Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hong Weng, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, China

REVIEWED BY Xianfeng Wu, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China Qiangqiang He, Tsinghua University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fang Lu Meerfang@126.com

RECEIVED 03 June 2024 ACCEPTED 24 January 2025 PUBLISHED 13 February 2025

CITATION

Zhao M, Xiao M, Zhang H, Tan Q, Ji J, Cheng Y and Lu F (2025) Combined and mediating effects of remnant cholesterol and renal function on hypertension risk in Chinese middle-aged and elderly people. *Front. Endocrinol.* 16:1442918. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2025.1442918

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhao, Xiao, Zhang, Tan, Ji, Cheng and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Combined and mediating effects of remnant cholesterol and renal function on hypertension risk in Chinese middle-aged and elderly people

Mengjie Zhao^{1,2}, Mengli Xiao^{1,2}, Huie Zhang^{1,2}, Qin Tan^{1,2}, Jinjin Ji^{1,2}, Yurong Cheng³ and Fang Lu^{1,4,5*}

¹Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medicine Sciences, Beijing, China, ²Graduate School of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ³Graduate School of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ⁴National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) Key Laboratory for Clinical Research and Evaluation of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ⁵National Clinical Research Center for Chinese Medicine Cardiology, Beijing, China

Background: Emerging evidence indicates a potential correlation between remnant cholesterol (RC) and the development of vascular damage and hypertension. Nevertheless, the precise relationship between RC and hypertension in relation to renal function remains uncertain. The objective of this investigation was to employ a cohort design to evaluate the intricate correlation between RC and renal function in relation to hypertension.

Methods: The present investigation utilized data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), encompassing a total of 5,109 participants, for comprehensive data analysis and examination. Cox regression analysis was employed to examine the interplay among RC, renal function, and hypertension within the context of this research study. This study utilized restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis to elucidate the interaction between RC, renal function, and hypertension, specifically examining the mediating role of renal function in the RC-hypertension nexus. Furthermore, we employed mediation analysis to investigate the potential mediating role of renal function in the association between RC and hypertension.

Results: After a 9-year follow-up period, the incidence of hypertension in the population under investigation was observed to be 19.01%. The Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrated a notable and statistically significant elevation in the prevalence of hypertension within the subgroup characterized by higher RC and impaired renal function (P <0.001). However, in Cox regression analyses, the risk of developing hypertension was significantly higher (P <0.05) in those with high RC and high estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and those with high RC and low eGFR, compared with those with low RC and high eGFR, after adjusting for confounders. The analysis of RCS demonstrated a significant positive linear correlation between baseline RC and the prevalence of hypertension. Additionally, there was a notable negative linear correlation observed between eGFR levels and the prevalence of hypertension. RC and eGFR did not interact with any of the subgroup variables. eGFR lowering mediated 6% of the associations between RC and hypertension.

Conclusion: The findings of this study unveiled a substantial correlation between elevated RC, diminished eGFR levels, and the risk of developing hypertension. In addition, renal function may mediate the correlation between RC and hypertension risk.

KEYWORDS

remnant cholesterol, renal function, estimated glomerular filtration rate, hypertension, mediation analysis

Introduction

In recent years, there has been an escalating prevalence of both hypertension and chronic kidney disease (CKD), and there is an interconnection between these two diseases, which seriously increases the socio-economic burden (1–4). Hypertension is recognized as a risk factor for renal insufficiency (5–8). Numerous studies have demonstrated the substantial influence of renal injury on the progression of hypertension (9, 10). Similar results have been observed in children with low birth weight (11). Experimental animal studies have demonstrated that even minor renal impairment can induce hypertension in rats (12). However, there is still controversy about the association between kidney injury and hypertension (13–15). This was verified by the latest Mendelian randomization study, which found that improved renal function only had a causal effect on lowering blood pressure, while the reverse causality between the two was not significant (16).

Residual cholesterol (RC) is the cholesterol component of triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins (17). Over the past few years, extensive research has revealed the pivotal contribution of RC and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) to the pathogenesis and advancement of hypertension (18–20). Recent studies have shown that the association between hypertension and RC exceeds that of LDL in American adults (21). Patients with CKD are often associated with dyslipidemia (22–25), which is manifested by elevated triacylglycerol (TG) levels, reduced concentration and function of high-density lipoproteins (HDL), and elevated levels of small dense low-density lipoproteins (sdLDL), which have atherosclerotic effects (26). An abundance of studies has consistently demonstrated a robust correlation between elevated RC levels and the onset of CKD (27–29). Based on a recent

observational study, a positive correlation has been observed between the levels of RC in individuals with hypertension and their susceptibility to developing CKD (30). However, the intricate interplay among RC levels, renal function, and hypertension remains inadequately elucidated in the existing literature.

Therefore, the present investigation endeavors to undertake a comprehensive, large-scale, long-term follow-up study, with the goal of furnishing additional evidence pertaining to the intricate relationships linking RC levels, renal function, and hypertension.

Methods

The dataset employed in this study was sourced from the comprehensive China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) database. The CHARLS national baseline survey began in 2011, with follow-up assessments every 2 years, and five rounds of the survey have been completed to date (2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2020). Conducted via the face-to-face interview method, the CHARLS is a research survey that primarily focuses on individuals and families aged 45 years and above within China's middle-aged and older population. The study also incorporates physical measurements during follow-up visits (31). For the utilization of data in this study, formal authorization was sought from the CHARLS project team, and ethical approval was granted by the Ethics Review Board of Peking University (No. IRB00001052-11015) (32).

This study was analyzed using follow-up data from 2011-2020. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age \geq 45 years at wave 1; (2) had total cholesterol (TC), HDL, LDL, creatinine (Cr), and cystatin C (Cys C) at wave 1; (3) not yet hypertensive at wave 1; and (4) hypertension status information recorded at follow-up. The study population encompassed a comprehensive cohort of 5,109 participants. The screening process for participants is illustrated in Figure 1.

Data collection and definitions

All CHARLS projects collected demographic characteristics and lifestyle behaviors of the observed subjects in the form of questionnaires and tested blood biochemical indicators. The study

Abbreviations: RC, Remnant cholesterol; CHARLS, China health and retirement longitudinal study; RCS, Restricted cubic spline; eGFR, Estimated glomerular filtration rate; CKD, Chronic kidney disease; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein; TG, Triacylglycerol; HDL, High-density lipoproteins; sdLDL, Small dense low-density lipoproteins; TC, Total cholesterol; Cr, Creatinine; Cys C, Cystatin C; BMI, Body mass index; HbA1c, Hemoglobin A1c; CRP, C-reactive protein; CKD-EPI, Chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; IQR, Interquartile range; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence intervals; IPTW, Inverse probability of treatment weighting; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; UA, Uric acid.



encompassed the collection of the following variables. The demographic characteristics assessed in this study encompassed age, gender, educational attainment, and marital status. The calculation of body mass index (BMI) was based on the parameters of weight and height. Chronic disease status included dyslipidemia, heart disease, and stroke. Dyslipidemia was diagnosed by a self-reported physician-diagnosed, and/or current use of lipid-lowering drugs, and/or TC \geq 240 mg/dl, TG \geq 200 mg/dl, HDL-C < 40 mg/dl, LDL-C \geq 160 mg/dl (33). Medication history included lipid-lowering and hypoglycemic medications. Laboratory tests included blood biochemistry for TC, HDL, LDL, Cr, Cys C, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), and C-reactive protein (CRP).

RC and eGFR

The RC value was calculated based on the observed concentrations of TC, LDL, and HDL. The formula for RC is: RC = TC-(LDL+HDL) (34, 35). The eGFR level was determined using the formula provided by the 2021 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) (36). Impaired renal function was defined as an eGFR below 60 ml/min/1.73 m² (37).

Definition of hypertension

The primary outcome of this study was the occurrence of hypertension over the follow-up period, spanning from wave 2 to wave 5. Hypertension was specifically identified as the self-reported presence of hypertension, a systolic blood pressure (SBP) equal to or greater than 140 mmHg, and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) equal to or greater than 90 mmHg as measured during follow-up, or current treatment with antihypertensive medication (38).

Statistical analysis

Due to the non-normal distribution of the data, the baseline characteristics of the study population were statistically presented using medians along with their interquartile ranges (IQRs) and percentages, respectively. In order to assess the correlation between RC levels, eGFR, and the likelihood of developing hypertension, we employed multivariable-adjusted Cox regression models. RC levels were categorized into two groups according to the median. After calculating eGFR values for each participant, we segregated the population into two distinct groups, utilizing a cutoff value of 60. Then, RC and eGFR were combined to group the population into four categories. In addition, combined grouping based on RC levels (using tertiles) and eGFR values (60 and 90) divided the participants into 9 categories. To investigate the potential dose-response association between RC levels, eGFR, and the risk of developing hypertension, we employed a combination of restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis and Cox regression modeling.

Furthermore, additional subgroup analyses were conducted. To strengthen the reliability of our results, we performed a sensitivity analysis utilizing the inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) technique. IPTW is an individual standardization method through propensity score weighting. Using the principle of the standardization method, the reciprocal of the propensity score value is taken to obtain the weight, and each study object is weighted to generate a larger sample. The weighted sample can be seen as the exposure is randomly assigned and can eliminate the influence of confounders (39, 40). In addition, we validated the analyses separately by removing missing data, excluding patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) at baseline, excluding patients with a history of taking lipid-lowering and glucose-lowering medications, and additionally adjusting for uric acid (UA), etc. To further assess the stability of the Cox regression model, we calculated the E-values to evaluate the minimum strength of association that an unmeasured confounder would need to have with both RC and eGFR in relation to hypertension to completely nullify the observed association.

We constructed and analyzed two sets of mediation models. In the first mediation model, we assessed the mediating role of eGFR levels between RC and hypertension using hypertension as the dependent variable, RC as the independent variable, and eGFR as the mediating variable. In the other model, we examined the mediating role of RC levels between eGFR and hypertension. Each model was analyzed separately according to unadjusted versus adjusted confounders. Sampling was repeated 5000 times using a nonparametric bootstrap method to assess the indirect effects of RC and eGFR (41). The Bootstrap method is a nonparametric resampling procedure. In this study, Bootstrap samples similar to the original samples were obtained by performing 5,000 repetitive samples with put-backs on the existing samples. We computed the total and indirect effects of the samples collected during each sampling stage, allowing us to derive the 95%CI for the mediating effect. A significant mediating effect was identified if the confidence interval excluded zero.

The joint effects analysis estimated the joint effect of RC combined with eGFR on incident hypertension by testing additive and multiplicative interactions in a multivariate corrected Cox model. Binary RC and binary eGFR were analyzed by including them in multivariate Cox regression models as product terms and adjusting for other possible confounders, with HRs reflecting multiplicative interactions (42).

The evaluation of additive interaction is based on three indicators, namely (1) the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), (2) the

attributable proportion due to interaction (AP), and (3) the synergy index (SI). The RERI is used to measure the magnitude of the relative effect due to the attributable interaction effect. The more significant the absolute value of RERI, the stronger the interaction between the factors. The AP indicates the proportion of the attributable interaction effect in the joint effect of the two factors. The larger the absolute value of the AP, the stronger the interaction between the factors. SI refers to the ratio of the effect of the simultaneous presence of the two factors to the sum of the effects of the two factors alone. The larger the absolute value of SI, the stronger the interaction between the factors. In general, synergistic interactions existed when both RERI and AP were >0, the CI did not include 0, SI was >1, and the confidence interval did not include 1. When RERI and AP are <0, the confidence interval does not include 0, SI <1 and the confidence interval does not include 1, there is an antagonistic interaction (43). The delta method was employed to determine the 95% confidence intervals for the three indicators in question (44).

We performed the statistical analysis using Stata 16.0 and R 4.1.3 software, and considered a significance level of P < 0.05 to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Baseline characteristics

There were 5,109 participants in this study, with a median age of 66. Males comprised 47.39% of the participants, 68.47% had primary education or higher, and 88.37% were married or cohabiting (Table 1). The median RC at baseline was 18.94 mg/dL. Compared with the remaining three groups, those with high RC (\geq 18.94) and impaired renal function had a higher proportion of obesity, comorbid diabetes mellitus, and use of lipid-lowering and glucose-lowering medications (P < 0.05). Furthermore, elevated RC levels and reduced renal function showed a significant positive correlation with CRP, HbA1c, TC, and LDL levels, while demonstrating a negative

 TABLE 1 Characteristics of 5,109 participants categorized by RC and eGFR levels.

	Total	RC<18.94&eGFR ≥60	RC<18.94&eGFR <60	RC≥18.94&eGFR ≥60	RC <u>≥</u> 18.94&eGFR <60	Ρ
Age, years	66.00 (59.00, 74.00)	66.00 (59.00, 75.00)	82.00 (77.00, 86.00)	66.00 (59.00, 73.00)	81.00 (71.75, 88.00)	< 0.001
Sex, n (%)						< 0.001
Male	2421 (47.39)	1212 (48.58)	28 (84.85)	1156 (45.57)	25 (56.82)	
Education level, n (%)						0.091
No formal education	2398 (46.94)	1187 (47.58)	15 (45.45)	1172 (46.2)	24 (54.55)	
Primary school	1100 (21.53)	526 (21.08)	9 (27.27)	553 (21.80)	12 (27.27)	
Middle or high school	1419 (27.77)	685 (27.45)	5 (15.15)	723 (28.50)	6 (13.64)	
College or above	192 (3.76)	97 (3.89)	4 (12.12)	89 (3.51)	2 (4.55)	
Marital status, n (%)						0.054
Married	4515 (88.37)	2192 (87.86)	28 (84.85)	2261 (89.12)	34 (77.27)	
Other	594 (11.63)	303 (12.14)	5 (15.15)	276 (10.88)	10 (22.73)	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Total	RC<18.94&eGFR ≥60	RC<18.94&eGFR <60	RC≥18.94&eGFR ≥60	RC≥18.94&eGFR <60	Р
BMI, kg/m ² , n (%)						< 0.001
18.5-24	2939 (57.53)	1558 (62.44)	26 (78.79)	1330 (52.42)	25 (56.82)	
<18.5	460 (9.00)	275 (11.02)	4 (12.12)	176 (6.94)	5 (11.36)	
24-28	1305 (25.54)	538 (21.56)	2 (6.06)	758 (29.88)	7 (15.91)	
>28	405 (7.93)	124 (4.97)	1 (3.03)	273 (10.76)	7 (15.91)	
Dyslipidemia, n (%)						< 0.001
Yes	1901 (37.21)	535 (21.44)	14 (42.42)	1323 (52.15)	29 (65.91)	
Diabetes, n (%)						< 0.001
Yes	711 (13.92)	242 (9.70)	6 (18.18)	451 (17.78)	12 (27.27)	
Heart disease, n (%)						0.289
Yes	444 (8.69)	204 (8.18)	4 (12.12)	230 (9.07)	6 (13.64)	
Stroke, n (%)						0.579
Yes	60 (1.17)	32 (1.28)	0 (0)	27 (1.06)	1 (2.27)	
Lipid-lowering drugs, n (%)						0.003
Yes	125 (2.45)	42 (1.68)	1 (3.03)	80 (3.15)	2 (4.55)	
Hypoglycemic drugs, n (%)						0.036
Yes	110 (2.15)	49 (1.96)	1 (3.03)	56 (2.21)	4 (9.09)	
Smoking status, n (%)						0.045
Yes	2023 (39.60)	983 (39.40)	21 (63.64)	1001 (39.46)	18 (40.91)	
Drinking status, n (%)						0.266
Yes	1085 (21.24)	557 (22.32)	5 (15.15)	514 (20.26)	9 (20.45)	
CRP, mg/L	0.89 (0.50, 1.88)	0.80 (0.47, 1.72)	1.39 (0.96, 3.34)	0.97 (0.52, 1.99)	1.42 (0.82, 3.77)	< 0.001
Hba1c, %	5.10 (4.90, 5.40)	5.10 (4.80, 5.40)	5.20 (4.80, 5.70)	5.10 (4.90, 5.40)	5.20 (5.00, 5.60)	< 0.001
Cys C, mg/L	0.96 (0.84, 1.10)	0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	1.66 (1.39, 1.83)	0.93 (0.81, 1.07)	1.44 (1.26, 1.72)	< 0.001
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²	104.10 (92.72, 112.50)	104.80 (94.26, 112.90)	53.41 (46.73, 56.31)	103.80 (92.58, 112.40)	54.76 (50.25, 57.30)	< 0.001
SCr, mg/L	0.75 (0.64, 0.87)	0.73 (0.63, 0.85)	1.31 (1.27, 1.46)	0.75 (0.64, 0.87)	1.28 (1.17, 1.36)	< 0.001
TC, mg/dL	187.90 (165.10, 213.00)	181.70 (160.80, 205.30)	179.00 (156.20, 207.20)	194.10 (170.90, 220.40)	207.80 (179.50, 237.80)	< 0.001
HDL, mg/dL	50.64 (41.37, 61.08)	55.28 (47.55, 64.95)	53.74 (44.85, 58.38)	45.23 (37.11, 55.28)	47.36 (36.15, 60.31)	< 0.001
LDL, mg/dL	113.70 (92.40, 135.30)	114.00 (94.33, 135.30)	111.30 (92.40, 151.50)	112.90 (90.46, 135.30)	124.30 (96.75, 143.90)	0.099
RC, mg/dL	18.94 (11.21, 30.54)	11.21 (7.34, 14.69)	11.98 (9.66, 14.30)	30.15 (23.97, 44.46)	28.61 (24.65, 42.91)	< 0.001

association with HDL levels (P <0.05). During up to 9.0 years of follow-up, 971 (19.01%) individuals had hypertension.

Association of RC and eGFR with risk of hypertension

We evaluated the cumulative occurrence of hypertension by analyzing the combined baseline RC and eGFR values. Notably,

individuals with elevated RC and compromised renal function exhibited the highest prevalence of hypertension, as depicted in Figure 2A. In addition, when both were assessed separately, we found a higher incidence of hypertension in either those with higher RC or those with impaired renal function, respectively (Figures 2B, C).

Upon adjustment for potential confounding factors, our analysis revealed a statistically significant elevation in the risk of hypertension among populations characterized by high RC and either high or low eGFR values, when compared to those with low RC and high eGFR



values. The hazard ratios (HR [95%CI]) obtained were 1.15 [1.00, 1.31] and 2.10 [1.25, 3.54], as presented in Table 2. In comparison to the low RC group, the high RC population exhibited a heightened likelihood of developing hypertension, with a hazard ratio (HR [95%CI]) of 1.15

(1.01,1.32). The study revealed that individuals with impaired renal function faced a notably higher risk of hypertension compared to those with normal renal function (HR [95%CI]: 1.76 [1.16,2.67]), as demonstrated in Table 2. Our investigation unveiled a positive

TABLE 2 Cox regression to assess the association of RC and eGFR with hypertension.

	Crude	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)
RC < 18.94 & eGFR ≥ 60	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)
RC < 18.94 & eGFR < 60	1.55 (0.77, 3.13)	1.52 (0.76, 3.07)	1.58 (0.78, 3.20)	1.60 (0.79, 3.24)
$RC \ge 18.94 \& eGFR \ge 60$	1.29 (1.14, 1.47)	1.30 (1.14, 1.47)	1.16 (1.01, 1.32)	1.15 (1.00, 1.31)
$RC \ge 18.94 \& eGFR < 60$	2.40 (1.43, 4.01)	2.31 (1.38, 3.87)	2.11 (1.25, 3.55)	2.10 (1.25, 3.54)
RC < 18.94	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)
RC≥18.94	1.30 (1.15, 1.48)	1.30 (1.15, 1.48)	1.16 (1.01, 1.33)	1.15 (1.01, 1.32)
$eGFR \ge 60$	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	1(Ref)
eGFR < 60	1.76 (1.16, 2.66)	1.71 (1.13, 2.6)	1.74 (1.15, 2.65)	1.76 (1.16, 2.67)

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RC, remnant cholesterol.

Median RC index: 18.94.

Model 1: age + sex + education level + marital status;

Model 2: Model 1 + BMI + dyslipidemia + heart disease + stroke + smoking status + drinking status;

Model 3: Model 2 + lipid-lowering drugs + hypoglycemic drug + HbA1c + CRP.

association between RC and the incidence of hypertension, whereas eGFR exhibited a negative correlation with the likelihood of developing hypertension (Figure 3).

Subgroup analysis

After stratifying for sex, age, education level, and BMI, we found no interaction between RC combined with eGFR and any of the subgroup variables (Table 3). Among participants aged \geq 60 years, women, elementary school education or less, and overweight, we

Interaction of RC and eGFR with incident hypertension

low eGFR (*P* <0.05) (Table 3).

Using RC, eGFR and the product term of the two as independent variables and new-onset hypertensive events as dependent variables, after controlling for a variety of confounders, cox regression showed that the HR and 95% CI for RC*eGFR was 1.15 (0.48,2.73), suggesting

still found a higher risk of hypertension in those with high RC and



Linear associations of RC and eGFR with hypertension. (A) Association of RC with hypertension; (B) Association of eGFR with hypertension. We adjusted for potential confounders, including age, sex, education level, marital status, BMI, dyslipidemia, heart disease, stroke, smoking status, drinking status, lipid-lowering drugs, hypoglycemic drug, HbA1c, and CRP.

TABLE 3	Subgroup	analysis of	the	association	of the	RC a	and	eGFR	with	the	risk	of	hypertension.
---------	----------	-------------	-----	-------------	--------	------	-----	------	------	-----	------	----	---------------

Subgroup	N	RC < 18.94 & eGFR ≥ 60	RC < 18.94 & eGFR < 60	RC ≥ 18.94 & eGFR ≥ 60	RC ≥ 18.94 & eGFR < 60	P interaction
Age, years						0.128
<60	1,333	1(Ref)	9.26 (2.21, 38.7)	1.47 (1.1, 1.96)	2.31 (0.54, 9.94)	
≥60	3,776	1(Ref)	1.21 (0.54, 2.73)	1.06 (0.91, 1.24)	1.98 (1.13, 3.47)	
Sex						0.564
Male	2,421	1(Ref)	1.71 (0.8, 3.65)	1.19 (0.97, 1.46)	1.52 (0.71, 3.28)	
Female	2,688	1(Ref)	1.21 (0.17, 8.79)	1.12 (0.93, 1.35)	3.14 (1.53, 6.42)	
Education level						0.961
Primary and below	3,498	1(Ref)	1.55 (0.69, 3.51)	1.17 (1, 1.37)	2.21 (1.26, 3.89)	
Secondary and above	1,611	1(Ref)	2.24 (0.55, 9.17)	1.1 (0.84, 1.43)	1.75 (0.43, 7.15)	
BMI, kg/m ²						0.674
<24	3,399	1(Ref)	1.56 (0.73, 3.33)	1.07 (0.89, 1.27)	1.68 (0.83, 3.42)	
≥24	1,710	1(Ref)	1.84 (0.25, 13.25)	1.28 (1.03, 1.6)	3.17 (1.45, 6.94)	
Dyslipidemia						0.625
Yes	1,901	1(Ref)	1.16 (0.36, 3.72)	1.27 (1.01, 1.60)	2.36 (1.25, 4.45)	

(Continued)

TABLE 3 Continued

Subgroup	N	RC < 18.94 & eGFR ≥ 60	RC < 18.94 & eGFR < 60	RC ≥ 18.94 & eGFR ≥ 60	RC ≥ 18.94 & eGFR < 60	P interaction
No	3,208	1(Ref)	1.93 (0.79, 4.71)	1.07 (0.89, 1.27)	1.63 (0.61, 4.40)	
Heart disease						0.812
Yes	444	1(Ref)	1.62 (0.21, 12.34)	0.95 (0.61, 1.47)	2.01 (0.40, 10.17)	
No	4,665	1(Ref)	1.60 (0.75, 3.39)	1.16 (1.01, 1.34)	2.13 (1.22, 3.72)	
Stroke						0.403
Yes	60	1(Ref)	-	0.91 (0.19, 4.36)	_	
No	5,049	1(Ref)	1.54 (0.76, 3.12)	1.12 (0.98, 1.29)	1.94 (1.13, 3.33)	

In multivariate models, potential confounders other than grouping variables were adjusted for, including age, sex, education level, marital status, BMI, dyslipidemia, heart disease, stroke, smoking status, drinking status, lipid-lowering drugs, hypoglycemic drug, HbA1c, and CRP.

that there was no multiplicative interaction of RC and eGFR in hypertensive events (P >0.05) (Table 4). The evaluation indexes for the additive interaction of RC and eGFR were calculated and showed that RERI=0.36 (95% CI: -1.19, 1.90), AP=0.17 (95% CI: -0.51, 0.85), and SI=1.48 (95% CI: 0.25, 8.81). Thus, there was also no additive interaction between the two.

Sensitivity analysis

In the sensitivity analysis, the E-value was 2.72, indicating that to explain the observed HR of 2.10, an unmeasured confounder would need to be associated with both RC and eGFR in relation to hypertension with an HR of at least 2.72, higher than and beyond the measured confounders (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, we obtained consistent findings in several sensitivity analyses. The risk of hypertension prevalence was nearly identical to the above results when the model was constructed using IPTW, excluding those with CVD, those on lipid-lowering and glucose-lowering medications, and making additional adjustments for UA levels (Supplementary Figure 2). After deleting missing values, we found that the effect size was attenuated for high RC with high eGFR (P > 0.05), although

TABLE 4 Interaction of RC and eGFR on hypertensive events.

Interactive	Interactive effects (95% CI)							
items	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3					
Additive effects								
RERI	0.49 (-1.09,2.07)	0.37 (-1.17,1.91)	0.36 (-1.19,1.90)					
АР	0.21 (-0.40,0.82)	0.18 (-0.49,0.85)	0.17 (-0.51,0.85)					
SI	1.60 (0.33,7.77)	1.50 (0.25,8.95)	1.48 (0.25,8.81)					
Multiplicative effect	1.17 (0.49,2.79)	1.15 (0.48,2.75)	1.15 (0.48,2.73)					

CI, confidence interval; RERI, relative excess risk due to interaction; AP, proportion attributable to interaction; SI, synergy index.

Model 1: age + sex + education level + marital status;

Model 2: Model 1 + BMI + dyslipidemia + heart disease + stroke + smoking status + drinking status;

Model 3: Model 2 + lipid-lowering drugs + hypoglycemic drug + HbA1c + CRP.

the effect size was increased for the high RC with low eGFR population (HR [95%CI]: 3.88 [1.52,5.47]) (Supplementary Table 1). When stratified by tertiles of RC and by 60 and 90 eGFR, compared with the other 8 groups, the highest risk of hypertension (HR [95%CI]: 1.97 [1.04,3.73]) was still observed in those with high RC (T3) and low eGFR (<60) (Supplementary Table 2).

The study utilized mediation analysis to investigate the relationship between RC, eGFR, and new-onset hypertension. The results showed that the mediation rate of eGFR was 3.14% in the unadjusted model. After adjusting for age, sex, education level, marital status, BMI, dyslipidemia, heart disease, stroke, smoking status, drinking status, lipid-lowering drugs, hypoglycemic drugs, HbA1c, and CRP, the proportion of mediators of eGFR was elevated (Adjusted for 6.00% vs. 3.14%) (Supplementary Figures 3A, B). Furthermore, in the unadjusted model, we observed a mediating role of RC between eGFR and new-onset hypertension. However, this mediating effect was attenuated after adjustment for confounders (P > 0.05) (Supplementary Figures 3C, D).

Discussion

This study enrolled a total of 5,109 Chinese adults aged 45 years or above. Following a follow-up period of 9.0 years, the study revealed a positive correlation between higher baseline RC indices and lower eGFR values with an elevated risk of developing hypertension. This association was found to persist by adjusting for known risk factors and IPTW procedures. The RCS curve visualizes the relationship between RC levels or eGFR values and hypertension. Furthermore, the findings of this study elucidated that a reduction in eGFR values partially mediated the association between RC indices and hypertension.

Several studies have provided evidence supporting the utility of RC, a novel lipoprotein measure, in predicting the likelihood of developing hypertension. A large cohort study of 24,252 Chinese adults found a high correlation between RC and hypertension risk. In addition, it was confirmed that an increase in RC preceded the development of hypertension using cross-lag analysis (20). In a separate cohort study conducted within a Chinese community, it was demonstrated that heightened RC levels exhibited a robust correlation with elevated central SBP (45). In an investigation using the U.S. database, it was observed

that RC exhibited significant associations with hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and their co-morbid risks, potentially mediated by inflammatory responses (46). Considering the high prevalence of hypertension globally, even a small effect size of RC on hypertension (HR=1.15), when applied to millions of susceptible individuals, could have a significant impact on medical resource demands and disease burden. A prospective investigation involving middle-aged and elderly Japanese subjects revealed a positive correlation between RC levels and hypertension outcomes (47). A comprehensive lipid study conducted in Finland, encompassing a large sample size, demonstrated significant associations between several serum lipids, such as LDL, RC, and apolipoprotein B, and increased blood pressure. These findings were further validated through an inverse variance weighted fixed effects meta-analysis (18). It has also been reported that the RC index may increase the progression of CVD or adverse events in hypertensive patients (48).

It is worth noting that there may exist a connection between RC and impaired kidney function. According to a research study conducted by the Risk Assessment for Cancer in Chinese Patients with Diabetes Mellitus (REACTION), it was found that a higher RC was significantly linked to a greater probability of developing CKD among Chinese individuals aged 40 years and above (29). A recently published report from the REACTION study demonstrated that besides conventional lipids, Non-HDL-C, RC, and various lipid index ratios were more robustly linked to early progression of renal injury (49). Given the established association between renal function and hypertension, as well as the robust correlation observed between the RC index and renal function, our working hypothesis suggests that renal function could potentially serve as a mediating factor in the relationship between RC and hypertension. Our study results indicated that the link between RC and hypertension may be mediated by reduced renal function. Although eGFR only mediated 6% of the association, this result does not imply that the pathway is meaningless; rather, it suggests that there are more complex mechanisms between RC and hypertension. This finding provides a basis for subsequent studies to explore new biological mechanisms. Furthermore, the combined evaluation of RC and eGFR has the potential to provide valuable insights and stratify individuals within the population who are at the greatest risk for hypertension.

Our study also delved into the potential interactions between RC and eGFR related to hypertension risk. Contrary to our initial hypothesis, our findings suggest that there is no significant synergy or interaction between these two factors in influencing hypertension risk. In essence, although both RC and eGFR contribute independently to hypertension risk (18, 20, 45–47), their combined effect does not appear to exceed the sum of their individual effects. This observation complicates our understanding of how RC and eGFR together affect hypertension risk. Hypertension is a multifactorial disease caused by a variety of intertwined risk factors, including age, gender, smoking, alcohol consumption, obesity, genetics and other metabolic diseases (50, 51). The presence of these different factors may moderate the interaction between RC and eGFR, which may explain the lack of significant synergistic effects. Another possibility is that RC and eGFR act through different pathways associated with hypertension risk. Although both factors are associated with metabolic dysfunction, their respective mechanisms may be different.

Although our study did not reveal a synergistic effect between RC and eGFR, it does emphasize the importance of jointly considering these factors in hypertension risk assessment. Our findings suggest that the combined effect of RC and eGFR may be more effective than either factor alone in predicting hypertension risk. The concept of a joint effect implies that individuals with elevated RC and reduced eGFR values may be at higher risk of hypertension than individuals with abnormal values for only one of these variables.

The findings of the present study suggest that the effects of RC and eGFR on moderate to high blood pressure may be linear. CKD often occurs alongside cardiovascular risk factors, including dyslipidemia and hypertension (52). There is evidence that elevated RC levels may lead to oxidative stress and damage endothelial cells (53, 54), which prevents them from properly releasing vasodilators such as nitric oxide, which may lead to vasoconstriction and increased blood pressure. High cholesterol levels can damage the glomeruli. When the glomeruli are damaged, the kidneys' filtration function is weakened, leading to fluid retention and sodium activation in the body, which in turn causes hypertension (55). However, the exact biological mechanism of their combined action is still unknown.

Our research is subject to certain limitations. First, as our study was observational in nature, we were unable to confirm a causal relationship between RC, renal function, and hypertension as a definitive conclusion. Second, despite our efforts to account for various potential confounding factors, it is important to acknowledge that there may still be unidentified confounders that could impact the results. Third, CHARLS lacked data on urinary protein, renal imaging, and neuropathology, which may have biased the statistical results. Fourth, selection bias may result from excessive missing data at follow-up.

Conclusion

In the middle-aged and older Chinese demographic, there exists a correlation between RC, renal function, and hypertension. The results of this investigation revealed that impaired kidney function played a pivotal role in mediating the link between RC and hypertension. Therefore, long-term monitoring of RC levels and renal function may help prevent hypertension.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://charls.charlsdata.com/index/zh-cn.html.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Biomedical Ethics Review Board of Peking University and the Human Research Ethics Committee of Newcastle University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. MX: Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft. HZ: Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. QT: Data curation, Writing – original draft. JJ: Data curation, Software, Writing – review & editing. YC: Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. FL: Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Hospital Capability Enhancement Project of Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (NO.XYZX0404-10) and the Beijing Research Ward Demonstration Construction - Phase I Ward Construction (NO. BCRW202108).

References

1. Lewington S, Lacey B, Clarke R, Guo Y, Kong XL, Yang L, et al. The burden of hypertension and associated risk for cardiovascular mortality in China. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2016) 176:524–32. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.0190

2. He J, Gu D, Chen J, Wu X, Kelly TN, Huang JF, et al. Premature deaths attributable to blood pressure in China: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* (2009) 374:1765–72. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61199-5

3. Zhang L, Long J, Jiang W, Shi Y, He X, Zhou Z, et al. Trends in chronic kidney disease in China. N Engl J Med. (2016) 375:905–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1602469

4. Mortality GBD, Causes of Death C. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet.* (2015) 385:117–71. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2

5. Kong Y, Du X, Tang RB, Zhang T, Guo XY, Wu JH, et al. Whether warfarin therapy is associated with damage on renal function in Chinese patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. (2016) 129:1135–9. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.181970

6. Imai E, Ito S, Haneda M, Harada A, Kobayashi F, Yamasaki T, et al. Effects of blood pressure on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes and overt nephropathy: a *post hoc* analysis (ORIENT-blood pressure). *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. (2016) 31:447–54. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfv272

7. Levey AS, Coresh J, Balk E, Kausz AT, Levin A, Steffes MW, et al. National Kidney Foundation practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. *Ann Intern Med.* (2003) 139:137–47. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-139-2-200307150-00013

 Katatwire DD, Meremo A. Prevalence of and factors associated with uncontrolled hypertension among patients with early chronic kidney disease attending tertiary hospitals in Dodoma, Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. (2023) 13:e074441. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-074441

9. Huang M, Matsushita K, Sang Y, Ballew SH, Astor BC, Coresh J. Association of kidney function and albuminuria with prevalent and incident hypertension: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2015) 65:58–66. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.06.025

10. Kestenbaum B, Rudser KD, de Boer IH, Peralta CA, Fried LF, Shlipak MG, et al. Differences in kidney function and incident hypertension: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Ann Intern Med.* (2008) 148:501–8. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-148-7-200804010-00006

11. Brathwaite KE, Levy RV, Sarathy H, Agalliu I, Johns TS, Reidy KJ, et al. Reduced kidney function and hypertension in adolescents with low birth weight,

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2025. 1442918/full#supplementary-material

NHANES 1999-2016. Pediatr Nephrol. (2023) 38:3071-82. doi: 10.1007/s00467-023-05958-2

12. Andoh TF, Johnson RJ, Lam T, Bennett WM. Subclinical renal injury induced by transient cyclosporine exposure is associated with salt-sensitive hypertension. *Am J Transplant.* (2001) 1:222–7. doi: 10.1046/j.1600-6135.ajt10305.x

13. Johnson RJ, Herrera-Acosta J, Schreiner GF, Rodriguez-Iturbe B. Subtle acquired renal injury as a mechanism of salt-sensitive hypertension. *N Engl J Med.* (2002) 346:913–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra011078

14. Howie AJ. [amp]]lsquo;Benign' essential hypertension and kidney damage: a histopathologist's view. J Hum Hypertens. (1996) 10:691–4.

15. Hsu CY, McCulloch CE, Darbinian J, Go AS, Iribarren C. Elevated blood pressure and risk of end-stage renal disease in subjects without baseline kidney disease. *Arch Intern Med.* (2005) 165:923–8. doi: 10.1001/archinte.165.8.923

16. Yu Z, Coresh J, Qi G, Grams M, Boerwinkle E, Snieder H, et al. A bidirectional Mendelian randomization study supports causal effects of kidney function on blood pressure. *Kidney Int.* (2020) 98:708–16. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.04.044

17. Saeed A, Feofanova EV, Yu B, Sun W, Virani SS, Nambi V, et al. Remnant-like particle cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein triglycerides, and incident cardiovascular disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2018) 72:156–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.04.050

18. Palmu J, Tikkanen E, Havulinna AS, Vartiainen E, Lundqvist A, Ruuskanen MO, et al. Comprehensive biomarker profiling of hypertension in 36 985 Finnish individuals. *J Hypertens*. (2022) 40:579–87. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000003051

19. Wang J, Sun Q, An Y, Liu J, Leng S, Wang G. The association of remnant cholesterol (RC) and interaction between RC and diabetes on the subsequent risk of hypertension. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:951635. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.951635

20. Chen MM, Huang X, Xu C, Song XH, Liu YM, Yao D, et al. High remnant cholesterol level potentiates the development of hypertension. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:830347. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.830347

21. Shi L, Zhang D, Ju J, Wang A, Du T, Chen X, et al. Remnant cholesterol associates with hypertension beyond low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among the general US adult population. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2023) 14:1260764. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1260764

22. Zuo PY, Chen XL, Liu YW, Zhang R, He XX, Liu CY. Non-HDL-cholesterol to HDL-cholesterol ratio as an independent risk factor for the development of chronic kidney disease. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2015) 25:582–7. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2015.03.003

23. Miao L, Min Y, Qi B, Zhu CM, Chen JH, Deng GX, et al. Causal effect between total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol as risk factors for chronic kidney disease: a mendelian randomization study. *BMC Nephrol.* (2021) 22:35. doi: 10.1186/s12882-020-02228-3

24. Zhang L, Yuan Z, Chen W, Chen S, Liu X, Liang Y, et al. Serum lipid profiles, lipid ratios and Chronic Kidney Disease in a Chinese population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2014) 11:7622–35. doi: 10.3390/ijerph110807622

25. Zhang YB, Sheng LT, Wei W, Guo H, Yang H, Min X, et al. Association of blood lipid profile with incident chronic kidney disease: A Mendelian randomization study. *Atherosclerosis*. (2020) 300:19–25. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2020.03.020

26. Kochan Z, Szupryczynska N, Malgorzewicz S, Karbowska J. Dietary lipids and dyslipidemia in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:3138. doi: 10.3390/nu13093138

27. Oda H, Yorioka N, Okushin S, Nishida Y, Kushihata S, Ito T, et al. Remnant-like particle cholesterol may indicate atherogenic risk in patients on chronic hemodialysis. *Nephron.* (1997) 76:7–14. doi: 10.1159/000190133

28. Li B, Wang A, Wang Y, Li L, Li B, Yang Z, et al. A study on the correlation between remnant cholesterol and urinary albumin to creatinine ratio in Chinese community adults: A report from the REACTION study. *J Diabetes.* (2020) 12:870–80. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.13076

29. Yan P, Xu Y, Miao Y, Bai X, Wu Y, Tang Q, et al. Association of remnant cholesterol with chronic kidney disease in middle-aged and elderly Chinese: a population-based study. *Acta Diabetol.* (2021) 58:1615–25. doi: 10.1007/s00592-021-01765-z

30. Yuan T, Ding C, Xie Y, Zhou X, Xie C, Wang T, et al. Association between remnant cholesterol and chronic kidney disease in Chinese hypertensive patients. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2023) 14:1189574. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1189574

31. Li H, Li C, Wang A, Qi Y, Feng W, Hou C, et al. Associations between social and intellectual activities with cognitive trajectories in Chinese middle-aged and older adults: a nationally representative cohort study. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2020) 12:115. doi: 10.1186/s13195-020-00691-6

32. Zhang W, Chen Y, Chen N. Body mass index and trajectories of the cognition among Chinese middle and old-aged adults. *BMC Geriatr.* (2022) 22:613. doi: 10.1186/ s12877-022-03301-2

33. Joint Committee for Developing Chinese guidelines on Prevention and Treatment of Dyslipidemia in Adults. Chinese guidelines on prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia in adults. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi.* (2007) 35:390–419.

34. Nordestgaard BG, Langsted A, Mora S, Kolovou G, Baum H, Bruckert E, et al. Fasting is not routinely required for determination of a lipid profile: clinical and laboratory implications including flagging at desirable concentration cut-points-a joint consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society and European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:1944–58. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw152

35. Ooi EM, Afzal S, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated remnant cholesterol in 25hydroxyvitamin D deficiency in the general population: Mendelian randomization study. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* (2014) 7:650–8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.113.000416

36. Inker LA, Eneanya ND, Coresh J, Tighiouart H, Wang D, Sang Y, et al. New creatinine- and cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR without race. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 385:1737–49. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2102953

37. Ren X, Jiang M, Han L, Zheng X. Association between triglyceride-glucose index and chronic kidney disease: A cohort study and meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2023) 33:1121–8. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2023.03.026

38. Yu H, Tao L, Li YG, Yang L, Liu D, Wang Y, et al. Association between triglyceride-glucose index trajectories and carotid atherosclerosis progression. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:130. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01847-y

39. Mitchell JD, Gage BF, Fergestrom N, Novak E, Villines TC. Inverse probability of treatment weighting (Propensity score) using the military health system data repository and national death index. *J Vis Exp.* (2020). doi: 10.3791/59825

40. Robbins MW, Griffin BA, Shih RA, Slaughter ME. Robust estimation of the causal effect of time-varying neighborhood factors on health outcomes. *Stat Med.* (2020) 39:544–61. doi: 10.1002/sim.8423

41. Li L, Zhong HY, Xiao T, Xiao RH, Yang J, Li YL, et al. Association between selfdisclosure and benefit finding of Chinese cancer patients caregivers: the mediation effect of coping styles. *Support Care Cancer*. (2023) 31:684. doi: 10.1007/s00520-023-08158-8

42. Zheng J, Liu M, Zhao L, Hebert JR, Steck SE, Wang H, et al. Dietary inflammatory potential, inflammation-related lifestyle factors, and incident anxiety disorders: A prospective cohort study. *Nutrients*. (2023) 16. doi: 10.3390/nu16010121

43. Li R, Chambless L. Test for additive interaction in proportional hazards models. Ann Epidemiol. (2007) 17:227-36. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2006.10.009

44. Huo RR, Liao Q, Zhai L, You XM, Zuo YL. Interacting and joint effects of triglyceride-glucose index (TyG) and body mass index on stroke risk and the mediating role of TyG in middle-aged and older Chinese adults: a nationwide prospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:30. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02122-4

45. Li K, Fan F, Zheng B, Jia J, Liu B, Liu J, et al. Associations between remnant lipoprotein cholesterol and central systolic blood pressure in a Chinese communitybased population: a cross-sectional study. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2021) 20:60. doi: 10.1186/ s12944-021-01490-0

46. Wu Y, Wei Q, Li H, Yang H, Wu Y, Yu Y, et al. Association of remnant cholesterol with hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and their coexistence: the mediating role of inflammation-related indicators. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2023) 22:158. doi: 10.1186/s12944-023-01915-y

47. Kasahara A, Adachi H, Hirai Y, Enomoto M, Fukami A, Yoshikawa K, et al. High level of plasma remnant-like particle cholesterol may predispose to development of hypertension in normotensive subjects. *Am J Hypertens*. (2013) 26:793–8. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpt011

48. Wu W, Chen G, Wu K, Zheng H, Chen Y, Wang X, et al. Cumulative exposure to high remnant-cholesterol concentrations increases the risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with hypertension: a prospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:258. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01984-4

49. Zhai Q, Dou J, Wen J, Wang M, Zuo Y, Su X, et al. Association between changes in lipid indexes and early progression of kidney dysfunction in participants with normal estimated glomerular filtration rate: a prospective cohort study. *Endocrine.* (2022) 76:312–23. doi: 10.1007/s12020-022-03012-z

50. AlWabel AH, Almufadhi MA, Alayed FM, Aloraini AY, Alobaysi HM, Alalwi RM. Assessment of hypertension and its associated risk factors among medical students in Qassim University. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* (2018) 29:1100–08. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.243959

51. Abudesimu A, Liu F, Siti D, Adi D, Fu Z, Ma X, et al. An assessment of platelet parameters in different ethnic groups with hypertension subtypes and associated risk factors in Xinjiang, China. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. (2018) 40:574–81. doi: 10.1080/10641963.2017.1411494

52. Tonelli M, Wiebe N, Culleton B, House A, Rabbat C, Fok M, et al. Chronic kidney disease and mortality risk: a systematic review. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2006) 17:2034–47. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2005101085

53. Bale BF, Doneen AL, Leimgruber PP, Vigerust DJ. The critical issue linking lipids and inflammation: Clinical utility of stopping oxidative stress. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:1042729. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1042729

54. Zhao Y, Liu L, Yang S, Liu G, Pan L, Gu C, et al. Mechanisms of atherosclerosis induced by postprandial lipemia. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:636947. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2021.636947

55. Moorhead JF, Chan MK, El-Nahas M, Varghese Z. Lipid nephrotoxicity in chronic progressive glomerular and tubulo-interstitial disease. *Lancet.* (1982) 2:1309–11. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(82)91513-6