM is a special single-layer feedforward neural network with fast learning ability and good generalization. Acikgoz et al. (2020) used ELM for short-term wind power output prediction and improved the training speed by more than 140 times compared to ANN methods on different time scales and seasons. Wu et al. (2020) considered the impact of different weather conditions on PV predictions and used the kernel extreme learning machine (KELM) combined with the firefly algorithm (FA) and the variational modal decomposition algorithm (VMD), resulting in a normalized root mean square error

(NRMSE) and normalized mean absolute error (NMAE) below 10% for all weather conditions. [Guermoui et al. \(2021\)](#) improved the accuracy of ELM in multisegment ultrashort-term prediction by decomposing PV power series according to frequency range through an iterative filter (IF) decomposition method. [Li et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed an enhanced crow search algorithm (ENCSA) for ELM parameter optimization, which resulted in a mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) consistently below 4% in short-term wind power prediction. Another popular research direction is the combination of two machine learning models. [Gastón et al. \(2010\)](#) combined k-nearest neighbor (K-NN) and SVM to predict solar radiance with a substantial improvement in prediction accuracy compared to traditional methods. [Shi et al. \(2013\)](#) proposed a short-term wind power prediction model combining radial basis function neural network (RBFNN) and least squares support vector machine (LS-SVM), which achieved excellent results in ultra-short-term wind power generation prediction 15 min in advance. [Yang et al. \(2014\)](#) and [Dong et al. \(2015\)](#) used ANN combined with SVM for PV prediction. The results show that the prediction error of the hybrid method is smaller than that of the traditional single machine learning method. [Theocharides et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed a framework for a PV generation forecasting method combining ANN and K-means, which showed good performance in terms of prediction accuracy and stability. [Lu et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed a combined wind power prediction model based on ELM and LS-SVM, which demonstrated that the method can effectively improve prediction accuracy using historical data from a wind farm in Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, China.

Ensemble learning models combine multiple single machine learning models to obtain better accuracy and generalization. Commonly used ensemble strategies include bagging, boosting, and stacking ([Voyant et al., 2017](#)). The bagging strategy focuses on reducing the variance by training multiple parallel base learners. Random forest is a bagging ensemble algorithm that uses decision trees as base learners, which shows good stability and generalization ability in the field of wind power prediction ([Mahmud et al., 2021](#); [Ziane et al., 2021](#)) and can cope with random fluctuations in historical data or interference from unrelated weather factors ([Lahouar et al., 2017](#); [Shi et al., 2018](#)). The boosting strategy focuses on reducing bias and is an additive method that continuously trains new learners. [Xiong et al. \(2022\)](#) and [Fan et al. \(2022\)](#) significantly improved the accuracy of short-term wind and PV power prediction using the XGBoost algorithm. The stacking strategy differs from bagging and boosting in that its base learners are usually heterogeneous, and it uses meta-learning to effectively combine multiple base learners for prediction. [Chen and Liu \(2020\)](#) and [Rosa et al. \(2022\)](#) improved the accuracy of wind power output prediction with a stacking ensemble strategy that takes advantage of the strengths of each prediction model in extracting different features.

Deep learning is a new research direction in the field of machine learning. Deep learning methods can automatically extract high-dimensional features from data. Previous research mostly used ANN models for power generation prediction ([Izgi et al., 2012](#); [Dumitru et al., 2016](#); [Son et al., 2018](#)). However, compared to ANNs with independent inputs, recurrent neural networks (RNNs) can better exploit the dependencies in time series. [Pang et al. \(2020\)](#) demonstrated that the RNN method improves the normalized mean

bias error (NMBE) and RMSE by 47% and 26%, respectively, compared to the ANN method for short-term solar radiation prediction. Although the traditional RNN method can make good use of the information of the data, it suffers from the problems of short-term memory and gradient instability. [Jung et al. \(2020\)](#) demonstrated the effectiveness of LSTM-RNN for long-term prediction using an RNN model containing LSTM units for more than 63 months of data generated from multiple PV plants. [Mellit et al. \(2021\)](#) conducted experiments on PV power prediction over four different short-term time horizons and demonstrated that LSTM performed the best. [Ahn and Park \(2021\)](#) introduced LSTM units into a deep RNN for ultrashort-term and short-term prediction, and the prediction accuracy was above 92% in all cases. [Li et al. \(2020\)](#) introduced attention mechanisms in the traditional LSTM to reduce the effects of random variations in wind power. [Luo et al. \(2021\)](#) combined physical laws and domain knowledge to impose constraints on LSTM to reduce unreasonable PV power prediction results and improve the robustness of the predictions. [Shahid et al. \(2021\)](#) introduced a GA into LSTM and improved the accuracy of wind power prediction by 6%–35% compared to existing techniques. [Hu and Chen \(2018\)](#) used a combined model of LSTM and ELM to predict wind speed and outperformed SVM, LSTM, and ELM models alone in predictions 10 min and 1 h in advance. [Carrera et al. \(2020\)](#) used deep feedforward networks (DFN) and RNN trained on historical weather forecast data and demonstrated that the models are more accurate in predicting PV generation 1 day ahead than a single machine learning method. [Hossain et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed a hybrid model based on convolutional layers, gated recurrent unit (GRU) layers, and a fully connected neural network for wind power prediction, which reduced MAE, RMSE, and MAPE by 2.11%, 0.72%, and 16.88%, respectively, for wind power generation from Australian capital wind farm compared to RNN. [Meng et al. \(2022\)](#) combined a convolutional neural network (CNN) and bidirectional gated recurrent unit (BiGRU) to propose an ultrashort-term wind power prediction model and demonstrated that the model has lower prediction error and higher solving efficiency. The methods mentioned above are summarized in [Table 1](#).

Hybrid machine learning methods have the advantage of being able to exploit the strengths of different methods to improve the prediction of machine learning models. However, intelligent optimization algorithms are often uncontrollable and slow to converge. It is also a challenge to choose the most suitable model for hybridization. The advantage of ensemble learning is the ability to organically combine multiple single machine learning models to obtain more accurate, stable, and robust results. However, the disadvantages are increased complexity and time consumption. The advantage of deep learning is its powerful learning ability, so it can handle many complex problems and a large amount of data. However, it requires powerful computational resources, and the model is difficult to build and train.

2.2 Statistical methods

Statistical methods mainly include persistence methods, regression methods, exponential smoothing methods, and time series methods. Most research works in the field of wind power forecasting use time series methods ([Das et al., 2018](#)).

TABLE 1 Power prediction of renewable energy generation based on machine learning methods.

Methods	Category	Literature	Model	Prediction question	Time frame
Machine Learning Methods	Traditional Machine Learning Models	Fonseca et al. (2012)	SVM	Photovoltaic	One year
		Shi et al. (2012)	SVM	Photovoltaic	One day
		Izgi et al. (2012)	ANN	Photovoltaic	5 min, 35 min
		Dumitru et al. (2016)	ANN	Photovoltaic	2 years
		Son et al. (2018)	ANN	Photovoltaic	One year
	Hybrid Machine Learning Models	VanDeventer et al. (2019)	GASVM	Photovoltaic	One hour
		Xiao et al. (2022)	GWO-SVM	Photovoltaic	N/A
		Acikgoz et al. (2020)	ELM	Wind power	2,3,4 h
		Wu et al. (2020)	FA-KELM	Photovoltaic	One day
		Guermoui et al. (2021)	IF-ELM	Photovoltaic	One hour
		Li et al. (2021)	ENCSA-ELM	Wind power	One day
		Gastón et al. (2010)	KNN-SVM	Photovoltaic	N/A
		Shi et al. (2013)	RBFNN-LSSVM	Wind power	15 min
		Yang et al. (2014)	ANN-SVM	Photovoltaic	One day
		Dong et al. (2015)	ANN-SVM	Photovoltaic	One hour
		Theocharides et al. (2021)	ANN-K-means	Photovoltaic	One day
		Lu et al. (2021)	ELM-LSSVM	Wind power	3 days
	Ensemble Learning Models	Ziane et al. (2021)	RF	Photovoltaic	One year
		Mahmud et al. (2021)	RF	Photovoltaic	One year, 1 week, 1 day
		Lahouar et al. (2017)	RF	Wind power	One hour
		Shi et al. (2018)	RF	Wind power	One day
		Xiong et al. (2022)	BH-XGBoost	Wind power	10 days
		Fan et al. (2022)	XGBoost、LightGBM	Photovoltaic、Wind power	One week
		Chen and Liu (2020)	Stacking Ensemble	Wind power	18 h
		Rosa et al. (2022)	Stacking Ensemble	Wind power	15 min
	Deep Learning Models	Pang et al. (2020)	RNN	Photovoltaic	One day
		Jung et al. (2020)	LSTM-RNN	Photovoltaic	One month
		Mellit et al. (2021)	LSTM	Photovoltaic	1,5,30,60 min
		Ahn and Park (2021)	LSTM-RNN	Photovoltaic	5 min, 15 min
		Li et al. (2020)	LSTM	Wind power	One day
		Luo et al. (2021)	PC-LSTM	Photovoltaic	One hour
		Shahid et al. (2021)	GLSTM	Wind power	One hour
		Hu and Chen (2018)	LSTM-ELM	Wind power	10 min, One hour
Carrera et al. (2020)		DFN-RNN	Photovoltaic	One day	
Hossain et al. (2021)		CNN-GRU-FCNN	Wind power	5 min	
Meng et al. (2022)	ACNN-BiGRU	Wind power	30 min		

Note: ⓄN/A indicates that the time horizon of the forecast is not explicitly stated in the text.

ⓄThis article is about the power generation prediction of direct or indirect wind and PVs, including wind speed prediction and solar radiation prediction.

Rajagopalan and Santoso (2009) used the autoregressive moving average (ARMA) to forecast wind power within 1 hour. Gao et al. (2009) introduced an autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity

model (ARCH) based on the ARMA model to solve the problem of variance variation of wind speed time series, which has higher prediction accuracy than the classical ARMA model in

TABLE 2 Power prediction of renewable energy generation based on statistical methods.

Methods	Category	Literature	Model	Prediction problem	Time horizon
Statistical Methods	ARMA	Rajagopalan and Santoso (2009)	ARMA	Wind power	One hour
		Gao et al. (2009)	ARMA-ARCH	Wind power	One day
	ARIMA	Abdelaziz et al. (2012)	ARIMA	Wind power	6 h
		Zhang et al. (2014)	ARIMA	Photovoltaic	One day
		Dokuz al. (2018)	ARIMA	Wind power	One year
	ARMAX	Li et al. (2014)	ARMAX	Photovoltaic	One day
		Lydia et al. (2016)	ARMAX	Wind power	One hour
	NARX	Cadenas et al. (2016)	NARX	Wind power	10 min, 1 hour

Note: ⓄN/A indicates that the time horizon of the forecast is not explicitly stated in the text.

ⓄThis article is about the power generation prediction of direct or indirect wind and PVs, including wind speed prediction and solar radiation prediction.

wind power prediction. The limitation of ARMA is its inability to handle nonstationary series, which restricts its performance on complex forecasting tasks (Diagne et al., 2013). Abdelaziz et al. (2012) used an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model to obtain a greater than 50.49% improvement in accuracy over the persistence model for short-term wind power prediction. Zhang et al. (2014) used the ARIMA model for short-term PV power prediction that outperformed two machine learning methods, the RBFNN, and the LS-SVM; Dokuz al. (2018) used the DBSCAN clustering algorithm to preprocess the original data before ARIMA prediction and reduced the RMSE by 20% in long-term wind speed prediction; Li et al. (2014) proposed ARMAX for PV power prediction by using relevant meteorological variables such as temperature, precipitation, and sunshine duration as exogenous inputs, and demonstrated that ARMAX can reduce the RMSE of the ARIMA and RBFNN models by 26.7% and 22.8%, respectively; Lydia et al. (2016) proposed a nonlinear ARMAX that outperformed linear ARMAX in short-term wind speed prediction; Cadenas et al. (2016) used a nonlinear autoregressive model with exogenous inputs (NARX) to predict future wind speeds, and the prediction was more accurate than a univariate method such as ARIMA. The methods mentioned above are summarized in Table 2.

2.3 Comparison

In contrast to statistical methods, machine learning methods have been widely used in recent years. In terms of the time horizon of prediction, current research mostly focuses on short-term power generation prediction, in which both statistical and machine learning methods show good performance, but their performance in long-term prediction needs to be improved. In terms of modeling difficulty, statistical methods models do not require large amounts of data to build models, while machine learning models require large amounts of data to train. In terms of applicability, statistical methods describe the relationship between current and historical values. However, this also means that these methods are only suitable for predicting phenomena related to historical data, and most models can only handle linear relationships (Demolli et al., 2019). Machine learning methods are capable of handling complex multivariate prediction problems and

capturing nonlinear relationships for a wider range of applications (Donadio et al., 2021; Rahman et al., 2021). Cocchi et al. (2018) demonstrated that machine learning methods have higher prediction accuracy than statistical methods in complex power generation prediction problems. Statistical methods have good interpretability, but machine learning methods are often considered to be “black box problems” (Kane et al., 2014; Alsaigh et al., 2023).

Several studies have combined the advantages of machine learning methods in fitting nonlinearities with the advantages of statistical methods in fitting linearities. Cadenas and Rivera (2010) and Jiao (2018) both developed hybrid models of ARIMA models and ANNs. ARIMA was first used to make wind speed predictions and then the obtained errors were used to build neural networks, which predicted wind speed with higher accuracy than the ARIMA and ANN models working separately. Wu and Chen (2011) combined ARMA and time delay neural network (TDNN) to predict solar radiation and also achieved good results. In addition, Liu et al. (2012) proposed the use of ARIMA to determine the structure of ANN, and the MAPE was reduced by 27.38% in multi-step prediction compared to that predicted by ARIMA alone. Zhang et al. (2020b) combined a hybrid machine learning model with an ARIMA model to predict the wind speed for the next 4 h and demonstrated that the prediction error of this method was significantly reduced.

3 Discussion

The above analysis shows that machine learning methods are more common than statistical methods in practical renewable energy generation forecasting efforts. However, existing machine learning prediction methods have some shortcomings. The prediction models proposed in many studies only target specific regions and time horizons, resulting in models that do not apply to prediction tasks in a wider range of scenarios (Wang et al., 2019). The machine learning models mentioned above were studied using different datasets, and their predictions were influenced by the local climate and geography (Liu et al., 2022). Additionally, machine learning methods perform differently in different time horizons (Lonij et al., 2013; Lipperheide et al., 2015; Das et al., 2018). Therefore, it is difficult to compare the performance of

individual models with different spatiotemporal characteristics (Krechowicz et al., 2022). In addition, the prediction and decision steps of traditional power systems are executed separately. Accurate prediction results can provide useful information for decision-making (Mahmoud et al., 2018; Netsanet et al., 2018). However, this separation of forecasting and decision-making leads to an information gap between the forecaster and the decision-maker (Yu et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022). Moreover, this framework is not conducive to the simultaneous improvement of the quality of forecasting and decision-making.

In this paper, the following future research directions are proposed to address the above issues.

- (1) Constructing multiscale and all-around prediction models. Sufficient and valid multiscale information needs to be extracted at multiple temporal and spatial scales to match current patterns of change in renewable energy generation, select and integrate multiple prediction models that fit current characteristics, cover larger regions of PV and wind farm clusters, support prediction tasks with multiple timescales, and move toward more integrated and comprehensive applications (Chen et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2022; Che et al., 2023).
- (2) Building integrated models for forecasting and decision-making. The integration of renewable energy forecasting models and application-specific decision models into a unified framework avoids the loss of important information in the forecasting phase and facilitates the synergistic optimization of forecasting and decision-making (Yan and Wang, 2022; Zhao, 2022).

4 Conclusion

As a key area of the energy transition, renewable power generation forecasting technology has become a hotspot for research. Accurate power prediction of renewable energy generation is beneficial to the safety and stability of the power grid and economic dispatch. In this paper, we review relevant research in renewable energy generation forecasting by machine learning methods and statistical methods. The discussion of machine learning methods and statistical methods provides us with the following conclusions: 1) Machine learning methods are capable of dealing with complex nonlinear relationships, and statistical methods are mostly applicable to linear relationships. 2) Machine learning methods, especially deep learning methods,

can perform well on large-scale datasets, and statistical methods are mostly applicable to small-scale data. 3) Machine learning methods are less interpretable, while statistical methods tend to have better interpretability. However, the models proposed in the existing research are only for specific scenarios. In power systems, the prediction and decision steps are separated. Based on these problems, we propose that future research addresses the construction of unified forecasting models and integrated models for forecasting and decision-making. This review provides some reference for the research of machine learning in the field of prediction of renewable energy generation. Ji and Chee, 2011.

Author contributions

YD and DX proposed a topic and ideas for the minireview. YD and ST collected the relevant papers and sorted out the models and algorithms used in the study. DX participated in the whole discussion and gave professional advice. ST assisted in polishing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, 11871094 and 12122119.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Abdelaziz, A. Y., Rahman, M. A., El-Khayat, M., and Hakim, M. (2012). "Short term wind power forecasting using autoregressive integrated moving average modeling," in Proceedings of the 15th International Middle East Power Systems Conference, Alexandria, Egypt, December 2012, 23–25.
- Acikgoz, H., Yildiz, C., and Sekkeli, M. (2020). An extreme learning machine based very short-term wind power forecasting method for complex terrain. *Energy Sources, Part A Recovery, Util. Environ. Eff.* 42 (22), 2715–2730. doi:10.1080/15567036.2020.1755390
- Ahn, H. K., and Park, N. (2021). Deep RNN-based photovoltaic power short-term forecast using power IoT sensors. *Energies* 14 (2), 436. doi:10.3390/en14020436
- Alkessaiberi, A., Harrou, F., and Sun, Y. (2022). Efficient wind power prediction using machine learning methods: A comparative study. *Energies* 15 (7), 2327. doi:10.3390/en15072327
- Alsaigh, R., Mehmood, R., and Katib, I. (2023). AI explainability and governance in smart energy systems: A review. *Front. Energy Res.* 11, 1071291. doi:10.3389/fenrg.2023.1071291
- Barbieri, F., Rajakaruna, S., and Ghosh, A. (2017). Very short-term photovoltaic power forecasting with cloud modeling: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 75, 242–263. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.10.068

- Bauer, N., Mouratiadou, I., Luderer, G., Baumstark, L., Brecha, R. J., Edenhofer, O., et al. (2016). Global fossil energy markets and climate change mitigation—an analysis with REMIND. *Clim. change* 136, 69–82. doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0901-6
- Bertram, C., Luderer, G., Creutzig, F., Bauer, N., Ueckerdt, F., Malik, A., et al. (2021). COVID-19-induced low power demand and market forces starkly reduce CO2 emissions. *Nat. Clim. Change* 11 (3), 193–196. doi:10.1038/s41558-021-00987-x
- Cadenas, E., and Rivera, W. (2010). Wind speed forecasting in three different regions of Mexico, using a hybrid ARIMA-ANN model. *Renew. Energy* 35 (12), 2732–2738. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2010.04.022
- Cadenas, E., Rivera, W., Campos-Amezcuca, R., and Heard, C. (2016). Wind speed prediction using a univariate ARIMA model and a multivariate NARX model. *Energies* 9 (2), 109. doi:10.3390/en9020109
- Carrera, B., Sim, M. K., and Jung, J. Y. (2020). PVHybNet: A hybrid framework for predicting photovoltaic power generation using both weather forecast and observation data. *IET Renew. Power Gener.* 14 (12), 2192–2201. doi:10.1049/iet-rpg.2018.6174
- Che, J., Yuan, F., Deng, D., and Jiang, Z. (2023). Ultra-short-term probabilistic wind power forecasting with spatial-temporal multi-scale features and K-FSDW based weight. *Appl. Energy* 331, 120479. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2022.120479
- Chen, C., and Liu, H. (2020). Medium-term wind power forecasting based on multi-resolution multi-learner ensemble and adaptive model selection. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 206, 112492. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2020.112492
- Chen, L., Gao, Y., Zhu, D., Yuan, Y., and Liu, Y. (2019). Quantifying the scale effect in geospatial big data using semi-variograms. *PLoS one* 14 (11), e0225139. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225139
- Cocchi, G., Galli, L., Galvan, G., Sciandrone, M., Cantù, M., and Tomaselli, G. (2018). Machine learning methods for short-term bid forecasting in the renewable energy market: A case study in Italy. *Wind Energy* 21 (5), 357–371. doi:10.1002/we.2166
- Council of the European Union, (2021). European climate Law. Available At: <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-8440-2021-INIT/en/pdf>.
- Das, U. K., Tey, K. S., Seyedmahmoudian, M., Mekhilef, S., Idris, M. Y. I., Van Deventer, W., et al. (2018). Forecasting of photovoltaic power generation and model optimization: A review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 81, 912–928. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.08.017
- Demolli, H., Dokuz, A. S., Ecemis, A., and Gokcek, M. (2019). Wind power forecasting based on daily wind speed data using machine learning algorithms. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 198, 111823. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2019.111823
- Diagne, M., David, M., Lauret, P., Boland, J., and Schmutz, N. (2013). Review of solar irradiance forecasting methods and a proposal for small-scale insular grids. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 27, 65–76. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.06.042
- Dokuz, A. S., Demolli, H., Gokcek, M., and Ecemis, A. “Year-ahead wind speed forecasting using a clustering-statistical hybrid method,” in Proceedings of the CIEA’2018 International Conference on Innovative Engineering Applications, Sivas, Turkey, September 2018, 975-971
- Donadio, L., Fang, J., and Porté-Agel, F. (2021). Numerical weather prediction and artificial neural network coupling for wind energy forecast. *Energies* 14 (2), 338. doi:10.3390/en14020338
- Dong, Z., Yang, D., Reindl, T., and Walsh, W. M. (2015). A novel hybrid approach based on self-organizing maps, support vector regression and particle swarm optimization to forecast solar irradiance. *Energy* 82, 570–577. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2015.01.066
- Dumitru, C. D., Gligor, A., and Enachescu, C. (2016). Solar photovoltaic energy production forecast using neural networks. *Procedia Technol.* 22, 808–815. doi:10.1016/j.protcy.2016.01.053
- Dupré, A., Drobinski, P., Alonzo, B., Badosa, J., Briard, C., and Plougonven, R. (2020). Sub-hourly forecasting of wind speed and wind energy. *Renew. Energy* 145, 2373–2379. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2019.07.161
- Fan, L., Wang, Y., Fang, X., and Jiang, J. (2022). To predict the power generation based on machine learning method. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2310 (1), 012084. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2310/1/012084
- Fonseca, J. G. D., Oozeki, T., Takashima, T., Koshimizu, G., Uchida, Y., and Ogimoto, K. (2012). Use of support vector regression and numerically predicted cloudiness to forecast power output of a photovoltaic power plant in Kitakyushu, Japan. *Prog. photovoltaics Res. Appl.* 20 (7), 874–882. doi:10.1002/ppa.1152
- Gao, S., He, Y., and Chen, H. “Wind speed forecast for wind farms based on ARMA-ARCH model,” in Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Sustainable Power Generation and Supply, Nanjing, China, April 2009, 1–4. doi:10.1109/SUPERGEN.2009.5348142
- Gastón, M., Pagola, Í., Fernández-Peruchena, C. M., Ramírez, L., and Mallor, F. “A new Adaptive methodology of Global-to-Direct irradiance based on clustering and kernel machines techniques,” in Proceedings of the 15th SolarPACES Conference, Berlin, Germany, September 2010.11693
- Guermoui, M., Bouchouicha, K., Bailek, N., and Boland, J. W. (2021). Forecasting intra-hour variance of photovoltaic power using a new integrated model. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 245, 114569. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114569
- Hossain, M. A., Chakraborty, R. K., Elsayah, S., and Ryan, M. J. (2021). Very short-term forecasting of wind power generation using hybrid deep learning model. *J. Clean. Prod.* 296, 126564. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126564
- Hu, Y. L., and Chen, L. (2018). A nonlinear hybrid wind speed forecasting model using LSTM network, hysteretic ELM and Differential Evolution algorithm. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 173, 123–142. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2018.07.070
- International Energy Agency, (2023). Renewable electricity. Available At: <https://www.iea.org/reports/renewable-power>.
- International Energy Agency, (2021). Tracking SDG7: The energy progress report. Available At: <https://www.iea.org/reports/tracking-sdg7-the-energy-progress-report-2021>.
- Izgi, E., Öztopal, A., Yerli, B., Kaymak, M. K., and Şahin, A. D. (2012). Short-term solar power prediction by using artificial neural networks. *Sol. Energy* 86 (2), 725–733. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.11.013
- Ji, W., and Chee, K. C. (2011). Prediction of hourly solar radiation using a novel hybrid model of ARMA and TDNN. *Sol. energy* 85 (5), 808–817. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2011.01.013
- Jiang, Z., Jia, Q., and Guan, X. (2019). A review of multi-temporal-and-spatial-scale wind power forecasting method. *Acta Autom. Sin.* (01), 51–71. doi:10.16383/j.aas.c180389
- Jiao, J. (2018). A hybrid forecasting method for wind speed. *MATEC web Conf.* 232, 03013. doi:10.1051/mateconf/201823203013
- Jiao, N., Chen, F., and Hou, Z. (2020). Combating climate change in a post-COVID-19 era. *Sci. Bull.* 65 (23), 1958–1960. doi:10.1016/j.scib.2020.08.017
- Jung, Y., Jung, J., Kim, B., and Han, S. (2020). Long short-term memory recurrent neural network for modeling temporal patterns in long-term power forecasting for solar PV facilities: Case study of South Korea. *J. Clean. Prod.* 250, 119476. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119476
- Kane, M. J., Price, N., Scotch, M., and Rabinowitz, P. (2014). Comparison of ARIMA and Random Forest time series models for prediction of avian influenza H5N1 outbreaks. *BMC Bioinforma.* 15 (1), 276–279. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-15-276
- Krechowicz, A., Krechowicz, M., and Poczeta, K. (2022). Machine learning approaches to predict electricity production from renewable energy sources. *Energies* 15 (23), 9146. doi:10.3390/en15239146
- Lahouar, A., and Slama, J. B. H. (2017). Hour-ahead wind power forecast based on random forests. *Renew. energy* 109, 529–541. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2017.03.064
- Li, B., and Haneklaus, N. (2022). Reducing CO2 emissions in G7 countries: The role of clean energy consumption, trade openness and urbanization. *Energy Rep.* 8, 704–713. doi:10.1016/j.egy.2022.01.238
- Li, H., Li, J., and Mi, Y. (2021a). Research on photovoltaic power prediction technology based on machine learning. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2087 (1), 012004. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2087/1/012004
- Li, L. L., Liu, Z. F., Tseng, M. L., Jantarakolica, K., and Lim, M. K. (2021b). Using enhanced crow search algorithm optimization-extreme learning machine model to forecast short-term wind power. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 184, 115579. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115579
- Li, P., Wang, X., and Yang, J. (2020). Short-term wind power forecasting based on two-stage attention mechanism. *IET Renew. Power Gener.* 14 (2), 297–304. doi:10.1049/iet-rpg.2019.0614
- Li, Y., Ramzan, M., Li, X., Murshed, M., Awosusi, A. A., Bah, S. I., et al. (2021c). Determinants of carbon emissions in Argentina: The roles of renewable energy consumption and globalization. *Energy Rep.* 7, 4747–4760. doi:10.1016/j.egy.2021.07.065
- Li, Y., Su, Y., and Shu, L. (2014). An ARMAX model for forecasting the power output of a grid connected photovoltaic system. *Renew. Energy* 66, 78–89. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2013.11.067
- Lipperheide, M., Bosch, J. L., and Kleissl, J. (2015). Embedded nowcasting method using cloud speed persistence for a photovoltaic power plant. *Sol. Energy* 112, 232–238. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2014.11.013
- Liu, C., Li, M., Yu, Y., Wu, Z., Gong, H., and Cheng, F. (2022). A review of multi-temporal and multi-spatial scales photovoltaic forecasting methods. *IEEE Access* 10, 35073–35093. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3162206
- Liu, H., Tian, H. Q., and Li, Y. F. (2012). Comparison of two new ARIMA-ANN and ARIMA-Kalman hybrid methods for wind speed prediction. *Appl. Energy* 98, 415–424. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.04.001
- Lonij, V. P., Brooks, A. E., Cronin, A. D., Leuthold, M., and Koch, K. (2013). Intra-hour forecasts of solar power production using measurements from a network of irradiance sensors. *Sol. energy* 97, 58–66. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2013.08.002
- Lu, P., Ye, L., Zhao, Y., Dai, B., Pei, M., and Li, Z. (2021). Feature extraction of meteorological factors for wind power prediction based on variable weight combined method. *Renew. Energy* 179, 1925–1939. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2021.08.007

- Luo, X., Zhang, D., and Zhu, X. (2021). Deep learning based forecasting of photovoltaic power generation by incorporating domain knowledge. *Energy* 225, 120240. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2021.120240
- Lydia, M., Kumar, S. S., Selvakumar, A. I., and Kumar, G. E. P. (2016). Linear and non-linear autoregressive models for short-term wind speed forecasting. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 112, 115–124. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2016.01.007
- Ma, J., Yang, M., and Lin, Y. (2019). Ultra-short-term probabilistic wind turbine power forecast based on empirical dynamic modeling. *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy* 11 (2), 906–915. doi:10.1109/TSTE.2019.2912270
- Mahmoud, T., Dong, Z. Y., and Ma, J. (2018). An advanced approach for optimal wind power generation prediction intervals by using self-adaptive evolutionary extreme learning machine. *Renew. energy* 126, 254–269. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2018.03.035
- Mahmud, K., Azam, S., Karim, A., Zobaed, S., Shanmugam, B., and Mathur, D. (2021). Machine learning based PV power generation forecasting in alicé springs. *IEEE Access* 9, 46117–46128. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3066494
- Mellit, A., Massi Pavan, A., Ogliaeri, E., Leva, S., and Lughi, V. (2020). Advanced methods for photovoltaic output power forecasting: A review. *Appl. Sci.* 10 (2), 487. doi:10.3390/app10020487
- Mellit, A., Pavan, A. M., and Lughi, V. (2021). Deep learning neural networks for short-term photovoltaic power forecasting. *Renew. Energy* 172, 276–288. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2021.02.166
- Meng, Y., Chang, C., Huo, J., Zhang, Y., Mohammed Al-Neshmi, H. M., Xu, J., et al. (2022). Research on ultra-short-term prediction model of wind power based on attention mechanism and CNN-BiGRU combined. *Front. Energy Res.* 10, 920835. doi:10.3389/fenrg.2022.920835
- Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People's Republic of China, (2021). Responding to climate change: China's policies and actions. Available At: <https://www.mee.gov.cn/ywz/ydqhbh/syqhbh/202107/W020210713306911348109.pdf>.
- Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, (2021). The sixth strategic energy plan. Available At: <https://www.meti.go.jp/press/2021/10/20211022005/20211022005-1.pdf>.
- National Development and Reform Commission, (2022). 14th five-year plan for renewable energy development. Available At: <https://www.ndrc.gov.cn/xxgk/zc/fb/gwhb/202206/P02022060150105485882.pdf>.
- Netsanet, S., Zhang, J., Zheng, D., Agrawal, R. K., and Muchahary, F. "An aggregative machine learning approach for output power prediction of wind turbines," in Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE Texas Power and Energy Conference (TPEC), College Station, TX, USA, 2018, February, 1–6. doi:10.1109/TPEC.2018.8312085
- Olatomiwa, L., Mekhilef, S., Shamshirband, S., Mohammadi, K., Petković, D., and Sudheer, C. (2015). A support vector machine–firefly algorithm-based model for global solar radiation prediction. *Sol. Energy* 115, 632–644. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2015.03.015
- Pang, Z., Niu, F., and O'Neill, Z. (2020). Solar radiation prediction using recurrent neural network and artificial neural network: A case study with comparisons. *Renew. Energy* 156, 279–289. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2020.04.042
- Rahman, M. M., Shakeri, M., Tiong, S. K., Khatun, F., Amin, N., Pasupuleti, J., et al. (2021). Prospective methodologies in hybrid renewable energy systems for energy prediction using artificial neural networks. *Sustainability* 13 (4), 2393. doi:10.3390/su13042393
- Rajagopalan, S., and Santoso, S. "Wind power forecasting and error analysis using the autoregressive moving average modeling," in Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE power & energy society general meeting, Calgary, AB, Canada, July 2009 (IEEE), 1–6. doi:10.1109/PES.2009.5276019
- Rosa, J., Pestana, R., Leandro, C., Galdes, C., Esteves, J., and Carvalho, D. (2022). Wind power forecasting with machine learning: Single and combined methods. *Renew. Energy Power Qual. J.* 20, 673–678. doi:10.24084/repqj20.297
- Samuel, A. L. (1967). Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. II—recent progress. *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 11 (6), 601–617. doi:10.1147/rd.116.0601
- Schwab, K., and Malleret, T. (2020). *COVID-19: The great reset*. Santa Ana, CA, USA: Forum publishing.
- Shahid, F., Zameer, A., and Muneeb, M. (2021). A novel genetic LSTM model for wind power forecast. *Energy* 223, 120069. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2021.120069
- Shi, J., Ding, Z., Lee, W. J., Yang, Y., Liu, Y., and Zhang, M. (2013). Hybrid forecasting model for very-short term wind power forecasting based on grey relational analysis and wind speed distribution features. *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 5 (1), 521–526. doi:10.1109/TSG.2013.2283269
- Shi, J., Lee, W. J., Liu, Y., Yang, Y., and Wang, P. (2012). Forecasting power output of photovoltaic systems based on weather classification and support vector machines. *IEEE Trans. Industry Appl.* 48 (3), 1064–1069. doi:10.1109/TIA.2012.2190816
- Shi, K., Qiao, Y., Zhao, W., Wang, Q., Liu, M., and Lu, Z. (2018). An improved random forest model of short-term wind-power forecasting to enhance accuracy, efficiency, and robustness. *Wind energy* 21 (12), 1383–1394. doi:10.1002/we.2261
- Son, J., Park, Y., Lee, J., and Kim, H. (2018). Sensorless PV power forecasting in grid-connected buildings through deep learning. *Sensors* 18 (8), 2529. doi:10.3390/s18082529
- Theocharides, S., Makrides, G., Livera, A., Theristis, M., Kaimakis, P., and Georghiou, G. E. (2020). Day-ahead photovoltaic power production forecasting methodology based on machine learning and statistical post-processing. *Appl. Energy* 268, 115023. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115023
- United States Department of State, (2021). The long-term strategy of the United States: Pathways to net-zero Greenhouse Gas emissions by 2050. Available At: <https://www.state.gov/tackling-the-climate-crisis-together/longtermstrategy-3/>.
- Usman, M., Husnain, M., Riaz, A., Riaz, A., and Ali, Y. (2021). Climate change during the COVID-19 outbreak: Scoping future perspectives. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 28 (35), 49302–49313. doi:10.1007/s11356-021-14088-x
- VanDeventer, W., Jamei, E., Thirunavukkarasu, G. S., Seyedmahmoudian, M., Soon, T. K., Horan, B., et al. (2019). Short-term PV power forecasting using hybrid GASVM technique. *Renew. energy* 140, 367–379. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.087
- Voyant, C., Notton, G., Kalogirou, S., Nivet, M. L., Paoli, C., Motte, F., et al. (2017). Machine learning methods for solar radiation forecasting: A review. *Renew. energy* 105, 569–582. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2016.12.095
- Wang, H., Lei, Z., Zhang, X., Zhou, B., and Peng, J. (2019). A review of deep learning for renewable energy forecasting. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 198, 111799. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2019.111799
- Wang, Q., and Lin, H. (2023). Ultra-short-term PV power prediction using optimal ELM and improved variational mode decomposition. *Front. Energy Res.* 11, 227. doi:10.3389/fenrg.2023.1140443
- Wang, Y., Chen, T., Zou, R., Song, D., Zhang, F., and Zhang, L. (2022). Ensemble probabilistic wind power forecasting with multi-scale features. *Renew. Energy* 201, 734–751. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2022.10.122
- Wu, X., Lai, C. S., Bai, C., Lai, L. L., Zhang, Q., and Liu, B. (2020). Optimal kernel ELM and variational mode decomposition for probabilistic PV power prediction. *Energies* 13 (14), 3592. doi:10.3390/en13143592
- Xiao, B., Zhu, H., Zhang, S., OuYang, Z., Wang, T., and Sarvazizi, S. (2022). Gray-Related support vector machine optimization strategy and its implementation in forecasting photovoltaic output power. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2022, 1–9. doi:10.1155/2022/3625541
- Xiong, X., Guo, X., Zeng, P., Zou, R., and Wang, X. (2022). A short-term wind power forecast method via xgboost hyper-parameters optimization. *Front. Energy Res.* 574. doi:10.3389/fenrg.2022.905155
- Yan, R., and Wang, S. (2022). Integrating prediction with optimization: Models and applications in transportation management. *Multimodal Transp.* 1 (3), 100018. doi:10.1016/j.multra.2022.100018
- Yang, H. T., Huang, C. M., Huang, Y. C., and Pai, Y. S. (2014). A weather-based hybrid method for 1-day ahead hourly forecasting of PV power output. *IEEE Trans. Sustain. energy* 5 (3), 917–926. doi:10.1109/TSTE.2014.2313600
- Yu, Y., Yang, J., Yang, M., and Gao, Y. (2021). Prediction and decision integrated scheduling of energy storage system in wind farm based on deep reinforcement learning. *Automation Electr. power Syst.* 45 (1), 132–140. doi:10.7500/AEPS20200226003
- Zhao, C. (2022). *Integrated probabilistic forecasting and decision for power system with renewables*. Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China: Zhejiang University.
- Ziane, A., Necaibia, A., Sahouane, N., Dabou, R., Mostefaoui, M., Bouraiou, A., et al. (2021). Photovoltaic output power performance assessment and forecasting: Impact of meteorological variables. *Sol. Energy* 220, 745–757. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2021.04.004
- Zhang, S., Zhen, Z., Wang, F., Li, K., Qiu, G., and Li, Y. "Ultra-short-term wind power forecasting model based on time-section fusion and pattern classification," Weihai, China, July 2020a, 1325–1333. doi:10.1109/ICPSAsia48933.2020.92085262020 IEEE/IAS industrial and commercial power system asia
- Zhang, W., Zhang, L., Wang, J., and Niu, X. (2020b). Hybrid system based on a multi-objective optimization and kernel approximation for multi-scale wind speed forecasting. *Appl. Energy* 277, 115561. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115561
- Zhang, Y., Beaudin, M., Zareipour, H., and Wood, D. "Forecasting solar photovoltaic power production at the aggregated system level," in Proceedings of the 2014 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), Pullman, WA, USA, 2014, September, 1–6. doi:10.1109/NAPS.2014.6965389
- Zheng, Y., Zhang, L., Wang, L., and Rifhat, R. (2020). Statistical methods for predicting tuberculosis incidence based on data from Guangxi, China. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 20 (1), 300–308. doi:10.1186/s12879-020-05033-3