Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Di Yang, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Robert Ancuceanu, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania Chengliang Zhang, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shao Zhong I drzhong@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 March 2024 ACCEPTED 09 September 2024 PUBLISHED 09 October 2024

CITATION

Wang Q, Wang Z, Tang Z, Liu C, Pan Y and Zhong S (2024) Association between cardiometabolic index and kidney stone from NHANES: a population-based study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1408781. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1408781

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wang, Wang, Tang, Liu, Pan and Zhong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between cardiometabolic index and kidney stone from NHANES: a population-based study

Qianqian Wang^{1†}, Zhaoxiang Wang^{1†}, Zaixiang Tang², Can Liu², Ying Pan¹ and Shao Zhong^{1*}

¹Department of Endocrinology, Affiliated Kunshan Hospital of Jiangsu University, Kunshan, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Preventive and Translational Medicine for Geriatric Diseases, Ministry of Education (MOE) Key Laboratory of Geriatric Diseases and Immunology, Suzhou Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

Purpose: The Cardiometabolic Index (CMI) is a novel marker of visceral obesity and dyslipidemia. Our study aimed to explore the association between CMI and kidney stones among US adults.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among adults with complete records of CMI and kidney stones information from the 2011 to 2018 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Inverse probability treatment weighting (IPTW) was used to balance the baseline characteristics of the study population. The independent relationship between CMI and kidney stones was evaluated using IPTW-adjusted multivariate logistic regression, restricted cubic splines (RCS), and subgroup analysis.

Results: A total of 9,177 participants, with an average CMI of 0.72 (0.99), were included in this study. The IPTW-adjusted logistic regression revealed that CMI was an independent risk factor for kidney stones. The adjusted odds ratio (OR) for kidney stones were 1.39 (95% CI: 1.24 - 1.56, P < 0.001) for the second CMI tertile and 1.31 (95% CI: 1.17 - 1.47, P < 0.001) for the third CMI tertile, compared with the first CMI tertile. A linear relationship between CMI levels and kidney stone risk was observed in the RCS analysis. Subgroup analysis showed that the association between CMI levels and kidney stone risk remained stable across groups.

Conclusions: A positive association between CMI level and the risk of kidney stones was observed among US adults in our study. Further large-scale prospective studies are needed to validate our findings.

KEYWORDS

NHANES, cardiometabolic index, kidney stone, population-based study, linear relationship

1 Introduction

Kidney stone disease is a highly prevalent urinary system disorder that affects approximately 10% of the population (1). Kidney stones typically result in acute attacks characterized by renal colic, urinary obstruction, urinary tract infections, and, in severe cases, kidney failure or life-threatening conditions (2). Despite advancements in minimally invasive and non-invasive lithotripsy techniques, up to 50% of patients post-surgery still experience kidney stone recurrence, significantly increasing both physiological and economic burdens (3). The rising incidence and recurrent nature of kidney stone disease contributed to substantial healthcare expenditures and diminished quality of life, underscoring the significance of identifying modifiable risk factors for early targeted interventions (4). It is important to identify individuals with high kidney stone risk using simple and effective diagnostic indicators (5, 6).

Emerging evidence underscored metabolic abnormalities as pivotal in the pathogenesis of kidney stones (7). Kidney stone disease may be a renal manifestation of systemic diseases such as metabolic syndrome rather than an isolated disorder caused by disturbances in urine composition (8, 9). Some studies revealed a correlation between obesity, lipid metabolic disturbances, and the occurrence of kidney stone (10, 11). Furthermore, the distribution of body fat, particularly the increase in visceral adiposity, was closely related to the metabolic risk factors for kidney stones (12, 13). Due to the complexity and systemic nature of kidney stones, multidimensional assessment is particularly important (14, 15). The Cardiometabolic Index (CMI) is a novel anthropometric index calculated by Triglyceride (TG)/Highdensity lipid cholesterol (HDL-c) × waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) (16). WHtR was deemed a more precise indicator of certain health risks compared to body mass index (BMI), due to its focus on the distribution of body fat (17). Additionally, the TG/HDL-c ratio became a commonly acknowledged indicator of lipid metabolism disorders (18). Integrating these two indices effectively, CMI was suggested as a more comprehensive assessment of abdominal obesity and dyslipidemia, thereby providing a more holistic approach to evaluating metabolic health (19). Several studies indicated that CMI was a promising indicator of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, and renal dysfunction (20-23).

To our knowledge, the relationship between CMI level and kidney stone risk is still unclear. Therefore, our study aimed to systematically investigate the relationship between CMI and kidney stones based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database, potentially paving the way for novel preventative strategies and early screening methods for kidney stone disease.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data source

This population-based study utilized data from the NHANES, which aimed to assess the health and nutritional status of the US population. NHANES was a randomized, stratified, multi-stage survey design, providing a nationally representative sample with detailed demographic, socioeconomic, and health information (24). The study protocol for the NHANES database received approval from the Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics. We included participants with complete data on kidney stones from 2011 to 2018. Participants below 20 years, those pregnant, and individuals without complete CMI data or questionnaire records about kidney stones were excluded. Adhering to these criteria, 9,177 patients were eligible for our study.

2.2 Exposure and outcome definitions

The CMI was calculated as the TG/HDL-c \times WHtR (25). The primary outcome for our analysis was the participants' response to the question "Have you or the sample person ever had a kidney stone?" during the medical questionnaire (26, 27). The participants who reported "yes" to the question were considered as having kidney stones, while those answering "no" were classified as not. The reliability of self-reported kidney stone conditions has been validated in prior studies (28–32).

2.3 Covariate definitions

Based on existing literature and clinical experience, confounding factors covering demographics, lifestyle habits, and health indicators were selected. Previous studies have confirmed these variables to have a significant association with kidney stones risk (33-38): demographic data (age, gender, race), annual household income, education level, physical activity level, smoking status, diabetes prevalence, hypertension prevalence, cardiovascular disease prevalence, BMI, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), albumin, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum creatinine (Scr), serum uric acid (SUA), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). BMI was categorized into three groups: $< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (normal weight), 25 - 29.9 kg/m² (overweight), and \geq 30 kg/m² (obese). eGFR was calculated according to the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration creatinine equation, which incorporates factors including age, gender, race, and Scr (39). Additionally, self-reported diabetes and hypertension were identified, and cardiovascular disease presence was assessed through self-reports of coronary artery disease, angina, heart attack, congestive heart failure, or stroke (40). Comprehensive details of study variables are publicly available in the NHANES database (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/).

2.4 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted following the guidelines set forth by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, incorporating a complex, multistage cluster survey design (41). Continuous variables were expressed as mean with standard deviation, and categorical

parameters were presented as proportions. Student's t-test and chisquared test were used to compare baseline variable differences. The Inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) was used to control for confounders among the three exposure groups (according to the tertiles of CMI). Standardized mean difference (SMD) was used to assess the variation of CMI tertiles, with an SMD < 0.1 indicating a better equilibrium. To explore the relationship between CMI tertiles and the risk of kidney stones, odds ratio (OR) and IPTW-adjusted OR with 95% confidence interval (CI) were reported using Logistic Regression Models (Model 1, no covariate was adjusted; Model 2, adjusted for age, gender, and race; Model 3, further adjusted for annual household income, education level, physical activity level, smoking status, diabetes prevalence, hypertension prevalence, cardiovascular disease prevalence, BMI class, ALT, AST, GGT, albumin, TC, LDL-c, FPG, HbA1c, SUA, Scr, BUN and eGFR class). To investigate potential non-linear relationships, we employed a restricted cubic splines (RCS) analysis using the 'rms' R package (version 6.7.1) with four knots. Additionally, subgroup analyses were conducted using logistic regression models stratified by age (< 60/≥ 60 years), gender (female/ male), BMI (normal weight/overweight/obese), diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), cardiovascular disease (yes/no), and eGFR (< $60/60-90/\ge$ 90ml/min/1.73m²). All statistical analyses were performed using R software and the Empower software (http://www. empowerstats.com), with 'dplyr' package (version 1.1.4) for data manipulation and preprocessing, 'ggplot2' package (version 3.5.0) for plots and visualizations. A two-sided *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

A total of 9,177 individuals were included (48.75% male) with a mean age of 49.63 years in this study. Among the total participants, 908 individuals (9.89%) had kidney stones, which corresponded with the estimated prevalence rates in the general population (3). Compared with those without kidney stones, participants with kidney stones tend to be male, older and have a higher BMI, waist circumference (WC), FPG, HbA1c, TG, smoking rates, diabetes prevalence, hypertension prevalence, and cardiovascular disease prevalence (P < 0.05) (Table 1). Conversely, HDL-c, albumin, and impaired kidney function (characterized by elevated SUA, Scr, BUN, and reduced eGFR), were

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population in NHANES from 2011 to 2018.

		Overall	No kidney stone	Kidney stone	Р
n		9,177	8,269	908	
Age (mean (SD))		49.63 (17.40)	49.02 (17.43)	55.20 (16.07)	<0.001
Age class (%)	≥60	6121 (66.70)	5617 (91.77)	504 (8.23)	<0.001
	≥60	3056 (33.30)	2652 (86.78)	404 (13.22)	
Gender (%)	Female	4703 (51.25)	4277 (90.94)	426 (9.06)	0.006
	Male	4474 (48.75)	3992 (89.23)	482 (10.77)	
Race (%)	Mexican American	1241 (13.52)	1117 (90.01)	124 (9.99)	<0.001
	Non-Hispanic Black	1948 (21.23)	1842 (94.56)	106 (5.44)	
	Non-Hispanic White	3483 (37.95)	3027 (86.91)	456 (13.09)	
	Other Hispanic	991 (10.80)	887 (89.51)	104 (10.49)	
	Other Races	1514 (16.50)	1396 (92.21)	118 (7.79)	
Annual household income (%)	Above \$20,000	6876 (79.62)	6191 (90.04)	685 (9.96)	0.741
	Under \$20,000	1760 (20.38)	1580 (89.77)	180 (10.23)	
Education level (%)	Below high school	4037 (44.01)	3632 (89.97)	405 (10.03)	0.706
	Above high school	5135 (55.99)	4632 (90.20)	503 (9.80)	
Physical activity level (%)	Low	5372 (58.60)	4840 (90.10)	532 (9.90)	0.257
	Moderate	1945 (21.22)	1768 (90.90)	177 (9.10)	
	High	1851 (20.19)	1653 (89.30)	198 (10.70)	
Smoking status (%)	No	5192 (56.63)	4734 (91.18)	458 (8.82)	<0.001
	Yes	3977 (43.37)	3528 (88.71)	449 (11.29)	
Diabetes (%)	No	7902 (86.14)	7212 (91.27)	690 (8.73)	<0.001

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

		Overall	No kidney stone	Kidney stone	Р
	Yes	1271 (13.86)	1053 (82.85)	218 (17.15)	
Hypertension (%)	No	5777 (63.02)	5312 (91.95)	465 (8.05)	<0.001
	Yes	3390 (36.98)	2947 (86.93)	443 (13.07)	
Cardiovascular disease (%)	No	8177 (89.10)	7433 (90.90)	744 (9.10)	<0.001
	Yes	1000 (10.90)	836 (83.60)	164 (16.40)	
BMI		29.20 (6.96)	29.02 (6.92)	30.85 (7.08)	<0.001
BMI class (%)	Normal weight	2673 (29.16)	2508 (93.83)	165 (6.17)	<0.001
	Overweight	2965 (32.34)	2660 (89.71)	305 (10.29)	
	Obese	3530 (38.50)	3092 (87.59)	438 (12.41)	
Height (mean (SD))		166.98 (9.96)	167.00 (9.94)	166.83 (10.13)	0.627
WC (mean (SD))		99.61 (16.65)	99.01 (16.53)	105.08 (16.68)	<0.001
ALT (mean (SD))		24.38 (17.33)	24.42 (17.72)	24.02 (13.28)	0.081
AST (mean (SD))		24.66 (18.75)	24.75 (19.42)	23.80 (10.86)	0.15
GGT (mean (SD))		29.43 (38.20)	29.45 (38.86)	29.21 (31.62)	0.858
Albumin (mean (SD))		41.99 (3.51)	42.05 (3.53)	41.43 (3.32)	<0.001
BUN (mean (SD))		4.95 (2.10)	4.90 (2.05)	5.38 (2.46)	<0.001
Scr (mean (SD))		78.34 (38.10)	77.92 (36.14)	82.12 (52.51)	<0.001
SUA (mean (SD))		326.12 (85.89)	325.48 (85.52)	331.88 (89.04)	0.033
eGFR class (%)	<60	671 (7.34)	570 (84.95)	101 (15.05)	<0.001
	60~90	2788 (30.48)	2448 (87.80)	340 (12.20)	
	≥90	5687 (62.18)	5221 (91.81)	466 (8.19)	
FPG (mean (SD))		6.13 (2.02)	6.09 (1.99)	6.57 (2.24)	<0.001
HbA1c (mean (SD))		5.81 (1.14)	5.79 (1.13)	6.05 (1.23)	<0.001
HDL-c (mean (SD))		1.40 (0.42)	1.41 (0.42)	1.31 (0.38)	<0.001
TG (mean (SD))		1.35 (1.21)	1.33 (1.21)	1.48 (1.20)	<0.001
LDL-c (mean (SD))		112.11 (35.58)	112.24 (35.77)	110.94 (33.79)	0.303
TC (mean (SD))		189.47 (41.45)	189.77 (41.71)	186.74 (38.94)	0.143
CMI (mean (SD))		0.72 (0.99)	0.71 (0.98)	0.88 (1.08)	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Scr, serum creatinine; SUA, serum uric acid; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; CMI, cardiometabolic index.

Baseline characteristics including age, gender, race, annual household income, education level, physical activity level, smoking status, Diabetes prevalence, Hypertension prevalence, Cardiovascular disease prevalence, BMI (kg/m²), Height (cm), WC (cm), ALT (U/L), AST (U/L), GGT (U/L), albumin (g/L), BUN (mmol/L), SCr (umol/L), SUA (umol/L), eGFR (ml/min/ 1.73m²), FPG (mmol/L), HbA1c (%), HDL-c (mmol/L), TG (mmol/L), LDL-c (mg/dl), TC (mg/dl), and CMI (cardiometabolic index).

evident in the kidney stone group (P < 0.05). Racial disparity was observed in kidney stones (P < 0.001). Specifically, the Non-Hispanic White group exhibited the highest risk of developing kidney stones, while the Non-Hispanic Black group demonstrated the lowest risk (P < 0.001). However, there were no significant differences in annual household income (P = 0.741), education level (P = 0.706), physical activity level (P = 0.257), height (P = 0.627), ALT (P = 0.081), AST (P = 0.15), GGT (P = 0.858), TC (P = 0.143), and LDL-c (P = 0.303). It was noted that CMI levels were higher in the kidney stone group than in the non-kidney stone group (0.71 ± 0.98 vs. 0.88 ± 1.08 , P < 0.001).

3.2 Clinical features of the participants according to the tertiles of CMI

Based on the CMI levels, participants were categorized into three groups: tertile I (CMI \leq 0.332), tertile II (0.332 < CMI \leq 0.693), and tertile III (CMI > 0.693) (Table 2). Compared with the tertile I of CMI, tertile II and tertile III had a higher percentage in male proportion, smoking rates, diabetes prevalence, hypertension prevalence, cardiovascular disease prevalence, and higher levels of age, BMI, height, WC, ALT, AST, GGT, FPG, HbA1c, TG, TC,

TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of the study population according to the tertiles of CMI.

			Unmatc	hed					IPTW		
Characteristics	level	Tertile I	Tertile II	Tertile III	Р	SMD	Tertile I	Tertile II	Tertile III	Р	SMD
Ν		3059	3059	3059			7738.7	8133.9	7663.4		
Age (mean (SD))		46.63 (18.25)	50.78 (17.36)	51.47 (16.12)	<0.001	0.185	49.78 (17.74)	49.97 (17.41)	50.25 (16.86)	0.737	0.018
Age class (%)	<60	2176 (71.1)	1965 (64.2)	1980 (64.7)	<0.001	0.099	5038.1 (65.1)	5367.3 (66.0)	5069.5 (66.2)	0.755	0.015
	≥60	883 (28.9)	1094 (35.8)	1079 (35.3)			2700.6 (34.9)	2766.6 (34.0)	2593.9 (33.8)		
Gender (%)	Female	1835 (60.0)	1570 (51.3)	1298 (42.4)	<0.001	0.237	4098.4 (53.0)	4248.9 (52.2)	3679.9 (48.0)	0.005	0.066
	Male	1224 (40.0)	1489 (48.7)	1761 (57.6)			3640.3 (47.0)	3885.1 (47.8)	3983.6 (52.0)		
Race (%)	Mexican American	259 (8.5)	443 (14.5)	539 (17.6)	<0.001	0.356	909.1 (11.7)	1122.2 (13.8)	1139.3 (14.9)	0.001	0.118
	Non- Hispanic Black	898 (29.4)	673 (22.0)	377 (12.3)			1809.8 (23.4)	1718.7 (21.1)	1371.9 (17.9)		
	Non- Hispanic White	1088 (35.6)	1111 (36.3)	1284 (42.0)			2875.9 (37.2)	3062.3 (37.6)	2966.9 (38.7)		
	Other Hispanic	232 (7.6)	358 (11.7)	401 (13.1)			753.7 (9.7)	913.3 (11.2)	932.7 (12.2)		
	Other Races	582 (19.0)	474 (15.5)	458 (15.0)			1390.2 (18.0)	1317.4 (16.2)	1252.6 (16.3)		
Annual household income (%)	Above \$20,000	2568 (83.9)	2440 (79.8)	2409 (78.8)	<0.001	0.089	6414.5 (82.9)	6531.0 (80.3)	6141.4 (80.1)	0.044	0.047
	Under \$20,000	491 (16.1)	619 (20.2)	650 (21.2)			1324.2 (17.1)	1602.9 (19.7)	1522.1 (19.9)		
Education level (%)	Below high school	1133 (37.0)	1392 (45.5)	1512 (49.4)	<0.001	0.168	3238.3 (41.8)	3589.3 (44.1)	3601.9 (47.0)	0.006	0.069
	Above high school	1926 (63.0)	1667 (54.5)	1547 (50.6)			4500.4 (58.2)	4544.6 (55.9)	4061.6 (53.0)		
Physical activity level (%)	Low	1777 (58.1)	1822 (59.6)	1782 (58.3)	0.57	0.03	4496.8 (58.1)	4827.3 (59.3)	4529.5 (59.1)	0.768	0.028
	Moderate	663 (21.7)	644 (21.1)	638 (20.9)			1613.3 (20.8)	1730.3 (21.3)	1598.9 (20.9)		
	High	619 (20.2)	593 (19.4)	639 (20.9)			1628.6 (21.0)	1576.3 (19.4)	1535.0 (20.0)		
Smoking status (%)	No	1910 (62.4)	1762 (57.6)	1528 (50.0)	<0.001	0.169	4438.9 (57.4)	4665.2 (57.4)	4124.0 (53.8)	0.039	0.048
	Yes	1149 (37.6)	1297 (42.4)	1531 (50.0)			3299.8 (42.6)	3468.7 (42.6)	3539.4 (46.2)		
Diabetes (%)	No	2876 (94.0)	2642 (86.4)	2388 (78.1)	<0.001	0.317	6951.4 (89.8)	7024.7 (86.4)	6456.5 (84.3)	< 0.001	0.111
	Yes	183 (6.0)	417 (13.6)	671 (21.9)			787.3 (10.2)	1109.2 (13.6)	1207.0 (15.7)		
Hypertension (%)	No	2226 (72.8)	1915 (62.6)	1646 (53.8)	<0.001	0.266	5115.9 (66.1)	5146.2 (63.3)	4601.4 (60.0)	0.001	0.084
	Yes	833 (27.2)	1144 (37.4)	1413 (46.2)			2622.8 (33.9)	2987.8 (36.7)	3062.0 (40.0)		
<u>.</u>											(Continued)

Wang et al.

10.3389/fendo.2024.1408781

Charactoristics			Unmatc	hed					IPTW	
Characteristics	level	Tertile I	Tertile II	Tertile III	Р	SMD	Tertile I	Tertile II	Tertile III	Р
Cardiovascular disease (%)	No	2839 (92.8)	2714 (88.7)	2624 (85.8)	<0.001	0.153	7025.1 (90.8)	7249.2 (89.1)	6766.1 (88.3)	0.04
	Yes	220 (7.2)	345 (11.3)	435 (14.2)			713.6 (9.2)	884.8 (10.9)	897.4 (11.7)	
BMI class (%)	Normal weight	1623 (53.1)	743 (24.3)	307 (10.0)	< 0.001	0.767	2588.0 (33.4)	2301.1 (28.3)	1712.4 (22.3)	< 0.001
	Overweight	894 (29.2)	1124 (36.7)	956 (31.3)			2637.3 (34.1)	2735.8 (33.6)	2621.5 (34.2)	
	Obese	542 (17.7)	1192 (39.0)	1796 (58.7)			2513.4 (32.5)	3097.0 (38.1)	3329.6 (43.4)	
Height (mean (SD))		166.60 (9.44)	166.90 (10.14)	167.43 (10.27)	0.004	0.056	167.08 (9.63)	166.94 (10.09)	166.65 (10.26)	0.433
WC (mean (SD))		89.42 (13.56)	100.50 (14.53)	108.91 (15.67)	<0.001	0.892	95.29 (14.61)	99.65 (14.83)	103.82 (16.01)	< 0.001
ALT (mean (SD))		20.73 (16.48)	23.42 (15.18)	29.00 (18.97)	<0.001	0.32	22.55 (15.82)	23.69 (15.83)	25.24 (16.87)	< 0.001
AST (mean (SD))		23.92 (16.31)	23.93 (19.09)	26.12 (20.40)	<0.001	0.077	24.20 (14.46)	24.25 (20.19)	24.43 (17.84)	0.863
GGT (mean (SD))		23.93 (38.82)	27.82 (31.96)	36.52 (41.85)	<0.001	0.218	26.57 (34.53)	28.13 (32.44)	30.28 (36.33)	0.001
Albumin (mean (SD))		42.35 (3.46)	41.88 (3.48)	41.73 (3.54)	<0.001	0.117	42.07 (3.40)	42.00 (3.47)	41.85 (3.48)	0.132
BUN (mean (SD))		4.76 (1.86)	4.92 (2.03)	5.17 (2.36)	<0.001	0.13	4.91 (1.91)	4.90 (1.98)	4.96 (2.12)	0.51
Scr (mean (SD))		76.37 (44.87)	77.99 (28.43)	80.66 (38.86)	< 0.001	0.075	77.35 (38.56)	77.27 (26.40)	77.97 (32.51)	0.642
SUA (mean (SD))		293.44 (74.22)	326.40 (80.81)	358.51 (88.86)	<0.001	0.533	316.22 (80.56)	324.74 (82.05)	334.98 (84.88)	< 0.001
eGFR class (%)	<60	159 (5.2)	229 (7.5)	283 (9.3)	<0.001	0.15	489.5 (6.3)	577.0 (7.1)	559.3 (7.3)	0.782
	60~90	822 (26.9)	968 (31.6)	998 (32.6)			2394.4 (30.9)	2496.4 (30.7)	2356.1 (30.7)	
	≥90	2078 (67.9)	1862 (60.9)	1778 (58.1)			4854.8 (62.7)	5060.4 (62.2)	4748.1 (62.0)	
FPG (mean (SD))		5.55 (1.15)	6.03 (1.80)	6.83 (2.62)	<0.001	0.434	5.88 (1.57)	6.03 (1.79)	6.26 (2.07)	<0.001
HbA1c (mean (SD))		5.49 (0.69)	5.79 (1.06)	6.17 (1.43)	<0.001	0.415	5.67 (0.89)	5.77 (1.05)	5.89 (1.17)	< 0.001
HDL-c (mean (SD))		1.74 (0.42)	1.37 (0.27)	1.08 (0.23)	<0.001	1.37	1.71 (0.40)	1.41 (0.29)	1.09 (0.22)	<0.001
TG (mean (SD))		0.66 (0.21)	1.11 (0.29)	2.27 (1.70)	<0.001	1.35	0.67 (0.20)	1.15 (0.31)	2.09 (1.29)	< 0.001
LDL-c (mean (SD))		102.78 (31.04)	115.72 (35.46)	117.81 (37.30)	<0.001	0.295	109.10 (33.92)	113.46 (34.99)	113.70 (35.75)	<0.001
TC (mean (SD))		181.82 (37.21)	188.26 (40.65)	198.33 (44.50)	< 0.001	0.268	187.33 (39.86)	188.25 (39.90)	191.66 (41.73)	0.003
CMI (mean (SD))		0.21 (0.07)	0.49 (0.10)	1.46 (1.44)	< 0.001	1.777	0.23 (0.07)	0.49 (0.10)	1.27 (1.09)	< 0.001

Frontiers in Endocrinology

Kidney stone (%)

< 0.001

_

_

7147.4 (92.4)

591.3 (7.6)

7253.6 (89.2)

880.4 (10.8)

6835.1 (89.2)

828.3 (10.8)

< 0.001

_

2677 (87.5)

382 (12.5)

FPG (mmol/L), HbA1c (%), HDL-c (mmol/L), TG (mmol/L), LDL-c (mg/dl), TC (mg/dl), and CMI (cardiometabolic index).

2864 (93.6)

195 (6.4)

2728 (89.2)

331 (10.8)

No

Yes

SMD 0.054

0.186

0.029 0.374 0.11 0.009 0.071 0.043 0.021

0.014 0.151 0.026

0.138 0.141 1.36 1.464 0.088

0.071 1.781

0.073

_



LDL-c, BUN, Scr, and SUA (P < 0.01). Conversely, it had a lower level of HDL-c, eGFR, albumin, education level, and annual household income (P < 0.01). Upon IPTW adjustment, most baseline characteristics were well balanced among three groups (SMD < 0.1), except for race, ALT, SUA, BMI, WC, FPG, HbA1c, HDL-c, TG, and the prevalence of diabetes (Table 2 and Figure 1). covariates (OR: 1.30, 95%CI: 1.04 to 1.61, P = 0.021) (Table 3). According to the IPTW-multivariable logistic analysis, a similar result was observed in model 3 after adjusting for multiple covariates (OR: 1.31, 95%CI: 1.17 to 1.47, P < 0.001). Furthermore, RCS analysis indicated a linear relationship between CMI levels and kidney stone risk (P for nonlinear = 0.168, P for linear = 0.021) (Figure 2).

3.3 Association between CMI and kidney stones

Logistic regression analysis showed a positive association between CMI and kidney stones in model 3, after adjusting for multiple

3.4 Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis stratified by age (< $60 \ge 60$ years), gender (female/male), BMI (normal weight/overweight/obese), diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), cardiovascular disease (yes/no),

	Unmato	hed OR (95%Cl),	P value	IPTW-adj	usted OR (95%CI), P value
CMI class	Non-adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Non-adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted
	model 1	model 2	model 3	model 1	model 2	model 3
Tertile I	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Tertile II	1.78 (1.48,	1.59 (1.32,	1.31 (1.07,	1.47 (1.32,	1.45 (1.30,	1.39 (1.24,
	2.15), <0.001	1.92), <0.001	1.60), 0.009	1.64), <0.001	1.62), <0.001	1.56), <0.001
Tertile III	2.10 (1.75,	1.73 (1.44,	1.30 (1.04,	1.46 (1.31,	1.42 (1.27,	1.31 (1.17,
	2.51), <0.001	2.09), <0.001	1.61), 0.021	1.64), <0.001	1.58), <0.001	1.47), <0.001
P _{trend}	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

TABLE 3 Logistic regression analysis results of CMI and kidney stone.

model 1 was unadjusted;

model 2 was adjusted for age, gender, and race;

model 3 was adjusted for age, gender, race, annual household income, education level, physical activity level, smoking status, ALT (U/L), AST (U/L), GGT (U/L), albumin (g/L), BUN (mmol/L), Scr (umol/L), SUA (umol/L), eGFR class, BMI class, FPG (mmol/L), HbA1c (%), LDL-c (mg/dl), TC (mg/dl), Cardiovascular disease prevalence, Diabetes prevalence, and Hypertension prevalence.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



and eGFR (< $60/60-90/\ge 90$ ml/min/1.73m²) were used to further assess the relationship between CMI levels and kidney stone risk. According to the IPTW-multivariate adjusted model, the relationship between CMI levels and kidney stone risk was stable by subgroups (Table 4 and Figure 3).

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study to investigate the relationship between CMI level and kidney stone risk. Our study revealed that CMI level was an independent risk factor for kidney stone risk.

The growing interest in CMI stems from its novel combination of TG/HDL-c ratio and WHtR, offering a unified measure that captures aspects of dyslipidemia and central obesity (25). Prior studies implicated CMI in various metabolic disorders, such as atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and diabetes (42, 43). Our findings indicated a significantly higher CMI level among individuals with a history of kidney stones. Furthermore, CMI elevation was associated with a decline in hepatic and renal function, and an increase in BMI, blood glucose, and lipid levels. Current research has linked the incidence of kidney stones to metabolic factors like dyslipidemia, abdominal obesity, hypertension, and diabetes (11). Lee and colleagues identified a correlation between stone risk and several obesity-related measures, such as BMI, WC, WHtR, waist-to-hip ratio, abdominal volume index, and body roundness index (10). In a community cohort study of 121,579 individuals, a significant association was found between kidney

stones and metabolic syndrome, with a higher risk of developing kidney stones in participants with metabolic syndrome at baseline (11). Novel indices for assessing visceral obesity, such as the Visceral Adiposity Index and weight-adjusted waist index, have been demonstrated to correlate with the risk of kidney stones, offering a novel perspective in the identification of potential risk factors for kidney stone development (13, 44). Our study effectively integrated the characters of visceral obesity and dyslipidemia, and used IPTW to equilibrate intergroup differences, thereby enhancing the stability and reliability of the analysis results. CMI is a promising tool for identifying kidney stone risk, offering significant clinical and translational value. Firstly, CMI enables rapid and cost-effective assessment of patients' metabolic health, helping to identify individuals with high risk for kidney stones. This is particularly crucial in primary care settings and resource-limited healthcare systems (45, 46). Secondly, integrating CMI into the risk assessment process for kidney stones may facilitate the implementation of nutritional guidance, exercise programs, and other lifestyle interventions, which can help improve long-term health outcomes for high-risk groups.

The interconnections between obesity, metabolic syndrome, and dyslipidemia underscored the systemic nature of kidney stone disease. The precise mechanisms by which CMI influences stone formation are yet to be fully delineated. Our findings suggested that the dysregulated lipid metabolism associated with elevated CMI levels may contribute to this process. Oxidative stress plays a key role in metabolic disorder onset, with escalating levels of it in obesity and dyslipidemia (47). Hyperlipidemia, through oxidative stress, can trigger glomerulosclerosis and tubulointerstitial damage, serving as a critical precursor for kidney stone formation (48). Emerging

TABLE 4 Association of CMI and kidney stone in different subgroups.

Subgroup	Unn	natched OR (95%Cl), <i>P</i> v	alue	IPTW	-adjusted OR (95%CI), P	value
	CMI <u><</u> 0.332 (Tertile I)	0.332 <cmi <u="">< 0.693 (Tertile II)</cmi>	CMI>0.693 (Tertile III)	CMI <u><</u> 0.332 (Tertile I)	0.332 <cmi <u="">< 0.693 (Tertile II)</cmi>	CMI>0.693 (Tertile III)
Age						
<60	1 (Ref.)	1.35 (1.04,1.77), 0.026	1.32 (0.98, 1.77), 0.069	1 (Ref.)	1.37 (1.18,1.59), <0.001	1.27 (1.09.1.48), 0.003
≥60	1 (Ref.)	1.32 (0.97,1.82), 0.079	1.32 (0.95,1.85), 0.102	1 (Ref.)	1.47 (1.24,1.75), <0.001	1.43 (1.20,1.70), <0.001
Gender						
Female	1 (Ref.)	1.31 (1.00, 1.73), 0.054	1.19 (0.87, 1.64), 0.280	1 (Ref.)	1.35 (1.15,1.58), <0.001	1.18 (1.00,1.39), <0.001
Male	1 (Ref.)	1.36 (1.01, 1.84), 0.045	1.40 (1.02, 1.92), 0.037	1 (Ref.)	1.49 (1.27,1.76), <0.001	1.51 (1.29,1.78), <0.001
BMI						
Normal weight	1 (Ref.)	1.68 (1.14, 2.45), 0.008	1.59 (0.93, 2.65), 0.081	1 (Ref.)	1.59 (1.25,2.01), <0.001	1.59 (1.23,2.05), <0.001
Overweight	1 (Ref.)	1.18 (0.85, 1.65), 0.318	1.28 (0.90, 1.83), 0.169	1 (Ref.)	1.23 (1.02,1.48), 0.033	1.18 (0.97,1.42), 0.090
Obese	1 (Ref.)	1.18 (0.83, 1.71), 0.355	1.14 (0.80, 1.65), 0.479	1 (Ref.)	1.46 (1.23,1.75), <0.001	1.34 (1.12,1.60), 0.001
Diabetes						
No	1 (Ref.)	1.36 (1.09, 1.69), 0.006	1.25 (0.98, 1.60), 0.068	1 (Ref.)	1.43 (1.26,1.61), <0.001	1.30 (1.15,1.48), <0.001
Yes	1 (Ref.)	1.15 (0.66, 2.07), 0.629	1.43 (0.83, 2.56), 0.212	1 (Ref.)	1.32 (1.00,1.75), 0.055	1.41 (1.07,1.86), 0.014
Hypertension						
No	1 (Ref.)	1.36 (1.04, 1.77), 0.025	1.23 (0.91, 1.66), 0.186	1 (Ref.)	1.47 (1.26,1.71), <0.001	1.25 (1.06,1.46), 0.007
Yes	1 (Ref.)	1.18 (0.87, 1.62), 0.289	1.26 (0.92, 1.75), 0.154	1 (Ref.)	1.29 (1.09,1.52), 0.004	1.36 (1.15,1.61), <0.001
Cardiovascular disease						
No	1 (Ref.)	1.29 (1.04, 1.60), 0.020	1.25 (0.99, 1.59), 0.065	1 (Ref.)	1.33 (1.18,1.49), <0.001	1.22 (1.08,1.38), 0.001
Yes	1 (Ref.)	1.47 (0.82, 2.69), 0.202	1.61 (0.89, 3.00), 0.123	1 (Ref.)	1.91 (1.37,2.69), <0.001	2.08 (1.50,2.93), <0.001
eGFR						
<60	1 (Ref.)	1.43 (0.65, 3.29), 0.386	2.78 (1.29, 6.33), 0.011	1 (Ref.)	1.98 (1.22,3.26), 0.006	3.90 (2.49,6.27), <0.001
60~90	1 (Ref.)	1.44 (1.03, 2.02), 0.034	1.27 (0.88, 1.84), 0.200	1 (Ref.)	1.46 (1.21,1.76), <0.001	1.15 (0.94,1.40), 0.172
≥90	1 (Ref.)	1.27 (0.97, 1.67), 0.082	1.20 (0.89, 1.62), 0.237	1 (Ref.)	1.34 (1.15,1.56), <0.001	1.26 (1.08,1.47), 0.003

Wang et al.

Age					
<60		1			
-00	Tertile 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	. I.		1.35 (1.04 1.77)	0.026
	Tertile 3	Ľ		1.32 (0.98.1.77)	0.060
>=60	lei lie 5	1		1.52 (0.50,1.77)	0.005
	Tartile 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	1		1.32 (0.07 1.82)	0.079
	Tertile 2	- 5		1.32 (0.97,1.02)	0.079
Gapder	Ter ule 5	<u></u>		1.52 (0.55,1.65)	0.102
Gender					
remaie	Tortilo 1	- 1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	- L		1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.054
	Tertile 2	- 1		1.10 (0.97 1.64)	0.034
Male		1		1.13 (0.07,1.04)	0.20
Wald	Tertile 1	- 1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	, i		1.36 (1.00, 1.00)	0.046
	Tertile 2	- (1.30 (1.01,1.04)	0.045
BMI	Tortile 5	1		1.40 (1.02, 1.32)	0.001
Normal weight					
	Tertile 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	1	h	1.68 (1.14.2.45)	0.009
	Tartile 3	j.		1.50 (1.14,2.40)	0.000
Overweight	Torulo J			1.00 (0.00,2.00)	0.001
Crei Weigin	Tertile 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2			1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.319
	Tertile 3	- 11		1.10 (0.00, 1.00)	0.010
Ohosa	rendle 3	1		1.20 (0.90, 1.83)	0.169
Opeas	Tartile 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2			1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.255
	Tertile 2			1.16 (0.03,1.71)	0.355
Dishates	Terule 5			1.14 (0.00,1.05)	0.479
Diabetes					
NO	Testile 4	- 1		4 00 (4 00 4 00)	
	Tertile 1	1		1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.000
	Tertile 2	- i		1.36 (1.09, 1.69)	0.000
	Terule 5	- F		1.25 (0.96,1.00)	0.000
res	Testile 4	- 1		4 00 (4 00 4 00)	
	Tertile 1	1		1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.000
	Tertile 2			1.15 (0.00,2.07)	0.029
1 km anten alem	Tertile 3			1.43 (0.83,2.50)	0.212
Hypertension					
NO	Testile 1	-		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 1	. i.		1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.005
	Tertile 3			1.30 (1.04, 1.77)	0.020
Vac	ISI die 0		,	1.2.0 (0.01, 1.00)	0.100
165	Testile 4	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	. 1		1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.080
	Tortilo 2	- F.F		1.10 (0.07, 1.62)	0.269
Cardiouscer las diser	rendle 3	1		1.20 (0.92, 1.75)	0.154
Ne Ne		1			
NU	Tartila 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tartila 2	1		1.00 (1.00, 1.00)	0.02
	Tartila 2	1		1.29 (1.04, 1.00)	0.02
Vac	rendle a	1		1.20 (0.89, 1.59)	0.005
105	Tartila 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 2	. 1		1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.000
	rendle 2			1.47 (0.82,2.69)	0.202
-050	rendle 3			1.01 (0.69,3.00)	0.123
eGFR		-			
601K<00	Teatherst	i.		4 00 (4 00 4 00)	
	Tertile 1			1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.000
	Tertile 2	· †		1.43 (0.65,3.29)	0.386
60.00	rendle 3	1	·····	2.78 (1.29,6.33)	0.011
00~90	Testile	1		4.00.(4.00.4.00)	
	Tertile 1	+		1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.001
	rendle 2			1.44 (1.03,2.02)	0.034
050.00	rendle 3			1.27 (0.88,1.84)	0.2
60FK>=90	Tortilo 1	1		1.00 (1.00 1.00)	
	Tertile 1			1.00 (1.00,1.00)	0.000
	icidle Z			1.27 (0.97,1.07)	0.062
	Testile 2			4 00 (0 00 4 60)	0.007
	Tertile 3			1.20 (0.89,1.62)	0.237

evidence from previous studies suggested a significant association between serum lipids and urinary metabolite profiles, which may influence nephrolithiasis stone composition (49, 50). Dyslipidemia, particularly hypertriglyceridemia, has been identified as a contributing factor in the formation and recurrence of kidney stones (51). Notably, patients with low HDL-c or elevated TG levels demonstrate markedly increased urinary sodium, oxalate, and uric acid concentrations, along with decreased urinary pH values (52). The use of lipid-lowering medications, notably atorvastatin, has been observed to significantly alter urinary biochemical profiles (53). Metabolic syndrome, often characterized by central adiposity, was implicated in kidney stone pathology due to its association with altered urinary excretion patterns of lithogenic substances like calcium, uric acid, oxalate, and citrate. Furthermore, oxidative stress induced by visceral fat may contribute to renal impairment through increased production of inflammatory cytokines like interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and reactive oxygen species, which could facilitate stone formation (54).

One of the primary strengths of our study lies in its large sample size, which is representative of the general U.S. adult population. The comprehensive adjustment for a multitude of potential confounders ensured the robustness of the CMI-nephrolithiasis association.

Nevertheless, our study's interpretive potential is tempered by its inherent limitations. The cross-sectional design may hinder our ability to establish causality, and our reliance on self-reported history of kidney stones may introduce bias. However, the credibility of the NHANES database in reflecting public health concerns in the U.S., including the epidemiology of stone disease, substantiates our methodology (29–31, 55). The lack of detailed typological data on kidney stones in our dataset may preclude a more nuanced stone composition analysis. Although full adjustments were made for common confounding factors, potential residual confounders such as lipid-lowering medications or specific dietary habits were not accounted for, which may introduce bias. We recommend prospective, multi-center studies to further elucidate and confirm the prognostic utility of CMI in kidney stone risk evaluation.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, our study found a significant association between CMI level and the risk of kidney stones after adjusting for potential confounders. CMI could be utilized as an effective tool for identifying individuals at high risk of kidney stones during routine health examinations.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZW: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. ZT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. CL: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YP: Formal Analysis, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Suzhou Science and Technology Planning Project (STL2021006, SKY2022025), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82373688, 81773541), and Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Preventive and Translational Medicine for Geriatric Diseases (KJS2222). The funding body did not play any role in the design or conduct of this research.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all participants of this study and the support provided by Jiangsu University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1408781/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1 Distribution of CMI data.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Presentation of the Restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis of the complete dataset, with the x-axis displaying the full range of CMI values (based on model 3, adjusted for age, gender, race, annual household income, education level, physical activity level, smoking status, ALT (U/L), AST (U/L), GGT (U/L), albumin (g/L), BUN (mmol/L), Scr (umol/L), SUA (umol/L), eGFR class, BMI class, FPG (mmol/L), HbA1c (%), LDL-c (mg/dl), TC(mg/dl), Cardiovascular disease prevalence, Diabetes prevalence, and Hypertension prevalence).

10.3389/fendo.2024.1408781

References

1. Miller AW, Penniston KL, Fitzpatrick K, Agudelo J, Tasian G, Lange D. Mechanisms of the intestinal and urinary microbiome in kidney stone disease. *Nat Rev Urol.* (2022) 19(12):695–707. doi: 10.1038/s41585-022-00647-5

2. Ganesan C, Holmes M, Liu S, Montez-Rath M, Conti S, Chang TC, et al. Kidney stone events after kidney transplant in the United States. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2023) 18(6):777–84. doi: 10.2215/cjn.000000000000176

3. Singh P, Harris PC, Sas DJ, Lieske JC. The genetics of kidney stone disease and nephrocalcinosis. Nat Rev Nephrol. (2022) 18(4):224-40. doi: 10.1038/s41581-021-00513-4

4. Dhondup T, Kittanamongkolchai W, Vaughan LE, Mehta RA, Chhina JK, Enders FT, et al. Risk of ESRD and mortality in kidney and bladder stone formers. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2018) 72(6):790–7. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.06.012

5. Tasian GE, Denburg MR, Ellison JS. Early-onset kidney stone diseaseconsequences and opportunities. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2021) 175:1203-4. doi: 10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2021.2966

6. Sui W, Shee K, Stoller M. Preventive pharmacologic therapy for kidney stone disease. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2024) 7:e2428927. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen. 2024.28927

7. Zhang XZ, Lei XX, Jiang YL, Zhao LM, Zou CY, Bai YJ, et al. Application of metabolomics in urolithiasis: the discovery and usage of succinate. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2023) 8(1):41. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01311-z

8. Qiu F, Xu Y, Ji X, Pu J, Zhou J, Huang Y. Incidence and correlation of metabolic syndrome and kidney stones in a healthy screening population. *Trans Androl Urol.* (2021) 10(9):3646–55. doi: 10.21037/tau-21-689

9. Hess B. Metabolic syndrome, obesity and kidney stones. Arab J Urol. (2019) 10:258-64. doi: 10.1016/j.aju.2012.04.005

10. Lee MR, Ke HL, Huang JC, Huang SP, Geng JH. Obesity-related indices and its association with kidney stone disease: a cross-sectional and longitudinal cohort study. *Urolithiasis*. (2022) 50(1):55–63. doi: 10.1007/s00240-021-01288-w

11. Chang CW, Ke HL, Lee JI, Lee YC, Jhan JH, Wang HS, et al. Metabolic syndrome increases the risk of kidney stone disease: A cross-sectional and longitudinal cohort study. *J Pers Med.* (2021) 11(11). doi: 10.3390/jpm11111154

12. Lovegrove CE, Bešević J, Wiberg A, Lacey B, Littlejohns TJ, Allen NE, et al. Central adiposity increases risk of kidney stone disease through effects on serum calcium concentrations. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2023) 34(12):1991–2011. doi: 10.1681/asn.0000000000238

13. Wang J, Yang Z, Bai Y, Yin S, Cui J, Xiao Y, et al. Association between visceral adiposity index and kidney stones in American adults: A cross-sectional analysis of NHANES 2007-2018. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:994669. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.994669

14. Hsi RS, Yan PL, Maalouf NM, Best SL, Asplin JR, Shahinian V, et al. Thiazide dose, urine calcium, and symptomatic kidney stone events. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2024) 7 (8):e2428953. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.28953

15. Hawkins-Van Der Cingel G, Walsh SB, Eckardt KU, Knauf F. Oxalate metabolism: from kidney stones to cardiovascular disease. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2024) 99 (7):1149–61. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2024.02.006

16. Wakabayashi I, Sotoda Y, Hirooka S, Orita H. Association between cardiometabolic index and atherosclerotic progression in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2015) 446:231–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2015.04.020

17. Orsi E, Solini A, Penno G, Bonora E, Fondelli C, Trevisan R, et al. Body mass index versus surrogate measures of central adiposity as independent predictors of mortality in type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21(1):266. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01706-2

 Hajian-Tilaki K, Heidari B. Variations in the pattern and distribution of nonobese components of metabolic syndrome across different obesity phenotypes among Iranian adults' population. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. (2019) 13:2419–24. doi: 10.1016/ j.dsx.2019.06.003

19. Li FE, Luo Y, Zhang FL, Zhang P, Liu D, Ta S, et al. Association between cardiometabolic index and stroke: A population- based cross-sectional study. *Curr Neurovasc Res.* (2021) 18(3):324–32. doi: 10.2174/1567202618666211013123557

20. Duan S, Yang D, Xia H, Ren Z, Chen J, Yao S. Cardiometabolic index: A new predictor for metabolic associated fatty liver disease in Chinese adults. *Front Endocrinol.* (2022) 13:1004855. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1004855

21. Merkin SS, Karlamangla A, Elashoff D, Grogan T, Seeman T. Change in cardiometabolic score and incidence of cardiovascular disease: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Ann Epidemiol.* (2015) 25(12):912–7.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.09.006

22. Zha F, Cao C, Hong M, Hou H, Zhang Q, Tang B, et al. The nonlinear correlation between the cardiometabolic index and the risk of diabetes: A retrospective Japanese cohort study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2023) 14:1120277. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1120277

23. Miao M, Deng X, Wang Z, Jiang D, Lai S, Yu S, et al. Cardiometabolic index is associated with urinary albumin excretion and renal function in aged person over 60: Data from NHANES 2011-2018. *Int J Cardiol.* (2023) 384:76–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2023.04.017

24. Du YZ, Dong QX, Hu HJ, Guo B, Li YH, Zhang J, et al. A cross-sectional analysis of the relationship between the non-high density to high density lipoprotein cholesterol

ratio (NHHR) and kidney stone risk in American adults. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2024) 23 (1):158. doi: 10.1186/s12944-024-02150-9

25. Wakabayashi I, Daimon T. The "cardiometabolic index" as a new marker determined by adiposity and blood lipids for discrimination of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2015) 438:274–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2014.08.042

26. Hill AJ, Basourakos SP, Lewicki P, Wu X, Arenas-Gallo C, Chuang D, et al. Incidence of kidney stones in the United States: the continuous national health and nutrition examination survey. *J Urol.* (2022) 207(4):851–6. doi: 10.1097/ju.00000000002331

27. Abufaraj M, Siyam A, Xu T, Imm K, Cao C, Waldoer T, et al. Association between body fat mass and kidney stones in US adults: analysis of the national health and nutrition examination survey 2011-2018. *Eur Urol Focus.* (2022) 8(2):580–7. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2021.03.010

28. Ye Z, Xiao H, Liu G, Qiao Y, Zhao Y, Ji Z, et al. Subcutaneous adipose tissue accumulation is an independent risk factor of urinary stone in young people. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2022) 13:865930. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.865930

29. Wu M, Liu M, Zhang Y, Wu J, Gao M, Huang F, et al. Serum HDL partially mediates the association between exposure to volatile organic compounds and kidney stones: A nationally representative cross-sectional study from NHANES. *Sci Total Environ.* (2024) 907:167915. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167915

30. Zhao H, Fang L, Chen Y, Ma Y, Xu S, Ni J, et al. Associations of exposure to heavy metal mixtures with kidney stone among U.S. adults: A cross-sectional study. *Environ Sci pollut Res Int.* (2023) 30(42):96591–603. doi: 10.1007/s11356-023-29318-7

31. Wang M, Jian Z, Ma Y, Jin X, Li H, Wang K. Depression increases the risk of kidney stone: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2018 and Mendelian randomization analysis. *J Affect Disord.* (2022) 312:17–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.06.008

32. Zhu Z, Liu M, Zhang Y, Wu J, Gao M, Lei T, et al. Risk factors for the comorbidity of osteoporosis/osteopenia and kidney stones: a cross-sectional study. *Arch Osteoporos.* (2023) 18(1):128. doi: 10.1007/s11657-023-01338-3

33. Wang Z, Zhao G, Cao Y, Gu T, Yang Q. Association between monocyte to highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and kidney stone: insights from NHANES. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2024) 15:1374376. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1374376

34. Abufaraj M, Xu T, Cao C, Waldhoer T, Seitz C, D'andrea D, et al. Prevalence and trends in kidney stone among adults in the USA: analyses of national health and nutrition examination survey 2007-2018 data. *Eur Urol Focus.* (2021) 7(6):1468–75. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2020.08.011

35. Ke R, He Y, Chen C. Association between oxidative balance score and kidney stone in United States adults: analysis from NHANES 2007-2018. *Front Physiol.* (2023) 14:1275750. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1275750

36. Chen CH, Lee JI, Jhan JH, Lee YC, Geng JH, Chen SC, et al. Secondhand smoke increases the risk of developing kidney stone disease. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11(1):17694. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-97254-y

37. Muschialli L, Mannath A, Moochhala SH, Shroff R, Ferraro PM. Epidemiological and biological associations between cardiovascular disease and kidney stone formation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2024) 34(3):559–68. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2023.09.011

38. Kim S, Chang Y, Jung HS, Hyun YY, Lee KB, Joo KJ, et al. Glycemic status, insulin resistance, and the risk of nephrolithiasis: A cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2020) 76(5):658–668.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.03.013

39. Genzen JR, Souers RJ, Pearson LN, Manthei DM, Chambliss AB, Shajani-Yi Z, et al. An update on reported adoption of 2021 CKD-EPI estimated glomerular filtration rate equations. *Clin Chem.* (2023) 69(10):1197–9. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/ hvad116

40. Mcevoy JW, Daya N, Rahman F, Hoogeveen RC, Blumenthal RS, Shah AM, et al. Association of isolated diastolic hypertension as defined by the 2017 ACC/AHA blood pressure guideline with incident cardiovascular outcomes. *Jama*. (2020) 323(4):329–38. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.21402

41. Mei Z, Addo OY, Jefferds ME, Sharma AJ, Flores-Ayala RC, Brittenham GM. Physiologically based serum ferritin thresholds for iron deficiency in children and non-pregnant women: a US National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) serial cross-sectional study. *Lancet Haematol.* (2021) 8(8):e572–82. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3026(21)00168-x

42. Wakabayashi I. Inverse association of light-to-moderate alcohol drinking with cardiometabolic index in men with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* (2018) 12 (6):1013–7. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2018.06.016

43. Sun Q, Ren Q, Du L, Chen S, Wu S, Zhang B, et al. Cardiometabolic Index (CMI), Lipid Accumulation Products (LAP), Waist Triglyceride Index (WTI) and the risk of acute pancreatitis: a prospective study in adults of North China. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2023) 22(1). doi: 10.1186/s12944-023-01948-3

44. Liu H, Ma Y, Shi L. Higher weight-adjusted waist index is associated with increased likelihood of kidney stones. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2023) 14:1234440. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1234440

45. Duan Q, Huang H, Zhang S, Wang Y, Lu D, Wan L, et al. Association between composite dietary antioxidant index and kidney stone prevalence in adults: data from

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES, 2007-2018). Front Nutr. (2024) 11:1389714. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1389714

46. Gao M, Liu M, Zhang Y, Tang L, Chen H, Zhu Z. The impact of anxiety on the risk of kidney stone disease: Insights into eGFR-mediated effects. *J Affect Disord*. (2024) 364:125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.08.061

47. Silveira Rossi JL, Barbalho SM, Reverete De Araujo R, Bechara MD, Sloan KP, Sloan LA. Metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular diseases: Going beyond traditional risk factors. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2022) 38(3):e3502. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3502

48. Zhu J, Chen A, Gao J, Zou M, Du J, Wu PY, et al. Diffusion-weighted, intravoxel incoherent motion, and diffusion kurtosis tensor MR imaging in chronic kidney diseases: Correlations with histology. *Magn Reson Imaging*. (2024) 106:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2023.07.002

49. Kang HW, Seo SP, Kim WT, Kim YJ, Yun SJ, Lee SC, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia is associated with increased risk for stone recurrence in patients with urolithiasis. *Urology*. (2014) 84(4):766–71. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014.06.013

50. De Boer IH, Zelnick LR, Ruzinski J, Friedenberg G, Duszlak J, Bubes VY, et al. Effect of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on kidney function in

patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized clinical trial. Jama. (2019) 322(19):1899-909. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.17380

51. Hung JA, Li CH, Geng JH, Wu DW, Chen SC. Dyslipidemia increases the risk of incident kidney stone disease in a large Taiwanese population follow-up study. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14(7). doi: 10.3390/nu14071339

52. Liu CJ, Huang HS. Statins significantly alter urinary stone-related urine biochemistry in calcium kidney stone patients with dyslipidemia. *Int J Urol.* (2020) 27(10):839–44. doi: 10.1111/iju.14312

53. Kang J, Sun Y, Deng Y, Liu Q, Li D, Liu Y, et al. Autophagy-endoplasmic reticulum stress inhibition mechanism of superoxide dismutase in the formation of calcium oxalate kidney stones. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2020), 121. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109649

54. Taguchi K, Okada A, Hamamoto S, Iwatsuki S, Naiki T, Ando R, et al. Proinflammatory and metabolic changes facilitate renal crystal deposition in an obese mouse model of metabolic syndrome. *J Urol.* (2015) 194(6):1787–96. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2015.07.083

55. Sun Y, Zhou Q, Zheng J. Nephrotoxic metals of cadmium, lead, mercury and arsenic and the odds of kidney stones in adults: An exposure-response analysis of NHANES 2007-2016. *Environ Int.* (2019) 132:105115. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.105115