Corrigendum: Marginal Abatement Cost Curve of Industrial CO$_2$ Capture and Storage – A Swedish Case Study

Filip Johnsson*, Fredrik Normann and Elin Svensson

Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

A Corrigendum on

Marginal Abatement Cost Curve of Industrial CO$_2$ Capture and Storage – A Swedish Case Study

by Johnsson, F., Normann, F., and Svensson, E. (2020). Front. Energy Res. 8:175. doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2020.00175

In the original article, there were two typographical errors in the citations included in the foot notes of **Table 5** as published. **In foot note 1, the citation to** Garðarsdóttir et al. (2018) **was incorrectly written as Güleç et al. (2020). In footnote 2, the citation to** Kjärstad et al. (2016) **was incorrectly written as Skogsindustrierna (2016).** The corrected **Table 5** appears below.

In the original article, information was missing in the reference for **European Environment Agency [EEA] (2016),** which was incorrectly written as **European Environment Agency [EEA] (2016).** *European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR).* **Copenhagen: European Environment Agency.** It should be **European Environment Agency [EEA] (2016).** *European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR).* **Copenhagen: European Environment Agency. Available online at: http://prtr.eea.europa.eu/#/facilitylevels (accessed September 30, 2018).**

In the original article, the reference for **Swedish Ministry of the Environment (2017)** was incorrectly written as **Government Offices of Sweden, and Ministry of the Environment and Energy (2017).** *The Swedish Climate Policy Framework.* **Stockholm: Ministry of the Environment.** It should be **Swedish Ministry of the Environment (2017).** *The Swedish Climate Policy Framework.* **Stockholm: Government Offices of Sweden; Ministry of the Environment.**

In the original article, the reference for **Skogsindustrierna (2016)** was incorrectly written as **Skogsindustrierna, (2016).** *Skogsindustriernas Miljödatabas (Forest Industries Environmental Database.* **Bentley, WA: Forest Industries Federation.** It should be **Skogsindustrierna (2016).** *Skogsindustriernas Miljödatabas Forest Industries Environmental Database.* **Stockholm: Swedish Forest Industries Federation. Available online at: http://miljodatabas. skogsindustrierna.org/ (accessed August 31, 2018).**

In the original article, information was missing in the reference for **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA] (2016a),** which was incorrectly written as **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA], (2016a).** *Statistik och Uppföljning.* **Stockholm: Environmental Protection Agency.** It should be **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA], (2016a).** *Statistik och Uppföljning.* **Stockholm: Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. Available online at: https://www.naturvardsverket.se/Miljoarbete-i-samhallet/Miljoarbete-i-Sverige/Uppdelat-efter-omrade/Utslappshandel/Resultat-och-uppfoljning (accessed March 31, 2018).**

In the original article, information was missing in the reference for **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA] (2016b),** which was incorrectly written as **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA], (2016b).** *Swedish Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR).* **Stockholm: Environmental Protection Agency.** It should be **Swedish Environmental Protection Agency [SEPA] (2016b).** *Swedish*

Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR). **Stockholm: Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. Available online at: https://utslappisiffror.naturvardsverket.se/Sok/ (accessed March 31, 2018).**

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

Garðarsdóttir, S. Ó, Normann, F., Skagestad, R., and Johnsson, F. (2018). Investment costs and CO_2 reduction potential of carbon capture from industrial plants – A Swedish case study. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 76, 111–124. doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.06.022

Kjärstad, J., Skagestad, R., Eldrup, N. H., and Johnsson, F. (2016). Ship transport—A low cost and low risk CO_2 transport option in the Nordic countries. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 54, 168–184. doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.08.024

TABLE 5 | Mapping of potential for implementing carbon capture and storage at Swedish emission sources >500 kt/a.

ID-#/Heat Potential		CAPEX	OPEX		TOTAL	Transport Hub[2]	Distr. Heat[4]	Heat estimate[5]
		M€/a[1]	Fixed, M€/a	Variable, M€/a	Spec., €/tCO_2			
C-1[6,7]		13	6	10	61	#6		ChICaSP Quant.
IS-1[3]		22	9	33	40	#1	yes	ChICaSP Quant.
IS-2[3]		13	6	14	42	#1		ChICaSP Quant.
IS-3						#4		ChICaSP Desc.
	St. 1	6	3	6	67			
	St. 2	6	3	6	67			
	St. 3	10	5	8	43			
Mi-1		22	10	30	40	#4	yes	Other
PP-01						#4	yes	ChICaSP Quant.
	St. 1	20	9	27	45			
	St. 2	5	3	4	76			
PP-02						#2		Other
	St. 1	20	9	32	49			
	St. 2	5	3	4	76			
PP-03						#2		Other
	St. 1	18	8	27	51			
	St. 2	5	3	4	80			
PP-04						#6	yes	Other
	St. 1	16	7	23	53			
	St. 2	4	3	3	85			
PP-05						#2	yes	Other
	St. 1	16	7	22	53			
	St. 2	4	3	3	86			
PP-06[8]						#2	yes	ChICaSP Quant.
	St. 1	15	7	17	50			
	St. 2	4	2	3	89			
PP-07						#1	yes	Other
	St. 1	15	7	20	54			
	St. 2	4	2	3	90			
PP-08						#4	yes	Other
	St. 1	14	6	18	56			
	St. 2	4	2	2	94			
PP-09						#5	yes	Other
	St. 1	13	6	17	56			
	St. 2	4	2	2	95			
PP-10[8]						#6	yes	ChICaSP Desc.
	St. 1	13	6	14	52			
	St. 2	4	2	2	95			
PP-11						#6	yes	Other
	St. 1	13	6	17	56			
	St. 2	4	2	2	96			
PP-12						#2	yes	ChICaSP Quant.
	St. 1	13	6	16	57			
	St. 2	4	2	2	97			
PP-13						#1		ChICaSP Desc.
	St. 1	13	6	16	57			
	St. 2	4	2	2	99			

(Continued)

TABLE 5 | Continued

ID-#/Heat Potential	CAPEX	OPEX		TOTAL	Transport Hub[2]	Distr. Heat[4]	Heat estimate[5]
	M€/a[1]	Fixed, M€/a	Variable, M€/a	Spec., €/tCO$_2$			
PP-14	12	5	14	59	#5	yes	Other
PP-15					#1	yes	Other
St. 1	11	5	12	60			
St. 2	3	2	2	108			
PP-16					#4	yes	Other
St. 1	11	5	12	60			
St. 2	3	2	2	108			
PP-17					#2		ChICaSP Desc.
St. 1	10	5	11	61			
St. 2	3	2	1	112			
PP-18					#2		Other
St. 1	10	5	11	62			
St. 2	3	2	1	114			
PP-19					#6		Other
St. 1	9	5	10	64			
St. 2	3	2	1	120			
PP-20	9	4	9	64	#2		Other
R-1[7]					#6		ChICaSP Quant.
St. 1	8	4	7	50			
St. 2	10	5	9	66			
St. 3	8	4	8	70			
St. 4	6	3	5	75			
R-2[7]					#6	yes	Other
St. 1	8	4	5	66			
St. 2	6	3	5	82			
R-3[7]					#6	yes	ChICaSP Quant.
St. 1	7	4	5	67			
St. 2	6	3	4	83			

Heat availability: Green >1 MJ/kg CO_2 emitted, Red no significant potential for low-cost steam generation.

[1]Adopted from the work by Garðarsdóttir et al. (2018). [2]Adopted from the work by Kjärstad et al. (2016). [3]IS-1 is a CHP plant integrated with the IS-2 steel mill. [4]Potential competition with district heating. [5]Method for excess heat estimation: ChICaSP Quant.) Quantitative estimate based on data available in case study portfolio, ChICaSP Desc.) Descriptive assessment based on information in case study portfolio Other) Estimate based on comparison with models or similar sites. [6]Part of industrial cluster. Excess heat assumed to be available also from neighboring process plants. [7]Excess heat potential strongly dependent on temperature requirement. [8]Mill increased capacity significantly after 2016. Excess heat estimation made for prospective future production levels and site energy system.