Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Eleonore Fröhlich, Medical University of Graz, Austria

REVIEWED BY

Jerzy Beltowski, Medical University of Lublin, Poland Jignesh Shah, Bharati Vidyapeeth's Medical College, India Chongbin Liu, Central South University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Qian Li [v] lq620903@163.com

RECEIVED 28 August 2024 ACCEPTED 28 February 2025 PUBLISHED 18 March 2025

CITATION

Rui Y, Wu B, Huang C and Li Q (2025) Association between the stress hyperglycemia ratio and all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with T2DM: a retrospective study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1487496. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1487496

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Rui, Wu, Huang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between the stress hyperglycemia ratio and allcause mortality in critically ill patients with T2DM: a retrospective study

Yuanyuan Rui¹, Bing Wu², Changbao Huang¹ and Qian Li^{1*}

¹Department of Emergency, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College, Wuhu, Anhui, China, ²Department of Emergency, the Second People's Hospital of Lu'an City, Lu'an, Anhui, China

Background: Previous studies have shown a significant correlation between the stress-hyperglycemia ratio (SHR) and mortality. However, it is unknown whether the SHR has the same predictive value in severely ill patients. The main purpose of this research was to investigate the association between the SHR and all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with T2DM.

Methods: The data used in this study were derived from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC-IV) database. The primary outcome was 180-day mortality and the secondary outcomes were 28-day, 90-day and 365-day mortality. The main analytical methods included: Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, the COX proportional hazards model and restricted cubic splines.

Results: A total of 993 patients were included. The 28-day, 90-day, 180-day, and 365-day mortalities reached 10.4%, 14.4%, 16.7% and 19.0%, respectively. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis revealed that the elevated SHR was significantly related to 28-day, 90-day and 180-day all-cause mortality even after cofounder adjustment. Restricted cubic spline analysis revealed a nonlinear association between the SHR and the risk of 28-day (p for nonlinear=0.014), 90-day (p for nonlinear=0.007), 180-day (p for nonlinear=0.001) and 365-day (p for nonlinear=0.003) all-cause mortality.

Conclusion: SHR is significantly associated with 28-day, 90-day and 180-day allcause mortality in critically ill patients with T2DM. This may help us identify patients at higher risk of death early.

KEYWORDS

SHR, all-cause mortality, MIMIC-IV, T2DM, critically ill patients

Introduction

With the development of medical technology, the monitoring and treatment measures for critically ill patients are becoming increasingly abundant, providing patients with meticulous medical care. This trend is placing an increasing burden on the global health economy. Notably, nearly 12% of global health spending in 2015 was spent on tackling T2DM and its associated complications (1). Patients with T2DM are more likely to develop complications and even die during hospitalization (2). Therefore, for patients with T2DM, early screening, early diagnosis and early intervention are essential to improve their prognosis.

SHR, an abbreviation for the stress-hyperglycemia ratio, is a new clinical marker that has been proposed in recent years. It is calculated using the formula: SHR = (admission blood glucose in mg/dL)/(28.7 × HbA1c (%) - 46.7). This value is calculated on the basis of blood glucose and HbA1c measured at admission (3). Previous studies have demonstrated a nonlinear association between the SHR and adverse endpoints in severely ill patients (4–6). However, in severely ill people with T2DM, whether the SHR has the same predictive value is unknown. Therefore, the main purpose of this paper is to study the above relationship.

Materials

Study population

This study was a retrospective analysis utilizing data from the MIMIC-IV database (version 2.2), whose full name is the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care Database (7). The author (Yuan Rui) followed the requirements for database access and was responsible for the extraction of the data. We included patients diagnosed with T2DM who were admitted to the (ICU) during their hospitalization, based on data extracted from the MIMIC-IV database. The following groups of people were excluded (1): patients under 18 years old at the time of their initial admission (2); patients with multiple ICU admissions, from whom only data from the first admission were collected (3); patients who passed away within 3 hours of admission; and (4) patients lacking adequate data (ABG and HbA1c) on the first day of admission. Finally, 993 participants were included in this study, which categorized them into four groups according to the quartiles of the SHR index (Figure 1).

Data collection

The software PostgreSQL (version 13.7.2) was utilized to retrieve information. The extracted data can be divided into the following categories: Demographics, past medical history, Laboratory parameters and Severity of illness scores. The calculation of the stress-hyperglycemia ratio (SHR) is as follows (3):

$$SHR = (admission blood glucose in mg/dl)/(28.7)$$

 \times HbA1c (%) – 46.7)

All variables were obtained from the data collected within 24 hours of admission.

To prevent potential bias, variables with over 20% missing values are eliminated. For those with less than 20% missing values, the "missForest" package in R Studio was used to impute the data.

Clinical outcomes

The main outcome of this study was all-cause mortality at 180 days. The secondary outcomes included all-cause mortality at 28 days, 90 days, and 365 days.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are categorized into normally distributed and nonnormally distributed data. Normally distributed data are presented as means \pm standard deviations, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) is employed to assess differences between groups. In contrast, nonnormally distributed data are represented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs), with the Kruskal-Wallis test used for group comparisons. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages, and chi-square tests are utilized to evaluate differences between groups. The K-M survival analysis was employed to assess the occurrence of endpoint events in each group, and the log-rank test was utilized to compare differences between groups.

We divided patients into four groups: quartile 1 with SHR \leq 0.81, quartile 2 with 0.81<SHR \leq 1.00, quartile 3 with 1.00<SHR \leq 1.29, quartile 4 with SHR>1.29. First, we identified variables that were associated with outcomes via univariate Cox regression analysis. We set up three models for multivariate Cox regression analysis. We then employed the Cox proportional hazards model to examine the relationships between the SHR and the outcomes. The SHR was assessed using both nominal and continuous variables. The P values for trends were determined based on quartile levels.

Additionally, RCS regression model analysis was used to explore nonlinear correlation between the SHR and endpoints. To further investigate the effects of risk factors on the associations between the SHR and outcomes, we performed subgroup analyses. P-values for interactions are shown on the graphs.

Abbreviations: SHR, Stress-hyperglycemia ratio; WBC, White blood cell; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; BG, Blood glucose; SOFA, Sequential organ failure assessment; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; SAPS II, Simplified acute physiology score II; MI, Myocardial infarction; HBP, High blood pressure; CKD, Chronic kidney disease; HF, Heart failure; AF, Atrial fibrillation; COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder; Los, Length of stay.



A two-tailed p-value below 0.05 was deemed statistically significant. All the statistical analysis were conducted using R software (version 4.4.0) and StataMP 16.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

mortality rates at 28 days, 90 days, 180 days, and 365 days were 10.4%, 14.4%, 16.7%, and 19.0%, respectively (Table 1).

Results

In total, 993 participants were included in our study. Of these, 629 participants were male, with a mean age of 68.1 years. The overall mean of SHR is 1.1. The mean length of hospital stay and mean ICU stay were 7.8 days and 2.1 days, respectively. The

Baseline characteristics

As shown in Table 1, we categorized participants into four groups according to the SHR measured at admission, and presented demographic characteristics, laboratory parameters, past medical history, scores, length of hospital stay, and survival outcomes in each of the four groups. The SHR levels of the four groups were as

TABLE 1 Characteristics and outcomes of patients grouped by SHR quartiles^a.

	Total (n=993)	Q1 (n=244)	Q2 (n=237)	Q3 (n=258)	Q4 (n=254)	р
SHR	1.1 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 0.0	1.7 ± 0.5	<0.001
Male [n (%)]	629 (63.3)	147 (60.2)	161 (67.9)	159 (61.6)	162 (63.7)	0.320
Age (years)	68.1 ± 12.2	65.2 ± 12.5	69.2 ± 12.0	68.8 ± 12.0	69.0 ± 12.0	<0.001
Weight (kg)	89.9 ± 24.8	89.6 ± 27.0	89.2 ± 22.5	91.1 ± 25.4	88.9 ± 24.1	0.502
Race [n (%)]						0.935
Black	109 (10.9)	32 (13.1)	25 (10.5)	26 (10.0)	26 (10.2)	
White	516 (51.9)	121 (49.5)	122 (51.4)	138 (53.4)	135 (53.1)	
Hispanic	45 (4.5)	9 (3.6)	14 (5.9)	10 (3.8)	12 (4.7)	
Other	323 (32.5)	82 (33.6)	76 (32.0)	84 (32.5)	81 (31.8)	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Total (n=993)	Q1 (n=244)	Q2 (n=237)	Q3 (n=258)	Q4 (n=254)	р				
Laboratory tests										
Serum sodium (mEq/L)	138.5 ± 4.7	139.3 ± 3.7	138.7 ± 4.4	138.8 ± 3.7	137.4 ± 6.3	<0.001				
Serum potassium (mEq/L)	4.2 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 1.0	<0.001				
Serum chloride (mEq/L)	101.4 ± 5.5	102.4 ± 4.6	101.3 ± 4.8	101.6 ± 4.6	100.2 ± 7.2	< 0.001				
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	1.3 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.0	1.6 ± 1.6	< 0.001				
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.1 ± 2.1	12.0 ± 2.1	12.4 ± 2.1	12.2 ± 1.8	11.9 ± 2.2	0.054				
WBC (K/uL)	10.3 ± 5.2	9.5 ± 4.2	9.8 ± 6.3	10.0 ± 4.2	11.8 ± 5.4	< 0.001				
Platelet (K/uL)	213.5 ± 74.1	213.8 ± 76.7	209.8 ± 67.6	214.3 ± 71.3	215.9 ± 80.2	0.827				
BUN (mg/dL)	23.6 ± 17.0	21.8 ± 14.6	21.2 ± 14.3	21.0 ± 11.4	30.5 ± 23.4	<0.001				
Bicarbonate (mEq/L)	23.2 ± 4.3	23.4 ± 4.2	24.0 ± 3.9	23.5 ± 3.8	21.8 ± 5.0	< 0.001				
Anion gap (mEq/L)	15.6 ± 4.0	14.8 ± 3.6	14.9 ± 3.1	15.2 ± 3.5	17.2 ± 5.0	< 0.001				
HbA1c (%)	7.7 ± 2.0	8.4 ± 2.4	7.5 ± 1.9	7.4 ± 1.7	7.5 ± 2.0	<0.001				
BG (mg/dL)	191.0 ± 104.1	124.0 ± 44.2	153.4 ± 51.6	187.7 ± 57.6	293.7 ± 136.1	<0.001				
Scores										
sofa	1 (0, 3)	1 (0, 3)	1 (0, 3)	1 (0, 3)	2 (0, 4)	0.646				
GCS	33 (26, 40)	32 (24, 40)	32 (25, 39)	33 (26, 39)	36 (27, 44)	<0.001				
SAPSII	15 (15, 15)	15 (15, 15)	15 (15, 15)	15 (15, 15)	15 (15, 15)	0.464				
Commorbidities										
MI	287 (28.9)	68 (27.8)	72 (30.3)	66 (25.5)	81 (31.8)	0.413				
HBP	491 (49.4)	126 (51.6)	123 (51.8)	138 (53.4)	104 (40.9)	0.018				
CKD	242 (24.3)	58 (5.8)	48 (20.2)	58 (22.4)	78 (30.7)	0.041				
HF	292 (29.4)	63 (6.3)	70 (29.5)	67 (25.9)	92 (36.2)	0.034				
Sepsis	407 (40.9)	88 (36.0)	85 (35.8)	104 (40.3)	130 (51.1)	0.001				
AF	340 (34.2)	70 (28.6)	81 (34.1)	93 (36.0)	96 (37.7)	0.162				
COPD	109 (10.9)	22 (9.0)	21 (8.8)	25 (9.6)	41 (16.1)	0.024				
Outcomes										
Los_icu	2.1 (1.3,4.2)	1.9 (1.2,3.7)	2.1 (1.2,4.1)	2.2 (1.3,4.5)	2.4 (1.3,4.9)	0.027				
Los_hos	7.8 (5.0,11.9)	7.9 (5.1,12.3)	7.6 (4.9,11.9)	7.9 (5.2,11.7)	7.8 (4.6,12.6)	0.716				
Death28	104 (10.4)	16 (6.5)	22 (9.2)	21 (8.1)	45 (17.7)	<0.001				
Death90	143 (14.4)	26 (10.6)	29 (12.2)	29 (11.2)	59 (23.2)	<0.001				
Death180	166 (16.7)	33 (13.5)	35 (14.7)	32 (12.4)	66 (25.9)	<0.001				
Death365	189 (19.0)	43 (17.6)	43 (18.1)	33 (12.7)	70 (27.5)	<0.001				

^aSHR quartiles: Q1 (≤0.81),Q2 (0.81<SHR ≤ 1.00),Q3 (1.00<SHR ≤ 1.29),Q4 (SHR>1.29).

SHR, stress hyperglycemia ratio; WBC, white blood cell; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; BG, blood glucose; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score II; MI, myocardial infarction; HBP, high blood pressure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; HF, heart failure; AF, atrial fibrillation; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder; Los, length of stay.

follows: quartile 1 (SHR \leq 0.81), quartile 2 (0.81<SHR \leq 1.00, quartile 3 (1.00<SHR \leq 1.29), and quartile 4 (SHR>1.29). The mean SHR values for each group were: 0.6 ± 0.1, 0.8 ± 0.0, 1.1 ± 0.0, and 1.7 ± 0.5. Participants in the higher quartile of SHR index generally had higher age, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum chloride,

serum creatinine, WBC, blood glucose, HbA1c, BUN, bicarbonate, anion gap, higher GCS scores and higher prevalence of hypertension, CKD, heart failure, sepsis and COPD compared to the lower quartile. Patients with higher SHR levels had longer hospital stays and ICU stays, and their 28-day, 90-day, 180-day, and 365-day mortality rates were higher than those with lower SHR levels.

Clinical outcomes

As is shown in Figure 2, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis curves were utilized to examine the occurrence of the primary outcome and secondary outcomes across the four groups categorized by the quartiles of the SHR index. Patients with a higher SHR index had a higher risk of 28-day, 90-day, 180-day and 365-day mortality, and the differences were significant (log-rank p<0.001,<0.001, 0.001, 0.020 respectively). Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to explore the relationships between variables and 180-day mortality in critically ill patients with T2DM. Moreover, we also included a subset of variables that may influence the endpoints based on clinical experience. Ultimately, we identified gender, age, hemoglobin, sepsis, MI, HBP, HF and SAPSII as influential factors. The results demonstrated that when the SHR was a continuous variable, it was a significant risk factor in Model 1, but in Model 2 and Model 3, there was no statistically significant difference between SHR and 180-day mortality. When the SHR was treated as a nominal variable, patients in the highest quartile of the SHR showed a significant association with an increased risk of 180day mortality across all three established Cox proportional hazards models: Model 1[HR, 2.16 (1.42-3.28) P<0.001], Model 2[HR, 1.70 (1.24-2.23) P=0.001], Model 3[HR, 1.55 (1.11-2.14) P=0.008]. When we took 28-day, 90-day, 365-day mortality as the endpoints, we could get similar outcomes (Tables 2, 3). At last, the RCS regression model was used to explore the nonlinear relationships between the SHR and 28-day, 90-day, 180-day and 365-day mortality ((P for non-linearity=0.014, 0.007, 0.001, 0.003, respectively) (Figure 3).

Subgroup analysis

The SHR index was found to be significantly linked to an increased risk of 180-day mortality in subgroups of T2DM patients aged 65 years or younger [HR (95% CI) 1.481 (1.126-1.950)], those without a history of myocardial infarction [HR (95% CI) 1.214 (1.000-1.474)], and those without heart failure. Additionally, in the stratified analyses for 28-day and 90-day mortality, the SHR index also showed a significant correlation with an increased probability of mortality in subgroups of patients without myocardial infarction and those without heart failure (Figure 4).



at 28 days (a), 90 days (b), 180 days (c) and 365 days (d)



(a) Restricted cubic spline for 28-day mortality; (b) restricted cubic spline for 90-day mortality; (c) restricted cubic spline for 180-day mortality; (d) restricted cubic spline for 365-day mortality. HR, hazard ratio; Cl, confidence interval; SHR, stress hyperglycemia ratio.

Discussion

Our findings revealed that an increased SHR index was linked to increased adverse outcomes at 28 days, 90 days, and 180 days in these individuals. Notably, after accounting for confounding factors, there was still a significant association between the SHR and outcomes. We also studied the nonlinear correlation between the SHR and prognosis. It was found that there was a U-shaped correlation between the two. This correlation suggests that both an increase and a decrease in the level of the SHR leads to an increase in mortality. Thus, this finding is significant for clinicians to predict the outcomes of patients in clinical practice.

Critically ill patients hospitalized in the ICU often develop SHR. Research has shown that nearly half of patients in the ICU have develop stress hyperglycemia (8). The basic mechanism is mainly related to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic-adrenal system (9). This stress response is even more intense because patients in the ICU are critically ill. To date, a number of studies have found the predictive impact of the SHR on mortality. Jin Liu et al. revealed a nonlinear correlation of the SHR and adverse endpoints in individuals with MI in both American and Chinese cohorts (6). Another study of acute coronary syndromes had similar findings (10). Fengjuan Yan and his colleagues found that the correlation between SHR and the adverse endpoints was Ushaped in individuals with sepsis (5).

SHR is an important measure that helps mitigate the impact of prolonged chronic glycemic factors on stress hyperglycemia levels, thus providing a precise representation of the body's physiological stress response. In the available literature, studies on the association between the SHR and mortality are still relatively scarce. Recently, Wei Xu conducted a study that found an elevated SHR to be an risk factor for mortality in the ICU among a cohort of 8,196 patients



with coronary artery disease (11). Interestingly, they also performed restricted cubic spline analysis and found that in-hospital mortality increased linearly with the gradual rise of SHR. In contrast, Lei Ding and colleagues discovered a nearly U-shaped correlation between SHR and all-cause mortality in individuals with prediabetes (12). In line with these variations, our research also demonstrated a U- shaped correlation between the SHR and the outcomes in individuals with T2DM.

The U-shaped relationship indicates that both an increase and a decrease in the SHR are detrimental to prognosis. In other words, a mild-to-moderate SHR is protective of the patient prognosis. This finding coincides with those of several previous studies (9, 13). The

TABLE 2 Cox proportional hazard ratios (HRs) for 28-day and 90-day all-cause mortality.

Categories	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend
28-days more	tality								
SHR as continuous	1.48 (1.11-1.96)	0.007		1.39 (1.03-1.86)	0.027		1.20 (0.89-1.63)	0.219	
Quartile ^a			<0.001			0.001			0.003
Q1 (n=244)	Ref.			Ref.			Ref.		
Q2 (n=237)	1.52 (0.79-2.89)	0.201		1.21 (0.71-2.06)	0.475		1.29 (0.75-2.20)	0.343	
Q3 (n=258)	1.49 (0.77-2.86)	0.229		1.33 (0.69-2.56)	0.386		1.41 (0.73-2.73)	0.297	
Q4 (n=254)	2.79 (1.58-4.95)	<0.001		2.01 (1.36-2.97)	<0.001		1.81 (1.21-2.71)	0.003	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
Categories	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend
90-days mor	tality								
SHR as continuous	1.35 (1.07-1.71)	0.011		1.29 (1.01-1.65)	0.035		1.11 (0.87-1.42)	0.394	
Quartile ^a			<0.001			<0.001			0.002
Q1 (n=244)	Ref.			Ref.			Ref.		
Q2 (n=237)	1.28 (0.75-2.18)	0.354		1.21 (0.71-2.07)	0.464		1.34 (0.78-2.29)	0.276	
Q3 (n=258)	1.58 (0.93-2.71)	0.089		1.30 (0.83-2.06)	0.246		1.40 (0.88-2.22)	0.148	
Q4 (n=254)	2.39 (1.50-3.79)	< 0.001		1.84 (1.31-2.57)	< 0.001		1.61 (1.14-2.28)	0.007	

Model 1: unadjusted.

Model 2: adjusted for age, male.

Model 3: adjusted for age, male, hemoglobin, sepsis, MI, hypertension, heart failure, SAPS II. ^aSHR quartiles: Q1 (\leq 0.81),Q2 (0.81<SHR \leq 1.00),Q3 (1.00<SHR \leq 1.29),Q4 (SHR>1.29).

exact mechanisms behind the U-shaped correlation are still unclear. Previous studies might provide insight into the underlying mechanisms involved. Stress hyperglycemia is believed to be a physiological reaction aimed at reestablishing homeostasis during times of significant stress. The body's metabolic processes rely on a prompt supply of glucose. This concept is underscored by studies involving animal models of hemorrhagic shock, which demonstrated that administering a hypertonic glucose solution led to enhanced cardiac output, elevated blood pressure, and improved survival rates (14). A basic research on myocardial

TABLE 3 Cox proportional hazard ratios (HRs) for 180-day and 365-day all-cause mortality.

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
Categories	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend	HR (95%CI)	P- value	P for trend
180-days mo	rtality								
SHR as continuous	1.26 (1.01-1.56)	0.033		1.21 (0.97-1.51)	0.084		1.07 (0.86-1.34)	0.519	
Quartile ^a			< 0.001			<0.001			0.002
Q1 (n=244)	Ref.			Ref.			Ref.		
Q2 (n=237)	1.26 (1.01-1.56)	0.381		1.20 (0.74-1.93)	0.452		1.28 (0.78-2.07)	0.316	
Q3 (n=258)	1.63 (0.99-2.67)	0.053		1.38 (0.90-2.13)	0.137		1.43 (0.93-2.21)	0.100	
Q4 (n=254)	2.16 (1.42-3.28)	< 0.001		1.70 (1.24-2.32)	0.001		1.55 (1.11-2.14)	0.008	
365-days mo	rtality								
SHR as continuous	1.19 (0.97-1.45)	0.092		1.15 (0.93-1.42)	0.173		1.03 (0.83-1.26)	0.772	
Quartile ^a			0.002			0.005			0.014
Q1 (n=244)	Ref.			Ref.			Ref.		
Q2 (n=237)	1.17 (0.76-1.79)	0.457		1.17 (0.76-1.79)	0.464		1.28 (0.83-1.97)	0.263	
Q3 (n=258)	1.48 (0.92-2.36)	0.098		1.30 (0.85-1.97)	0.214		1.35 (0.89-2.06)	0.154	
Q4 (n=254)	1.75 (1.19-2.56)	0.004		1.45 (1.08-1.96)	0.013		1.31 (0.97-1.79)	0.077	

Model 1: unadjusted.

Model 2: adjusted for age, male.

Model 3: adjusted for age, male, hemoglobin, sepsis, MI, hypertension, heart failure, SAPS II. ^aSHR quartiles: Q1 (≤ 0.81),Q2 ($0.81 < SHR \leq 1.00$),Q3 ($1.00 < SHR \leq 1.29$),Q4 (SHR>1.29). infarction found that hyperglycemia decreases the release of proinflammatory factors and activation of apoptosis, and increases the release of cell growth factors. As a result, there was a decrease in myocardial infarction size and myocardial fibrosis, and improvements in cardiac systolic function (15). Therefore, moderate hyperglycemia has a very important protective effects on the body's metabolism and inflammatory response. These basic studies are consistent with the conclusions of clinical studies. The SHR is capable of forecasting negative outcomes in patients with heart failure (16–19), stroke (20, 21) and pneumonia (22).

Patients with low SHR tend to have hypoglycemia, while patients with high SHR tend to have stress hyperglycemia, both of which can cause disruption to the body's internal environment. Patients who develop hypoglycemia during hospitalization are more likely to develop conditions such as heart failure and AKI. They also have a higher in-hospital mortality rate (23). Some basic experiments have found that hypoglycemia can activate platelets (24) and increase fatty acid concentrations (25), thereby inducing cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications. In addition, hypoglycemia activates the body's sympathetic-adrenal system, causing an increase in heart rate and increased cardiac output (26). Sometimes, hypoglycemia directly affects the heart's electrical activity, causing arrhythmia (27). High SHR levels tend to indicate stress hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemia may trigger inflammation (28), endothelial cell dysfunction (29), and oxidative stress (30), resulting in dysfunction of the microcirculation. For patients with severe disease, these pathophysiological processes are detrimental to the outcome of the disease, especially for those who are older or have more underlying diseases.

High SHR represents stress-induced hyperglycemia, which has been demonstrated in multiple studies to be associated with poor prognosis (31). Under stress conditions, the body elevates blood glucose levels to provide additional energy in response to acute injury or severe infection. However, this short-term adaptive response may lead to persistent hyperglycemia in critically ill patients, triggering oxidative stress, exacerbating inflammatory responses, impairing immune function, and causing endothelial damage. These detrimental effects increase the risk of infection, organ dysfunction, and mortality. Although hypoglycemia also poses certain risks to the body, such as arrhythmias, neurological impairment, and increased mortality, the impact of high SHR on organ function through inflammation, oxidative stress, and metabolic dysregulation is more pronounced in critically ill patients. Moreover, the incidence of hypoglycemia in ICU and critically ill patients is relatively low and can typically be managed with timely glucose supplementation. In contrast, high SHR is often accompanied by insulin resistance and exacerbated inflammation, making treatment more challenging and leading to more significant long-term consequences. Therefore, in critically ill patients, high SHR may present greater clinical harm than low SHR.

In conclusion, previous research have established a connection between stress hyperglycemia and clinical outcomes. Alongside our findings, this highlights the critical need to maintain an optimal SHR, as substantial deviations—whether too high or too low—can result in adverse health consequences. Inevitably, this study has several shortcomings. First, due to the limitations of the database, we were not able to include some variables outside the database, which may had some impact on outcomes in actual clinical practice. Secondly, since this is an observational study, a definitive causal relationship between the variable and the outcome cannot be determined. This depends on future prospective multicenter studies to further investigate the association between the them. Third, we included only the initial SHR of patients within 24 hours of admission, and did not pay attention to the dynamics of the SHR, which may be more strongly related to patient outcomes.

Conclusion

In this study, the SHR index proved to be an effective predictor of all-cause mortality risk in patients with T2DM. A U-shaped correlation was observed between the SHR and short-term and long-term outcomes. Testing for the SHR helps to make the right clinical decisions in the course of clinical practice. Whether there is a causal relationship between SHR and mortality requires further research.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies involving humans because the use of the MIMIC-IV-v2.2 database was approved by the review committee of Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. The data is publicly available (in theMIMIC-IV-v2.2 database) hence ethical approval statement and the informed consent is not required for the study. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from a by- product of routine care or industry. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YR: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BW: Formal Analysis, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CH: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. QL: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by Teaching and Research Project of Provincial Quality Engineering Project in Anhui Province in 2020 (No.2020jyxm2118) and Major project of Scientific Research Program for Colleges and Universities of Anhui Provincial Education Department (Grant Number: 2022AH040168).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Zheng Y, Ley SH, Hu FB. Global aetiology and epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2018) 14:88–98. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.151

2. Raghavan S, Vassy JL, Ho YL, Song RJ, Gagnon DR, Cho K, et al. Diabetes mellitus-related all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in a national cohort of adults. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2019) 8:e011295. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.011295

3. Roberts GW, Quinn SJ, Valentine N, Alhawassi T, O'Dea H, Stranks SN, et al. Relative hyperglycemia, a marker of critical illness: introducing the stress hyperglycemia ratio. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2015) 100:4490–7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2015-2660

4. Li L, Zhao M, Zhang Z, Zhou LK, Zhang ZH, Xiong YX, et al. Prognostic significance of the stress hyperglycemia ratio in critically ill patients. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:275. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02005-0

5. Yan F, Chen X, Quan X, Wang L, Wei XY, Zhu JL, et al. Association between the stress hyperglycemia ratio and 28-day all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with sepsis: a retrospective cohort study and predictive model establishment based on machine learning. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:163. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02265-4

6. Liu J, Zhou Y, Huang H, Liu R, Kang Y, Zhu TT, et al. Impact of stress hyperglycemia ratio on mortality in patients with critical acute myocardial infarction: insight from american MIMIC-IV and the chinese CIN-II study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:281. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02012-1

7. Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Sci Data*. (2023) 10:1. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

8. Ertorer ME, Haydardedeoglu FE, Erol T, Anaforoglu I, Binici S, Tutuncu NB, et al. Newly diagnosed hyperglycemia and stress hyperglycemia in a coronary intensive care unit. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. (2010) 90:8–14. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2010.05.023

9. Marik PE, Bellomo R. Stress hyperglycemia: an essential survival response! Crit Care. (2013) 17:305. doi: 10.1186/cc12514

10. Yang J, Zheng Y, Li C, Gao J, Meng XB, Zhang K, et al. The impact of the stress hyperglycemia ratio on short-term and long-term poor prognosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome: insight from a large cohort study in Asia. *Diabetes Care.* (2022) 45:947–56. doi: 10.2337/dc21-1526

11. Xu W, Song Q, Wang X, Zhao Z, Yang X, Xia CX, et al. Association of stress hyperglycemia ratio and in-hospital mortality in patients with coronary artery disease: insights from a large cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:217. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01645-y

12. Ding L, Zhang H, Dai C, Zhang AK, Yu FY, Mi LJ, et al. The prognostic value of the stress hyperglycemia ratio for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with diabetes or prediabetes: insights from NHANES 2005-2018. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:84. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02172-8

13. Losser MR, Damoisel C, Payen D. Bench-to-bedside review: Glucose and stress conditions in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care*. (2010) 14:231. doi: 10.1186/cc9100

14. McNamara JJ, Mills D, Aaby GV. Effect of hypertonic glucose on hemorrhagic shock in rabbits. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (1970) 9:116–21. doi: 10.1016/s0003-4975(10)65784-0

15. Malfitano C, Alba Loureiro TC, Rodrigues B, Sirvente R, Salemi Cury VM, Rabechi NB, et al. Hyperglycaemia protects the heart after myocardial infarction: aspects of programmed cell survival and cell death. *Eur J Heart Fail*. (2010) 12:659–67. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfq053

16. Li L, Ding L, Zheng L, Wu LM, Hu ZC, Liu LM, et al. Relationship between stress hyperglycemia ratio and acute kidney injury in patients with congestive heart failure. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:29. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02105-x

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025. 1487496/full#supplementary-material

17. Mohammed AQ, Luo Y, Wang K, Su Y, Liu L, Yin GQ, et al. Stress hyperglycemia ratio as a prognostic indicator for long-term adverse outcomes in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:67. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02157-7

18. Li L, Zhao Z, Wang S, Wang JJ. Stress hyperglycemia ratio and the clinical outcome of patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2024) 15:1404028. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1404028

19. Zhou Y, Liu L, Huang H, Li N, He JD, Yao HL, et al. [amp]]lsquo;Stress hyperglycemia ratio and in-hospital prognosis in non-surgical patients with heart failure and type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:290. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01728-w

20. Pan H, Xiong Y, Huang Y, Zhao J, Wan HF. Association between stress hyperglycemia ratio with short-term and long-term mortality in critically ill patients with ischemic stroke. *Acta Diabetol.* (2024) 61:859–68. doi: 10.1007/s00592-024-02259-4

21. Chu H, Huang C, Tang Y, Dong Q, Guo QH. The stress hyperglycemia ratio predicts early hematoma expansion and poor outcomes in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. (2022) 15:17562864211070681. doi: 10.1177/17562864211070681

22. Liu B, Chen Y, Yu L, Zhou M. Stress hyperglycemia ratio is associated with systemic inflammation and clinical outcomes in diabetic inpatients with pneumonia on admission. *J Diabetes*. (2023) 15:545–56. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.13398

23. Zinman B, Marso SP, Christiansen E, Calanna S, Rasmussen S, Buse JB, et al. Hypoglycemia, cardiovascular outcomes, and death: the LEADER experience. *Diabetes Care.* (2018) 41:1783–91. doi: 10.2337/dc17-2677

24. Kahal H, Halama A, Aburima A, Bhagwat AM, Butler AE, Graumann J, et al. Effect of induced hypoglycemia on inflammation and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes and control subjects. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:4750. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-61531-z

25. Yamamoto K, Ito T, Nagasato T, Shinnakasu A, Kurano M, Arimura A, et al. Effects of glycemic control and hypoglycemia on Thrombus formation assessed using automated microchip flow chamber system: an exploratory observational study. *Thromb J.* (2019) 17:17. doi: 10.1186/s12959-019-0206-8

26. Wright RJ, Frier BM. Vascular disease and diabetes: is hypoglycaemia an aggravating factor? *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2008) 24:353-63. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.865

27. Chow E, Bernjak A, Williams S, Fawdry RA, Hibbert S, Freeman J, et al. Risk of cardiac arrhythmias during hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk. *Diabetes*. (2014) 63:1738–47. doi: 10.2337/db13-0468

28. Yamamoto Y, Yamamoto H. RAGE-mediated inflammation, type 2 diabetes, and diabetic vascular complication. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2013) 4:105. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2013.00105

29. Ferroni P, Basili S, Falco A, Davì G. Platelet activation in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2004) 2:1282–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2004.00836.x

30. Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, et al. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *JAMA*. (2006) 295:1681-7. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.14.1681

31. Zhang C, Shen HC, Liang WR, Ning M, Wang ZX, Chen Y, et al. Relationship between stress hyperglycemia ratio and allcause mortality in critically ill patients: Results from the MIMIC-IV database. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2023) 14:1111026. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1111026