### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Eugenio Quaranta, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy

REVIEWED BY Hamid Reza Rahbari, Aalborg University, Denmark

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ashish Alex Sam, ⊠ ashishalex.sam@vit.ac.in

RECEIVED 15 February 2023 ACCEPTED 15 May 2023 PUBLISHED 25 May 2023

#### CITATION

Aneesh AM and Sam AA (2023), A minireview on cryogenic carbon capture technology by desublimation: theoretical and modeling aspects. *Front. Energy Res.* 11:1167099. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2023.1167099

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Aneesh and Sam. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A mini-review on cryogenic carbon capture technology by desublimation: theoretical and modeling aspects

### A. M. Aneesh<sup>1</sup> and Ashish Alex Sam<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>School of Mechanical Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore, India, <sup>2</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> Research and Green Technologies Centre, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore, India

Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies are the most effective methods to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel power plants. Of the different CCUS technologies, cryogenic carbon capture (CCC) methods are the most mature technology as they can obtain remarkably high CO<sub>2</sub> recovery and purity (99.99%). The significant advantage of the CCC process is that it can be easily retrofitted to existing systems and can handle the gas stream's impurities. Different desublimation-based CCC technologies like Cryogenic packed bed, Anti sublimation, External cooling loop, CryoCell process and Novel low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology (NLCCT) are reported in the literature. The significant limitations of these processes are the continuous removal of the dry ice into storage tanks. For the efficient design of CCC systems, accurate prediction of the phase equilibria data and modeling of the frost formation is called for. This paper reviews the recently reported cryogenic desublimation technologies and analyses the various challenges in making them economically viable. The article also examines the different heat and mass transfer models employed to model CO<sub>2</sub> frost formation.

### KEYWORDS

carbon capture, cryogenic technology, desublimation, frost modeling, EOS

## **1** Introduction

According to the International Energy Agency's Breakthrough Agenda Report 2022 (IEA, 2022), the world is still far from meeting the Paris agreement goal of limiting global temperature rise to  $1.5^{\circ}$ C this century. The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has reached an all-time high, rising from 280 ppm in the year 1750–420.23 ppm in 2022 (NOAA/, 2022). An average annual rise of 2.4 ppm has occurred for the past 10 years. In 2021 global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reached the highest level ever reported, with the majority coming from the combustion of fossil fuels (IEA, 2022b, 2022). According to the IPCC scenarios, limiting global warming to less than  $1.5^{\circ}$ C demands a 43% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 (IPCC, 2022).

CCUS can lower carbon emissions while encouraging the clean use of conventional fossil fuels. Absorption, Adsorption, Membrane, Hydrate, Microalgae, Chemical looping combustion and cryogenic carbon capture (CCC) are some of the most widely researched CCUS strategies (Song et al., 2018). In recent years CCC has gained wide acceptance as they provide very high CO<sub>2</sub> purity and are devoid of chemical reagents and unwanted pollution (Berstad et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2019; Naquash et al., 2022). CCC makes

Process	Mole fraction of $CO_2$ in flue gas (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> capture %	Energy consumption (GJ/t CO <sub>2</sub> )	Cost (\$)	Method	Reference
Cryogenic packed bed	13	99	1.8	55-130	Experiment	Tuinier et al. (2011), Ali (2014), Song et al. (2019)
External cooling loop (ECL)	4	90	0.74		Experiment and simulation	Jensen et al. (2015)
CryoCell technology	20 to 35	34			Experiment and modeling	Hart and Gnanendran (2009)
AnSU process	12	90	1.18		Experiment	Pan et al. (2013)
NLCCT	6.7	99	0.63	7.47/t CO2- 12.64/t CO2	Modelling	De et al. (2022)

TABLE 1 Energy consumption comparison of various desublimation methods.

use of the differences in the condensation (cryogenic distillation techniques) or desublimation points (cryogenic desublimation techniques) of the constituent gases in the flue gas (FG) to capture CO<sub>2</sub>. In the distillation techniques, the CO<sub>2</sub> is separated and recovered in liquid form through distillation columns. Although high-purity CO<sub>2</sub> is obtained, they have the disadvantage of being highly energy intensive as the system needs to be maintained at very high pressures to avoid the formation of CO2 frost (Font-Palma et al., 2021). Distillation methods are energy efficient only for gases with a high concentration of  $CO_2$  (Co) (>50%) (Shen et al., 2022). Stirling cooler (Song et al., 2012), cryogenic distillation (Yousef et al., 2017) etc., are some examples. In the case of desublimation techniques, CCC technology uses the unique thermodynamic properties of CO2 that at atmospheric pressures and -78.5°C, CO<sub>2</sub> desublimates to a solid state directly from the gaseous state (Baxter et al., 2009; Hart and Gnanendran, 2009). The CO<sub>2</sub> thus obtained (90%-95%) is collected in the form of a solid and can be easily transported and stored. The significant limitations include process blockage due to CO2 frost and removing the captured frost to storage tanks. Packed bed (Tuinier et al., 2010) and NLCCT (De et al., 2022) are some examples.

For developing the new and efficient design of capturing devices, a detailed understanding of the existing capture technologies and the various modeling strategies is required. The existing technologies should be evaluated based on the ECC, capture % and purity, and the heat and mass transfer models must be analyzed based on their physical significance and prediction capability. This review provides an exhaustive literature survey of the current capture technologies based on the above. The study presents an overview of recent developments in CCC techniques and their challenges. Additionally, the paper assesses the existing approaches for simulating heat and mass transfer during frost formation.

## 2 CCC by desublimation techniques

Different types of CCC by desublimation techniques have been developed recently: Packed bed, Anti-sublimation, External cooling loop, CryoCell and Novel low-cost  $CO_2$  capture technology (NLCCT). A technical comparison of these methods is provided in Table 1.

## 2.1 Cryogenic packed bed

CCC by the packed bed is a novel technique. Packed beds made of glass beads or steel beads are used to capture CO2. The capture process by packed bed involves cooling, capture and regeneration cycles (Ali, 2014). The packed bed is first cooled to cryogenic temperatures and then subjected to FG, where the CO2 in the gas is desublimated onto the bed as dry ice (Tuinier et al., 2010). Once the packed bed is saturated with dry ice, it must be removed, and the packed bed should be regenerated for the next cycle. Tuinier et al. (2011) proposed a dynamic packed bed (moving bed) method which recovers CO2 at a rate of 99% and uses 1.8 GJ/t CO2. Babar et al. (2021) have suggested using multiple packed beds/switched beds. Once the first bed is saturated, the process changes to the other, thus making continuous capture possible. The limitation of this process is the constant removal of the deposited frost from the packed bed and maintaining all the other components frost free (Tuinier et al., 2011; Song et al., 2019).

## 2.2 External cooling loop (ECL)

Baxter et al. (2009) developed a hybrid CCC system using an ECL. The system makes use of a refrigerant to cool the flue gas. The flue gas is initially dried and cooled to a temperature just above the frost point of  $CO_2$ . The  $CO_2$  is then condensed in a desublimating heat exchanger, where it is precipitated in the form of dry ice. The dry ice is then separated and pressurized to a liquid state for storage and transportation. As the heat exchangers are prone to frost, the system components must be maintained at higher pressures, which incurs additional energy consumption for compression. This method provided 99% capture with energy consumption (ECC) of 0.74 GJ/t  $CO_2$  (Baxter et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2015).

## 2.3 CryoCell technology

CryoCell technology operates on a similar principle as the ECL method. The dried FG is cooled to a temperature just above the freezing point of  $CO_2$  by a heat exchanger using the liquid  $CO_2$  and treated gas, returning from the separator. The  $CO_2$  is then expanded using a J-T expansion valve to create a three-phase mixture, from

which  $CO_2$  frost is segregated using a three-phase separator. The frosted  $CO_2$  deposited at the bottom of the separator is removed as a liquid by melting the frost with the help of a heater (Hart and Gnanendran, 2009). The liquid  $CO_2$  is pumped to the necessary disposal pressure while the gas is compressed to sales gas criteria. The process is energy efficient only at higher Co (>20%), and the use of compressors increases ECC (Song et al., 2019). For 20%–35% Co, the system produces a capture efficiency of 34% (Hart and Gnanendran, 2009).

## 2.4 Anti sublimation unit (AnSU)

Clodic et al. (2003) proposed AnSU. This method uses a sequence of expanders and evaporators to capture  $CO_2$  on the low-temperature frost evaporators (LTFE). Two LTFEs are used in this process, one for  $CO_2$  capture and the other for regeneration. A series of heat exchangers cool the FG, and  $CO_2$  gets deposited as frost on the surface of the LTFE (Eide, 2005). For the 12% Co, the process gives an efficiency of 90% with an ECC of 1.18 GJ/t  $CO_2$  (Pan et al., 2013). As the development of the  $CO_2$  frost layer on the heat exchanger surface affects its effectiveness, the heat exchanger materials should have higher thermal conductivity and mechanical stresses (Song et al., 2019).

# 2.5 Novel low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology (NLCCT)

De et al. (2022) proposed an NLCCT model that can capture  $CO_2$  with low cost and low water consumption. This method uses cold nitrogen gas refrigerators, regenerative cooling and efficiently constructed cooling chambers to desublimate the  $CO_2$ . The challenge involved is the requirement of higher heat transfer rates for the heat exchangers and the design of cooling chamber plates to capture  $CO_2$  effectively. For 6.7% Co, the model shows a capture efficiency of 99% with an ECC of 0.63 GJ/t  $CO_2$ .

Advancements in CCC methods are severely limited by the high energy requirements and the capture cost involved (Tuinier et al., 2010; Song et al., 2019). It was observed that ECC rises exponentially as the Co decreases below 10% (Clodic et al., 2005). Additionally, as the CO<sub>2</sub> is collected in the form of frost, an accurate representation of the frost point and valid models that can predict frost growth is called for.

# 3 Frost formation and its modeling

Frost formation is quite common in cryogenics, aerospace, and refrigeration applications. Frost layers are porous patterns with ice particles and air holes. Frost formation is advantageous in carbon capture applications. However, it can be undesirable in certain others as they can increase resistance to heat transfer and clog flow channels and even cause a system failure (Wu and Webb, 2001; Wu et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2018). In CCC, frost formation occurs when the FG is cooled below the desublimation temperature of  $CO_2$ corresponding to its partial pressure. Sun et al. (2020) have observed that the frost development in cryogenic conditions ( $<-150^{\circ}$ C) is different from frosting under refrigeration conditions ( $>-20^{\circ}$ C) (Hayashi et al., 1977). The cryogenic frost formation process is divided into three stages: crystal growth, frost layer growth and frost layer full growth phase (Piucco et al., 2008). In the first phase, ice crystal's initial development occurs; in the subsequent two phases, the frost growth with an increase in frost density and thickness occurs (Dave et al., 2017). To predict frost formation and its growth, frost modeling is essential. Literature shows a lack of studies regarding  $CO_2$  frost compared to water vapor frosting.

## 3.1 Frosting modelling

Frost model development is critical to forecast the frost point and to model frost formation and growth.  $CO_2$  frost point is frequently predicted by Equation of states (EOS), and frosting models are employed to predict frost formation and growth. Frosting models can be generally categorized into empirical correlations and analytical methods (Schneider, 1978; Sommers et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017).

### 3.1.1 Thermodynamic modelling by EOS

In CCC methods,  $CO_2$  desublimates from the vapor state and freezes from the liquid state. This makes accurate prediction of the phase equilibrium of  $CO_2$  mixtures essential (de Guido et al., 2014; Nasrifar and Moshfeghian, 2020). In cases where solid  $CO_2$  forms from a vapor or liquid state, describing Solid vapor equilibrium (SVE) and solid-liquid equilibrium (SLE) is essential and in cases where solid  $CO_2$  forms in the presence of liquid and vapor, predicting Solid-Liquid-Vapor (SLVE) is essential (Gu et al., 2018). Liquid and vapor phases are described by cubic equations of states such as Peng- Robinson (PR), Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) and Nasrifar and Bolland (NB) (Soave, 1972; Peng and Robinson, 1976; Nasrifar and Bolland, 2006). Solid  $CO_2$  is efficiently described by the term fugacity (f) (Eq. a), which consists of  $CO_2$  sublimation pressure, vapor fugacity coefficient and Poynting correction factor (Li et al., 2016).

$$f_{pure CO2}^{s}(T, P) = p_{CO2}^{sat} \varphi_{CO2}^{sat} exp\left[\frac{v_{CO2}^{s}(p - p_{CO2}^{sat})}{RT}\right]$$
(a)

Riva et al. (2014) reported that for the determination of solid fugacity in SVE and SLE, various expressions are available in the literature with the assumption that the solid phase consists of a pure freezing component. Soave, (1979) focused on SVE conditions of pure CO<sub>2</sub> by equating pure component fugacities in two phases. This work used SRK EOS to find the liquid and vapor phase fugacities. Since data on sublimation pressure as a function of temperature are known for CO<sub>2</sub>, the traditional solid fugacity model can be utilized with the PR, RKS, and NB EOS to explain SVE appropriately. Nasrifar and Moshfeghian. (2020) introduced an SVM model (solid vapor fugacity model) based on studying the CO2 solid vapor coexistence curve. SVM requires the enthalpy of sublimation, triple point and solid CO2 molar volume to determine the solid fugacity, and it does not require sublimation pressure. Nasrifar and Moshfeghian. (2022) later developed another model like SVM known as the SLM model (Solid, liquid fugacity model), shown in Eq. b. SLM depended on the enthalpy of melting, triple point and solid CO2 molar volume. Although SVM provided accurate SVE and SLVE calculations, it was slightly inaccurate for

### TABLE 2 Mass transfer model mechanism.

Author	Concept	Phase change method	Description		
Wu et al. (2016)	Vapor concentration	$\dot{m} = \tau  \alpha  \alpha  (m = m \ (T)) \tag{1}$	$\tau_v\text{-}Time$ relaxation coefficient		
	difference	$m_{ai} = r_{y} \cdot w_{a} \cdot p_{a} \cdot (w_{ya} - w_{s}(r)) $	$\alpha_a.\rho_a$ -Effective density of humid air		
Wu et al. (2017) Gibbs free energy		$\dot{m}_{ai} = \tau_{v} \cdot \left(\alpha_{a}.\rho_{a}\right) \cdot w_{v} \left(\frac{W_{v-W_{vs}}}{W_{vs}}\right) $ (2)	$(w_{v-w_{vs}}/w_{vs})$ -Driving force		
		$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\rm v} &= 16.705 + 0.0035 Re^{1.684} & (2a) \\ Q_{ia} &= h_{ia} (T_i - T_a) & (2b) \\ h_{ia} &= \frac{6 \lambda_a \alpha_i \alpha_a N u_{ia}}{d_i^2} & (2c) \end{aligned}$	w <sub>v</sub> - Mass fraction of water vapor		
			$w_{vs^{-}}$ Mass fraction of saturated water vapor		
			$\alpha_a.\rho_a\text{-}Effective density of humid air$		
			Re-Reynolds number		
			Q-heat transfer $h_{ia}$ -Heat transfer coefficient		
Sun et al. (2020)	Vapor concentration difference	$\dot{m} = A.h_m . (C_{local_{\nu}} - C_{sat_{\nu}}) $ (3)	A- Contacting area of mass transfer. $h_{\rm m}\text{-}$ Mass transfer coefficient		
		$h_m = \frac{Sh.D_v}{l}$ (3a)	$C_{local\_v} - C_{sat_v}\text{-}Mass$ concentrations of local place and saturated water vapor		
			Sh-Sherwood number		
Byun et al. (2020)	Gibbs free energy theory	$\dot{m} = \tau_s.Ste.(\alpha_a, \rho_a)(\omega_a - \omega_s)$ (4)	Ste- Stefan number		
		C(T,T)	$\tau_s\text{-}$ Time relaxation factor $h_{sub}\text{-}$ Latent heat of sublimation		
		$Ste = \frac{C_p(T_f - T_w)}{h_{sub}} $ (4a)	T <sub>f</sub> -Freezing temperature		
Qi et al. (2021)	Temperature difference	$\dot{m} = \lambda_{\nu} \alpha_{g} \rho_{g} \omega_{\nu} df \tag{5}$	$\lambda_{v}\text{-}$ Time relaxation coefficient		
		$\lambda_{\nu} = 3.92 \times 10^{-6} \cdot Re^{1.97} \cdot \left(\frac{T_{w}}{273.15}\right)^{1.49} $ (5a) $df = \left(\frac{T_{s} - T}{T_{s}}\right)$	Re-Reynolds number. df– Phase change driving force		
Haddad et al. (2414)	Concentration difference	$\dot{m}_{CO2,surf} = h_m.A.\rho_{fluegas}.\left(x_{CO2/n2} - x_{surf}\right) $ (6)	$h_{m}$ - Mass transfer coefficient		
		$h_m = h_c / \left( \rho_{\text{flue gas}} \cdot C_{P_{\text{flue gas}}} \cdot Le^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) $ (6a)	$h_c$ - Heat transfer coefficient		
		$h_{c} = \frac{0.21.\text{Re}_{\text{Dh}}^{0.8}.\text{P}_{r}^{0.6}.\text{k}_{\text{flue gas}}}{\text{D}_{\text{h}}} $ (6b)	Le- Lewis number $k_{eff,fr}$ -Effective thermal conductivity		
		$Le = \frac{k_{\text{flue gas}}}{\rho_{\text{flue gas}} * C_{p_{\text{flue gas}}} * D_{\text{flue gas}}} $ (6c)	D <sub>h</sub> -Hydraulic diameter		
		$\dot{Q}_{fr} = k_{eff,fr} * A * \left(\frac{dT}{dy}\right)_{surf} $ $k_{eff,fr} = \frac{0.27 \cdot \rho_{fr}}{2386.037 - \rho_{fr}} $ (6e)			

the SLE. By coupling with an EOS, SLM produced satisfactory predictions of the solid fugacity in SVE, SLE and SLVE calculations.

$$f_{CO2}^{s}(T,P) = f_{CO2}^{L}(T,P) \exp\left[\frac{\Delta H_{tp}}{RT_{tp}}\left(1-\frac{T_{tp}}{T}\right) - \alpha_{CO2}\frac{\Delta H_{tp}}{R^{2}T^{2}}\left(P-P_{tp}\right)\right]$$
(b)

### 3.1.2 Mass transfer models

The frosting process is unsteady with simultaneous heat and mass transfer. Table 2 shows the different frost model mechanisms by the various researchers. Wu et al. (2016) developed a phase change mass transfer model based on vapor concentration difference with local cooling to predict frost layer growth and densification. The concentration difference and effective density of humid air, along with the time relaxation coefficient  $(\tau_v)$ , give the mass transfer rate as shown in Eq. 1. The driving force for the mass transfer rate is the difference between the partial pressure of water vapor in the humid air and the saturation pressure of water vapor corresponding to the surface temperature of the frost. The value of  $\tau_v$  varies from case to case. In this work, Wu et al. have considered the value of  $\tau_v$  to be 10. The frost formation on a flat aluminium plate cooled to 10°C was modelled using Euler multiphase flow model. The model was validated, and it was observed that the variation between the modelled and experimental weights of frost was within the range of -3.2%-3.9%.

Wu et al. (2017) developed a frost model based on Gibbs free energy difference to predict the vapor frost formation and growth. The mass transfer rate from the vapor phase to the ice phase  $\dot{m}_{ai}$  (Eq. 2), is dependent on the phase change driving force, water vapor effective density and time relaxation coefficient ( $\tau_v$ ) (Eq. 2a). The driving force is equal to the difference of the Gibbs free energy during phase transition. The heat transfer between the two phases (Eq. 2b) is related to the difference in temperature of the two phases and the heat transfer coefficient  $h_{ia}$  (Eq. 2c) (Wu et al., 2017).  $h_{ia}$  consists of the Nusselt number, which can be determined using the Ranzmarshal correlation (Ranz and Che, 1952). Compared to experimental results, the model predicted frost weight and thickness on a wavy plate with a deviation of  $-25\% \sim + 20\%$ and  $-20\% \sim + 30\%$ , respectively.

Sun et al. (2020) developed a frost model based on vapor concentration differences under cryogenic conditions. The mass transfer rate for vapor phase change (Eq. 3) consists of the driving force, which is the concentration difference between the local place and saturated water vapor. The equation includes the mass transfer coefficient ( $h_m$ ) term, and the contacting area.  $h_m$  can be calculated using the Sherwood number (Eq. 3a). Two correlations of the mass transfer coefficient, the Ranz–Marshal and Jaluria correlations (Ranz and Che, 1952; Whitelaw, 1981), were used for finding the effects of frost formation under free convection on a vertical plate. For forced convection, the Frossling and Tokura correlations can be employed (Patil, 1988; Tokura et al., 1988). The study shows good agreement between the simulation and experimental results and observed the Ranz–Marshal correlation as best suitable for predicting frost formation under cryogenic conditions.

Byun et al. (2020) predicted frost formation under cryogenic conditions using Gibbs-free energy. The mass transfer rate

(Eq. 4), in which the driving force is the Gibbs free energy reduction which is equal to the degree of supersaturation  $(\omega_a - \omega_s)$ . In this model, a term called Stefan number (Eq. 4a) was defined for representing the temperatures of both cryogenic surfaces and air. The value of  $\tau_s$  was set to 23.6. The frost density and thickness values obtained through simulation on a flat plate show a better comparison with experimental values. It was also noted that the frost with low density was deposited near the frosted surface, and frost with high density formed near the cryogenic surface.

Qi et al. (2021) developed a frost model based on temperature differences under cryogenic conditions. The model was used to explain the trace water vapor frost on a flat plate in a cooled N<sub>2</sub> gas flow. The mass transfer rate for the phase change (Eq. 5) uses Lee's evaporation and condensation mass transfer model (Lee, 2002), which served as the foundation for the introduction of driving force (df), which is due to the difference in control volume temperature and saturation temperature. The model consists of the time relaxation coefficient  $\lambda_v$  (Eq. 5a) and which depends on the Reynolds number and temperature of the cold surface. They validated the simulation with experimental values from the literature and observed the variation to be less than 7%.

Haddad et al. (2414) modelled  $CO_2$  frost development and growth based on concentration differences under cryogenic conditions. Simulations are done to separate  $CO_2$  from the FG mixture and biogas. A correlation of the heat transfer coefficient (Eq. 6b) proposed by Dietenberger et al. (1979) is used for finding the mass transfer coefficient (Eq. 6a) and the mass flow rate of frosted  $CO_2$ . The mass transfer rate (Eq. 6) occurs because of the concentration difference between the frosted surface and the FG. The mass transfer coefficient consists of the Lewis number (Eq. 6c) and which links the heat and mass transfer coefficients. The effective thermal conductivity can be determined using Eq. 6e (Shchelkunov et al., 1986). Dietenberger et al. correlation (Eq. 6b) determines the heat transfer coefficient for flow over a horizontal plate. It was observed that Dietenberger et al. correlation provided a better estimation of  $CO_2$  frost growth.

## 4 Conclusion

CCUS technology is undoubtedly a highly competitive and feasible option, considering global climate change and the increasingly urgent need for the conservation of energy and emission reduction. Compared to other CCUS technologies, CCC is beneficial for increasing the storage capacity and obtaining  $CO_2$  with high purity.

Of the different technologies experimentally tested, the ECL method proposed by Baxter et al. had the least ECC (0.74 GJ/t CO<sub>2</sub>) with a capture efficiency of 90%. Based on thermodynamic modeling, the NLCCT technique has reported much lower ECC but requires experimental validation. For a Co of 6.7%, the NLCCT provided a capture efficiency of 99% with an ECC of 0.63 GJ/t CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the requirement of maintaining the system at high pressures in ECL and the need for continuous removal of deposited frost in NLCCT, calls for further advancements in CCC techniques.

Based on the review, it is concluded that the desublimation-based frost formation has its own frost mechanism and characteristics which is different from the condensation-based frost formation. For the prediction of the solid phase in the solid-liquid, solid-vapor and solidliquid-vapor equilibrium, the SLM model proposed by Nasrifar was found to be accurate. Considering different frost models, Wu et al. frost model based on vapor concentration difference shows less error percentage (-3.2%-3.9%) than other models. Regarding the mass transfer correlations, the Ranz-marshal mass transfer correlation was found to predict frost under cryogenic conditions accurately. In view of the heat transfer correlations, Dietenberger et al. correlation provided a better estimation of the CO<sub>2</sub> frost growth. The correlation was validated for the CO<sub>2</sub> frost growth on a flat plate. Similarly, these heat and mass transfer models need to be verified for different geometries and conditions. Also, the detailed experimental analysis would lead to a better understanding of the frost formation mechanism, which would eventually lead to the development of accurate models.

## Author contributions

AA: data curation and writing-original draft preparation, editing. AS: supervision, English language modification, writingreviewing and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## References

Ali, A. Energy minimization in cryogenic packed beds during purification of natural gas with high CO 2 content 2014. doi:10.1002/ceat.201400215

Babar, M., Mukhtar, A., Mubashir, M., Saqib, S., Ullah, S., Hassan, A., et al. (2021). Development of a novel switched packed bed process for cryogenic CO2 capture from natural gas. *CO 2 capture Nat. gas* 147, 878. doi:10.1016/j. psep.2021.01.010

Baxter, L. L., Baxter, A., and Burt, S. (2009). *Cryogenic CO 2 capture as a cost-effective CO 2 capture process.* 

Berstad, D., Anantharaman, R., and Nekså, P. (2013). Low-temperature CO2 capture technologies – applications and potential. *Int. J. Refrig.* 36, 1403–1416. doi:10.1016/j. ijrefrig.2013.03.017

Byun, S., Jeong, H., Kim, D. R., and Lee, K.-S. (2020). Frost modeling under cryogenic conditions. *Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf.* 161, 120250. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer. 2020.120250

Clodic, D., and Younes, M. (2003). "A new method for CO2 capture: Frosting CO2 at atmospheric pressure," in *Greenhouse gas control technologies - 6th international conference*. Editors J. Gale and Y. Kaya (Oxford: Pergamon), 155–160. doi:10.1016/ B978-008044276-1/50025-8

Clodic, D., Younes, M., and Bill, A. (2005). "Test results of CO2 capture by antisublimation capture efficiency and energy consumption for boiler plants," in *Greenhouse gas control technologies 7*. E. S. Rubin, D. W. Keith, C. F. Gilboy, M. Wilson, T. Morris, and J. Gale (Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd), 1775–1780. doi:10. 1016/B978-008044704-9/50210-X

Dave, T., Shah, M., and Singh, V. (2017). Numerical modelling of frost formation of flat surface. J. Institution Eng. (India) Ser. C 99, 531–538. doi:10.1007/s40032-017-0364-z

De, D. K., Oduniyi, I. A., and Alex Sam, A. (2022). A novel cryogenic technology for low-cost carbon capture from NGCC power plants for climate change mitigation. *Therm. Sci. Eng. Prog.* 36, 101495. doi:10.1016/j.tsep.2022.101495

de Guido, G., Langè, S., Moioli, S., and Pellegrini, L. A. (2014). Thermodynamic method for the prediction of solid CO2 formation from multicomponent mixtures. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 92, 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2013.08.001

Dietenberger, M., and Kumar, P., Frost Formation on an airfoil: A mathematical model I. NASA Contract Rep. CR-3129 1979.

Eide, O. (2005). Novel capture processes. *Nouv. procedes capture* 60. doi:10.2516/ogst: 2005031

Font-Palma, C., Cann, D. P., and Udemu, C. (2021). Review of cryogenic carbon capture innovations and their potential applications.

Gu, S., Li, Y., Teng, L., Hu, Q., Zhang, D., Ye, X., et al. (2018). A new model for predicting the decompression behavior of CO2 mixtures in various phases. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 120, 237–247. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2018.08.034

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Vellore Institute of Technology for supporting this work.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Guo, H., Li, C., Shi, X., Li, H., and Shen, S. (2019). Nonaqueous amine-based absorbents for energy efficient CO2 capture. *Appl. Energy* 239, 725–734. doi:10. 1016/j.apenergy.2019.02.019

Haddad, S., Tinoco, R. R., and Bouallou, C. Modelling of CO2 frost formation and growth on a flat plate HAL id. hal-02414709 2019. doi:10.3303/CET1976112

Hart, A., and Gnanendran, N. (2009). Cryogenic CO2 capture in natural gas. *Energy* Procedia 1, 697-706. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2009.01.092

Hayashi, Y., Aoki, A., Adachi, S., and Hori, K. (1977). Study of frost properties correlating with frost formation types. J. Heat. Transf. 99, 239-245. doi:10.1115/1.3450675

IEA 2022b (2022). Global CO2 emissions rebounded to their highest level in history in 2021.

IEA (2022). The Breakthrough Agenda Report.

IPCC (2022). The evidence is clear: The time for action is now. We can halve emissions by 2030. *IPCC Work. Group III* 15, 1–12.

Jensen, M. J., Russell, C. S., Bergeson, D., Hoeger, C. D., Frankman, D. J., Bence, C. S., et al. (2015). Prediction and validation of external cooling loop cryogenic carbon capture (CCC-ECL) for full-scale coal-fired power plant retrofit. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 42, 200–212. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.04.009

Lee, W. H. (2002). A pressure iteration scheme for two-phase flow modeling.

Li, Y., Gong, C., and Li, Y. (2016). Application of highly accurate phase-equilibrium models for CO2 freezing prediction of natural gas system. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 55, 5780–5787. doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.6b00339

Ma, Q., Wu, X., Chu, F., and Zhu, B. (2018). Experimental and numerical investigations of frost formation on wavy plates. *Form. wavy plates* 138, 627. doi:10. 1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.04.098

Naquash, A., Qyyum, M. A., Haider, J., Bokhari, A., Lim, H., and Lee, M. (2022). Stateof-the-art assessment of cryogenic technologies for biogas upgrading: Energy, economic, and environmental perspectives. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 154, 111826. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2021.111826

Nasrifar, K., and Bolland, O. (2006). Prediction of thermodynamic properties of natural gas mixtures using 10 equations of state including a new cubic two-constant equation of state. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* 51, 253–266. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2006.01.004

Nasrifar, K., and Moshfeghian, M. (2020). Prediction of carbon dioxide frost point for natural gas and LNG model systems. *J. Nat. Gas. Sci. Eng.* 76, 103206. doi:10.1016/j. jngse.2020.103206

Nasrifar, K., and Moshfeghian, M. (2022). Thermodynamics of carbon dioxide mixtures at cryogenic conditions. *Cryog. Guildf.* 121, 103404. doi:10.1016/j. cryogenics.2021.103404

Noaa, E. S. R. L. (2022). Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide. Earth system research laboratories. Boulder, Colorado: Global Monitoring Laboratory.

Pan, X., Clodic, D., and Toubassy, J. (2013). CO2 capture by antisublimation process and its technical economic analysis. *Greenh. Gases Sci. Technol.* 3, 8–20. doi:10.1002/gbg.1313

Patil, N. D. (1988). EVALUATION OF DIFFUSION EQUATION FOR SIMULATING MOISTURE MOVEMENT WITHIN AN INDIVIDUAL GRAIN KERNEL. Dry. Technol. 6, 21–42. doi:10.1080/07373938808916359

Peng, D.-Y., and Robinson, D. B. (1976). A new two-constant equation of state. Industrial Eng. Chem. Fundam. 15, 59-64. doi:10.1021/i160057a011

Piucco, R. O., Hermes, C. J. L., Melo, C., and Barbosa, J. R. (2008). A study of frost nucleation on flat surfaces. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 32, 1710–1715. doi:10.1016/j. expthermflusci.2008.06.004

Qi, Y., Zhi, X., Zhang, H., Wang, K., and Qiu, L. (2021). International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer Mechanisms of trace water vapor frosting on a cryogenic surface in nitrogen gas flow. *Mech. trace water Vap. frosting a Cryog. Surf. nitrogen gas flow* 169.

Ranz, W. E., and Che, M. W. R. (1952). Eng Pro48, 141.pdf. Chem. Eng. Prog. 48, 141–146.

Riva, M., Campestrini, M., Toubassy, J., Clodic, D., and Stringari, P. (2014). Solid-liquid-vapor equilibrium models for cryogenic biogas upgrading. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 53, 17506-17514. doi:10.1021/ie502957x

Schneider, H. W. (1978). Equation of the growth rate of frost forming on cooled surfaces. Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf. 21, 1019–1024. doi:10.1016/0017-9310(78)90098-4

Shchelkunov, V. N., Rudenko, N. Z., Shostak Yu, V., and Dolganin, V. I. (1986). Surface desublimation of carbon dioxide from binary gas mixtures. J. Eng. Phys. 51, 1432–1435. doi:10.1007/BF00870357

Shen, M., Tong, L., Yin, S., Liu, C., Wang, L., Feng, W., et al. (2022). Cryogenic technology progress for CO2 capture under carbon neutrality goals: A review. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 299, 121734. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2022.121734

Soave, G. (1972). Equilibrium constants from a modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 27, 1197–1203. doi:10.1016/0009-2509(72)80096-4

Soave, G. S. (1979). Application of the redlich-kwong-soave equation of state to solid-liquid equilibria calculations. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 34, 225–229. doi:10.1016/0009-2509(79)87008-6

Sommers, A. D., Napora, A. C., Truster, N. L., Caraballo, E. J., and Hermes, C. J. L. (2017). A semi-empirical correlation for predicting the frost density on hydrophilic and hydrophobic substrates. *Int. J. Refrig.* 74, 313–323. doi:10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2016.10.025

Song, C., Liu, Q., Deng, S., Li, H., and Kitamura, Y. (2019). Cryogenic-based CO2 capture technologies: State-of-the-art developments and current challenges.

Cryogenic-based CO 2 capture Technol. State-of-the-art Dev. Curr. challenges 101, 265. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2018.11.018

Song, C., Liu, Q., Ji, N., Deng, S., Zhao, J., Li, Y., et al. (2018). Alternative pathways for efficient CO2 capture by hybrid processes—a review. A Rev. 82, 215–231. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.09.040

Song, C. F., Kitamura, Y., Li, S. H., and Ogasawara, K. (2012). Design of a cryogenic CO2 capture system based on Stirling coolers. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 7, 107–114. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.01.004

Sun, B., Ghatage, S., Evans, G. M., Bhatelia, T., Utikar, R. P., and Pareek, V. K. (2020). Dynamic study of frost formation on cryogenic surface. *Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf.* 150, 119372. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2020.119372

Tokura, I., Saito, H., and Kishinami, K. (1988). Prediction of growth rate and density of frost layer developing under forced convection. *Wärme - Und Stoffübertragung* 22, 285–290. doi:10.1007/BF01377254

Tuinier, M. J., van Sint Annaland, M., Kramer, G. J., and Kuipers, J. A. M. (2010). Cryogenic CO2 capture using dynamically operated packed beds. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 65, 114–119. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2009.01.055

Tuinier, M. J., van Sint Annaland, M., and Kuipers, J. A. M. (2011). A novel process for cryogenic CO2 capture using dynamically operated packed beds—an experimental and numerical study. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 5, 694–701. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2010. 11.011

Whitelaw, J. H. (1981). Natural convection heat and mass transfer. J. Fluid Mech. 107, 533–534. doi:10.1017/S0022112081211912

Wu, X., Chu, F., and Ma, Q. (2017). Frosting model based on phase change driving force. Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf. 110, 760–767. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.03.081

Wu, X., Dai, W., Xu, W., and Tang, L. (2007). Mesoscale investigation of frost formation on a cold surface. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 31, 1043–1048. doi:10.1016/j. expthermflusci.2006.11.002

Wu, X., Ma, Q., Chu, F., and Hu, S. (2016). Phase change mass transfer model for frost growth and densification. *Int. J. Heat. Mass Transf.* 96, 11–19. doi:10.1016/j. ijheatmasstransfer.2016.01.018

Wu, X. M., and Webb, R. L. (2001). Investigation of the possibility of frost release from a cold surface. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 24, 151–156. doi:10.1016/S0894-1777(01)00045-0

Yousef, A. M., El-Maghlany, W. M., Eldrainy, Y. A., and Attia, A. (2017). Low-temperature distillation process for CO2/CH4 separation: A study for avoiding CO2 freeze-out. J. Heat. Transf. 140. doi:10.1115/1.4038193