Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sara Rezzola, University of Brescia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Peter D. Westenskow, Roche, Switzerland Qingjian Ou, Tongji University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Domitilla Mandatori Momitilla.mandatori@unich.it

RECEIVED 09 July 2024 ACCEPTED 24 September 2024 PUBLISHED 15 October 2024

CITATION

Pelusi L, Hurst J, Detta N, Pipino C, Lamolinara A, Conte G, Mastropasqua R, Allegretti M, Di Pietrantonio N, Romeo T, El Zarif M, Nubile M, Guerricchio L, Bollini S, Pandolfi A, Schnichels S and Mandatori D (2024) Effects of mesenchymal stromal cells and human recombinant Nerve Growth Factor delivered by bioengineered human corneal lenticule on an innovative model of diabetic retinopathy. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1462043. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1462043

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Pelusi, Hurst, Detta, Pipino, Lamolinara, Conte, Mastropasqua, Allegretti, Di Pietrantonio, Romeo, El Zarif, Nubile, Guerricchio, Bollini, Pandolfi, Schnichels and Mandatori. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of mesenchymal stromal cells and human recombinant Nerve Growth Factor delivered by bioengineered human corneal lenticule on an innovative model of diabetic retinopathy

Letizia Pelusi¹, Jose Hurst², Nicola Detta³, Caterina Pipino⁴, Alessia Lamolinara⁵, Gemma Conte³, Rodolfo Mastropasqua⁵, Marcello Allegretti⁶, Nadia Di Pietrantonio⁴, Tiziana Romeo⁶, Mona El Zarif¹, Mario Nubile⁷, Laura Guerricchio⁸, Sveva Bollini⁸, Assunta Pandolfi⁴, Sven Schnichels² and Domitilla Mandatori⁴*

¹Department of Medicine and Aging Science, Center for Advanced Studies and Technology-CAST, University G. d'Annunzio of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy, ²University Eye Hospital, Centre for Ophthalmology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, ³Dompé Farmaceutici SpA, Napoli, Italy, ⁴Department of Medical, Oral and Biotechnological Sciences, Center for Advanced Studies and Technology-CAST, University G. d'Annunzio of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy, ⁵Department of Neuroscience, Imaging and Clinical Sciences, University G. d'Annunzio of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy, ⁶Dompé Farmaceutici SpA, L'Aquila, Italy, ⁷Ophthalmology Clinic, Department of Medicine and Aging Science, "G. d'Annunzio" of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy, ⁸Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Genova, Genova, Italy

Introduction: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a microvascular complication of diabetes in which neurodegeneration has been recently identified as a driving force. In the last years, mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) and neurotrophins like Nerve Growth Factor (NGF), have garnered significant attention as innovative therapeutic approaches targeting DR-associated neurodegeneration. However, delivering neurotrophic factors directly in the eye remains a challenge. Hence, this study evaluated the effects of MSCs from human amniotic fluids (hAFSCs) and recombinant human NGF (rhNGF) delivered by human corneal lenticule (hCL) on a high glucose (HG) induced *ex vivo* model simulating the molecular mechanisms driving DR.

Methods: Porcine neuroretinal explants exposed to HG (25 mM for four days) were used to mimic DR *ex vivo*. hCLs collected from donors undergoing refractive surgery were decellularized using 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and then bioengineered with hAFSCs, microparticles loaded with rhNGF (rhNGF-PLGA-MPs), or both simultaneously. Immunofluorescence (IF) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses were performed to confirm the hCLs bioengineering process. To assess the effects of hAFSCs and rhNGF, bioengineered hCLs were co-cultured with HG-treated neuroretinal explants and following four days RT-PCR and cytokine array experiments for inflammatory, oxidative, apoptotic, angiogenic and retinal cells markers were performed.

Results: Data revealed that HG-treated neuroretinal explants exhibit a characteristic DR-phenotype, including increased level of NF-kB, NOS2, NRF2 GFAP, VEGFA, Bax/Bcl2 ratio and decreased expression of TUBB3 and Rho. Then, the feasibility to bioengineer decellularized hCLs with hAFSCs and rhNGF was demonstrated. Interestingly, co-culturing hAFSCs- and rhNGF- bioengineered hCLs with HG-treated neuroretinal explants for four days significantly reduced the expression of inflammatory, oxidative, apoptotic, angiogenic and increased retinal markers.

Conclusion: Overall, we found for the first time that hAFSCs and rhNGF were able to modulate the molecular mechanisms involved in DR and that bioengineered hCLs represents a promising ocular drug delivery system of hAFSCs and rhNGF for eye diseases treatment. In addition, results demonstrated that porcine neuroretinal explants treated with HG is a useful model to reproduce *ex vivo* the DR pathophysiology.

KEYWORDS

diabetic retinopathy, mesenchymal stromal cells, rhNGF, corneal lenticule, ocular delivery

1 Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a leading cause of vision impairment and blindness in working-age populations worldwide (1). This is considered one of the most frequent complications of diabetes mellitus (DM) related to hyperglycemia and may occur in approximately 27% of patients with diabetes (2). Of note, high glucose concentration, a common characteristic of poorly controlled diabetes, plays a defining role in activating the mechanisms that cause DR, including genetic and epigenetic factors, inflammation, heightened production of free radicals, oxidative stress and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which induce vascular endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and increased vascular permeability (3–6).

Clinically, DR can be divided into two stages: non-proliferative (NPDR) and proliferative (PDR) (4). NPDR is asymptomatic and characterized by inflammation, loss of endothelial and neuronal cells, and retinal microvasculature abnormalities. As the disease progresses in PDR, neovascularization is characterized by the presence of fragile vessels, which can easily break and hemorrhage into the vitreous, leading to sudden vision loss and often retinal detachment (3).

Although for several years DR has been considered a microvascular complication of DM, recently growing evidence suggests that the vascular phase of DR might be preceded by a diabetes-driven neurodegenerative process, which particularly interests the retinal ganglion cells (RGC) (7). These findings led to the definition of DR as a highly specific neurovascular complication (8, 9).

Currently, in addition to controlling blood glucose, blood pressure, and serum cholesterol levels, various therapeutic

approaches have become the standard for managing DR (10). These encompass laser photocoagulation, intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) or anti-VEGF agents and intravitreal steroid implants (11). However, these options are principally used to target the late PDR stages, when vision is already significantly impaired (12). In addition, despite the discovery of therapeutic applications that have revolutionized the handling of DR, different side effects are related to its use, including endophthalmitis, intraocular inflammation, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, tractional retinal detachment, intraocular pressure elevation, ocular hemorrhage, and ghost cell glaucoma (4). Thus, there is a strong need to develop novel treatments, particularly targeted at the initial phase of DR, where neurodegeneration is the driving force. From this perspective, there has been growing interest in stem cell (SCs) therapy and neurotrophins, with particular attention being paid to mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) owing to their modulatory potential. This is based on secreted soluble factors, including growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines, which are primarily responsible for therapeutic benefits through paracrine effects (13). Among the different cell sources, human SCs from amniotic fluid appear to be promising for the treatment of DR. Indeed, as reported by Costa et al., the secretome of fetal and perinatal human amniotic fluid SCs (hAFSCs) is enriched in immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrotic, and neurotrophic factors (14).

Neurotrophins, a family of structurally and functionally related growth factors, have gained attention for DR management because they are essential for the growth, differentiation, and survival of several cell types, including retinal neurons and glial cells. Among these, one of the main members is the nerve growth factor (NGF), which plays a key role in neurodegeneration, inflammation, vascular permeability, and injury processes involved in DR pathogenesis (15).

While numerous studies (16–21) have highlighted the positive effect of MSCs and NGF in *in vitro* and *in vivo* DR models, the delivery of these factors directly in the eye remains a challenge. Concerning this, we recently demonstrated the feasibility of using human corneal lenticules (hCLs), a thin and disc-shaped part of the cornea obtained and discarded during refractive surgery, as an ocular drug delivery system. In fact, we have proven that hCLs can be bioengineered with polylactic-co-glycolic-acid (PLGA) microparticles loaded with rhNGF (rhNGF-PLGA-MPs), and that active rhNGF is sustainably released from such bioengineered hCLs for up to 1 month (22). In addition, Gary Hin-Fai Yam emphasized the reinnervation potential of decellularized hCLs in grafted chick dorsal root ganglion models in the presence of NGF (23).

It is well established that tissue culture models have the advantage of preserving the different cell types within the tissue structure (24–26). However, to transfer experiments from animal models or animal tissues to humans, it is crucial to consider the anatomical, physiological, and morphological differences between species and humans when selecting a model organism (27). In this context, pigs are used as experimental model in various medical fields because of their anatomical and morphological similarities to humans (15, 28). In particular, the pig eye has a high morphological similarity to the human eye, especially in terms of size, retinal structure, and histology, making it suitable as a retinal DR model (27, 29–31).

Based on these assumptions, the present study aimed to evaluate the effects of hAFSCs and rhNGF, delivered by hCLs, on the molecular mechanisms underlying DR using an *ex vivo* model represented by porcine neuroretinal explants treated with high glucose (HG). In particular, by using the entire neuroretina, we can mimic the DR *milieu* across all retinal tissues cell types, enabling a thorough assessment of how HG treatment, as well as hAFSCs and rhNGF, could influence the entire retinal structure and its cellular components.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Pig eyes handling, isolation and cultivation of retinal explants

Eyes were obtained from 6-month-old pigs euthanized by electrocution at a local abattoir and transported at 4°C to the laboratory within 3h of animal death. After arrival at the laboratory, the eyes were washed and disinfected prior to neuroretinal explant isolation. According to established procedure (32, 33), retinas were pierced with a dermal punch ($\emptyset = 8$ mm; Pmf medical AG, Germany) on the previously generated clover-leaf-like structures. These were placed in a petri dish with neurobasal medium (Neurobasal-A medium; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany) and neuroretinal explants were removed with a spoon and placed on a 12-well Millicell culture insert (Merck, Germany, with a pore size of 4 µm) containing 100 µL of retina culture medium per insert and 1 mL per well (50 mL Neurobasal-A medium supplemented with 2% B27 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany), 1% N2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S), 0.5 μ L ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF;Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and 0.5 μ L brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) with the ganglion layer (GCL) facing up. Neuroretinal explants were placed in an incubator at 5% CO₂ and 37°C for 3 h.

2.2 HG ex vivo model

3 h after explantation, the 8 mm neuroretinal explants were exposed to HG concentration for 96 h. To this end, retinal culture medium was replaced by a basal medium (1:1 retina culture medium and α -MEM with 10% of fetal bovine serum, Gibco-Life Technologies, Waltham, MA, USA, 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) added with D-glucose (HG-medium; SIGMA, St. Louis, MO, USA; G8270-100G) at a final concentration of 25 mM. After 48 h, HG medium was completely replaced with fresh medium. The same concentration of mannitol (25 mM) was added to 1:1 basal medium as the osmotic control.

2.3 hCLs collection and decellularization

The hCLs obtained from the cornea of healthy patients undergoing to small-incision lenticule extraction (SMILE) refractive surgical procedure were collected in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and following the "G. d'Annunzio" of Chieti-Pescara University's Institutional Review Board and Ethical Committee approval (authorization n.: 03/07-02-2019). To obtain a human corneal keratocytes-free scaffold, hCLs were decellularized, as previously described (22). Briefly, the tissues were washed in phosphate buffer solution (PBS 1X, Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated in a 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution for 24 h under 300 rpm agitation at room temperature (RT).

2.4 hAFSCs isolation

hAFSC were isolated from leftover samples of human amniotic fluid (hAF) collected by amniocentesis during II trimester prenatal diagnosis screening from the Fetal and Perinatal Medical and Surgery Unit and the Human Genetics Laboratory at IRCCS Istituto Gaslini hospital (Genova, Italy), following written informed consent and according to local ethical committee authorization (as obtained from Comitato Etico Territoriale Liguria, protocol P.R. 428REG2015). Donor's age of AF ranged from 36 to 41 years, with an average age of 37.42 ± 0.32 . hAF were processed as previously described (34-36) by centrifugation at 1200 rpm for 5 min to retrieve cellular components. The pellet was seeded on a glass coverslip in Chang Medium C (Irvine Scientific) supplemented with 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin

10.3389/fendo.2024.1462043

(Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cultured in an incubator at 37°C with a 5% CO₂ and 20% O₂ atmosphere. hAFSC were isolated from a population of adherent amniotic fluid mesenchymal stromal cells by immunomagnetic sorting for c-KIT expression (CD117 MicroBead Kit, Miltenyi Biotechnology). c-KIT+ hAFS were further expanded in Minimal Essential Medium (MEM)-alpha with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco - Thermo Fisher Scientific), 20% Chang Medium C (Irvine Scientific) with 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco - Thermo Fisher Scientific), in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and 20% O₂ atmosphere. hAFSC were cultured and expanded to 3-4 passages before cryopreservation in liquid nitrogen until further application.

2.5 rhNGF-MPs preparation

PLGA microparticles (MPs) loaded with rhNGF were synthesized following a previously described customized double emulsion solvent evaporation technique (22). The MPs produced had an average size of 5 μ m, and the encapsulation efficiency of rhNGF exceeded 65%.

2.6 hCLs bioengineering

Decellularized hCLs (Decell_hCL) were dehydrated for 2 h at 60°C and then bioengineered (BioE_hCL) in three different ways as follows:

with hAFSCs (BioE_hCL_A): Decell_hCLs were incubated for 72 h in a 96 well plate with 200 μ L suspension of c-KIT⁺ hAFSCs (80 × 10³ cells/hCL; 5% CO₂, 37°C).

with rhNGF-PLGA-MPs (BioE_hCL_B): rhNGF-PLGA-MPs were suspended in 0.175 mL of 0.9% NaCl (highest saturation degree). One Decell_hCL per tube was incubated with MPs solutions for 3h at RT with orbital shaking at 200 rpm. Following the incubation time, samples were finally washed 10 times in 0.4 ml of 0.9% NaCl. Fluo-PLGA-MPs (Cat. LGFG20K; Sigma-Aldrich). Louis, MO, USA) were used as controls for the bioengineering process with PLGA-MPs (BioE_hCL_Fluo-PLGA-MPs).

with hAFSCs and rhNGF-PLGA-MPs (BioE_hCL_C): For a double bioengineering, Decell_hCLs were first incubated with rhNGF-MPs solutions, as reported above. Subsequently, hCLs bio-engineered with rhNGF-MPs were incubated for 24 h with 200 μ L of the hAFSCs suspension (80 × 10³ cells/hCL; 96 well plate).

All bioengineering processes were confirmed by immunofluorescence (IF) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses.

2.7 Immunofluorescence and histological analyses

For IF experiments neuroretinal samples were cryoprotected using Tissue Tek (Sakura, Umkirch, Germany) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Neuroretinal explants were then cut on cryostat (10 µm sections) and fixed with 4% PFA. 1 h of 5% BSA blocking was performed. After washing, neuroretinal explants slices were stained with monoclonal Purified Mouse Anti-GFAP Cocktail (1:200; BD PharmingenTM, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA, cat. 556330), Anti-Rhodopsin antibody (1:1000; Cambridge, UK, cat. ab98887), Anti-Nerve Growth Factor Receptor antibody (NGFR p75, 1:50; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, cat. S-N5408), and Trka monoclonal primary antibody (1:50; Danvers, Massachusetts, USA cat. 2505S). Cy3 anti-mouse (1:200; Jackson ImmunoReasearch, Laboratories, cat. 111-165-144), Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit (1:500; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, cat. A11034) and Alexa Fluor 546 anti-mouse (1:500; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, cat. A-11030) were used as the secondary antibodies. Counterstaining with DAPI (4'6'-diamidino-2phenylindole; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany) was performed. The slices were mounted using FluorSafe (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Images were captured using a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM-800; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Staining intensity of GFAP and Rho were measured by using ImageJ software (NIH, United States ImageJ software, public domain available at: http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/).

To evaluate retinal tissue morphology, cryosections (10 μ m) of control and HG-treated neuroretinal explants, were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). The sections were photographed under light microscopy objectives (10X and 20X) using the slide scanner system NanoZoomer. Quantification analyses was performed with Qu-Path 0.3.2 software using cell detection tool.

To confirm the bioengineering process, Decell_hCL and the three different BioE_hCLs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; 10 min, RT) and blocked for 1 h at RT with 1% of albumin bovine serum (BSA) (SIGMA, St.Louis, MO, USA). Louis, MO, USA, A4503). hCLs were stained with paxillin (5 μ g/mL: Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, cat. MA5-13356) or phalloidin-rhodamine-conjugated primary antibody (1:300; Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, cat. R415). FITC-goat anti-mouse was used as secondary antibody (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd., Cambridge House, St. Thomas' Place, cat. 115-095-006). DAPI staining was used to determine the nuclei.

2.8 Scanning electron microscopy

Decell_hCLs and the three different BioE_hCLs were fixed and dehydrated using an ascending ethanol series (50%, 70%, and 100%), followed by immersion in hexamethyldisilazane and air drying at RT in a desiccator. The dried samples were then mounted onto SEM stubs and sputter-coated with gold layers (150 Å thick). Samples were examined using a Phenom XL microscope (Alfatest, Phenom World).

2.9 Co-culture of neuroretinal explants with BioE_hCL_Fluo-MPs

Control (CTRL) neuroretinal explants were placed in direct contact with BioE_hCL_Fluo-PLGA-MPs to assess the possible

10.3389/fendo.2024.1462043

release of Fluo-PLGA-MPs from hCLs and their incorporation into the retinal layers. In detail, after four days of co-cultivation, BioE_hCL_Fluo-PLGA-MPs were removed from the co-culture system. Then, the neuroretinal explants were collected, cryoprotected and cut as described in 2.7 for the subsequent IF analyses performed with the Zeiss LSM-800 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Counterstaining with DAPI was performed to visualize retinal cells and layers.

2.10 Co-culture of HG-treated neuroretinal explants with BioE_hCLs

HG-treated neuroretinal explants were placed in direct contact with Decell_hCLs or with each of the three different BioE_hCLs to evaluate the effects of neurotrophic and regenerative factors. Specifically, hCLs were placed over the HG-treated neuroretinal explants. HG medium was completely replaced with fresh medium after 48 h of co-culture. After four days of co-cultivation, the BioE_hCL were removed from the co-culture system. Finally, neuroretinal explants were collected and processed for subsequent real-time PCR.

2.11 Real-time PCR

RNA isolation from neuroretinal explants was conducted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to previously established protocols (Pelusi L., 2022) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and concentration of the total RNA were assessed using a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). A high-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for cDNA synthesis. The TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) probes for glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Ss03375629_u1), glial fibrillar acid protein (GFAP; Ss03373547_m1), Cyclin Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 1A (p21; Ss06866662_m1), Nuclear factor erythroid 2related factor 2 (NRF2; Ss06886078_m1), Nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2; Ss03374886_u1), Bcl2-associated X protein (Bax; Ss03375842_u1), B-Cell Leukemia/Lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2; Ss03375167_s1), nuclear factor kappa B subunit 1 (NF-kB1; Ss03388575_m1); Tubulin Beta 3 Class III (TUBB3; Ss06898264_g1) RHO, VEGFA (Ss03393990_m1) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were analyzed in duplicate. The relative expression of the target genes in each group was expressed as the fold change in mRNA expression by means of by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ considering GAPDH as housekeeping.

2.12 Cytokine array

Conditioned media derived from HG-treated neuroretinal explants co-cultivated with each of the three BioE_hCLs were

pulled ($n \ge 6$) and analyzed using a semi-quantitative Cytokine Array (RayBiotech, Inc. Parkway Lane, GA, cat. AAP-CYT-1-8), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After blocking the membranes, samples were pipetted into each well and incubated for 5 h at RT. After two washes, the biotinylated antibody cocktail was pipetted into each well and incubated overnight at 4°C. Afterwards, 1X HRP-Streptavidin was added and incubated for 2 h at RT. The immune complexes were visualized using the Detection Buffer (1:1 C+D) provided by the array kit. Data were processed using the UVITEC Alliance software, and spot signal intensities were quantified using the ImageJ software.

2.13 Statistical analyses

Statistical significance was analyzed using GraphPad Prism Software Analysis (version 9, San Diego, USA). D'Agostino and Pearson normality tests were performed. Then, data were analyzed via unpaired Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney test for comparison between two groups. While, to compare more than two groups oneway ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis followed by *post hoc* tests (Tukey or Dunn). Data are shown as the mean \pm standard error (SEM). The value of *p* was set at 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Effect of HG on porcine neuroretinal explants

mRNA expression of markers associated to a pre-stage step of the DR-ex vivo model was evaluated to assess molecular changes associated to four days HG treatment (25 mM) in neuroretinal explants. Interestingly, HG treatment induced an upregulation of the inflammatory and oxidative stress processes, as shown by the significant increase of NFkB, NOS2 and NRF2. In addition, GFAP expression was significantly increased by HG and as expected, this was accomplished with a significant enhance in VEGFA. Furthermore, induction of apoptosis was also observed following HG treatment, as evidenced by the notable increase in BAX/Bcl-2ratio expression. This was accompanied by a significant decrease in the expression of TUBB3 and a trend in downregulation of RHO, typical markers for retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and photoreceptors respectively, indicating a reduction in their functionality (Figure 1). To validate the mRNA expression analyses, IF for GFAP and Rho protein expression were performed. As showed by the representative IF images and by the histograms in Figure 2, HG treatment induced a significant increase in GFAP expression, confirming the activation of an inflammatory process. While the reduction of Rho expression highlighted a retinal cells structure alteration (Figure 2). In support of this, the histological analyses of retinal morphology evaluated through H&E staining (Figure 3A) revealed tissue structure alterations in HG treated neuroretinal explants, primarily affecting the inner plexiform layer (IPL). Of note, the quantification analyses (Figure 3B) showed that HG treatment caused a significant reduction in the cells number of ganglion cell layer (GCL) and inner nuclear



layer (INL). Finally, to confirm that the observed effects were specifically induced by HG treatment, neuroretinal explants were treated with mannitol (MANN; 25 mM) as an osmolarity control.

Treatment with mannitol did not induce any significant changes in the expression of *NOS2*, *NFkB*, *TUBB3*, and *GFAP*, thus confirming the specificity of the HG treatment, which was able to reproduce the DR scenario in *ex vivo* neuroretinal explants (Supplementary Figure S1).

3.2 Analyses of bioengineered hCLs

The bioengineering processes of hCLs were demonstrated using IF and SEM analyses. The efficacy of 0.1% SDS in removing from untreated control hCL (CTRL_hCL) both cellular and nuclear material derived from human corneal keratocytes, was firstly confirmed through the IF staining of Decell_hCLs for phalloidin (intracellular F-actin) and DAPI (nucleic acids) (Figure 4, Decell_hCL image in IF panel). This result was corroborated by the SEM images which showed how the surface of Decell_hCLs (Figure, Decell_hCL image in SEM panel) appears smooth when compared to the surface of untreated control hCLs (Figure 4, CTRL_hCL image in SEM panel). Subsequently, the feasibility of bioengineering hCLs with hAFSCs (BioE_hCL_A condition) was proven. To this end, Decell_hCLs were incubated for 72 h with hAFSCs and then IF staining for the nuclei and F-actin revealed the ability of hAFSCs cells to repopulate the surface of Decell_hCLs (Figure 4, BioE_hCL_A image in IF panel). Of note, the presence of focal adhesions of hAFSCs was also found as evidenced by the presence of paxillin localized along the cell periphery and interacting with F-actin on the plasma membrane (Figure 3, BioE_hCL_A image in IF panel). In support of this, SEM images clearly displayed the monolayer of hAFSCs and their close adherence on Decell_hCL surface (Figure 4, BioE_hCL_A image in SEM panel). Then, the BioE_hCL_B condition was firstly verified in IF incubating Decell_hCLs with a suspension of Fluo-PLGA-MPs, which were used as bioengineering process control. In detail, confirming our previous findings (22), the IF images confirmed that Fluo-PLGA-MPs are able to attach the hCLs surface (Figure 4, BioE hCL B image in IF panel). While the bioengineering process with rhNGF-PLGA-MPs was confirmed by SEM analyses which highlighted the attachment of rhNGF-PLGA-MPs to the collagen fibers of Decell_hCLs surface (Figure 4, BioE_hCL_B image in SEM panel).



FIGURE 2

Immunofluorescence analyses of HG-treated porcine neuroretinal explants. Representative immunoflorescence (IF) images of CTRL and HG-treated neuroretinal explants and quantification of fluorescence intensity for GFAP (in red) and Rho (in green) markers. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (in blue) (n=3); *p<0.05.



*p<0.05; ***p<0.001.



Finally, the feasibility of double-bioenginering Decell_hCLs with hAFSCs and rhNGF-PLGA-MPs was investigated. In particular, for IF analyses, Decell_hCLs were first incubated with Fluo-PLGA-MPs solutions and then with hAFSCs. Of note, IF images demonstrated that both Fluo-PLGA-MPs and hAFSCs are simultaneously able to adhere and attach hCLs surface (Figure 4, BioE_hCL_C image in IF panel). These results were validated by SEM analyses which showed the presence of rhNGF-PLGA-MPs below the hAFSCs monolayer in the doubly bioengineered hCL thus demonstrating for the first time the successful development of a doubly bioengineered hCL (Figure 4, BioE_hCL_C image in SEM panel).

3.3 Expression of NGF receptor and release of Fluo-MPs from BioE_hCLs in the neuroretinal explant

Before assessing the effect of BioE_hCLs on our *ex vivo* model, we evaluated the expression of NGF receptors (NGFR p75 and TrkA) in the neuroretinal explants and the possible release of Fluo-PLGA-MPs from BioE_hCLs in the retina layers.

Regarding NGF receptor expression, representative IF images revealed that both NGF receptors are expressed in porcine retinal cells. In particular, TrkA was highly expressed in the Inner Plexiform Layer (IPL), whereas NGFR p75 was expressed in the Outer Nuclear Layer (ONL) (Figure 5A).

Our previous results (22) demonstrated that hCL bioengineered with rhNGF-PLGA-MPs sustained the release of active rhNGF for up to one month. Hence here we investigated whether the growth factors and MPs, probably released from BioE_hCL, were able to reach the neuroretinal explants. This was achieved by using Fluo-PLGA-MPs as a control delivery and thus co-culturing the neuroretinal explants with BioE_hCLs_Fluo-PLGA-MPs. Representative IF images (Figure 5B) showed that Fluo-PLGA-MPs were released from BioE_hCLs and subsequently incorporated into the retinal layers, particularly into theIPL.

3.4 Effect of hAFSCs and rhNGF released from BioE_hCLs on the *ex vivo* DR model: mRNA expression analyses

HG-treated neuroretinal explants were placed in direct contact with BioE_hCL for four days. Interestingly, depending on the markers analyzed, we observed different effects after co-culturing with each of the three BioE_hCL (Figure 6).

Regarding *NFKb*, *NOS2*, *NRF2*, and *Bax/Bcl-2*, the co-culture with each of the three BioE_hCLs reduced their expression. However, statistical significance was only achieved with BioE_hCLs_B and BioE_hCLs_C, suggesting that the inflammatory and oxidative stress processes induced by HG treatment may be mainly modulated by the release of rhNGF.

The co-culture with BioE_hCL_B and BioE_hCL_C also reduced the expression of *GFAP* in the HG-treated neuroretinal explant. On the contrary, *GFAP* levels were improved following the co-culture with BioE_hCL_A. This condition also significantly increased *VEGFA* expression, probably due to the release of pro-angiogenic factors from hAFSCs. Of note, this pro-angiogenic effect was significantly reverted in the BioE_hCL_B and BioE_hCL_C conditions compared to the HG and BioE_hCL_A ones.

Regarding the retinal cell's markers, a similar pattern was observed for *TUBB3* and *RHO*. Although no difference was found in BioE_hCL_A and BioE_hCL_B compared to HG treatment, the reduction of *TUBB3* and *RHO* induced by HG treatment was significantly reversed in BioE_hCL_C, indicating a synergistic effect between rhNGF and hAFSCs in the double bioengineered hCL.



Immunofluorescence analyses of NGF receptor and Fluo-MPs in porcine neuroretinal explants. Representative immunofluorescence (IF) images of (A) NGF receptors in porcine neuroretinal explants. Alexa Fluor 576 was used to stain NGFR p75 and Alexa Fluor 488 for TrkA, and (B) Fluo-PLGA-MPs were incorporated into the porcine neuroretina layers after release from BioE_hCL.



To better appreciate this synergic effect on our DR-*ex vivo* model, we normalized the computed values of BioE_hCL_C versus the HG condition (Table 1). Notably, this comparison highlighted that co-culture with BioE_hCL_C significantly reduced

inflammatory (*NFkB*, *NOS2*, *GFAP*), oxidative (*NRF2*), angiogenic (*VEGF*), apoptotic (*Bax/Bcl-2*), and retinal cell (*TUBB3 and RHO*) markers, reinforcing the assumption that hAFSCs and rhNGF have a synergistic effect.

TABLE 1 HG-treated porcine neuroretinal explants co-cultured with BioE_hCL_C.

Markers	HG	BioE_hCL_C	<i>p</i> -value	Sig. level
NFkB	1.00 ± 0.00	0.41 ± 0.099	0.0001	***
NOS2	1.00 ± 0.00	0.59 ± 0.32	0.006	**
NRF2	1.00 ± 0.00	0.77 ± 0.1	0.03	*
GFAP	1.00 ± 0.00	0.57 ± 0.99	0.02	*
VEGFA	1.00 ± 0.00	0.76 ± 0.099	0.0026	**
Bax/Bcl2	1.00 ± 0.00	0.69 ± 0.13	0.0002	***
TUBB3	1.00 ± 0.00	3.017 ± 0.62	< 0.0001	****
RHO	1.00 ± 0.00	1.72 ± 0.66	0.004	*

Normalized computed values of BioE_hCL_C versus HG condition. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ****p<0.001; ****p<0.0001.

3.5 Effect of hAFSCs and rhNGF released from BioE_hCLs on the *ex vivo* HG-model: cytokine release analyses

To strengthen the mRNA expression results, conditioned media collected from each experimental condition were analyzed using a 48-cytokine array.

In general, as reported by the heatmap in Figure 7A, a modulation of cytokines involved in inflammation, angiogenesis, and cell growth was observed. Notably, the values of the principal markers of interest were extrapolated and plotted.

As expected, the dot plots shown in Figure 7B disclosed that HG treatment activated an inflammatory process in the neuroretina explants, as revealed by the increased release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β), and interleukin 1 Receptor Antagonist (IL-1 ra) and by the decrease of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10.

Notably, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines was reduced in HG-treated neuroretinal explants co-cultured with each of the three BioE_hCLs. In contrast, IL-10 levels increased only in BioE_hCL_B and BioE_hCL_C. In addition, HG induced an increase in platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), indicating activation of the neovascularization process. This was successively reversed in the HG-ex vivo neuroretinal explants treated with BioE_hCL_B and BioE_hCL_C. Interestingly, we also observed a decrease in INSULIN levels in HG-treated neuroretinal explants, confirming that HG reduced the levels of this important neuroprotective factor (37). Notably, co-culture with BioE_hCL_B and BioE_hCL_C restored INSULIN levels.

4 Discussion

DRis a complex ocular disease affecting a high percentage of diabetic patients (38). Even though it is considered a microvascular complication of DM, recent studies define DR as a tissue-specific neurovascular impairment of multiple retinal cells, including neurons, glial cells, and vascular cells that constitute the

neurovascular units (NVUs) (8, 39). Therefore, the development of new therapeutic approaches for the management of DR associated neurodegeneration requires in depth investigation of the underlying molecular mechanisms (40).

In this context, several *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental models of DR have been developed over the years which, however, are affected by different drawbacks (40–44). Cell culture models fail to replicate *in vivo* conditions because they lack a tissue microenvironment, which can significantly influence cellular responses. Likewise, *in vivo* models encounter growing ethical and regulatory challenges in the animals use (25). Therefore, tissue culture models have been highlighted as promising alternatives, especially in the field of ocular disease such as DR (25, 27, 45–48). However, although the anatomy of pig eye is highly similar to that of the human eye (29), no studies have explored the feasibility of replicating the DR *milieu* in porcine neuroretinal explants.

In light of this, in the present study, porcine neuroretinal explants were collected and treated with HG (25 mM), allowing us to evaluate the effects of HG in all retinal cell types thus replicating the diabetic *milieu* on the entire retinal structure. Notably, HG treated neuroretinal explants showed elevated expression of $NF\kappa B$, implying the onset of a pro-inflammatory state, the initiation of a pro-apoptotic program leading to DNA damage through the increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (49, 50). Additionally, in our *ex vivo* model, HG caused an increase in the *Bax/Bcl-2* ratio and *Nrf2* levels, supporting the relationship between NF κ B activation and cell apoptosis. Indeed, it is known that both factors work together to maintain redox homeostasis in healthy cells and this regulation may be disrupted under DR condition (51–56).

Among the pro-inflammatory and oxidative stress mediators involved in the early stage of DR, NOS2 plays a critical role in tissue injury, neurodegeneration, and cell apoptosis. According to the state of the art (57–60), we found a significant increase of the *NOS2* level following the HG treatment.

Moreover, in line with the study of Lechner and colleagues (61), our DR model also underlined the activation of a neurodegenerative process revealed by the GFAP modulation, confirmed also by the IF analyses performed on cryosections of control and HG-treated neuroretinal samples.

Furthermore, although we also observed a modulation of the vascular markers VEGF, we were unable to evaluate the microvascular changes typical of DR. Indeed, in our model, the porcine neuroretinal explants were treated with HG for four days, which is a relatively short period and likely insufficient to observe significant microvascular changes. Furthermore, despite the porcine eye being represents an excellent research model, largely due to its anatomical and vascular similarities to the human eye (29), there are important differences that must be acknowledged. Unlike humans, where the central retinal artery and vein travel within the optic nerve before reaching the optic disc, pigs have retinal vessels that originate from chorioretinal arteries and veins at the optic disc periphery (62). For these reasons and since from the start of the enucleation process there is probably a rapid degeneration of vascular components, principally due to the lack of blood flow and biomechanical tissue support, it was difficult to study microvascular changes in our model, shifting the focus mainly to neural damage.

In addition to the markers discussed above, a decreased expression of *TUBB3* and *RHO* was found confirming that, RGCs and



FIGURE 7

plot showing the release of pro-inflammatory (GM-CSF, IL-1β, and IL-1 ra), anti-inflammatory (IL-10), pro-angiogenic (PDGF-BB), and neuroprotective (INSULIN) cytokines in conditioned media of CTRL and HG-treated porcine neuroretinal explants co-cultured with each of the three BioE_hCL. (n \geq 6 for pooled conditioned media).

photoreceptors respectively, were affected to the HG treatment (63). In support of this, in line with Jeong JS and colleagues (64) H&E staining also revealed evident morphological changes in HG treated neuroretinal explants which mainly interest the IPL. Interestingly, compared to the CTRL group, a reduction in the numbers of nuclei in the GCL and INL were observed in HG treated samples, thus supporting the gene expression data.

Despite these observations, to note is that just the severing of the optic nerve initiates a degenerative program which primarily affect the RGCs (27, 65). This could influence the interpretation of RGC state, representing a limit of our model. However, although the model cannot fully discriminate the effects of HG treatment from the impact of optic nerve severing due to the enucleation process, the observed differences between the CTRL neuroretinal explants (basal condition) and the HG treated ones suggest that HG significantly contributes to worsen retinal damage.Considering the neurodegenerative signature of DR, recently gained attention has been focused on the development of new therapeutic approaches based on the use of MSCs and the replacement of neurotrophic factors (15).

MSCs therapy has been recognized as one of the most effective treatments for various degenerative diseases, including DR conditions (66, 67). It is well established that MSCs release several factors, such as insulin-like growth factor (IGF), VEGF, basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), BDNF, ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), and NGF, which exert anti-apoptotic, angiogenic, pro-regenerative, and anti-fibrotic effects. Several studies demonstrated that intravitreal injections of different sources of MSCs promote in DR models the downregulation of GFAP, IL-1 β , TNF- α , IL-10, and VEGF protein expression (18, 68, 69). While for the use of neurotrophic factors, experimental evidence has proven the effectiveness of NGF treatment in degenerative diseases of the retina, such as glaucoma, retinitis pigmentosa, and diabetic retinopathy (70–72). However, the direct delivery of these factors to the eye remains a challenge.

As regard of this, we previously demonstrated the feasibility of using hCLs as a natural ocular drug delivery system able of releasing rhNGF-PLGA-MPs for one month (22). In particular, Decell_hCL, through a phenomenon of 'soaking" allows the rhNGF-PLGA-MPs to adhere uniformly to the hCL surface and incorporated within its stroma. Consequently, rhNGF-PLGA-MPs do not form covalent bonds but rather adhere to the hCL surface due to the polymeric and mucoadhesive properties of PLGA, which facilitate interaction with the collagen fibers of the hCLs. Thus, the mucoadhesive property of PLGA resulted particularly advantageous as allows to rhNGF-PLGA-MPs to adhere to the collagen fibers on the surface of the hCL, preventing them from falling off even after the washing phase of the procedure also allowing the sustained release over time of rhNGF. Furthermore, recently it has also been shown that hCLs can be recellularized with primary human stromal fibroblasts or with MSCs (73-75). In the wake of these studies, we demonstrated the feasibility of bioengineering hCLs with both hAFSCs and rhNGF-PLGA-MPs and their effect on the ex vivo DR model. Interestingly, by co-culturing each of the three BioE_hCLs here developed with HG-treated porcine neuroretina, we observed that hAFSCs and rhNGF ameliorate the HG induced damaged status. Nevertheless, a closer investigation showed that depending on the markers analyzed, the three BioE_hCLs displayed different patterns.

Among the existing sources, we selected hAFSCs thanks to their secretome enriched in proregenerative and neuroprotective factors (14). In detail, we found that hCLs bioengineered with only hAFSCs (BioE_hCLs_A) did not restore the increased levels of *GFAP* and *VEGF* in the HG-treated neuroretinal explant. While it reduced HG induced inflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis probably due to the release of well-known anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and neurotrophic factors present in the hAFSCs secretome. Therefore, assuming that the effects are mediated by the release of paracrine factors from hAFSCs, it would be interesting to evaluate in the future the possibility of bioengineering hCLs with EVs derived from hAFSCs, thereby overcoming the current limitations in the clinical application of these cells.

As regard the replacement of neurotrophic factors, it was found that in DR rats model the retrobulbar injection of NGF attenuates visual damage by reducing RGCs apoptosis (20). However, ST-induced diabetic rats treated with NGF eye drops showed a non-significant trend toward protecting ganglion cells from diabetes-induced degeneration (76, 77). Interestingly, our data strikingly concurs with these results since the rhNGF released from BioE_hCLs_B significantly decreased inflammation, oxidative stress, neovascularization, and apoptosis. Probably, the rhNGF binding to its high affinity TrkA receptor, activating pathways like Ras-MAPK, ERK, PI3K-Akt, and PLC- Υ (78–80). However, rhNGF did not improve the levels of retinal markers such as *TUBB3* and *RHO*.

To confirm that these results were due to the release of rhNGF from BioE_hCLs, we co-cultured neuroretinal samples with BioE_hCL_Fluo_ PLGA-MPs, as delivery control. Interestingly, we provided evidence that Fluo-PLGA-MPs were released by BioE_hCL_Fluo-PLGA-MPs and that these were internalized into the retinal layer. This confirmed our previous results (22) and allowed us to hypothesize that BioE_hCLs were also able to release hAFSC-derived paracrine factors.

Although various studies have investigated the effects of MSCs and NGF on DR-model, none have explored the potential synergistic effect that could arise from their simultaneous release. Here, for the first time, we propose that they might have synergistic beneficial effects. Indeed, when BioE_hCLs_C were co-cultured with HG-treated neuroretinal explants a better effect was displayed compared to BioE_hCLs_A and BioE_hCLs_B, since a significant decrease of *NFkB*, *NOS2*, *VEGFA*, and *Bax/Bcl2* and an increased in *TUBB3* expression was observed. Additionally, a trend in the downregulation of *Nrf2* and *GFAP* and in the upregulation of RHO were detected. In accordance with Zha et al. (81) we hypothesis that the rhNGF released stimulates hAFSCs paracrine factor production through the TrkA-MSCs receptor interaction thus resulting in a synergistic effect.

Although the results obtained are promising, the study here reported has some limitations. Firstly we considered a sample size of neuroretinal explants equal to or greater than five $(n \ge 5)$ external factors such as the time of animal euthanasia as per day or night, which may affect photoreceptor activation, as well as the variability among animals often make it difficult to achieve statistical significance. Furthermore, the present study mainly focused on profiling the modulation of mRNA expression. A significant technical challenge we encountered was performing IF or histological analyses on neuroretinal explants following co-culture with BioE_hCLs. Indeed, due to the close contact between BioE_hCLs and the retinal explants, removing the BioE_hCLs could potentially cause a damage in the neuroretinal tissue structure, making the protein markers analyses performed directly ex vivo on the retina difficult. However, to support our mRNA expression results, we analyzed the conditioned medium of neuroretinal explants co-cultured with BioE_hCLs to quantify the levels of 48 cytokines involved in the processes of inflammation, oxidative stress and neovascularization. This evidenced the reduced release of GM-CSF, IL-1β, IL-1ra, and PDGF-BB, along with the increased levels of IL-10 and INSULIN. An additional limit is the difficulty in maintaining neuroretinal explants in ex vivo culture for extended periods, as they tend to undergo spontaneous degeneration through programmed cell death, including apoptosis and necroptosis (82). This did not allow us to observe the long-term effects of HG, especially the factors released by BioE_hCLs.

Nevertheless overall, our results are encouraging and provide compelling evidence that hAFSCs and rhNGF can modulate the molecular mechanisms underlying DR following their release from BioE_hCLs, which might be proposed as a valuable ocular delivery system. Notably, we also successfully demonstrated the feasibility of mimicking DR *ex vivo* by treating porcine neuroretinal explants with HG concentrations, thereby replicating the disease's *milieu*. This innovative approach not only sets the stage for more detailed studies but also paves the way for transitioning to an *in vivo* experimental model that will enable us to confirm the effects of hAFSCs and rhNGF on DR. Furthermore, the employment of an *in vivo* experimental model will allow us to identify the optimal implantation site for BioE_hCL, ensuring sufficient exposure and release of neurotrophic and regenerative factors to the retina providing us a better guidance on the subsequent treatment planning to move forward to possible clinical studies. Indeed, this is a proof-of-concept study that does not currently support the feasibility and efficacy of this transplant approach in DR patients. Therefore, further studies will be needed to assess its potential for clinical application.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by hCL collection: University's Institutional Review Board and Ethical Committee (Approval number: 03/07-02-2019); hAFSCs isolation and collection: Regional Ethic Committee with the authorization P.R. 428REG2015 released on 11 November 2019. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because a local slaughterhouse donated discarded pigs' eyes after processing the animals for food consumption.

Author contributions

LP: Formal analysis, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. JH: Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Data curation, Conceptualization. ND: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. CP: Visualization, Writing – original draft. AL: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. GC: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. RM: Writing – review & editing, Validation. MA: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing, Validation. NDP: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Methodology. TR: Validation, Writing – review & editing. ME: Writing – review & editing. MN: Validation, Writing – review & editing. LG: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Methodology. SB: Validation, Writing – review & editing. AP: Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Validation, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. SS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Conceptualization, Methodology. DM: Methodology, Formal analysis, Validation, Supervision, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of: PON-MISE Sustainable Growth Funding-DD27 September 2018 (grant no. 21, F/180021/03/X43).

Acknowledgments

DM is a researcher (code n. 53-I-14751-1) supported by PON R&I "Ricerca e Innovazione" 2014–2020, Action IV.4 "Doctorates and research contracts on innovation issues", DM 1061/2021, funded by the Ministry of University and Research (MUR), Italy, FSE REACT-EU. LP is a post-doc fellowship and MEZ is a doctoral fellowship both supported by PNRR-MR1-2022-12376561. We would also like to thank Dr. Pamela Di Tomo, Francesco Del Pizzo and Samuele Faieta for technical assistance. Dr. Erminia D'Ugo for her support on stromal lenticule samples collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors ND, GC, MA, and TR are employed by Dompé Farmaceutici SpA. ND, MA, MN, AP, and DM are authors of European Patent n° 20179055.7-1109, 09/06/2020, "New Drug Delivery System for Ophtalmic Use".

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1462043/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Ellis MP, Lent-Schochet D, Lo T, Yiu G. Emerging concepts in the treatment of diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Diabetes Rep.* (2019) 19:137. doi: 10.1007/s11892-019-1276-5

2. Thomas RL, Halim S, Gurudas S, Sivaprasad S, Owens DR. IDF Diabetes Atlas: A review of studies utilising retinal photography on the global prevalence of diabetes related retinopathy between 2015 and 2018. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2019) 157:107840. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107840

3. Selvaraj K, Gowthamarajan K, Karri VV, Barauah UK, Ravisankar V, Jojo GM. Current treatment strategies and nanocarrier based approaches for the treatment and management of diabetic retinopathy. *J Drug Targeting.* (2017) 25:386–405. doi: 10.1080/1061186X.2017.1280809

4. Gaddam S, Periasamy R, Gangaraju R. Adult stem cell therapeutics in diabetic retinopathy. Int J Mol Sci. (2019) 20:4876. doi: 10.3390/ijms20194876

5. Arrigo A, Teussink M, Aragona E, Bandello F, Battaglia Parodi M. MultiColor imaging to detect different subtypes of retinal microaneurysms in diabetic retinopathy. *Eye (Lond).* (2021) 35:277–81. doi: 10.1038/s41433-020-0811-6

6. Di Fulvio P, Pandolfi A, Formoso G, Silvestre S, Tomo P, Giardinelli A, et al. Features of endothelial dysfunction in umbilical cord vessels of women with gestational diabetes. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2014) 24:1337–45. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2014.06.005

7. Zerbini G, Maestroni S, Leocani L, Mosca A, Godi M, Paleari R, et al. Topical nerve growth factor prevents neurodegenerative and vascular stages of diabetic retinopathy. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:1015522. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1015522

 Solomon SD, Chew E, Duh EJ, Sobrin L, Sun JK, VanderBeek BL, et al. Diabetic retinopathy: A position statement by the american diabetes association. *Diabetes Care*. (2017) 40:412–8. doi: 10.2337/dc16-2641

9. Simo R, Simo-Servat O, Bogdanov P, Hernandez C. Diabetic retinopathy: role of neurodegeneration and therapeutic perspectives. *Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila)*. (2022) 11:160–7. doi: 10.1097/APO.00000000000510

10. Lin KY, Hsih WH, Lin YB, Wen CY, Chang TJ. Update in the epidemiology, risk factors, screening, and treatment of diabetic retinopathy. *J Diabetes Investig.* (2021) 12:1322–5. doi: 10.1111/jdi.13480

11. Kutluturk Karagoz I, Allahverdiyev A, Bagirova M, Abamor ES, Dinparvar S. Current approaches in treatment of diabetic retinopathy and future perspectives. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther.* (2020) 36:487–96. doi: 10.1089/jop.2019.0137

12. Simo R, Stitt AW, Gardner TW. Neurodegeneration in diabetic retinopathy: does it really matter? *Diabetologia*. (2018) 61:1902-12. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4692-1

13. Kim KS, Park JM, Kong T, Kim C, Bae SH, Kim HW, et al. Retinal angiogenesis effects of TGF-beta1 and paracrine factors secreted from human placental stem cells in response to a pathological environment. *Cell Transplant*. (2016) 25:1145–57. doi: 10.3727/096368915X688263

14. Costa A, Ceresa D, Palma A, Rossi R, Turturo S, Santamaria S, et al. Comprehensive profiling of secretome formulations from fetal- and perinatal human amniotic fluid stem cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:3713. doi: 10.3390/ijms22073713

15. Stitt AW, Curtis TM, Chen M, Medina RJ, McKay GJ, Jenkins A, et al. The progress in understanding and treatment of diabetic retinopathy. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2016) 51:156–86. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2015.08.001

16. Kong JH, Zheng D, Chen S, Duan HT, Wang YX, Dong M, et al. A comparative study on the transplantation of different concentrations of human umbilical mesenchymal cells into diabetic rats. *Int J Ophthalmol.* (2015) 8:257–62. doi: 10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2015.02.08

17. He Y, Zhang Z, Yao T, Huang L, Gan J, Lv H, et al. Extracellular vesicles derived from human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells relieves diabetic retinopathy through a microRNA-30c-5p-dependent mechanism. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2022) 190:109861. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2022.109861

18. Gu C, Zhang H, Gao Y. Adipose mesenchymal stem cells-secreted extracellular vesicles containing microRNA-192 delays diabetic retinopathy by targeting ITGA1. *J Cell Physiol.* (2021) 236:5036–51. doi: 10.1002/jcp.30213

19. Mysona BA, Al-Gayyar MM, Matragoon S, Abdelsaid MA, El-Azab MF, Saragovi HU, et al. Modulation of p75(NTR) prevents diabetes- and proNGF-induced retinal inflammation and blood-retina barrier breakdown in mice and rats. *Diabetologia.* (2013) 56:2329–39. doi: 10.1007/s00125-013-2998-6

20. Wang QC, Sheng W, Yi CJ, Lv H, Cheng B. Retrobulbarly injecting nerve growth factor attenuates visual impairment in streptozotocin-induced diabetes rats. Int Ophthalmol. (2020) 40:3501–11. doi: 10.1007/s10792-020-01537-8

21. Elsherbiny NM, Abdel-Mottaleb Y, Elkazaz AY, Atef H, Lashine RM, Youssef AM, et al. Carbamazepine alleviates retinal and optic nerve neural degeneration in diabetic mice via nerve growth factor-induced PI3K/akt/mTOR activation. *Front Neurosci.* (2019) 13:1089. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.01089

22. Mastropasqua L, Nubile M, Acerra G, Detta N, Pelusi L, Lanzini M, et al. Bioengineered human stromal lenticule for recombinant human nerve growth factor release: A potential biocompatible ocular drug delivery system. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* (2022) 10:887414. doi: 10.3389//bioe.2022.887414 23. Yam GH, Bandeira F, Liu YC, Devarajan K, Yusoff N, Htoon HM, et al. Effect of corneal stromal lenticule customization on neurite distribution and excitatory property. *J Adv Res.* (2022) 38:275–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2021.09.004

24. Murali A, Ramlogan-Steel CA, Andrzejewski S, Steel JC, Layton CJ. Retinal explant culture: A platform to investigate human neuro-retina. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2019) 47:274–85. doi: 10.1111/ceo.13434

25. Hurst J, Fietz A, Tsai T, Joachim SC, Schnichels S. Organ cultures for retinal diseases. *Front Neurosci.* (2020) 14:583392. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.583392

26. Schnichels S, Kiebler T, Hurst J, Maliha AM, Loscher M, Dick HB, et al. Retinal organ cultures as alternative research models. *Altern Lab Anim.* (2019) 47:19–29. doi: 10.1177/0261192919840092

27. Schnichels S, Paquet-Durand F, Loscher M, Tsai T, Hurst J, Joachim SC, et al. Retina in a dish: Cell cultures, retinal explants and animal models for common diseases of the retina. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2021) 81:100880. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2020.100880

28. Lai AK, Lo AC. Animal models of diabetic retinopathy: summary and comparison. J Diabetes Res. (2013) 2013:106594. doi: 10.1155/2013/106594

29. Guduric-Fuchs J, Ringland LJ, Gu P, Dellett M, Archer DB, Cogliati T. Immunohistochemical study of pig retinal development. *Mol Vis.* (2009) 15:1915–28.

30. Slijkerman RW, Song F, Astuti GD, Huynen MA, van Wijk E, Stieger K, et al. The pros and cons of vertebrate animal models for functional and therapeutic research on inherited retinal dystrophies. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2015) 48:137–59. doi: 10.1016/ j.preteyeres.2015.04.004

31. Quiroz J, Yazdanyar A. Animal models of diabetic retinopathy. *Ann Transl Med.* (2021) 9:1272. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-6737

32. Fietz A, Corsi F, Hurst J, Schnichels S. Blue Light Damage and p53: Unravelling the Role of p53 in Oxidative-Stress-Induced Retinal Apoptosis. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2023) 12:2072. doi: 10.3390/antiox12122072

33. Fietz A, Schnichels S, Hurst J. Co-cultivation of primary porcine RPE cells and neuroretina induces inflammation: a potential inflammatory AMD-model. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:19345. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-46029-8

34. Balbi C, Lodder K, Costa A, Moimas S, Moccia F, Herwaarden Tv, et al. Supporting data on *in vitro* cardioprotective and proliferative paracrine effects by the human amniotic fluid stem cell secretome. *Data Brief.* (2019) 25:104324. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2019.104324

35. Balbi C, Piccoli M, Barile L, Papait A, Armirotti A, Principi E, et al. First characterization of human amniotic fluid stem cell extracellular vesicles as a powerful paracrine tool endowed with regenerative potential. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* (2017) 6:1340–55. doi: 10.1002/sctm.16-0297

36. Costa A, Balbi C, Garbati P, Palama MEF, Reverberi D, Palma A, et al. Investigating the paracrine role of perinatal derivatives: human amniotic fluid stem cell-extracellular vesicles show promising transient potential for cardiomyocyte renewal. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* (2022) 10:902038. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.902038

37. Ipp E. Diabetic retinopathy and insulin insufficiency: beta cell replacement as a strategy to prevent blindness. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2021) 12:734360. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.734360

38. Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9(th) edition. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2019) 157:107843. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107843

39. Soni D, Sagar P, Takkar B. Diabetic retinal neurodegeneration as a form of diabetic retinopathy. *Int Ophthalmol.* (2021) 41:3223-48. doi: 10.1007/s10792-021-01864-4

40. Sadikan MZ, Abdul Nasir NA, Lambuk L, Mohamud R, Reshidan NH, Low E, et al. Diabetic retinopathy: a comprehensive update on *in vivo, in vitro* and *ex vivo* experimental models. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2023) 23:421. doi: 10.1186/s12886-023-03155-1

41. Olivares AM, Althoff K, Chen GF, Wu S, Morrisson MA, DeAngelis MM, et al. Animal models of diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Diabetes Rep.* (2017) 17:93. doi: 10.1007/s11892-017-0913-0

42. Yin Y, Xu R, Ning L, Yu Z. Bergenin alleviates Diabetic Retinopathy in STZinduced rats. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* (2023) 195:5299–311. doi: 10.1007/s12010-022-03949-x

43. Lv K, Ying H, Hu G, Hu J, Jian Q, Zhang F. Integrated multi-omics reveals the activated retinal microglia with intracellular metabolic reprogramming contributes to inflammation in STZ-induced early diabetic retinopathy. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:942768. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.942768

44. Rakieten N, Rakieten ML, Nadkarni MV. Studies on the diabetogenic action of streptozotocin (NSC-37917). *Cancer Chemother Rep.* (1963) 29:91–8.

45. Amato R, Biagioni M, Cammalleri M, Monte MD, Casini G. VEGF as a survival factor in *ex vivo* models of early diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2016) 57:3066–76. doi: 10.1167/iovs.16-19285

46. Gucciardo E, Loukovaara S, Korhonen A, Lehti K. An *ex vivo* tissue culture model for fibrovascular complications in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *J Vis Exp.* (2019) 143. doi: 10.3791/59090

47. Rezzola S, Belleri M, Ribatti D, Costagliola C, Presta M, Semeraro. F. A novel *ex vivo* murine retina angiogenesis (EMRA) assay. *Exp Eye Res.* (2013) 112:51–6. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2013.04.014

48. Alarautalahti V, Ragauskas S, Hakkarainen JJ, Uusitalo-Jarvinen H, Uusitalo H, Hyttinen J, et al. Viability of mouse retinal explant cultures assessed by preservation of functionality and morphology. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2019) 60:1914–27. doi: 10.1167/iovs.18-25156

49. Ding X, Sun Z, Guo Y, Tang W, Shu Q, Xu G. Inhibition of NF-kappaB ameliorates aberrant retinal glia activation and inflammatory responses in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Ann Transl Med.* (2023) 11:197. doi: 10.21037/ atm-22-2204

50. Kowluru RA, Koppolu P, Chakrabarti S, Chen S. Diabetes-induced activation of nuclear transcriptional factor in the retina, and its inhibition by antioxidants. *Free Radic Res.* (2003) 37:1169–80. doi: 10.1080/10715760310001604189

51. Ganesh Yerra V, Negi G, Sharma SS, Kumar A. Potential therapeutic effects of the simultaneous targeting of the Nrf2 and NF-kappaB pathways in diabetic neuropathy. *Redox Biol.* (2013) 1:394–7. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2013.07.005

52. Taguchi K, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. Molecular mechanisms of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway in stress response and cancer evolution. *Genes Cells.* (2011) 16:123–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2443.2010.01473.x

53. Kensler TW, Wakabayashi N, Biswal S. Cell survival responses to environmental stresses via the Keap1-Nrf2-ARE pathway. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* (2007) 47:89–116. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.46.120604.141046

54. Zhong Q, Kowluru RA. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-9 by epigenetic modifications and the development of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes*. (2013) 62:2559–68. doi: 10.2337/db12-1141

55. Kowluru RA. Cross talks between oxidative stress, inflammation and epigenetics in diabetic retinopathy. *Cells*. (2023) 12:300. doi: 10.3390/cells12020300

56. Zhong Q, Mishra M, Kowluru RA. Transcription factor Nrf2-mediated antioxidant defense system in the development of diabetic retinopathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2013) 54:3941–8. doi: 10.1167/iovs.13-11598

57. Mishra A, Newman EA. Inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase reverses the loss of functional hyperemia in diabetic retinopathy. *Glia.* (2010) 58:1996–2004. doi: 10.1002/glia.21068

58. Othman R, Vaucher E, Couture R. Bradykinin type 1 receptor - inducible nitric oxide synthase: A new axis implicated in diabetic retinopathy. *Front Pharmacol.* (2019) 10:300. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00300

59. Toda N, Nakanishi-Toda M. Nitric oxide: ocular blood flow, glaucoma, and diabetic retinopathy. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2007) 26:205-38. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2007.01.004

60. Pandolfi A, De Filippis EA. Chronic hyperglicemia and nitric oxide bioavailability play a pivotal role in pro-atherogenic vascular modifications. *Genes Nutr.* (2007) 2:195–208. doi: 10.1007/s12263-007-0050-5

61. Lechner J, Medina RJ, Lois N, Stitt AW. Advances in cell therapies using stem cells/progenitors as a novel approach for neurovascular repair of the diabetic retina. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2022) 13:388. doi: 10.1186/s13287-022-03073-x

62. Simoens P, Schaepdrijver L, Lauwers H. Morphologic and clinical study of the retinal circulation in the miniature pig. A: Morphology of the retinal microvasculature. *Exp Eye Res.* (1992) 54:965–73. doi: 10.1016/0014-4835(92)90161-k

63. Ren J, Zhang S, Pan Y, Jin M, Li J, Luo Y, et al. Diabetic retinopathy: Involved cells, biomarkers, and treatments. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:953691. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.953691

64. Jeong JS, Lee WK, Moon YS, Kim NR. Early changes in retinal structure and BMP2 expression in the retina and crystalline lens of streptozotocin-induced diabetic pigs. *Lab Anim Res.* (2017) 33:216–22. doi: 10.5625/lar.2017.33.3.216

65. Buccarello L, Dragotto J, Hassanzadeh K, Maccarone R, Corbo M, Feligioni M. Retinal ganglion cell loss in an *ex vivo* mouse model of optic nerve cut is prevented by curcumin treatment. *Cell Death Discovery*. (2021) 7:394. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00760-1

66. Saha B, Roy A, Beltramo E, Sahoo OS. Stem cells and diabetic retinopathy: From models to treatment. *Mol Biol Rep.* (2023) 50:4517–26. doi: 10.1007/s11033-023-08337-0

67. Fiori A, Terlizzi V, Kremer H, Gebauer J, Hammes HP, Harmsen MC, et al. Mesenchymal stromal/stem cells as potential therapy in diabetic retinopathy. *Immunobiology*. (2018) 223:729–43. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2018.01.001

68. Sun F, Sun Y, Zhu J, Wang X, Ji C, Zhang J, et al. Mesenchymal stem cellsderived small extracellular vesicles alleviate diabetic retinopathy by delivering NEDD4. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2022) 13:293. doi: 10.1186/s13287-022-02983-0

69. Abdel-Kawi SH, Hashem KS. Administration of melatonin in diabetic retinopathy is effective and improves the efficacy of mesenchymal stem cell treatment. *Stem Cells Int.* (2022) 2022:6342594. doi: 10.1155/2022/6342594

70. Castoldi V, Zerbini G, Maestroni S, Vigano I, Rama P, Leocani L. Topical Nerve Growth Factor (NGF) restores electrophysiological alterations in the Ins2(Akita) mouse model of diabetic retinopathy. *Exp Eye Res.* (2023) 237:109693. doi: 10.1016/ j.exer.2023.109693

71. Lambiase A, Aloe L, Centofanti M, Parisi V, Bao SN, Mantelli F, et al. Experimental and clinical evidence of neuroprotection by nerve growth factor eye drops: Implications for glaucoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2009) 106:13469–74. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906678106

72. Rocco ML, Calza L, Aloe L. NGF and retinitis pigmentosa: structural and molecular studies. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2021) 1331:255-63. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-74046-7_17

73. Aghamollaei H, Hashemian H, Safabakhsh H, Halabian R, Baghersad M, Jadidi K. Safety of grafting acellular human corneal lenticule seeded with Wharton's Jelly-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in an experimental animal model. *Exp Eye Res.* (2021) 205:108451. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2021.108451

74. Yam GH, Yusoff NZ, Goh TW, Setiawan M, Lee XW, Liu YC, et al. Decellularization of human stromal refractive lenticules for corneal tissue engineering. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:26339. doi: 10.1038/srep26339

75. Alio del Barrio JL, Chiesa M, Garagorri N, Garcia-Urquia N, Fernandez-Delgado J, Bataille L, et al. Acellular human corneal matrix sheets seeded with human adiposederived mesenchymal stem cells integrate functionally in an experimental animal model. *Exp Eye Res.* (2015) 132:91–100. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2015.01.020

76. ColaFrancesco V, Coassin M, Rossi S, Aloe L. Effect of eye NGF administration on two animal models of retinal ganglion cells degeneration. *Ann Ist Super Sanita*. (2011) 47:284–9. doi: 10.4415/ANN_11_03_08

77. Shityakov S, Nagai M, Ergun S, Braunger BM, Forster CY. The protective effects of neurotrophins and microRNA in diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy and heart failure via regulating endothelial function. *Biomolecules*. (2022) 12:1113. doi: 10.3390/biom12081113

78. Aloe L, Rocco ML, Bianchi P, Manni L. Nerve growth factor: from the early discoveries to the potential clinical use. *J Transl Med.* (2012) 10:239. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-10-239

79. Klesse LJ, Meyers KA, Marshall CJ, Parada LF. Nerve growth factor induces survival and differentiation through two distinct signaling cascades in PC12 cells. *Oncogene.* (1999) 18:2055–68. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1202524

80. Reichardt LF. Neurotrophin-regulated signalling pathways. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* (2006) 361:1545–64. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2006.1894

81. Zha K, Yang Y, Tian G, Sun Z, Yang Z, Li X, et al. Nerve growth factor (NGF) and NGF receptors in mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: Impact on potential therapies. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* (2021) 10:1008–20. doi: 10.1002/sctm.20-0290

82. Puertas-Neyra K, Galindo-Cabello N, Hernandez-Rodriguez LA, Gonzalez-Perez F, Rodriguez-Cabello JC, Gonzalez-Sarmiento R, et al. Programmed cell death and autophagy in an *in vitro* model of spontaneous neuroretinal degeneration. *Front Neuroanat*. (2022) 16:812487. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2022.812487