Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fariba Ahmadizar, University Medical Center Utrecht, Netherlands

REVIEWED BY

Elnaz Naderi, Columbia University Medical Center, United States Angélica G. Martínez-H, National Institute of Genomic Medicine (INMEGEN), Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE JinNuo Fan Manjinnuowy@163.com

RECEIVED 10 May 2024 ACCEPTED 26 July 2024 PUBLISHED 09 August 2024

CITATION

Zheng X, Chen M, Zhuang Y, Zhao L, Qian Y, Xu J and Fan J (2024) Genetic associations between gut microbiota and type 2 diabetes mediated by plasma metabolites: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1430675. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1430675

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zheng, Chen, Zhuang, Zhao, Qian, Xu and Fan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Genetic associations between gut microbiota and type 2 diabetes mediated by plasma metabolites: a Mendelian randomization study

XuWen Zheng, MaoBing Chen, Yi Zhuang, Liang Zhao, YongJun Qian, Jin Xu and JinNuo Fan*

Emergency Department, Wujin People's Hospital Affiliated with Jiangsu University and Wujin Clinical College of Xuzhou Medical University, Changzhou, Jiangsu, China

Background: Numerous research studies have indicated a possible association between type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and gut microbiota. To explore specific metabolic pathways connecting gut microbiota and T2DM, we employed Mendelian randomization (MR) and linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) techniques.

Methods: This research utilized data from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) that are publicly accessible. We evaluated the genetic correlation between gut microbiota and T2DM using LDSC. Causality was primarily determined through the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method. To verify the robustness of our results, we conducted sensitivity analyses using several approaches, including the weighted median, MR-Egger, and MR-PRESSO. We integrated summary effect estimates from LDSC, along with forward and reverse MR, into a meta-analysis for T2DM using various data sources. Additionally, mediation analysis was performed to explore the impact of plasma metabolites on the relationship between gut microbiota and T2DM.

Results: Our study indicated a significant genetic correlation between genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG005* (Rg = -0.26, Rg_P = 2.07×10^{-4}) and T2DM. Moreover, the forward MR analysis identified genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* (OR = 0.857, 95% CI 0.795, 0.924; P = 6.33×10^{-5}) and order *Clostridiales* (OR = 0.936, 95% CI 0.878, 0.997; P = 0.039) as being significantly associated with a decreased risk of T2DM. The analysis also highlighted several plasma metabolites as significant mediators in these relationships, with metabolites like octadecadienedioate (C18:2-DC) and branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid being notably involved.

Conclusion: The findings demonstrate a significant impact of gut microbiota on T2DM via plasma metabolites, suggesting potential metabolic pathways for therapeutic targeting. This study enhances our understanding of the microbiota's role in T2DM pathogenesis and supports the development of microbiota-based interventions.

KEYWORDS

type 2 diabetes, gut microbiota, plasma metabolites, Mendelian randomization, linkage disequilibrium score regression, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2DM) affects over 400 million individuals globally, with prevalence rates continuing to soar alongside rising obesity levels and sedentary lifestyles. The disease disproportionately impacts aging populations, but recent trends show increasing incidence in younger demographics as well, attributed to lifestyle changes and genetic predispositions (1). The clinical presentation of T2DM can vary but commonly includes symptoms like polyuria, polydipsia, and unexplained weight loss. Additionally, T2DM leads to significant healthcare costs and productivity losses due to complications such as neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy (2–4). Its pathogenesis involves a combination of genetic predisposition and environmental factors, leading to insulin resistance and β -cell dysfunction (3, 5, 6).

Among the most promising domains in T2DM research is the involvement of the microbiome as a potential environmental contributor. Recent research has highlighted the complex relationship between gut microbiota and T2DM. Observational studies have found significant correlations between gut microbiota diversity and T2DM (7, 8), while mendelian randomization (MR) studies have identified specific microbial genera such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lachnoclostridium*, that may causally impact T2DM risk (9, 10).

Despite these advances, a significant research gap remains in understanding the precise mechanisms through which gut microbiota and plasma metabolites influence T2DM. To address this gap, we employed a combination of Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression (LDSC) and mediation MR. The use of genetic variations as instrumental variables (IVs) is central to the practice of MR, an epidemiological method aimed at improving the reliability of causal conclusions (11). This approach provides two main advantages: it helps to overcome the issue of confounding variables and reduces the possibility of reverse causation, mainly because genetic variants are allocated randomly at the time of conception (11). LDSC is notable for its ability to evaluate genetic correlations using summary statistics from GWAS without being affected by overlapping samples (12). The primary aim of this research is to delineate the pathways by which gut microbiota influence T2DM through specific plasma metabolites using an MR framework, thereby providing a clearer picture of the disease's etiology and pointing towards targeted interventions.

Understanding how gut microbiota and specific metabolites influence T2DM can lead to new therapeutic strategies and improve prevention and treatment efforts, ultimately reducing the global burden of the disease. By focusing on the mediating role of plasma metabolites, this study not only seeks to bridge the gap between genetic predispositions and microbial influences but also aims to uncover specific metabolic pathways that could be targeted for therapeutic intervention.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design

This study made use of publicly available GWAS data, applying IVs that met three essential criteria necessary for conducting MR analysis (1): The genetic variants used as instruments must demonstrate a significant association with the exposure under investigation; (2) These variants must not have any associations with other potential risk factors for the outcome; and (3) The effect of the genetic variants on the outcome must occur solely through the exposure (11). Figure 1 illustrates the overarching design of this investigation. In the first step, we conducted LDSC, forward, and reverse MR analyses examining the relationship between 211 gut microbiomes and T2DM. Additionally, we performed forward MR analyses involving 1,400 plasma metabolites associated with the disease. Outcome data for T2DM were sourced from three distinct databases. Meta-analysis integrated the summary effect estimates from LDSC, forward MR, and reverse MR to assess T2DM across various data sources. In the second step, MR analyses were performed between the identified gut microbiomes and the identified plasma metabolites. The indirect effects (IE) of the identified gut microbiomes on T2DM via plasma metabolites was assessed using the product of coefficients method (13). All studies included in the analysis were approved by their respective institutional review boards and ethical committees, and consent forms were obtained from all participants.



2.2 Instrumental variable selection

The MiBioGen consortium conducted the largest genome-wide meta-analysis to date, identifying genetic variations that influence gut microbiota composition (14). This analysis involved 18,340 participants across 24 cohorts, with the majority being of European origin (n = 13,266). The MiBioGen database revealed 211 gut microbiota taxa, which included 12 unknown genera and 3 unknown families. Despite their minor representation, these unknown taxa were not excluded from our analysis, though results pertaining to these unidentified bacterial taxa will not be reported. Additionally, GWASs were performed on 1,091 metabolites and 309 metabolite ratios involving 8,299 participants from the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging (CLSA) cohort (15). To align with the first assumption of MR analysis, a significance threshold of $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ was employed for gut microbiota and plasma metabolites identified through GWASs, acknowledging that this rarely meets the genomewide significance threshold ($P < 10^{-8}$) (16). Furthermore, to adhere to MR's requirement of no linkage disequilibrium (LD) among IVs, IVs were selected based on R² < 0.001 and a clumping distance of 10,000 kb to maintain independent single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). To mitigate the influence of weak IVs, the F-statistic ($F = beta^2/se^2$) was calculated for each SNP, discarding IVs with an F-statistic < 10 as weak (17, 18). Harmonization of SNPs in both the exposure and outcome datasets was performed to match alternative and reference alleles, thus eliminating SNPs with mismatched alleles to reduce inconsistencies. Ambiguous palindromic SNPs with minor allele frequencies close to 0.5 were excluded from the MR analyses. For the second assumption, MR-Egger intercept test and MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) test were conducted to identify pleiotropy, excluding MR estimates with significant pleiotropy from the meta-analysis (P for intercept < 0.05 or P for global test < 0.05). Lastly, for the third assumption, SNPs significantly associated with the outcome (P < 1×10^{-5}) were omitted from the MR analysis to ensure the validity of causal inferences. The IVs associated with all gut microbiota taxa and plasma metabolites were comprehensively listed in Supplementary Tables 1, 2.

2.3 T2DM data sources

Summary-level data for T2DM were derived from three major sources: the Pan-UKB GWAS Version 0.4, released on March 16, 2023 (19); the FinnGen GWAS Release 10, released on December 18, 2023 (20); and the Genetic Epidemiology Research on Aging (GERA) (21). The total sample size encompassed 72,194 cases and 784,605 controls of European ancestry. The Pan-UKB GWAS utilized data from the UK Biobank, an extensive open-access database containing genotype information for hundreds of thousands of individuals, alongside with electronic health records and survey responses, aimed at studying populations of diverse ancestries (19). The FinnGen GWAS represents a comprehensive national genetic study, integrating genetic data with electronic health records (20). The GERA cohort, focused on age-related diseases with an average participant age of 63, is well-equipped to study a wide variety of clinically defined age-related conditions (21). Detailed descriptions of sample sizes, adjustments, and diagnostic criteria used in these studies are provided in Table 1.

Exposure or outcome	Database	Participants included in analysis	Adjustments ICD \		Web source
Gut microbiota	MiBioGen	18,340 multiple- descent individuals	age, sex, technical covariates and genetic principal components		https://mibiogen.gcc.rug.nl/
Plasma metabolite	EBI database	8,299 European individuals	age, sex, hour since last meal or drink, genotyping batch and the first ten genetic principal components		https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/
T2DM	FinnGen	42,593 cases and 337,038 controls of European ancestry	sex, age, genotyping batch and ten principal components	ICD-10: E11; ICD-9: 250.A	https://r10.finngen.fi/
	Pan-UKB	22,634 cases and 397,897 controls of European ancestry	sex, age, genotyping array, and the first 8 principal components	ICD-10: E11	https:// pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/ downloads/
	GERA	6,967 cases and 49,670 controls of European ancestry	seven derived principal components, sex, and age	ICD-9: 250.00, 250.02, 250.10, 250.12, 250.20, 250.22, 250.30, 250.32, 250.40, 250.42, 250.50, 250.52, 250.60, 250.62, 250.70, 250.72, 250.80, 250.82, 250.90, 250.92	http:// cg.bsc.es/ gera_summary_stats/

TABLE 1 Detailed information on used summary-level data.

2.4 Statistical analysis

We conducted an analysis to explore the genetic correlation between gut microbiota and T2DM utilizing LDSC. To refine the GWAS summary data, we used HapMap3 references, excluding non-SNP variants like insertions and deletions (indels), as well as SNPs with ambiguous strand orientation, duplicates, or a minor allele frequency below 0.01. LDSC is adept at determining genetic correlations using GWAS summary statistics. It assesses the relationship between LD and test statistics to identify whether observed inflation is due to genuine polygenic signals or other biases (12). This approach is unaffected by sample overlap (22). Genetic covariance is calculated by multiplying the z-scores of variants associated with Trait 1 by those associated with Trait 2, and subsequently regressing these products against the LD score (23). After adjusting this covariance by SNP heritability, the genetic correlation becomes clear. Estimates of genetic correlation between gut microbiota and T2DM from three data sources were combined through fixed-effects meta-analysis.

MR analyses were performed to examine the relationships between gut microbiota and T2DM, plasma metabolites and T2DM, as well as gut microbiota and plasma metabolites. The primary MR estimate was calculated using the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method within a random-effects framework for causal analysis. The IVW method is best used when the MR assumptions are believed to hold true across all genetic variants. It provides the most precise estimate when there is no horizontal pleiotropy (11). To detect horizontal pleiotropy and ensure the reliability of our data, we utilized three sensitivity analyses: the weighted median, MR-Egger, and MR-PRESSO. The weighted median method is particularly useful when there is concern that some genetic variants may be invalid instruments due to pleiotropy. It provides a robust estimate that is less sensitive to invalid instruments compared to the IVW method (24). MR-Egger is particularly useful when there is concern about directional pleiotropy. It provides a more conservative estimate and tests for the presence of pleiotropy through the intercept term. If the intercept is significantly different from zero, this indicates the presence of directional pleiotropy (25). MR-PRESSO is best used when there is evidence or suspicion of pleiotropy. It improves the reliability of causal estimates by removing the influence of outlier variants that violate the exclusion restriction assumption (26). SNP heterogeneity was evaluated using the Cochran Q value. The MR-Egger intercept test was employed to detect horizontal pleiotropic effects. Combined estimates from IVW and sensitivity analyses were integrated using fixed-effects meta-analysis. Exposures represented by fewer than four SNPs were omitted from the analysis, as MR-PRESSO requires a minimum of four instrumental SNPs. Estimates indicating significant pleiotropy (P for intercept test < 0.05 or P for global test < 0.05) were also excluded from the meta-analysis.

A two-step MR analysis assessed if plasma metabolites mediated the influence of identified taxa on T2DM (27). To streamline the process, initial MR analyses were conducted between plasma metabolites and T2DM, followed by analyses between identified gut microbiomes and plasma metabolites. The IE of the gut microbiome on T2DM via plasma metabolites was assessed using the product of coefficients method (13). To calculate the mediated proportion of T2DM effect by plasma metabolites, the IE was divided by the total effect (28).

Bonferroni's correction was applied separately to both LDSC and MR analyses in the meta-analyses to minimize the false discovery rate (29). LDSC correlations with p-values between 3.65×10^{-4} (0.05/137) and 0.05 were suggestive, while those with p-values less than 3.65×10^{-4} were significant. MR associations between gut microbiota and T2DM were suggestive if IVW p-

values were between 2.37×10^{-4} (0.05/211) and 0.05, and significant if p-values were less than 2.37×10^{-4} or if both IVW and LDSC pvalues were less than 0.05. MR associations between plasma metabolites and T2DM were suggestive if IVW p-values ranged from 3.57×10^{-5} (0.05/1400) to 0.05, and were considered significant if p-values were less than 3.57×10^{-5} . All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.3.1), utilizing the TwoSampleMR, GenomicSEM, and meta packages.

3 Results

3.1 LDSC analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM

Due to constraints like low heritability and small sample sizes, certain bacterial taxa are not suitable for the analysis mentioned above. We performed a meta-analysis of LDSC to evaluate the genetic correlation between 137 gut microbes and T2DM, including 7 unknown taxa (Figure 2). As shown in Table 2, LDSC showed a significant negative correlation between genetically predicted T2DM and genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG005* (Rg = -0.26, 95% CI -0.39, -0.12; Rg_P = 2.07×10^{-4}). Furthermore, we identified a suggestive

genetic correlation between genetically predicted T2DM and genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* (Rg = -0.37, 95% CI -0.59, -0.14; Rg_P = 1.19×10^{-3}), order *Clostridiales* (Rg = -0.23, 95% CI -0.41, -0.06; Rg_P = 0.010), genus *Parabacteroides* (Rg = 0.21, 95% CI 0.05, 0.38; Rg_P = 0.012), family *Porphyromonadaceae* (Rg = 0.15, 95% CI 0.02, 0.27; Rg_P = 0.022), genus *Sutterella* (Rg = 0.25, 95% CI 0.07, 0.44; Rg_P = 0.008), genus *Lachnoclostridium* (Rg = 0.17, 95% CI 0.05, 0.28; Rg_P = 0.005) and other 25 taxa. No heterogeneity or mild heterogeneity was observed across most of the results. Detailed information regarding all genetic correlation results is listed in Supplementary Table 3.

3.2 Forward MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM

After the IVs selection procedure, one bacterial taxon (order *Lactobacillales*) was excluded from the meta-analysis due to significant pleiotropy. Then, meta-analyses of 210 gut bacteria were conducted, including 15 unknown taxa (Supplementary Table 4). Finally, we identified two bacterial taxa significantly associated with T2DM, and eight bacterial taxa suggestively associated with T2DM. The combined results of IVW method revealed that genetic



TABLE 2	Meta-analysis of genet	c correlation betweer	n gut microbiota and	T2DM from the	ee large databases.
---------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------	---------------------

Exposure	Rg	Rg_Se	Rg_P	l ²	P_heterogeneity
genus RuminococcaceaeUCG005	-0.258	0.070	0.0002	0	0.570
family Christensenellaceae	-0.248	0.071	0.0005	0	0.818
family Alcaligenaceae id.2875	1.018	0.296	0.0006	0	0.988
genus RuminococcaceaeUCG010	-0.366	0.113	0.0012	0.046	0.350
genus RuminococcaceaeUCG014	-0.331	0.103	0.0013	0	0.782
family Ruminococcaceae id.2050	-0.330	0.104	0.0015	0.287	0.246
genus FamilyXIIIAD3011group	-0.271	0.086	0.0017	0.656	0.055
phylum Actinobacteria	0.223	0.074	0.0028	0	0.681
genus RuminococcaceaeUCG002	-0.289	0.100	0.0040	0.571	0.097
genus Lachnoclostridium	0.168	0.059	0.0047	0	0.565
family Veillonellaceae	0.267	0.095	0.0051	0	0.865
genus ChristensenellaceaeR 7group	-0.173	0.062	0.0051	0	0.650
class Betaproteobacteria	0.814	0.293	0.0055	0	0.872
genus Sutterella	0.251	0.094	0.0077	0	0.763
genus Bifidobacterium	0.152	0.057	0.0082	0	0.892
genus Roseburia	0.260	0.099	0.0087	0	0.586
class Negativicutes	0.528	0.203	0.0094	0	0.491
order Selenomonadales	0.528	0.203	0.0094	0	0.491
class Clostridia	-0.237	0.092	0.0097	0.225	0.275
class Actinobacteria	0.136	0.053	0.0102	0	0.690
order Clostridiales	-0.232	0.090	0.0102	0.202	0.286
family Bifidobacteriaceae	0.140	0.056	0.0123	0	0.775
order Bifidobacteriales	0.140	0.056	0.0123	0	0.775
genus Parabacteroides	0.212	0.085	0.0124	0	0.891
genus Subdoligranulum	-0.238	0.098	0.0156	0.102	0.328
genus RuminococcaceaeNK4A214group	-0.292	0.121	0.0159	0	0.983
family Porphyromonadaceae	0.148	0.065	0.0222	0	0.803
genus Intestinibacter	0.174	0.080	0.0286	0	0.765
genus Collinsella	1.165	0.550	0.0340	0	0.715
genus Eubacteriumrectalegroup	0.377	0.185	0.0410	0	0.967
genus LachnospiraceaeUCG004	0.165	0.082	0.0443	0	0.704
genus Anaerotruncus	-0.183	0.093	0.0495	0.182	0.295

predisposition to genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* (OR = 0.857, 95% CI 0.795, 0.924; P = 6.33×10^{-5} , Rg_P = 1.19×10^{-3}) and order *Clostridiales* (OR = 0.936, 95% CI 0.878, 0.997; P = 0.039, Rg_P = 0.010) were significantly and other four bacterial taxa were suggestively associated with a decreased risk of T2DM. Additionally, we found that genetically predicted genera *Actinomyces* (OR = 1.113, 95% CI 1.046, 1.185; P = 7.89×10^{-4}), *Alistipes* (OR = 1.095, 95% CI 1.007, 1.191; P = 0.033), *Anaerostipes* (OR = 1.091, 95% CI 1.020, 1.168; P = 0.011), and

Eubacteriumnodatumgroup (OR = 1.036, 95% CI 1.003, 1.070; P = 0.030) were suggestively associated with an increased risk of T2DM (Figure 3). All sensitivity analyses confirmed the consistency of the reported associations. The Cochran Q test, used to assess SNP heterogeneity, found no significant heterogeneity in most MR estimates within the meta-analysis. Pleiotropy did not need to be considered in this study due to the removal of the MR estimates with significant pleiotropy. Most meta-analysis results showed no or only

Exposure	Source of outcome	IVS	Inverse variance weighted method	OR(95% CI)	Р	P_heterogeneity	P_for_Q	P_intercept	P_globa
genus RuminococcaceaeUCG010	GERA	8		0.871(0.704 to 1.077)	0.201		0.471	0.959	0.502
	FinnGen	8		0.849(0.773 to 0.933)	0.001		0.342	0.167	0.372
	Pan-UKB	8		0.872(0.742 to 1.025)	0.097		0.083	0.572	0.113
	Meta-analysis		H	0.857(0.795 to 0.924)	6e-05	0.95			
genus Actinomyces	GERA	8		1.104(0.941 to 1.296)	0.224		0.757	0.817	0.799
	FinnGen	8		1.092(0.988 to 1.206)	0.084		0.047	0.659	0.089
	Pan-UKB	8		1.135(1.034 to 1.245)	0.008		0.391	0.311	0.463
	Meta-analysis			1.113(1.046 to 1.185)	0.001	0.851			
genus LachnospiraceaeNC2004group	GERA	10		0.991(0.848 to 1.159)	0.913		0.264	0.705	0.282
	FinnGen*	10	F	0.987(0.894 to 1.090)	0.795		0.002	0.99	0.004
	Pan-UKB	10		0.868(0.803 to 0.939)	4e-04		0.552	0.559	0.608
	Meta-analysis			0.892(0.831 to 0.956)	0.001	0.138			
phylum Firmicutes	GERA	19		0.836(0.694 to 1.007)	0.059		0.156	0.707	0.174
	FinnGen	17		0.941(0.877 to 1.011)	0.095		0.501	0.329	0.501
	Pan-UKB	19		0.935(0.853 to 1.025)	0.151		0.635	0.222	0.654
	Meta-analysis		Here	0.930(0.881 to 0.981)	0.008	0.499			
genus Anaerostipes	GERA	13		1.113(0.911 to 1.361)	0.295		0.345	0.051	0.384
5	FinnGen	14		1.169(1.061 to 1.289)	0.002		0.139	0.171	0.166
	Pan-UKB	13		1.000(0.899 to 1.112)	0.996		0.905	0.499	0.912
	Meta-analysis			1.091(1.020 to 1.168)	0.011	0.1			
class Gammanroteobacteria	GERA	9		0 925(0 735 to 1 164)	0.507		0 391	0.226	0.377
oldob Gammaproteobacteria	FinnGen	9		0.878(0.780 to 0.989)	0.033		0.211	0.718	0.254
	Pan-LIKB*	9		0.922(0.726 to 1.171)	0.505		4e-04	0.501	0.001
	Meta-analysis			0.888(0.799 to 0.987)	0.028	0.694	10 01	0.001	0.001
genus Eubacteriumpodatumgroup	GERA	11		1.065/0.969 to 1.170)	0.19		0.847	0.555	0.854
genus Eubactenumiodatumgroup	EinnGon	11	1	1.000(0.000 to 1.170)	0.168		0.733	0.637	0.730
	Pan-UKB	11	Here I	1.038(0.978 to 1.101)	0.100		0.733	0.007	0.29
	Meta-analysis		Heri	1.036(1.003 to 1.070)	0.03	0.811	0.270	0.101	0.20
genus Defluviitaleaceael ICG011	GERA	10		1.089(0.938 to 1.264)	0.264		0.718	0.301	0 732
genus Denuvinaleaceaeoooor i	FinnGen	10		0.931(0.869 to 0.998)	0.045		0.689	0.682	0.709
	Pan-UKB	10		0.911(0.826 to 1.005)	0.062		0.205	0.399	0.222
	Meta-analysis	10	+++	0.944(0.895 to 0.995)	0.032	0.125	0.200	0.000	0.222
aenus Alistines	GERA	15		1 081/0 891 to 1 313)	0.429		0.654	0.881	0.66
genus Anstipes	EinnGen	10		1.001(0.091 to 1.313)	0.429		0.004	0.606	0.00
	Pap-LIKB*	15		0.972(0.836 to 1.120)	0.700		0.021	0.187	0.024
	Meta-analysis	15		1.095(1.007 to 1.191)	0.033	0.885	0.021	0.107	0.024
order Clostridiales	CERA	17		1.002/0.822 to 1.207)	0.077		0.722	0.642	0.715
order Ciostridiales	GERA	17		1.003(0.833 to 1.207)	0.977		0.123	0.620	0.162
	FinitGen Den LIKR	17		0.952(0.872 to 1.039)	0.27		0.100	0.329	0.103
	Mata analysia	17		0.035(0.804 to 0.991)	0.034	0.492	0.451	0.701	0.484
	weid-analysis	0.5	1 1	.5	0.039	0.462			

FIGURE 3

Forest plot of forward MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM. IVs, instrumental variables; CI, confident interval; P_heterogeneity, p-value of heterogeneity for meta-analysis; P_for_Q, p-value for Cochran Q test; P_intercept, p-value for MR-Egger intercept test; P_global, p-value for Global test; *, excluded from the meta-analysis due to SNPs less than 4 or significant pleiotropy.

mild heterogeneity. All the combined estimates are depicted in Figure 4.

3.3 Reverse MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM

Using the same IVs selection procedure for gut microbiota, two taxa (genus *Coprococcus3* and phylum *Actinobacteria*) were excluded from the meta-analysis due to the significant IV pleiotropy of T2DM. Subsequently, 209 meta-analyses were performed, revealing a significant association between T2DM and four bacterial taxa, with a suggestive association for an additional 13 taxa (Supplementary Table 5). The combined results of IVW method revealed that genetically predicted T2DM was significantly associated with an increased risk of genus *Parabacteroides* (Beta = 0.029, 95% CI 0.001, 0.048; P = 0.003, Rg_P = 0.012), family *Porphyromonadaceae* (Beta = 0.022, 95% CI 0.004, 0.040; P = 0.018, Rg_P = 0.022), genus *Sutterella* (Beta = 0.024, 95% CI 0.003, 0.046; P = 0.025, Rg_P = 0.008), and genus

Lachnoclostridium (Beta = 0.020, 95% CI 0.001, 0.038; P = 0.035, Rg_P = 0.005) (Figure 5). The abovementioned associations were consistent with all sensitivity analyses. The Cochran Q test revealed no heterogeneity in the MR estimates included in the meta-analysis. Our study design obviated the need to consider pleiotropy. Most meta-analysis results exhibited no or only mild heterogeneity. Figure 6 displays all combined estimates. Bilateral MR analysis showed no bidirectional causality between gut microbiota and T2DM.

3.4 Forward MR analysis between plasma metabolites and T2DM, and MR analysis between identified gut microbiota and identified plasma metabolites

The forward MR analysis identified 109 plasma metabolites genetically predicted to be suggestively causally associated with T2DM (Supplementary Table 6). Subsequently, 436 MR analyses were conducted between the four previously identified bacterial taxa



Circular heat map of meta-analysis of forward MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM. IVW, Inverse-Variance Weighted; ME, MR-Egger; WM, Weighted median; MP, MR-PRESSO. The color variations represented the size of the p-value. The scatter plots reflect OR, with OR > 1 labeled red and OR < 1 labeled green.

and the 109 plasma metabolites (Supplementary Table 7). Among these, a genetic predisposition to the genus *Anaerostipes* was causally linked to lower levels of octadecadienedioate (C18:2-DC) (Beta = -0.289, 95% CI -0.462, -0.117; P = 0.001) and another plasma metabolite. The genus *Actinomyces*, as genetically predicted, was causally associated with the phosphate to glutamate ratio (Beta = -0.171, 95% CI -0.296, -0.045; P = 0.008), the taurine to glutamate ratio (Beta = -0.194, 95% CI -0.321, -0.067; P = 0.003), and six other plasma metabolites. Genetically predicted genus *Alistipes* was causally associated with branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels (Beta = -0.225, 95% CI -0.395, -0.055; P = 0.010) and four other plasma metabolites. The genetically predicted genus *Eubacteriumnodatumgroup* was causally linked to a decreased risk of a specific plasma metabolite (Figure 7).

3.5 Mediation analysis

Using the product of coefficients method, we calculated the IE of 16 identified pairs of gut microbiota and plasma metabolites

(Supplementary Table 8). Specifically, the genus *Anaerostipes* indirectly influenced T2DM through octadecadienedioate levels, with an IE of 0.009 (95% CI 0.0003, 0.0177; P = 0.043) and a mediated proportion of 10.29%. The genus *Actinomyces* indirectly impacted T2DM through the phosphate to glutamate ratio, with an IE of 0.0125 (95% CI 0.0007, 0.0242; P = 0.039), and the taurine to glutamate ratio, with an IE of 0.0138 (95% CI 0.0012, 0.0264; P = 0.037); the mediated proportions were 11.68% and 12.90%, respectively. The genus *Alistipes* indirectly influenced T2DM through branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels, with an IE of 0.0138 (95% CI 0.0012, 0.0264; P = 0.032) and a mediated proportion of 21.19% (Table 3).

4 Discussion

In our study, significant negative genetic correlations were identified between T2DM and specific gut microbiota, particularly the genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* and order *Clostridiales*. These taxa were also causally associated with a decreased risk of T2DM,

genus Parabacteroides	GERA	30		0.027(-0.017 to 0.071)	0.231		0.094	0.487	0.105
-	FinnGen	204		0.034(0.003 to 0.065)	0.029		0.842	0.864	0.843
	Pan-UKB	139		0.025(-0.004 to 0.054)	0.091		0.891	0.5	0.891
	Meta-analysis		Here	0.029(0.010 to 0.048)	0.003	0.909			
genus Eubacteriumoxidoreducensgroup	GERA	29		-0.063(-0.132 to 0.005)	0.069		0.83	0.585	0.846
	FinnGen	192		-0.041(-0.097 to 0.015)	0.155		0.847	0.404	0.85
	Pan-UKB	136		-0.050(-0.103 to 0.003)	0.065		0.386	0.685	0.386
	Meta-analysis			-0.050(-0.083 to -0.016)	0.004	0.882			
penus Lactococcus	GERA	29		0.026(-0.066 to 0.119)	0.577		0.081	0.698	0.099
	FinnGen	188		0.037(-0.032 to 0.106)	0.295		0.094	0.621	0.087
	Pan-UKB	136	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.093(0.031 to 0.156)	0.004		0.226	0.466	0.237
	Meta-analysis			0.060(0.018 to 0.101)	0.005	0.364			
E la dadición de la composición de la c	0551	00		0.077(0.404.4- 0.000)	0.000		0.000	0.044	0.000
genus Eubacteriumruminantiumgroup	GERA	29		-0.077(-0.134 to -0.020)	0.008		0.933	0.211	0.922
	FinnGen	190		-0.030(-0.079 to 0.019)	0.235		0.060	0.900	0.092
	Meta-analysis	130		-0.050(-0.034 to 0.052)	0.005	0.218	0.715	0.027	0.712
	Weta-analysis			-0.000(-0.001 10 -0.010)	0.000	0.210			
genus LachnospiraceaeND3007group	GERA	30		0.011(-0.030 to 0.051)	0.605		0.932	0.204	0.931
	FinnGen	203		0.040(0.007 to 0.072)	0.017		0.664	0.508	0.676
	Pan-UKB	138		0.023(-0.008 to 0.054)	0.15		0.413	0.547	0.418
	Meta-analysis			0.026(0.006 to 0.046)	0.009	0.529			
family Bacteroidaceco	GERA	30	4	0.026(-0.012 to 0.024)	0.197		0.332	0.287	0.331
anny Datteroloacede	FinnGen*	204		0.010(-0.013 to 0.004)	0.562		0.045	0.054	0.047
	Pan-LIKB	139		0.031(0.003 to 0.042)	0.002		0.437	0.314	0.431
	Meta-analysis	100		0.029(0.005 to 0.059)	0.012	0.833	3.431	0.014	0.401
					0.012				
genus Bacteroides	GERA	30		0.026(-0.013 to 0.064)	0.187		0.332	0.287	0.332
	FinnGen*	204		0.010(-0.023 to 0.042)	0.562		0.045	0.054	0.045
	Pan-UKB	139	→ →	0.031(0.003 to 0.059)	0.032		0.437	0.314	0.422
	Meta-analysis		→	0.029(0.006 to 0.052)	0.012	0.833			
genus Olsenella	GERA	29		0.053(-0.033 to 0.140)	0.224		0.292	0.695	0.311
	FinnGen	191		0.054(-0.013 to 0.121)	0.115		0.482	0.54	0.485
	Pan-UKB	135		0.046(-0.016 to 0.108)	0.148		0.78	0.79	0.782
	weta-analysis			0.050(0.010 to 0.091)	0.014	0.983			
genus Turicibacter	GERA*	29		0.005(-0.060 to 0.071)	0.871		0.036	0.426	0.043
-	FinnGen	198		-0.021(-0.066 to 0.025)	0.375		0.083	0.216	0.084
	Pan-UKB	138		-0.049(-0.089 to -0.009)	0.016		0.554	0.58	0.568
	Meta-analysis			-0.037(-0.067 to -0.007)	0.017	0.357			
family Porphyromonadaceae	GERA	30		0.020(-0.020 to 0.060)	0.328		0.264	0.666	0.284
	PinnGen	204		0.024(-0.006 to 0.054)	0.117		0.002	0.406	0.000
	Meta-analysis	139		0.022(-0.007 to 0.050)	0.137	0.985	0.514	0.700	0.523
genus Tyzzerella3	GERA	29		-0.033(-0.101 to 0.035)	0.339		0.841	0.609	0.837
	FinnGen	193		-0.004(-0.063 to 0.055)	0.892		0.092	0.63	0.097
	Pan-UKB	136		-0.077(-0.131 to -0.023)	0.005		0.276	0.801	0.277
	Meta-analysis			-0.041(-0.076 to -0.007)	0.019	0.197			
nenus Howardella	GERA	20		-0.072(-0.157 to 0.012)	0.091		0.362	0.598	0.378
genus novaruella	FinnGen	187		-0.056(-0.127 to 0.015)	0.12		0.152	0.357	0.156
	Pan-UKB*	135		-0.041(-0.110 to 0.077)	0.238		0.044	0.801	0.043
	Meta-analysis	.00		-0.063(-0.117 to -0.009)	0.023	0.77	0.044	0.001	0.040
	,								
genus Holdemania	GERA	29		0.038(-0.014 to 0.091)	0.149		0.533	0.52	0.563
	FinnGen	198	↓	0.038(-0.005 to 0.080)	0.082		0.723	0.286	0.724
	Pan-UKB*	137		0.039(-0.005 to 0.083)	0.084		0.043	0.462	0.043
	Meta-analysis			0.038(0.005 to 0.071)	0.024	0.978			
0. #ll-	0504	20		0.007/ 0.040 - 0.071	0.040		0.074	0.000	0.205
genus Sutterella	GERA	30		0.02/(-0.018 to 0.071)	0.242		0.371	0.092	0.385
	Pan LIKR	∠U1 13P		0.010(-0.025 to 0.045)	0.025		0.224	0.22	0.774
	Meta-analysis	130	<u> </u>	0.024(0.003 to 0.070)	0.035	0.565	0.334	0.000	0.334
					2.020				
genus Lachnoclostridium	GERA	30		0.012(-0.026 to 0.051)	0.527		0.362	0.305	0.386
	FinnGen	204		0.035(0.004 to 0.066)	0.026		0.319	0.398	0.323
	Pan-UKB	139	High-1	0.011(-0.018 to 0.039)	0.457		0.797	0.465	0.812
	Meta-analysis			0.020(0.001 to 0.038)	0.035	0.485			
family Oxalobacteraceae	GERA	29		-0.001(-0.071 to 0.069)	0.977		0.453	0.339	0.474
	FinnGen	195		0.083(0.023 to 0.144)	0.007		0.076	0.955	0.079
	Pan-UKB	136		0.022(-0.031 to 0.075)	0.418		0.886	0.387	0.888
	Meta-analysis			0.036(0.002 to 0.071)	0.039	0.156			
genus Clostridiumsensustricto1	GERA*	30		0.002(-0.051 to 0.056)	0.936		0.018	0.338	0.021
	FinnGen*	203		-0.006(-0.045 to 0.034)	0.777		0.001	0.088	3e-04
	Den LIVD	138		-0.033(-0.065 to -0.001)	0.044		0.509	0.548	0.514
	Fall-UKD	100	1		10 CT 1				
	Meta-analysis	-	—	-0.033(-0.065 to -0.001)	0.044				

FIGURE 5

Forest plot of reverse MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM. IVs, instrumental variables; CI, confident interval; P_heterogeneity, p-value of heterogeneity for meta-analysis; P_for_Q, p-value for Cochran Q test; P_intercept, p-value for MR-Egger intercept test; P_global, p-value for Global test; *, excluded from the meta-analysis due to SNPs less than 4 or significant pleiotropy.

suggesting a protective effect against the disease. Additionally, the study highlighted plasma metabolites as mediators in the relationship between gut microbiota and T2DM. Specific metabolites, such as octadecadienedioate and branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid, were implicated in these interactions. For instance, the genus *Anaerostipes* was associated with a decreased risk of octadecadienedioate levels, indirectly affecting T2DM risk.

These findings provide valuable insights into the potential pathways through which gut microbiota influence T2DM and highlight the role of plasma metabolites as critical mediators.

Our research findings align with emerging theories on the impact of specific gut microbiota on metabolic diseases, particularly T2DM. An MR analysis identified a causal relationship between *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* and a reduced risk of T2DM. These



FIGURE 6

Circular heat map of meta-analysis of reverse MR analysis between gut microbiota and T2DM. IVW, Inverse-Variance Weighted; ME, MR-Egger; WM, Weighted median; MP, MR-PRESSO. The color variations represented the size of the p-value. The scatter plots reflect Beta, with Beta > 0 labeled red and Beta < 0 labeled green.

Exposure	Inverse variance weighted method	Beta(95% CI)	Mediator	Inverse variance weighted method	Beta(95% CI)
genus Alistipes id.968	I	0.187(0.023 to 0.352)	Aspartate to asparagine ratio		0.118(0.012 to 0.225)
genus Actinomyces id.423		-0.142(-0.273 to -0.010)	Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) levels		-0.043(-0.082 to -0.004)
genus Anaerostipes id. 1991		-0.193(-0.356 to -0.030)	X-11372 levels		-0.044(-0.082 to -0.006)
genus Actinomyces id.423	⊢	-0.148(-0.290 to -0.005)	Spermidine to N-acetylputrescine ratio	⊷ -{	-0.034(-0.066 to -0.002)
genus Actinomyces id.423		0.149(0.002 to 0.296)	Glutamate to 5-oxoproline ratio		0.043(0.010 to 0.076)
genus Actinomyces id.423		-0.192(-0.325 to -0.059)	Taurine to cysteine ratio		-0.046(-0.089 to -0.004)
genus Actinomyces id.423		0.133(0.006 to 0.260)	Glutamate levels		0.062(0.024 to 0.100)
genus Alistipes id.968		0.173(0.012 to 0.334)	Glutamate to cysteine ratio		0.061(0.028 to 0.095)
genus Alistipes id.968		-0.197(-0.363 to -0.032)	Octadecadienedioate (C18:2-DC) levels	Here	-0.031(-0.055 to -0.007)
genus Actinomyces id.423	F	0.157(0.026 to 0.289)	Glutamate to kynurenine ratio		0.083(0.030 to 0.136)
genus Alistipes id.968	·	0.199(0.034 to 0.365)	1-stearoyl-2-arachidonoyl-gpc (18:0/20:4) levels	Here a	0.030(0.009 to 0.051)
genus Eubacteriumnodatumgroup id.11297		-0.101(-0.181 to -0.020)	Tetradecanedioate (C14-DC) levels	Here a	-0.028(-0.051 to -0.005)
genus Anaerostipes id. 1991		-0.289(-0.462 to -0.117)	Octadecadienedioate (C18:2-DC) levels	Here I	-0.031(-0.055 to -0.007)
genus Actinomyces id.423		-0.171(-0.296 to -0.045)	Phosphate to glutamate ratio		-0.073(-0.116 to -0.030)
genus Alistipes id.968		-0.225(-0.395 to -0.055)	Branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels		-0.086(-0.134 to -0.038)
genus Actinomyces id.423		-0.194(-0.321 to -0.067)	Taurine to glutamate ratio		-0.071(-0.117 to -0.026)
IR between exposure and mediator -0	.5 0 0.	5	MR between mediator and outcome -0	.3 0 0	.3

FIGURE 7

Forest plot of MR analysis between identified gut microbiota and identified plasma metabolites, and between identified plasma metabolites and T2DM. CI, confident interval.

Gut microbiota	Metabolite	Total effect EO (95% CI)	Effect EM (95% CI)	Effect MO (95% CI)	Indirect effect (95% CI)	Mediated proportion
genus Anaerostipes	Octadecadienedioate (C18:2- DC) levels	0.0875 (0.02, 0.155)	-0.2894 (-0.4619, -0.1169)	-0.031 (-0.0547, -0.0074)	0.009 (0.0003, 0.0177) P=0.043	10.29%
genus Actinomyces	Phosphate to glutamate ratio	0.107 (0.0445, 0.1694)	-0.1706 (-0.2962, -0.045)	-0.0731 (-0.1158, -0.0304)	0.0125 (0.0007, 0.0242) P=0.039	11.68%
genus Alistipes	Branched chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels	0.0911 (0.0073, 0.1749)	-0.2252 (-0.3955, -0.0548)	-0.0859 (-0.1338, -0.038)	0.0193 (0.0012, 0.0375) P=0.037	21.19%
genus Actinomyces	Taurine to glutamate ratio	0.107 (0.0445, 0.1694)	-0.1939 (-0.3205, -0.0673)	-0.0712 (-0.1167, -0.0257)	0.0138 (0.0012, 0.0264) P=0.032	12.90%

TABLE 3 Mediation analysis between gut microbiota, plasma metabolites, and T2DM.

EO, exposure to outcome; EM, exposure to mediator; MO, mediator to outcome.

bacteria produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) like butyrate and propionate, which enhance gut barrier function, modulate inflammation, and improve insulin sensitivity (9). Another study found that genera like *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* significantly influence glycemic responses to treatments in T2DM patients, highlighting their role in metabolic health and diabetes management (30). Additionally, species like *Clostridium butyricum*, known for butyrate production, have shown in diabetic mouse models to improve diabetes markers, supporting their beneficial role in managing hyperglycemia and metabolic dysfunctions (31).

Previous studies have identified that Actinomyces species, such as Streptomyces, can produce significant amounts of glutamic acid, a key neurotransmitter and metabolic intermediate in both bacterial and human cells (32). This aligns with our findings, which showed that the genus Actinomyces might decrease the phosphate to glutamate ratio and the taurine to glutamate ratio by elevating glutamic acid levels. Anaerostipes are known for producing SCFAs through the fermentation of dietary fibers, potentially influencing various metabolic intermediates, including octadecadienedioate (33, 34). Similarly, the genus Alistipes has been implicated in the metabolism of branched-chain fatty acids (BCFAs). Alistipes species possess unique enzymatic capabilities that allow them to interact with complex lipid molecules, potentially leading to the observed decrease in branched-chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels (35). However, the specific mechanisms by which Anaerostipes decrease octadecadienedioate levels and Alistipes decrease branched-chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid levels were not detailed in the available literature. Although we demonstrated a positive causal relationship, further exploration is necessary to clarify these mechanisms.

Our study showed that decreased phosphate to glutamate and taurine to glutamate ratios could increase T2DM risk, likely due to elevated glutamate levels. Glutamate acts as an intracellular messenger in pancreatic β -cells, linking glucose metabolism to insulin exocytosis. However, excessive intracellular glutamate can inhibit insulin secretion by disrupting calcium signaling necessary for insulin granule release. Lehtihet et al. found that increased L-glutamate levels inhibit protein phosphatases, promoting insulin exocytosis in a Ca²⁺-independent manner. This dysregulation can initially cause excessive insulin secretion, followed by β -cell exhaustion and decreased insulin output (36). Excessive glutamate can also cause excitotoxicity, leading to β -cell death or dysfunction

by overactivating glutamate receptors and subsequent calcium influx, triggering apoptotic pathways. Maechler et al. highlighted that while intracellular glutamate amplifies insulin secretion, extracellular glutamate can activate ionotropic receptors, slowing insulin exocytosis and contributing to β-cell dysfunction (37). Octadecadienedioate is a metabolite linked to fatty acid metabolism. Changes in its levels can reflect disturbances in fatty acid metabolism, common in T2DM patients (38). Decreased octadecadienedioate levels can disrupt fatty acid metabolism, leading to lipid accumulation, inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, altered lipid signaling, and genetic/epigenetic changes, collectively impairing insulin sensitivity and increasing T2DM risk. For example, decreased octadecadienedioate levels can lead to lipid accumulation in non-adipose tissues like liver and muscle, where excess lipids activate serine/threonine kinases like PKC, which phosphorylate insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) on serine residues, impairing IRS-1's ability to activate downstream insulin signaling, reducing glucose uptake by cells (39). Additionally, decreased octadecadienedioate levels can disrupt mitochondrial function, impairing mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and resulting in diacylglycerol (DAG) and ceramide accumulation, which activate PKC and other kinases that impair insulin signaling (40). Branched-chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid, a BCFA with 14 carbon atoms and two carboxyl groups, is of interest due to its unique metabolic pathways and potential health implications. BCFAs are implicated in enhancing insulin sensitivity. Studies show that higher levels of BCFAs, like odd-chain fatty acids (C15:0 and C17:0), are associated with better insulin sensitivity and lower T2DM risk. These fatty acids improve insulin signaling pathways, facilitating better glucose uptake by cells and reducing blood glucose levels (41). Additionally, BCFAs regulate glucose and lipid metabolism, enhancing mitochondrial function and fatty acid oxidation, maintaining energy balance, and preventing lipid accumulation in tissues, a common issue in T2DM. Enhanced lipid metabolism through BCFAs reduces lipotoxicity and improves insulin action (42). This aligns with our observations that reduced levels of branched-chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid are associated with increased T2DM risk. Understanding how these metabolites interact with metabolic and cellular processes can help elucidate T2DM pathophysiology and identify new therapeutic targets.

To elaborate on the impact of metabolic disturbances on overall health, maintaining gut eubiosis is crucial for managing T2DM and

enhancing overall well-being. A diet rich in fiber, prebiotics, and probiotics supports the growth of beneficial gut bacteria. Foods such as fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and fermented products like yogurt and kefir are particularly beneficial (43). Reducing the intake of processed foods, sugars, and artificial additives helps maintain a balanced gut microbiota (44). Regular physical activity, adequate hydration, and stress management are important lifestyle factors that contribute to gut health (45). Taking probiotic supplements can provide additional support, especially after antibiotic treatments that disrupt gut microbiota balance (46). These interventions promote a healthy and diverse gut microbiota, essential for overall health and well-being.

This study robustly applies MR and LDSC to elucidate the complex interplay between gut microbiota, plasma metabolites, and T2DM. A primary strength of this approach is the significant reduction in confounding factors, enhancing the reliability of causal inferences. The comprehensive use of multiple outcome sources and sensitivity analyses further substantiates the robustness of our findings, mitigating potential biases such as pleiotropy and population stratification. However, the study's strength lies not only in its current findings but also in setting a foundation for future research. Future studies should include a broader range of ethnic groups, as our data primarily comes from individuals of European descent. Expanding to other populations would help determine if these genetic associations hold universally. While our study identifies significant associations and potential pathways, it does not fully unravel the biological mechanisms at play. Employing cutting-edge technologies like single-cell RNA sequencing and advanced computational models could uncover the microbial species and their roles in T2DM.

Assessing the results of our study requires an understanding of its limitations. Firstly, the GWAS data related to gut microbiota were collected from 18,340 participants across various ethnic backgrounds. However, the T2DM GWAS summary statistics were derived exclusively from individuals of European descent. This discrepancy could limit the generalizability of our findings across different ethnicities and demographic groups. Though nearly 80% of the gut microbiota data from these GWAS were from European populations, further research involving diverse populations is necessary to validate our results and ensure broader applicability. Secondly, although MR methods help reduce confounding and reverse causation, potential biases still exist. For instance, pleiotropy, where genetic variants influence multiple traits, could bias the causal estimates. We used MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO to detect and adjust for pleiotropy, but these methods have limitations and may not entirely eliminate pleiotropic effects. Thirdly, there are slight discrepancies between different datasets. However, the overall heterogeneity remains minimal, confirming the stability and reliability of our results. Lastly, the lack of detailed data precluded stratified analyses by age and gender, inhibiting our ability to explore potential differences across various demographics.

Our findings contribute to the growing research on the gut microbiota's role in metabolic diseases, particularly T2DM. They support theories suggesting that specific gut microbiota influence metabolic health through various mechanisms. For instance, the protective role of RuminococcaceaeUCG010 and Clostridiales in reducing T2DM risk supports studies indicating their involvement in enhancing gut barrier function and improving insulin sensitivity. Our study also highlights new metabolic pathways, such as those involving octadecadienedioate and branched-chain 14:0 dicarboxylic acid, through which gut microbiota may influence T2DM. This expands our understanding of the interplay between microbial metabolites and host metabolism, suggesting potential targets for therapeutic interventions. Modulating specific gut bacteria to alter metabolite levels could improve metabolic outcomes. These findings both align with and challenge current theories. They corroborate the role of gut microbiota in metabolic regulation while emphasizing the importance of considering specific microbial taxa and their metabolic products in T2DM pathogenesis. This nuanced perspective can guide future research and clinical strategies aimed at preventing and managing T2DM through microbiota-based interventions.

5 Conclusion

Our study highlights significant genetic correlations between gut microbiota and T2DM, mediated through plasma metabolites. The identification of specific microbial taxa, such as genus *RuminococcaceaeUCG010* and order *Clostridiales*, as protective factors against T2DM, underscores their potential as therapeutic targets. This research advances the field by elucidating the metabolic pathways linking gut microbiota to T2DM, paving the way for microbiota-based interventions. Future research should validate these findings across diverse populations and employ advanced techniques like single-cell RNA sequencing to further explore the biological mechanisms involved. Our results advocate for the development of therapeutic strategies targeting gut microbiota to improve metabolic health and manage T2DM, offering new avenues for personalized medicine and dietary interventions.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The MiBioGen consortium curated and analyzed genome-wide genotypes of 211 gut microbiota from 24 cohorts, all of which were approved by their respective institutional review boards and ethical committees. GWASs of 1,091 metabolites and 309 metabolite ratios from the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging (CLSA) cohort were approved by the research ethics boards of the Jewish General Hospital, protocol number 2021-2762. The Pan-UKB's ethical oversight is provided by the UK Biobank Ethics Advisory

Committee (EAC), which is a committee of the UK Biobank Board. The FinnGen research project is overseen by the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District. The ethics oversight for GERA was provided by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California—San Francisco (UCSF). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XZ: Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. MC: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YQ: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JX: Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. JF: Validation, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Chatterjee M, Scobie I. The pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Pract Diabetes Int. (2002) 19:255-7. doi: 10.1002/pdi.385

2. Chaudhury A, Duvoor C, Reddy Dendi VS, Kraleti S, Chada A, Ravilla R, et al. Clinical review of antidiabetic drugs: Implications for type 2 diabetes mellitus management. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2017) 8:6. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2017.00006

3. DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Groop L, Henry RR, Herman WH, Holst JJ, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2015) 1:15019. doi: 10.1038/ nrdp.2015.19

4. Grinstein G, Muzumdar R, Aponte L, Vuguin P, Saenger P, DiMartino-Nardi J. Presentation and 5-year follow-up of type 2 diabetes mellitus in African-American and caribbean-hispanic adolescents. *Horm Res Paediatr.* (2003) 60:121–6. doi: 10.1159/000072523

5. Krentz NAJ, Gloyn AL. Insights into pancreatic islet cell dysfunction from type 2 diabetes mellitus genetics. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2020) 16:202–12. doi: 10.1038/s41574-020-0325-0

6. Park KS. Sulwon lecture 2009: The search for genetic risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2011) 35:12. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2011.35.1.12

7. Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, Li S, Zhu J, Zhang F, et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature*. (2012) 490:55–60. doi: 10.1038/ nature11450

8. Sharma S, Tripathi P. Gut microbiome and type 2 diabetes: where we are and where to go? J Nutr Biochem. (2019) 63:101-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2018.10.003

9. Li H, Li C. Causal relationship between gut microbiota and type 2 diabetes: a twosample Mendelian randomization study. *Front Microbiol.* (2023) 14:1184734. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1184734

10. Yang Q, Lin SL, Kwok MK, Leung GM, Schooling CM. The roles of 27 genera of human gut microbiota in ischemic heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and their risk factors: A mendelian randomization study. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2018) 187:1916–22. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwy096

11. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Mendelian Randomization: Methods for Using Genetic Variants in Causal Estimation. New York: Chapman and Hall/CRC (2015). doi: 10.1201/b18084

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude toward all the participants and investigators who contributed to the GWASs involved in the present study by generously sharing the summary-level data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1430675/full#supplementary-material

12. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh P-R, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:1236–41. doi: 10.1038/ng.3406

13. VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: A practitioner's guide. Annu Rev Public Health. (2016) 37:17–32. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315-021402

14. Kurilshikov A, Medina-Gomez C, Bacigalupe R, Radjabzadeh D, Wang J, Demirkan A, et al. Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:156–65. doi: 10.1038/ s41588-020-00763-1

15. Chen Y, Lu T, Pettersson-Kymmer U, Stewart ID, Butler-Laporte G, Nakanishi T, et al. Genomic atlas of the plasma metabolome prioritizes metabolites implicated in human diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2023) 55:44–53. doi: 10.1038/s41588-022-01270-1

16. Sanna S, van Zuydam NR, Mahajan A, Kurilshikov A, Vich Vila A, Võsa U, et al. Causal relationships among the gut microbiome, short-chain fatty acids and metabolic diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2019) 51:600–5. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0350-x

17. Xie J, Huang H, Liu Z, Li Y, Yu C, Xu L, et al. The associations between modifiable risk factors and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A comprehensive Mendelian randomization study. *Hepatology*. (2023) 77:949–64. doi: 10.1002/hep.32728

18. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Zhao Q, Lawlor DA, Sheehan NA, et al. Improving the accuracy of two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization: moving beyond the NOME assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:728–42. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy258

19. Pan-UKB team. (2020). Available online at: https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org.

20. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner KM, et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a well-phenotyped isolated population. *Nature*. (2023) 613:508–18. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05473-8

21. Guindo-Martínez M, Amela R, Bonàs-Guarch S, Puiggròs M, Salvoro C, Miguel-Escalada I, et al. The impact of non-additive genetic associations on age-related complex diseases. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:2436. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21952-4

22. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patterson N, Daly MJ, Price

AL, Neale BM. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:291–5. doi: 10.1038/ng.3211

23. Wielscher M, Amaral AFS, van der Plaat D, Wain LV, Sebert S, Mosen-Ansorena D, et al. Genetic correlation and causal relationships between cardio-metabolic traits and lung function impairment. *Genome Med.* (2021) 13:104. doi: 10.1186/s13073-021-00914-x

24. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

25. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

26. Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

27. Carter AR, Sanderson E, Hammerton G, Richmond RC, Davey Smith G, Heron J, et al. Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: current methods and challenges for implementation. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2021) 36:465–78. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00757-1

28. Carter AR, Gill D, Davies NM, Taylor AE, Tillmann T, Vaucher J, et al. Understanding the consequences of education inequality on cardiovascular disease: mendelian randomisation study. *BMJ*. (2019) 365:11855. doi: 10.1136/bmj.11855

29. Curtin F, Schulz P. Multiple correlations and Bonferroni's correction. Biol Psychiatry. (1998) 44:775-7. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(98)00043-2

30. Tsai C-Y, Lu H-C, Chou Y-H, Liu P-Y, Chen H-Y, Huang M-C, et al. Gut microbial signatures for glycemic responses of GLP-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetic patients: A pilot study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 12:814770. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.814770

31. Jia L, Li D, Feng N, Shamoon M, Sun Z, Ding L, et al. Anti-diabetic Effects of Clostridium butyricum CGMCC0313.1 through Promoting the Growth of Gut Butyrate-producing Bacteria in Type 2 Diabetic Mice. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:7046. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07335-0

32. Różycki H, Strzelczyk E. Free amino acids production by actinomycetes, isolated from soil, rhizosphere, and mycorrhizosphere of pine (Pinns sylvestris L.). Zentralbl Mikrobiol. (1986) 141:423–9. doi: 10.1016/S0232-4393(86)80032-4

33. Weitkunat K, Schumann S, Nickel D, Kappo KA, Petzke KJ, Kipp AP, et al. Importance of propionate for the repression of hepatic lipogenesis and improvement of insulin sensitivity in high-fat diet-induced obesity. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* (2016) 60:2611– 21. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600305

34. Macfarlane S, Macfarlane GT. Regulation of short-chain fatty acid production. Proc Nutr Soc. (2003) 62:67–72. doi: 10.1079/PNS2002207 35. Sivakumar K, Sahu MK, Manivel PR, Kannan L. Optimum conditions for Lglutaminase production by actinomycete strain isolated from estuarine fish, Chanos chanos (Forskal, 1775). *Indian J Exp Biol.* (2006) 44:256–8.

 Lehtihet M, Honkanen RE, Sjöholm Å. Glutamate inhibits protein phosphatases and promotes insulin exocytosis in pancreatic β-cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2005) 328:601–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.01.024

37. Maechler P. Glutamate pathways of the beta-cell and the control of insulin secretion. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2017) 131:149–53. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres. 2017.07.009

38. Singh V, Sagar P, Kaul S, Sandhir R, Singhal NK. Liver Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxykinase-1 Downregulation via siRNA-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Nanosheets Restores Glucose Homeostasis in a Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus *In Vivo* Model. *Bioconjug Chem.* (2021) 32:259–78. doi: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.0c00645

39. Bao Y, Zhao T, Wang X, Qiu Y, Su M, Jia W, et al. Metabonomic variations in the drug-treated type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and healthy volunteers. *J Proteome Res.* (2009) 8:1623–30. doi: 10.1021/pr800643w

40. Shen F, Huang W, Huang J-T, Xiong J, Yang Y, Wu K, et al. Decreased N(6)methyladenosine in peripheral blood RNA from diabetic patients is associated with FTO expression rather than ALKBH5. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2015) 100:E148–54. doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1893

41. Prada M, Wittenbecher C, Eichelmann F, Wernitz A, Drouin-Chartier J-P, Schulze MB. Association of the odd-chain fatty acid content in lipid groups with type 2 diabetes risk: A targeted analysis of lipidomics data in the EPIC-Potsdam cohort. *Clin Nutr.* (2021) 40:4988–99. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2021.06.006

42. Lynch CJ, Adams SH. Branched-chain amino acids in metabolic signalling and insulin resistance. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2014) 10:723–36. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2014.171

43. Redondo-Useros N, Nova E, González-Zancada N, Díaz LE, Gómez-Martínez S, Marcos A. Microbiota and lifestyle: A special focus on diet. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:1776. doi: 10.3390/nu12061776

44. Biesiekierski JR, Jalanka J, Staudacher HM. Can gut microbiota composition predict response to dietary treatments? *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:1134. doi: 10.3390/ nu11051134

45. Tungland B. Dysbiosis of the microbiota: therapeutic strategies utilizing dietary modification, pro- and prebiotics and fecal transplant therapies in promoting normal balance and local GI functions. In: *Human Microbiota in Health and Disease*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA: Elsevier (2018). p. 381–419. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814649-1.00009-0

46. Makki K, Deehan EC, Walter J, Bäckhed F. The impact of dietary fiber on gut microbiota in host health and disease. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2018) 23:705–15. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.012