#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian Ma, Harbin Medical University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Weijuan Gong Yangzhou University, China Jing Chen, Merck, United States Yanqing Jiang, University of Pennsylvania, United States Hongpan Zhang, Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, China

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE Xingchi Jiang [jxcdmu@163.com](mailto:jxcdmu@163.com) Jifeng Liu [jifeng0213@163.com](mailto:jifeng0213@163.com) Yunshu Zhang

[zys1986062186@163.com](mailto:zys1986062186@163.com) † These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 23 March 2024 ACCEPTED 04 November 2024 PUBLISHED 20 November 2024

#### CITATION

Zhong L, Yang X, Shang Y, Yang Y, Li J, Liu S, Zhang Y, Liu J and Jiang X (2024) Exploring the pathogenesis, biomarkers, and potential drugs for type 2 diabetes mellitus and acute pancreatitis through a comprehensive bioinformatic analysis. Front. Endocrinol. 15:1405726. [doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhong, Yang, Shang, Yang, Li, Liu, Zhang, Liu and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [Exploring the pathogenesis,](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full) [biomarkers, and potential drugs](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full) [for type 2 diabetes mellitus and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full) [acute pancreatitis through](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full) [a comprehensive](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full) [bioinformatic analysis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full)

Lei Zhong<sup>1†</sup>, Xi Yang<sup>2†</sup>, Yuxuan Shang<sup>2†</sup>, Yao Yang<sup>1</sup>, Junchen Li<sup>1</sup>, Shuo Liu<sup>3</sup>, Yunshu Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>, Jifeng Liu<sup>1\*</sup> and Xingchi Jiang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China, 2Department of Plastic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China, 3Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disease that accounts for > 90% of all diabetes cases. Acute pancreatitis (AP) can be triggered by various factors and is a potentially life-threatening condition. Although T2DM has been shown to have a close relationship with AP, the common mechanisms underlying the two conditions remain unclear.

Methods: We identified common differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in T2DM and AP and used functional enrichment analysis and Mendelian randomization to understand the underlying mechanisms. Subsequently, we used several machine learning algorithms to identify candidate biomarkers and construct a diagnostic nomogram for T2DM and AP. The diagnostic performance of the model was evaluated using ROC, calibration, and DCA curves. Furthermore, we investigated the potential roles of core genes in T2DM and AP using GSEA, xCell, and singlecell atlas and by constructing a ceRNA network. Finally, we identified potential small-molecule compounds with therapeutic effects on T2DM and AP using the CMap database and molecular docking.

Results: A total of 26 DEGs, with 14 upregulated and 12 downregulated genes, were common between T2DM and AP. According to functional and DisGeNET enrichment analysis, these DEGs were mainly enriched in immune effector processes, blood vessel development, dyslipidemia, and hyperlipidemia. Mendelian randomization analyses further suggested that lipids may be a potential link between AP and T2DM. Machine learning algorithms revealed ARHGEF9 and SLPI as common genes associated with the two diseases. ROC, calibration, and DCA curves showed that the two-gene model had good diagnostic efficacy. Additionally, the two genes were found to be closely associated with immune cell infiltration. Finally, imatinib was identified as a potential compound for the treatment of T2DM and AP.

Conclusion: This study suggests that abnormal lipid metabolism is a potential crosstalk mechanism between T2DM and AP. In addition, we established a twogene model for the clinical diagnosis of T2DM and AP and identified imatinib as a potential therapeutic agent for both diseases.

KEYWORDS

acute pancreatitis, type 2 diabetes mellitus, molecular docking, machine learning, biomarker

# 1 Introduction

The incidence of acute pancreatitis (AP), an inflammatory disease, varies based on the geographic location, with the annual incidence being approximately 34 cases per 100,000 individuals in the general population worldwide [\(1](#page-14-0)). Patients with moderately severe or severe AP have pancreatic necrosis or pancreas failure and an extended hospital stay ([2](#page-14-0)). Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency, walled-off pancreatic necrosis, and recurring AP are long-term sequelae in approximately 20% of the patients ([3](#page-14-0), [4](#page-14-0)). Although the overall morbidity and mortality rates of AP have decreased as a result of advances in aggressive fluid resuscitation, supportive treatment, and early risk stratification, the mechanisms and risk factors that underlie these improvements and affect intermediate and long-term outcomes remain unknown ([5\)](#page-14-0). Therefore, a deeper understanding of the pathological mechanisms underlying AP is necessary to identify novel biomarkers for early diagnosis and treatment.

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common metabolic condition worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), an estimated 422 million people have DM worldwide, with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) being the most prevalent [\(6](#page-14-0)). Studies have shown that pancreatic fat deposition can lead to long-term exposure of pancreatic beta cells to high levels of fatty acids and triglycerides, resulting in abnormal insulin secretion signaling and an increased risk of T2DM [\(7\)](#page-14-0). A meta-analysis of seven observational studies showed that individuals with T2DM had an 84% higher risk of developing AP than those without DM ([8\)](#page-14-0). In addition, two recent meta-analyses have shown that approximately 23% of patients with AP may develop DM within 3 years of discharge, highlighting the high incidence rate and chronic nature of AP-related DM [\(9](#page-14-0), [10](#page-14-0)). These findings indicate the presence of a common pathological mechanism between AP and T2DM.

Although earlier studies have provided valuable insights into the relationship between T2DM and AP, more comprehensive studies are required to address existing knowledge gaps. In recent years, integrated bioinformatic analysis has been used to identify disease-associated novel genes that may be used as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Unknown exists regarding the shared diagnosis and related genes between T2DM and AP. Therefore, this study aimed to identify biomarkers for AP and T2DM through bioinformatic analysis. The findings may provide a theoretical foundation for developing novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for the two conditions.

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Data collection from GEO databases

The datasets analyzed in this study were obtained from the GEO database, which included T2DM datasets GSE20966 ([11](#page-14-0)), GSE25724 [\(12\)](#page-14-0), and one AP dataset, GSE194331 [\(13\)](#page-14-0). The T2DM datasets GSE20966 and GSE25724 were merged for analysis by the "sva" package  $(14)$  $(14)$  $(14)$ . The  $\log 2$  Fold change  $(FC)$   $> 0.585$  and adjust  $p$  <0.05 were set as the criteria for identifying differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of AP and T2DM using the "limma" package [\(15](#page-14-0)). Finally, the Venn map was applied to select their common genes.

#### 2.2 Analysis of functional enrichment

The STRING database (<https://cn.string-db.org/>) was used to investigate protein interactions, with the validity of such interactions being determined by a composite score greater than 0.15 [\(16](#page-14-0)). Meanwhile, GeneMANIA database [\(https://genemania.](https://genemania.org/) [org/\)](https://genemania.org/) prioritized genes for functional tests [\(17\)](#page-14-0). Functional enrichment studies were performed by Metascape database (<https://metascape.org/>), which was designed to provide an extensive resource for annotating and analyzing gene lists to investigate the biological roles and routes implicated in certain genes [\(18](#page-14-0)).

#### 2.3 Mendelian randomization analysis

Mendelian randomization analysis was conducted using the R package "TwoSampleMR (v.0.5.6)" and strictly adhered to the three fundamental assumptions of Mendelian randomization (MR): (I) There exists a strong association between the instrumental variables (IVs) and the exposure; (II) The IVs are not associated with potential confounding factors; (III) The IVs influence the outcome solely through the exposure. The inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method was employed as the primary analytical approach [\(19\)](#page-14-0), complemented by four additional methods. The datasets utilized in this study were sourced from the IEU OPEN GWAS ([https://](https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) [gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/](https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/)). Specifically, the GWAS for HDL-C included 94,595 participants and a total of 2,418,527 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs); the GWAS for LDL-C comprised 173,082 participants and 2,437,752 SNPs; the GWAS for triglycerides (TG) involved 177,861 participants and 2,439,433 SNPs; and the GWAS for apolipoprotein A-I (APOA-I) encompassed 393,193 participants and 12,321,875 SNPs. The threshold for instrumental variable selection was set at  $p$ <5E-08,  $r^2$ <0.001, with a clumping distance of 10,000 kb. Heterogeneity testing was performed using the "mr\_heterogeneity" function, and horizontal pleiotropy testing was conducted via the "mr\_pleiotropy\_test" function and "MR-PRESSO" R package ([19](#page-14-0)), and sensitivity analysis was executed using the "leave-one-out" method.

#### 2.4 Using machine learning to screen characteristic genes

LASSO, RF, and SVM-RFE were performed to filter genes in both AP and T2DM, respectively. To mitigate overfitting among genes, LASSO regression analysis was applied, followed by cross-validation by the package "glmnet" ([20](#page-14-0), [21\)](#page-14-0). The "Random Forest" R software was used to conduct RF ([22](#page-14-0)). Genes with importance > 2 in AP samples and importance > 1 in T2DM samples were selected as feature genes. In addition, SVM-RFE was performed using the R package "e1071", aiming to optimize the learning performance by minimizing the empirical error ([23\)](#page-15-0). The hub genes for the following studies were then selected from the intersection of the three subsets. The intersection of the core genes of AP and T2DM was used as the biomarkers for the two diseases. The expression levels of the core genes in the disease and control groups are shown in boxplots (wilcox test).

## 2.5 Construction of the nomogram

The ROC curves were computed using the "pROC" program in order to assess the predictability of the model [\(24\)](#page-15-0). Using the "rms" package, a nomogram incorporating model genes was created [\(25\)](#page-15-0). In addition, the model's predicted accuracy was assessed using the DCA and calibration curves ([26\)](#page-15-0).

#### 2.6 Validation of core genes

We downloaded the human T2DM dataset GSE95849 [\(27\)](#page-15-0) to further validate the expression levels of core genes in T2DM. The wilcox test was used to compare the difference in expression of core genes between disease and control groups, with  $p < 0.05$  considered statistically significant. However, due to the lack of another human AP dataset in the public database, we chose mouse dataset GSE77983 ([28](#page-15-0)) for validation. We used the GEO2R (based on the R package "limma") online tool of the GEO database to analyze GSE77983 to verify the differential expression of core genes between the AP and control groups.

## 2.7 Cell culture and quantitative real-time PCR analysis

The mouse pancreatic acinar cell line 266-6 (ATCC; VA, USA), were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. To induce pancreatitis in vitro, the 266-6 cells were stimulated with  $250 \mu M$  Sodium taurocholate (STC) ([29](#page-15-0)). Twenty-four hours later, cells were collected for the following qPCR analysis.

Total RNA from cells was extracted using Trizol reagent (Accurate Biology, Hunan), and the circRNAs were reversely transcribed using the Evo M-MLV for qPCR (Accurate Biology, Hunan). Then, cDNAs were synthesized and quantified via SYBR Green Pro Taq HS (Accurate Biology, Hunan) under the following cycle scheme: 95°C for 30s, then 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 30 s for 40 cycles. The RNA expression levels were analyzed and quantified using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method, and the expression levels of the target genes were compared between the two groups using the t-test. The following primers were employed: IL-6 primer (forward: 5'-GAG AGGAGACTTCACAGAGGATACC-3'; reverse: 5'-TCATTTCCA CGATTTCCCAGAGAAC-3'), IL-1b primer (forward: 5'-AGG TCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG-3'; reverse: 5'-TGAGAAGAGG CTGAGACATAGGC-3'), SLPI primer (forward: 5'-GAAGC CACAATGCCGTACTGAC-3'; reverse: 5'-GGAACAGGATTC ACGCACTTGG-3'), ARHGEF9 primer (forward: 5'-GAAGCA GTGCCGAAAGAGAAGG-3'; reverse: 5'-ACGAAGCCCATCTG AAATCTGTATATG-3'), and Actin primer (forward: 5'-ACTGC CGCATCCTCTTCCTC-3'; reverse: 5'-AACCGCTCGTTGCCA ATAGTG-3').

## 2.8 Potential functions of model genes in AP and T2DM

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) is utilized to elucidate the molecular mechanisms between high- and low-core gene expression samples, and results with a  $p$  value < 0.05 were considered significant [\(30\)](#page-15-0). Meanwhile, the xCell method was also used to assess the correlation between significantly different enriched immune cell types and characteristic genes, which was considered to be correlated at  $p < 0.05$ .

#### 2.9 Construction of ceRNA network

The TargetScan, miRDB, and miRanda databases were used to anticipate miRNA-mRNA pairs in order to identify the ceRNA network that might be influenced by model genes. Genes that were simultaneously listed in three databases were the only ones that were thought to be possible mRNA targets for further research. To predict miRNA-lncRNA pairs, the spongeScan database was used. At last, the ceRNA network could be seen using Cytoscape [\(31](#page-15-0)). Meanwhile, the Human Protein Atlas (HPA: <https://www.proteinatlas.org/>) was utilized to examine the model genes' immunofluorescence and single-cell type atlases.

#### 2.10 Identifying potential small molecule compounds for the treatment of AP and T2DM

The CMap database ([https://clue.io/\)](https://clue.io/) can link diseases, genes and drugs based on similar or opposite gene expression profiles ([32](#page-15-0)). Commonly upregulated DEGs in AP and T2DM were entered into the CMap database to identify potential small molecule compounds for the treatment of AP and T2DM. Then, the protein structures of the feature genes were obtained from the PDB database, and the AutoDock tool was applied to calculate the protein hydrogenation and charge. PubChemdatabase to download the chemical structure of the drug's active ingredient. The AutoDock tool is used to check the charge balance and rotatable bonds of tiny molecules. To generate docking energy, AutoDock Vina runs docking simulations. Finally, PyMol software was used to check the docking complex.

# 3 Results

#### 3.1 Identification and analysis of DEGs in AP and T2DM

A flowchart demonstrating the study protocol is presented in Figure 1. Initially, we merged two T2DM datasets and corrected batch effects using the "sva" software package. As shown in [Figures 2A,](#page-4-0) B, the differences between batches were effectively eliminated after data normalization, indicating that the two datasets could be merged. The volcano map presented in [Figures 2C,](#page-4-0) D shows DEGs in T2DM and AP ( $\vert \vert \vert$ og2 FC $\vert > 0.585$  and adjusted  $p < 0.05$ ).

Venn diagram, the up-regulated and down-regulated genes of the two datasets were crossed, respectively, and 14 up-regulated DEGs and 12 down-regulated DEGs were obtained [\(Figures 2E,](#page-4-0) F). [Figure 2G](#page-4-0) shows the locations of these common DEGs on chromosomes.

#### 3.2 PPI network and functional enrichment analyses of DEGs

The 26 common DEGs were imported into the STRING database to construct a PPI network ([Figure 3A\)](#page-5-0). Subsequently, GeneMANIA was then used to further analyze DEGs for co-localization, coexpression, and shared protein domains [\(Figure 3B](#page-5-0)). The genes in the PPI network were mainly enriched in glycosyl compound metabolism, insulin-like growth factor binding, and complement activation. Furthermore, we used Metascape to determine biological processes and pathways related to the DEGs. According to the results, the DEGs were involved in immune effector processes and blood vessel development ([Figure 3C\)](#page-5-0) and were closely related to conditions such as hypertriglyceridemia and dyslipidemia ([Figure 3D](#page-5-0)).

## 3.3 Mendelian randomization analysis

MR analysis was performed to assess the potential relationship between dyslipidemia and T2DM or AP ([Supplementary Figures](#page-14-0) [S1A,](#page-14-0) B). IVW analysis showed that HDL-C and Apoa-I exhibited significant protective effects against both T2DM ( $P = 1.57E-09$  and 0.0007, respectively) and AP ( $P = 0.0269$  and 0.0232, respectively). Notably, TG ( $P = 0.0001$ ) were identified as a significant risk factor for T2DM. These results remained consistent in most models,



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

demonstrating their robustness. However, some degree of heterogeneity was observed ([Supplementary Table S1](#page-14-0)). To address this issue, we used a random-effect model to minimize potential biases and errors. In addition, we used the MR-PRESSO method to identify and eliminate potential outliers, ensuring non-pleiotropy and the accuracy of the results. Finally, we validated the sensitivity of the results using the leave-one-out test [\(Supplementary Figures](#page-14-0) [S1C](#page-14-0)–G). Altogether, the results suggested that dyslipidemia may be a common underlying mechanism of T2DM and AP.

## 3.4 Selection of characteristic genes using machine learning algorithms

To identify key genes associated with the development of both AP and T2DM, we constructed three machine-learning models based on the 26 DEGs. In AP samples, ten key genes were identified

using the LASSO regression [\(Figures 4A,](#page-6-0) B). Seventeen genes extracted from these genes by the SVM-RFE were identified as the best genes for AP patients ([Figures 4C,](#page-6-0) D). According to the RF, six genes with importance greater than 2 were included in the subsequent analysis ([Figures 4E,](#page-6-0) F). Then, five AP characteristic genes were screened out by the Venn diagram ([Figure 4G\)](#page-6-0).

Similarly, the LASSO regression has shown eleven genes as potential indicators for diagnosis ([Figures 5A,](#page-7-0) B). Using the SVM-RFE, seven genes were identified from these genes as potential biomarkers [\(Figures 5C,](#page-7-0) D). Eight genes with importance higher than 1 were included in the subsequent analysis based on the RF ([Figures 5E,](#page-7-0) F). A Venn diagram was constructed to intersect these three gene sets, resulting in the identification of 4 key genes associated with T2DM ([Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0). Among the key genes identified in AP and T2DM, we found two common genes, SLPI and ARHGEF9, at the intersection of the Venn diagrams ([Supplementary Figure S2\)](#page-14-0). These two genes may serve as a link

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

between AP and T2DM, playing a key role in the development of both conditions.

curve indicates that our nomogram also has a good predictive value for T2DM ([Figure 7G\)](#page-9-0).

## 3.5 Development of a diagnostic model and assessment of its predictive efficacy

A box plot was constructed to demonstrate the expression levels of the two key genes in the disease and control groups ([Figures 6A,](#page-8-0) [B\)](#page-8-0). Specifically, the expression of ARHGEF9 was significantly lower in the AP group than in the control group, whereas the expression of SLPI showed the opposite trend ( $p < 0.001$ ). As shown in the ROC curve in [Figure 6C,](#page-8-0) both SLPI and ARHGEF9 had high diagnostic value in AP. The AUC value of the 2-gene prediction model was 0.928, which demonstrated the high diagnostic value of both genes ([Figure 6D](#page-8-0)). In addition, the DCA curve of the two genes showed a better overall clinical benefit than if none or all of the tests were used for diagnosing AP ([Figure 6E\)](#page-8-0). Furthermore, we developed a nomogram to assess the possible risks associated with AP ([Figure 6F\)](#page-8-0). [Figure 6G](#page-8-0) shows that there was a minimal difference between the actual and anticipated risk for AP as indicated by the calibration curve.

The expression levels of ARHGEF9 and SLPI in the T2DM group were consistent with those in the AP group [\(Figures 7A,](#page-9-0) B). The ROC curve showed that both SLPI and ARHGEF9 had high diagnostic value in T2DM ([Figure 7C\)](#page-9-0). The AUC value of the 2 gene model was 0.985, which emphasized the diagnostic value of the two genes ([Figure 7D](#page-9-0)). Furthermore, the DCA curve of the two genes ([Figure 7E](#page-9-0)) showed a better overall clinical benefit than if all or none of the tests were used for diagnosing T2DM. Subsequently, a nomogram to gauge the possible harm that T2DM individuals could cause [\(Figure 7F\)](#page-9-0). The calibration

# 3.6 Validation of the two key genes associated with T2DM and AP

The GSE95849 dataset was used to validate the expression levels of SLPI and ARHGEF9 in T2DM. The expression of SLPI was higher in the T2DM group than in the control group, whereas that of ARHGEF9 was significantly lower in the T2DM group than in the control group ([Supplementary Figures S3A,](#page-14-0) B). These results were consistent with those observed in the training set. Owing to the lack of another suitable human AP dataset, we analyzed the mouse AP dataset GSE77983 using the GEO2R tool to validate the expression levels of the two key genes in AP. As shown in [Supplementary Figures S3C,](#page-14-0) D, the expression of ARHGEF9 was lower in the AP group than in the control group, whereas that of SLPI showed the opposite trend ( $p < 0.05$ ). These results were consistent with those observed in the training set.

To additionally verify the expression levels of the two genes in AP, we developed a cell model of pancreatitis by stimulating the mouse pancreatic acinar cell line 266-6 with STC. As shown in [Supplementary Figure S3E,](#page-14-0) the expression levels of IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$ were significantly higher in the model group than in the control group, indicating that the AP model was successfully established. Subsequently, we evaluated the expression levels of SLPI and ARHGEF9 in the cells. The results showed that SLPI was upregulated in the AP group ( $p < 0.05$ ), which is consistent with the results observed in the training set. However, no significant difference in ARHGEF9 expression was observed between the two groups [\(Supplementary Figure S3F\)](#page-14-0).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

genes and assessing partial likelihood deviance; (C, D) Seventeen characteristic genes found by SVM-RFE; (E, F) RF ranked the importance of all genes to get 6 genes with scores for importance greater than 2; (G) The Venn diagram exhibiting the intersection of three machine learning models.

## 3.7 Enrichment analysis of the two key genes

GSEA was used to determine the biological functions of the two key genes in AP and T2DM. According to the results of GSEA in the AP group, ARHGEF9 was significantly downregulated in pathways related to DNA regulation and metabolism ([Figures 8A,](#page-10-0) [B](#page-10-0)), whereas SLPI was significantly upregulated in pathways related to the regulation of protein response and localization ([Figures 8C,](#page-10-0) D). Subsequently, we investigated the relationship between the two key genes and the immune environment of AP. The results showed that ARHGEF9 expression was significantly negatively correlated with the proportions of resting NK T cells, endothelial cells, and aDCs, whereas SLPI expression was significantly positively correlated with the proportions of epithelial cells, M1 and M2 macrophages, endothelial cells, and basophils ([Figure 8E\)](#page-10-0).

According to the results of GSEA in the T2DM group, ARHGEF9 was significantly downregulated in pathways related to amino acid metabolism and regulation ([Figures 9A,](#page-11-0) B), whereas SLPI was significantly upregulated in pathways related to hormone regulation and cell interactions ([Figures 9C,](#page-11-0) D). With regard to the relationship between the two genes and the immune environment of T2DM, ARHGEF9 expression was negatively correlated with the

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

proportions of resting NK T cells, endothelial cells, aDCs, and mesangial cells, whereas SLPI expression was positively correlated with the proportions of epithelial cells, M1 macrophages, mast cells, and basophils [\(Figure 9E](#page-11-0)).

#### 3.8 ceRNA networks, single-cell maps, and immunofluorescence analysis

SLPI- and ARHGEF9-based ceRNA networks were separately constructed using various public databases. Eventually, 14 objective miRNAs and 47 objective lncRNAs interacting with ARHGEF9 ([Figure 10A\)](#page-12-0) and 1 objective miRNA and 5 objective lncRNAs interacting with SLPI [\(Figure 10B](#page-12-0)) were identified. The ceRNA networks constructed based on these miRNAs and lncRNAs revealed transcriptional regulatory mechanisms for the two genes. Furthermore, SLPI was found to be distributed primarily in exocrine gland cells and endothelial cells, whereas ARHGEF9 was found to be distributed primarily in duct cells [\(Figures 10C,](#page-12-0) D). With regard to their locations in cells, SLPI was detected in mitochondria, whereas ARHGEF9 was detected in mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum ([Figures 10E,](#page-12-0) F).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

samples, \*\*\*P < 0.001; (C) ROC curve of diagnostic performance of ARHGEF9 and SLPI for AP; (D) ROC curve of the two-gene model for AP; (E) DCA curve of the model; (F) Nomogram for forecasting AP risk; (G) The calibration curve of nomogram model prediction in AP.

#### 3.9 Identification of potential smallmolecule compounds for the treatment of AP and T2DM

To identify potential drugs for the treatment of AP and T2DM, we imported the 14 upregulated DEGs common between AP and T2DM into the CMAP database for analysis. The top 10 smallmolecule compounds are shown in [Table 1.](#page-13-0) Notably, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib had the highest negative connectivity score. Subsequently, we performed molecular docking of these 10 small-molecule compounds with SLPI and ARHGEF9 ([Supplementary Figures S4](#page-14-0), [S5](#page-14-0)). The minimum binding energies of all docked complexes are shown in [Table 1.](#page-13-0) The minimum binding energies of complexes were typically less than -6.0 kcal/mol, which indicated that both proteins had a good binding affinity for the 10 small-molecule compounds. In particular, the SLPI–imatinib (-9.1 kcal/mol) and ARHGEF9–imatinib (-10.4 kcal/mol) complexes had the lowest free binding energies. These results suggest that imatinib is a promising therapeutic agent for both AP and T2DM.

# 4 Discussion

AP is an acute inflammatory condition of the pancreas that can lead to various severe symptoms [\(33](#page-15-0)). This condition often presents with serious comorbidities and is associated with a high mortality rate ([34](#page-15-0), [35](#page-15-0)). Fu et al. ([36\)](#page-15-0) showed that the mortality rate of AP was 3.8%, whereas that of severe AP was 16.3%. Currently, gallstones, alcoholism, and dyslipidemia are considered to be the major predisposing factors for AP ([37](#page-15-0)). The pathological mechanisms underlying pancreatitis are complex owing to the wide range of potential etiological factors, including genetic, behavioral, and environmental factors, and the interactions between them [\(38\)](#page-15-0).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

normal samples, \*\*\*P < 0.001; (C) ROC curve of diagnostic performance of ARHGEF9 and SLPI for T2DM; (D) ROC curve of the two-gene model for T2DM; (E) DCA curve of the model; (F) Nomogram for forecasting T2DM risk; (G) The calibration curve of nomogram model prediction in T2DM.

Therefore, an in-depth understanding of the pathogenesis of AP and early diagnosis and treatment are keys to reducing the morbidity and mortality rates of AP.

According to a survey conducted by the International Diabetes Federation [\(39](#page-15-0)), the number of individuals with DM is projected to increase from 536.6 million in 2021 to 783.2 million by 2045 worldwide, making it one of the most prevalent endocrine illnesses. T2DM is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by insulin resistance and elevated blood glucose levels ([40](#page-15-0)). T2DM involves a number of complex pathophysiological mechanisms, and it has been found that high sugar intake and dysregulation of glucose and lipid metabolism may be a major contributing factor in the development of diabetes [\(41,](#page-15-0) [42](#page-15-0)). Notably, T2DM has similar pathogenic risk factors to AP such as hypertriglyceridemia and alcohol. T2DM has been shown to have a positive correlation with the risk of AP [\(43\)](#page-15-0). A recent prospective study showed that 3%, 7%, 9%, and 11% of participants developed DM at 6, 12, 18, and 24

months after an AP episode, respectively [\(44](#page-15-0)). Despite these findings, information regarding the exact time during which endocrine dysfunction occurs after AP is lacking. Some studies have shown complete resolution or notable improvements over time, whereas others have shown persistent endocrine dysfunction ([45](#page-15-0)–[47\)](#page-15-0). These findings suggest that dysregulation of glucose metabolism is common in patients with AP; however, it may be reversible. Moreover, according to an extensive cohort study, individuals with T2DM are more likely to develop AP than those without DM [\(48](#page-15-0)). An Asian population-based cohort study supported this finding, showing that individuals with DM had a 2-fold higher incidence of AP than those without DM ([49\)](#page-15-0). However, the mechanisms underlying the complex interplay between AP and T2DM remain unclear. In this study, we identified key genes associated with both AP and T2DM through bioinformatic analysis. The findings may improve early identification, treatment, and prevention of the two diseases.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Initially, we identified 26 common DEGs, including 14 upregulated and 12 downregulated genes, between AP and T2DM. To determine the potential mechanisms underlying the interaction between AP and T2DM, we used the Metascape database to perform functional and DisGeNET enrichment analyses of 26 DEGs. The results showed that the DEGs were involved in immune effector processes and blood vessel development and were closely related to hypertriglyceridemia and dyslipidemia, suggesting a relationship between lipid metabolism and the two diseases. In addition, subsequent MR analysis showed that dyslipidemia might serve as a link between AP and T2DM. AP is independently associated with hyperlipidemia, and hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis frequently presents with a severe course of illness [\(50](#page-15-0)–[52](#page-15-0)). A large prospective cohort study found that cumulative exposure to hypertriglyceridemia was significantly associated with an increased risk of T2DM ([53\)](#page-15-0). Overproduction of large triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and

impairment of catabolism are associated with insulin resistance, which contributes to hypertriglyceridemia frequently seen in patients with DM [\(54\)](#page-15-0). DM is the most common secondary factor contributing to hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis. Patients with untreated or poorly managed DM have higher triglyceride levels, which increase the risk of pancreatitis [\(55\)](#page-15-0). In a cohort study on patients with severe hypertriglyceridemia associated AP, 62% had T2DM, rising to 79% in patients with severe hypertriglyceridemia ([56](#page-15-0)). In addition, severe hypertriglyceridemia was specifically associated with DM treated with insulin, which suggested that advanced or uncontrolled DM was the primary metabolic cause of hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis. Moreover, lower triglyceride levels are thought to be associated with a lower incidence of pancreatitis [\(50\)](#page-15-0). On the contrary, hypertriglyceridemia and obesity increase the risk of developing T2DM and AP. Their existence before the onset of AP increases the likelihood of developing T2DM after AP and may

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

accelerate the onset of overt T2DM [\(57\)](#page-15-0). The findings of this study suggest that hypertriglyceridemia and dyslipidemia are key factors contributing to the development of AP and T2DM. Therefore, strategies aimed at preventing and treating dyslipidemia may help control or delay the development of AP and T2DM.

AP is diagnosed if at least two of the following three criteria are met: (1) typical abdominal pain; (2) serum lipase activity at least three times greater than the upper limit of normal; and (3) characteristic morphological findings on imaging [\(58\)](#page-15-0). The diagnostic criteria for DM are as follows: typical diabetic symptoms and random blood glucose levels of ≥11.1 mmol/L or fasting blood glucose levels of ≥7.0 mmol/L or 2-h blood glucose levels of ≥11.1 mmol/L on OGTT or HbA1c levels of ≥6.5% [\(59\)](#page-15-0). In the early stage of AP, abdominal pain is not evident and serum lipase levels often begin to increase after 24 hours of onset.

Consequently, the diagnosis is delayed and the prognosis is affected. Early diagnosis and assessment of patients with AP may play a role in improving the prognosis and facilitate the development of novel clinical treatments for AP. The diagnosis of DM often relies on already elevated blood glucose levels; therefore, recognizing the onset of the disease early, before the blood glucose level increases, is particularly important for controlling disease development. In this study, we identified two key genes associated with both AP and T2DM (SLPI and ARHGEF9) using several machine learning algorithms and developed a 2-gene diagnostic model. Subsequently, we used multiple analytical methods to evaluate the predictive performance of the diagnostic model in AP and T2DM. Specific expression patterns of ARHGEF9 and SLPI were observed in AP and T2DM, and both genes were found to have high diagnostic value in the two diseases. These findings highlight

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

network of SLPI; (C) The single-cell type atlases of ARHGEF9 in the pancreatic tissues; (D) The single-cell type atlases of SLPI in the pancreatic tissues; (E) The immunofluorescence of ARHGEF9 in cell line A-431, target protein (green), nucleus (blue), microtubules (red); (F) The immunofluorescence of SLPI in cell line SiHa, target protein (green), nucleus (blue), microtubules (red).

the genetic similarity between AP and T2DM. These results suggest that ARHGEF9 and SLPI are promising diagnostic biomarkers for AP and T2DM, which may facilitate early diagnosis and prompt treatment in clinical settings.

The SLPI gene is an important regulator of innate and acquired immunity and controls the growth of the gut microbiota ([60](#page-15-0)). The ARHGEF9 gene is involved in the growth and development of cranial nerves ([61](#page-15-0)) and has been shown to play a role in inhibiting

Rank	<b>CMap</b> name	Moa	Norm_cs	<b>FDR</b>	Free binding energy (kcal/mol)	
					<b>SLPI</b>	ARHGEF9
$\mathbf{1}$	imatinib	PDGFR inhibitor Bcr-Abl inhibitor KIT inhibitor	$-2.1003$	15.6536	$-9.1$	$-10.4$
2	procainamide	Sodium channel inhibitor	$-2.0882$	15.6536	$-5.3$	$-6.2$
3	simvastatin	HMGCR inhibitor	$-2.0699$	15.6536	$-6.5$	$-9.1$
$\overline{4}$	BIBX-1382	EGFR inhibitor Tyrosine kinase inhibitor	$-2.0666$	15.6536	$-6.9$	$-8.9$
5	physostigmine	Cholinesterase inhibitor Acetylcholinesterase inhibitor	$-2.051$	15.6536	$-6.2$	$-7.8$
6	carbamazepine	Carboxamide antiepileptic	$-2.0306$	15.6536	$-6.8$	$-9.5$
7	phentermine	Dopamine receptor antagonist Serotonin reuptake inhibitor	$-2.0163$	15.3525	$-4.7$	$-6.0$
8	<b>TCPOBOP</b>	CAR agonist	$-2.0096$	15.3525	$-6.3$	$-8.4$
9	rigosertib	Cell cycle inhibitor PLK inhibitor	$-2.0082$	15.3525	$-5.8$	$-7.5$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>TABLE 1 Potential treatment options for AP and T2DM analyzed by CMap and molecular docking.

the growth of both hepatocellular carcinoma ([62](#page-15-0)) and gastric cancer ([63](#page-15-0)) cells. However, the functions of ARHGEF9 and SLPI in AP and T2DM and the common mechanisms and pathways involved in the development of the two diseases remain unclear. In this study, we performed an in-depth analysis to investigate the potential roles and mechanisms of SLPI and ARHGEF9 in AP and T2DM. The results showed that in both AP and T2DM, ARHGEF9 was significantly downregulated in pathways related to DNA regulation, fatty acid metabolism, cytokine–cytokine receptor interactions, and chemokine signaling, whereas SLPI was significantly enriched in pathways related to the regulation of protein response and localization, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, and cytokine–cytokine receptor interactions. Regarding the relationship between the two genes and the immune environment of the two diseases, ARHGEF9 expression was significantly negatively correlated with the proportions of resting NK T cells, endothelial cells, and aDCs, whereas SLPI expression was significantly positively correlated with the proportions of epithelial cells, M1 macrophages, and basophils.

Finally, we found that SLPI and ARHGEF9 serve as potential therapeutic targets for AP and T2DM and that imatinib may inhibit disease progression by targeting these genes. Imatinib has been shown to delay the development of diabetes and induce remission of diabetes in non-obese diabetic mice ([64](#page-15-0)). The safety and efficacy of imatinib in the treatment of type 1 diabetes mellitus have been assessed in a clinical study. The findings indicated that participants in the imatinib group required less insulin and had lower HBA1c levels during treatment than those in the placebo group; however, these effects subsided after the treatment ended. Imatinib may improve peripheral insulin sensitivity and beta-cell activity, which may account for its metabolic effects [\(65\)](#page-15-0). Furthermore, inhibition of discoidin domain receptors by imatinib has been shown to prevent pancreatic fibrosis in a mouse model of chronic pancreatitis ([66](#page-15-0)). Therefore, imatinib is a promising drug for the treatment of AP and T2DM as well as to inhibit the correlation between the two diseases.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to elucidate the relationship between T2DM and AP and identify common genes involved in the development of both diseases through comprehensive bioinformatic analysis. However, this study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the limited dataset, small sample size, and lack of clinical information might not have adequately represented the characteristics of the target population. Therefore, large-sample multi-center prospective randomized controlled trials should be conducted to validate the predictive efficacy of the 2-gene diagnostic model developed in this study. Second, owing to the limitations of the datasets used in this study, we could not differentiate between patients with T2DM with or without AP and patients with AP with or without T2DM. Consequently, we could not assess the significance of the diagnostic model in predicting that patients with AP may have concurrent T2DM or patients with T2DM may have concurrent AP. Third, additional experiments are warranted to validate dyslipidemia as the link between AP and T2DM and to investigate the mechanisms of SLPI and ARHGEF9 in AP and T2DM and their relationship with dyslipidemia.

# 5 Conclusion

Our study identified dyslipidemia as a possible common mechanism of T2DM and AP and constructed a two-gene diagnostic model for early recognition of T2DM and AP through a series of machine learning approaches. Most importantly, we found that imatinib may be a potential treatment for T2DM and AP.

## Data availability statement

The datasets analyzed in this work may be found in the GEO database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds>) and the IEU OPEN GWAS [\(https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/](https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/)). Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>Author contributions

LZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. XY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. JCL: Writing – original draft. SL: Writing – original draft. YZ: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. JFL: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. XJ: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Acknowledgments

We thank Bullet Edits Limited for the linguistic editing and proofreading of the manuscript.

# References

1. Xiao AY, Tan ML, Wu LM, Asrani VM, Windsor JA, Yadav D, et al. Global incidence and mortality of pancreatic diseases: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression of population-based cohort studies. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2016) 1:45–55. doi: [10.1016/S2468-1253\(16\)30004-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(16)30004-8)

2. Lee PJ, Papachristou GI. New insights into acute pancreatitis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2019) 16:479–96. doi: [10.1038/s41575-019-0158-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0158-2)

3. Fonseca Sepulveda EV, Guerrero-Lozano R. Acute pancreatitis and recurrent acute pancreatitis: an exploration of clinical and etiologic factors and outcomes. J Pediatr (Rio J). (2019) 95:713–9. doi: [10.1016/j.jped.2018.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2018.06.011)

4. Tenner S, Vege SS, Sheth SG, Sauer B, Yang A, Conwell DL, et al. American college of gastroenterology guidelines: management of acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. (2024) 119:419–37. doi: [10.14309/ajg.0000000000002645](https://doi.org/10.14309/ajg.0000000000002645)

5. Boxhoorn L, Voermans RP, Bouwense SA, Bruno MJ, Verdonk RC, Boermeester MA, et al. Acute pancreatitis. Lancet. (2020) 396:726–34. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31310-6) [31310-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31310-6)

6. Gregg EW, Buckley J, Ali MK, Davies J, Flood D, Mehta R, et al. Improving health outcomes of people with diabetes: target setting for the WHO Global Diabetes Compact. Lancet. (2023) 401:1302–12. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)00001-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00001-6)

7. Singh RG, Nguyen NN, DeSouza SV, Pendharkar SA, Petrov MS. Comprehensive analysis of body composition and insulin traits associated with intra-pancreatic fat deposition in healthy individuals and people with new-onset prediabetes/diabetes after acute pancreatitis. Diabetes Obes Metab. (2019) 21:417–23. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.2019.21.issue-2) [dom.2019.21.issue-2](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.2019.21.issue-2)

8. Yang L, He Z, Tang X, Liu J. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and the risk of acute pancreatitis: a meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2013) 25:225-31. doi: [10.1097/MEG.0b013e32835af154](https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0b013e32835af154)

9. Das SL, Singh PP, Phillips AR, Murphy R, Windsor JA, Petrov MS. Newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus after acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut. (2014) 63:818–31. doi: [10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305062](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305062)

10. Zhi M, Zhu X, Lugea A, Waldron RT, Pandol SJ, Li L. Incidence of new onset diabetes mellitus secondary to acute pancreatitis: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Front Physiol. (2019) 10:637. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2019.00637](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00637)

11. Marselli L, Thorne J, Dahiya S, Sgroi DC, Sharma A, Bonner-Weir S, et al. Gene expression profiles of Beta-cell enriched tissue obtained by laser capture microdissection from subjects with type 2 diabetes. PloS One. (2010) 5:e11499. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0011499](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011499)

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full#supplementary-material) [1405726/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1405726/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 MR analysis results of HDL-C, LDL-C, TG, and APOA-1 on T2DM and AP.

12. Dominguez V, Raimondi C, Somanath S, Bugliani M, Loder MK, Edling CE, et al. Class II phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulates exocytosis of insulin granules in pancreatic beta cells. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:4216–25. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M110.200295](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.200295)

13. Nesvaderani M, Dhillon BK, Chew T, Tang B, Baghela A, Hancock RE, et al. Gene expression profiling: identification of novel pathways and potential biomarkers in severe acute pancreatitis. J Am Coll Surg. (2022) 234:803-15. doi: [10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/XCS.0000000000000115) [XCS.0000000000000115](https://doi.org/10.1097/XCS.0000000000000115)

14. Liu J, Li Y, Ma J, Wan X, Zhao M, Zhang Y, et al. Identification and immunological characterization of lipid metabolism-related molecular clusters in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Lipids Health Dis. (2023) 22:124. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-023-01878-0) [s12944-023-01878-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-023-01878-0)

15. Pan X, Liu J, Zhong L, Zhang Y, Liu C, Gao J, et al. Identification of lipid metabolism-related biomarkers for diagnosis and molecular classification of atherosclerosis. Lipids Health Dis. (2023) 22:96. doi: [10.1186/s12944-023-01864-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-023-01864-6)

16. Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, et al. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res. (2023) 51:D638–46. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkac1000](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1000)

17. Bai R, Yin P, Xing Z, Wu S, Zhang W, Ma X, et al. Investigation of GPR143 as a promising novel marker for the progression of skin cutaneous melanoma through bioinformatic analyses and cell experiments. Apoptosis. (2024) 29:372–92. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-023-01913-6) [s10495-023-01913-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-023-01913-6)

18. Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, et al. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat Commun. (2019) 10:1523. doi: [10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6)

19. Rasooly D, Patel CJ. Conducting a reproducible mendelian randomization analysis using the R analytic statistical environment. Curr Protoc Hum Genet. (2019) 101:e82. doi: [10.1002/cphg.v101.1](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphg.v101.1)

20. Bai J, Huang JH, Price CPE, Schauer JM, Suh LA, Harmon R, et al. Prognostic factors for polyp recurrence in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2022) 150:352–361.e7. doi: [10.1016/j.jaci.2022.02.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2022.02.029)

21. Blanco JL, Porto-Pazos AB, Pazos A, Fernandez-Lozano C. Prediction of high anti-angiogenic activity peptides in silico using a generalized linear model and feature selection. Sci Rep. (2018) 8:15688. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-33911-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33911-z)

22. Rigatti SJ. Random forest. J Insur Med. (2017) 47:31–9. doi: [10.17849/insm-47-](https://doi.org/10.17849/insm-47-01-31-39.1) [01-31-39.1](https://doi.org/10.17849/insm-47-01-31-39.1)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>23. Yang L, Pan X, Zhang Y, Zhao D, Wang L, Yuan G, et al. Bioinformatics analysis to screen for genes related to myocardial infarction. Front Genet. (2022) 13:990888. doi: [10.3389/fgene.2022.990888](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.990888)

24. Qin Q, Gu Z, Li F, Pan Y, Zhang T, Fang Y, et al. A diagnostic model for alzheimer's disease based on blood levels of autophagy-related genes. Front Aging Neurosci. (2022) 14:881890. doi: [10.3389/fnagi.2022.881890](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.881890)

25. Liu J, Yuan Q, Ren J, Li Y, Zhang Y, Shang D. Single-cell sequencing and bulk RNA sequencing reveal a cell differentiation-related multigene panel to predict the prognosis and immunotherapy response of hepatocellular carcinoma. Chin Med J (Engl). (2023) 136:485–7. doi: [10.1097/CM9.0000000000002393](https://doi.org/10.1097/CM9.0000000000002393)

26. Van Calster B, Wynants L, Verbeek JFM, Verbakel JY, Christodoulou E, Vickers AJ, et al. Reporting and interpreting decision curve analysis: A guide for investigators. Eur Urol. (2018) 74:796–804. doi: [10.1016/j.eururo.2018.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2018.08.038)

27. Luo L, Zhou W-H, Cai J-J, Feng M, Zhou M, Hu S-P, et al. Gene expression profiling identifies downregulation of the neurotrophin-MAPK signaling pathway in female diabetic peripheral neuropathy patients. J Diabetes Res. (2017) 2017:8103904. doi: [10.1155/2017/8103904](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8103904)

28. Hong X, Zhang J, Wu Q, Wang W, Ye AY, Song W, et al. Challenges in detecting pre-malignant pancreatic lesions during acute pancreatitis using a serum microRNA assay: a study based on KrasG12D transgenic mice. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:22700-10. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v7i16](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v7i16)

29. He Y, Hu C, Liu S, Xu M, Liang G, Du D, et al. Anti-inflammatory effects and molecular mechanisms of shenmai injection in treating acute pancreatitis: network pharmacology analysis and experimental verification. Drug Des Devel Ther. (2022) 16:2479–95. doi: [10.2147/DDDT.S364352](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S364352)

30. Geng R, Huang X, Li L, Guo X, Wang Q, Zheng Y, et al. Gene expression analysis in endometriosis: Immunopathology insights, transcription factors and therapeutic targets. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:1037504. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.1037504](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1037504)

31. Liu J, Zhong L, Zhang Y, Ma J, Xie T, Chen X, et al. Identification of novel biomarkers based on lipid metabolism-related molecular subtypes for moderately severe and severe acute pancreatitis. Lipids Health Dis. (2024) 23:1. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-023-01972-3) [s12944-023-01972-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-023-01972-3)

32. Subramanian A, Narayan R, Corsello SM, Peck DD, Natoli TE, Lu X, et al. A next generation connectivity map: L1000 platform and the first 1,000,000 profiles. Cell. (2017) 171:1437–1452.e17. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049)

33. Petrov MS, Yadav D. Global epidemiology and holistic prevention of pancreatitis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2019) 16:175–84. doi: [10.1038/s41575-018-0087-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-018-0087-5)

34. Lankisch PG, Apte M, Banks PA. Acute pancreatitis. Lancet. (2015) 386:85–96. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60649-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60649-8)

35. Guda NM, Muddana V, Whitcomb DC, Levy P, Garg P, Cote G, et al. Recurrent acute pancreatitis: international state-of-the-science conference with recommendations. Pancreas. (2018) 47:653–66. doi: [10.1097/MPA.0000000000001053](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0000000000001053)

36. Fu CY, Yeh CN, Hsu JT, Jan YY, Hwang TL. Timing of mortality in severe acute pancreatitis: experience from 643 patients. World J Gastroenterol. (2007) 13:1966-9. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v13.i13.1966](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v13.i13.1966)

37. Zerem E, Kurtcehajic A, Kunosic S, Zerem Malkocevic D, Zerem O. Current trends in acute pancreatitis: Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. World J Gastroenterol. (2023) 29:2747–63. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747)

38. Beyer G, Hoffmeister A, Lorenz P, Lynen P, Lerch MM, Mayerle J. Clinical practice guideline-acute and chronic pancreatitis. Dtsch Arztebl Int. (2022) 119:495– 501. doi: [10.3238/arztebl.m2022.0223](https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.m2022.0223)

39. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. (2022) 183:109119. doi: [10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119)

40. Demir S, Nawroth PP, Herzig S, Ekim Ustunel B. Emerging targets in type 2 diabetes and diabetic complications. Adv Sci (Weinh). (2021) 8:e2100275. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202100275) [advs.202100275](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202100275)

41. Ma X, Nan F, Liang H, Shu P, Fan X, Song X, et al. Excessive intake of sugar: An accomplice of inflammation. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:988481. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.988481) fi[mmu.2022.988481](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.988481)

42. Jiang S, Young JL, Wang K, Qian Y, Cai L. Diabetic−induced alterations in hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism: The role of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (Review). Mol Med Rep. (2020) 22:603–11. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2020.11175](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2020.11175)

43. Mederos MA, Reber HA, Girgis MD. Acute pancreatitis: A review. JAMA. (2021) 325:382–90. doi: [10.1001/jama.2020.20317](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.20317)

44. Bharmal SH, Cho J, Alarcon Ramos GC, Ko J, Stuart CE, Modesto AE, et al. Trajectories of glycaemia following acute pancreatitis: a prospective longitudinal cohort study with 24 months follow-up. J Gastroenterol. (2020) 55:775–88. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-020-01682-y) [s00535-020-01682-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-020-01682-y)

45. Nikkola J, Laukkarinen J, Lahtela J, Seppanen H, Jarvinen S, Nordback I, et al. The long-term prospective follow-up of pancreatic function after the first episode of

acute alcoholic pancreatitis: recurrence predisposes one to pancreatic dysfunction and pancreatogenic diabetes. J Clin Gastroenterol. (2017) 51:183–90. doi: [10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0000000000000564) [MCG.0000000000000564](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0000000000000564)

46. Shen HN, Yang CC, Chang YH, Lu CL, Li CY. Risk of diabetes mellitus after first-attack acute pancreatitis: A national population-based study. Am J Gastroenterol. (2015) 110:1698–706. doi: [10.1038/ajg.2015.356](https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2015.356)

47. Sliwinska-Mosson M, Bil-Lula I, Marek G. The cause and effect relationship of diabetes after acute pancreatitis. Biomedicines. (2023) 11:667. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11030667) [biomedicines11030667](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11030667)

48. Girman CJ, Kou TD, Cai B, Alexander CM, O'Neill EA, Williams-Herman DE, et al. Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus have higher risk for acute pancreatitis compared with those without diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. (2010) 12:766–71. doi: [10.1111/j.1463-1326.2010.01231.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2010.01231.x)

49. Lai SW, Muo CH, Liao KF, Sung FC, Chen PC. Risk of acute pancreatitis in type 2 diabetes and risk reduction on anti-diabetic drugs: a population-based cohort study in Taiwan. Am J Gastroenterol. (2011) 106:1697–704. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2011.155) [ajg.2011.155](https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2011.155)

50. Hernandez P, Passi N, Modarressi T, Kulkarni V, Soni M, Burke F, et al. Clinical management of hypertriglyceridemia in the prevention of cardiovascular disease and pancreatitis. Curr Atheroscler Rep. (2021) 23:72. doi: [10.1007/s11883-021-00962-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-021-00962-z)

51. Kiss L, Fur G, Pisipati S, Rajalingamgari P, Ewald N, Singh V, et al. Mechanisms linking hypertriglyceridemia to acute pancreatitis. A*cta Physiol (Oxf)*. (2023) 237:<br>e13916. doi: [10.1111/apha.v237.3](https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.v237.3)

52. Lin XY, Zeng Y, Zhang ZC, Lin ZH, Chen LC, Ye ZS. Incidence and clinical characteristics of hypertriglyceridemic acute pancreatitis: A retrospective single-center study. World J Gastroenterol. (2022) 28:3946–59. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v28.i29.3946](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i29.3946)

53. Lee M-K, Han K, Kim B, Kim J-D, Jung Kim M, Kim B, et al. Cumulative exposure to hypertriglyceridemia and risk of type 2 diabetes in young adults. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. (2024) 208:111109. doi: [10.1016/j.diabres.2024.111109](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2024.111109)

54. Hirano T. Pathophysiology of diabetic dyslipidemia. J Atheroscler Thromb. (2018) 25:771–82. doi: [10.5551/jat.RV17023](https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.RV17023)

55. Guo YY, Li HX, Zhang Y, He WH. Hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis: progress on disease mechanisms and treatment modalities. Discovery  $Med. (2019)$   $27:101-9.$ 

56. Zafrir B, Saliba W, Jubran A, Hijazi R, Shapira C. Severe hypertriglyceridemiarelated pancreatitis: characteristics and predictors of recurrence. Pancreas. (2019) 48:182–6. doi: [10.1097/MPA.0000000000001235](https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0000000000001235)

57. Tu J, Zhang J, Ke L, Yang Y, Yang Q, Lu G, et al. Endocrine and exocrine pancreatic insufficiency after acute pancreatitis: long-term follow-up study. BMC Gastroenterol. (2017) 17:114. doi: [10.1186/s12876-017-0663-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-017-0663-0)

58. Beyer G, Hoffmeister A, Lorenz P, Lynen P, Lerch MM, Mayerle J. Clinical practice guideline—Acute and chronic pancreatitis. Deutsches Arzteblatt Int. (2022) 119:495–501. doi: [10.3238/arztebl.m2022.0223](https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.m2022.0223)

59. Antar SA, Ashour NA, Sharaky M, Khattab M, Ashour NA, Zaid RT, et al. Diabetes mellitus: Classification, mediators, and complications; A gate to identify potential targets for the development of new effective treatments. BioMed Pharmacother. (2023) 168:115734. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115734](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115734)

60. Kwiecinska P, Grygier B, Morytko A, Sanecka-Duin A, Majchrzak-Gorecka M, Kwitniewski M, et al. Secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor regulates nerve reflexmediated skin barrier function in psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. (2022) 36:1266–74. doi: [10.1111/jdv.18065](https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.18065)

61. Yang H, Liao H, Gan S, Xiao T, Wu L. ARHGEF9 gene variant leads to developmental and epileptic encephalopathy: Genotypic phenotype analysis and treatment exploration. Mol Genet Genomic Med. (2022) 10:e1967. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.v10.7) [mgg3.v10.7](https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.v10.7)

62. Cai C, Xie X, Zhou J, Fang X, Wang F, Wang M. Identification of TAF1, SAT1, and ARHGEF9 as DNA methylation biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cell Physiol. (2020) 235:611–8. doi: [10.1002/jcp.v235.1](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.v235.1)

63. Ma X, Yan W, Xu P, Ma L, Zan Y, Huang L, et al. LncRNA-p21 suppresses cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in gastric cancer by sponging miR-514b-3p and upregulating ARHGEF9 expression. Biol Chem. (2022) 403:945–58. doi: [10.1515/hsz-](https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2022-0153)[2022-0153](https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2022-0153)

64. Louvet C, Szot GL, Lang J, Lee MR, Martinier N, Bollag G, et al. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors reverse type 1 diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2008) 105:18895–900. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0810246105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810246105)

65. Gitelman SE, Bundy BN, Ferrannini E, Lim N, Blanchfield JL, DiMeglio LA, et al. Imatinib therapy for patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. (2021) 9:502–14. doi: [10.1016/S2213-8587\(21\)00139-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00139-X)

66. Bansod S, Saifi MA, Godugu C. Inhibition of discoidin domain receptors by imatinib prevented pancreatic fibrosis demonstrated in experimental chronic pancreatitis model. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:12894. doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-92461-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92461-z)