



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Gianluca Tamagno,
Hermitage Medical Clinic, Ireland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Aydin Sav

✉ murataydinsav@gmail.com

RECEIVED 11 January 2025

ACCEPTED 17 March 2025

PUBLISHED 03 April 2025

CITATION

Sav A (2025) Editorial: Diagnosis
and treatment of non-functioning
pituitary tumors.

Front. Endocrinol. 16:1558988.

doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1558988

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sav. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Diagnosis and treatment of non-functioning pituitary tumors

Aydin Sav *

Yeditepe University, School of Medicine, Department of Medical Pathology, Istanbul, Türkiye

KEYWORDS

editorial, frontiers endocrinology, pituitary endocrinology, diagnosis, treatment, nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma (NPPA), molecules

Editorial on the Research Topic

Diagnosis and treatment of non-functioning pituitary tumors

As defined in the 2017 WHO classification, pituitary adenoma evolved into PitNET in the more recent 2022 WHO classification. In the relevant literature, PA (pituitary adenoma) and PitNET (pituitary neuroendocrine tumor) have been used interchangeably, and NPPA and NF-PitNET for non-functioning pituitary adenoma. Non-functioning pituitary adenoma (NF PitNET) is a relatively rare anterior pituitary tumor originating from hormone-producing neuroendocrine cells of the adenohypophysis. Clinical implications depend on the mass effect of sellar or parasellar locations unaccompanied by any clinical signs of hormonal hypersecretion. The most typical manifestations are visual disturbances, headache, cranial nerve dysfunction, and hypopituitarism. They might be discovered incidentally (1). Non-functioning pituitary adenomas (NPPAs) are among the most common tumors in the sellar region. They account for 15-30% of pituitary adenomas. These lesions do not cause hyperpituitarism. In the majority of cases, they are found incidentally (particularly microadenomas), or their mass effect gives rise to compressive symptoms such as headache and visual field defects, along with hypopituitarism. The clinical term “non-functioning” is not a diagnosis but a description of a clinical scenario with many differential diagnoses. The most common lesion is a gonadotroph tumor, as described above; they constitute approximately 70–75% of clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas (1, 2). Non-functioning pituitary adenomas are segregated depending on age. Silent PitNETs are silent corticotroph tumors among adult tumors (1). Immature PIT1-lineage tumors are predominantly seen in younger age groups among non-functioning NPPAs (3). Clinically silent corticotrophs and silent PIT1-lineage tumors, such as non-functioning immature PIT1-lineage tumors, show a biologically aggressive pattern (4). Diagnosis is usually made in the context of mass effect due to a macroadenoma or, increasingly, fortuitously during imaging performed for unrelated purposes; the latter case is known as pituitary incidentaloma. Surgery is indisputably indicated in the case of tumor syndrome. However, other aspects of NPPA (hormonal work-up, follow-up, especially postoperative follow-up, management of remnant or recurrence, the special case of incidentaloma, or apoplexy) remain controversial (5). Invasive non-functional pituitary adenomas account for 35% of NPPAs. Although a vast number of ongoing studies have investigated the complete underlying molecular mechanisms of the invasive potential of NPPA, they have not yet deciphered the underlying molecular mechanisms of invasiveness (6). Diagnostic NF-PitNET issues are problematic in pathology

and clinical science. [Chang et al.](#) investigated bioactive molecules carrying small vesicles and exosomes, imparting RNA to regulate recipient cells. Exosomes bear a specific microRNA, hsa-miR-1180, as an early tumor NF-PitNET biomarker. The hsa-miR-21-5p accelerates distant osteogenesis in GHPA. Exosomal protein transcripts are potential invasive biomarkers, such as MMP1, N-cadherin, CDK6, RHOA, INSM1, and RASSF10. In review, Tumor suppressors in the exosomes represent novel therapeutic applications of exosomes, including long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) H19, miR-149-5p, miR-99a-3p, and miR-423-5p. Divergent contents of exosomes, such as long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) H19, miR-149-5p, miR-99a-3p, and miR-423-5p, participate in cells of NF-PitNET mechanisms are planned to be used in clinical diagnosis and their treatment.

A recent WHO classification emphasizes the importance of transcription factors (TFs) in the diagnosis and treatment of neoplasms originating from the pituitary gland, namely PitNETs. TFs are referred to as their molecular profiles, steroidogenic factor (SF-1), T-box family member TBX19 (TPIT), and POU class 1 homeobox 1 (Pit-1). [Woo et al.](#) investigated a selected cohort of NF-PitNET cases (n=113) and classified the profiles based on TF distribution. The distribution of NF-PitNET TF profiles showed that the majority of NF-PitNETs were SF-1-lineage tumors (58.4%), followed by TPIT-lineage tumors (18.6%), tumors with no distinct lineage (16.8%) and Pit-1-lineage tumors (6.2%). COX regression showed no lineage difference between SF1 and PitNET without any evidence of lineage profile. There was no correlation between tumor volume and PitNET without any lineage, and they were accepted as independent predictors of a composite of residual or recurrent disease. The 2022 WHO classification adds to the importance of TFs and lineage-based systems for subtyping in the prediction and prognosis of NF-PitNETs.

As previous studies have shown, NF-PitNETs have little response to therapeutics. The study by [Gil et al.](#) linked epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) to resistance to medical treatment in a group of pituitary adenomas. Their research revealed the potential usefulness of medical treatment for NF-PitNETs by closely examining the expression of somatostatin receptors and dopamine-associated genes. Moreover, SNAI1, SNAI2, Vimentin, KLK10, PEBP1, Ki-67 and SSTR2 were associated with invasive NF-PitNET. Genetically, PEBP1 overexpression was remarkably high in recurrent NF-PitNETs, giving the impression that it could predict growth recurrence with 100% sensitivity but only 43% specificity. The EMT phenomenon was more common in NF-PitNETs than in GH-secreting pituitary tumors.

In conclusion, EMT has a place in NF PitNETs. SSTR3 targeting could be a potential therapeutic target in selected cases other than corticotropinoma with low expression of SSTR3. Due to its presence, this molecule could be a predictive indicator of recurrence in NF-PitNETs.

The well-defined preoperative and postoperative complications of pituitary adenoma patients were analyzed based on their various signs, such as headache, vomiting, and visual field defects based on improvement. [Wang et al.](#) looked at the difference between young (<73 years) and older (>73 years) patients classified as having

functioning and non-functioning pituitary adenomas. The majority of non-functioning pituitary adenoma patients were elderly patients (73,7%) who had a high degree of suprasellar invasion but a low degree of parasellar invasion ($P < 0.0001$). Older patients suffered from a high incidence of suprasellar invasion, poor postoperative visual improvement, a higher rate of intratumoral bleeding, and comorbid postoperative complications, such as cerebrospinal fluid leakage and fever. In conclusion, specific signs and symptoms associated with the postoperative period of the elderly NFPA cohort needed instant surgical attempts to improve their health status.

NF-PitNETs are resistant to medical therapeutics. Therefore, the intention is to provide knowledge of the biological properties of PitNETs. [Wu et al.](#) explored immune infiltration-associated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by deciphering high/low immune scores calculated by the ESTIMATE algorithm. Another technique, WGCNA, analyze to construct a coexpression network of immune cell-related genes. Random forest analysis selection analyzes candidate genes associated with invasion. Finally, external validation verified gene expressivity using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). In conclusion, the 8-gene (BMP6, CIB2, FABP5, HOMER2, MAML3, NIN, PRKG2, and SIDT2) classification model was correlated with acceptable values verified by qRT-PCR. All these pivotal roles in invasion and progression can be used as major targets for immunotherapy.

Author contributions

AS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Mete O, Cintosun A, Pressman I, Asa SL. Epidemiology and biomarker profile of pituitary adenohypophysial tumors. *Mod Pathol.* (2018) 31:900–9. doi: 10.1038/s41379-018-0016-8
2. Nishioka H, Inoshita N, Mete O, Asa SL, Hayashi K, Takeshita A, et al. The complementary role of transcription factors in the accurate diagnosis of clinically nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas. *Endocr Pathol.* (2015) 26:349–55. doi: 10.1007/s12022-015-9398-z
3. Yamaguchi-Okada M, Inoshita N, Nishioka H, Fukuhara N, Yamada S. Clinicopathological analysis of nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas in patients younger than 25 years of age. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* (2012) 9:511–6. doi: 10.3171/2012.1.PEDS11330
4. Asa SL, Mete O, Perry A, Osamura RY. Overview of the 2022 WHO classification of pituitary tumors. *Endocr Pathol.* (2022) 33:6–26. doi: 10.1007/s12022-022-09703-7
5. Chanson P, Raverot G, Castinetti F, Cortet-Rudelli C, Galland F, Salenave S. Management of clinically non-functioning pituitary adenoma. *Ann Endocrinol.* (2015) 76:239–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ando.2015.04.002
6. Hosseinkhan N, Honardoost M, Emami Z, Cheraghi S, Hashemi-Madani N, Khamseh ME. A systematic review of molecular alterations in invasive non-functioning pituitary adenoma. *Endocrine.* (2022) 77:500–9. doi: 10.1007/s12020-022-03105-9