#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Changhee Jung, University of Ulsan, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Timothy Prickett, University of Otago, New Zealand Giuseppe Mascia, University of Genoa, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yang Zou Sixyxyzy@163.com

RECEIVED 29 February 2024 ACCEPTED 28 May 2024 PUBLISHED 10 June 2024

#### CITATION

Yu M, Yang H, Kuang M, Qiu J, Yu C, Xie G, Sheng G and Zou Y (2024) Atherogenic index of plasma: a new indicator for assessing the short-term mortality of patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1393644. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1393644

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yu, Yang, Kuang, Qiu, Yu, Xie, Sheng and Zou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Atherogenic index of plasma: a new indicator for assessing the short-term mortality of patients with acute decompensated heart failure

Meng Yu<sup>1</sup>, Hongyi Yang<sup>2</sup>, Maobin Kuang<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Jiajun Qiu<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Changhui Yu<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Guobo Xie<sup>1</sup>, Guotai Sheng<sup>1</sup> and Yang Zou<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang Medical College, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Ultrasound, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China, <sup>3</sup>Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China, <sup>4</sup>Jiangxi Cardiovascular Research Institute, Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang Medical College, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

**Objective:** Arteriosclerosis is a primary causative factor in cardiovascular diseases. This study aims to explore the correlation between the atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) and the 30-day mortality rate in patients with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF).

**Methods:** A total of 1,248 ADHF patients recruited from the Jiangxi-Acute Decompensated Heart Failure1 (JX-ADHF1) cohort between 2019 and 2022 were selected for this study. The primary outcome was the 30-day mortality rate. Multivariable Cox regression, restricted cubic splines (RCS), and stratified analyses were utilized to assess the relationship between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients. Mediation models were employed for exploratory analysis of the roles of inflammation, oxidative stress, and nutrition in the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients.

**Results:** During the 30-day follow-up, 42 (3.37%) of the ADHF patients died. The mortality rates corresponding to the quartiles of AIP were as follows: Q1: 1.28%, Q2: 2.88%, Q3: 2.88%, Q4: 6.41%. The multivariable Cox regression revealed a positive correlation between high AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients [Hazard ratio (HR) 3.94, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.08–14.28], independent of age, gender, heart failure type, cardiac function classification, and comorbidities. It is important to note that there was a U-shaped curve association between AIP (<0.24) and the 30-day mortality rate before the fourth quartile, with the lowest 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients around an AIP of -0.1. Furthermore, mediation analysis suggested significant mediating effects of inflammation and nutrition on the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients related to AIP, with inflammation accounting for approximately 24.29% and nutrition for about 8.16% of the mediation effect.

**Conclusion:** This retrospective cohort analysis reveals for the first time the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients.

According to our findings, maintaining an AIP around -0.1 in ADHF patients could be crucial for improving poor prognoses from a medical perspective. Additionally, for ADHF patients with high AIP, it is important to assess and, if necessary, enhance nutritional support and anti-inflammatory treatment.

KEYWORDS

arteriosclerosis, atherogenic index of plasma, acute decompensated heart failure, poor prognoses, AIP, ADHF

## Introduction

# Methods

## ADHF is characterized by new-onset or worsening symptoms and signs of severe cardiac functional or structural abnormalities, necessitating urgent hospitalization (1). Despite significant advancements in heart failure medications, assistive devices, and therapeutic approaches over the past few decades (2–7), the recurrent hospitalization and mortality risks remain notably high for ADHF patients (8–11). Therefore, early and effective risk stratification using simple factors could be crucial in improving the prognosis for hospitalized ADHF patients.

Arteriosclerosis is a major cause of various cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases such as coronary heart disease, heart failure, and stroke (12-14). Early identification of arteriosclerosis is vital in reducing the burden of these diseases (11-15). Clinical follow-up studies have confirmed the importance of assessing arteriosclerosis for risk stratification in heart failure, which includes using measures like carotid intima-media thickness as a marker of carotid arteriosclerosis (16, 17), the ankle-brachial index for peripheral artery disease (18, 19), and coronary artery calcification scoring as a sign of coronary arteriosclerosis (20, 21). The AIP is a novel and simple biological marker for assessing arteriosclerosis, developed by Professors Dobiásová M and Frohlich J, calculated from triglycerides (TG) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels (22). Dobiásová M and colleagues, in their evaluation across 35 cohorts with varying risks of arteriosclerosis, found that the AIP measurements closely matched the values of lipoprotein particle size and the fractional esterification rate of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and were directly related to arteriosclerosis risk. Hence, they recommended AIP as an assessment parameter for arteriosclerosis. This recommendation has been validated in numerous subsequent clinical cohorts (23-27), where researchers have highlighted the significance of AIP in assessing cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases and their adverse outcomes. However, the role of AIP in the prognosis of ADHF patients remains unclear. To address this question, our current study aims to analyze the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, exploring key mediating pathways that contribute to this association, through the JX-ADHF1 cohort.

# Subject selection

The JX-ADHF1 study is a retrospective cohort study initiated by medical professionals. Its primary objective is to establish a highquality cohort of ADHF patients, effectively utilizing clinical records during hospitalization to explore new methods for early risk stratification and improve the prognosis of ADHF patients. Specifically, the JX-ADHF1 study consecutively included 1,790 ADHF patients admitted to Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital from January 2019 to December 2022. The diagnosis of ADHF was based on the latest European Society of Cardiology guidelines for acute and chronic heart failure available at the time of admission. The exclusion criteria for the study population were as follows: (i) 23 subjects with liver cirrhosis and 99 subjects with stage 5 chronic kidney disease or a history of hemodialysis were excluded due to the potential adverse impact of non-heart failure related fluid retention on the study factors and prognosis. (ii) 42 subjects who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention within the last three months were excluded due to the significant impact of reperfusion therapy on short-term prognosis. (iii) 73 subjects with malignant tumors were excluded due to their potentially life-limiting prognosis impacting the study results. (iv) 63 patients with pacemakers were excluded due to expected autonomic regulatory deficits. (v) 12 minors and 1 pregnant woman were also excluded. Finally, for the current study, 229 subjects with missing baseline AIP information were excluded. Ultimately, the current study evaluated 1,248 ADHF patients, and Figure 1 depicts the detailed screening process for the entire study population.

## Ethics and informed consent

The JX-ADHF1 study data, owned by Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital, is accessible to researchers who have signed a data use agreement. The JX-ADHF1 study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital (IRB: 2024–01), and consent for data use was obtained from the subjects and their families. The research dataset anonymized



personal identifiers with identifiable information, adhering to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and reported results according to the STROBE guidelines Supplementary Text 1.

# Data collection

Demographic (gender and age) and clinical data [comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, cerebral infarction, coronary heart disease), New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification at admission, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP), echocardiogram results at admission, and blood sample laboratory parameters] of the subjects at baseline were independently collected and cross-checked by two trained researchers. The blood pressure measurements recorded in the current analysis were the first measurements after admission, taken in a calm environment or bedside using an Omron automatic sphygmomanometer (HBP-1300). Comorbidities were determined based on patient self-report, ongoing medication treatment, or records in the patient's medical history.

Laboratory parameters were measured within 24 hours of admission at the Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital laboratory center by professional medical laboratory personnel using automatic analyzers. The biochemical indicators measured included albumin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), creatinine (Cr), uric acid (UA), total cholesterol (TC), TG, lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and HDL-C, routine blood parameters [white blood cell count (WBC), red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin (HGB), platelet count (PLT)], and the cardiac function indicator N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). It is important to note that lipid and liver enzyme-related indicators were measured from venous blood samples taken on an empty stomach at admission or the next morning after admission.

# Calculation of AIP

AIP = log10 (TG/HDL-C) (22).

## Study outcome

The primary outcome of the study is the all-cause 30-day mortality rate. The start of the follow-up for all ADHF patients is set at the time of admission, and their 30-day survival status is obtained by trained medical workers through text messages, phone calls, and face-to-face follow-ups in outpatient and inpatient settings.

## Statistical analysis

Data analysis in this study is performed using R language version 4.2.1 and Empower(R) version 2.20 statistical software. Baseline characteristics of the study population were described as counts (%), mean (standard deviation), or median (interquartile range) based on the type and distribution of variables. Differences

between groups were compared using t-tests, one-way ANOVA, and non-parametric tests, with a two-sided P < 0.05 set as the threshold for statistical significance.

Kaplan-Meier curve was used to depict the 30-day survival rates of ADHF patients. Cox regression models were constructed to test the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, in which the variance inflation factors of all covariates were considered in the adjustment of variables (28), and evaluated the suitability of the Cox regression model using Schoenfeld residuals to assess the proportional hazards assumption (29). To test the robustness of the Cox regression analysis, the minimum strength of association needed for an unmeasured confounder to explain the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients was calculated based on the final adjusted model (E-value) (30).

Nested within the Cox regression model, RCS with four knots was employed to model the dose-response relationship between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients. Stratified analysis was further used to explore how the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients varies across different subgroups, with likelihood ratio tests assessing differences between strata.

After establishing the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, mediation analysis (31) was conducted to explore whether oxidative stress (32), inflammation (33), and nutrition (34) pathways mediate the relationship between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate. The size of the mediation effect was quantified by calculating the ratio of the indirect effect to the total effect, and the significance of the mediation effect was tested using the Bootstrap sampling method (35). Based on previous studies, GGT is selected as a marker of oxidative stress (36), WBC as a marker of inflammation (37), and ALB as an indicator of nutritional status (38).

## Results

# Follow-up outcomes and baseline characteristics

The analysis included 1,248 ADHF patients with an average age of 68 years and a male-to-female ratio of 1.43:1. During the 30-day observation period, 42 patients (3.37%) experienced mortality events. Figure 2 displays the 30-day survival curve of the study population, indicating a gradual increase in mortality events over time within the 30-day period.

In this analysis, AIP in ADHF patients showed a normal distribution (Supplementary Figure 1), with a median of 0.075. Patients were divided into quartiles based on their AIP, summarizing the baseline characteristics of ADHF patients (Table 1). The results indicated that compared to the group with lower AIP values, those with higher AIP were younger, had lower SBP, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), ALB, and HDL-C levels, and higher levels of WBC, RBC, PLT, ALT, Cr, UA, TC, TG, and LDL-C. Additionally, patients with higher AIP were more likely to have comorbid diabetes and coronary heart disease, a higher proportion of NYHA class IV, and a significantly higher probability



of mortality within 30 days (Q1: 1.28%, Q2: 2.88%, Q3: 2.88%, Q4: 6.41%).

Table 2 further displays the baseline characteristics of the study population based on whether or not the subject experienced mortality within the 30-day follow-up period. In summary: (i) In demographic characteristics, ADHF patients who died within 30 days were significantly older at admission, had a higher proportion of NYHA class IV, and a higher prevalence of cerebral infarction. (ii) In terms of measured data, deceased subjects typically had lower baseline levels of blood pressure, RBC, HGB, PLT, ALB, TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C, but higher levels of WBC, liver enzymes, Cr, UA, TG, NT-proBNP, and AIP (Figure 3).

# Association analysis of AIP with 30-day mortality in ADHF patients

Before analyzing the association, we confirmed through Schoenfeld residual plots of AIP over time (Supplementary Figure 2) that the Cox regression model did not violate the proportional hazards assumption. Additionally, the variance inflation factors of each covariate were calculated, identifying collinearity between HGB, ALB, and TC with other covariates, and thus these were not included in the subsequent multivariable models (Supplementary Table 1).

Three progressively adjusted multivariable Cox regression models were constructed to analyze the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients (Table 3). In the first model (Model 1), adjustments were made for age, gender, and comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, cerebral infarction, and coronary heart disease), showing a positive correlation between AIP and 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients with an HR of 7.38 (95%CI: 2.55–21.36). Additionally, the HR values corresponding to the AIP quartiles showed a positive trend (*P*-trend=0.0002). In the second model (Model 2), adjustments were further made for NYHA

#### TABLE 1 Summary of baseline characteristics of the study population according to AIP quartile group.

|                              | AIP quartiles                |                              |                              |                              | <i>P</i> -value |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Q1 (-0.73–0.08)              | Q2 (-0.08–0.08)              | Q3 (0.08–0.24)               | Q4 (0.24–1.55)               |                 |
| No. of subjects              | 312                          | 312                          | 312                          | 312                          |                 |
| Gender                       |                              |                              |                              |                              | 0.709           |
| Female                       | 129 (41.35%)                 | 134 (42.95%)                 | 130 (41.67%)                 | 120 (38.46%)                 |                 |
| Male                         | 183 (58.65%)                 | 178 (57.05%)                 | 182 (58.33%)                 | 192 (61.54%)                 |                 |
| Age (years)                  | 74.00 (67.00-81.00)          | 70.00 (60.00-80.00)          | 69.00 (60.00-78.00)          | 66.00 (57.00-75.00)          | <0.001          |
| Comorbidities                |                              |                              |                              |                              |                 |
| Hypertension<br>(n,%)        | 128 (41.03%)                 | 122 (39.10%)                 | 137 (43.91%)                 | 141 (45.19%)                 | 0.405           |
| Diabetes (n,%)               | 37 (11.86%)                  | 87 (27.88%)                  | 83 (26.60%)                  | 121 (38.78%)                 | <0.001          |
| Cerebral infarction<br>(n,%) | 58 (18.59%)                  | 55 (17.63%)                  | 43 (13.78%)                  | 52 (16.67%)                  | 0.406           |
| CHD (n,%)                    | 82 (26.28%)                  | 98 (31.41%)                  | 92 (29.49%)                  | 123 (39.42%)                 | 0.004           |
| NYHA classificatio           | n (n,%)                      |                              |                              |                              | 0.310           |
| III                          | 229 (73.40%)                 | 222 (71.15%)                 | 217 (69.55%)                 | 208 (66.67%)                 |                 |
| IV                           | 83 (26.60%)                  | 90 (28.85%)                  | 95 (30.45%)                  | 104 (33.33%)                 |                 |
| SBP (mmHg)                   | 130.80 (25.05)               | 128.95 (23.47)               | 127.64 (25.27)               | 125.53 (24.39)               | 0.055           |
| DBP (mmHg)                   | 76.70 (15.77)                | 76.18 (15.09)                | 76.16 (15.81)                | 75.20 (16.09)                | 0.684           |
| LVEF (%)                     | 48.00 (40.00-56.00)          | 48.00 (39.00-57.00)          | 46.00 (37.00-55.00)          | 45.00 (36.00-55.25)          | 0.026           |
| WBC (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)    | 5.47 (4.49-6.90)             | 5.80 (4.70-7.48)             | 6.37 (5.26-7.96)             | 6.80 (5.60-8.71)             | <0.001          |
| RBC (×10 <sup>12</sup> /L)   | 3.96 (0.69)                  | 4.05 (0.72)                  | 4.16 (0.75)                  | 4.19 (0.88)                  | <0.001          |
| HGB (g/L)                    | 120.07 (20.66)               | 122.82 (22.13)               | 125.50 (22.28)               | 124.86 (25.37)               | 0.013           |
| PLT (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)    | 148.00 (115.50-187.00)       | 161.00 (122.00-202.00)       | 166.00 (129.00-215.50)       | 177.50 (136.00-233.75)       | <0.001          |
| ALB (g/L)                    | 35.92 (4.33)                 | 35.57 (5.14)                 | 35.37 (4.69)                 | 34.70 (5.67)                 | 0.019           |
| ALT (U/L)                    | 18.00 (12.00-29.75)          | 22.00 (14.00-35.25)          | 23.00 (14.00-40.00)          | 24.50 (14.75-45.00)          | <0.001          |
| AST (U/L)                    | 25.00 (19.00-36.00)          | 25.00 (20.00-36.00)          | 25.00 (19.00-38.75)          | 25.00 (19.00-43.25)          | 0.619           |
| GGT (U/L)                    | 38.50 (22.25-64.25)          | 44.00 (25.00-83.00)          | 43.00 (25.00-75.75)          | 42.50 (25.00-87.25)          | 0.053           |
| Cr (umol/L)                  | 79.00 (61.50–102.00)         | 87.00 (66.00-121.00)         | 90.00 (72.00-120.00)         | 100.00 (73.00-165.00)        | <0.001          |
| UA (umol/L)                  | 404.00 (329.00-504.00)       | 413.00 (334.50-518.75)       | 440.00 (361.00-555.00)       | 465.00 (346.00-606.00)       | <0.001          |
| TC (mmol/L)                  | 3.72 (0.91)                  | 3.79 (1.01)                  | 3.77 (1.01)                  | 3.96 (1.24)                  | 0.026           |
| TG (mmol/L)                  | 0.77 (0.65–0.89)             | 1.06 (0.92–1.21)             | 1.29 (1.11–1.51)             | 1.89 (1.55–2.41)             | <0.001          |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)               | 1.26 (0.28)                  | 1.07 (0.23)                  | 0.93 (0.21)                  | 0.77 (0.21)                  | <0.001          |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)               | 2.14 (0.76)                  | 2.36 (0.84)                  | 2.45 (0.86)                  | 2.57 (0.96)                  | <0.001          |
| NT-proBNP (pmol/L)           | 3769.50<br>(2413.25–5707.25) | 3500.50<br>(1917.50-5621.00) | 3637.50<br>(2234.75-5519.75) | 3875.00<br>(1971.75–5932.50) | 0.468           |
| 30-day mortality             | 4 (1.28%)                    | 9 (2.88%)                    | 9 (2.88%)                    | 20 (6.41%)                   | 0.004           |

CHD, coronary heart disease; NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipid cholesterol; Cr, creatinine; UA, uric acid; WBC, white blood cell count; RBC, red blood cell count; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelet count; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; NT-proBNP, N-Terminal Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide; AIP, atherogenic index of plasma.

classification, LVEF, DBP, and NT-proBNP, slightly decreasing the HR to 5.87 (95%CI: 1.77–19.44). In Model 2, despite a continuing positive trend in AIP quartiles, the HR value for the second quartile was slightly higher than for the third (HR: Q2 2.38, Q3 2.15). The

third model (Model 3), further considered the impact of WBC, RBC, PLT, ALB, AST, GGT, Cr, UA, and LDL-C, with findings similar to Model 2, showing a slight decrease in HR (HR 3.94, 95% CI: 1.08–14.28). Notably, in Model 3, the HR value for the second

|                              | Survivors                     | Nonsurvivors              | <i>P</i> -value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| No. of subjects              | 1206                          | 42                        |                 |
| Gender                       |                               |                           | 0.470           |
| Female                       | 498 (41.29%)                  | 15 (35.71%)               |                 |
| Male                         | 708 (58.71%)                  | 27 (64.29%)               |                 |
| Age (years)                  | 70.00<br>(60.00–78.75)        | 77.50<br>(69.00-83.50)    | 0.001           |
| Comorbidities                |                               |                           |                 |
| Hypertension (n,%)           | 509 (42.21%)                  | 19 (45.24%)               | 0.696           |
| Diabetes (n,%)               | 313 (25.95%)                  | 15 (35.71%)               | 0.158           |
| Cerebral infarction<br>(n,%) | 194 (16.09%)                  | 14 (33.33%)               | 0.003           |
| CHD (n,%)                    | 381 (31.59%)                  | 14 (33.33%)               | 0.811           |
| NYHA<br>classification (n,%) |                               |                           | <0.001          |
| III                          | 863 (71.56%)                  | 13 (30.95%)               |                 |
| IV                           | 343 (28.44%)                  | 29 (69.05%)               |                 |
| SBP (mmHg)                   | 128.75<br>(24.41)             | 113.43 (25.80)            | <0.001          |
| DBP (mmHg)                   | 76.42 (15.63)                 | 65.67 (13.52)             | <0.001          |
| LVEF (%)                     | 47.00<br>(38.00–56.00)        | 50.00<br>(38.50–53.00)    | 0.627           |
| WBC (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)    | 6.10<br>(4.83–7.69)           | 10.21 (6.29–14.76)        | <0.001          |
| RBC (×10 <sup>12</sup> /L)   | 4.10 (0.76)                   | 3.72 (0.84)               | 0.001           |
| HGB (g/L)                    | 123.62<br>(22.69)             | 114.69 (23.06)            | 0.012           |
| PLT (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)    | 161.00<br>(126.00–<br>210.00) | 151.50<br>(98.00–232.25)  | 0.499           |
| ALB (g/L)                    | 35.52 (4.92)                  | 31.72 (5.63)              | <0.001          |
| ALT (U/L)                    | 21.00<br>(13.00-37.00)        | 29.00<br>(15.00-81.50)    | 0.034           |
| AST (U/L)                    | 25.00<br>(19.00-37.00)        | 41.00<br>(22.00–98.50)    | <0.001          |
| GGT (U/L)                    | 41.00<br>(24.00-76.00)        | 57.00<br>(30.00–93.75)    | 0.049           |
| Cr (umol/L)                  | 87.00<br>(67.00–<br>120.00)   | 179.50<br>(124.00–276.75) | <0.001          |
| UA (umol/L)                  | 426.00<br>(342.00–<br>539.00) | 523.50<br>(391.25–661.00) | 0.003           |
| TC (mmol/L)                  | 3.83 (1.05)                   | 3.34 (0.83)               | 0.003           |
| TG (mmol/L)                  | 1.14<br>(0.88–1.55)           | 1.30 (1.03–1.77)          | 0.128           |

TABLE 2 Characteristics of study subjects surviving versus dying by 30 days.

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 Continued

|                              | Survivors                        | Nonsurvivors                      | P-value |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| NYHA<br>classification (n,%) |                                  |                                   | <0.001  |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)               | 1.01 (0.29)                      | 0.85 (0.28)                       | <0.001  |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)               | 2.39 (0.87)                      | 2.01 (0.64)                       | 0.005   |
| AIP                          | 0.09 (0.26)                      | 0.21 (0.25)                       | 0.002   |
| NT-proBNP (pmol/L)           | 3618.50<br>(2140.25–<br>5595.50) | 6175.00<br>(3465.75–<br>10123.00) | <0.001  |

CHD, coronary heart disease; NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipid cholesterol; rc, creatinine; UA, uric acid; WBC, white blood cell count; RBC, red blood cell count; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelet count; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; NT-proBNP, N-Terminal Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide; AIP, atherogenic index of plasma.

quartile was higher than the third but lower than the fourth (HR: Q2: 2.82, Q3: 2.01, Q4: 4.09); suggesting a potential non-linear association before the fourth quartile of AIP. Based on Model 3, the minimum E-value associated with the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients was calculated to be 7.34.

### Dose-response relationship

Using a RCS with four knots, we further constructed a doseresponse relationship curve between AIP in ADHF patients and their 30-day mortality rate (Figure 4). After adequately adjusting for confounding factors, we found that the dose-response curve correlated with the association analysis results in Table 3. It can be observed that the association between the 30-day mortality rate and AIP demonstrated a U-shaped curve before the fourth quartile of AIP (AIP<0.24), with the lowest 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients occurring at an AIP of approximately -0.1.

### Subgroup analysis

We conducted stratified analyses based on age (median), gender, LVEF value (50%), NYHA classification, and comorbidities, assessing the presence of interactions between AIP and stratification factors through likelihood ratio tests. The results (Table 4) indicated no significant interactions in all the subgroups (All *P*-interaction>0.05), suggesting that the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients was relatively stable and unlikely to be influenced by these external factors.

## Mediation analysis

Mediated analysis was performed to explore the roles of inflammation, oxidative stress and nutritional pathways in the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF



Violin diagram showing baseline characteristics of AIP according to whether death occurred during the 30-day follow-up period. AIP, atherogenic index of plasma.

patients. Table 5 presents the detailed results of the mediation analysis, and Figure 5 illustrates the mediation diagram for inflammation (WBC), oxidative stress (GGT), and nutrition (ALB). The exploratory analysis revealed significant mediating effects of inflammation and nutrition in the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients (*P*-value of proportion mediate < 0.05), while the mediating effect of oxidative stress appeared non-significant (*P*-value of proportion mediate > 0.05). Specifically, inflammation accounted for approximately 24.29% of the mediation effect, and nutrition for about 8.16% in the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients.

TABLE 3 Multivariable Cox regression analysis of the association between AIP and 30-day mortality in patients with ADHF.

|                              | Hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) |                       |                       |  |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|                              | Model 1                                 | Model 2               | Model 3               |  |
| AIP<br>(continuous variable) | 7.38<br>(2.55, 21.36)                   | 5.87<br>(1.77, 19.44) | 3.94<br>(1.08, 14.28) |  |
| AIP (quartiles)              |   |                       |                       |  |
| Q1(-0.73-0.08)               | Ref                                     | Ref                   | Ref                   |  |
| Q2(-0.08–0.08)               | 2.55<br>(0.78, 8.36)                    | 2.38<br>(0.69, 8.15)  | 2.82<br>(0.78, 10.15) |  |
| Q3(0.08–0.24)                | 2.81<br>(0.86, 9.20)                    | 2.15<br>(0.64, 7.28)  | 2.01<br>(0.51, 7.89)  |  |
| Q4(0.24–1.55)                | 6.98<br>(2.31, 21.05)                   | 4.89<br>(1.60, 14.96) | 4.09<br>(1.25, 13.35) |  |
| P-trend                      | 0.0002                                  | 0.0035                | 0.0233                |  |

AIP, atherogenic index of plasma; ADHF, acute decompensated heart failure. Model 1 adjusted for gender, age, hypertension, diabetes, cerebral infarction and CHD. Model 2 adjusted for model 1 + NYHA classification, LVEF, DBP, NT-proBNP. Model 3 adjusted for: Model 2+ WBC, RBC, PLT, ALB, AST, GGT, Cr, UA, LDL-C.

# Discussion

In this retrospective cohort analysis, we discovered that although the overall association between AIP at admission and 30-day mortality in patients with ADHF was positively correlated and independent of age, gender, heart failure type, cardiac function classification, and comorbidities, further analysis using RCS revealed a U-shaped association before the fourth quartile of AIP (AIP<0.24). The lowest 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients corresponded to an AIP of approximately -0.1.

ADHF is a common reason for hospitalization or the need for emergency care in the elderly population and is associated with a high risk of adverse outcomes (1, 8–11). Statistics indicate that over 30% of ADHF patients require rehospitalization or face mortality shortly after discharge (within 90 days) (8–11, 39). In China, the 30day mortality rate for ADHF patients ranges between 1.65% and 13.17% (40–45), while in the JX-ADHF1 cohort, it is approximately 4%, and in the current analysis, it is 3.37% after excluding certain subjects. ADHF has become one of the most challenging cardiovascular diseases to manage appropriately worldwide. Early identification of patients potentially at risk of adverse outcomes and exploration of more effective treatment options may be vital in reducing the disease burden on patients and the healthcare system (38).

AIP is a crucial assessment tool for arteriosclerosis. Many past studies have demonstrated its significant value in assessing the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (23–26), and in evaluating adverse outcomes in these diseases (46–52). For instance, in assessing adverse outcomes in coronary heart disease patients, an increase of one unit in AIP as a continuous variable is reported to increase the risk of major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events by 30.8%-114.9% (46, 47). When AIP is considered as a categorical variable, patients with coronary heart disease and high AIP face a 61.4%-689% increased risk of major



adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events compared to those with low AIP (27, 48, 49). Overall, high AIP in patients with coronary heart disease indicates a higher risk of adverse outcomes. Similarly, in patients with baseline hypertension and stroke, high AIP also indicates a high risk of severe adverse outcomes (50–52). Our current study further revealed the role of AIP in assessing the risk of adverse outcomes in ADHF patients. The study showed that for each unit increase in AIP as a continuous variable, the 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients increased by 294%; compared to those with low AIP, patients with high AIP had a 309% increased risk of 30-day mortality. These new research findings further underscored the significant value of AIP in assessing the risk of adverse outcomes in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases.

An important finding of our current study, derived from the RCS analysis, is the U-shaped association between AIP and the 30day mortality rate in ADHF patients before the fourth quartile of AIP (<0.24), with the lowest risk of 30-day mortality around an AIP of -0.1. This observation conveyed two crucial messages: (i) The dose-response curve provided a more intuitive understanding of the overall and stage-specific associations between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients. (ii) The nadir of the U-shaped curve implied the threshold of the lowest short-term mortality risk in ADHF patients. On the one hand, this threshold is significant for risk assessment, and on the other hand, maintaining the AIP around -0.1 in ADHF patients may be beneficial in improving their prognosis. Similar U-shaped associations for AIP have been reported in other diseases; in a study on the adverse prognosis of acute ischemic stroke patients (52), Liu H and colleagues found a Ushaped association between AIP quartiles (Q1-Q4) and 3-month mortality in stroke patients, with the lowest mortality risk TABLE 4 Stratified analysis showed the relationship between AIP and 30-day mortality in patients with ADHF in different age, gender, NYHA class, LVEF and whether combined with hypertension/diabetes/cerebral infarction/CHD.

| Subgroup            | Adjusted HR<br>(95%CI) | P for interaction |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Age (years)         |                        | 0.8800            |
| 20-70               | 6.24 (0.76, 51.04)     |                   |
| 71–96               | 7.70 (1.38, 42.87)     |                   |
| Gender              |                        | 0.8070            |
| Male                | 4.37 (0.94, 20.19)     |                   |
| Female              | 3.13 (0.33, 29.47)     |                   |
| NYHA                |                        | 0.9313            |
| III                 | 2.19 (0.16, 29.60)     |                   |
| IV                  | 2.50 (0.49, 12.67)     |                   |
| LVEF                |                        | 0.7670            |
| < 50%               | 4.51 (0.66, 30.75)     |                   |
| ≥ 50%               | 3.09 (0.57, 16.80)     |                   |
| Hypertension        |                        | 0.9755            |
| Yes                 | 4.03 (0.54, 29.84)     |                   |
| No                  | 3.87 (0.73, 20.55)     |                   |
| Diabetes            |                        | 0.6974            |
| Yes                 | 2.64 (0.24, 29.15)     |                   |
| No                  | 4.65 (1.00, 21.54)     |                   |
| Cerebral infarction |                        | 0.6899            |
| Yes                 | 5.91 (0.55, 63.24)     |                   |
| No                  | 3.37 (0.75, 15.16)     |                   |
| CHD                 |                        | 0.0617            |
| Yes                 | 0.97 (0.13, 7.22)      |                   |
| No                  | 11.33 (2.22, 57.89)    |                   |

AIP, atherogenic index of plasma; ADHF, acute decompensated heart failure; CHD, coronary heart disease.

Models adjusted for the same covariates as in model 3 (Table 3), except for the stratification variable.

associated with AIP values between -0.1 and 0.08. This finding is similar to our current study. Additionally, in a study by Lee MJ et al. (53), examining the relationship between AIP and all-cause mortality in dialysis patients, a U-shaped curve was observed, with the lowest mortality risk in dialysis patients around an AIP of 0.39. The AIP threshold reported by Lee MJ et al. differs from our findings, likely due to differences in study populations. It is wellknown that renal impairment leads to changes in cholesterol structure, metabolism, reverse transport, accompanied by increased oxidative stress, electrolyte metabolism disorders, and other metabolic impacts, ultimately leading to dyslipidemia, particularly arteriosclerosis-inducing lipids (54–56). In our current analysis, we excluded patients with stage 5 chronic kidney

| Mediator | Total effect         | Mediation effect     | Direct effect        | PM(%) | <i>P</i> -value of PM |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| WBC      | 0.015 (0.003, 0.025) | 0.004 (0.002, 0.006) | 0.011 (0.002, 0.022) | 24.29 | 0.032                 |
| GGT      | 0.015 (0.003, 0.025) | -0.000(-0.000,0.001) | 0.015 (0.004, 0.026) | 1.51  | 0.478                 |
| ALB      | 0.015 (0.003, 0.025) | 0.002 (0.000, 0.003) | 0.013 (0.002, 0.024) | 8.16  | 0.042                 |

TABLE 5 Mediated analysis was performed to explore the roles of inflammation, oxidative stress and nutritional pathways in the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients.

PM, proportion mediate; ADHF, acute decompensated heart failure; other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Model adjusted for the same covariates as in model 2 (Table 3), except for the mediator variable.

disease and those undergoing dialysis to minimize the adverse impact of additional fluid and sodium retention on prognosis. Compared to Lee MJ et al.'s study on dialysis patients, our AIP levels were significantly lower [median value of the AIP: 0.075 (ours) vs 0.47 (Lee MJ et al.)].

The mechanism by which high AIP significantly increases the short-term mortality risk in ADHF patients is not entirely clear, but some insights may be provided by existing research evidence and auxiliary analyses in our study. Arteriosclerosis is known to be a pathological process involving long-term accumulation and transformation of lipids, inflammatory cells, smooth muscle cells, and necrotic cell debris beneath the endothelial cells lining the inner walls of arteries (57). Previously, arteriosclerosis was considered a lipid storage disease; however, more recent research has revealed it to be an ongoing inflammatory process (58, 59). Inflammation mediates the appearance of lipid streaks, the formation of arteriosclerosis, and subsequent cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications, playing a pivotal role in the development of arteriosclerosis (58, 60). Fundamentally, arteriosclerosis can be termed an arteritis (57-60). Based on these established theories, our current study aimed to validate the role of inflammation in adverse cardiovascular outcomes associated with arteriosclerosis in real-world clinical practice. Using a mediation analysis model with WBC as the inflammatory marker (37), our results showed that inflammation significantly mediates the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, accounting for approximately 24.29% of the effect. This finding further validates the accuracy of basic research and quantifies the mediation effect of inflammation in this process, providing useful clinical data to support the basic mechanisms. Moreover, considering the significant impact of oxidative stress and nutrition on the pathogenesis and prognosis of ADHF patients (32, 34), we assessed the mediating effects of oxidative stress and nutrition. Our findings indicated that nutrition played a mediating role of about 8.16% in the association between AIP and 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, while the mediating effect of oxidative stress was not significant. Based on our findings, we recommend that for ADHF patients with high AIP, it is important to assess and manage inflammation and nutritional status, with potential benefits from enhanced nutritional support and anti-inflammatory treatment when necessary.

## Study strengths and limitations

Our study has several notable strengths: (i) This is the first report of the relationship between AIP and the prognosis of ADHF patients. (ii) The discovery of a U-shaped curve association is of significant clinical importance, as the AIP threshold indicating the lowest death risk can provide crucial assistance in risk assessment and treatment for ADHF patients. (iii) The findings from the mediation analysis offer a mechanistic explanation for the association between AIP and 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients and also provide insights for future treatment directions.



However, our study also has certain limitations: (i) Being observational, it inevitably includes some unmeasured factors leading to residual confounding. Nevertheless, the calculated E-value (7.34) suggests that it is unlikely that any confounding factors could significantly alter our findings. (ii) We lack repeated measurements of AIP, which might be more beneficial for early risk stratification in ADHF patients. (iii) The study evidence is primarily applicable to the population in Jiangxi, and its relevance to other regions and ethnicities should be interpreted with caution. (iv) Due to the limited sample size, we did not observe significant associations in subgroups after further stratification. (v) The observational nature of the study limits our ability to further assess the impact of enhanced nutritional support and antiinflammatory treatment on adverse outcomes in patients with high AIP. (vi) The causes of ADHF were not distinguished in the current study; considering the significant adverse cardiovascular effects of preexistent cardiomyopathy, infections, ischemic heart disease, heavy alcohol use or illegal drug use and some chemotherapy medicines in patients with ADHF that were already present prior to the onset of the disease (61-65), this may result in some special populations being unobserved, and further studies are needed.

# Conclusion

In this retrospective cohort analysis, we have unveiled for the first time the association between AIP and the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients. Notably, this association exhibits a U-shaped curve before AIP<0.24, with the lowest 30-day mortality risk in ADHF patients around an AIP of -0.1. Additionally, based on evidence from mediation analysis, we have identified significant mediating effects of inflammation and nutrition on the association of AIP with the 30-day mortality rate in ADHF patients, with inflammation accounting for approximately 24.29% and nutrition for about 8.16% of the mediation effect.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangxi Provincial People's Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

MY: Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. HY: Investigation, Writing – original draft. MK: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. JQ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. CY: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. GX: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. GS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No.20232BAB216004 to YZ).

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the members of the JX-ADHF1 research group for their great efforts in data compilation.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024.1393644/ full#supplementary-material

# References

 Gheorghiade M, Zannad F, Sopko G, Klein L, Piña IL, Konstam MA, et al. Acute heart failure syndromes: current state and framework for future research. *Circulation*. (2005) 112:3958–68. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.590091

2. Gatzov P, Monsuez JJ, Agoston G, Aschermann M, Badran HM, Cohen A, et al. Heart failure 2019. *Eur Heart J*. (2021) 42:557–9. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa918

3. Triposkiadis F, Xanthopoulos A, Starling RC. Medical treatment of heart failure: ignore the ejection fraction and treat all? *J Card Fail.* (2021) 27:907–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2021.02.015

4. Lopshire JC, Zipes DP. Device therapy to modulate the autonomic nervous system to treat heart failure. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2012) 14:593–600. doi: 10.1007/s11886–012-0292–8

5. Greenberg B. Gene therapy for heart failure. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2017) 27:216–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2016.11.001

 Sharp TE3rd, Lefer DJ. Renal denervation to treat heart failure. Annu Rev Physiol. (2021) 83:39–58. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-031620–093431

7. Arora RR, Shah AG. The role of enhanced external counterpulsation in the treatment of angina and heart failure. *Can J Cardiol.* (2007) 23:779–81. doi: 10.1016/s0828-282x(07)70826-7

8. Jencks SF, Williams MV, Coleman EA. Rehospitalizations among patients in the Medicare fee-for-service program. *N Engl J Med.* (2009) 360:1418–28. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMsa0803563

9. Blecker S, Paul M, Taksler G, Ogedegbe G, Katz S. Heart failure-associated hospitalizations in the United States. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2013) 61:1259-67. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.12.038

 Popa IP, Haba MŞC, Mărănducă MA, Tănase DM, Şerban DN, Şerban LI, et al. Modern approaches for the treatment of heart failure: recent advances and future perspectives. *Pharmaceutics*. (2022) 14:1964. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics14091964

11. Arrigo M, Jessup M, Mullens W, Reza N, Shah AM, Sliwa K, et al. Acute heart failure. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2020) 6:16. doi: 10.1038/s41572-020-0151-7

12. Frostegård J. Immunity, atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. BMC Med. (2013) 11:117. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-117

13. Agarwala A, Pokharel Y, Saeed A, Sun W, Virani SS, Nambi V, et al. The association of lipoprotein(a) with incident heart failure hospitalization: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Atherosclerosis.* (2017) 262:131–7. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2017.05.014

14. Chow YL, Teh LK, Chyi LH, Lim LF, Yee CC, Wei LK. Lipid metabolism genes in stroke pathogenesis: the atherosclerosis. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2020) 26:4261–71. doi: 10.2174/1381612826666200614180958

15. Jain KK. Personalized management of cardiovascular disorders. *Med Princ Pract.* (2017) 26:399–414. doi: 10.1159/000481403

16. Aladin AI, Soliman EZ, Kitzman DW, Dardari Z, Rasool SH, Yeboah J, et al. Comparison of the relation of carotid intima-media thickness with incident heart failure with reduced versus preserved ejection fraction (from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis [MESA]). Am J Cardiol. (2021) 148:102–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2021.02.020

17. Effoe VS, Rodriguez CJ, Wagenknecht LE, Evans GW, Chang PP, Mirabelli MC, et al. Carotid intima-media thickness is associated with incident heart failure among middle-aged whites and blacks: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2014) 3:e000797. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000797

18. Wang FM, Yang C, Ballew SH, Kalbaugh CA, Meyer ML, Tanaka H, et al. Anklebrachial index and subsequent risk of incident and recurrent cardiovascular events in older adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Atherosclerosis.* (2021) 336:39–47. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2021.09.028

19. Gupta DK, Skali H, Claggett B, Kasabov R, Cheng S, Shah AM, et al. Heart failure risk across the spectrum of ankle-brachial index: the ARIC study (Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities). *JACC Heart Fail*. (2014) 2:447–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2014.05.008

20. Leening MJ, Elias-Smale SE, Kavousi M, Felix JF, Deckers JW, Vliegenthart R, et al. Coronary calcification and the risk of heart failure in the elderly: the Rotterdam Study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2012) 5:874–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.03.016

21. Kälsch H, Lehmann N, Möhlenkamp S, Neumann T, Slomiany U, Schmermund A, et al. Association of coronary artery calcium and congestive heart failure in the general population: Results of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall study. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2010) 99:175–82. doi: 10.1007/s00392-009-0104-3

22. Dobiásová M, Frohlich J. The plasma parameter log (TG/HDL-C) as an atherogenic index: correlation with lipoprotein particle size and esterification rate in apoB-lipoprotein-depleted plasma (FER(HDL)). *Clin Biochem.* (2001) 34:583–8. doi: 10.1016/s0009-9120(01)00263-6

23. Huang Q, Liu Z, Wei M, Huang Q, Feng J, Liu Z, et al. The atherogenic index of plasma and carotid atherosclerosis in a community population: a population-based cohort study in China. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:125. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01839-y

24. Huang H, Yu X, Li L, Shi G, Li F, Xiao J, et al. Atherogenic index of plasma is related to coronary atherosclerotic disease in elderly individuals: a cross-sectional study. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2021) 20:68. doi: 10.1186/s12944–021-01496–8

25. Zheng H, Wu K, Wu W, Chen G, Chen Z, Cai Z, et al. Relationship between the cumulative exposure to atherogenic index of plasma and ischemic stroke: a retrospective cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:313. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02044-7

26. Kim SH, Cho YK, Kim YJ, Jung CH, Lee WJ, Park JY, et al. Association of the atherogenic index of plasma with cardiovascular risk beyond the traditional risk factors: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:81. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01522-8

27. Alifu J, Xiang L, Zhang W, Qi P, Chen H, Liu L, et al. Association between the atherogenic index of plasma and adverse long-term prognosis in patients diagnosed with chronic coronary syndrome. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:255. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01989-z

28. Kim JH. Multicollinearity and misleading statistical results. *Korean J Anesthesiol.* (2019) 72:558–69. doi: 10.4097/kja.19087

29. Schoenfeld D. Partial residuals for the proportional hazards regression-model. *Biometrika*. (1982) 69:239-41. doi: 10.1093/biomet/69.1.239

30. VanderWeele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity analysis in observational research: introducing the E-value. Ann Intern Med. (2017) 167:268–74. doi: 10.7326/M16-2607

31. VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: A practitioner's guide. Annu Rev Public Health. (2016) 37:17–32. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315–021402

32. de Koning MLY, Emmens JE, Romero-Hernández E, Bourgonje AR, Assa S, Figarska SM, et al. Systemic oxidative stress associates with disease severity and outcome in patients with new-onset or worsening heart failure. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2023) 112:1056–66. doi: 10.1007/s00392-023-02171-x

33. Reina-Couto M, Pereira-Terra P, Quelhas-Santos J, Silva-Pereira C, Albino-Teixeira A, Sousa T. Inflammation in human heart failure: major mediators and therapeutic targets. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:746494. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.746494

34. Driggin E, Cohen LP, Gallagher D, Karmally W, Maddox T, Hummel SL, et al. Nutrition assessment and dietary interventions in heart failure: JACC review topic of the week. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2022) 79:1623–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.02.025

35. Imai K, Keele L, Tingley D, Yamamoto T. Causal mediation analysis using R. Lecture Notes Stat. (2010) 196:129–54. doi: 10.1007/978–1-4419–1764-5\_8

36. Emdin M, Pompella A, Paolicchi A. Gamma-glutamyltransferase, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease: triggering oxidative stress within the plaque. *Circulation*. (2005) 112:2078–80. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.571919

37. Huang Q, Wan J, Nan W, Li S, He B, Peng Z. Association between manganese exposure in heavy metals mixtures and the prevalence of sarcopenia in US adults from NHANES 2011–2018. *J Hazard Mater*. (2024) 464:133005. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.133005

38. Andrassy RJ, Durr ED. Albumin: use in nutrition and support. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (1988) 3:226–9. doi: 10.1177/0115426588003006226

39. Raj L, Maidman SD, Adhyaru BB. Inpatient management of acute decompensated heart failure. *Postgrad Med J.* (2020) 96:33-42. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136742

40. Chen C, Chen X, Chen S, Wu Y, He X, Zhao J, et al. Prognostic implication of admission mean and pulse pressure in acute decompensated heart failure with different phenotypes. *Am J Hypertens*. (2023) 36:217–25. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpac130

41. Zhao HL, Gao XL, Liu YH, Li SL, Zhang Q, Shan WC, et al. Validation and derivation of short-term prognostic risk score in acute decompensated heart failure in China. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2022) 22:307. doi: 10.1186/s12872-022-02743-1

42. Zhao K, Zheng Q, Zhou J, Zhang Q, Gao X, Liu Y, et al. Associations between serum electrolyte and short-term outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Ann Med.* (2023) 55:155–67. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2022.2156595

43. Wang L, Zhao YT. Development and validation of a prediction model for irreversible worsened cardiac function in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:785587. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.785587

44. Mo R, Yang YM, Yu LT, Tan HQ, Zhu J. Elevated plasma big endothelin-1 at admission is associated with poor short-term outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:629268. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2021.629268

45. Chen LJ, Hung CL, Yeh HI, Jeng MJ, Su CH, Wu TY, et al. The utilization and prognostic impact of B-type Natriuretic Peptide in hospitalized acute decompensated heart failure in an Asian population. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2016) 16:178. doi: 10.1186/s12872-016-0342-z

46. Wang Y, Wang S, Sun S, Li F, Zhao W, Yang H, et al. The predictive value of atherogenic index of plasma for cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with LDL-C below 1.8mmol/L. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:150. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-01888-3

47. Liu Y, Feng X, Yang J, Zhai G, Zhang B, Guo Q, et al. The relation between atherogenic index of plasma and cardiovascular outcomes in prediabetic individuals with unstable angina pectoris. *BMC Endocr Disord*. (2023) 23:187. doi: 10.1186/s12902-023-01443-x

48. Ma X, Sun Y, Cheng Y, Shen H, Gao F, Qi J, et al. Prognostic impact of the atherogenic index of plasma in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2020) 19:240. doi: 10.1186/s12944-020-01418-0

49. Qin Z, Zhou K, Li Y, Cheng W, Wang Z, Wang J, et al. The atherogenic index of plasma plays an important role in predicting the prognosis of type 2 diabetic subjects undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: results from an observational cohort study in China. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2020) 19:23. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-0989-8

50. Bendzala M, Sabaka P, Caprnda M, Komornikova A, Bisahova M, Baneszova R, et al. Atherogenic index of plasma is positively associated with the risk of all-cause death in elderly women: A 10-year follow-up. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. (2017) 129:793–8. doi: 10.1007/s00508–017-1264–1

51. Duiyimuhan G, Maimaiti N. The association between atherogenic index of plasma and all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease-specific mortality in hypertension patients: a retrospective cohort study of NHANES. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2023) 23:452. doi: 10.1186/s12872-023-03451-0

52. Liu H, Liu K, Pei L, Li S, Zhao J, Zhang K, et al. Atherogenic index of plasma predicts outcomes in acute ischemic stroke. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:741754. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.741754

53. Lee MJ, Park JT, Han SH, Kim YL, Kim YS, Yang CW, et al. The atherogenic index of plasma and the risk of mortality in incident dialysis patients: Results from a nationwide prospective cohort in Korea. *PloS One.* (2017) 12:e0177499. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177499

54. Dey R, Rajappa M, Parameswaran S, Revathy G. Hypomagnesemia and atherogenic dyslipidemia in chronic kidney disease: surrogate markers for increased cardiovascular risk. *Clin Exp Nephrol.* (2015) 19:1054–61. doi: 10.1007/s10157-015-1097-z

55. Suh SH, Kim SW. Dyslipidemia in patients with chronic kidney disease: an updated overview. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2023) 47:612–29. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2023.0067

56. Theofilis P, Vordoni A, Koukoulaki M, Vlachopanos G, Kalaitzidis RG. Dyslipidemia in chronic kidney disease: contemporary concepts and future therapeutic perspectives. *Am J Nephrol.* (2021) 52:693–701. doi: 10.1159/000518456

57. Björkegren JLM, Lusis AJ. Atherosclerosis: recent developments. Cell. (2022) 185:1630-45. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.004

58. Libby P, Ridker PM, Maseri A. Inflammation and atherosclerosis. Circulation. (2002) 105:1135–43. doi: 10.1161/hc0902.104353

59. Taleb S. Inflammation in atherosclerosis. Arch Cardiovasc Dis. (2016) 109:708–15. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2016.04.002

60. Jebari-Benslaiman S, Galicia-García U, Larrea-Sebal A, Olaetxea JR, Alloza I, Vandenbroeck K, et al. Pathophysiology of atherosclerosis. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:3346. doi: 10.3390/ijms23063346

61. Mascia G, Olivotto I, Brugada J, Arbelo E, Di Donna P, Della Bona R, et al. Sport practice in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: running to stand still? *Int J Cardiol.* (2021) 345:77–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2021.10.013

62. Spotts PH, Zhou F. Myocarditis and pericarditis. Prim Care. (2024) 51:111-24. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2023.07.006

63. Chang AJ, Liang Y, Hamilton SA, Ambrosy AP. Medical decision-making and revascularization in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Med Clin North Am.* (2024) 108:553–66. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2023.11.007

64. Akinlonu AA, Alonso A, Mene-Afejuku TO, Lopez P, Kansara T, Ola O, et al. The impact of cocaine use and the obesity paradox in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction due to non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Cureus.* (2023) 15: e40298. doi: 10.7759/cureus.40298

65. Palaskas NL, Ali HJ, Koutroumpakis E, Ganatra S, Deswal A. Cardiovascular toxicity of immune therapies for cancer. *BMJ*. (2024) 385:e075859. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2023-075859