



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Dawei Li

✉ lidaweimd@aliyun.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 17 July 2024

ACCEPTED 23 July 2024

PUBLISHED 26 August 2024

CITATION

Sun J, Tian T, Wang N, Jing X, Qiu L, Cui H, Liu Z, Liu J, Yan L and Li D (2024) Corrigendum: Pretreatment level of serum sialic acid predicts both qualitative and quantitative bone metastases of prostate cancer. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1466189. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1466189

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Sun, Tian, Wang, Jing, Qiu, Cui, Liu, Yan and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Pretreatment level of serum sialic acid predicts both qualitative and quantitative bone metastases of prostate cancer

Jingtao Sun^{1†}, Tian Tian^{2†}, Naiqiang Wang¹, Xuehui Jing^{1,3}, Laiyuan Qiu¹, Haochen Cui¹, Zhao Liu¹, Jikai Liu¹, Lei Yan¹ and Dawei Li^{1*}

¹Department of Urology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China, ²Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine Department, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China, ³Department of Urology, Yucheng People's Hospital, Dezhou, China

KEYWORDS

serum sialic acid, bone metastases, prostate cancer, low-volume disease, high-volume disease

A Corrigendum on

Pretreatment level of serum sialic acid predicts both qualitative and quantitative bone metastases of prostate cancer

By Sun J, Tian T, Wang N, Jing X, Qiu L, Cui H, Liu Z, Liu J, Yan L and Li D (2024). *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1338420. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1338420

In the published article, there was an error in the article type. Instead of “Review”, it should be “Original Research”.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.