Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giacomina Brunetti, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Adil Akkouch, Western Michigan University, United States Kiarash Saleki, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Rongsheng Chen Image: Chunge Chunge Chunge Zheng.alan@hotmail.com Weihong Xu

xuweihong815@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 15 February 2024 ACCEPTED 16 April 2024 PUBLISHED 01 May 2024

CITATION

Zheng Q, Wang D, Lin R, Li Z, Chen Y, Chen R, Zheng C and Xu W (2024) Effects of circulating inflammatory proteins on osteoporosis and fractures: evidence from genetic correlation and Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1386556. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1386556

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zheng, Wang, Lin, Li, Chen, Chen, Zheng and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of circulating inflammatory proteins on osteoporosis and fractures: evidence from genetic correlation and Mendelian randomization study

Qingcong Zheng^{1†}, Du Wang^{2†}, Rongjie Lin^{3†}, Zhechen Li¹, Yuchao Chen⁴, Rongsheng Chen^{1*}, Chunfu Zheng^{5*} and Weihong Xu^{1*}

¹Department of Spinal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, ²Arthritis Clinical and Research Center, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China, ³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou, China, ⁴Department of Paediatrics, Fujian Provincial Hospital South Branch, Fuzhou, China, ⁵Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Objective: There is a controversy in studies of circulating inflammatory proteins (CIPs) in association with osteoporosis (OP) and fractures, and it is unclear if these two conditions are causally related. This study used MR analyses to investigate the causal associations between 91 CIPs and OP and 9 types of fractures.

Methods: Genetic variants data for CIPs, OP, and fractures were obtained from the publicly available genome-wide association studies (GWAS) database. We used inverse variance weighted (IVW) as the primary analysis, pleiotropy, and heterogeneity tests to analyze the validity and robustness of causality and reverse MR analysis to test for reverse causality.

Results: The IVW results with Bonferroni correction indicated that CXCL11 (OR = 1.2049; 95% CI: 1.0308-1.4083; *P* = 0.0192) can increase the risk of OP; IL-4 (OR = 1.2877; 95% CI: 1.1003-1.5070; *P* = 0.0016), IL-7 (OR = 1.2572; 95% CI: 1.0401-1.5196; *P* = 0.0180), IL-15RA (OR = 1.1346; 95% CI: 1.0163-1.2668; *P* = 0.0246), IL-17C (OR = 1.1353; 95% CI: 1.0272-1.2547; *P* = 0.0129), CXCL10 (OR = 1.2479; 95% CI: 1.0832-1.4377; *P* = 0.0022), eotaxin/CCL11 (OR = 1.1552; 95% CI: 1.0525-1.2678; *P* = 0.0024), and FGF23 (OR = 1.9437; 95% CI: 1.1875-3.1816; *P* = 0.0082) can increase the risk of fractures; whereas IL-10RB (OR = 0.9006; 95% CI: 0.8335-0.9730; *P* = 0.0080), CCL4 (OR = 0.9101; 95% CI: 0.8385-0.9878; *P* = 0.0242), MCP-3/CCL7 (OR = 0.8579; 95% CI: 0.7506-0.9806; *P* = 0.0246), IFN-γ [shoulder and upper arm (OR = 0.7832; 95% CI: 0.6605-0.9287; *P* = 0.0049); rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine (OR = 0.7228; 95% CI: 0.5681-0.9197; *P* = 0.0083)], β-NGF (OR = 0.8384; 95% CI: 0.7473-0.9407; *P* = 0.0027), and SIRT2 (OR = 0.5167; 95% CI: 0.3296-0.8100; *P* = 0.0040) can decrease fractures risk.

Conclusion: Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses indicated the causal associations between multiple genetically predicted CIPs and the risk of OP and fractures.

KEYWORDS

osteoporosis, fracture, bone homeostasis, circulating inflammatory proteins, Mendelian randomization

1 Introduction

Osteoporosis is a common systemic metabolic bone disease characterized by an imbalance in bone homeostasis, microstructural disruption of bone tissue, decreased bone mineral density (BMD), and an increased risk of fracture (1), with fracture being the most serious outcome of OP (2). Studies have shown that the global prevalence of OP was 18.3% (3) and was positively correlated with age, with worldwide prevalence rates of OP corresponding to 11.4%/24.8%/37.6%/40.8% in the 50-59/60-69/70-79/89-89 age groups (4). In addition, the total number of fragility fractures in the EU6 was projected to increase to 3.3 million by 2030 (a rise of 23%), with a 27% increase in annual fracture-related costs (5). The trend of population aging is contributing to the increasing number of OPs and fractures, which is a major global public health problem and imposes a heavy health and economic burden on individuals and societies (6).

The term "osteoimmunology" was coined in 2000 and has been a hot topic of research in recent years (7), with CIPs being the key bridge between the interconnectedness of the immune system and the skeletal system (8). OP is considered an inflammatory bone anomaly. However, the role of CIPs in OP and fractures is complex and not yet fully unraveled (9). Inflammation and inflammatory proteins not only induce OP by affecting bone strength and quality (10) but also contribute to increased fracture risk by inhibiting bone formation, promoting bone loss, and impairing bone regeneration (11, 12). However, inflammation and inflammatory proteins can also inhibit OP by promoting osteoblast (OB) differentiation, further inducing osteogenesis through multiple signaling pathways (13), and being key factors in promoting early fracture healing and bone regeneration (14). Studies on the association between CIPs and OP and fractures have been both mutually supportive and contradictory, with bias due to confounding factors, environmental factors, and reverse causation being one of the main reasons for the conflicting nature of these studies.

Exploring the causal associations between CIPs and the risk of OP and fractures in terms of genetic factors has not yet been clearly reported. MR is an epidemiological research method that analyses summary-level data from GWAS by means of reliable instrumental variables (IVs). Due to the high degree of randomness of genetic variation and the fact that alleles are not affected by the environmental factors of the disease, MR can further reliably infer causality between exposures and outcomes by greatly reducing bias due to confounding factors, environmental factors, and reverse causality (15). This study used a bidirectional Mendelian randomization method to investigate whether there are genetically predicted causal associations between CIPs and the risk of OP and fractures.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study design

Our study was done under the guidance of "strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using Mendelian randomization (STROBE-MR)" (16). The data we used were obtained from publicly available GWAS database, and ethics committees have approved these original studies. Therefore, no ethical approval is required to cite these public datasets. SNPs used as valid IVs in MR analyses must satisfy the following three key assumptions. (i) The relevance assumption, the IVs must be directly and strongly related to the exposure; (ii) the independence assumption, the IVs must be unrelated to any confounding factors; (iii) the exclusion restriction assumption: the IVs can only affect outcomes through exposures (no directional pleiotropy) (Supplementary Figure S1).

2.2 GWAS data sources

In the present study, summary-level statistics for both exposure and outcome were derived from European ancestry, which could reduce bias due to race-related confounders. *Exposures*. We obtained 91 CIPs datasets (accession numbers from GCST90274758 to GCST90274848) from GWAS (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/home) (17). *Outcomes*. We searched the Integrative Epidemiology Unit (IEU, https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) for The summary data of OP (finn-b-M13_OSTEOPOROSIS) and 9 types of fractures (3 major sites each in the upper limb, lower limb, and midshaft bones), including fracture of shoulder and upper arm (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_SHOUL_UPPER_ARM), fracture of forearm (finnb-ST19_FRACT_FOREA), fracture at wrist and hand level (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_WRIST_HAND_LEVEL), fracture of femur (finn-bST19_FRACT_FEMUR), fracture of lower leg, including ankle (finnb-ST19_FRACT_LOWER_LEG_INCLU_ANKLE), Fracture of foot, except ankle (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_FOOT_ANKLE), fracture of neck (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_NECK), fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_RIBS_STERNUM_ THORACIC_SPINE), and fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis (finn-b-ST19_FRACT_LUMBAR_SPINE_PELVIS). After comparing the sources of participants in the 91 datasets from the CIPs with the 10 datasets from the skeletal system, we considered the samples of the GWAS data for exposures and outcomes to be independent of each other as a way of reducing bias due to overlapping data sources. We summarise the details of these data in Supplementary Table S1.

2.3 Selection of instrumental variables

We filtered out SNPs in the 91 CIPs dataset by setting a significance threshold of "5E-06". These SNPs were analyzed by the "clump_data" function for linkage disequilibrium at " $r^2 < 0.001$, 10000 kb" in order to exclude mutual linkage SNPs and to discard non-biallelic SNPs to ensure independence among IVs for each exposure. We assessed the strength of association between the screened SNPs and exposure using F-statistic as a way to avoid bias caused by weak IVs. The F-statistic is calculated as $F = (\beta^2 / standard error^2)$, when F > 10 for SNPs shows that it is strongly effective IVs (18). When the effect alleles for the SNPs' effects in the exposure and outcome were different, the summary set might generate errors. We used the "harmonise_data" function to test the causal direction of the selected SNPs in the exposure and outcome, eliminated the palindromic alleles, and finally chose the SNPs with the result of "TRUE" as the effective IVs.

2.4 Two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis

We performed the TSMR analysis with the "TwoSampleMR" package of the R version 4.2.3 software. Forward MR was analyzed with CIPs as exposures and OP and fractures as outcomes. The purpose of reverse MR analyses by interchanging exposures and outcomes was to exclude bias due to reverse causality. First, we used five methods, MR Egger, weighted median, random effects IVW, simple mode, and weighted mode, to assess the causal associations between exposures and outcomes, with IVW being the most reliable. With "IVW P-value < 0.05", we applied the Bonferroni correction to the results using the "p.adjust" function in the R software and recorded the exposure factors of $P_{adjust} < 0.05$ and set P_{adjust} < 0.1 if the screening was fruitless. The results of IVs, all-MR Egger, and all-IVW were visualized using a forest plot. Second, the heterogeneity test. We used Cochran's Q-statistic for heterogeneity analysis of SNPs in IVW and MR-Egger analyses in order to assess the robustness of IVs when *P-value* > 0.05 indicated that there was no significant heterogeneity in the results (19). The test results for heterogeneity were visualized by funnel plots of the IVs. Third, the pleiotropy test. Pleiotropy refers to the fact that some IVs affect outcomes through confounding factors other than exposures, which would seriously undermine the reliability of the causal associations between exposures and outcomes. We tested for outliers by MR-PRESSO (global test P-value < 0.05) and reassessed the causal association between exposure and outcome after excluding outliers (20). At the same time, we used the "MR_pleiotropy_test" function for effect estimation and bias detection of MR-Egger intercept, and when the P-value >0.05 showed no evidence of significant pleiotropy (21). Leave-one-out analysis was performed by sequentially removing single SNPs and then rerunning the IVW analysis to assess the effect of the remaining SNPs on the outcome, with the aim of discovering whether there were any single SNPs driving causality. Finally, we used the mRnd website (https:// shiny.cnsgenomics.com/mRnd/) to assess the statistical power of the MR analysis. α (type-I error rate) was set to 0.05, and R² (proportion of variance explained for the association between the SNP or allele score and the exposure variable) was calculated as $2 \times eaf \times (1 - eaf) \times \beta^2$ (22, 23).

3 Results

3.1 Forward MR

Forward MR and the sensitivity analysis results are in Supplementary Table S2, and detailed information on IVs and the results of power analysis are in Supplementary Table S3, where SNPs as IVs were all strong instrumental variables (F-statistic > 10). IVW analysis (Figure 1) showed that CXCL11 (OR = 1.2049; 95% CI: 1.0308-1.4083; *P* = 0.0192; *P*_{adjust} = 0.0385) can increase OP risk. For fracture of the shoulder and upper arm, IFN- γ (OR = 0.7832; 95% CI: 0.6605-0.9287; P = 0.0049; $P_{adjust} = 0.0346$) can reduce its risk, whereas IL-4 (OR = 1.2877; 95% CI: 1.1003-1.5070; P = 0.0016; P_{adiust} = 0.0114) can increase its risk. For fracture of forearm, β -NGF (OR = 0.8384; 95% CI: 0.7473-0.9407; P = 0.0027; $P_{adjust} =$ 0.0162) can reduce its risk, while Eotaxin/CCL11 (OR = 1.1552; 95% CI: 1.0525-1.2678; P = 0.0024; $P_{adjust} = 0.0143$) can increase its risk. IL-10RB (OR = 0.9006; 95% CI: 0.8335-0.9730; P = 0.0080; P_{adjust} = 0.0240) can reduce the risk of fracture at the wrist and hand level. CCL4 (OR = 0.9101; 95% CI: 0.8385-0.9878; P = 0.0242; $P_{adjust} =$ 0.0725) and MCP-3/CCL7 (OR = 0.8579; 95% CI: 0.7506-0.9806; P = 0.0246; $P_{adjust} = 0.0739$) can reduce the risk of fracture of the femur. IL-17C (OR = 1.1353; 95% CI: 1.0272-1.2547; P = 0.0129; $P_{adjust} = 0.0777$) can increase the risk of fracture of the lower leg (including the ankle). CXCL10 (OR = 1.2479; 95% CI: 1.0832-1.4377; P = 0.0022; $P_{adjust} = 0.0108$) can increase the risk of fracture of the foot (except ankle). For fracture of the neck, FGF23 (OR = 1.9437; 95% CI: 1.1875-3.1816; P = 0.0082; $P_{adjust} = 0.0328$) can increase its risk, while SIRT2 (OR = 0.5167; 95% CI: 0.3296-0.8100; P = 0.0040; P_{adjust} = 0.0160) can decrease its risk. IL-15RA (OR = 1.1346; 95% CI: 1.0163-1.2668; P = 0.0246; $P_{adjust} = 0.0984$) and IL-7 (OR = 1.2572; 95% CI: 1.0401-1.5196; P = 0.0180; P_{adjust} = 0.0719) can increase the risk of fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine. IFN- γ (OR = 0.7228; 95% CI: 0.5681-0.9197; P = 0.0083; $P_{adjust} = 0.0248$) can reduce the risk of fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis. We presented the above results in a summarised



Scatter plots of causal associations between exposures (CIPs) and outcomes (OP, fractures). (A) Scatter plot between CXCL11 and OP; (B) Scatter plot between IFN- γ and fracture of shoulder and upper arm; (C) Scatter plot between IL-4 and fracture of shoulder and upper arm; (D) Scatter plot between β -NGF and fracture of forearm; (E) Scatter plot between eotaxin and fracture of forearm; (F) Scatter plot between IL-10RB and fracture at wrist and hand level; (G) Scatter plot between CCL4 and fracture of femur; (H) Scatter plot between MCP-3 and fracture of femur; (I) Scatter plot between IL-17C and fracture of lower leg (including ankle); (J) Scatter plot between CXCL10 and fracture of foot (except ankle); (K) Scatter plot between FGF23 and fracture of neck; (L) Scatter plot between SIRT2 and fracture of neck; (M) Scatter plot between IL-15RA and fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine; (O) Scatter plot between IFN- γ and fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis.

forest plot (Figure 2) and used a forest plot to visualize the results for IVs, all-MR Egger, and all-IVW (Supplementary Figure S2). In the sensitivity analyses, the *P-value* for the pleiotropy tests (MRegger intercept test and MR-PRESSO global test) and the heterogeneity test (Cochran's Q-statistic) for these 15 causal associations were all greater than 0.05. SNPs were symmetrically distributed in the funnel plot of IVW (Supplementary Figure S3). No obvious single SNP was found to have an influence on the association in the leave-one-out analysis plot (Supplementary Figure S4). In conclusion, sensitivity analyses showed no

Methods	nsnp	pval		OR (95% CI)	P
CXCL11-Osteoporosis	05	0.0477	1.4		
Inverse variance weighted	22	0.0192		1.2049 (1.0308 - 1.4083)	0.03
Weighted median	22	0.1635		1.1729 (0.9372 - 1.4678)	
MR Egger	22	0.7362		0.9323 (0.6236 - 1.3938)	
Simple mode	22	0.2175	÷	1.2641 (0.8808 - 1.8144)	
Weighted mode	22	0.4557	He-H	1.1006 (0.8595 - 1.4093)	
FN-gamma–Fracture of shoulder and upper arm					
Inverse variance weighted	10	0.0049	H i	0.7832 (0.6605 - 0.9287)	0.03
Weighted median	10	0.2496	H0+H	0.8656 (0.6771 - 1.1066)	
MR Egger	10	0.7100	H	0.9426 (0.6977 - 1.2734)	
Simple mode	10	0.5971	⊢• <u>i</u>	0.8978 (0.6106 - 1.3202)	
Weighted mode	10	0.7054		0.9252 (0.6262 - 1.3670)	
L-4-Fracture of shoulder and upper arm			1		
Inverse variance weighted	11	0.0016	: Hees	1.2877 (1.1003 - 1.5070)	0.01
Weighted median	11	0.0913	+-•→	1.2037 (0.9707 - 1.4926)	
MR Egger	11	0.1620	÷	1.3554 (0.9165 - 2.0044)	
Simple mode	11	0.3847		1.1674 (0.8361 - 1.6300)	
Weighted mode	11	0.3081		1.1674 (0.8801 - 1.5486)	
eta-NGF-Fracture of forearm					
Inverse variance weighted	21	0.0027		0.8384 (0.7473 - 0.9407)	0.01
Weighted median	21	0.0618	-	0.8577 (0.7301 - 1.0076)	0.0
MB Eggor	21	0.0010		0.8305 (0.6159 - 1.1202)	
Cimela made	21	0.2300		0.0303 (0.0136 - 1.1202)	
Simple mode	21	0.2385	H-	0.8483 (0.6505 - 1.1062)	
weighted mode	21	0.2857	H	0.6778 (0.6954 - 1.1080)	
otaxin=Fracture of forearm					
Inverse variance weighted	19	0.0024		1.1552 (1.0525 - 1.2678)	0.0
Weighted median	19	0.2039	H <mark>e</mark> H	1.0915 (0.9536 - 1.2493)	
MR Egger	19	0.4907	+ >	1.0696 (0.8869 - 1.2900)	
Simple mode	19	0.3394	H0	1.1065 (0.9040 - 1.3544)	
Weighted mode	19	0.2214	ée+	1.0917 (0.9531 - 1.2503)	
-10RB-Fracture at wrist and hand level					
Inverse variance weighted	19	0.0080	•	0.9006 (0.8335 - 0.9730)	0.0
Weighted median	19	0.0023		0.8734 (0.8005 - 0.9529)	5.0.
MR Enger	10	0.0051		0.8389 (0.7536 = 0.9338)	
Cimela made	10	0.0001		0.0000 (0.7000 - 0.0000)	
Simple mode	19	0.2346		0.8585 (0.8655 - 1.1072)	
weighted mode	19	0.0070		0.8746 (0.8023 - 0.9534)	
CL4-Fracture of femur					
Inverse variance weighted	18	0.0242	•	0.9101 (0.8385 - 0.9878)	0.0
Weighted median	18	0.0809	•	0.9083 (0.8153 - 1.0119)	
MR Egger	18	0.1002	* * *	0.8990 (0.7976 - 1.0132)	
Simple mode	18	0.8821		1.0204 (0.7843 - 1.3277)	
Weighted mode	18	0.0509		0.9066 (0.8273 - 0.9935)	
ICP-3-Fracture of femur					
Inverse variance weighted	19	0.0246		0.8579 (0.7506 - 0.9806)	0.0
Weighted median	19	0.5445	H H	0.9402 (0.7701 - 1.1478)	
MR Fager	19	0.9500		1 0096 (0 7517 - 1 3560)	
Simple mode	10	0.5506		0.9037 (0.6521 - 1.2524)	
Meighted mode	10	0.5500		0.0391 (0.0521 - 1.2524)	
170 Frank us of lawss last installing apple	15	0.5750		0.0001 (0.1040 1.1001)	
Including ankle	10	0.0120		1 1252 (1 0272 - 1 2547)	0.0
Meister danarice weighted	19	0.0740		1.1333 (1.0272 - 1.2347)	0.0
Weighted median	19	0.0713		1.1414 (0.9686 - 1.3179)	
MR Egger	19	0.8685		1.0281 (0.7439 - 1.4210)	
Simple mode	19	0.5990	H	1.0733 (0.8285 - 1.3904)	
Weighted mode	19	0.2359	Ham	1.1534 (0.9181 - 1.4490)	
XCL10-Fracture of foot, except ankle					
Inverse variance weighted	23	0.0022) + •••	1.2479 (1.0832 - 1.4377)	0.0
Weighted median	23	0.0256	→	1.2597 (1.0285 - 1.5430)	
MR Egger	23	0.0417		1.3168 (1.0269 - 1.6885)	
Simple mode	23	0.0948		1.3324 (0.9654 - 1.8389)	
Weighted mode	23	0.0481		1.2780 (1.0157 - 1.6080)	
GF23-Fracture of neck					
Inverse variance weighted	14	0.0082		→ 1 9437 (1 1875 - 3 1816)	0.0
Weighted median	14	0.4188		1.3208 (0.6729 − 2.5924)	
MR Enger	14	0.5800		1 5445 (0 3320 - 7 1669)	
Simple mode	14	0 7808		1 1700 (0.3768 = 3.6052)	
Weighted mode	14	0.0140		1.0558 (0.3705 - 3.0953)	
weighted mode	14	0.9149		- 1.0000 (0.0077 = 2.8024)	
IK12-Fracture of neck		0.00.15		0.5407/0.0007	
inverse variance weighted	13	0.0040		0.5167 (0.3296 - 0.8100)	0.0
Weighted median	13	0.1075		0.6045 (0.3274 - 1.1159)	
MR Egger	13	0.5516		0.7510 (0.3011 - 1.8731)	
Simple mode	13	0.4495		0.6799 (0.2584 - 1.7887)	
Weighted mode	13	0.5675	Hard and a second secon	0.7735 (0.3285 - 1.8213)	
-15RA-Fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic sp	oine				
Inverse variance weighted	14	0.0246	10	1.1346 (1.0163 - 1.2668)	0.0
Weighted median	14	0.0128	>	1.1649 (1.0330 - 1.3136)	
MR Egger	14	0.5137	Hin-H	1.0678 (0.8820 - 1.2928)	
Simple mode	14	0.0964		1.3685 (0.9711 - 1.9285)	
Weighted mode	14	0.0217	90H	1.1689 (1.0395 - 1.3144)	
-7-Eracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine			-		
Inverse variance weighted	15	0.0190		1 2572 (1 0401 - 1 5106)	0.0
Weighted median	16	0.2650		1 1666 (0 9904 4 5000)	0.0
weighted median	15	0.2056		1.1000 (0.8894 - 1.5302)	
MK Egger	15	0.9670		0.9893 (0.6007 - 1.6293)	
Simple mode	15	0.4670		1.1712 (0.7740 - 1.7723)	
Weighted mode	15	0.4265		1.1539 (0.8192 - 1.6253)	
N-gamma-Fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis					
Inverse variance weighted	10	0.0083	H H H	0.7228 (0.5681 - 0.9197)	0.0
Weighted median	10	0.0488	H	0.7277 (0.5304 - 0.9984)	
MR Egger	10	0.0634		0.6268 (0.4097 - 0.9589)	
Pimple mode	10	0.1993		0.7107 (0.4384 - 1.1521)	
SITURING		1	-	0.1.101 (0.1004 1.1021)	
Weighted mode	10	0.1740		0 7161 (0 4507 - 1 1166)	

FIGURE 2

The forest plot showed the causal associations between exposures (CIPs) and outcomes (OP, fractures) in the forward MR analysis. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

significant pleiotropy or heterogeneity in these results, implying the high validity and robustness of the MR analyses.

3.2 Reverse MR

We similarly screened IVs for OP and fractures datasets on the basis of setting a significance threshold of "5E-06". Reverse MR and the sensitivity analysis results are in Supplementary Table S4, and detailed information on IVs is in Supplementary Table S5, where

SNPs as IVs were all strong instrumental variables (F-statistic > 10). IVW analysis showed (Figure 3) that there was no evidence to support the causal associations of OP with CXCL11(P = 0.9753), fracture of the shoulder and upper arm with IFN- γ (P = 0.2562) and IL-4 (P = 0.4301), fracture of the forearm with β -NGF (P = 0.7119) and eotaxin/CCL11 (P = 0.6601), fracture at wrist and hand level with IL-10RB (P = 0.3373), fracture of femur with CCL4 (P = 0.9109) and MCP-3/CCL7 (P = 0.8241), fracture of lower leg (including ankle) with IL-17C(P = 0.3012), fracture of foot (except ankle) with CXCL10 (P = 0.7755), fracture of rib (s), sternum and



Scatter plots of causal associations between exposures (OP, fractures) and outcomes (CIPs). (A) Scatter plot between OP and CXCL11; (B) Scatter plot between fracture of shoulder and upper arm and IFN-Y, (C) Scatter plot between fracture of shoulder and upper arm and IL-4; (D) Scatter plot between fracture of forearm and β -NGF; (E) Scatter plot between fracture of forearm and eotaxin; (F) Scatter plot between fracture at wrist and hand level and IL-10RB; (G) Scatter plot between fracture of femur and CCL4; (H) Scatter plot between fracture of femur and MCP-3; (I) Scatter plot between fracture of lower leg (including ankle) and IL-17C; (J) Scatter plot between fracture of foot (except ankle) and CXCL10; (K) Scatter plot between fracture of neck and FGF23; (L) Scatter plot between fracture of neck and SIRT2; (M) Scatter plot between fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine and IL-15RA; (N) Scatter plot between fracture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine and IL-7; (O) Scatter plot between fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis and IFN-y

thoracic spine with IL-15RA (P = 0.8511) and IL-7 (P = 0.5732), fracture of lumbar spine and pelvis with IFN- γ (*P* = 0.1432). Since the fracture of neck dataset was screened for too few SNPs at a significance threshold of "5E-06", MR analysis was performed using a significance threshold of "1E-05" and found no evidence to support a causal association between the levels of FGF23 (P = 0.1054) or SIRT2 (P = 0.6412) in the fracture of neck

dataset. In MR analysis of the fracture of the forearm on eotaxin/ CCL11, although Cochran's Q P-value < 0.05 for IVW indicated heterogeneity, heterogeneity was considered acceptable in the present study in the case of using random-effects IVW (24). In the above reverse, MR, the P-values of pleiotropy tests (MR-egger intercept test and MR-PRESSO global test) and heterogeneity test (Cochran's Q-statistic) were all greater than 0.05, indicating no

10.3389/fendo.2024.1386556

significant pleiotropy and heterogeneity, and high validity and robustness of the MR analyses. We presented the results of the reverse MR analyses in a summarised forest plot (Figure 4) and attached forest plots (Supplementary Figure S5), funnel plots (Supplementary Figure S6), and leave-one-out analysis plots (Supplementary Figure S7) to the Supplementary Material. In conclusion, the reverse MR results indicate that there is no obvious bias caused by reverse causality in the forward MR.

4 Discussion

Bone homeostasis is maintained by the balance between the OB of bone formation and the osteoclast (OC) of bone resorption, as well as by intraosseous vascular homeostasis. OP and fractures are inextricably linked to an imbalance in bone homeostasis, and CIPs play a critical and complex role in the regulation of bone homeostasis. To our knowledge, this is the first MR analysis to explore the causal associations between 91 CIPs and the risk of OP and 9 types of fractures. In analyzing the current GWAS datasets cited in this study, we found that CXCL11 can increase the risk of OP; IL-4, IL-7, IL-15RA, IL-17C, CXCL10, eotaxin/CCL11, FGF23 can increase the risk of fractures, whereas IL-10RB, CCL4, MCP-3/CCL7, IFN- γ , β -NGF, SIRT2 can decrease the risk of fractures, and there is no reverse causality of the above results.

4.1 Association of interleukins with osteoporosis and fractures

Studies have shown that IL-4 can reduce mature OC activity to further inhibit bone resorption through NF- κ B and Ca²⁺ signaling pathways (25). Other studies have reported that IL-4 readily induces M2 macrophages to differentiate into OC (26) and that the IL-4/IL-4R pathway promotes the proliferation of preosteoclast cells to provide a large number of "seeds" for OC (27). Controversy exists regarding the role of IL-4 in the skeletal system, and we found that genetically predicted IL-4 can increase fracture risk. OC is the only bone-resorbing cell in the body, and osteoclastogenic cytokines, including IL-7, IL-15, and IL-17, and anti-osteoclastogenic cytokines, including IL-10, form a key signaling network that regulates OC proliferation and differentiation (28). IL-7 not only induces T cells to secrete receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) and TNF- α to enhance OC proliferation and thereby induce bone loss (29) but also induces OC production by the STAT5 pathway, which is independent of the RANKL pathway (30). Controversially, some studies have found that IL-7 is a direct inhibitor of OC generation in vitro (31). IL-15 not only increases the number of OC by stimulating the differentiation of OC progenitor into OC precursor (32) but also synergizes with the RANKL pathway (33) and the phospholipase D1 (PLD1) pathway (34) to promote OC production, further contributing to bone destruction and bone loss. Downregulation of IL-15 levels inhibits the RANKL-RANK- osteoprotegerin (OPG) axis and reduces the risk of femoral head necrosis (35). Controversially, IL-15 is also essential in OB function as well as bone mineralization (36). As an inducer of RANKL, IL-17 not only stimulates OC proliferation, leading to bone erosion (37) but also enhances OC activity, thereby causing bone destruction (38). Controversially, some studies have reported that IL-17 promotes OB secretion of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) to inhibit differentiation of preosteoclast cells (39). IL-10, an osteoblast factor, can hinder OC differentiation and maturation by inhibiting RANK and RANKL and promoting OPG expression, and high levels of IL-10 can inhibit OC activity (40). Other studies have reported that low concentrations of IL-10 can induce bone formation through p38 MAPK signaling, but high concentrations of IL-10 cause bone damage (41). In conclusion, the roles of interleukins in the skeletal system and bone homeostasis are complex and varied, and many studies are controversial or even have opposite conclusions, with bias due to confounding factors, environmental factors, and reverse causality being one of the main reasons for the conflicting nature of these studies. We have shown by MR analysis that IL-4, IL-7, IL-15RA, and IL-17C promote fractures, whereas IL-10RB can decrease fracture risk, which provides genetic evidence for research in this area.

4.2 Association of chemokines with osteoporosis and fractures

Chemokines comprise two major families (CXC, CC) that are key signals for the migration and localization of circulating cells into various tissues and play an important role in bone metabolism. CXCL10 enhances the homing and differentiation of circulating osteoclast progenitor cells and stimulates OC production. Thus, it is considered an osteoclastogenic factor and has osteoclastogenic effects (42). The C5a/C5aR1 axis is strongly associated with fractures, and CXCL10 production is a key effector outcome of this pathway (43). In addition, bone erosion was prevented by vitamin D supplementation that inhibited the CXCL10 pathway (44). It has also been shown that there is no significant correlation between CXCL10 and hip fracture risk (45). Our MR analysis revealed that genetically predicted CXCL10 can promote fracture occurrence. CXCL11 inhibits angiogenesis, and impaired angiogenesis is a key pathophysiological microenvironmental condition in OP (46). Some studies have suggested that CXCL11 can inhibit the differentiation of monocytes to OC (47). However, other studies have suggested that CXCL11 has no significant role in OC differentiation (48). Studies on the association between CXCL11 and OP are scarce and controversial, and the present study demonstrates that genetically predicted CXCL11 promotes the progression of OP.

Studies have concluded that CCL4 can promote OC invasion and induce bone resorption disease through OC differentiation gene expression profiling (49). Controversially, other studies have suggested that although CCL4 promotes the viability and migratory capacity of preosteoclast cells, it is not required for OC differentiation and is not directly involved in OC generation; furthermore, CCL4 improves function in the OB ecological niche by recruiting progenitor cells and maintaining viability (50). Studies have shown that MCP-3/ CCL7 can exacerbate osteolysis by promoting RANKL generation

Methods	nsnp	pval		OR (95% CI)
Inverse variance weighted	22	0.9752	-	0 0004 (0 0609 - 1 0205
Meishted median	22	0.9755		0.9994 (0.9608 - 1.0395
weighted median	22	0.2959		0.9703 (0.9170 - 1.0267
MR Egger	22	0.1043		0.9152 (0.8265 - 1.0135
Simple mode	22	0.5507	101	0.9673 (0.8689 - 1.0770
Weighted mode	22	0.4871	NH.	0.9640 (0.8708 - 1.0671
acture of shoulder and upper arm–IFN-gamma				
nverse variance weighted	14	0.2562		1.0449 (0.9686 - 1.1272
Weighted median	14	0.0887	1	1.0962 (0.9862 - 1.2186
MR Egger	14	0.0844	H -	1.2060 (0.9922 - 1.4659
Simple mode	14	0.2115	i÷e⊶	1.1396 (0.9378 - 1.3848
Weighted mode	14	0.1577	÷e+	1.1362 (0.9615 - 1.3426
acture of shoulder and upper arm-IL-4			1	
inverse variance weighted	14	0.4301		0.9665 (0.8882 - 1.0518
Weighted median	14	0.9610	-	0.9972 (0.8922 - 1.1147
WR Eager	14	0.6769		1.0492 (0.8417 - 1.3079
Simple mode	14	0.7820	H ⁰ H	1 0251 (0 8634 - 1 2170
Weighted mode	14	0.8063	Hite .	1.0214 (0.8653 - 1.2057
acture of forearm-beta-NGE			1	
pwarse variance weighted	25	0 7110		1 0094 (0 9605 = 1 0608
Neighted median	25	0.6911	1	1.0159 (0.0429 - 1.0044
veignted median	25	0.0011	1	1.0158 (0.9428 - 1.0944
	20	0.00/5		1.0396 (0.9282 - 1.1647
Simple mode	25	0.2723	-	1.0/5/ (0.94/2 - 1.2216
Weighted mode	25	0.4576	NDH .	1.0392 (0.9405 - 1.1483
acture of forearm-Eotaxin				
nverse variance weighted	25	0.6601	•	1.0144 (0.9516 - 1.0814
Veighted median	25	0.8281		1.0085 (0.9343 - 1.0887
/R Egger	25	0.9325	H H H	0.9936 (0.8572 - 1.1517
Simple mode	25	0.9134	HIH .	1.0087 (0.8640 - 1.1777
Veighted mode	25	0.6455	- de	0.9785 (0.8928 - 1 0724
acture at wrist and hand level-II -10RR				0.0100 (0.0020 1.0724
overse variance weighted	8	0 3373	4	0.9616 (0.9976 - 1.0417
Aleighted median	0	0.33/3	1	0.0569 (0.0674 4.0550
AD Example	0	0.3/9/		0.9506 (0.8671 - 1.0558
vik Egger	8	0.5979		0.9565 (0.8178 - 1.1187
Simple mode	8	0.5323	Here a	0.9521 (0.8224 - 1.1022
Veighted mode	8	0.4931	H	0.9499 (0.8264 - 1.0919
acture of femur–CCL4				
nverse variance weighted	9	0.9109		0.9956 (0.9208 - 1.0764
Weighted median	9	0.8404		0.9903 (0.9003 - 1.0892
MR Egger	9	0.6478	Here .	1.0414 (0.8817 - 1.2300
Simple mode	9	0.6592	H)H	1.0418 (0.8744 - 1.2412
Weighted mode	9	0.9338	ыğы	1.0072 (0.8557 - 1.1854
acture of femur-MCP-3			1	
nverse variance weighted	9	0.8241		1 0084 (0 9369 - 1 0853
Neighted median	9	0.3475		0.9520 (0.8592 - 1.0549
A P Fages	0	0.0475		0.0000 (0.0000 - 1.0040
Nix Lygei	0	0.3040		0.9900 (0.0007 - 1.1000
Simple mode	9	0.4188	F F	0.9239 (0.7703 - 1.1082
Weighted mode	9	0.4188	HH	0.9239 (0.7703 - 1.1082
acture of lower leg, including ankle–IL-17C				
nverse variance weighted	10	0.3012	•	0.9396 (0.8348 - 1.0574
Veighted median	10	0.3434	Here and a second se	0.9258 (0.7893 - 1.0859
MR Egger	10	0.2499		0.7485 (0.4736 - 1.1829
Simple mode	10	0.3260	H	0.8554 (0.6371 - 1.1485
Veighted mode	10	0.2328	Here in the second seco	0.8337 (0.6309 - 1.1016
acture of foot, except ankle-CXCL10				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
nverse variance weighted	11	0.7755	÷	1 0104 (0 9411 - 1 0848
Veighted median	11	0.7574		1 0140 (0 9285 = 1 1072
AB Edder	11	0.5015		0.0448 /0.9064 - 4.4075
iinx Lyydi Simple mede	11	0.0010	1	1.0054 (0.0001 = 1.10/5
simple mode	11	0.9448	Part I	1.0054 (0.8675 - 1.1651
veignted mode	11	0.9775	HEH	1.0022 (0.8647 - 1.1615
acture of neck-FGF23				
nverse variance weighted	10	0.1054	•	0.9756 (0.9470 - 1.0052
Weighted median	10	0.2626		0.9773 (0.9387 - 1.0174
/IR Egger	10	0.2660		0.9550 (0.8856 - 1.0299
Simple mode	10	0.3420		0.9679 (0.9080 - 1.0316
Weighted mode	10	0.3627		0.9707 (0.9134 - 1.0315
acture of neck-SIRT2				
nverse variance weighted	10	0.6412	•	1.0086 (0 9730 - 1 0455
Neighted median	10	0 1866	- I	1 0290 /0 9863 - 1 0726
IP Egger	10	0.1344		1.0736 /0.0975 - 1.0730
vii v Lyydi Zimple mede	10	0.1344		1.0730 (0.9675 = 1.1673
	10	0.3410	1	1.0324 (0.9702 - 1.0986
veignied mode	10	0.2931		1.0346 (0.9747 - 1.0982
acture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine-IL-15	KA		1	
nverse variance weighted	11	0.8511		1.0065 (0.9410 - 1.0765
Veighted median	11	0.9719	-	0.9984 (0.9143 - 1.0903
IR Egger	11	0.8686	H	0.9884 (0.8637 - 1.1310
imple mode	11	0.5809	H H	0.9595 (0.8323 - 1.1060
Veighted mode	11	0.6038	н <u>і</u> н	0.9634 (0.8406 - 1.1041
acture of rib(s), sternum and thoracic spine-IL-7				
nverse variance weighted	11	0.5732		0.9787 (0 9079 - 1 0549
Neighted median	11	0 7274	-	1 0164 /0 0075 = 1 1409
AD Eases	11	0.7274		1.0104 (0.9275 - 1.1138
vik Egger	11	0.6142	H	1.0403 (0.8969 - 1.2066
Simple mode	11	0.7044	HHH .	1.0305 (0.8863 - 1.1981
	11	0.7081	нн	1.0287 (0.8908 - 1.1879
Weighted mode				
Veighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gamma				
Veighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gamma nverse variance weighted	13	0.1432	•	1.0380 (0.9874 - 1.0912
Veighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gamma nverse variance weighted Veighted median	13 13	0.1432	•	1.0380 (0.9874 - 1.0912 1.0266 (0.9554 - 1.1030
Veighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gamma vverse variance weighted Veighted median R Equer	13 13 13	0.1432 0.4738 0.9542		1.0380 (0.9874 - 1.0912 1.0266 (0.9554 - 1.1030 1.0037 (0.8882 - 1.1342
Veighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gamma nverse variance weighted Veighted median AR Egger Simple mode	13 13 13	0.1432 0.4738 0.9542 0.7999		1.0380 (0.9874 - 1.0912 1.0266 (0.9554 - 1.1030 1.0037 (0.8882 - 1.1342 0.9852 (0.8797 - 1.4022
Weighted mode acture of lumbar spine and pelvis-IFN-gemme Inverse variance weighted Weighted median WR Egger Simple mode	13 13 13 13	0.1432 0.4738 0.9542 0.7999		1.0380 (0.9874 - 1.0912 1.0266 (0.9554 - 1.1030 1.0037 (0.8882 - 1.1342 0.9852 (0.8797 - 1.1032

FIGURE 4

The forest plot showed the causal associations between exposures (OP, fractures) and outcomes (CIPs) in the reverse MR analysis. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

and bone-resorptive OC recruitment (51). Controversially, Other studies have shown that CCL7 can induce OB homing to the fracture site to participate in repair (52). And CCL7 has a role in promoting angiogenesis (53). It has been reported that eotaxin/CCL11 can promote the invasion of preosteoclast cells and lead to bone

resorption, so it is considered a novel inflammatory bone resorption factor (54). In conclusion, CCL4 and CCL7 are more similar to a regulator of bone homeostasis. However, there are fewer reports on the relevance of CCL4/CCL7/CCL11 in bone homeostasis, which is a direction that deserves to be explored in depth. Our MR analyses indicated that genetically predicted CCL4 and CCL7 can decrease fracture risk, whereas CCL11 can increase fracture risk.

4.3 Association of other CIPs with osteoporosis and fractures

As an osteoblast factor, IFN-y plays an important regulatory role in osteoimmunology. IFN-y not only promotes bone mineralization by stimulating OB differentiation through induction of the runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2)/ osterix (OSX) pathway but also reduces bone loss by inhibiting OC activity (55, 56). Controversially, other studies have reported that IFN-γ can promote apoptosis in OB synergistically through the activation of caspases, and it can also promote OC production under specific conditions causing bone destruction (57, 58). OB secretes NGF in response to mechanical loading and can be innervated via the NGF-tropomyosin receptor kinase A (TrkA) signaling pathway to further drive bone formation and maintain bone homeostasis in vivo (59, 60). NGF deficiency will reduce the migration of osteogenic precursors to the injury site, causing delayed bone healing (61). SIRT2 is the most richly expressed factor of the class III family of histone deacetylases (HDAC-III) in human bone tissue, which can prevent bone loss by reducing OC production (62). In addition, SIRT2 can promote OB proliferation and enhance activity through SIRT2/RUNX2 cascade regulation (63). Other studies have shown that hepatic SIRT2 gene defects can inhibit OC production and attenuate bone loss through liver-bone communication (64). FGF23 can inhibit the conversion of 25hydroxy vitamin D to active 1,25(OH)2D3 by targeting the renal proximal tubule (65) and also affects bone mineralization and bone homeostasis by inhibiting OB function, which ultimately leads to bone destruction and increased fracture risk (66). It has been suggested that FGF23 is positively correlated with impaired bone trabecular microarchitecture, and it can be used as one of the predictors of trabecular bone loss (67). However, other clinical studies have concluded that there is no direct correlation between FGF23 and bone parameters (68). We found that genetically predicted IFN-γ, β-NGF, and SIRT2 can decrease fracture risk, whereas FGF23 promotes fracture occurrence. Studies related to the role of these CIPs in the skeletal system are controversial, and our study can provide reference evidence.

Our study has the following advantages. Firstly, this is the first MR analysis to explore the causal associations of 91 CIPs with OP and fractures. Secondly, bidirectional TSMR analysis can effectively reduce bias caused by confounding factors, environmental factors, and reverse causality. Thirdly, there are numerous but controversial studies reported on the associations of CIPs with OP and fracture and bone homeostatic imbalance, and the present study can provide insightful information for research in this area from a genetic perspective. This study also has some limitations. First, although sensitivity analyses of MR verified the validity and robustness of IVs, the possibility of residual heterogeneity remains. Second, we only utilized databases of European ancestry for the MR analyses, and the conclusions should be interpreted with caution when applied to other populations. Third, this study only explored the

genetic associations between a limited number of inflammatory proteins with OP and fractures. Actually, there may be more inflammatory proteins and genetic factors, which are limited by the amount of information in the current GWAS dataset.

5 Conclusion

Osteoimmunology is receiving increasing attention, with CIPs playing a key bridging role between the immune and skeletal systems. We found the causal associations between multiple genetically predicted CIPs and the risk of OP and 9 types of fractures by MR analysis. The present study effectively reduces bias due to confounding factors, environmental factors, and reverse causality, and the results have favorable validity and robustness. Our study provides reliable genetic evidence for further investigation of the pathogenic mechanism of CIPs involved in bone homeostatic imbalance and finds novel potential targets for OP and fractures. With the rapid development of the post-genomic era, the integration of more up-to-date datasets to map the network of genetic associations between CIPs and OP and fractures is an interesting research direction.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the patients/participants or patients/participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

QZ: Writing – original draft, Supervision, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. DW: Writing – original draft, Software, Investigation. RL: Writing – original draft, Project administration, Methodology. ZL: Writing – original draft, Validation. YC: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Resources. RC: Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Project administration. CZ: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision. WX: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The Natural

Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2021J02035) and Fujian Provincial Health Technology Project (No. 2020CXA039) supported this study.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the consortium studies for making the summary association statistics data publicly available.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Compston JE, McClung MR, Leslie WD. Osteoporosis. Lancet. (2019) 393:364-76. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32112-3

2. Rachner TD, Khosla S, Hofbauer LC. Osteoporosis: now and the future. *Lancet*. (2011) 377:1276–87. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(10)62349-5

3. Salari N, Ghasemi H, Mohammadi L, Behzadi MH, Rabieenia E, Shohaimi S, et al. The global prevalence of osteoporosis in the world: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2021) 16:609. doi: 10.1186/s13018-021-02772-0

4. Xiao PL, Cui AY, Hsu CJ, Peng R, Jiang N, Xu XH, et al. Global, regional prevalence, and risk factors of osteoporosis according to the World Health Organization diagnostic criteria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int.* (2022) 33:2137–53. doi: 10.1007/s00198-022-06454-3

5. Borgström F, Karlsson L, Ortsäter G, Norton N, Halbout P, Cooper C, et al. Fragility fractures in Europe: burden, management and opportunities. *Arch Osteoporos.* (2020) 15:59. doi: 10.1007/s11657-020-0706-y

6. Noh JY, Yang Y, Jung H. Molecular mechanisms and emerging therapeutics for osteoporosis. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:7632. doi: 10.3390/ijms21207623

7. Arron JR, Choi Y. Bone versus immune system. Nature. (2000) 408:535-6. doi: 10.1038/35046196

8. Xu J, Yu L, Liu F, Wan L, Deng Z. The effect of cytokines on osteoblasts and osteoclasts in bone remodeling in osteoporosis: a review. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1222129. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1222129

9. Clowes JA, Riggs BL, Khosla S. The role of the immune system in the pathophysiology of osteoporosis. *Immunol Rev.* (2005) 208:207-27. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2005.00334.x

10. Khandelwal S, Lane NE. Osteoporosis: review of etiology, mechanisms, and approach to management in the aging population. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* (2023) 52:259–75. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2022.10.009

11. Goodnough LH, Goodman SB. Relationship of aging, inflammation, and skeletal stem cells and their effects on fracture repair. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* (2022) 20:320–5. doi: 10.1007/s11914-022-00742-x

12. Adami G. Regulation of bone mass in inflammatory diseases. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2022) 36:101611. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2021.101611

13. Srivastava RK, Sapra L. The rising era of "Immunoporosis": role of immune system in the pathophysiology of osteoporosis. *J Inflammation Res.* (2022) 15:1667–98. doi: 10.2147/jir.S351918

14. Maruyama M, Rhee C, Utsunomiya T, Zhang N, Ueno M, Yao Z, et al. Modulation of the inflammatory response and bone healing. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2020) 11:386. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00386

15. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. Jama. (2017) 318:1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

16. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using Mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *Jama.* (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236

17. Zhao JH, Stacey D, Eriksson N, Macdonald-Dunlop E, Hedman Å K, Kalnapenkis A, et al. Genetics of circulating inflammatory proteins identifies drivers of immune-mediated disease risk and therapeutic targets. *Nat Immunol.* (2023) 24:1540–51. doi: 10.1038/s41590-023-01588-w

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2024. 1386556/full#supplementary-material

18. Triozzi JL, Hsi RS, Wang G, Akwo EA, Wheless L, Chen HC, et al. Mendelian randomization analysis of genetic proxies of thiazide diuretics and the reduction of kidney stone risk. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2023) 6:e2343290. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.43290

19. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Zhao Q, Lawlor DA, Sheehan NA, et al. Improving the accuracy of two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization: moving beyond the NOME assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:728–42. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy258

20. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Publisher Correction: Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:1196. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0164-2

21. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

22. Shi X, Wei T, Hu Y, Wang M, Tang Y. The associations between plasma soluble Trem1 and neurological diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2022) 19:218. doi: 10.1186/s12974-022-02582-z

23. Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2013) 42:1497–501. doi: 10.1093/ ije/dyt179

24. Nie X, Liu Z, Xie D, Sun Y. Inflammatory arthritis and eye diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2023) 14:1251167. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2023.1251167

25. Mangashetti LS, Khapli SM, Wani MR. IL-4 inhibits bone-resorbing activity of mature osteoclasts by affecting NF-kappa B and Ca2+ signaling. *J Immunol.* (2005) 175:917–25. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.2.917

26. Dou C, Ding N, Zhao C, Hou T, Kang F, Cao Z, et al. Estrogen deficiencymediated M2 macrophage osteoclastogenesis contributes to M1/M2 ratio alteration in ovariectomized osteoporotic mice. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2018) 33:899–908. doi: 10.1002/ jbmr.3364

27. Jin Q, Yang H, Jing Z, Hong-Hua W, Ben-Jing S, Li-Ting W, et al. IL4/IL4R signaling promotes the osteolysis in metastatic bone of CRC through regulating the proliferation of osteoclast precursors. *Mol Med.* (2021) 27:152. doi: 10.1186/s10020-021-00411-2

28. Amarasekara DS, Yun H, Kim S, Lee N, Kim H, Rho J. Regulation of osteoclast differentiation by cytokine networks. *Immune Netw.* (2018) 18:e8. doi: 10.4110/ in.2018.18.e8

29. Toraldo G, Roggia C, Qian WP, Pacifici R, Weitzmann MN. IL-7 induces bone loss *in vivo* by induction of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand and tumor necrosis factor alpha from T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2003) 100:125–30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0136772100

30. Kim JH, Sim JH, Lee S, Seol MA, Ye SK, Shin HM, et al. Interleukin-7 induces osteoclast formation via STAT5, independent of receptor activator of NF-kappaB ligand. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:1376. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01376

31. Lee SK, Kalinowski JF, Jastrzebski SL, Puddington L, Lorenzo JA. Interleukin-7 is a direct inhibitor of *in vitro* osteoclastogenesis. *Endocrinology*. (2003) 144:3524–31. doi: 10.1210/en.2002-221057

32. Ogata Y, Kukita A, Kukita T, Komine M, Miyahara A, Miyazaki S, et al. A novel role of IL-15 in the development of osteoclasts: inability to replace its activity with IL-2. *J Immunol.* (1999) 162:2754–60. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.162.5.2754

33. Okabe I, Kikuchi T, Mogi M, Takeda H, Aino M, Kamiya Y, et al. IL-15 and RANKL play a synergistically important role in osteoclastogenesis. *J Cell Biochem.* (2017) 118:739-47. doi: 10.1002/jcb.25726

34. Park MK, Her YM, Cho ML, Oh HJ, Park EM, Kwok SK, et al. IL-15 promotes osteoclastogenesis via the PLD pathway in rheumatoid arthritis. *Immunol Lett.* (2011) 139:42–51. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2011.04.013

35. Zhou Z, Lin Y, Pan C, Wang N, Zhou L, Shan H, et al. IL-15 deficiency alleviates steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head by impact osteoclasts via RANKL-RANK-OPG system. *Immun Ageing.* (2020) 17:19. doi: 10.1186/s12979-020-00190-0

36. Loro E, Ramaswamy G, Chandra A, Tseng WJ, Mishra MK, Shore EM, et al. IL15RA is required for osteoblast function and bone mineralization. *Bone*. (2017) 103:20–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2017.06.003

37. Lubberts E, van den Bersselaar L, Oppers-Walgreen B, Schwarzenberger P, Coenen-de Roo CJ, Kolls JK, et al. IL-17 promotes bone erosion in murine collageninduced arthritis through loss of the receptor activator of NF-kappa B ligand/ osteoprotegerin balance. *J Immunol.* (2003) 170:2655–62. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.170.5.2655

38. Lin EC, Chiang YC, Lin HY, Tseng SY, Hsieh YT, Shieh JA, et al. Unraveling the link between periodontitis and coronavirus disease 2019: exploring pathogenic pathways and clinical implications. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:2789. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11102789

39. Balani D, Aeberli D, Hofstetter W, Seitz M. Interleukin-17A stimulates granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor release by murine osteoblasts in the presence of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) and inhibits murine osteoclast development *in vitro. Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2013) 65:436–46. doi: 10.1002/art.37762

40. Ni S, Shan F, Geng J. Interleukin-10 family members: Biology and role in the bone and joint diseases. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2022) 108:108881. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.108881

41. Chen E, Liu G, Zhou X, Zhang W, Wang C, Hu D, et al. Concentrationdependent, dual roles of IL-10 in the osteogenesis of human BMSCs via P38/MAPK and NF-κB signaling pathways. *FASEB J.* (2018) 32:4917–29. doi: 10.1096/ fj.201701256RRR

42. Sucur A, Jajic Z, Artukovic M, Matijasevic MI, Anic B, Flegar D, et al. Chemokine signals are crucial for enhanced homing and differentiation of circulating osteoclast progenitor cells. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2017) 19:142. doi: 10.1186/s13075-017-1337-6

43. Mödinger Y, Rapp A, Pazmandi J, Vikman A, Holzmann K, Haffner-Luntzer M, et al. C5aR1 interacts with TLR2 in osteoblasts and stimulates the osteoclast-inducing chemokine CXCL10. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2018) 22:6002–14. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.13873

44. Ilchovska DD, Barrow DM. An Overview of the NF-kB mechanism of pathophysiology in rheumatoid arthritis, investigation of the NF-kB ligand RANKL and related nutritional interventions. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2021) 20:102741. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102741

45. Phan QT, Chua KY, Jin A, Winkler C, Koh WP. CXCL9 predicts the risk of osteoporotic hip fracture in a prospective cohort of chinese men-A matched case-control study. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2022) 37:1843–9. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4646

46. Zhao Y, Kang H, Wu X, Zhuang P, Tu R, Goto T, et al. Multifunctional scaffold for osteoporotic pathophysiological microenvironment improvement and vascularized bone defect regeneration. *Adv Healthc Mater*. (2023) 12:e2203099. doi: 10.1002/ adhm.202203099

47. Coelho LF, Magno de Freitas Almeida G, Mennechet FJ, Blangy A, Uzé G. Interferon-alpha and -beta differentially regulate osteoclastogenesis: role of differential induction of chemokine CXCL11 expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2005) 102:11917–22. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0502188102

48. Tang P, Xiong Q, Ge W, Zhang L. The role of microRNAs in osteoclasts and osteoporosis. RNA Biol. (2014) 11:1355–63. doi: 10.1080/15476286.2014.996462

49. Xuan W, Feng X, Qian C, Peng L, Shi Y, Xu L, et al. Osteoclast differentiation gene expression profiling reveals chemokine CCL4 mediates RANKL-induced osteoclast migration and invasion via PI3K pathway. *Cell Biochem Funct.* (2017) 35:171–7. doi: 10.1002/cbf.3260

50. Lee D, Shin KJ, Kim DW, Yoon KA, Choi YJ, Lee BNR, et al. CCL4 enhances preosteoclast migration and its receptor CCR5 downregulation by RANKL promotes osteoclastogenesis. *Cell Death Dis.* (2018) 9:495. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0562-5

51. Yu X, Huang Y, Collin-Osdoby P, Osdoby P. CCR1 chemokines promote the chemotactic recruitment, RANKL development, and motility of osteoclasts and are induced by inflammatory cytokines in osteoblasts. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2004) 19:2065–77. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.040910

52. Shinohara K, Greenfield S, Pan H, Vasanji A, Kumagai K, Midura RJ, et al. Stromal cell-derived factor-1 and monocyte chemotactic protein-3 improve recruitment of osteogenic cells into sites of musculoskeletal repair. *J Orthop Res.* (2011) 29:1064–9. doi: 10.1002/jor.21374

53. Liu J, Li W, Wang Y, Fan W, Li P, Lin W, et al. Islet-1 overexpression in human mesenchymal stem cells promotes vascularization through monocyte chemoattractant protein-3. *Stem Cells*. (2014) 32:1843–54. doi: 10.1002/stem.1682

54. Kindstedt E, Holm CK, Sulniute R, Martinez-Carrasco I, Lundmark R, Lundberg P. CCL11, a novel mediator of inflammatory bone resorption. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:5334. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-05654-w

55. Tang M, Tian L, Luo G, Yu X. Interferon-gamma-mediated osteoimmunology. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:1508. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01508

56. Amarasekara DS, Kim S, Rho J. Regulation of osteoblast differentiation by cytokine networks. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:2851. doi: 10.3390/ijms22062851

57. Iguchi M, Hiroi M, Kanegae H, Ohmori Y. Costimulation of Murine Osteoblasts with Interferon-γ and Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Induces Apoptosis through Downregulation of Bcl-2 and Release of Cytochrome c from Mitochondria. *Mediators Inflamm.* (2018) 2018:3979606. doi: 10.1155/2018/3979606

58. Gao Y, Grassi F, Ryan MR, Terauchi M, Page K, Yang X, et al. IFN-gamma stimulates osteoclast formation and bone loss *in vivo* via antigen-driven T cell activation. *J Clin Invest.* (2007) 117:122–32. doi: 10.1172/jci30074

59. Rajpar I, Kumar G, Fortina P, Tomlinson RE. Toll-like receptor 4 signaling in osteoblasts is required for load-induced bone formation in mice. *iScience*. (2023) 26:106304. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2023.106304

60. Zhang Z, Wang F, Huang X, Sun H, Xu J, Qu H, et al. Engineered sensory nerve guides self-adaptive bone healing via NGF-trkA signaling pathway. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2023) 10:e2206155. doi: 10.1002/advs.202206155

61. Xu J, Li Z, Tower RJ, Negri S, Wang Y, Meyers CA, et al. NGF-p75 signaling coordinates skeletal cell migration during bone repair. *Sci Adv.* (2022) 8:eabl5716. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abl5716

62. Jing Y, Zhou Y, Zhou F, Wang X, Tao B, Sun L, et al. SIRT2 deficiency prevents age-related bone loss in rats by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-legrand)*. (2019) 65:66–71. doi: 10.14715/cmb/2019.65.7.12

63. Zou Z, Dai R, Deng N, Su W, Liu P. Exosomal miR-1275 secreted by prostate cancer cells modulates osteoblast proliferation and activity by targeting the SIRT2/RUNX2 cascade. *Cell Transplant.* (2021) 30:9636897211052977. doi: 10.1177/09636897211052977

64. Lin L, Guo Z, He E, Long X, Wang D, Zhang Y, et al. SIRT2 regulates extracellular vesicle-mediated liver-bone communication. *Nat Metab.* (2023) 5:821–41. doi: 10.1038/s42255-023-00803-0

65. Courbebaisse M, Lanske B. Biology of fibroblast growth factor 23: from physiology to pathology. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* (2018) 8:a031260. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a031260

66. Jurina A, Kasumović D, Delimar V, Filipec Kanižaj T, Japiec M, Dujmović T, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 23 and its role in bone diseases. *Growth Factors*. (2023) 42:1–12. doi: 10.1080/08977194.2023.2274579

67. Rupp T, Butscheidt S, Vettorazzi E, Oheim R, Barvencik F, Amling M, et al. High FGF23 levels are associated with impaired trabecular bone microarchitecture in patients with osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int.* (2019) 30:1655–62. doi: 10.1007/s00198-019-04996-7

68. Hannemann A, Nauck M, Völzke H, Weidner H, Platzbecker U, Hofbauer LC, et al. Interactions of anemia, FGF-23, and bone in healthy adults-results from the study of health in Pomerania (SHIP). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2021) 106:e288–99. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa716