### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Vikas Kumar Roy, Mizoram University, India

#### REVIEWED BY

Mónica Hebe Vazquez-Levin, National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET), Argentina Shun Bai, University of Science and Technology of China, China Xiaoyong Zeng, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China Jun Chen, Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Dongyu Yang Vdy1357@163.com

RECEIVED 31 October 2023 ACCEPTED 29 January 2024 PUBLISHED 12 March 2024

#### CITATION

Yuan Q, Hong R, Ni Y, Jiang M, Liu J, Chen Z and Yang D (2024) Correlation between seminal plasma biochemical markers and semen parameters in idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia: identification of biomarkers for L-carnitine therapy. *Front. Endocrinol.* 15:1330629. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2024.1330629

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yuan, Hong, Ni, Jiang, Liu, Chen and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Correlation between seminal plasma biochemical markers and semen parameters in idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia: identification of biomarkers for L-carnitine therapy

Qilong Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Ruifang Hong<sup>2</sup>, Yunping Ni<sup>1</sup>, Manbo Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Juan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Zhiqiang Chen<sup>1</sup> and Dongyu Yang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Reproductive Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Guangdong Provincial Fertility Hospital, Guangzhou, China

**Background:** L-carnitine therapy for idiopathic sperm abnormalities exhibits variable effectiveness, and currently, there are no established criteria to predict patient response. This study investigated correlations between seminal plasma markers and semen parameters to identify biomarkers that can guide indications for L-carnitine therapy indications in patients with idiopathic sperm abnormalities.

**Methods:** A retrospective review was conducted on 223 male patients with idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia, who sought medical attention at our clinic between January 2020 and October 2022. These patients underwent a pretreatment seminal plasma biochemical analysis, followed by a three-month continuous L-carnitine treatment. The correlation between seminal plasma biochemical parameters was analyzed. Semen quality was compared between cases with normal and abnormal seminal plasma biochemical parameters, both pretreatment and posttreatment. The correlation between the changes in semen parameters after treatment and seminal plasma biochemical parameters were investigated.

**Results:** Correlation analyses revealed significant associations between all pretreatment semen parameters and seminal plasma biochemical markers, except for liquefying time and the ratio of normal morphology. Subgroup analysis, stratified by seminal fructose, zinc, citric acid, and neutral glycosidase levels, demonstrated that abnormal groups exhibited significantly different levels of semen parameters compared with the normal groups. The changing difference and changing ratio in the ratio of forward motile sperm showed a negative correlation with seminal fructose levels (r=-0.165 and -0.144). The changing difference in semen volume was negatively correlated with the level of seminal neutral glycosidase (r=-0.158). The changing ratio in semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, and count of forward motile sperm all exhibited negative correlations with the levels of seminal neutral glycosidase (range from -0.178 to -0.224).

**Conclusion:** Seminal plasma biochemical markers, particularly fructose and neutral glycosidase, may serve as valuable indicators for determining the eligibility of patients with idiopathic sperm abnormalities for L-carnitine therapy.

KEYWORDS

seminal plasma biochemical markers, semen parameters, L-carnitine, male infertility, oligoasthenoteratospermia

## Introduction

Idiopathic sperm abnormalities represent a prevalent condition impacting male fertility, characterized by low sperm count, low sperm motility, or abnormal morphology without discernible pathological causes (1). Spermatogenesis, a complex process influenced by numerous internal and external factors (2), often results in an idiopathic diagnosis of infertility, prompting the widespread adoption of empirical and comprehensive treatments. The recognition of oxidative stress as a contributing factor to sperm damage (3) has spurred the utilization of antioxidant medications, such as L-carnitine, either as standalone therapies or in combination with other drugs, for the prolonged management of idiopathic sperm abnormalities (4, 5).

Nevertheless, despite a reasonably well-defined mechanism of drug action, the therapeutic effectiveness of antioxidants in treating idiopathic sperm abnormalities exhibits considerable variability (6–8). Furthermore, there is a lack of evidence-based criteria for discerning patients likely to derive benefit from this treatment (9–11). Addressing these challenges requires prompt efforts to pinpoint the appropriate patient population with idiopathic sperm abnormalities for antioxidant drug treatments.

In an animal study involving Teddy (Capra hircus) goats, Umar et al. illustrated dynamic interactions among different components of semen quality measurements and seminal plasma biochemical markers (12). In clinical settings, seminal plasma biochemical markers are frequently employed to evaluate the functional status of the epididymis and other accessory glands (13). However, the variability in detection methods and the broad spectrum of reference values may result in the inaccurate interpretation of the clinically significant reference values for these markers (14, 15).

To identify biomarkers that can be utilized to establish indications for L-carnitine therapy in patients with idiopathic sperm abnormalities, this study aimed to investigate the correlation between seminal plasma biochemical markers and semen parameters. The identification of pertinent biomarkers has the potential to enhance the accuracy of treatment selection and improve the effectiveness of L-carnitine therapy, thereby playing a crucial role in the effective management of infertility in this population.

### Materials and methods

### Study design and participants

This research was a single-center, retrospective cohort study conducted at a reproductive medicine center. From January 2020 to October 2022, 223 male outpatients seeking assistance for infertility concerns at the center were included. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients aged between 23 and 45 years; (2) a history of infertility lasting for more than one year; (3) normal testicular volume (with each testis measuring between 12-18 ml) and normal sex hormone levels; and (4) semen parameters following the 5th edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) laboratory manual. Patients were excluded from the study if they met any of the following criteria: (1) elevated semen white blood cell counts and abnormal liquefaction, (2) recent use of medications known to affect semen quality during the intervention period, (3) presence of underlying factors affecting sperm quality, such as varicocele, infections, and trauma. This study was approved by the Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine Research Ethics Committee (Approval No: ZE2023-157-01) and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethics committee waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because of the retrospective nature of the study.

### L-carnitine treatment

All patients received oral administration of levocarnitine solution (Northeast Pharmaceutical Group Shenyang First Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 10 ml:1 g/vial, lot number 191202-210943) at a dose of 1 vial per administration, twice daily, for a continuous period of three months. All patients received guidance on lifestyle adjustments aimed at optimizing treatment efficacy. These adjustments encompassed maintaining regular sleep patterns, avoiding late nights, abstaining from alcohol and tobacco, and avoiding chronic exposure to heat (including fever), radiation, and toxins. All patients were explicitly informed of these precautions, and instances of special circumstances were documented and assessed by the medical professionals to determine their inclusion in the analysis.

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; SD, standard deviation; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

# Assessment of semen parameters and seminal plasma biochemical markers

Semen routine and seminal plasma biochemical analysis were performed in accordance with the WHO's laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen (5th edition) (16). Semen samples were collected through masturbation into sterile and dry containers following a sexual abstinence period of 2-7 days. Sperm quality was assessed utilizing the Spanish SCA automatic semen analysis system, Nikon 50i phase-contrast microscope, and Siemens Bayer ADVIA Centaur automated chemistry and immunoassay analyzer. The analyzed semen parameters included semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, motility, morphology, semen white cell levels, and liquefying time. The seminal plasma biochemical markers included fructose, zinc, citric acid, and neutral glycosidase.

Sperm morphology was assessed using an improved Papanicolaou staining method. Slides were scrutinized under an oil immersion objective at 1000x magnification, with a minimum of 200 spermatozoa counted consecutively. Semen white blood cell concentration was determined using the Semen Leukocytes Peroxidase Staining Kit (Peroxidase Staining, SemenAssay<sup>®</sup>, BRED Life Science Technology Inc., Shenzhen, China). Levels of seminal plasma neutral alpha-glucosidase (SemenAssay® NAG, Modified Cooper method) and citric acid (SemenAssay<sup>®</sup> Citric, Enzymatic method) were assessed using test kits from BRED Life Science Technology Inc. Based on biochemical analyses of seminal plasma from fertile men, the normal reference ranges were established using the 5th percentile. The WHO recommends a normal reference value for neutral  $\alpha$ -glucosidase detection of  $\geq 20$ mU/ejaculation (16). For citric acid detection, the recommended normal reference value is 52 µmol/per time (17). Levels of seminal plasma zinc and fructose were determined by using chemical colorimetric test kits obtained from Nanjing Xindi Bio-Pharmaceutical Engineering Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China). According to the Human Sperm Bank Technical Training of the National Health Commission of China, the recommended reference values for seminal fructose using the resorcinol method are 0.87 to 3.95 g/L, and for seminal zinc using the chemical colorimetric method, the normal reference range is 0.8 to 2.5 mmol/L (18). The choice of these methods is based on the increasing trend in reported correlations between seminal fructose and zinc concentrations with seminal parameters (19, 20). The aforementioned seminal plasma biochemical markers were analyzed using an automated biochemical analyzer.

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and median. The comparison of means between groups was conducted using Student's independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test (if normality assumptions were not met). The comparisons between pretreatment and posttreatment results were performed using Student's paired t-test or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Pearson's correlation coefficient was employed

to depict the relationships between continuous variables. Multiple linear regression was utilized to further confirm the change in semen parameters after treatment with adjustments made for patient fructose, zinc in seminal plasma, citric acid, neutral glycosidase levels, and age. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Version 25 (SPSS Statistics V25, IBM Corporation, Somers, New York). The statistical significance level for all the tests was set at a two-tailed P value < 0.05.

## Results

### Patient clinical characteristics

A total of 223 male patients, with an average age of  $33.41 \pm 4.53$ (median 33) years were included in this study. Table 1 presents the patient's demographic and clinical characteristics, including age and seminal plasma biochemical markers (fructose, zinc, citric acid, neutral glycosidase), along with pretreatment and posttreatment semen parameters. These parameters encompass abstinence days, the ratio of forward motile sperm (%), semen volume (ml), sperm concentration (million/ml), white cell count (million/ml), liquefying time (minute), ratio of normal morphology (%), total sperm count (million), and count of forward motile sperm (million). As indicated in Table 1, following treatment, a significant improvement was observed in the semen parameters, including the ratio of forward motile sperm (%), semen volume (ml), sperm concentration (million/ml), ratio of normal morphology (%), total sperm count (million), and count of forward motile sperm (million) (all P<0.05).

### Correlations between pretreatment semen parameters and seminal plasma biochemical markers

To examine the relationship between pretreatment semen parameters and seminal plasma biochemical markers, correlation coefficient analyses were performed. As shown in Table 2, all pretreatment semen parameters exhibited significant correlations with some or all of the seminal plasma biochemical markers, except for the ratio of normal morphology. These results suggest that seminal plasma biochemical markers may exert an influence on baseline seminal parameters. To gain further insights into these influences, subsequent subgroup analyses were undertaken.

# Subgroup analyses stratified by seminal fructose and zinc levels

All variables were compared between the abnormal (<0.87 g/L) and normal ( $\geq$ 0.87 g/L) fructose groups. As shown in Table 3, the abnormal group exhibited significantly higher levels of zinc in seminal plasma, a longer abstinence day (pre), higher sperm concentration (pre and post), and lower ratio of forward motile sperm (pre) and semen volume (pre and post) (all *P*<0.05)

### TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of all patients, mean ± SD (median).

Parameters	Pretreatment (baseline)	Posttreatment	Ρ		
Basic information					
Age, year	33.41 ± 4.53 (33.00)				
Seminal plasma bio	ochemical markers				
Fructose, g/L	2.01 ± 1.08 (2.00)				
Zinc in seminal plasma, mmol/L	2.45 ± 0.98 (2.42)				
Citric acid, umol/ per time	103.80 ± 58.10 (92.26)				
Neutral glycosidase, mU/per time	61.03 ± 41.48 (55.44)				
Semen parameters					
Abstinence, day	4.13 ± 1.93 (4.00)	4.15 ± 1.27 (4.00)	0.444		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	24.67 ± 12.56 (23.70)	30.50 ± 13.54 (29.60)	< 0.001		
Semen volume, ml	3.32 ± 1.34 (3.20)	3.54 ± 1.41 (3.40)	0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	59.58 ± 62.77 (45.70)	68.33 ± 78.77 (47.50)	0.012		
White cell, million/ml	0.46 ± 0.93 (0.20)	0.42 ± 0.75 (0.20)	0.625		
Liquefying time, minute	29.71 ± 5.53 (30.00)	30.49 ± 5.83 (30)	0.082		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.65 ± 1.64 (2.00)	3.11 ± 1.81 (3.00)	< 0.001		
Total sperm count, million	173.95 ± 130.46 (139.05)	207.14 ± 170.89(162.36)	0.007		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	42.13 ± 38.41 (31.89)	61.11 ± 53.76 (49.46)	< 0.001		

The comparisons between pre- and post-treatment were using Wilcoxon signed ranks test.

compared to the normal group. Notably, all abstinence periods ranged from 2 to 7 days, both before and after treatment, in compliance with the specified regulation. Before treatment, the normal group demonstrated a significantly higher ratio of forward motile sperm (P=0.005) than the abnormal group. However, after treatment, the difference in the ratio of forward motile sperm between the two groups was no longer significant (P=0.112).

In the subgroup analyses stratified by zinc levels in seminal plasma, patients with abnormal zinc levels (<1.2mmol/L) exhibited significantly lower citric acid levels (*P*<0.05, Table 4).

# Subgroup analyses stratified by seminal citric acid and neutral glycosidase levels

All variables were compared between the normal and abnormal groups for citric acid (Table 5) and neutral glycosidase (Table 6) levels in the seminal plasma. Patients with abnormal citric acid levels ( $<52 \mu$ mol/per time) had significantly lower levels of zinc and neutral glycosidase, reduced semen volume (pre and post), and a higher ratio of normal morphology (pre) (all *P*<0.05, Table 5). Additionally, the ratio of normal morphology was significantly higher in the abnormal citric acid group before treatment (*P*=0.012), but no significant difference was observed between the groups after treatment (*P*=0.478).

As shown in Table 6, patients with abnormal neutral glycosidase levels (<20 mU/per time) had significantly lower citric acid levels, semen volume (pre and post), sperm concentration (pre), total sperm count (pre and post), and forward motile sperm count (pre and post) (all P<0.05). The sperm concentration was significantly lower in the abnormal neutral glycosidase group before treatment (P=0.004), but no significant difference was observed between the groups after treatment (P=0.192).

### Changing difference and changing ratio (%)

To mitigate the influence of baseline characteristics, the change in difference and change in ratio (%) of semen parameters were further analyzed. The change in difference was defined as the posttreatment results minus the pretreatment results, and the change in ratio (%)

TABLE 2 Correlation coefficient analysis between pretreatment semen parameters and seminal plasma biochemical markers.

Pearson's r	Fructose	Zinc in seminal plasma	Citric acid	Neutral glycosidase
Age, year	-0.029	0.106	-0.001	0.020
Pretreatment semen parameters				
Abstinence, day	-0.062	0.067	0.125*	0.062
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	0.275**	-0.201**	0.022	-0.053
Semen volume, ml	0.392**	-0.231**	0.543**	0.325**
Sperm concentration, million/ml	-0.344**	0.152**	-0.137*	0.155**
Ratio of normal morphology, %	-0.097	0.014	-0.029	-0.052
Total sperm count, million	-0.178**	0.044	0.106	0.395**
Count of forward motile sperm, million	0.031	-0.067	0.140*	0.299**

The association between variables was analyzed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01. TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis stratified by fructose level, mean  $\pm$  SD (median).

Parameters	<0.87 g/L (n=39)	≥0.87 g/L (n=184)	Ρ		
Basic information					
Age, year	34.03 ± 4.76 (33.00)	33.28 ± 4.48 (33.00)	0.514		
Seminal plasma bioche	mical markers				
Fructose, g/L	0.54 ± 0.24 (0.58)	2.32 ± 0.92 (2.17)	< 0.001		
Zinc in seminal plasma, mmol/L	3.15 ± 0.89 (3.10)	2.31 ± 0.94 (2.18)	<0.001		
Citric acid, umol/per time	102.22 ± 56.53 (97.68)	104.13 ± 58.58 (91.59)	0.947		
Neutral glycosidase, mU/ per time	63.55 ± 45.94 (56.70)	60.49 ± 40.58 (54.30)	0.733		
Pretreatment semen pa	rameters				
Abstinence, day	4.44 ± 1.37 (4.00)	4.06 ± 2.03 (4.00)	0.047		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	19.37 ± 9.58 (17.20)	25.79 ± 12.85 (24.25)	0.005		
Semen volume, ml	2.44 ± 1.09 (2.40)	3.51 ± 1.31 (3.40)	< 0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	100.81 ± 115.71 (84.80)	50.84 ± 39.50 (40.75)	< 0.001		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.97 ± 2.08 (2.00)	2.58 ± 1.52 (2.00)	0.695		
Total sperm count, million	196.75 ± 127.19 (193)	169.11 ± 130.97 (135.20)	0.072		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	41.01 ± 38.68 (31.35)	42.37 ± 38.45 (32.02)	0.887		
Posttreatment semen parameters					
Abstinence, day	4.21 ± 1.15 (4.00)	4.14 ± 1.29 (4.00)	0.639		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	27.87 ± 13.20 (24.50)	31.06 ± 13.58 (30.40)	0.112		
Semen volume, ml	2.54 ± 1.30 (2.20)	3.75 ± 1.34 (3.60)	< 0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	121.37 ± 149.45 (94)	57.09 ± 46.50 (41.45)	< 0.001		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	3.67 ± 2.38 (3.00)	2.98 ± 1.64 (3.00)	0.117		
Total sperm count, million	241.98 ± 214.34 (183.18)	199.75 ± 159.91 (155.61)	0.173		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	65.75 ± 57.00 (51.24)	60.12 ± 53.16 (47.62)	0.564		

The comparisons were using Student's independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test (if normality assumptions were not met).

was defined as the ratio of the change in difference compared to the pretreatment results. As shown in Table 7, the changing of forward

TABLE 4 Subgroup analysis stratified by zinc level, mean ± SD (median).

Parameters	<1.2 mmol/L (n=22)	nmol/L <u>&gt;1.2 mmol/L</u> 2) (n=201)			
Basic information					
Age, year	33.18 ± 4.19 (33.50)	33.43 ± 4.57 (33.00)	0.877		
Seminal plasma bioch	emical markers				
Fructose, g/L	2.47 ± 1.44 (2.15)	1.96 ± 1.02 (2.00)	0.217		
Zinc in seminal plasma, mmol/L	0.85 ± 0.27 (0.91)	2.63 ± 0.86 (2.56)	<0.001		
Citric acid, umol/per time	71.44 ± 50.46 (61.56)	107.34 ± 57.90 (97.68)	0.001		
Neutral glycosidase, mU/ per time	73.51 ± 37.88 (70.02)	59.66 ± 41.71 (54.06)	0.066		
Pretreatment semen p	parameters				
Abstinence, day	3.68 ± 1.43 (3.00)	4.17 ± 1.98 (4.00)	0.134		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	29.14 ± 12.57 (27.15)	24.18 ± 12.50 (22.50)	0.062		
Semen volume, ml	3.88 ± 1.48 (3.450)	3.26 ± 1.31 (3.20)	0.092		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	56.23 ± 59.94 ±   49.85 (39.60) 64.12 (46.90)		0.650		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.98 ± 1.78 (3.00)	2.62 ± 1.62 (2.00)	0.239		
Total sperm count, million	177.20 ± 107.75 (160.13)	173.59 ± 132.93 (137.08)	0.507		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	52.96 ± 41.48 (44.71)	40.94 ± 37.98 (29.54)	0.128		
Posttreatment semen parameters					
Abstinence, day	4.09 ± 1.23 (4.00)	4.15 ± 1.27 (4.00)	0.834		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	33.02 ± 11.28 (32.35)	30.22 ± 13.76 (29.00)	0.159		
Semen volume, ml	3.70 ± 1.55 (3.20)	3.52 ± 1.39 (3.40)	0.843		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	61.72 ± 55.47 (45.95)	69.05 ± 80.98 (49.70)	0.833		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.98 ± 1.58 (3.00)	3.12 ± 1.83 (3.00)	0.898		
Total sperm count, million	196.15 ± 137.73 (149.58)	.15 ± 208.34 ± .73 (149.58) 174.38 (162.84)			
Count of forward motile sperm, million	62.97 ± 51.19 (45.38)	60.90 ± 54.15 (49.46)	0.707		

The comparisons were using Student's independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test (if normality assumptions were not met).

motile sperm ratio, sperm concentration, semen volume, ratio of normal morphology, total sperm count, and count of forward motile sperm all exhibited improvements after treatment in terms of changing differences (all P<0.01). Moreover, in the changing ratio (%), all nine parameters demonstrated positive improvements after treatment (all P<0.01).

TABLE 5 Subgroup analysis stratified by citric acid level, mean  $\pm$  SD (median).

Parameters	<52 umol/per time (n=40) time (n=183)		Р		
Basic information					
Age, year	33.78 ± 4.41 (34.00) 33.33 ± 4.56 (33.00)		0.496		
Seminal plasma bi	ochemical markers	;			
Fructose, g/L	1.78 ± 0.91 (1.78)	2.06 ± 1.11 (2.04)	0.196		
Zinc in seminal plasma, mmol/L	1.89 ± 0.95 (1.77)	2.58 ± 0.95 (2.56)	< 0.001		
Citric acid, umol/ per time	35.72 ± 10.89 (35.37)	118.68 ± 53.40 (110.23)	< 0.001		
Neutral glycosidase, mU/per time	44.59 ± 36.19 (36.52)	64.62 ± 41.78 (57.12)	0.003		
Pretreatment sem	en parameters				
Abstinence, day	3.93 ± 1.25 (4.00)	4.17 ± 2.05 (4.00)	0.679		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	23.92 ± 11.92 (22.20)	24.83 ± 12.73 (23.80)	0.690		
Semen volume, ml	2.54 ± 1.11 (2.35)	3.49 ± 1.33 (3.40)	< 0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	85.67 ± 119.00 (51.05)	53.87 ± 39.87 (44.50)	0.318		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	3.25 ± 1.78 (3.00)	2.52 ± 1.58 (2.00)	0.012		
Total sperm count, million	162.64 ± 136.31 (134.07)	176.42 ± 129.39 (139.36)	0.384		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	37.22 ± 36.72 (27.23)	43.20 ± 38.78 (32.16)	0.419		
Posttreatment semen parameters					
Abstinence, day	4.05 ± 1.62 (4.00)	4.17 ± 1.18 (4.00)	0.357		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	32.11 ± 16.08 (31.85)	30.15 ± 12.94 (29.20)	0.603		
Semen volume, ml	2.84 ± 1.18 (2.65)	3.69 ± 1.41 (3.50)	< 0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	99.08 ± 150.30 (50.80)	61.61 ± 49.74 (47.20)	0.406		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	3.39 ± 2.13 (3.00)	3.04 ± 1.73 (3.00)	0.261		
Total sperm count, million	199.33 ± 172.73 (136.60)	208.84 ± 170.91 (165.36)	0.478		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	60.53 ± 54.95 (46.20)	61.23 ± 53.65 (49.51)	0.715		

The comparisons were using Student's independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test (if normality assumptions were not met).

# Correlation coefficient and multiple linear regression

The correlations between changing difference or changing ratio (%) of semen parameters and the four seminal plasma biochemical

TABLE 6 Subgroup analysis stratified by neutral glycosidase level, mean  $\pm$  SD (median).

Parameters	<20 mU/per ≥20 mU/per time (n=39) time (n=184)		Р		
Basic information					
Age, year	33.44 ± 4.39 (33.00)	33.40 ± 4.57 (33.00)	0.938		
Seminal plasma bio	ochemical markers				
Fructose, g/L	1.94 ± 0.93 (2.00)	2.02 ± 1.11 (2.00)	0.953		
Zinc in seminal plasma, mmol/L	2.68 ± 1.00 (2.65)	2.40 ± 0.97 (2.33)	0.093		
Citric acid, umol/ per time	71.63 ± 47.67 (55.23)	110.61 ± 57.94 (104.93)	< 0.001		
Neutral glycosidase, mU/per time	12.57 ± 4.76 (13.86)	71.30 ± 38.42 (63.32)	< 0.001		
Pretreatment seme	en parameters				
Abstinence, day	3.95 ± 1.23 (4.00)	4.16 ± 2.05 (4.00)	0.686		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	25.31 ± 13.19 (22.50)	24.53 ± 12.46 (23.70)	0.803		
Semen volume, ml	2.56 ± 1.14 (2.40)	3.48 ± 1.32 (3.40)	< 0.001		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	58.05 ± 114.24 (27.30)	59.90 ± 45.49 (48.30)	0.004		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.63 ± 1.68 (2.50)	2.66 ± 1.63 (2.00)	0.887		
Total sperm count, million	97.17 ± 82.23 (74.80)	190.22 ± 133.11 (154.23)	<0.001		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	22.83 ± 20.63 (15.88)	46.22 ± 40.06 (34.44)	< 0.001		
Posttreatment semen parameters					
Abstinence, day	4.15 ± 1.53 (4.00)	4.15 ± 1.21 (4.00)	0.909		
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	30.42 ± 14.58 (29.30)	30.52 ± 13.35 (30.25)	0.786		
Semen volume, ml	2.96 ± 1.33 (3.00)	3.66 ± 1.40 (3.50)	0.010		
Sperm concentration, million/ml	74.18 ± 144.32 (37.90)	67.09 ± 56.51 (51.15)	0.192		
Ratio of normal morphology, %	2.56 ± 1.14 (2.50)	3.22 ± 1.90 (3.00)	0.066		
Total sperm count, million	135.18 ± 94.39 (113.19)	222.39 ± 179.55 (169.13)	0.003		
Count of forward motile sperm, million	42.82 ± 36.86 (30.86)	64.98 ± 56.01 (51.00)	0.011		

The comparisons were using Student's independent t test or Mann–Whitney U test (if normality assumptions were not met).

markers were analyzed. As shown in Table 8, except for abstinence day, and ratio of normal morphology, all other semen parameters exhibited correlations with some of the seminal plasma biochemical markers. Notably, the ratio of forward motile sperm was negatively related to fructose (both changing difference and ratio, r=-0.165 and -0.144), and semen volume showed a negative correlation with neutral glycosidase (both changing difference and ratio, r=-0.158 and -0.178). In terms of changing ratio, it was found that semen

Devemeters	Chang	ing difference	Changing ratio (%)	
Parameters	Compared to 0	Р	Compared to 0	Р
Abstinence, day	0.02 ± 2.14	0.876	13.16 ± 52.93	<0.001
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	5.83 ± 12.17	<0.001	46.53 ± 94.47	<0.001
Semen volume, ml	$0.22 \pm 0.97$	< 0.001	11.79 ± 37.02	<0.001
Sperm concentration, million/ml	8.75 ± 39.73	0.001	37.31 ± 105.53	<0.001
Ratio of normal morphology, %	0.45 ± 1.72	< 0.001	42.99 ± 103.03	<0.001
Total sperm count, million	33.19 ± 139.67	<0.001	63.38 ± 177.58	<0.001
Count of forward motile sperm, million	18.98 ± 47.05	<0.001	130.14 ± 275.50	<0.001

#### TABLE 7 The changing difference and changing ratio (%) of semen parameters after treatment of all patients.

The statistical test was one-sample t-test.

volume, sperm concentration, total sperm count, and forward motile sperm count were all negatively related to citric acid and neutral glycosidase (r value range from -0.114 to -0.224). To further validate treatment improvement, a multiple linear regression analysis was conducted. After adjusting for the effects of fructose, zinc in seminal plasma, citric acid, neutral glycosidase levels, and patient age, only the ratio of forward motile sperm (adjusted changing difference=5.83, P=0.017) and ratio of normal

morphology (adjusted changing difference=0.45, P=0.004) remained statistically significant. An interaction effect between the ratio of forward motile sperm and fructose level was also observed (P=0.006). In summary, based on the results of the changing ratio (%) and multiple linear regression, it appears that the improved ratio of forward motile sperm and ratio of normal morphology were influenced not only by seminal plasma biochemical markers or patient age.

TABLE 8 Correlation coefficient analysis between semen parameters' posttreatment changing difference/ratio and seminal plasma biochemical markers.

	Seminal plasma biochemical markers				
Pearson's r	Fructose	Zinc in seminal plasma	Citric acid	Neutral glycosidase	Age
Changing difference					
Abstinence, day	0.025	-0.069	-0.087	0.018	-0.095
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	-0.165*	-0.026	-0.035	-0.023	-0.032
Semen volume, ml	0.030	-0.007	-0.024	-0.158*	0.108
Sperm concentration, million/ml	-0.112	0.072	-0.115	-0.066	0.061
Ratio of normal morphology, %	-0.109	0.018	0.029	0.097	-0.171*
Total sperm count, million	-0.037	-0.003	-0.070	-0.033	0.104
Count of forward motile sperm, million	-0.110	-0.030	-0.073	-0.037	0.082
Changing ratio (%)					
Abstinence, day	0.059	-0.073	-0.032	0.036	-0.100
Ratio of forward motile sperm, %	-0.144*	0.015	-0.035	-0.043	-0.006
Semen volume, ml	-0.009	0.007	-0.114	-0.178**	0.129
Sperm concentration, million/ml	0.054	-0.022	-0.151*	-0.180**	-0.014
Ratio of normal morphology, %	-0.007	0.020	0.014	0.047	-0.096
Total sperm count, million	0.057	-0.013	-0.178**	-0.224**	0.014
Count of forward motile sperm, million	-0.014	-0.006	-0.206**	-0.216**	0.066

The association between variables was analyzed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01.

## Discussion

Free carnitine is predominantly present in epididymal tissue and semen (21). The epididymis serves as a site for sperm maturation and storage, where levels of free carnitine directly impact the processes of sperm maturation and metabolism, ultimately affecting sperm motility and fertilization ability. In this study, following the 3-month L-carnitine treatment, all semen parameters exhibited significant improvement in both difference and ratio (all P<0.01). These findings indicate that L-carnitine has a notable therapeutic effect on the overall enhancement of semen quality. This effect may be attributed to the fact that, as an effective antioxidant, L-carnitine can prevent the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and promote their elimination, thereby safeguarding sperm from oxidative damage (22). In addition, Lcarnitine functions as a mitochondrial protective agent by regulating the acetyl-CoA/CoA ratio and preventing ROSmediated cell apoptosis, among other functions (23). However, optimal sperm function and fertilization require moderate levels of oxidative stress, but excessive oxidative stress can result in sperm damage and infertility (24). Moreover, patients with idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia may exhibit varying degrees of oxidative stress damage (25). While antioxidant drugs may be necessary for treatment, variations in drug absorption and utilization exist among patients, and the appropriate levels of oxidative stress for different epididymal environments still need investigation. Therefore, the presence of oxidative stress damage alone cannot be considered an indication for L-carnitine treatment.

In this study, the duration of abstinence was positively correlated with the level of seminal citric acid (r =  $0.125^*$ , P < 0.05), a marker indicative of the secretory function of the prostate gland (26). Therefore, a prolonged period of abstinence led to an elevated accumulation of citric acid in the prostate gland. The proportion of forward motile sperm exhibited a positive correlation with seminal fructose (r=0.275, P<0.01), a sugar secreted by the seminal vesicles known to provide the necessary energy for sperm motility (27). In contrast, the proportion of forward motile sperm exhibited a negative correlation with seminal zinc (r=-0.201, P<0.01), Zinc, primarily secreted by the prostate gland, has been associated with various critical processes that contribute to the acquisition of fertilization ability by spermatozoa, such as motility, capacitation, and acrosomal exocytosis (28). However, it should be noted that elevated levels of zinc in seminal plasma lead to an increase in intraspermatozoal zinc levels. Given the negative correlation between zinc and pH, an elevation in seminal plasma zinc may result in a reduction of intracellular pH. This pH decrease contributes to a decline in the respiration and motility of spermatozoa (29). Optimal concentrations of Zn2+ have been shown to enhance sperm motility, while elevated concentrations of zinc have been observed to hinder sperm motility (30).

Semen volume is associated with the secretory function of the accessory gonads, including the prostate gland and seminal vesicles (31). Seminal citric acid and seminal fructose serve as indicators of the secretory function of the prostate gland and seminal vesicles, respectively (26). Given that the secretions from these two glands constitute the primary proportion of semen volume, semen volume

exhibited a positive correlation with seminal citric acid (r=0.543, P<0.01) and seminal fructose (r=0.392, P<0.01). Consistent with these findings, subgroup analyses stratified by seminal fructose showed that in both pretreatment and posttreatment, the normal fructose group exhibited a significantly higher semen volume than the abnormal fructose group. Conversely, an elevated semen secretion volume results in a reduction in sperm concentration, establishing a negative correlation between sperm concentration and seminal citric acid (r=-0.137, P<0.05)/seminal fructose (r=-0.344, P<0.01). Subgroup analyses stratified by seminal fructose also showed that in both pretreatment and posttreatment, the normal fructose group showed significantly lower sperm concentrations than the abnormal fructose group (both P<0.001). This observation can be attributed to the fact that when there is no significant difference in total sperm count between the two groups (pretreatment and posttreatment, both P>0.05), a high semen volume can lead to a decrease in sperm concentration.

Our findings demonstrated that sperm concentration was positively correlated with seminal zinc (r=0.152, P<0.01) emphasizing the crucial role of zinc in spermatogenesis. Zinc acts as a constituent of steroid receptors and metalloenzymes involved in DNA transcription (32). In this study, seminal neutral glycosidase was positively correlated with sperm concentration (r=0.155, P<0.01) and total sperm count (r=0.395, P<0.01). Seminal neutral glucosidase serves as a crucial marker of epididymal function (33). Given the pivotal role of the epididymis in sperm maturation and storage, the secretory function of the epididymis significantly influences both sperm concentration and quantity (34). In the subgroup analysis, the abnormal zinc group showed a significant decrease in citric acid concentration compared to the normal zinc group (P=0.001). This observation can be attributed to the roles of both zinc and citric acid in the secretion function of the prostate gland (35). Consequently, when the secretion function of the prostate gland diminishes, there is a certain degree of synchronicity between zinc and citric acid levels. Similarly, the subgroup analysis showed that in both pretreatment and posttreatment, the normal citrate acid group exhibited significantly higher semen volume than the citrate acid abnormal group (both P<0.001), as prostatic fluid constitutes the primary component of semen volume.

In this study, subgroup analyses revealed that some semen parameters exhibited significant differences between the two groups before treatment. Specifically, before treatment, the normal fructose group exhibited a significantly higher ratio of forward motile sperm than the abnormal fructose group (P=0.005). However, after three months of L-carnitine treatment, both groups showed improvement, and there was no significant difference in the ratio of forward motile sperm between the two groups. These findings suggest that L-carnitine may exert a more favorable therapeutic effect on the sperm vitality of the abnormal fructose group. Similarly, before treatment, the citric acid normal group displayed a lower proportion of normal sperm morphology than the citric acid abnormal group (P=0.012). However, after treatment, there was no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.261). These results imply that L-carnitine may have a more effective therapeutic effect on improving sperm morphology in the normal

citric acid group. Moreover, before treatment, the abnormal neutral glycosidase group exhibited a significantly lower sperm concentration than the normal group (P=0.004). However, after treatment, there was no significant difference in sperm concentration between the two groups (P=0.192). These findings imply that L-carnitine may possess a stronger therapeutic potential for enhancing sperm concentration in the neutral glycosidase abnormal group. Furthermore, these findings also imply that these seminal plasma biochemical markers could potentially serve as biomarkers for determining indications for L-carnitine therapy in patients with idiopathic sperm abnormalities. Therefore, further investigations are needed to explore this potential.

In this study, the correlation analysis between the changing difference/ratio of semen parameters posttreatment and seminal plasma biochemical markers demonstrated a negative correlation between both the percentage increase and the rate of forward motility sperm with seminal fructose levels. Integrating this with the correlation analysis of seminal plasma biochemistry and pretreatment semen parameters, it is evident that seminal fructose plays a role in providing the energy needed for sperm activity in semen, as indicated by the positive correlation with the proportion of forward motile sperm. Nevertheless, there might be other related factors contributing to abnormal sperm motility in the normal fructose group, such as abnormal sperm tail shape and mitochondrial function. These factors could potentially influence the treatment efficiency of L-carnitine for these patients. Other studies have indicated no significant correlation between sperm vitality and seminal fructose concentration (19). However, further analysis found that the "Corrected Fructose (logarithm of sperm concentration multiplied by seminal fructose)" exhibits a significant positive correlation with sperm vitality (19).

The seminal neutral glucosidase levels exhibited negative correlations with the changing difference (r=-0.158, P<0.05) and changing ratio (r=-0.178, P<0.01) of semen volume, as well as the changing ratio of sperm concentration (r=-0.180, P<0.01), total sperm count (r=-0.224, P<0.01) and forward motility sperm count (r=-0.216, P<0.01). Seminal neutral glycosidase levels serve as indicators of the functional state of the epididymis, where sperm undergo further maturation, are stored, and aged sperm are absorbed. Abnormalities in the epididymis's secretion function can lead to damage in the sperm storage environment, resulting in premature aging of the sperm. This, in turn, can impact both the concentration and quantity of sperm. The levels of L-carnitine in the epididymis directly influence the maturation and metabolic processes of sperm; therefore, L-carnitine supplementation proves particularly beneficial for patients with abnormal epididymal function.

In the subgroup analyses, neutral glycosidase levels were significantly higher in the normal citric acid group than in the abnormal citric acid group (P=0.003), while citric acid levels were significantly higher in the normal neutral glucosidase group than in the abnormal neutral glucosidase group (P<0.001). These results indicated that in patients with idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia, the secretion of neutral glycosidase and citric acid exhibits a certain degree of synchronization. In this study, the seminal citric acid levels were also negatively correlated with the changing ratio of sperm

concentration (r=-0.151, P<0.05), total sperm count (r=-0.178, P<0.01), and forward motility sperm count (r=-0.206, P<0.01). This finding differs from previous research on the correlation between citric acid concentration and sperm parameters (26). Notably, our study found that the pretreatment and posttreatment sperm concentration, total sperm count, and forward motility sperm count were not significantly different between the normal citric acid group and the abnormal citric acid group. The underlying mechanism might be attributed to the synchronization in the secretion levels of neutral glycosidase and citric acid. Consequently, seminal citric acid levels may offer limited predictive value for assessing the therapeutic efficacy of L-carnitine.

The multivariate linear regression analysis, adjusted for the four seminal plasma biochemical markers, demonstrated that the changing ratio of forward motile sperm (adjusted changing difference=5.83, P=0.017) and normal morphology (adjusted changing difference=0.45, P=0.004) remained significant. These findings indicated the existence of potential additional predictors of L-carnitine treatment efficacy. Another plausible explanation is that L-carnitine might enhance sperm quality, specifically motility and morphology, through alternative mechanisms, such as protection against oxidative stress damage.

This study has certain limitations, including its single-center nature and a relatively small sample size. Another limitation is that the study did not consider other factors that may affect seminal plasma biochemical markers and semen parameters, such as age, body mass index, seminal ROS, seminal L-carnitine levels and lifestyle factors. In addition, additional subgroup analysis based on abstinence time could provide a more comprehensive and accurate assessment of the study results. Therefore, future studies should involve larger sample sizes and a more comprehensive range of variables and should be conducted in multiple centers to confirm the findings of this study. Furthermore, Lcarnitine levels in seminal plasma were not assessed due to the lack of a universally recognized clinical testing assay kit.

## Conclusion

This study suggests that L-carnitine therapy effectively improved most semen parameters in patients with idiopathic sperm abnormalities. Seminal plasma biochemical markers, especially fructose, and neutral glycosidase, may be useful for determining indications for L-carnitine therapy in patients with idiopathic oligoasthenoteratospermia. Specifically, the L-carnitine treatment regimen may be more appropriate for asthenospermia patients with low seminal fructose levels, as well as low semen volume and oligospermia patients with low seminal neutral glycosidase levels.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

#### 10.3389/fendo.2024.1330629

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by The Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine Research Ethics Committee (Approval No: ZE2023-157-01). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The requirement of patient informed consent was waived in view of the retrospective nature of the study.

### Author contributions

QY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. RH: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YN: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. MJ: Formal analysis, Software, Writing – review & editing. JL: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. ZC: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. DY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the collaborative project from the Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Dongguan Dongyangguang Cordyceps Sinensis R & D Co., Ltd. horizontal project of Guangdong Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine [No. F561(8396)]. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our director, Shan Lu, for her guidance through each stage of the process, and thank our colleague, Zhiping Huang, for her help of gathering data.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

 Leslie SW, Soon-Sutton TL, Khan MA. Male Infertility(2023). StatPearls. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK562258/ (Accessed March 21, 2023).

2. Li Z, Wang S, Gong C, Hu Y, Liu J, Wang W, et al. Effects of environmental and pathological hypoxia on male fertility. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:725933. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.725933

3. Panner Selvam MK, Baskaran S, Sikka SC. Telomere signaling and maintenance pathways in spermatozoa of infertile men treated with antioxidants: an in silico approach using bioinformatic analysis. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:768510. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.768510

 Majzoub A, Agarwal A. Antioxidant therapy in idiopathic oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. Indian J Urol (2017) 33:207. doi: 10.4103/JJU.IJU\_15\_17

5. Takeshima T, Usui K, Mori K, Asai T, Yasuda K, Kuroda S, et al. Oxidative stress and male infertility. *Reprod Med Biol* (2021) 20:41–52. doi: 10.1002/rmb2.12353

6. Arafa M, Agarwal A, Majzoub A, Selvam MKP, Baskaran S, Henkel R, et al. Efficacy of antioxidant supplementation on conventional and advanced sperm function tests in patients with idiopathic male infertility. *Antioxidants* (2020) 9(3):219. doi: 10.3390/antiox9030219

7. Agarwal A, Leisegang K, Majzoub A, Henkel R, Finelli R, Selvam MKP, et al. Utility of antioxidants in the treatment of male infertility: Clinical guidelines based on a systematic review and analysis of evidence. *World J Mens Health* (2021) 39:1–58. doi: 10.5534/WJMH.200196

8. Li KP, Yang XS, Wu T. The effect of antioxidants on sperm quality parameters and pregnancy rates for idiopathic male infertility: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:810242/FULL. doi: 10.3389/FENDO.2022.810242/FULL

9. Pandruvada S, Royfman R, Shah TA, Sindhwani P, Dupree JM, Schon S, et al. Lack of trusted diagnostic tools for undetermined male infertility. *J Assist Reprod Genet* (2021) 38:265–76. doi: 10.1007/s10815-020-02037-5

10. Jung JH, Seo JT. Empirical medical therapy in idiopathic male infertility: Promise or panacea? *Clin Exp Reprod Med* (2014) 41:108–14. doi: 10.5653/ cerm.2014.41.3.108

11. Agarwal A, Parekh N, Selvam MKP, Henkel R, Shah R, Homa ST, et al. Male oxidative stress infertility (MOSI): Proposed terminology and clinical practice guidelines for management of idiopathic male infertility. *World J Men's Heal* (2019) 37:296–312. doi: 10.5534/wjmh.190055

12. Umar S, Ahmad M, Ahmad I, Zubair M, Umar Z, Qureshi AS, et al. Correlation of biochemical constituents of seminal plasma with semen quality in Teddy goat (Capra hircus) bucks. *Andrologia* (2018) 50(3). doi: 10.1111/AND.12940

13. Vashisht A, Gahlay GK. Understanding seminal plasma in male infertility: emerging markers and their implications. *Andrology* (2023). doi: 10.1111/ANDR.13563

14. Tanga BM, Qamar AY, Raza S, Bang S, Fang X, Yoon K, et al. Semen evaluation: methodological advancements in sperm quality-specific fertility assessment — A review. *Anim Biosci* (2021) 34:1253. doi: 10.5713/AB.21.0072

15. Bieniek JM, Drabovich AP, Lo KC. Seminal biomarkers for the evaluation of male infertility. *Asian J Androl* (2016) 18:426. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.175781

16. Organization WH. WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen. 5th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization (2010).

17. World Health Organisation. WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination of Human Semen and Sperm-Cervical Mucus Interaction. 3rd ed. CUP: Cambridge University Press (1993).

18. Tang Y, Zhang X, Lu J. Practical Laboratory Technology In Reproductive Andrology. Guangzhou: Guangdong Sci Technol Press (2019) p. 78–88.

19. Gonzales GF, Villena A. True corrected seminal fructose level: A better marker of the function of seminal vesicles in infertile men. *Int J Androl* (2001) 24:255–60. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2605.2001.00306.x

20. Dissanayake DMAB, Wijesinghe P, Ratnasooriya W, Wimalasena S. Relationship between seminal plasma zinc and semen quality in a subfertile population. *J Hum Reprod Sci* (2010) 3:124-8. doi: 10.4103/0974-1208.74153

21. Szymański M, Wandtke T, Wasilow K, Andryszczyk M, Janicki R, Domaracki P. Comparison of 3- and 6-month outcomes of combined oral L-carnitine fumarate and acetyl-L-carnitine therapy, included in an antioxidant formulation, in patients with idiopathic infertility. *Am J Mens Health* (2021) 15(5). doi: 10.1177/15579883211036790

22. Agarwal A, Majzoub A. Role of antioxidants in assisted reproductive techniques. *World J Mens Health* (2017) 35:77–93. doi: 10.5534/WJMH.2017.35.2.77

23. Virmani MA, Cirulli M. The role of l-carnitine in mitochondria, prevention of metabolic inflexibility and disease initiation. *Int J Mol Sci* (2022) 23(5):2717. doi: 10.3390/IJMS23052717

24. Mauchart P, Vass RA, Nagy B, Sulyok E, Bódis J, Kovács K. Oxidative stress in assisted reproductive techniques, with a focus on an underestimated risk factor. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* (2023) 45:1272–86. doi: 10.3390/CIMB45020083

25. Ayad B, Omolaoye TS, Louw N, Ramsunder Y, Skosana BT, Oyeipo PI, et al. Oxidative stress and male infertility: evidence from a research perspective. *Front Reprod Heal* (2022) 4:822257. doi: 10.3389/FRPH.2022.822257

26. Toragall MM, Satapathy SK, Kadadevaru GG, Hiremath MB. Evaluation of seminal fructose and citric acid levels in men with fertility problem. *J Hum Reprod Sci* (2019) 12:199. doi: 10.4103/JHRS\_JHRS\_155\_18

27. Göran Ronquist K. Extracellular vesicles and energy metabolism. *Clin Chim Acta* (2019) 488:116–21. doi: 10.1016/J.CCA.2018.10.044

28. Allouche-Fitoussi D, Breitbart H. The role of zinc in male fertility. Int J Mol Sci (2020) 21:1–15. doi: 10.3390/IJMS21207796

29. Clapper DL, Davis JA, Lamothe PJ, Patton C, Epel D. Involvement of zinc in the regulation of pHi, motility, and acrosome reactions in sea urchin sperm. *J Cell Biol* (1985) 100:1817–24. doi: 10.1083/jcb.100.6.1817

30. Fallah A, Mohammad-Hasani A, Colagar AH. Zinc is an essential element for male fertility: A review of zn roles in men's health, germination, sperm quality, and fertilization. *J Reprod Infertil* (2018) 19:69.

31. Noweir HA, Modgil V, Pearce I. Male accessory gland inflammation (MAGI): an evolving entity. *J Clin Urol* (2021) 15:414–23. doi: 10.1177/2051415820987687

32. Vickram S, Rohini K, Srinivasan S, Veenakumari DN, Archana K, Anbarasu K, et al. Role of zinc (Zn) in human reproduction: A journey from initial spermatogenesis to childbirth. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22:1–16. doi: 10.3390/IJMS22042188

33. Qiu Z, Chu Q, Zhang W, Luo C, Quan S. Level of neutral alpha-1,4-glucosidase in seminal plasma of Chinese men. *Andrologia* (2018) 50(3). doi: 10.1111/AND.12948

34. James ER, Carrell DT, Aston KI, Jenkins TG, Yeste M, Salas-Huetos A. The role of the epididymis and the contribution of epididymosomes to mammalian reproduction. *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21:1–17. doi: 10.3390/IJMS21155377

35. Sauer AK, Vela H, Vela G, Stark P, Barrera-Juarez E, Grabrucker AM. Zinc deficiency in men over 50 and its implications in prostate disorders. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1293/BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FONC.2020.01293/BIBTEX