#### Check for updates

EDITED BY Yejun Tan, University of Minnesota Health Twin Cities, United States

#### REVIEWED BY Wei Zhou,

National Clinical Research Center For Child Health, China Wei Jiang, Nanjing Agricultural University, China

Xiao-xiao Yang [yangxiaoxiao2057@163.com](mailto:yangxiaoxiao2057@163.com) Yi-bo He [20173626@zcmu.deu.cn](mailto:20173626@zcmu.deu.cn)

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 14 November 2024 ACCEPTED 17 December 2024 PUBLISHED 09 January 2025

#### CITATION

Chen S-l, Fei Y-R, Cai X-x, Wang C, Tong S-y, Zhang Z-z, Huang Y-x, Bian D-d, He Y-b and Yang X-x (2025) Exploring the role of metabolic pathways in TNBC immunotherapy: insights from single-cell and spatial transcriptomics. Front. Endocrinol. 15:1528248. [doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Chen, Fei, Cai, Wang, Tong, Zhang, Huang, Bian, He and Yang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [Exploring the role of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248/full) [metabolic pathways in TNBC](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248/full) [immunotherapy: insights](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248/full) [from single-cell and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248/full) [spatial transcriptomics](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1528248/full)

Shi-liang Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Yi-Ran Fei<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xin-xian Cai<sup>1,3</sup>, Cong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Shi-yuan Tong<sup>4</sup>, Zhe-zhong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yan-xia Huang<sup>1</sup>, Dan-dan Bian<sup>1</sup>, Yi-bo He<sup>1\*</sup> and Xiao-xiao Yang<sup>1\*</sup>

1 The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (Zhejiang Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine), Hangzhou, China, 2The First Clinical Medical College, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, 3School of Medical Technology and Informmation Engineering, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, 4State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology and MOE Frontiers Center for Brain Science, Institutes of Brain Science, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

The article provides an overview of the current understanding of the interplay between metabolic pathways and immune function in the context of triplenegative breast cancer (TNBC). It highlights recent advancements in single-cell and spatial transcriptomics technologies, which have revolutionized the analysis of tumor heterogeneity and the immune microenvironment in TNBC. The review emphasizes the crucial role of metabolic reprogramming in modulating immune cell function, discussing how specific metabolic pathways, such as glycolysis, lipid metabolism, and amino acid metabolism, can directly impact the activity and phenotypes of various immune cell populations within the TNBC tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, the article explores the implications of these metabolic-immune interactions for the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapies in TNBC, suggesting that strategies targeting metabolic pathways may enhance the responsiveness to ICI treatments. Finally, the review outlines future directions and the potential for combination therapies that integrate metabolic modulation with immunotherapeutic approaches, offering promising avenues for improving clinical outcomes for TNBC patients.

 $t_{\text{max}}$  triple-negative breast cancer, metabolic reprogramming, metabolic representation,  $t_{\text{max}}$ immune checkpoint inhibitors, combination therapies, tumor microenvironment

# Introduction

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) treatment has historically relied on chemotherapy due to the absence of targeted therapies, limiting effective options. Recent advancements in immunotherapy, particularly immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) like PD-L1 inhibitors, have shown potential, as evidenced by trials such as IMpassion130 ([1,](#page-5-0) [2\)](#page-5-0). However, the modest efficacy of ICIs, benefiting only a subset of patients, highlights the challenges TNBC's heterogeneity poses. Identifying predictive biomarkers and exploring combination strategies, including metabolic interventions, are critical to improving therapeutic outcomes and addressing TNBC's metabolic pathways ([3\)](#page-5-0).

Although TNBC is generally considered a "cold" tumor with limited immune cell infiltration, emerging evidence suggests it has antigenic properties conducive to immunotherapy [\(4](#page-5-0)). TNBC generally shows low levels of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs); the presence of specific immune cell types can correlate with better patient outcomes. Specific immune markers, such as granzyme  $B^+$  CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [\(5\)](#page-5-0), sometimes correlate with improved prognosis. Additionally, plasma cells and other immune subsets have been linked to survival benefits ([6](#page-5-0)), challenging the traditional view of TNBC as uniformly immunologically inactive. Understanding this heterogeneity is crucial for tailoring immunotherapies to re-engage the immune system effectively [\(7](#page-5-0)).

ICIs, which block proteins like PD-1 that suppress immune responses, have emerged as promising therapies for TNBC. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) play a pivotal role in anti-tumor immunity, while regulatory T cells (Tregs) can hinder these responses.  $PD-1^+$  CTLs and other tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) significantly impact ICI efficacy. A nuanced understanding of the interactions between immune cell populations within TNBC is vital for optimizing immunotherapeutic strategies. Advancements in single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and spatial transcriptomics have revolutionized TNBC research [\(8\)](#page-5-0). These technologies provide unprecedented insights into tumor heterogeneity and immune microenvironments by analyzing gene expression at single-cell resolution and mapping spatial interactions [\(9](#page-5-0)– [11](#page-5-0)). Studies reveal diverse immune cell subsets and spatial relationships, offering new biomarkers and therapeutic targets [\(12\)](#page-5-0). Integrating these technologies enables researchers to uncover immune evasion mechanisms and develop tailored immunotherapeutic strategies.

Applying these advanced techniques has deepened the understanding of TNBC's tumor microenvironment and immune interactions. Researchers can better predict therapeutic responses and personalize treatment [\(13\)](#page-5-0) by identifying cellular diversity and spatial organization. These insights hold significant potential for identifying novel targets, improving clinical outcomes, and advancing precision medicine in TNBC.

# Metabolic influences on immune cells in the tumor microenvironment

# Link between metabolic pathways and immune function

The metabolic landscape within the tumor microenvironment (TME) significantly impacts the behavior and functionality of immune cells. Tumors often exhibit altered metabolic pathways, producing specific metabolites that can modulate immune responses ([14](#page-5-0), [15](#page-5-0)). For instance, it has been observed that the accumulation of lactate, a byproduct of glycolysis, can create an immunosuppressive environment by inhibiting the function of cytotoxic T cells and promoting regulatory T cells ([16](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, tumor-derived metabolites such as adenosine can disrupt T cell activation and promote immune evasion mechanisms ([17\)](#page-5-0). This dynamic interplay creates a feedback loop in which tumor cells' metabolic state affects their proliferation and survival and influences the immune landscape, leading to an environment conducive to tumor progression [\(18](#page-5-0)).

Recent studies have elucidated how specific metabolic pathways in tumor cells can directly alter the immune response. For example, it was found that activating IDO pathway in tumors results in tryptophan catabolism, leading to T cell dysfunction and promoting an immune-suppressive environment ([19](#page-5-0)). Moreover, the Warburg effect, characterized by increased aerobic glycolysis in tumor cells, has created an environment that favors the recruitment of immunosuppressive cell types while inhibiting effector T cell functions ([20\)](#page-5-0). Understanding these metabolic interactions is crucial for developing strategies to reprogram the TME to reinvigorate anti-tumor immunity [\(21\)](#page-5-0).

# Importance of metabolic pathways in modulating immune response

Metabolic reprogramming is emerging as a critical factor influencing immune cell functionality and their therapeutic responses. Immune cells adapt their metabolism to fulfill their bioenergetic and biosynthetic needs during activation. For instance, T cells require metabolic reprogramming towards glycolysis to sustain their proliferation and effector functions. However, a skewed metabolic environment can lead to dysfunction [\(16](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, studies have shown that targeting metabolic pathways enhances the immune response against TNBC. By inhibiting metabolic checkpoints like mTOR and AMPK, it is possible to improve T cell activation and restore anti-tumor immunity [\(5](#page-5-0)).

In the context of TNBC, therapeutic strategies focusing on metabolic reprogramming show promise in enhancing the efficacy of existing treatments. Combining metabolic inhibitors with immunotherapy has been proposed as a novel approach to improve the anti-tumor immune response. For instance, recent research highlights the potential of using metabolic modulators to enhance the effectiveness of immune checkpoint inhibitors, which could lead to better clinical outcomes for TNBC patients ([19](#page-5-0)). Overall, understanding the intricate relationship between metabolic pathways and immune function presents an opportunity to develop innovative strategies to augment the effectiveness of therapies to TNBC.

# Metabolic pathways and their effects on immune cells in TNBC

# Overview of key metabolic pathways

Metabolic pathways are critical determinants of immune cell function and can significantly influence the efficacy of anti-tumor responses in TNBC. Key metabolic processes, including glycolysis, lipid metabolism, and amino acid metabolism, orchestrate the activities of various immune cells ([Figure 1\)](#page-3-0). Glycolysis, for instance, is vital for T cell activation and proliferation. Increased glycolytic activity in T cells correlates with enhanced effector functions, allowing them to respond effectively to tumor cells ([22](#page-5-0)). In contrast, fatty acid oxidation is crucial for the developing and maintaining memory T cells, ensuring long-lasting immune protection against recurrent tumors [\(23\)](#page-5-0).

Amino acid metabolism also plays a pivotal role in immune responses. The availability of specific amino acids, such as glutamine, influences T cell metabolism and function. Tumor cells often deplete local amino acids, leading to T cell dysfunction and impaired anti-tumor activity [\(24](#page-5-0)). Understanding these metabolic pathways provides insights into how metabolic reprogramming in immune cells can enhance their functionality and effectiveness against TNBC.

# Effects on different immune cells

### Regulatory T cells

Lactate accumulation enhances the immunosuppressive function of Treg cells by activating FOXP3 gene expression ([25\)](#page-5-0). Lactate also induces Treg cell proliferation, allowing them to dominate within the tumor microenvironment, further diminishing the activity of  $CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cells, NK cells, and thereby supporting immune evasion by the tumor. Adenosine, catalyzed by ADA2 (Adenosine Deaminase 2), activates the A2A receptor on Treg cells, enhancing their immunosuppressive functions ([26\)](#page-5-0). Elevated adenosine levels strengthen Treg cell function and reduce effector T cell activation, fostering an immunosuppressive environment. Treg cell metabolism relies on specific lipid metabolic pathways, with these metabolites promoting Treg immunosuppressive abilities through particular lipid transport proteins, such as FABP5 ([27\)](#page-5-0). Lipid accumulation in Treg cells facilitates their proliferation and survival, further diminishing the activity of effector T cells within the tumor microenvironment [\(28](#page-5-0)).

### Macrophages

The metabolic profiles of macrophages are critical in dictating their pro-tumor or anti-tumor functions. In TNBC, metabolic reprogramming within macrophages can lead to polarization towards a tumor-promoting M2 phenotype characterized by immunosuppressive properties ([29](#page-5-0)). Conversely, promoting metabolic shifts towards an M1-like state can enhance their antitumor capabilities. Understanding these metabolic dynamics could lead to novel strategies for reprogramming macrophages to adopt anti-tumor phenotypes, potentially improving therapeutic outcomes in TNBC. Lactate induces macrophage polarization towards an immunosuppressive M2 phenotype, giving rise to tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) ([30](#page-5-0), [31\)](#page-5-0). These M2-polarized macrophages secrete elevated levels of immunosuppressive factors, such as IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$ , which inhibit the antitumor responses of T cells and NK cells ([32\)](#page-5-0). PRMT5, by modulating iron metabolism, restricts the proinflammatory activity of M1 macrophages, thereby allowing the immunosuppressive properties of the M2 phenotype to predominate [\(33\)](#page-5-0). Reducing in iron ions further promotes M2 polarization by inhibiting the NRF2/HMOX1 pathway. CAFs upregulate lipid metabolism, driving macrophages toward a lipidassociated macrophage (LAM) phenotype ([34](#page-5-0)), forming immunosuppressive macrophages. These macrophages enhance immunosuppressive effects through lipid signaling molecules, reducing the functional infiltration of effector immune cells within the tumor.

### CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells

Within the tumor microenvironment, the accumulation of high concentrations of lactate results in functional impairment of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by lowering the local pH. TNFR2 enhances immunosuppressive capacity in endothelial cells by inhibiting the glycolytic pathway, resulting in decreased  $CDS<sup>+</sup> T$  cell activity. Blocking TNFR2, however, can restore antitumor immunity [\(30,](#page-5-0) [35\)](#page-5-0). Lactate interferes with the mTOR signaling pathway, inhibiting T cell proliferation and diminishing the secretion of key effector cytokines, such as IFN-g, further compromising antitumor immunity [\(31\)](#page-5-0). Through the Warburg effect, TNBC cells preferentially consume glucose, leading to glucose deprivation in the surrounding environment, which hampers CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells' ability to maintain the glucose levels required for efficient glycolysis. This glucose deficiency directly reduces the activity of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells and, by limiting energy supply through the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway ([36\)](#page-5-0), decreases their proliferation and cytotoxicity. In the TNBC microenvironment, high glutamine uptake exhausts the glutamine needed by immune cells, adversely affecting particularly the antioxidant-dependent  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells ([37\)](#page-5-0). Glutamine scarcity restricts glutathione synthesis in T cells, reducing their tolerance to oxidative stress and weakening their antioxidative and antitumor functions within the tumor.

#### Natural killer cells

Lactate significantly diminishes the cytotoxicity of NK cells, reducing their tumor-killing capacity. The accumulation of lactate also compromises NK cell survival and proliferation by acidifying the environment, further weakening their immune clearance functions ([38](#page-5-0)). In lung cancer, lactate has been found to upregulate PD-L1 expression on tumor cell surfaces via the Warburg effect [\(39\)](#page-5-0), suggesting a close link between metabolic reprogramming of tumor cells and immune evasion mechanisms. This pathway promotes NK cell exhaustion, enhancing the tumor's ability to evade immune detection [\(40](#page-6-0), [41](#page-6-0)).

### Dendritic cells

Dendritic cells are pivotal in antigen presentation and the initiation of T cell responses. Tumor-derived metabolites can profoundly influence DC function, affecting their ability to activate T cells effectively. Exosomes secreted by TNBC cells, rich in pro-inflammatory molecules, activate the cGAS/STING pathway in dendritic cells ([42,](#page-6-0) [43](#page-6-0)), thereby enhancing the

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### **FIGURE 1**

Mechanisms of Metabolite-Mediated Immunosuppression in the TNBC. (A) Tregs: Lactic acid boosts FOXP3 expression in Tregs, enhancing their<br>Prolifection and dominance in the TME which inhibits CD<sup>ot</sup> T cells and NK cells, pr proliferation and dominance in the TME, which inhibits CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NK cells, promoting tumor immune evasion. Adenosine, produced via ADA2 on Tregs, further reinforces Treg predominance, contributing to the immunosuppressive TME. Lipid metabolites, transported by proteins like FABP5, also support Treg proliferation and function. (B) Macrophages: Lactic acid drives macrophage polarization to the immunosuppressive M2 phenotype, forming TAMs that secrete IL-10 and TGF-b, suppressing antitumor responses. PRMT5 regulates iron metabolism, inhibiting pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages and favoring M2 polarization. CAF-induced lipid metabolism upregulation further promotes M2 macrophage transformation. (C) CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells: High lactic acid in the TNBC microenvironment lowers local pH and disrupts the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway, impairing CD8+ T cell proliferation and cytokine secretion (e.g., IFN-γ), weakening antitumor immunity. Glucose consumption via the Warburg effect depletes glucose needed for glycolysis in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, exacerbating this inhibition. Additionally, high Gln uptake by TNBC cells reduces GSH synthesis in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, impairing oxidative stress tolerance and antitumor function. (D) NK cells: Lactic acid increases PD-L1 expression on tumor cells, binding PD-1 on NK cells and inhibiting their cytotoxicity, leading to NK cell exhaustion and tumor immune escape. (E) DCs: TNBC cells release exosomes that activate dendritic cells via the cGAS/STING pathway, enhancing T cell activation and immune responses. (F) B cells: Lipid metabolism significantly impacts B cell function, particularly in the context of antibody production and memory formation. However, metabolic reprogramming, such as the Warburg effect, reduces glycolysis in DCs, impairing their maturation and antigen presentation, thus weakening T cell activation and effector immune cell infiltration in the tumor. TNBC, Triple-Negative Breast Cancer; Treg, Regulatory T Cell; FOXP3, Forkhead Box P3; TME, Tumor Microenvironment; NK cell, Natural Killer Cell; ADA2, Adenosine Deaminase 2; FABP5, Fatty Acid-Binding Protein 5; TAM, Tumor-Associated Macrophage; IL-10, Interleukin 10; TGF-b, Transforming Growth Factor Beta; PRMT5, Protein Arginine Methyltransferase 5; CAF, Cancer-Associated Fibroblast; LAM, Lipid-Associated Macrophage; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase; AKT, Protein Kinase B (often referred to as AKT); mTOR, Mechanistic Target of Rapamycin; IFN-g, Interferon Gamma; Gln, glutamine; GSH, Glutathione; PD-L1, Programmed Death-Ligand 1; PD-1, Programmed Death-1; DC, Dendritic Cell; cGAS, Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase; STING, Stimulator of Interferon Genes. This figure was created using the Figdraw online drawing tool.

initiation of antitumor immune responses. The release of these exosomes bolsters DC activity, facilitating downstream T cell activation and strengthening immune responses within the tumor microenvironment. However, metabolic reprogramming in TNBC suppresses the glycolytic pathway in dendritic cells [\(44\)](#page-6-0), impairing their maturation and activation capabilities and consequently weakening their efficacy in T cell activation. This metabolic inhibition directly impacts the antigen-presenting capacity of DCs, resulting in reduced infiltration and activity of T cells within the tumor. Researchers can explore therapeutic strategies to enhance DC-mediated T cell activation by understanding how tumor metabolism affects DC function. Targeting metabolic

pathways in DCs may help restore their function and improve the overall anti-tumor immune response [\(45,](#page-6-0) [46](#page-6-0)).

#### B cells

Lipid metabolism significantly impacts B cell function, particularly in antibody production and memory formation. Research indicates that B cells rely on fatty acid metabolism for optimal antibody responses [\(47](#page-6-0)). In TNBC, modulating lipid metabolic pathways could enhance the effectiveness of therapeutic vaccines by promoting robust B cell activation and differentiation. For example, interventions that enhance lipid uptake and utilization by B cells might increase their ability to produce high-affinity

antibodies against tumor antigens ([48\)](#page-6-0). This approach could represent a novel strategy to improve vaccine efficacy in TNBC patients.

# Future directions and clinical implications

The interaction between metabolic pathways and immune responses offers promising avenues for combination therapies in TNBC. Studies suggest that metabolic reprogramming can significantly enhance immune cell efficacy against tumors. Combining ICIs with metabolic pathway-targeting agents could boost anti-tumor immunity by reinvigorating T cells or enhancing TIL populations. These strategies may overcome the limitations of ICIs alone and lead to more personalized treatments based on individual metabolic profiles. Advanced techniques like single-cell functional enzymatic assays (scFEA) and metabolic profiling tools (e.g., Mebocost, scMetabolism) enable more insights into immune cell metabolism in TNBC. These tools allow single-cell analysis of metabolic activity, helping researchers understand how immune cells metabolize nutrients within the tumor environment. By mapping the metabolic landscape, researchers can identify critical metabolic checkpoints as therapeutic targets, which could lead to optimized immune function therapies. The goal of immune metabolism research in TNBC is to translate findings into clinical practice. Targeting specific metabolic pathways, such as glycolysis, could lead to personalized therapies that improve survival and quality of life for TNBC patients. Collaboration between researchers and clinicians is essential, with clinical trials for combination therapies already underway, signaling a shift towards personalized medicine in TNBC and improved treatment outcomes.

# Conclusion

Metabolic products, such as lactate and adenosine, are pivotal in establishing an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by modulating immune cell functions. Lactate has been shown to promote the proliferation of Tregs while impairing the functionality of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells, and adenosine disrupts T cell activation via the A2A receptor. Recent research further highlights the impact of glutamine depletion on T cell oxidative stress tolerance, demonstrating the intricate connection between metabolic reprogramming and immune responses in TNBC. These findings underscore the potential of combining ICIs with metabolic modulators targeting pathways such as glycolysis and fatty acid oxidation. Preclinical models indicate that such combination therapies can effectively reinvigorate exhausted T cells and enhance antitumor immunity, paving the way for improved therapeutic strategies.

# Author contributions

SC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – original draft. YF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. XC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – original draft. CW: Data curation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. ST: Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft. ZZ: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YH: Data curation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. DB: Data curation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YH: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XY: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The study design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript preparation, and publication decisions of this work were supported by Zhejiang Province Traditional Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Project (No. 2025ZR123 by SC, No. 2023ZL409 by XY, No. 2024ZR015 by YH, No. 2023ZL056 by ZZ), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation(No. QN25H270030 by YH), Zhejiang Province Medical and Health Science and Technology Project (No. 2024KY1201 by YH, 2024KY1225 by CW, 2024KY1213 by ZZ), the Foundation Project of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (No. 2022JKZKTS26 by YH, No. 2022JKJNTZ16 by SC, No. 2022JKJNTZ23 by CW).

# Acknowledgments

Figure in our manuscript was created using Figdraw online tools [\(https://www.](https://www.figdraw.com/)figdraw.com/). We would like to express our gratitude for these invaluable resources.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript. In the course of preparing this work, the authors utilized ChatGPT-4.0 to refine the language. Following the use of this tool, the authors thoroughly reviewed and revised the content as necessary and assume full responsibility for the final publication.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

# References

1. Gagliato D de M, Buzaid A, Pérez-García J, Cortés J. Immunotherapy in breast cancer: current practice and clinical challenges. BioDrugs. (2020) 34:611-23. doi: [10.1007/s40259-020-00436-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-020-00436-9)

2. Kim I, Sanchez K, McArthur H, Page D. Immunotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer: present and future. Curr Breast Cancer Rep. (2019) 11:259–71. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12609-019-00345-z) [s12609-019-00345-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12609-019-00345-z)

3. Li L, Zhang F, Liu Z, Fan Z. Immunotherapy for triple-negative breast cancer: combination strategies to improve outcome. Cancers. (2023) 15:321. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15010321) ancers15010321

4. Deng L, Lu D, Bai Y, Wang Y, Bu H, Zheng H. Immune profiles of tumor microenvironment and clinical prognosis among women with triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. (2019) 28:1977–85. doi: [10.1158/1055-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-19-0469) [9965.EPI-19-0469](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-19-0469)

5. Gruosso T, Gigoux M, Manem VSK, Bertos N, Zuo D, Perlitch I, et al. Spatially distinct tumor immune microenvironments stratify triple-negative breast cancers. J Clin Invest. (2019) 129:1785–800. doi: [10.1172/JCI96313](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI96313)

6. He TF, Yost SE, Frankel PH, Dagis A, Cao Y, Wang R, et al. Multi-panel immunofluorescence analysis of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in triple negative breast cancer: Evolution of tumor immune profiles and patient prognosis. PloS One. (2020) 15: e0229955. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0229955](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229955)

7. Kim JY, Jung HH, Sohn I, Woo SY, Cho H, Cho E, et al. Prognostication of a 13 immune-related-gene signature in patients with early triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. (2020) 184:325–34. doi: [10.1007/s10549-020-05874-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-020-05874-1)

8. Andersson A, Larsson L, Stenbeck L, Salmén F, Ehinger A, Wu SZ, et al. Spatial deconvolution of HER2-positive breast cancer delineates tumor-ssociated cell type interactions. Nat Commun. (2021) 12:6012. doi: [10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26271-2) [021-26271-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26271-2)

9. Bassiouni R, Idowu MO, Gibbs LD, Robila V, Grizzard PJ, Webb MG, et al. Spatial transcriptomic analysis of a diverse patient cohort reveals a conserved architecture in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Res. (2022) 83:34–48. doi: [10.1158/0008-](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-2682) [5472.CAN-22-2682](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-2682)

10. Li J, Long S, Yang Z, Wei W, Yu S, Liu Q, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals IRF7 regulation of the tumor microenvironment in isocitrate dehydrogenase wild-type glioma. MedComm. (2024) 5:e754. doi: [10.1002/mco2.754](https://doi.org/10.1002/mco2.754)

11. Li J, Zhang Y, Liang C, Yan X, Hui X, Liu Q. Advancing precision medicine in gliomas through single-cell sequencing: unveiling the complex tumor microenvironment. Front Cell Dev Biol. (2024) 12:1396836. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2024.1396836) [fcell.2024.1396836](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2024.1396836)

12. Monjo T, Koido M, Nagasawa S, Suzuki Y, Kamatani Y. Efficient prediction of a spatial transcriptomics profile better characterizes breast cancer tissue sections without costly experimentation. Sci Rep. (2021) 12:4133. doi: [10.1038/s41598-022-07685-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07685-4)

13. Yoosuf N, Navarro JF, Salmén F, Ståhl PL, Daub C. Identification and transfer of spatial transcriptomics signatures for cancer diagnosis. Breast Cancer Res: BCR. (2020) 22:6. doi: [10.1186/s13058-019-1242-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-019-1242-9)

14. Feng D. Energy metabolism-related gene prognostic index predicts biochemical recurrence for patients with prostate cancer undergoing radical prostatectomy. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:839362. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.839362](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.839362)

15. Feng D, Xiong Q, Wei Q, Yang L. Cellular landscape of tumour microenvironment in prostate cancer. Immunology. (2023) 168:199–202. doi: [10.1111/imm.13456](https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13456)

16. Zheng H, Siddharth S, Parida S, Wu X, Sharma D. Tumor microenvironment: key players in triple negative breast cancer immunomodulation. Cancers. (2021) 13:3357. doi: [10.3390/cancers13133357](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13133357)

17. Xiao Y, Ma D, Zhao S, Suo C, Shi J, Xue MZ, et al. Multi-omics profiling reveals distinct microenvironment characterization and suggests immune escape mechanisms of triple-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. (2019) 25:5002–14. doi: [10.1158/1078-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3524) [0432.CCR-18-3524](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3524)

18. Zhang Y, Qin N, Wang X, Liang R, Liu Q, Geng R, et al. Glycogen metabolismmediated intercellular communication in the tumor microenvironment influences liver cancer prognosis. OR. (2024) 32:563–76. doi: [10.32604/or.2023.029697](https://doi.org/10.32604/or.2023.029697)

19. Sun X, Wang M, Wang M, Yu X, Guo J, Sun T, et al. Metabolic reprogramming in triple-negative breast cancer. Front Oncol. (2020) 10:428. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00428) [fonc.2020.00428](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00428)

20. Ramzy A, ElSafy S, Elshoky HA, Soliman A, Youness R, Mansour S, et al. Drugless nanoparticles tune-up an array of intertwined pathways contributing to organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

immune checkpoint signaling and metabolic reprogramming in triple-negative breast cancer. Biomed Mater. (2022) 18:015023. doi: [10.1088/1748-605X/aca85d](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/aca85d)

21. Xiong Q. Fatty acid synthase is the key regulator of fatty acid metabolism and is related to immunotherapy in bladder cancer. Front Immunol. (2022) 13. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.836939) fi[mmu.2022.836939](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.836939)

22. Li G, Ma X, Sui S, Chen Y, Li H, Liu L, et al. NAT10/ac4C/JunB facilitates TNBC malignant progression and immunosuppression by driving glycolysis addiction. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. (2024) 43:278.

23. Raud B, Roy DG, Divakaruni AS, Tarasenko TN, Franke R, Ma EH, et al. Etomoxir actions on regulatory and memory T cells are independent of Cpt1amediated fatty acid oxidation. Cell Metabolism. (2018) 28:504–15.e7.

24. Zou J, Mai C, Lin Z, Zhou J, Lai G. Targeting metabolism of breast cancer and its implications in T cell immunotherapy. Front Immunol. (2024) 15:1381970.

25. Angelin A, Gil-de-Gómez L, Dahiya S, Jiao J, Guo L, Levine MH, et al. Foxp3 reprograms T cell metabolism to function in low-glucose, high-lactate environments. Cell Metab. (2017) 25:1282–1293.e7. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2016.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.12.018)

26. Leone RD, Sun IM, Oh MH, Sun IH, Wen J, Englert J, et al. Inhibition of the adenosine A2a receptor modulates expression of T cell coinhibitory receptors and improves effector function for enhanced checkpoint blockade and ACT in murine cancer models. Cancer Immunol Immunother. (2018) 67:1271–84. doi: [10.1007/s00262-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-018-2186-0) [018-2186-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-018-2186-0)

27. Field CS, Baixauli F, Kyle RL, Puleston DJ, Cameron AM, Sanin DE, et al. Mitochondrial integrity regulated by lipid metabolism is a cell-intrinsic checkpoint for treg suppressive function. Cell Metab. (2020) 31:422–437.e5. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.021) [j.cmet.2019.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.11.021)

28. Pacella I, Procaccini C, Focaccetti C, Miacci S, Timperi E, Faicchia D, et al. Fatty acid metabolism complements glycolysis in the selective regulatory T cell expansion during tumor growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (2018) 115:E6546–55. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1720113115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720113115)

29. Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathological functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol. (2021) 21:421–36. doi: [10.1038/s41577-021-00551-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00551-4)

30. Deng X, Zhu Y, Dai Z, Liu Q, Song Z, Liu T, et al. A bimetallic nanomodulator to reverse immunosuppression via sonodynamic-ferroptosis and lactate metabolism modulation. Small. (2024) 20:2404580. doi: [10.1002/smll.202404580](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202404580)

31. Naik A, Decock J. Lactate metabolism and immune modulation in breast cancer: A focused review on triple negative breast tumors. Front Oncol. (2020) 10:598626. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2020.598626](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.598626)

32. Santoni M, Romagnoli E, Saladino T, Foghini L, Guarino S, Capponi M, et al. Triple negative breast cancer: Key role of Tumor-Associated Macrophages in regulating the activity of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 agents. Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) Rev Cancer. (2018) 1869:78–84. doi: [10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.10.007)

33. Wang Z, Li R, Hou N, Zhang J, Wang T, Fan P, et al. PRMT5 reduces immunotherapy efficacy in triple-negative breast cancer by methylating KEAP1 and inhibiting ferroptosis. J Immunother Cancer. (2023) 11:e006890. doi: [10.1136/jitc-2023-](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2023-006890) [006890](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2023-006890)

34. Timperi E, Gueguen P, Molgora M, Magagna I, Kieffer Y, Lopez-Lastra S, et al. Lipid-associated macrophages are induced by cancer-associated fibroblasts and mediate immune suppression in breast cancer. Cancer Res. (2022) 82:3291–306. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-1427](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-1427)

35. Wiggs A, Molina S, Sumner SJ, Rushing BR. A review of metabolic targets of anticancer nutrients and nutraceuticals in pre-clinical models of triple-negative breast cancer. Nutrients. (2022) 14:1990. doi: [10.3390/nu14101990](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14101990)

36. Huang M, Yu X, Wang Q, Jiang Z, Li X, Chen W, et al. The immune checkpoint TIGIT/CD155 promotes the exhaustion of CD8 + T cells in TNBC through glucose metabolic reprogramming mediated by PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling. Cell Commun Signal. (2024) 22:35. doi: [10.1186/s12964-023-01455-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-023-01455-z)

37. Huang R, Wang H, Hong J, Wu J, Huang O, He J, et al. Targeting glutamine metabolic reprogramming of SLC7A5 enhances the efficacy of anti-PD-1 in triple-negative breast cancer. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1251643. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1251643](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1251643)

38. Long Y, Gao Z, Hu X, Xiang F, Wu Z, Zhang J, et al. Downregulation of MCT4 for lactate exchange promotes the cytotoxicity of NK cells in breast carcinoma. Cancer Med. (2018) 7:4690–700. doi: [10.1002/cam4.1713](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1713)

39. Feng J, Yang H, Zhang Y, Wei H, Zhu Z, Zhu B, et al. Tumor cell-derived lactate induces TAZ-dependent upregulation of PD-L1 through GPR81 in human lung cancer cells. Oncogene. (2017) 36:5829–39. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2017.188) [onc.2017.188](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2017.188)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>40. Hsu J, Hodgins JJ, Marathe M, Nicolai CJ, Bourgeois-Daigneault MC, Trevino TN, et al. Contribution of NK cells to immunotherapy mediated by PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. J Clin Invest. (2018) 128:4654–68. doi: [10.1172/JCI99317](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI99317)

41. Liu Y, Cheng Y, Xu Y, Wang Z, Du X, Li C, et al. Increased expression of programmed cell death protein 1 on NK cells inhibits NK-cell-mediated anti-tumor function and indicates poor prognosis in digestive cancers. Oncogene. (2017) 36:6143– 53. doi: [10.1038/onc.2017.209](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2017.209)

42. Kitai Y, Kawasaki T, Sueyoshi T, Kobiyama K, Ishii KJ, Zou J, et al. DNAcontaining exosomes derived from cancer cells treated with topotecan activate a STING-dependent pathway and reinforce antitumor immunity.  $\overline{J}$  Immunol. (2017) 198:1649–59. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1601694](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601694)

43. Zhang H, Tang K, Zhang Y, Ma R, Ma J, Li Y, et al. Cell-free Tumor Microparticle Vaccines Stimulate Dendritic Cells via cGAS/STING Signaling. Cancer Immunol Res. (2015) 3:196–205. doi: [10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0177](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0177)

44. Kelly B, O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells in innate immunity. Cell Res. (2015) 25:771–84. doi: [10.1038/cr.2015.68](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2015.68)

45. Jneid B, Bochnakian A, Hoffmann C, Delisle F, Djacoto E, Sirven P, et al. Selective STING stimulation in dendritic cells primes antitumor T cell responses. Sci Immunol. (2023) 8:eabn6612. doi: [10.1126/sciimmunol.abn6612](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abn6612)

46. Lv M, Chen M, Zhang R, Zhang W, Wang C, Zhang Y, et al. Manganese<br>is critical for antitumor immune responses via cGAS-STING and improves the efficacy<br>of clinical immunotherapy. Cell Res. (2020) 30:966–79. doi: 10.1038/ [00395-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-00395-4)

47. Li M, Quintana A, Alberts E, Hung MS, Boulat V, Ripoll MM, et al. B cells in breast cancer pathology. Cancers. (2023) 15:15173.

48. Gu J, Wang M, Zhang H, Xu X. Enhancing antibody responses through modulation of lipid metabolism in B cells. Cancer Immunol Res. (2023) 11:1011–23. doi: [10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-22-0545](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-22-0545)